MODELLING OF COVID-19 OUTBREAK INDICATORS IN CHINA BETWEEN JANUARY AND APRIL

Senol Çelik[1] Handan Ankarali[2] Ozge Pasin[3]

[1] *Department of Biometric and Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Bingol University, Bingol,Turkey*

[2] *Department of Biostatistics and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey*

[3] *Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey*

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study is to explain the changes of outbreak indicators for coronavirus in China with nonlinear models and time series analysis. There are lots of methods for modelling. But we want to determine the best mathematical model and the best time series method among other models.

Methods: The data was obtained between January 22 and April 21, 2020 from China records. The number of total cases and the number of total deaths were used for the calculations. For modelling Weibull, Negative Exponential, Von Bertalanffy, Janoscheck, Lundqvist-Korf and Sloboda models were used and AR, MA, ARMA, Holt, Brown and Damped models were used for time series. The determination coefficient (R^2), Pseudo R^2 and mean square error were used for nonlinear modelling as criteria for determining the model that best describes the number of cases, the number of total deaths and BIC (Bayesian Information Criteria) was used for time series.

Results: According to our results, the Sloboda model among the growth curves and ARIMA (0,2,1) model among the times series models were most suitable models for modelling of the number of total cases. In addition Lundqvist-Korf model among the growth curves and Holt linear trend exponential smoothing model among the times series models were most suitable model for modelling of the number of total deaths. Our time series models forecast that the number of total cases will 83311 on 5 May and the number of total deaths will be 5273.

Conclusions: Because results of the modelling has providing information on measures to be taken and giving prior information for subsequent similar situations, it is of great importance modeling outbreak indicators for each country separately.

Keywords: Nonlinear model; ARIMA; Coronavirus; Exponential Smoothing; Cases

Introduction

Coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19) was first appeared in the Wuhan city, Hubei Province, in China. The virus was identified in the first half of January 2020 [1]. The epidemiological features of the disease are still unknown, and the number of total cases and the number of total deaths varies day by day. When the rapid spread and serious consequences of the disease were observed, precautions were taken and positive cases began to be recorded after the second half of January. Because the number of total cases and the number of total deaths are used for examining the course of the outbreak, modelling of these indicators are the important issue. The model results are valuable for determining the appropriate preventions. In the literature, it was observed that some models were used for short-term forecasts considering the previous data. It is possible to reduce the number of total cases and total deaths by taking necessary precautions. At this point, it is very important to make future estimates.

In this study, it was aimed to examine the course of outbreak in China with alternative statistical models by taking into the number of total cases and the number of total deaths for the day it became clear until April 20 and also we want to select the best model for defining with model selection criteria. For modelling Weibull, Negative Exponential, Von Bertalanffy, Janoscheck, Lundqvist-Korf and Sloboda models were used and AR, MA, ARMA, Holt, Brown and Damped models were used for time series.

Materials and Methods

Data

The data was obtained between January 22 and April 21, 2020 in China records. The number of total cases and the number of total deaths were used for the calculations. The reasons for choosing China for modeling are that, it is the first country to fight the outbreak, therefore it is possible to observe the natural course of the outbreak and it is the country that struggles for the longest time.

The number of total cases and the total deaths of coronavirus disease in the world were recorded daily by following the web address https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/.

Models for describing the course of the outbreak

For coronavirus outbreak, the change in the number of total cases and the number of total deaths over time was analyzed separately. In the analysis of time-total case and time-total deaths, six different nonlinear models were used including Weibull, Negative exponential, Von Bertalanffy, Janoscheck, Lundqvist-Korf and Sloboda models (Table 1) [2]. For time series analysis, Box-Jenkins and exponential smoothing methods were used (Table 2 and Table 3).

The models are examined in two subsections: first group models are Growth Curves and second group models are Time Series. The growth models descriptive equations are given in Table 1[3-8].

Table 1. Growth Curves

Model	Equation
Weibull model	$Y_t = A - be^{-kt^Y}, t \geq 0$
Negative exponential model	$Y_t = A(1 - e^{-kt}), t \geq 0$
Von Bertalanffy model	$Y_t = A(1 - be^{-kt})^3, t \geq 0$
Janoscheck model	$Y_t = A\left(1 - be^{-kt^c}\right), c > 1$
Lundqvist-Korf model	$Y_t = Ae^{-kt^{-d}}$
Sloboda model	$Y_t = Ae^{-be^{-kt^Y}}, t \geq 0$

In Table 1, Y_t is the observed dependent variable as the number of total cases and the number of total death and ***t*** is the independent variable. In our models, ***t*** is the day. The ***A*** term is the is the asymptotic limit of the number of total cases and the number of total deaths as time goes to infinity, ***B*** is the proportion of the number of total cases to the number of total deaths. It is the proportion of the number of total cases to the number of total deaths, obtained after the estimated case / deaths with the initial value of time (days), to the highest number of total cases / deaths. The ***k*** term is the proportion of the maximum increase rate to the highest number of cases or death. The ***γ, c, d*** are the changing points that occurs when the change in the estimated increase rate goes from increase to decrease.

Table 2: Box-Jenkins Models

Model	Equation
Autoregressive Model (AR(p))	$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$
Moving Averages Model (MA(q))	$X_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t - \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} - \cdots - \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$
Autoregressive Moving averages Model (ARMA(p,q))	$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t - \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} - \cdots - \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}$

AR (p) is the p. degree of autoregressive series [9]. MA (q) refers to the moving average model of order q. In this series, $\varepsilon_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ is the White noise series [10]. ARMA (p, q)) model is expressed by both AR (p) and MA (q) processes [11].

Table 3. Exponential Smoothing Models

Model	Equation
Holt Double Exponential Smoothing	$L_t = \alpha Y_t + (1 - \alpha)(L_{t-1} + T_{t-1})$ $T_t = \beta(L_t - L_{t-1}) + (1 - \beta)T_{t-1}$

Model	$\bar{y}_{t+p} = L_t + pT_t$
Damped Trend Model	$S_t = \alpha Y_t + (1-\alpha)(S_{t-1} + \varphi T_{t-1})$ $T_t = \gamma(S_t - S_{t-1}) + (1-\gamma)\varphi T_{t-1})$ $Y_t(m) = S_t + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varphi^i T_t$
Brown's Single Parameter Linear Exponential Smoothing Model	$y_t^1 = \alpha y_{t-1} + (1-\alpha) y_{t-1}^1$ $y_t^2 = \alpha y_t^1 + (1-\alpha) y_{t-1}^2$ $a_t = y_t^1 + (y_t^1 - y_t^2) = 2y_t^1 - y_t^2$ $b_t = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} + (y_t^1 - y_t^2)$ $\hat{y}_{t+m} = a_t + b_t m$

In Holt method, L_t is the new smoothed value, α is the smoothing coefficient, ($0<\alpha<1$), Y_t is the actual value at ***t***. period, β is the smoothing coefficient for trend estimation, ($0<\beta<1$), T_t is the trend predicted value, p is the number of forecasting periods and $\bar{y}_{t+p}$ is the forecasting value after p period [12]. In Damped trend method, if $0<\boldsymbol{\varphi}<1$, the trend is damped, if $\varphi = 1$, the equations become identical to the Holt's Linear Trend method. Tashman and Kruk (1996) defined that there may be value in allocating $\boldsymbol{\varphi} > 1$, if applied in series with a strong tendency, with exponential trend [13]. The Brown's Single Parameter Linear Exponential Smoothing Model is more suitable, if there is an increasing or decreasing trend in the time series. In this model, the initial equations y_t^1 and y_t^2 are obtained by single exponential smoothing and double exponential smoothing, respectively [14]. For the estimation of post m process, the equation is given in the below [15].

$$\hat{y}_{t+m} = a_t + b_t m$$

The exponential smoothing method is the method in which the estimates are constantly updated, taking into account the recent changes in the data [16]. In these methods, the weighted average of past period values is calculated and taken as the estimated value of future periods.

Estimation accuracy of the applied methods were evaluated with R^2, Pseudo R^2 and BIC. Bayesian information criterion (BIC) was developed by Gideon E. Schwarz (1978), who gave a Bayesian argument for adopting it [17].

$$BIC = ln(\hat{\sigma}_e^2) + kln(n)/n$$

Where $\hat{\sigma}_e^2$ is the error variance

Results

The data used in the study were the observations recorded between January 22 and April 21.

Growth models prediction results for the number of total cases

The parameters estimates that estimated from 91 daily number of cases with nonlinear mathematical models between 22 January-15 April 2020 in China were presented in Table 4. Coefficient of determination (R^2), Pseudo R^2 and Mean Square Error (MSE) statistics were used to compare models. When Table 4 was examined, it was seen that the R^2 and MSE values of Weibull, and Janoscheck models were equal. The MSE of Sloboda model was slightly smaller than two model but R^2 was equal. The Sloboda model can be considered the most suitable model, through smaller MSE value, larger Pseudo R^2 value. The Weibull and Janoscheck models can also be chosen as an alternative model.

Table 4. The parameter estimates and selection criteria results of growth models for the number of total cases

Model	A	b	k		MSE	R^2
Weibull	81256.3	79123.8	0.000299	γ=2.706	2609839.4	0.997
Negatif exponential	88954.9		0.042		72795574.9	0.903
Von Bertalanffy	82624.0	1.396	0.116		7835780.1	0.990
Janoschek	81256.3	0.974	0.000299	c=2.706	2609839.4	0.997
Lundqvist-Korf	83881.5		1814.408	d=-2.753	10816583.1	0.986
Sloboda	81377.2	3.513	0.014	$\gamma = 1.669$	2559449.5	0.997

The prediction curves of growth models are given in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Estimation curves of growth models for the number of total cases

The results of time series models for the number of total cases

Box-Jenkins methods and exponential smoothing methods were used among time series models for total number of cases. Autocorrelation (ACF) and partial autocorrelation (PACF) graphs of the series were examined. When the ACF and PACF graphs are examined in Figure 2, the first degree difference had been taken since the series were not stationary at the level. But the stationary assumption is not provided yet. The difference from the second degree was taken and the series became stationary. According to the ACF and PACF charts, the series quickly approached zero after the first delay in the ACF graph. In this case, since $p = 0$, $d = 2$ and $q = 1$, it was modeled by the integrated first degree moving averages method. In other words, the most suitable time series method was the ARIMA (0,2,1) model. In addition, exponential smoothing methods were used and the model performances were given in Table 5.

Table 5. Model compliance statistics for cases

Model	R^2	BIC	Ljung-Box
ARIMA(0,2,1)	0.997	14.562	3.470 and p=0.999
Holt	0.997	14.600	3.925 and p=0.999
Brown	0.997	14.585	7.508 and p=0.976
Damped	0.997	14.639	3.480 and p=0.999

Figure 2. ACF and PACF graphs for cases

The performance of the model was given in Table 6 and it was seen that model's estimations were successful like growth models.

Table 6. Agreement coefficients of ARIMA (0,2,1)

Model Fit statistics				Ljung-Box Q		
Stationary R-squared	R-squared	RMSE	Normalized BIC	Statistics	DF	p
0.266	0.997	1416.329	14.562	3.470	17	0.999

The parameter estimates of the ARIMA (0,2,1) model were given in Table 7.

Table 7. Model Parameters of ARIMA (0,2,1)

	Estimate	SE	t	p
Difference	2			
MA(1)	0.668	0.084	8.321	0.001

ARIMA (0,2,1) model was found appropriate among different time series models.

The ARIMA (0,2,1) model in this study can be written as in the below.

$$X_t = 2X_{t-1} - X_{t-2} - \theta e_{t-1} + e_t$$

$$X_t = 2X_{t-1} - X_{t-2} - 0.668 e_{t-1} + e_t$$

The forecasts from ARIMA (0,2,1) model for 15 day were given in Table 5.

Table 5. The predicted number of cases in China from April 22 to May 5

Date (April 16- May 1)	Days	Case forecasting
April 22	92	82798
April 23	93	82837
April 24	94	82877
April 25	95	82916
April 26	96	82955
April 27	97	82995
April 28	98	83035
April 29	99	83074
April 30	100	83114
May 1	101	83153
May 2	102	83193
May 3	103	83232
May 4	104	83272
May 5	105	83311

As seen in Table 5, the number of total cases continues increasingly, albeit at low speed. The number of total cases is forecasting to be 83311 on the 105th day of the outbreak, that is, on 5 May 2020.

Observed and predicted values of the total cases were shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The curve of ARIMA(0,2,1) for the number of total cases

The prediction and forecasting results of growth models for the number of total deaths

The parameters and the selection criteria of the growth models for total deaths were given in Table 8.

Table 8. The parameter estimates and selection criteria results of growth models for the number of total deaths

Model	A	b	k		MSE	R^2	Pseudo R^2
Weibull	3546.610	3631.067	0.002	γ=1.863	88568.282	0.949	Weibull
Negatif üstel	5030.733		0.017		146041.563	0.913	Negatif üstel
Von Bertalanffy	3628.783	1.163	0.068		80308.717	0.953	Von Bertalanffy
Janoschek	3546.613	1.024	0.002	c=1.863	88568.282	0.949	Janoschek
Lundqvist-Korf	4086.033		194.275	d=-1.686	75008.636	0.956	Lundqvist-Korf
Sloboda	3996.376	391039.638	8.721	γ=0.127	76161.284	0.956	Sloboda

When Table 8 was examined regarding the number of total deaths in China, the most suitable models were Lundqvist-Korf and Sloboda growth models, respectively. The R^2 values of these models were found highest as 0.956 and also MSE values were of these are lower than

the others. Lundqvist-Korf model can be considered as the most suitable model, since it had smaller MSE. The prediction curves of growth models were given in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Curves of growth models for the number of total deaths

The results of time series models for the number of total deaths

The most suitable time series model was found as Holt linear trend exponential smoothing model among time series models for the number of deaths. The compliance of the models were given in Table 9 and it was seen that the predictions were successful as growth models.

Table 9. Compliance statistics of the models

Model	R^2	BIC	Ljung-Box
ARIMA(1,2,0)	0.982	10.372	12.609 and p=0.762
Holt	0.989	9.969	1.086 and p=0.999
Brown	0.987	10.036	11.590 and p=0.824
Damped	0.989	10.031	1.042 and p=0.999

The parameter estimation of the Holt linear trend exponential smoothing model was presented in Table 10. The observed and predicted values were given in Figure 5.

Table 10. The parameters of Holt linear trend exponential smoothing model

	Estimate	SE	t	Sig.
Alpha (Level)	1.000	0.107	9.388	0.001
Gamma (Trend)	0.001	0.015	0.054	0.957

SE: Standard error

The forecasts of the number of total deaths for exponential smoothing model by using Holt linear trend exponential smoothing model for 15 day were given in Table 11. The rate of increase in the number of deaths in China was decreasing and it was predicted that it will be between 3343-3355 in the period between April 16 and May 1, with a slight increase (Table 11).

Table 11. The forecasting results for Brown exponential smoothing model

Date (April 16- May 1)	Days	Deaths forecasting
April 22	92	4678
April 23	93	4724
April 24	94	4769

April 25	95	4815
April 26	96	4861
April 27	97	4907
April 28	98	4953
April 29	99	4999
April 30	100	5044
May 1	101	5090
May 2	102	5136
May 3	103	5182
May 4	104	5228
May 5	105	5273

The Holt linear trend exponential smoothing curve for the exponential smoothing model was given in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Curve of Holt linear trend exponential smoothing model for the number of total deaths

Discussion

The best time series model was ARIMA (0,2,1) model for the number of cases. In a study, ARIMA model was used on the daily prevalence data of COVID-2019 from January 20, 2020 to February 10, 2020 and ARIMA (1,2,0) and ARIMA (1,0,4) models were obtained [18]. Logistic, Bertalanffy and Gompertz models were used to estimate the number of cases and deaths on COVID-19 disease in different regions in China before by Jia and et al. According to their study, the Logistics model was reported to be better than the others by the R^2 criterion conducted extensive research in quasi-experimental analysis method in various provinces in China and investigated the relationship between population and number of outbreak cases [19,20]. In their study, they found that the correlation coefficients of the relationship between the population and the number of cases differed among regions. They have observed that the number of cases was high in regions with high population and there was a high correlation between them. They stated that factors such as immigrants, tourism and mobility plays an important role in this. Also the authors determined the number of cases with the epidemic growth model Fan et al. [19].

Roosa et al. (2020) analyzed the number of cases in some regions in China with generalized logistic growth model (GLM), Richards Model and Sub-Epidemic Model in a short-term (10 days). They found that the number of cases will increase. They estimated that the case

increase (GLM) in the Guangdong and Zhejiang regions would be lower by using the Richards models and the rate would be higher by using the sub-epidemic model [21].

In a study on the risk of infection of COVID-19 detected in a passenger ship in China in February 2020, it was observed that the risk of infection in those with close contact was higher than those with no close contact. The estimated of number of cases was obtained by back calculation method [22]. Al-qaness et al. (2020) used Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS), Flower Pollination Algorithm (FPA), Salp Swarm Algorithm (SSA) and FPASSA-ANFIS methods to estimate the number of cases with COVID-19 disease in China and the USA. They calculated model performance with Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), Root Mean Squared Relative Error (RMSRE) and R^2. They found that the best method for modeling and estimating the number of total cases was the FPASSA-ANFIS method [23].

Kuniya (2020) estimated the outbreak peak of coronavirus disease in Japan with the SEIR compartmental model [24]. In another study, the number of reproduction of the Wuhan novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV was estimated with the susceptible-exposed-infected-removed (SEIR) compartment model [25].There are studies on coronavirus disease by different researchers using various statistical methods. Yuan et al. Used the median (interquartile range, IQR) and Mann Whitney U test or Wilcoxon test, Twu et al and Prem et al. Used SEIR model and Neher et al used SIR model [26-29]. In our study, we compared the time series analysis using Weibull, Negative Exponential, Von Bertalanffy, Janoscheck, Lundqvist-Korf and Sloboda models, which are different from the methods used in previous studies. According to the literature, there is no publication about nonlinear mathematical models used in our study on coronavirus outbreak before.

5.Conclusions

The parameter estimates of the Weibull, Janoschek, Sloboda and Lundqvist-Korf were close to each other in the analysis of nonlinear growth models regarding the number of cases and deaths in China. Their R^2 and MKE statistics were similar. The parameter estimation and compliance statistics of the Negative Exponential and von Bertalanffy models, which are among the nonlinear models, differed in both the number of cases and the number of deaths. When nonlinear models are examined, R^2 value, which is used as a criterion in comparing models, was obtained from the lowest Negative Exponential model both in the number of total cases and the number of total deaths. The lowest MSE was obtained from Sloboda model for the number of total cases and from Lundqvist-Korf model for the number of total deaths.

In terms of time series analysis, the number of total cases and the number of deaths are modeled differently. The number of total cases were modelled with ARIMA(0,2,1) that is a moving averages method, while the number of total deaths were modeled by the Holt linear trend model, which is a exponential smoothing method. According to the estimation results, we estimate that the number of total cases and deaths will be increase and this will be a big danger. For this result, all necessary precautions must be taken against the danger.

References

1. WHO Timeline - COVID-19. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/08-04-2020-who-timeline---covid-19 (accessed on 20 April 2020).
2. Panik, M. J. Growth Curve Modeling. Theory and Applications. 1st ed.; John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, Canada, **2014**; pp.437.
3. von Bertalanffy, L. Quantitative Laws in Metabolism and Growth. *Quarterly Review of Biology* **1957**, 32, 217–231.
4. Korf, V. A. Mathematical Definition of Stand Volume Growth Law. *Lesnicka Prace* **1939**,18, 337–339.
5. Lundqvist, B. On the Height Growth in Cultivated Stands of Pine and Spruce in Northern Sweden. *Meddelanden Fran Statens Skogsforsknings-institut* **1957**, 47, 1–64.
6. Sloboda, B. Investigation of Growth Processes Using First-Order Differential Equations. Mitteilungen der Baden-Württembergischen Foustlichen Versuchs und Forschungsanstalt. *Heft* **1971a**, 32.
7. Sloboda, B. Zur Darstellung von Washstumprozessen mit Hilfe von Differentialgleichungen evster Ordung. Mitteilungen der Baden-Württembergischen Foustlichen Versuchs und Forschungsanstalt. 1st ed.; Baden-Württemberg: Baden-Württembergische Forstliche Versuchsund Forschungsanstalt. **1971b;** pp.1.
8. Weibull, W. A. Statistical Distribution Function of Wide Applicability. *Journal of Applied Mechanics* **1951**,18, 291–297.
9. Wei, W. W. S. Time Series Analysis. 2nd ed.; Addison Wesley Publishing Company, New York, **2006**; pp. 156.
10. Montgomery, D. C.; Johnson, L. A.; Gardiner, J. S.Forecasting and Time Series Analysis, 1st ed.;McGraw-Hill, Inc., USA, **1990**; pp.249.
11. Cryer, J. D.Time Series Analysis, 1st ed.; PWS Publishers, USA, **1986**; pp.89.
12. Holt, C. C. Forecasting seasonals and trends by exponentially weighted moving averages. *International Journal of Forecasting* **2000**,20, 5-5.
13. Tashman, L.; Kruk, J. The use of protocols to select exponential smoothing procedures: a reconsideration of forecasting competitions. *International Journal of Forecasting* **1996**, 12,235-18.
14. Armutlu, I. H. İşletmelerde Uygulamalı İstatistik Sayısal Yöntemler-1. 2nd ed.;Alfa Yayınları, 2. Baskı, İstanbul, Turkey, **2008**; pp.1
15. Orhunbilge, N. Zaman Serileri Analizi Tahmin ve Fiyat Endeksleri, 1st ed.; Avcıol BasımYayın, İstanbul, Turkey, **1999**; pp.1
16. Kadılar, C. SPSS Uygulamalı Zaman Serileri Analizine Giriş,1st ed.; Bizim Büro Basımevi, Ankara, Turkey, **2009**; pp.1
17. Schwarz, G. E. Estimating the dimension of a Model. *Annals of Statistics* **1978**, 6, 461-464.
18. Benvenuto, D.; Giovanetti, M.; Vassallo, L.; Angeletti, S.; Ciccozzi, M. Application of the ARIMA model on the COVID-2019 epidemic dataset. *Data in brief* **2020**, 29, 105340.
19. Fan, C.; Liu, L.; Guo, W.;Yang, A.; Ye, C.; Jilili, M.; Ren, M.; Xu, P.; Long, H.; Wang, Y. Prediction of Epidemic Spread of the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Driven by Spring Festival Transportation in China: A Population-Based Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **2020**, 17, 1679.

20. Jia, L.; Li, K.; Jiang, Y.; Guo, X.; Zhao, T. Prediction and analysis of Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Quantitative Biology **2020***, arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.05447, 2020.
21. Roosa, K.; Lee, Y.; Luo, R.; Kirpich, A.; Rothenberg, R.; Hyman, J. M.; Yan, P.; Chowell, G. Short-term Forecasts of the COVID-19 Epidemic in Guangdong and Zhejiang, China: February 13–23, 2020. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* **2020**,9, 596.
22. Nishiura, H. Backcalculating the Incidence of Infection with COVID-19 on the Diamond Princess. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* **2020**, 9, 657.
23. Al-qaness, M. A. A.; Ewees, A. A.; Fan, H.; Abd El Aziz, M. Optimization Method for Forecasting Confirmed Cases of COVID-19 in China. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* **2020**, 9, 674.
24. Kuniya, T. Prediction of the Epidemic Peak of Coronavirus Disease in Japan, 2020. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, **2020,** 9, 789.
25. Zhou, T.; Liu, Q.; Yang, Z.; Liao, J.; Yang, K.; Bai, W.; Lu, X., Zhang, W. Preliminary prediction of the basic reproduction number of the Wuhan novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV. *J Evid. Based. Med*. **2020**, 13,3–7.
26. Yuan, M.; Yin, W.; Tao, Z.; Tan, W., Hu, Y. Association of radiologic findings with mortality of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Plos One* **2020**,15: e0230548. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230548.
27. TWu, J.; Leung, K.; Leung, G. M. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet* **2020**,395, 689.
28. Prem, K.; Liu, Y.; Russell, T. W.; Kucharski, A. J.; Eggo, R. M.; Davies, N. The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Health* **2020**, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30073-6.
29. Neher, R. A.; Dyrdak, R.; Druelle V.; Hodcroft, E. B.; Albert, J. Potential impact of seasonal forcing on a SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Swiss Medical Weekly* **2020**,150, w20224.


Observed
Weibull
Negative exponential
Von Bertalanffy
Janoscheck
Lundqvist-Korf
Sloboda
THE NUMBER OF TOTAL CASES
100000
90000
80000
70000
60000
50000
40000
30000
20000
10000
0
1 4 7 10 13 16 19 22 25 28 31 34 37 40 43 46 49 52 55 58 61 64 67 70 73 76 79 82 85 88 91
DAYS



Level



Level



First difference



First difference



Second difference



Second difference


Observed
Fit
Forecast
vaka-Model_1
Case Number
100000,00
80000,00
60000,00
40000,00
20000,00
,00
1
11
21
31
41
51
61
71
81
91
101
Days


5000
4000
3000
2000
1000
0
-1000
Death number
1 4 7 10 13 16 19 22 25 28 31 34 37 40 43 46 49 52 55 58 61 64 67 70 73 76 79 82 85 88 91
Days
Observed
Weibull
Negative exponential
Von Bertalanffy
Janoscheck
Lundqvist-Korf
Sloboda


Observed
Fit
Forecast
ölüm-Model_1
Death Number
6000,00
5000,00
4000,00
3000,00
2000,00
1000,00
,00
1
11
21
31
41
51
61
71
81
91
101
Days