

Spread of Covid-19 in the United States is controlled

Zixin Hu^{1,2}, Qiyang Ge³, Shudi Li⁴, Tao Xu⁴, Eric Boerwinkle⁴, Li Jin^{1,2} and Momiao Xiong^{4,*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering and Innovation Center of Genetics and Development, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

² Human Phenome Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

³ The School of Mathematic Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

⁴ Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, School of Public Health, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Running Title: Spread of Covid-19 in US

Keywords: Cov-19, artificial intelligence, identification of control systems dynamics, forecasting, causal inference, auto-encoder.

*Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Momiao Xiong, Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, School of Public Health, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, P.O. Box 20186, Houston, Texas 77225, (Phone): 713-500-9894, (Fax): 713-500-0900, E-mail: Momiao.Xiong@uth.tmc.edu.

Abstract

As of May 1, 2020, the number of cases of Covid-19 in the US passed 1,062,446, interventions to slow down the spread of Covid-19 curtailed most social activities. Meanwhile, an economic crisis and resistance to the strict intervention measures are rising. Some researchers proposed intermittent social distancing that may drive the outbreak of Covid-19 into 2022. Questions arise about whether we should maintain or relax quarantine measures. We developed novel artificial intelligence and causal inference integrated methods for real-time prediction and control of nonlinear epidemic systems. We estimated that the peak time of the Covid-19 in the US would be April 24, 2020 and its outbreak in the US will be over by the end of July and reach 1,551,901 cases. We evaluated the impact of relaxing the current interventions for reopening economy on the spread of Covid-19. We provide tools for balancing the risks of workers and reopening economy.

Introduction

Although as of May 1, 2020, the confirmed number cases of Covid-19 in the US has passed 1,062,446, non-pharmaceutical interventions such as strict self-quarantine for families, maintaining social distancing, stopping mass gatherings, and closure of schools and universities among others has dramatically slowed down the spread of Covid-19 and saved a large number of lives. However, public health interventions have restricted economic activities and caused high unemployment. Some investigators who published their mathematical projection of the dynamics of Covid-19 in Science suggested “prolonged or intermittent social distancing” which may drive the outbreak of Covid-19 into 2022 (1). Meanwhile, MIT researchers questioned the “intermittent social distancing” policy and worried that relaxing public interventions may cause an exponential explosion of Covid-19 (2). Now it is a critical decision point as to whether public health intervention measures should remain in place or should be lifted for reopening economy. Can we simultaneously improve both public health and economy? A key to correctly answering this question is to reconstruct the complex epidemic dynamic systems from the data, precisely predict the extent or duration of COVID-19, and develop a causal inference framework for devising practical implementable public health interventions to control the spread of Covid-19 in the US.

The basic mathematical models which underlying many statistical and computer methods for predicting the dynamics of the Covid-19 are the susceptible-exposed-infected-recovered (SEIR) models and their various versions (3-6). Although these epidemiological models are useful for estimating the dynamics of transmission, and evaluating the impact of intervention strategies, they have some critical limitations (7,8). First, the SEIR models assume a homogeneous population which is evenly mixed. Second, the epidemiological models consist of ordinary

differential equations that have many unknown parameters. These parameters are not identified (9), which leads to low accuracy and a wide range of predictions. Third, most models assume that some control parameters are constant and are not time varying and system dependent. This will dramatically limit our ability to simulate interventions and improve prediction accuracy.

To overcome these limitations, we developed an artificial intelligence (AI) and causal inference integrated intervention auto-encoder (IAE) to reconstruct nonlinear time-varying epidemic dynamic systems, model health intervention plan and make multi-step predictions of the response trajectory of the Covid-19 over time with multiple interventions (fig. S1) (10). Interventions include strict travel restriction, no large group gatherings, mandatory quarantine, restricted public transportation, and school closures. Similar to reproducing number R in the epidemiological models, the various interventions are quantified as control variable A_t taking values in the interval $[0, 1]$. A value of 1 for intervention indicates that intervention is the strongest and reproducing number R is close to zero. A value of zero for intervention variables indicates that no restrictions on social-economic activities are imposed. We assume that the time varying intervention variable A_t is system dependent and can be automatically adjusted. As shown in Figure S1, the IAE determines the intervention response (similar to counterfactual outputs) for a set of time varying and system adjusted interventions A_t and evaluates the impact of different intervention strategies and their implementation times on curbing the spread of Covid-19 and provides timely selection of an optimal sequence of intervention strategies to balance public health and economy reopening.

Methods

SEIR model

We first introduce the susceptible-exposed-infected-recovered (SEIR) model which is a mathematical compartmental model based on the average behavior of a population under study

(1). The SEIR model is defined as

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{\beta}{N} S(t)I(t) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{\beta}{N} S(t)I(t) - \sigma E(t) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \sigma E(t) - rI(t) \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = rI(t), \quad (4)$$

where $S(t)$, $E(t)$, $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ are the numbers of susceptible, exposed, infected and recovered (recovery or death) individuals at time t , respectively, $N(t)$ is the population size, and $\beta(t)$, $\sigma(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are transmission, incubation and recovery rate at time t , respectively.

Solving the differential equations (1)-(4), we obtain

$$I(t) = S(0)E(0)I(0) \int_0^t \int_0^\tau \frac{\beta}{N} e^{\sigma v} e^{-\int_0^v \frac{\beta}{N} I(u) du} I(v) dv e^{-\sigma \tau} e^{r\tau} d\tau e^{-rt}, \quad (5)$$

where $S(0)$, $E(0)$, $I(0)$ and $R(0)$ are the initial values of $S(t)$, $E(t)$, $I(t)$ and $R(t)$.

For the convenience of discussion, $I(t)$ is denoted by Y_t . The observed Y_t is a nonlinear function of history of Y_t , parameters β , σ and r . Public health interventions such as social distancing, regional lockdowns, quarantine and intensive testing can change these parameters. In the classical SEIR and SIR model, we define the basic reproduction number as

$$R_0(t) = \frac{\beta(t)}{\gamma(t)}, \quad (6)$$

which measures the transmission dynamic properties.

The parameters β, σ and r depend on the time t and hence are denoted by $\beta(t), \sigma(t)$ and $r(t)$. Since it is difficult to quantify public health interventions, the parameters $\beta(t), \sigma(t)$ and $r(t)$ can also be taken as control variables. We can define a scale or vector of intervention measure $A(t)$ to comprehensively represent the control parameters $\beta(t), \sigma(t)$ and $r(t)$. Equation (5) can be generally rewritten as

$$Y_t = f(Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-k}, A_t), \quad (7)$$

where k is the number of time lags.

The intervention measure A_t can also be written as

$$A_t = g(Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-k}, A_{t-1}). \quad (8)$$

Stacked autoencoders

Single layer autoencoder (AE) is a three layer feedforward neural network (2). The first layer is the input layer, the third layer is the reconstruction layer, and the second layer is the hidden layer. The input vector is denoted by $X_t = [Y_t, Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-k-1}, A_t]^T$, where Y_t is the number of cases at the time t and $0 \leq A_t \leq 1$ is the public health intervention measure variable. The input vector is mapped to the hidden layer to capture the features of the transmission dynamics of Covid-19 with public health intervention.

AE attempts to generate an output that reconstructs its input by mapping the hidden vector to the reconstruction layer. The single layer AE attempts to minimize the error between the input vector and the reconstruction vector. We develop stacked autoencoders with 4 layers that consist of two single-layer AEs stacked layer by layer (2). The dimensions of the input layer, the first hidden layer and the second hidden layer are 8, 32 and 4, respectively (Figure

S1(a)). After the first single-layer AE is trained, we remove the reconstruction layer of the first single layer AE and keep the hidden layer of the first single AE as the input layer of the second single-layer AE. Repeat the training process for the second single-layer AE. The output of the final node that fully connects to the hidden layer of the second single-layer AE is the predicted number of cases \hat{Y}_{t+1} and intervention measure \hat{A}_{t+1} .

Potential Outcomes Framework for Evaluating the Dynamics of Covid-19 under a Sequence of Public Health Interventions

The potential outcome framework that is also referred as the Rubin Causal Model (3) is a powerful tool for modeling health intervention plan and making multi-step prediction of the response trajectory of Covid-19 over time with a sequence of public health interventions.

Potential outcomes consist of observed and counterfactual outcomes. We are interested in number of cases of Covid-19 under some specific intervention. We observed the number of new cases or cumulative cases of Covid-19 (actual observation) without intervention or with some specific intervention. However, we want to know what number of new or cumulative cases of Covid-19 (counterfactual, unobserved) would be if other interventions were implemented.

Let A_t be an intervention measure at time t . $A_t = a_t$ can be a binary variable. For example, $a_t = 1$ ($a_t = 0$) indicates that intervention is (not) implemented. $A_t = a_t$ can also be continuous variable taking values in the interval $a_t \in [0, 1]$. If A_t is a continuous variable, the value of $A_t = a_t$ represents the intensity of intervention. $a_t = 1$ indicates that the intervention is the most strict and comprehensive public health intervention. Let $Y_{t+1} = Y(a_t)$ be the potential outcome under intervention a_t and be observed only when $A_t = a_t$. The potential outcome framework assumes the existence of the hypothetical outcome with some interventions which is

not observed in the data. The hypothetical outcome under hypothetical intervention is called counterfactual outcome. The set $\{A_t, Y_{t+1}\}$ forms a potential framework for causal inference.

Intervention Autoencoder for Real-Time Identification, Prediction and Control of Nonlinear Time-Varying Epidemic Dynamic Systems

The IAE uses sequence-to-sequence multi-input/output architectures to model health intervention plan and make multi-step prediction of the response trajectory of Covid-19 over time with multiple interventions (Figure S1(b)). The IAE can learn the complex dynamics within the temporal ordering of input time series of Covid-19 and use an internal memory to remember. The health intervention plan has multiple intervention regimens. The IAE consists of two auto-encoders: Auto-encoder (1) is used as encoder and Auto-encoder (2) is used as the decoder. Auto-encoder (1) models input time series and a sequence of interventions (past history of the number of cases of Covid-19 and interventions over time) and predicts future response time series and interventions. Auto-encoder (2) uses the learned features of the dynamics of Covid-19 in the auto-encoder (1) to forecast the potential response time series and interventions as an input to the auto-encoder (2). The feature vector learned in the auto-encoder (1) is then provided as an input to the autoencoder (2) which initiate prediction of the future dynamics of Covid-19 under the future interventions (Figure S1(b)). The algorithm for training and forecasting of IAE is summarized as follows.

Algorithm

Step 1. Initialization.

Randomly select $A_{i,t}, t = 1, \dots, T, i = 1, \dots, n$ for n samples with T time points. Using the data for US and all states and regions, we train the network. Repeat above procedure five times.

Step 2. After the networks are trained, for each sample and each window, divide $A_{i,t}$ into ten grids $A_{i,t}^j = j * 0.1, j = 0, 1, \dots, 10$. For each $A_{i,t}^j$, train the network :

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^N \|Y_{i,t} - f(Y_{i,t-1}, \dots, Y_{i,t-k}, A_{i,t}, \theta)\|^2.$$

After the network is trained, for each sample, we calculate the prediction error $e_{i,t}^j$.

$$e_{i,t}^j = \|Y_{i,t} - f(Y_{i,t-1}, \dots, Y_{i,t-k}, A_{i,t}, \hat{\theta})\|^2.$$

Select $A_{i,t}^{j_0}$ such that error is the smallest, i.e.,

$$j_{i,0} = \operatorname{arg} \min_j e_{i,t}^j \text{ and } A_{i,t} = A_{i,t}^{j_{i,0}}.$$

Step 3. Define the equation that is implemented by neural networks:

$$\hat{Y}_t = f(Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-k}, A_{t-1}, \theta)$$

$$\hat{A}_t = g(Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-k}, A_{t-1}, \theta).$$

Train the network to estimate the parameters in the network, assuming that A_t is estimated in step 2. In other words, we optimization the following problem:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{forecasting}} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^T \|Y_t - \hat{Y}_t\|^2 + \|A_t - \hat{A}_t\|^2.$$

Step 4. Using the trained autoencoder (1) as auto-encoder (2). Predict

$\hat{Y}_{t+1}, \hat{Y}_{t+2}, \dots, \hat{A}_{t+1}, \hat{A}_{t+2}, \dots$, using the formula:

$$\hat{Y}_{t+2} = f(Y_{t+1}, \dots, Y_{t-k+1}, A_{t+1}, \hat{\theta}_{\text{forecasting}})$$

$$\hat{A}_{t+2} = g(Y_{t+1}, \dots, Y_{t-k+1}, A_{t+1}, \hat{\theta}_{\text{forecasting}})$$

Forecasting Procedures

The trained IAE was used to forecast the future number of new or cumulative cases of Covid-19 for US and each state. The recursive multiple-step forecasting involved using a one-step model multiple times where the prediction for the preceding time step and intervention strategy were used as an input for making a prediction on the following time step (Figure S1(b)). For example, for forecasting the number of new confirmed cases for the one more next day, the predicted number of new cases and intervention measure in one-step forecasting would be used as an observational input in order to predict day 2. Repeat the above process to obtain the two-step forecasting. The summation of the final forecasted number of new or cumulative confirmed cases for each state was taken as the prediction of the total number of new or cumulative confirmed cases of Covid-19 in US.

Data Sources

The analysis is based on the surveillance data of confirmed and new Covid-19 cases in the US up to April 24, 2020. Data on the number of confirmed, new and death cases of Covid-19 from January 22, 2020 to April 24 were obtained from the John Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center (<https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/MAP.HTML>).

Data Pre-processing

A segment of time series with 8 days was viewed as a sample of data and N segments of time series was taken as the training samples. One element from the time series and intervention data matrix Z is randomly selected as a start day of the segment and its 7 successive days were selected as the other days to form a segment of time series. Let n be the index of the segment and t_n be the column index of the matrix Z that was selected as the starting day. The n^{th} segment time series can be represented as $\{Z_{t_n}, Z_{t_n+1}, \dots, Z_{t_n+7}\}$. Data were normalized to

$X_{t_n+k} = \frac{Z_{t_n+k}}{S}, k = 0, 1, \dots, 7$, where $S = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^7 Z_{t_n+k}$. Let $Y_n = \frac{Z_{t_n+8}}{S}$ be the normalized number of new or cumulative cases to forecast. If $S = 0$, then set $Y_n = 0$. The loss function was defined as

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^N W_n (Y_n - \hat{Y}_n)^2,$$

where \hat{Y}_n was its forecasted number of new or cumulative cases by the SAE, and W_n were weights. If t_n was in the interval $[1, 12]$, then $W_n = 1$. If t_n was in the interval $[13, 24]$, then $W_n = 2$, etc. Repeat training processed 5 times. The average forecasting \hat{Y}_n will be taken as a final forecasted number of the new or cumulative confirmed cases for each state.

Results

Prediction accuracy of the dynamics of Covid-19 using IAE

Accurate prediction of the spread of Covi-19 is important for future health intervention planning. To demonstrate that the IAE is an accurate forecasting method, the IAE was applied to confirmed accumulated cases of COVID-19 in the US. Fig. S2 plotted reported and one-step ahead predicted time-case curves of Covid-19 where the blue dotted curve was the number of reported cumulative cases after completion of the analysis. To further reliably evaluate the forecasting accuracy, we reported 10-step ahead forecasting errors of the cumulative cases of Covid-19 in the US, starting with April 16, 2020 (see table S1). The average errors of 1-step, 5-step and 10-step forecasting were 0.0035, 0.016 and 0.0012, respectively.

Outbreak of Covid-19 in the US passed the peak time

We estimated that the outbreak of Covid-19 in the US would reach its peak on April 24, 2020 (Figure 1, table S2). The number of new cases and cumulative cases at peak time in the US would be 36,188 and 905,358, respectively. The forecasted number of new cases of Covid-19 in the US, 10 days after the peak would be 26,428 and drop by 27%.

The peak times of the Covid-19 in the individual 50 states varied from March 24, 2020 (Virgin Islands) to May 24, 2020 (Nebraska) (Table 1 and table S2). The outbreak of the Covid-19 in New York State reached its peak on April 15, 2020 with 11,434 cases. The number of new cases and cumulative cases in the US and in the 50 individual states was summarized in Table 1. We forecasted that the outbreak of Covid-19 in the US would be completely over by the end of July. The maximum number of cases of Covid-19 in the US was 1,551,901. The end time of the outbreak of Covid-19 in the 50 states also varied from May 4 (Montana, 455 cumulative cases) to August 6, 2020 (Massachusetts, 238,370 cumulative cases). The outbreak of Covid-19 in New York State would be over on July 17, 2020 (Table 1). The maximum number of cumulative cases in New York State was 407,041. The reported and forecasted (if there are no reported) number of new cases in the US, 50 states and 5 other regions were summarized in table S2. The time - cumulative case curves of Covi-19 in the 50 states and 7 other regions were clustered into 9 groups using the k-means clustering algorithm (Figure 2). The states and regions in the same group will have the similar levels of forecasted number of cumulative cases at the end time of Covid-19.

To study the impact of relaxing intervention restrictions on the spread of Covid-19 in the US, we presented the results in Figure 1. We considered four scenarios of interventions: scenario 1 followed current intervention measures, scenarios 2 and 3 relaxed 20% and 40% of the intervention measures, and scenario 4 increased 20% of the intervention measure, after April 25,

2020. Figure 1 showed that if we relaxed 40% of the intervention measure, the spread of Covid-19 would be over on August 7, with 1,869,185 cumulative cases (an increase of 317,284 cases or 20.4% of cumulative cases more than if the current intervention measure was followed) of Covid-19 in the US (table S3). To avoid increasing the number of new cases, we can increase the number of coronavirus tests.

Intervention measures taken determines the varying time of the transmission dynamics of Covid-19

Public health interventions such as city lockdowns, traffic restrictions, quarantines, contact tracing, canceling gatherings and school closure will slow down the spread of Covid-19. Traditionally, the effects of the interventions on the transmission dynamics of Covid-19 can be investigated either by the classic SEIR epidemiological model which is determined by the exposure, infection and recovery rates β , σ and γ , respectively, or by the classical SIR epidemiological model which is determined by the infection rate β and recovery rate γ . The reproduction number R_t in both the SEIR and SIR models is defined as

$$R_t = \frac{\beta}{\gamma},$$

which is often used to determine the dynamic behavior of epidemics.

It is clear that information in reproduction number covers all parameters only in the SIR model and misses covering one parameter in the SEIR model. In addition, public health interventions cannot be quantified in the reproduction number. Similar to the reproducing number, we define an intervention measure A_t to control the spread of Covid-19. Figure 3 plotted the intervention measure A_t in the US under four scenarios of interventions as a function

of times starting with January 22, 2020 and ending with the end of September, 2020.

Intervention measure is a metric to quantify the degree of controlling infection. Figure 3 showed that the intervention curve started with a low intervention measure and then the trend of the intervention curve was, in general, increased until the end of February, 2020, when Spring break began. Spring break substantially reduced prevention measures and caused a large-scale outbreak of Covid-19 in the US. Then, the government implemented strict quarantine and social distance policies, and hence the intervention measure increased again. The average intervention measure of the US, 50 states and 5 other regions at the peak time was 0.54 (Table 1 and table S4). In other words, when the intervention measure was close to 0.5, interventions were sufficiently strong to decrease the number of new cases of Covid-19. Finally, the intervention measure steadily and quickly increased to 1 when the number of new cases rapidly decreased and the spread of Covid-19 was completely stopped. Figure 3 also showed that even if the intervention measure was assumed to decrease 40%, the intervention measure could still quickly and steadily increase to 1 and then the spread of Covid-19 would stop.

Table S5 presented correlation coefficients between the number of new cases and the intervention measure in the US, 50 states and 5 other regions. The correlation coefficients between the number of new cases and the intervention measure in the US is -0.4819. A total of 23.2% of the variation of new cases were explained by the intervention measure. Correlation coefficients of the 50 states ranged from -0.4473 (California) to -0.1480 (Northern Mariana Islands). California (-0.4473), Washington (-0.4197), Arizona (-0.4008), Illinois (-0.3759) and Massachusetts (-0.3521) were the top five states with the largest correlation coefficients. Negative correlation coefficients indicated that increasing the intervention measure would decrease the number of new cases. To investigate the relationship between the intervention

measure and widely used reproduction number $R(t)$, we first used SIR model to calculate the reproduction number $R(t)$ and then calculate the Spearman correlation coefficient between the intervention measure and reproduction number $R(t)$. We obtain the Spearman correlation coefficient of 0.585 between the intervention measure and reproduction number, using the number of new cases in the US and 50 states from April 1 to April 29.

Discussion

In summary, this report have addressed several important issues in forecasting the transmission dynamics of Covid-19 and evaluating the effects of the intervention measures on the curbing spread of Covid-19. First issue is low forecasting accuracy of the classical epidemiological models due to the unidentifiability of the model parameters. The classical epidemiological models often give a wide range of predictions of the future trajectories of the epidemics, which causes difficulties for public health intervention planning. As an alternative to epidemiologic mode, we developed data driven IAE for real-time prediction and control of nonlinear time-varying epidemic dynamic systems. The prediction accuracy of the IAE was very high. The 10-step forecasting error of IAE was 0.0012.

Second issue is how to formulate the real-time forecasting and designing intervention strategies for controlling the spread of Covid-19 as a causal inference problem. The data collected for Covid-19 are observational data. It is infeasible to collect the transmission dynamics data from the experiments. These data for both the total US and individual state can be observed only once. Dynamic responses of epidemics under multiple intervention scenarios are counterfactual. Unlike model-based approach where the models are assumed underlying the transmission dynamics of epidemics, the data driven evaluations of intervention strategies

require causal inference as a basic tool for forecasting and evaluating the dynamics of Covid-19 in the US. We used counterfactual outcome as a general framework for modeling health intervention plan and making multi-step prediction of the response trajectory of Covid-19 over time with a sequence of public health interventions. As illustration, we evaluated four scenarios of interventions and predicted that if we relaxed 40% of intervention measure, the spread of Covid-19 would be over on August 7, with 1,869,185 cumulative cases (increased 317,284 cases or 20.4% of cumulative cases than following the current intervention measure) of Covid-19 in US. However, if we increased 20% of the intervention measure, for example, by increasing the ratio of coronavirus tests, the spread of Covid-19 would be over on July 23, with 1,296,487 cumulative cases (reduced 16.5% of cumulative cases than following the current intervention measure).

The third issue is to simultaneously estimate the trajectory of the dynamics of Covid-19 and the intervention measure. We proposed to use intervention measure as a control variable that comprehensively quantified the public health interventions and incorporate the intervention measure as an input into the IAE model. Therefore, the IAE model jointly estimate the number of cases and intervention measure.

The fourth issue is interpretation of intervention measure. We could not investigate the impact of all individual elements of the interventions because many were introduced simultaneously across the US. If the individual intervention data are available, the IAE model can quantify the effect of the specific intervention on the controlling spread of Covid-19. The widely used quantity to characterize the transmission of dynamics is the reproduction number R . We found that the correlation coefficient between the intervention measure and reproduction number was 0.585.

The US passed the peak time of the Covid-19 and the number of new cases decreasing. The interventions such as stay-at-home orders and business closures dramatically slowed down the spread of Covid-19, but at the cost of economy shutdowns. A key to safely reopening the economy is massive virus tests. Relaxing quarantine, self-isolation and business closure is offset by increasing the number of tests. Question is how many number of tests is needed to ensure the curbing the spread of Covid-19 without intriguing the second wave of the outbreak. The IAE model with the ratio of the test as input provides tools for evaluating a sequence of strategies for safely reopening the economy.

Funding

Dr. Li Jin was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (91846302) .

Legend

Figure 1. The reported and forecasted curves of newly confirmed cases of Covid-19 in the US with three scenarios of interventions as a function of time, starting date from January 22, 2020. Scenario 1 followed the current intervention measure, scenarios 2 and 3 relaxed 20% and 40% of the intervention measure, and scenario 4 increased 20% of the current intervention measure.

Figure 2. Time-case plot of 50 states. (A) Time-case plot of New York state, (B) time-case plot of Massachusetts, (C) time-case plot of New Jersey and Illinois, (D) time-case plot of California, Pennsylvania, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan and Connecticut, (E) time-case plot of Virginia, Texas, Florida, Colorado, Georgia, Tennessee, Indiana, Nebraska, Louisiana, Ohio and Rhode Island, (F) time-case plot of North Carolina, Kansas, Minnesota, Arkansas, Washington, Mississippi, and Wisconsin, (G) time-case plot of Arizona, Missouri, New Mexico, Alabama, Kentucky, South Carolina, District of Columbia, Utah, Delaware and Nevada, (H) South Dakota, Oklahoma, New Hampshire, Oregon, North Dakota, Idaho, West Virginia, and (I) time-case plot of Puerto Rico, Maine, Wyoming, Vermont, Hawaii, Montana, Alaska, Guam, Grand Princess, Virgin Islands, Diamond Princess, Northern Mariana Islands and American Samoa.

Figure 3. The intervention measure curves as a function of times under three scenarios of interventions to control the transmission dynamics of Covid-19 in the US.

Supplementary Figure

Figure S1. Architecture of intervention autoencoder. (a) block autoencoder with $8 \times 32 \times 4 \times 2$ dimensions and (b) Process structure of the developed intervention autoencoder model.

Figure S2. Plotted reported and one-step ahead predicted time-case curves of Covid-19 in the US.

References and Notes

1. S. M. Kissler, C. Tedijanto, E. Goldstein E, Y. H. Grad, M. Lipsitch, Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science*. 2020 Apr 14. pii: eabb5793. doi: 10.1126/science.abb5793. [Epub ahead of print].
2. R. Dandekar and G. Barbastathis, Quantifying the effect of quarantine control in Covid-19 infectious spread using machine learning. medRxiv doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.03.20052084> [preprint].
3. Q. Li, X. Guan, P. Wu, X. Wang, L. Zhou, Y. Tong et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel Coronavirus-infected pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020, Jan 29. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316. [Epub ahead of print].
4. J. T. Wu, K. Leung, G. M. Leung. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet* **395**, 689-697 (2020).
5. Y. Chen, P. Lu, C. Chang, T. Liu, A Time-dependent SIR model for COVID-19 with Undetectable Infected Persons. arXiv:2003.00122 [q-bio.PE].
6. A. R. Tuite, D. N. Fisman, Reporting, epidemic growth, and reproduction numbers for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) epidemic. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020. doi: 10.7326/M20-0358. [Epub ahead of print].
7. S. Funk, A. Camacho, A. J. Kucharski, R.M. Eggo, W. J. Edmunds, Real-time forecasting of infectious disease dynamics with a stochastic semi-mechanistic model. *Epidemics* **22**, 56-61 (2018).
8. M. A. Johansson, K. M. Apfeldorf, S. Dobson et al. An open challenge to advance probabilistic forecasting for dengue epidemics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **116**, 24268-24274 (2019).
9. W. C. Roda, M. B. Varughese, D. Han, M. Y. Li, Why is it difficult to accurately predict the COVID-19 epidemic? *Infect Dis Model* **5**,271-281 (2020).
10. D. Charte, F. Charte, S. García, M. J. D. Jesus, F. Herrera, A practical tutorial on autoencoders for nonlinear feature fusion: Taxonomy, models, software and guidelines. *Information Fusion* **44**,78–96 (2019).
11. R. Sameni, Mathematical modeling of epidemic diseases; A case study of the COVID-19 coronavirus. arXiv:2003.11371, April 10, 2020.
12. W. Bao, J. Yue, Y. Rao, A deep learning framework for financial time series using stacked autoencoders and long-short term memory. *PLoS One*, **12**:e0180944 (2017).
13. D. L. Weed, Commentary: Causal inference in epidemiology: potential outcomes, pluralism and peer review. *Int J Epidemiol*.45(6):1838-1840 (2016).




Figure 1. The reported and forecasted curves of newly confirmed cases of Covid-19 in the US with three scenarios of interventions as a function of time, starting date from January 22, 2020. Scenario 1 followed the current intervention measure, scenarios 2 and 3 relaxed 20% and 40% of the intervention measure, and scenario 4 increased 20% of the current intervention measure.




Figure 2. Time-case plot of 50 states. (A) Time-case plot of New York state, (B) time-case plot of Massachusetts, (C) time-case plot of New Jersey and Illinois, (D) time-case plot of California, Pennsylvania, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan and Connecticut, (E) time-case plot of Virginia, Texas, Florida, Colorado, Georgia, Tennessee, Indiana, Nebraska, Louisiana, Ohio and Rhode Island, (F) time-case plot of North Carolina, Kansas, Minnesota, Arkansas, Washington, Mississippi, and Wisconsin, (G) time-case plot of Arizona, Missouri, New Mexico, Alabama, Kentucky, South Carolina, District of Columbia, Utah, Delaware and Nevada, (H) South Dakota, Oklahoma, New Hampshire, Oregon, North Dakota, Idaho, West Virginia, and (I) time-case plot of Puerto Rico, Maine, Wyoming, Vermont, Hawaii, Montana, Alaska, Guam, Grand Princess, Virgin Islands, Diamond Princess, Northern Mariana Islands and American Samoa.




Figure 3. The intervention measure curves as a function of times under three scenarios of interventions to control the transmission dynamics of Covid-19 in the US.




Figure S1. Architecture of intervention autoencoder. (a) block autoencoder with $8 \times 32 \times 4 \times 2$ dimensions and (b) Process structure of the developed intervention autoencoder model.




Figure S2. Plotted reported and one-step ahead predicted time-case curves of Covid-19 in the US.

Table S1. Errors of the 10-Step ahead predicting the number of cumulative cases of Covid-19 in the US.

	1-step	2-step	3-step	4-step	5-step	6-step	7-step	8-step	9-step	10-step
	-0.00245	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	-0.00884	-0.01362	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	-0.00104	-0.01029	-0.01548	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	-0.00106	-0.00250	-0.01215	-0.01774	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	0.00101	0.00034	-0.00063	-0.00987	-0.01505	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	0.00583	0.00892	0.01084	0.01205	0.00491	0.00189	NA	NA	NA	NA
	0.00803	0.01707	0.02416	0.02975	0.03445	0.03038	0.03022	NA	NA	NA
	-0.00128	0.00622	0.01459	0.02104	0.02595	0.03008	0.02551	0.02480	NA	NA
	-0.00209	-0.00420	0.00240	0.00996	0.01546	0.01932	0.02260	0.01715	0.01559	NA
	-0.00294	-0.00621	-0.00948	-0.00406	0.00237	0.00641	0.00885	0.01075	0.00407	0.00124
Average	0.00346	0.00771	0.01122	0.01492	0.01637	0.01762	0.02180	0.01757	0.00983	0.00124

Table S2. Forecasted number of new cases for 8 weeks in the US and Top States with the largest number of cumulative cases. .

Date	US	California	Connecticut	Illinois	Iowa	Maryland	Massachusetts	Michigan	New Jersey	New York	Pennsylvania
4/25/2020	32796	1013	647	2119	647	1150	2379	408	3302	10553	945
4/26/2020	31995	1624	1087	2256	541	974	3436	1039	3003	7620	1436
4/27/2020	31644	1638	1061	2338	583	1038	3768	928	2974	7716	1337
4/28/2020	31317	1597	952	2365	641	1018	4145	917	2909	7584	1555
4/29/2020	30677	1604	1113	2483	665	1054	3928	823	2823	7745	1447
4/30/2020	29896	1600	950	2504	724	1085	4160	839	2756	7203	1452
5/1/2020	29256	1530	1060	2568	752	1078	4268	813	2582	6680	1335
5/2/2020	28315	1504	1026	2554	761	1102	4186	714	2634	6520	1308
5/3/2020	27409	1513	1027	2605	774	1103	4412	736	2449	5747	1339
5/4/2020	26428	1464	1000	2614	808	1115	4516	667	2356	5700	1257
5/5/2020	25415	1424	977	2630	840	1118	4573	621	2223	5288	1231
5/6/2020	24338	1378	967	2640	862	1124	4634	588	2109	4990	1177
5/7/2020	23208	1332	928	2645	890	1127	4705	554	1998	4741	1136
5/8/2020	22066	1279	909	2645	905	1126	4767	509	1869	4448	1081
5/9/2020	20885	1223	873	2634	926	1122	4809	480	1775	4064	1026
5/10/2020	19754	1168	844	2618	947	1114	4858	444	1656	3868	976
5/11/2020	18637	1109	809	2592	971	1103	4893	403	1556	3541	919
5/12/2020	17545	1050	773	2558	988	1088	4918	369	1431	3234	871
5/13/2020	16471	993	737	2515	1008	1070	4929	338	1323	2971	818
5/14/2020	15423	937	698	2464	1027	1048	4929	309	1206	2722	770
5/15/2020	14245	882	661	2405	1045	1023	4914	280	1105	2491	721
5/16/2020	13141	828	624	2339	1062	994	4884	254	1012	2254	670
5/17/2020	11977	775	588	2265	1077	963	4839	229	921	2049	615
5/18/2020	10997	715	553	2186	1091	929	4778	206	837	1849	564
5/19/2020	10062	661	518	2101	1103	893	4701	184	756	1663	517
5/20/2020	9177	602	485	2013	1113	855	4609	161	683	1491	473
5/21/2020	8339	553	441	1920	1121	816	4502	138	613	1315	432
5/22/2020	7543	506	414	1825	1126	775	4381	121	549	1138	393

5/23/2020	6809	461	374	1728	1129	734	4246	107	478	989	356
5/24/2020	6111	419	344	1634	1128	694	4100	95	412	871	321
5/25/2020	5478	379	314	1542	1125	655	3944	84	361	771	289
5/26/2020	4788	342	286	1452	1118	616	3780	74	319	684	259
5/27/2020	4119	307	260	1363	1107	578	3609	65	283	607	228
5/28/2020	3608	275	234	1276	1093	541	3433	57	251	536	196
5/29/2020	3184	239	211	1181	1075	499	3253	50	223	470	171
5/30/2020	2825	206	189	1085	1054	461	3076	44	196	411	151
5/31/2020	2505	181	168	991	1029	420	2905	38	171	359	134
6/1/2020	2223	160	145	910	1001	386	2736	34	149	315	119
6/2/2020	1960	142	126	833	970	353	2570	30	131	277	105
6/3/2020	1714	126	111	760	936	322	2408	26	115	244	93
6/4/2020	1497	112	98	690	900	292	2239	23	101	215	81
6/5/2020	1311	98	87	625	862	264	2047	20	89	189	71
6/6/2020	1152	86	77	563	823	238	1893	17	78	166	62
6/7/2020	1014	75	68	506	783	214	1726	15	69	145	54
6/8/2020	894	66	60	453	741	192	1582	13	60	127	48
6/9/2020	788	58	52	396	701	166	1443	11	53	111	42
6/10/2020	692	51	46	340	662	143	1312	10	46	98	37
6/11/2020	607	45	40	298	623	125	1190	9	40	86	32
6/12/2020	532	39	35	263	585	111	1072	8	35	75	28
6/13/2020	466	34	31	233	548	98	965	7	31	66	25
6/14/2020	409	30	27	207	507	87	865	6	27	58	22
6/15/2020	359	26	24	184	467	77	762	5	24	51	19
6/16/2020	315	23	21	162	426	68	656	4	21	44	17
6/17/2020	277	20	18	141	391	59	572	4	18	39	15
6/18/2020	243	18	16	123	358	52	504	3	16	34	13
6/19/2020	213	15	14	108	327	45	447	3	14	30	11
6/20/2020	187	13	12	95	297	40	396	2	12	26	10

Table S3. Peak time and peak number of cases, end time and end number of cases in the US and 50 states and 7 other regions.

Total US and State	Current Number of Cases	scenario	Peak Time	Peak Number (New)	Peak Number (Cum)	End Time	End Number of Cases
US	938154	Scenario 1	4/24/2020	36188	905358	7/31/2020	1551901
		Scenario 2	5/1/2020	38792	1161618	8/7/2020	1869185
		Scenario 3	5/7/2020	63920	1602278	8/22/2020	3083138
		Scenario 4	4/24/2020	36188	905358	7/23/2020	1296487
Alabama	6026	Scenario 1	4/9/2020	375	2703	6/23/2020	9949
		Scenario 2	4/9/2020	375	2703	6/29/2020	11693
		Scenario 3	4/9/2020	375	2703	7/10/2020	17190
		Scenario 4	4/9/2020	375	2703	6/16/2020	8507
Alaska	339	Scenario 1	3/28/2020	27	85	5/9/2020	360
		Scenario 2	3/28/2020	27	85	5/9/2020	360
		Scenario 3	3/28/2020	27	85	5/13/2020	375
		Scenario 4	3/28/2020	27	85	5/2/2020	347
Arizona	6286	Scenario 1	4/23/2020	299	5772	6/29/2020	12316
		Scenario 2	5/3/2020	333	8766	7/7/2020	15687
		Scenario 3	5/15/2020	617	17026	7/23/2020	29767
		Scenario 4	4/23/2020	299	5772	6/21/2020	9927
Arkansas	2911	Scenario 1	5/16/2020	347	9445.5	7/20/2020	16705
		Scenario 2	5/21/2020	522	14270	7/28/2020	25175
		Scenario 3	5/27/2020	937	25765.5	8/7/2020	45227
		Scenario 4	4/23/2020	323	2599	7/6/2020	9426
California	42368	Scenario 1	4/20/2020	2255	33686	7/11/2020	77978
		Scenario 2	4/20/2020	2255	33686	7/20/2020	101651
		Scenario 3	5/16/2020	4153	116029	8/7/2020	200917
		Scenario 4	4/20/2020	2255	33686	7/5/2020	66237
Colorado	12968	Scenario 1	4/24/2020	978	12256	7/17/2020	39566

		Scenario 2	5/14/2020	1260	34000	7/28/2020	60834
		Scenario 3	5/23/2020	2414	66319	8/10/2020	116663
		Scenario 4	4/24/2020	978	12256	7/4/2020	25995
Connecticut	24583	Scenario 1	4/22/2020	2109	22469	7/11/2020	50220
		Scenario 2	4/22/2020	2109	22469	7/18/2020	64210
		Scenario 3	5/17/2020	2779	76206	8/6/2020	134409
		Scenario 4	4/22/2020	2109	22469	7/3/2020	41259
Delaware	3576	Scenario 1	4/22/2020	269	3200	6/28/2020	7659
		Scenario 2	4/22/2020	269	3200	7/7/2020	10402
		Scenario 3	5/19/2020	462	12787	7/25/2020	22264
		Scenario 4	4/22/2020	269	3200	6/19/2020	6075
Diamond Princess	49	Scenario 1	3/17/2020	47	47	3/20/2020	49
		Scenario 2	3/17/2020	47	47	3/20/2020	49
		Scenario 3	3/17/2020	47	47	3/20/2020	49
		Scenario 4	3/17/2020	47	47	3/20/2020	49
District of Columbia	3699	Scenario 1	4/8/2020	229	1440	6/29/2020	8166
		Scenario 2	5/7/2020	240	6482	7/9/2020	11520
		Scenario 3	5/19/2020	492	13496	7/26/2020	23712
		Scenario 4	4/8/2020	229	1440	6/19/2020	6260
Florida	30839	Scenario 1	4/2/2020	2052	9008	6/26/2020	41224
		Scenario 2	4/2/2020	2052	9008	7/1/2020	45202
		Scenario 3	4/2/2020	2052	9008	7/9/2020	55868
		Scenario 4	4/2/2020	2052	9008	6/21/2020	38022
Georgia	23222	Scenario 1	4/17/2020	1525	17194	7/3/2020	38652
		Scenario 2	4/17/2020	1525	17194	7/10/2020	47268
		Scenario 3	5/8/2020	1677	41702	7/26/2020	80409
		Scenario 4	4/17/2020	1525	17194	6/25/2020	32650
Grand Princess	103	Scenario 1	3/28/2020	75	103	3/28/2020	103
		Scenario 2	3/28/2020	75	103	3/28/2020	103
		Scenario 3	3/28/2020	75	103	3/28/2020	103

		Scenario 4	3/28/2020	75	103	3/28/2020	103
Guam	141	Scenario 1	4/5/2020	19	112	4/28/2020	144
		Scenario 2	4/5/2020	19	112	4/28/2020	144
		Scenario 3	4/5/2020	19	112	4/28/2020	144
		Scenario 4	4/5/2020	19	112	4/27/2020	142
Hawaii	605	Scenario 1	4/3/2020	63	319	5/10/2020	631
		Scenario 2	4/3/2020	63	319	5/12/2020	642
		Scenario 3	4/3/2020	63	319	5/16/2020	663
		Scenario 4	4/3/2020	63	319	5/8/2020	626
Idaho	1887	Scenario 1	4/2/2020	210	776	6/1/2020	2318
		Scenario 2	4/2/2020	210	776	6/4/2020	2474
		Scenario 3	4/2/2020	210	776	6/11/2020	2822
		Scenario 4	4/2/2020	210	776	5/26/2020	2161
Illinois	41777	Scenario 1	4/24/2020	2721	39658	7/26/2020	127855
		Scenario 2	5/13/2020	3822	104683	8/4/2020	184875
		Scenario 3	5/23/2020	7570	208957	8/19/2020	366106
		Scenario 4	4/24/2020	2721	39658	7/14/2020	85629
Indiana	14399	Scenario 1	4/25/2020	718	14399	7/8/2020	31073
		Scenario 2	5/5/2020	879	23485	7/17/2020	42433
		Scenario 3	5/18/2020	1772	47848	8/4/2020	85601
		Scenario 4	4/25/2020	718	14399	6/28/2020	23592
Iowa	5092	Scenario 1	5/23/2020	1129	30572	8/5/2020	54509
		Scenario 2	5/27/2020	1789	48976.5	8/13/2020	86478
		Scenario 3	6/1/2020	3015	83615	8/21/2020	145783
		Scenario 4	4/25/2020	647	5092	7/15/2020	21752
Kansas	3135	Scenario 1	5/18/2020	425	11730.5	7/23/2020	20472
		Scenario 2	5/23/2020	639	17533	7/31/2020	30799
		Scenario 3	5/28/2020	1139	31310.5	8/10/2020	54984
		Scenario 4	4/23/2020	390	2721	7/8/2020	10699
Kentucky	3915	Scenario 1	4/10/2020	352	1693	7/3/2020	9861

		Scenario 2	4/10/2020	352	1693	7/12/2020	13579
		Scenario 3	5/20/2020	553	15283.5	7/27/2020	26665
		Scenario 4	4/10/2020	352	1693	6/23/2020	7311
Louisiana	26512	Scenario 1	4/2/2020	2725	9149	6/16/2020	30401
		Scenario 2	4/2/2020	2725	9149	6/20/2020	32042
		Scenario 3	4/2/2020	2725	9149	6/26/2020	35146
		Scenario 4	4/2/2020	2725	9149	6/12/2020	29268
Maine	965	Scenario 1	4/2/2020	73	376	5/27/2020	1201
		Scenario 2	4/2/2020	73	376	6/1/2020	1309
		Scenario 3	4/2/2020	73	376	6/6/2020	1461
		Scenario 4	4/2/2020	73	376	5/21/2020	1101
Maryland	17766	Scenario 1	4/8/2020	1158	5529	7/20/2020	54423
		Scenario 2	5/15/2020	1857	50749.5	8/1/2020	89784
		Scenario 3	5/24/2020	3631	99434	8/14/2020	175489
		Scenario 4	4/8/2020	1158	5529	7/7/2020	36188
Massachusetts	53348	Scenario 1	4/24/2020	4946	50969	8/6/2020	238370
		Scenario 2	5/20/2020	7714	214408	8/16/2020	373152
		Scenario 3	5/26/2020	13573	374504	8/26/2020	656458
		Scenario 4	4/24/2020	4946	50969	7/22/2020	136457
Michigan	37074	Scenario 1	4/3/2020	1953	12744	6/30/2020	51614
		Scenario 2	4/3/2020	1953	12744	7/4/2020	57180
		Scenario 3	4/3/2020	1953	12744	7/13/2020	72172
		Scenario 4	4/3/2020	1953	12744	6/24/2020	46836
Minnesota	3446	Scenario 1	5/14/2020	353	9585.5	7/18/2020	16991
		Scenario 2	5/20/2020	571	15631	7/28/2020	27527
		Scenario 3	5/27/2020	1042	28390	8/8/2020	50311
		Scenario 4	4/17/2020	261	2758	7/3/2020	9361
Mississippi	5718	Scenario 1	4/19/2020	300	4274	7/6/2020	14132
		Scenario 2	5/10/2020	426	11534	7/15/2020	20497
		Scenario 3	5/21/2020	859	23626	7/31/2020	41498

		Scenario 4	4/19/2020	300	4274	6/25/2020	10367
Missouri	6935	Scenario 1	4/10/2020	465	3897	6/20/2020	10274
		Scenario 2	4/10/2020	465	3897	6/25/2020	11660
		Scenario 3	4/10/2020	465	3897	7/5/2020	15727
		Scenario 4	4/10/2020	465	3897	6/13/2020	9083
Montana	445	Scenario 1	4/2/2020	33	241	5/4/2020	455
		Scenario 2	4/2/2020	33	241	5/5/2020	457
		Scenario 3	4/2/2020	33	241	5/9/2020	466
		Scenario 4	4/2/2020	33	241	5/2/2020	452
Nebraska	2719	Scenario 1	5/24/2020	631	17404	8/1/2020	30460
		Scenario 2	5/27/2020	947	26079.5	8/7/2020	45673
		Scenario 3	6/1/2020	1694	46693	8/16/2020	81856
		Scenario 4	4/23/2020	389	2202	7/13/2020	12837
Nevada	4539	Scenario 1	4/17/2020	310	3524	6/19/2020	7179
		Scenario 2	4/17/2020	310	3524	6/26/2020	8545
		Scenario 3	4/17/2020	310	3524	7/9/2020	13025
		Scenario 4	4/17/2020	310	3524	6/11/2020	6087
New Hampshire	1797	Scenario 1	4/15/2020	217	1139	6/19/2020	3524
		Scenario 2	4/15/2020	217	1139	6/28/2020	4660
		Scenario 3	4/15/2020	217	1139	7/16/2020	9310
		Scenario 4	4/15/2020	217	1139	6/10/2020	2752
New Jersey	105498	Scenario 1	4/3/2020	4305	29895	7/11/2020	157502
		Scenario 2	4/3/2020	4305	29895	7/17/2020	182401
		Scenario 3	5/5/2020	5673	155549	7/29/2020	270798
		Scenario 4	4/3/2020	4305	29895	7/4/2020	139015
New Mexico	2660	Scenario 1	4/22/2020	239	2210	7/11/2020	10120
		Scenario 2	5/15/2020	288	7917	7/18/2020	13833
		Scenario 3	5/24/2020	556	15385	7/31/2020	26815
		Scenario 4	4/22/2020	239	2210	6/27/2020	6260
New York	282143	Scenario 1	4/15/2020	11434	214454	7/17/2020	407041

		Scenario 2	4/15/2020	11434	214454	7/22/2020	460684
		Scenario 3	5/1/2020	12783	350634	8/1/2020	616569
		Scenario 4	4/15/2020	11434	214454	7/9/2020	362008
North Carolina	8768	Scenario 1	4/25/2020	478	8768	7/10/2020	22742
		Scenario 2	5/11/2020	685	18753	7/20/2020	33060
		Scenario 3	5/21/2020	1404	38494	8/5/2020	67880
		Scenario 4	4/25/2020	478	8768	6/28/2020	15825
North Dakota	803	Scenario 1	4/18/2020	135	528	6/27/2020	2553
		Scenario 2	4/18/2020	135	528	7/8/2020	4049
		Scenario 3	5/22/2020	152	4117	7/20/2020	7262
		Scenario 4	4/18/2020	135	528	6/14/2020	1712
Northern Mariana Islands	14	Scenario 1	4/1/2020	4	6	4/18/2020	14
		Scenario 2	4/1/2020	4	6	4/18/2020	14
		Scenario 3	4/1/2020	4	6	4/18/2020	14
		Scenario 4	4/1/2020	4	6	4/18/2020	14
Ohio	15587	Scenario 1	4/19/2020	1380	11602	7/3/2020	28284
		Scenario 2	4/19/2020	1380	11602	7/10/2020	34756
		Scenario 3	4/19/2020	1380	11602	7/28/2020	65653
		Scenario 4	4/19/2020	1380	11602	6/28/2020	24401
Oklahoma	3194	Scenario 1	4/4/2020	171	1161	6/13/2020	4699
		Scenario 2	4/4/2020	171	1161	6/19/2020	5460
		Scenario 3	4/4/2020	171	1161	7/1/2020	7790
		Scenario 4	4/4/2020	171	1161	6/7/2020	4185
Oregon	2253	Scenario 1	4/5/2020	169	1068	6/8/2020	3113
		Scenario 2	4/5/2020	169	1068	6/13/2020	3543
		Scenario 3	4/5/2020	169	1068	6/23/2020	4611
		Scenario 4	4/5/2020	169	1068	6/1/2020	2797
Pennsylvania	41153	Scenario 1	4/23/2020	2297	38379	7/9/2020	71072
		Scenario 2	4/23/2020	2297	38379	7/16/2020	86734

		Scenario 3	5/9/2020	3091	77944	8/1/2020	149182
		Scenario 4	4/23/2020	2297	38379	7/4/2020	62050
Puerto Rico	1307	Scenario 1	4/4/2020	136	452	5/29/2020	1602
		Scenario 2	4/4/2020	136	452	6/2/2020	1716
		Scenario 3	4/4/2020	136	452	6/7/2020	1895
		Scenario 4	4/4/2020	136	452	5/24/2020	1503
Rhode Island	7129	Scenario 1	4/17/2020	648	4177	7/18/2020	27428
		Scenario 2	5/18/2020	942	25752	7/30/2020	45537
		Scenario 3	5/25/2020	1726	47341	8/10/2020	83405
		Scenario 4	4/17/2020	648	4177	7/5/2020	17204
South Carolina	5253	Scenario 1	4/9/2020	376	2793	6/21/2020	8451
		Scenario 2	4/9/2020	376	2793	6/28/2020	10170
		Scenario 3	4/9/2020	376	2793	7/9/2020	14808
		Scenario_4	4/9/2020	376	2793	6/12/2020	7138
South Dakota	2147	Scenario 1	4/15/2020	180	1168	6/26/2020	4932
		Scenario 2	4/15/2020	180	1168	7/5/2020	6863
		Scenario 3	5/20/2020	304	8387	7/23/2020	14616
		Scenario 4	4/15/2020	180	1168	6/16/2020	3718
Tennessee	9189	Scenario 1	4/23/2020	872	8266	7/21/2020	36731
		Scenario 2	5/18/2020	1312	35972	8/1/2020	63334
		Scenario 3	5/27/2020	2931	79412	8/16/2020	141634
		Scenario 4	4/23/2020	872	8266	7/2/2020	18798
Texas	24153	Scenario 1	4/9/2020	1431	11208	7/4/2020	41289
		Scenario 2	4/9/2020	1431	11208	7/11/2020	49368
		Scenario 3	5/9/2020	1736	45163	7/26/2020	83597
		Scenario 4	4/9/2020	1431	11208	6/29/2020	35839
Utah	3948	Scenario 1	4/10/2020	247	2103	6/25/2020	7683
		Scenario 2	4/10/2020	247	2103	7/3/2020	9874
		Scenario 3	5/16/2020	410	11201	7/21/2020	19749
		Scenario 4	4/10/2020	247	2103	6/16/2020	6135

Vermont	843	Scenario 1	4/4/2020	72	461	5/14/2020	895
		Scenario 2	4/4/2020	72	461	5/16/2020	904
		Scenario 3	4/4/2020	72	461	5/20/2020	945
		Scenario 4	4/4/2020	72	461	5/12/2020	880
Virginia	12366	Scenario 1	5/9/2020	895	24037	7/20/2020	43181
		Scenario 2	5/17/2020	1454	39570	8/1/2020	70237
		Scenario 3	5/25/2020	2660	74198	8/13/2020	128592
		Scenario 4	4/25/2020	772	12366	7/7/2020	27688
Virgin Islands	55	Scenario 1	3/24/2020	10	17	4/25/2020	55
		Scenario 2	3/24/2020	10	17	4/25/2020	55
		Scenario 3	3/24/2020	10	17	4/25/2020	55
		Scenario 4	3/24/2020	10	17	4/25/2020	55
Washington	13319	Scenario 1	4/2/2020	781	6389	6/15/2020	16286
		Scenario 2	4/2/2020	781	6389	6/19/2020	17450
		Scenario 3	4/2/2020	781	6389	6/26/2020	19910
		Scenario 4	4/2/2020	781	6389	6/9/2020	15260
West Virginia	1010	Scenario 1	4/26/2020	124	1134	6/13/2020	2016
		Scenario 2	4/26/2020	155	1165	6/24/2020	2930
		Scenario 3	4/26/2020	202	1212	7/16/2020	7242
		Scenario 4	4/19/2020	105	890	6/2/2020	1489
Wisconsin	5687	Scenario 1	4/25/2020	331	5687	7/5/2020	13919
		Scenario 2	5/9/2020	412	11238	7/15/2020	19836
		Scenario 3	5/20/2020	847	23199.5	7/31/2020	40891
		Scenario 4	4/25/2020	331	5687	6/22/2020	9649
Wyoming	491	Scenario 1	4/21/2020	126	443	6/13/2020	1127
		Scenario 2	4/21/2020	126	443	6/21/2020	1477
		Scenario 3	4/21/2020	126	443	7/4/2020	2450
		Scenario 4	4/21/2020	126	443	6/6/2020	884

Table S4. Estimated time varying intervention measures of US and top 10 states with the largest number of end cases.

Date	US	California	Connecticut	Illinois	Iowa	Maryland	Massachusetts	Michigan	New Jersey	New York	Pennsylvania
4/15/2020	0.5407	0.5463	0.5152	0.5190	0.5044	0.5190	0.5169	0.5572	0.5282	0.5748	0.5258
4/16/2020	0.5513	0.5461	0.5252	0.5238	0.5111	0.5189	0.5222	0.5670	0.5457	0.5674	0.5350
4/17/2020	0.5561	0.5600	0.5167	0.5329	0.5113	0.5182	0.5191	0.5970	0.5459	0.5705	0.5379
4/18/2020	0.5591	0.5592	0.5187	0.5293	0.5060	0.5144	0.5182	0.6026	0.5555	0.5916	0.5361
4/19/2020	0.5625	0.5609	0.5307	0.5290	0.5049	0.5144	0.5212	0.6236	0.5708	0.6162	0.5336
4/20/2020	0.5763	0.5827	0.5631	0.5394	0.4899	0.5265	0.5271	0.6495	0.5732	0.6392	0.5480
4/21/2020	0.5961	0.5581	0.5271	0.5586	0.4889	0.5264	0.5934	0.6749	0.5791	0.6600	0.5738
4/22/2020	0.6096	0.5496	0.5407	0.5627	0.4747	0.5400	0.5601	0.6881	0.5854	0.6769	0.5889
4/23/2020	0.6173	0.5476	0.5200	0.5506	0.4980	0.5579	0.5599	0.6702	0.5932	0.6918	0.6183
4/24/2020	0.6240	0.5417	0.5308	0.5483	0.5133	0.5485	0.5424	0.6516	0.5943	0.6995	0.5871
4/25/2020	0.6183	0.5470	0.5540	0.5313	0.5058	0.5421	0.5122	0.6390	0.6238	0.6908	0.5765
4/26/2020	0.6183	0.5781	0.5872	0.5313	0.5058	0.5421	0.5131	0.6696	0.6348	0.6908	0.6024
4/27/2020	0.6237	0.5947	0.5908	0.5322	0.5058	0.5421	0.5167	0.6771	0.6451	0.6908	0.6182
4/28/2020	0.6311	0.6041	0.5926	0.5362	0.5058	0.5421	0.5195	0.6797	0.6536	0.6908	0.6283
4/29/2020	0.6385	0.6112	0.5998	0.5410	0.5058	0.5421	0.5195	0.6834	0.6624	0.6908	0.6293
4/30/2020	0.6456	0.6201	0.6064	0.5454	0.5058	0.5421	0.5235	0.6921	0.6713	0.6908	0.6346
5/1/2020	0.6535	0.6287	0.6142	0.5501	0.5058	0.5477	0.5246	0.6992	0.6789	0.6908	0.6436
5/2/2020	0.6617	0.6376	0.6199	0.5546	0.5058	0.5538	0.5246	0.7053	0.6861	0.6938	0.6524
5/3/2020	0.6692	0.6457	0.6262	0.5595	0.5058	0.5594	0.5288	0.7112	0.6929	0.7010	0.6602
5/4/2020	0.6767	0.6539	0.6339	0.5655	0.5058	0.5658	0.5324	0.7192	0.6995	0.7097	0.6672
5/5/2020	0.6842	0.6617	0.6413	0.5721	0.5073	0.5724	0.5354	0.7265	0.7067	0.7174	0.6748
5/6/2020	0.6914	0.6693	0.6492	0.5787	0.5093	0.5790	0.5412	0.7336	0.7145	0.7250	0.6823
5/7/2020	0.6984	0.6769	0.6568	0.5864	0.5116	0.5866	0.5471	0.7402	0.7221	0.7324	0.6895
5/8/2020	0.7055	0.6842	0.6645	0.5950	0.5133	0.5952	0.5529	0.7485	0.7292	0.7389	0.6964
5/9/2020	0.7135	0.6913	0.6722	0.6035	0.5150	0.6038	0.5584	0.7617	0.7360	0.7463	0.7030
5/10/2020	0.7211	0.6983	0.6798	0.6120	0.5171	0.6123	0.5646	0.7782	0.7424	0.7580	0.7110

5/11/2020	0.7284	0.7055	0.6871	0.6204	0.5212	0.6207	0.5713	0.7971	0.7513	0.7748	0.7188
5/12/2020	0.7352	0.7134	0.6942	0.6288	0.5248	0.6291	0.5778	0.8170	0.7664	0.7925	0.7261
5/13/2020	0.7417	0.7211	0.7011	0.6370	0.5283	0.6374	0.5852	0.8373	0.7829	0.8119	0.7331
5/14/2020	0.7503	0.7283	0.7088	0.6451	0.5316	0.6454	0.5936	0.8558	0.8015	0.8329	0.7397
5/15/2020	0.7645	0.7352	0.7166	0.6531	0.5351	0.6534	0.6021	0.8722	0.8219	0.8519	0.7475
5/16/2020	0.7811	0.7416	0.7241	0.6610	0.5412	0.6613	0.6105	0.8866	0.8417	0.8688	0.7592
5/17/2020	0.7994	0.7503	0.7312	0.6687	0.5472	0.6691	0.6189	0.8993	0.8597	0.8835	0.7761
5/18/2020	0.8196	0.7645	0.7379	0.6763	0.5531	0.6766	0.6273	0.9095	0.8756	0.8967	0.7937
5/19/2020	0.8397	0.7811	0.7450	0.6837	0.5587	0.6841	0.6356	0.9175	0.8898	0.9076	0.8131
5/20/2020	0.8579	0.7994	0.7549	0.6909	0.5650	0.6912	0.6437	0.9244	0.9020	0.9158	0.8339
5/21/2020	0.8740	0.8195	0.7716	0.6979	0.5716	0.6982	0.6517	0.9313	0.9114	0.9230	0.8526
5/22/2020	0.8884	0.8397	0.7884	0.7050	0.5781	0.7053	0.6596	0.9378	0.9192	0.9299	0.8694
5/23/2020	0.9008	0.8578	0.8072	0.7130	0.5855	0.7133	0.6674	0.9433	0.9259	0.9364	0.8843
5/24/2020	0.9105	0.8740	0.8282	0.7206	0.5939	0.7209	0.6750	0.9479	0.9328	0.9421	0.8973
5/25/2020	0.9184	0.8883	0.8474	0.7279	0.6025	0.7282	0.6824	0.9517	0.9391	0.9469	0.9080
5/26/2020	0.9251	0.9007	0.8647	0.7348	0.6109	0.7351	0.6897	0.9548	0.9443	0.9508	0.9162
5/27/2020	0.9321	0.9105	0.8800	0.7413	0.6193	0.7415	0.6967	0.9574	0.9487	0.9541	0.9233
5/28/2020	0.9384	0.9184	0.8935	0.7497	0.6277	0.7501	0.7036	0.9595	0.9524	0.9568	0.9302
5/29/2020	0.9438	0.9251	0.9050	0.7634	0.6360	0.7643	0.7116	0.9613	0.9554	0.9590	0.9367
5/30/2020	0.9483	0.9321	0.9138	0.7801	0.6441	0.7809	0.7193	0.9627	0.9578	0.9608	0.9424
5/31/2020	0.9520	0.9385	0.9212	0.7983	0.6521	0.7993	0.7266	0.9639	0.9599	0.9624	0.9471

Table S5. Correlation coefficients between the number of cumulative cases and intervention measures in total US and individual states.

US and State	Correlation Coefficients	State	Correlation Coefficients
US	-0.4819	Montana	-0.3078
Alabama	-0.3069	Nebraska	-0.2822
Alaska	-0.3065	Nevada	-0.3061
Arizona	-0.4008	New Hampshire	-0.2781
Arkansas	-0.2844	New Jersey	-0.3346
California	-0.4473	New Mexico	-0.2900
Colorado	-0.3011	New York	-0.3442
Connecticut	-0.2915	North Carolina	-0.3226
Delaware	-0.3007	North Dakota	-0.2834
District of Columbia	-0.3109	Northern Mariana Islands	-0.1480
Florida	-0.3243	Ohio	-0.3056
Georgia	-0.3148	Oklahoma	-0.3179
Guam	-0.2607	Oregon	-0.3126
Hawaii	-0.2679	Pennsylvania	-0.3235
Idaho	-0.2558	Puerto Rico	-0.2613
Illinois	-0.3759	Rhode Island	-0.3018
Indiana	-0.3231	South Carolina	-0.3039
Iowa	-0.2790	South Dakota	-0.3050
Kansas	-0.2886	Tennessee	-0.2949
Kentucky	-0.2975	Texas	-0.3275
Louisiana	-0.2804	Utah	-0.3138
Maine	-0.3030	Vermont	-0.3050
Maryland	-0.3115	Virginia	-0.3091
Massachusetts	-0.3521	Virgin Islands	-0.2058
Michigan	-0.3160	Washington	-0.4197
Minnesota	-0.3001	West Virginia	-0.2490

Mississippi	-0.3135	Wisconsin	-0.3099
Missouri	-0.2970	Wyoming	-0.2232
