Pooling of Nasopharyngeal Swab Specimens for SARS-CoV-2 detection by RT-

PCR

Ignacio Torres, Eliseo Albert, David Navarro

Microbiology Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Institute for Research INCLIVA, Valencia, Spain.

Correspondence: David Navarro, Microbiology Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Instituto de Investigación INCLIVA, Valencia, and Department of Microbiology, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain. Av. Blasco Ibáñez 17, 46010 Valencia, Spain. Phone: 34(96)1973500; Fax: 34(96)3864173; E-mail: david.navarro@uv.es.

ABSTRACT

Systematic testing of large population groups by RT-PCR is mandatory to Covid-19 case identification and contact tracing in order to minimize the likelyhood of resurgence in contagion. Sample pooling for RT-PCR has been effectively used to detect community transmission of SARS CoV-2. Nevertheless, this procedure may decrease the sensitivity of RT-PCR assays due to specimen dilution. We evaluated the efficacy of this strategy for diagnosis of Covid-19 using a sensitive commercially-available RT-PCR targeting SARS CoV-2 E and RdRp genes in a single reaction. A total of 20 minipools containing either 5 (n=10) or 10 (n=10) nasopharyngeal exudates collected in universal transport medium were made, each of which including a unique positive NP specimen. Positive specimens yielding C_T <32 for the E gene (6 out of 10) or <35.2 for the RdRP gene (7 out of 10) were detected in mini-pools of both sizes. In contrast, most NP samples displaying C_{Ts} > 35.8 for the E gene or 35.7 for the RdRP gene remained undetected in mini-pools of 5 specimens (3/4 and 2/3, respectively) or in mini-pools of 10 samples (4/4 and 3/3, respectively).

Until an effective vaccine is available, interruption of community circulation of SARS CoV-2 is crucial to control virus spread. To this end, systematic testing of large population groups by RT-PCR is mandatory to case identification and contact tracing thereby minimizing the likelyhood of resurgence in contagion. This approach faces a variety of obstacles, most notably the limited availability of reagents resources. Sample pooling for RT-PCR has been effectively used for screening of blood donors for Human immunodeficiency virus-1 and Hepatitis C virus in low-prevalence setttings.² This strategy has also been applied to detect community transmission of SARS CoV-2 in the United States early in the pandemic, when virus circulation was low.³ Nevertheless, sample pooling may decrease the sensitivity of RT-PCR assays due to specimen dilution. Here, the efficacy of this strategy for diagnosis of Covid-19 was evaluated using a sensitive commercially-available RT-PCR (REALQUALITY RQ-2019-nCoV from AB ANALITICA; Padua, Italy, performed on the Applied Biosystems 7500 instrument), and low-size mini-pools. This RT-PCR assay targets the E (envelope) and RdRp (RNA dependent RNA polymerase) genes of SARS Cov-2 in a single reaction, with LODs of 125 and 150 copies/ml, respectively (according to the manufacturer). The current study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital Clínico Universitario INCLIVA.

Nasopharyngeal specimens (NP) collected with flocked swabs in 3 ml of universal transport medium (Beckton Dickinson, Sparks, MD,USA), which had been stored at -80°C, were retrieved for analysis. A total of 30 leftover specimens testing negative for SARS CoV-2 were mixed and used for pooling. In turn, 10 RT-PCR positive NP specimens yielding cycle threshold values (C_T) ranging from 23.4 to 38.8 for the E gene, and 21.8 to 35.8 for the RdRP gene were selected for the experiments. A total of

20 mini-pools containing either 5 (n=10) or 10 (n=10) samples were made, each of which including a unique positive NP specimen (Table 1). These latter specimens had been collected from adult patients (median age, 61.5 years; range, 30-85) presenting with mild disease and not requiring hospitalization, at a median of 5 days (range, 1-7 days) following appearance of symptoms. Pertinent to this study is the fact that SARS CoV-2 load in upper respiratory tract specimens from symptomatic patients peaks within the first week after infection. ^{4,5}

As shown in Table 1, positive NP specimens were detected in mini-pools of both sizes, as long undiluted samples yielded RT-PCR C_{Ts} <32 for the E gene (6 out of 10) or <35.2 for the RdRp gene (7 out of 10). As expected C_{Ts} were reached later in pooled samples. In contrast, most NP samples displaying RT-PCR C_{Ts} > 35.8 for the E gene or 35.7 for the RdRP gene remained undetected in mini-pools of 5 specimens (3/4 and 2/3, respectively) or in mini-pools of 10 samples (4/4 and 3/3, respectively).

Comprehensive testing policies in the community are primarily aimed at identifying SARS CoV-2-infected asymptomathic or paucisymptomatic individuals, who are known to represent a major source of transmission. Pooling strategies of RT-PCR testing may be advantageous when compared to assaying individuals samples separately if the proportion of positive specimens in the set of samples is low enough (~1%)⁶. Previously reported data suggested that a single positive specimen could be detected in pools of up to 32 samples⁵, with a false negative rate of around 10%. In this work, pure RNA instead of original specimens were pooled for RT-PCR reactions. Likewise, identification of cases was achieved in pools of 48 samples including 1-5 RT-PCR positive samples. Our experience was less satisfactory, yet, positive specimens that went undetected when tested in pools presumably had very low viral loads, as inferred by RT-PCR CT values, most likely <6 log₁₀ RNA copies/swab, which was shown to

represent the viral RNA load threshold for virus infectivity⁹; thus, missing such type of

positive results may have little consequences, if any, in terms of public health. It should

mentioned that the efficiency of our approach may have been improved had fresh

specimens been used for analyses, since degradation of RNA in samples during storage

or thawing could have occurred. In summary, our data indicated that pooling NP

specimens for RT-PCR testing may result in false negative results in patients presenting

with mild Covid-19. The procedure evaluated herein can be improved by collecting

samples in a smaller volumen of transport medium or increasing the number of RT-PCR

cycles. Given the important benefit in terms of reagent savings inherent to this strategy,

further studies are warranted to appraise its applicability in routine community surveys.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

No public or private funds were used for the current study. We are grateful to all

personnel who work at Microbiology Service of Clinic University Hospital for their

unconditional compromise in the fight against Covid-19.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IT and EA performed the experiments and collected the data. DN analyzed the data and

wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the

manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein E, Grad YH, Lipsitch M. Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science*. 2020 Apr 14. pii: eabb5793. doi: 10.1126/science.abb5793.
- 2. Hourfar MK, Jork C, Schottstedt V, et al. Experience of German Red Cross blood donor services with nucleic acid testing: results of screening more than 30 million blood donations for human immunodeficiency virus-1, hepatitis C virus, and hepatitis B virus. *Transfusion*. 2008;48:1558-1566.
- 3. Hogan CA, Sahoo MK, Pinsky BA. Sample Pooling as a Strategy to Detect Community Transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA*. 2020; Apr 6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.5445
- 4. Liu Y, Yan LM, Wan L, et al. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; Mar 19. pii: S1473-3099(20)30232-2.
- 5. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; Mar 19;382(12):1177-1179.
- 6. Aktuelles aus der Goethe-Uni. Pool Pool testing of SARS-CoV-02 samples increases worldwide test capacities many times over. https://aktuelles.uni-frankfurt.de/englisch/pool-testing-of-sars-cov-02-samples.
- 7. Yelin I, Aharony N, Shaer-Tamar E, et al. Evaluation of COVID-19 RT-qPCR test in multi-sample pools. *medRxiv preprint*. 2020; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.26.20039438.
- 8. Shenta N, Levy S, Wuvshet V, et al. Efficient high throughput SARS-CoV-2 testing to detect asymptomatic carrier. *medRxiv preprint*. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20064618.

9. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, Seilmaier M, Zange S, Müller MA, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature*. 2020 Apr 1. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2196.

TABLE 1. Detection of SARS CoV-19 RNA by RT-PCR in pooled nasopharyngeal specimens from patients with COVID-19					
E (Envelope) gene			RpRd (RNA polymerase, RNA-		
			dependent) gene		
Non-	Positive NP	Positive NP	Non-	Positive	Positive
pooled	specimen	specimen	pooled	specimen	NPspecimen
(C_T)	pooled with 4	pooled with	(C_T)	pooled with 4	pooled with 9
	negative NP	9 negative		negative NP	negative NP
	specimens (C _T)	NP		specimens	specimens
		specimens		(C_T)	(C_T)
		(C_T)			
23.4	25.5	26.2	21.8	24.1	27.1
23.9	26.0	27.3	23.6	27.6	26.2
27.2	27.8	28.2	26.5	27.2	28.3
27.3	27.5	28.6	26.3	28.6	29.7
31.6	35.4	35.3	30.7	36.8	35.2
31.9	35.5	40.0	34.5	38.0	40.0
35.9	ND	ND	35.1	37.4	44.7
35.9	ND	ND	35.8	ND	ND
38.2	ND	ND	36.4	ND	ND
38.8	44.0	ND	35.2	43.4	ND

A volumen of 45 and 22.5 μ L/specimen was used for preparing (manually) minipools of 5 and 10 samples, respectively, to achieve a final volumen of 225 μ L, which was then mixed (1:1) with lysis buffer. RNA extraction was performed using the DSP virus Pathogen Minikit on the QiaSymphony Robot instrument (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). RT-PCR was performed and interpreted according to the manufacturer instructions.

C_T, cycle threshold; NP, nasopharyngeal exudate.