

Questionnaire assessment helps the self-management of patients with inflammatory bowel disease during the outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019

Authors:

Meiping Yu¹, Zhenghao Ye¹, Yu Chen¹, Tingting Qin², Jiguang Kou³, De'an Tian¹, Fang Xiao^{1*}

Departments:

1. Department of Gastroenterology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China;
2. Department of Biliary - Pancreatic Surgery, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China;
3. Department of Gastroenterology, Xiaogan Hospital Affiliated to Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

Authors' contact details:

Meiping Yu, Email: 904313468@qq.com

Zhenghao Ye, Email: xczgyzh@163.com

Yu Chen, Email: magicalmirrors@163.com

Tingting Qin, Email: qintingting77@163.com

Jiguang Kou, Email: kjg700917@aliyun.com

De'an Tian, Email: datian@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

Fang Xiao, Email: xiaofang@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

Short title

Questionnaire helps IBD self-management during COVID-19

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abbreviations:

COVID-19: Coronavirus Disease 2019

IBD: inflammatory bowel disease

HBI: Harvey-Bradshaw Index

PMS: Partial Mayo Score

SIBDQ: short inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire

SARS-COV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

UC: ulcerative colitis

CD: Crohn's disease

HRQoL: health-related quality of life

OR: odds ratio

IQR: interquartile range

Corresponding Author: Fang Xiao, M.D., Ph.D. Department of Gastroenterology, Tongji

Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No. 1095

Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430030, P.R. China. Tel: +8615927368783, Email:

xiaofang@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

Abstract

Background and Aims: The outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) may affect the disease status of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). This study aimed to assess the disease status of IBD patients in Hubei province by questionnaire online and guide to the self-management of IBD patients during this epidemic.

Methods: A questionnaire was designed containing the Harvey-Bradshaw Index (HBI), the Partial Mayo Score (PMS), the short inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire (SIBDQ) and distributed to Hubei IBD patients online within one month of traffic control after the outbreak of COVID-19. This questionnaire also included some questions about patients' self-report disease conditions and their epidemiological history of COVID-19.

Results: A total of 102 eligible questionnaires were included in the analysis. No patient reported infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in our study. Our result showed that 69.64% of patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and 80.44% of patients with Crohn's disease (CD) were in remission. There was not a statistically significant difference in the proportion of the active disease stage between the two types of disease ($p=0.103$). The majority of patients (85.29%) had a good health-related quality of life (HRQoL) ($SIBDQ \geq 50$). The reduction in physical exercise is a risk factor for worsening in conditions ($OR=17.593$, 95%CI 2.035 to 152.097, $p=0.009$).

Conclusions: The outbreak of COVID-19 might not have a significant impact on most Hubei IBD patients within one month after the traffic control. The patient's disease condition could be assessed by our questionnaires. Doctors utilized the information and advised for IBD patients about self-management during the period of COVID-19.

Key words: questionnaire, inflammatory bowel disease, Coronavirus Disease 2019

1. Introduction

In December 2019, an outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the SARS-COV-2 emerged in Wuhan, the capital of Hubei Province, China, and spread rapidly

worldwide^{1,2}. On January 23, 2020, the Chinese government implemented traffic controls to prevent the spread of COVID-19³. Up to 20 February 2020 approximately a month after traffic control when we distributed this questionnaire to IBD patients, there had been 75,465 cases of COVID-19 confirmed in mainland China, including 62,662 cases in Hubei Province. The number of confirmed diagnoses in Hubei Province is 83.03% of that in China, accounting for the vast majority. At the same time, medical resources had also shifted more towards the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 in Hubei province. These factors made routine medical treatment and follow-up of patients with chronic diseases inconvenient. Under these influences, it is essential for patients with chronic diseases to self manage under the guidance of a doctor in this particular period. Self-management is the process by which patients participate in decision-making and self-care under the guidance of a doctor⁴. Patient's effective self-management can relieve symptoms and control disease activity to a certain extent⁵.

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a type of chronic idiopathic bowel disease, includes Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). IBD patients have varying degrees of immune disorders⁶ so that they may be considered as virus-susceptible. It is particularly crucial for IBD patients to know how to self manage during the epidemic. Self-management requires monitoring of diseases by doctors first⁷. Our questionnaire can assess patients' disease activity and quality of life online, which is an effective and convenient way to guide patients to promote better self-management.

The questionnaire was a validated 60-item questionnaire including scoring systems for PMS, HBI and SIBDQ. These indexes were designed to gain detailed knowledge of disease activity and the quality of life of these IBD patients. There were questions to understand subjective feelings of

disease conditions. This questionnaire also included questions about COVID-19 epidemiological history of these IBD patients. After evaluating the patient's disease activity and HRQoL by those indexes, we gave feedback to these IBD patients and guided patients to develop targeted self-management programs. This approach might facilitate the development and modification of interventions to optimize patient self-management during the COVID-19 epidemic⁸.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This study was an online questionnaire survey among IBD patients from the region of Hubei province. From February 18, 2020 to February 20, 2020, the questionnaire was administered to a sample of IBD patients with regular follow-up in our IBD center. There were two items of the inclusion criteria for this study. One was that the patient (aging from 14 to 80) was established diagnosis of IBD for at least three months, another was that the patient is available to finish the online questionnaire (by Wechat, QQ, website, email) by himself or with the help of others. The exclusion criterion was that the patient is not able to finish the questionnaire. During this study, patients with IBD had been informed of the study's aim from their GE. An IBD specialist nurse is explicitly trained in this questionnaire contacted them to explain the study objectives. Participants completed the questionnaire with an online survey portal. They completed questionnaires voluntarily and independently, under uncompensated conditions.

2.2. Questionnaire design

The questionnaire was a validated 60-item questionnaire that assesses IBD disease activity across multiple domains, including the HBI, PMS and SIBDQ. HBI score ranges from 0 to 16 or more, and the highest score depends on the number of liquid stools per day. HBI scores of 0 and 4 are assigned to the remission phase; 5 to 8 are assigned to moderately active disease phase; ≥ 9 are assigned to severely active disease phase⁹. We used PMS (excludes the endoscopic appearance of the mucosa), a 9-point score composed of the stool frequency, bleeding components and physician rating of disease activity. PMS of 0 and 2 are assigned to the remission phase; 3 to 6 are assigned to moderately active disease phase; 7 to 9 are assigned to severely active disease phase¹⁰. Total SIBDQ score ranges from 10 to 70, to find out how the patients have been feeling during the last two weeks. They will be asked about symptoms related to IBD diagnosis, as well as the general emotion status during the period and their attitude to the plague. Patients with a SIBDQ score of more than 50 are considered to have a good HRQoL¹¹.

In addition to the question about HBI, PMS and SIBDQ, the questionnaire included questions regarding the subjective feeling about their change in disease conditions and epidemiological history questions about COVID-19.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Data collection and its statistical analysis were carried out using the SPSS software system (SPSS for Windows, Version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago). Data analysis excluded incomplete items. Categorical data obtained were presented as frequency counts and percentages. Median, range and frequency were used to describe the demographic, SIBDQ scores and clinical characteristics. Chi-square tests were used to test the significant difference of categorical variables between two

groups, such as the participants' distribution in different disease active phase between UC and CD patients, with fisher exact test as appropriate. The logistic regression analysis was used to identify variables significantly associated with disease activity, and a multivariate model was built to assess the effect of each potential confounding factor and determined independent and significant factors associated with the disease index. The odds ratio (OR) was calculated to quantify the corresponding risk. For all analyses, $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

2.4. Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the National Health Commission of China and Ethics Commission of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

3. Results

3.1. Presenting Characteristics

A total of 111 electronic questionnaires were returned. There were 102 valid questionnaires, with an effective rate of 91.89%. The nine questionnaires excluded were due to some missing items. The median age of participants was 34 years (IQR, 27.25-42.25; range, 14-66), and 66.67% of participants were men. There were 56 (54.90%) patients with ulcerative colitis and 46 (45.10%) patients with Crohn's disease. Among all the participants in our survey, no one reported infection with SARS-CoV-2; no one had symptoms related to COVID-19 or had a history of exposure. Table 1 shows the demographic data and disease-related variables for all participants who agreed and completed the survey.

3.2. Disease activity

We used PMS and HBI to score and grade disease activity in UC and CD patients, respectively. The results were shown in Table 2. The median PMS of UC patients was 2 (IQR, 0-3; range, 0-8). Of the 56 UC patients, 39 (69.64%) UC patients were in remission, 16 (28.57%) patients had moderate activity, and 1 (1.79%) patients had severe activity. The median HBI of CD patients was 2 (IQR, 1-4; range, 0-12). Of the 46 CD patients, 37 (80.44%) CD patients were in remission, 6 (13.04%) patients had moderate activity, and 3 (6.52%) patients had severe activity. There was not a statistically significant difference in the proportion of the disease activity stage between UC and CD patients ($p = 0.103$).

3.3. Quality of life

The median SIBDQ of all participants was 59 (IQR, 52.25-63; range, 34-70). The median SIBDQ of UC patients was 60 (IQR, 54.75-64; range, 35-70), and the median SIBDQ of CD patients was 58 (IQR, 52-62.75; range, 34-69). Among all participants, 87 (85.29%) patients had good HRQoL (SIBDQ ≥ 50). There were 49 (87.50%) UC patients who had good HRQoL, compared with 38 (82.61%) CD patients who had good HRQoL ($p=0.338$) (table 3), suggesting HRQoL is not significantly different between UC and CD patients.

3.4. Self-report disease conditions

In this questionnaire, we set up questions that allow patients to self-report changes in their disease condition. Approximately half of the patients ($n = 55$, 53.92%) thought that their disease

condition did not change during the epidemic, 25 (24.51%) considered their disease condition improved, and 22 (21.57%) considered their disease condition worsening. We attempted to study the cause of change in patients' self-report disease conditions. The result showed that reduced physical exercise is a risk factor for worse in the disease condition (OR=17.593, 95%CI 2.035 to 152.097, p=0.009). The other factors did not have a significant risk for changes in the patient's disease condition. These data are shown in Table 4.

3.5. The change in medication regimens

We studied participants' medication regimens before and after the outbreak of COVID-19. The results are shown in Table 5. Twenty patients who changed their medication regimens, with 10 UC patients and 10 CD patients. Then we investigated the reasons for the change in patient's medication regimens during this outbreak situation. The largest proportion of the reason was the inability to purchase medications (n = 18, 90.00%), and the second reason was the inability to go to the hospital for routine treatment (n = 2, 10.00%). No one chose the options of "forgetting to take medicine" and "reducing medicine on your own", which suggest that medication compliance of these IBD patients we surveyed was excellent during the epidemic.

3.6. Emotional state

Negative emotions are significantly correlated with clinical recurrence and are also considered to be independent risk factors for more frequent activities of disease^{12,13}. Therefore, we also investigated the emotional states of IBD patients in this survey. More than half of the

participants (57.85%) thought they had the ordinary moods during this epidemic, 35.29% had positive moods, and 6.86% had negative moods.

4. Discussion

COVID-19 broke out in December 2019 in China. Hubei Province is one of the worst-hit areas. COVID-19 was caused by SARS-CoV-2 which was generally susceptible to population¹⁴. The elderly and patients with underlying diseases were more susceptible to infection and prone to serious consequences¹⁵. Our results showed that there was no IBD patient reported being diagnosed with COVID-19 in this survey. But this did not mean that IBD patients were not susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 since the samples of this study were not obtained by random sampling and the sample size was relatively small. Until now, there is no evidence to prove the susceptibility of IBD patients to COVID-19 from other study¹⁶. The epidemic led to a contraction in routine medical resources, and IBD patients had difficulty maintaining previous disease management. Our research focuses on guiding patients' self-management through the results of questionnaires.

Since the start of traffic control in China in late January, the lifestyle, psychological and physical condition of the Chinese population might change¹⁷⁻¹⁹, especially for residents in Hubei province. This situation made it more difficult for IBD patients in Hubei province to have face-to-face medical interventions. We used web questionnaires to evaluate the disease activity, quality of life, and the patient's self-report disease condition of IBD patients. Then we provided feedback on the patient's condition and advised on their self-management. This manner helped IBD patients to adjust their treatment plans and develop a home-based self-management medical

intervention model. It has been proven that active doctor-patient communication can improve patient confidence with treatment, shared decision-making capacity and then has a good impact on disease activity^{4,20}. We will continue to distribute questionnaires to this group of IBD patients in Hubei province every month until the policy of traffic control removed. Then we will compare the patient's objective evaluation results with the subjective report results. The final goal is to guide patient home-based self-management during the outbreak of COVID-19.

Our results showed that 69.64% of UC patients and 80.44% of CD patients were in remission assessed by PMS and HBI index. 85.29% of patients had a good quality of life during the epidemic through the SIBDQ test. Among the patients' self-reported results, 78.43% of the patients thought that their disease conditions had not changed or even improved. The disease activity and quality of life of most patients were still in an ideal state, and their self-reported disease conditions did not worsen. It showed that the epidemic had not a significant negative impact on the majority of IBD patients within one month of traffic control.

We studied the influencing factors of the self-report disease condition of IBD patients during this epidemic. The factors included “emotional state,” “change of medication regimen,” “daily rest,” “subsequent visit,” “reduction of exercise” and “smoking.” The results showed that reduced exercise is a risk factor for worsening disease (OR=17.593, p=0.009). The other factors that could affect the disease conditions of IBD patients in previous studies²¹⁻²⁶, but have not shown a statistical correlation in our survey with a relatively small sample size. Therefore, we still recommend that IBD patients maintain a positive emotional state, maintain the medication regimen, have adequate rest, make timely doctor-patient communication, take proper-intensity exercise and quit smoking during the self-management process.

Pharmacological intervention is a key part of managing symptoms and maintaining remission in patients with IBD²⁷. It had become inconvenient for patients to return to the hospital or take appropriate medications under this special circumstance. Our results showed that the number of people who took no medication increased among UC and CD patients after the outbreak of COVID-19. For UC patients, the number of patients taking mesalazine decreased due to the inconvenience of obtaining medications. For CD patients, the use of Remicade and methotrexate decreased, and the use of adalimumab increased. The decrease in medications might be due to the inconvenience of obtaining medications. We recommend using other available medicines instead of inaccessible Remicade. We tried to develop personalized treatment plans for specific patients on time in this particular period. Such a measure could minimize the impact of changes in medication regimens on the patient's disease condition. It was an important step to increase patient self-management.

The result showed that 93.14% of patients had normal or even positive emotional states; only 6.86% of patients had negative emotional states in our survey. The result showed that the epidemic did not have a very negative impact on the mood of these IBD patients in such a relatively early month. However, previous studies have shown that emotional stress is significantly associated with decreased quality of life, interventions to address psychological issues may be part of IBD management^{28,29}. Therefore, we should take intervention in those patients with negative moods to prevent the emotional states from adversely affecting their disease conditions.

5. Conclusion

In the middle of February, more than half of the Hubei IBD patients in our study were in remission. The majority of participants had a good HRQoL, and their self-reported disease condition did not worsen. This result indicated that the outbreak of COVID-19 might not have a significant impact on most IBD patients within one month after the traffic control. We will continue to pay attention to the long-term effect of the epidemic on disease activity and HRQoL in IBD patients in the future follow-up. In our survey, doctors used questionnaires to assess patients' disease, give timely feedback and suggestions to IBD patients. This method facilitated the effective self-management of patients under the circumstance of the COVID-19 outbreak.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation of China (81470807 to FX, 81873556 to FX) and Wu Jieping Medical Foundation (320.6750.17397 to FX).

Acknowledgments

We thank all those who gave their time and efforts to participants in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

Meiping Yu designed the questionnaire; Meiping Yu, Zhenghao Ye, Yu Chen analysed data and wrote the manuscript; Tingting Qin guided the statistical method; Jiguang Kou contributed to the

collection of samples; De'an Tian and Fang Xiao supervised the study; Fang Xiao designed the study concept and critically revised the manuscript; all authors reviewed and accepted the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):470-473.
2. The Lancet. Emerging understandings of 2019-nCoV. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10221):311.
3. Chen S, Yang J, Yang W, Wang C, Bärnighausen T. COVID-19 control in China during mass population movements at New Year. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10226):764–766.
4. Kennedy A, Gask L, Rogers A. Training professionals to engage with and promote self-management. *Health Educ Res*. 2005;20(5):567–578.
5. Osman L. Guided self-management and patient education in asthma. *Br J Nurs*. 1996;5(13):785–789.
6. Bouma G, Strober W. The immunological and genetic basis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2003;3(7):521–533.
7. Robinson A, Thompson DG, Wilkin D, Roberts C; Northwest Gastrointestinal Research Group. Guided self-management and patient-directed follow-up of ulcerative colitis: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2001;358(9286):976–981.
8. Holman H, Lorig K. Patients as partners in managing chronic disease. Partnership is a prerequisite for effective and efficient health care. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7234):526–527.
9. Echarri A, Vera I, Ollero V, Arajol C, Riestra S, Robledo P, Calvo M, Gallego F,

- Ceballos D, Castro B, Aguas M, García-López S, Marín-Jiménez I, Chaparro M, Mesonero P, Guerra I, Guardiola J, Nos P, Muñiz J. The Harvey-Bradshaw Index Adapted to a Mobile Application Compared with In-Clinic Assessment: The MediCrohn Study. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020;26(1):80-88.
10. Kim DJ, Jeoun YM, Lee DW, Koo JS, Lee SW. Usefulness of fecal immunochemical test and fecal calprotectin for detection of active ulcerative colitis. *Intest Res*. 2018;16(4):563–570.
11. Jowett SL, Seal CJ, Barton JR, Welfare MR. The short inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire is reliable and responsive to clinically important change in ulcerative colitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2001;96(10):2921–2928.
12. Keefer L, Kiebles JL, Taft TH. The role of self-efficacy in inflammatory bowel disease management: preliminary validation of a disease-specific measure. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2011;17(2):614–620.
13. Mikocka-Walus A, Knowles SR, Keefer L, Graff L. Controversies Revisited: A Systematic Review of the Comorbidity of Depression and Anxiety with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2016;22(3):752 - 762.
14. Tian S, Hu N, Lou J, Chen K, Kang X, Xiang Z, Chen H, Wang D, Liu N, Liu D, Chen G, Zhang Y, Li D, Li J, Lian H, Niu S, Zhang L, Zhang J. Characteristics of COVID-19 infection in Beijing. *J Infect*. 2020:S0163-4453(20)30101-8.
15. Guo YR, Cao QD, Hong ZS, Tan YY, Chen SD, Jin HJ, Tan KS, Wang DY, Yan Y. The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak - an update on the status. *Mil Med Res*. 2020;7(1):11.

16. Mao R, Liang J, Shen J, Ghosh S, Zhu LR, Yang H, Wu KC, Chen MH; Chinese Society of IBD, Chinese Elite IBD Union; Chinese IBD Quality Care Evaluation Center Committee. Implications of COVID-19 for patients with pre-existing digestive diseases. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020:S2468-1253(20)30076-5.
17. Shigemura J, Ursano RJ, Morganstein JC, Kurosawa M, Benedek DM. Public responses to the novel 2019 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Japan: Mental health consequences and target populations [published online ahead of print, 2020 Feb 8]. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2020;10.1111/pcn.12988. doi:10.1111/pcn.12988
18. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(5):E1729. Published 2020 Mar 6. doi:10.3390/ijerph17051729
19. Allam Z, Jones DS. On the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak and the Smart City Network: Universal Data Sharing Standards Coupled with Artificial Intelligence (AI) to Benefit Urban Health Monitoring and Management. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2020;8(1):E46.
20. Barlow C, Cooke D, Mulligan K, Beck E, Newman S. A critical review of self-management and educational interventions in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterol Nurs*. 2010;33(1):11–18.
21. Boye B, Lundin KE, Jantschek G, Leganger S, Mogleby K, Tangen T, Jantschek I, Pripp AH, Wojniusz S, Dahlstroem A, Rivenes AC, Benninghoven D, Hausken T, Roseth A, Kunzendorf S, Wilhelmsen I, Sharpe M, Blomhoff S, Malt UF, Jahnsen J. INSPIRE study: does stress management improve the course of inflammatory bowel disease and

disease-specific quality of life in distressed patients with ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease?

A randomized controlled trial. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2011;17(9):1863–1873.

22. Ranjbaran Z, Keefer L, Stepanski E, Farhadi A, Keshavarzian A. The relevance of sleep abnormalities to chronic inflammatory conditions. *Inflamm Res.* 2007;56(2):51–57.

23. Ng V, Millard W, Lebrun C, Howard J. Low-intensity exercise improves quality of life in patients with Crohn's disease. *Clin J Sport Med* 2007; 17(5): 384-388.

24. Narula N, Fedorak RN. Exercise and inflammatory bowel disease. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2008; 22(5): 497-504.

25. Kaplan GG. Smoking Cessation for Crohn's Disease: Clearing the Haze. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2016;111(3):420–422.

26. Lenti MV, Selinger CP. Medication non-adherence in adult patients affected by inflammatory bowel disease: a critical review and update of the determining factors, consequences and possible interventions. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;11(3):215–226.

27. Lamb CA, Kennedy NA, Raine T, Hendy PA, Smith PJ, Limdi JK, Hayee B, Lomer MCE, Parkes GC, Selinger C, Barrett KJ, Davies RJ, Bennett C, Gittens S, Dunlop MG, Faiz O, Fraser A, Garrick V, Johnston PD, Parkes M, Sanderson J, Terry H; IBD guidelines eDelphi consensus group, Gaya DR, Iqbal TH, Taylor SA, Smith M, Brookes M, Hansen R, Hawthorne AB. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults. *Gut* 2019; 68(Suppl 3): s1-s106.

28. Slonim-Nevo V, Sarid O, Friger M, Schwartz D, Chernin E, Shahar I, Sergienko R, Vardi H, Rosenthal A, Mushkalo A, Dizengof V, Ben-Yakov G, Abu-Freha N, Munteanu D,

- Gaspar N, Eidelman L, Segal A, Fich A, Greenberg D, Odes S; Israeli IBD Research Nucleus (IIRN). Effect of psychosocial stressors on patients with Crohn's disease: threatening life experiences and family relations. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;28(9):1073–1081.
29. Slonim-Nevo V, Sarid O, Friger M, Schwartz D, Sergienko R, Pereg A, Vardi H, Singer T, Chernin E, Greenberg D, Odes S; Israeli IBD Research Nucleus (IIRN). Effect of Social Support on Psychological Distress and Disease Activity in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2018;24(7):1389–1400.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population. (n=102).

Characteristics	Value
Age, Median (min-max) (IQR), y	34 (14-66) (27.25-42.25)
Gender	
Female	34 (33.33%)
Male	68 (66.67%)
Diagnosis	
Ulcerative Colitis	56 (54.90%)
Crohn's Disease	46 (45.10%)
Diagnosed with COVID-19	0
Huanan seafood market exposure	0
Signs and symptoms of COVID-19	0
Habitation*	
Wuhan	26 (25.49%)
Xiaogan	22 (21.57%)
Jingzhou	12 (11.76%)
Suizhou	8 (7.83%)
Xiangyang	6 (5.88%)
Huangshi	6 (5.88%)
Huanggang	5 (4.90%)
Yichang	5 (4.90%)
Jingmen	4 (3.92%)
Xianning	2 (1.96%)
Xiantao	2 (1.96%)
Enshi Tujia and Miao Autonomous Prefecture	2 (1.96%)
Tianmen	1 (0.98%)
Qianjinag	1 (0.98%)

*.All participants are located in Hubei Province

Table 2. Evaluation of participants' IBD disease activity

	Participants with Ulcerative Colitis(n=56)	Participants with Crohn's Disease(n=46)	P-value *
Index, Median (IQR) (range)	PMS, 2 (0-3) (0-8)	HBI, 2 (1-4) (0-12)	
Disease activity stage ⁴			
Remission phase, n (%)	39 (69.64%)	37 (80.44%)	0.103
Moderate active phase, n (%)	16 (28.57%)	6 (13.04%)	
Severe active phase, n (%)	1 (1.79%)	3 (6.52%)	

PMS: Partial Mayo Score (excludes the endoscopic appearance of the mucosa); HBI: Harvey-Bradshaw Index.

*Chi-square test was used to test whether there was a statistically significant difference in the proportion of the disease activity between UC and CD patients.

Table 3. Evaluation of participants' SIBDQ

	Total participants (n=102)	Participants with UC and CD respectively		
		Participants with UC (n=56)	Participants with CD (n=46)	P-val ue*
SIBDQ, Median (IQR)	59 (52.25-63)	60 (54.75-64)	58 (52-62.75)	
HRQoL				0.338
Good (≥ 50), n (%)	87 (85.29%)	49 (87.50%)	38 (82.61%)	
Poor (< 50), n (%)	15 (14.71%)	7 (15.50%)	8 (17.39%)	

HRQoL: health-related quality of life

*Chi-square test was used to test whether there was a statistically significant difference in the proportion of HRQoL between UC and CD patients.

Table 4. Multi-variable logistic regression of the causes of change in participants' self-evaluation disease condition.

Disease condition	Characters	n (%)	OR (95%CI)	P-value
Condition worsening (n=22)	Subsequent visit			
	No	18 (81.82%)	3.785(0.871, 16.458)	0.076
	Yes	4 (18.18%)		
	Medication regimen			
	Not changed	14 (63.64%)	0.264 (0.060, 1.167)	0.079
	Changed	8 (36.36%)		
	Emotional status			
	Negative	4 (18.18%)	3.306(0.413, 26.454)	0.260
	Positive	3 (13.64%)	0.830 (0.178, 3.880)	0.813
	Normal	15 (68.18%)		
	Physical exercise			
	Reduced	5 (22.73%)	17.593 (2.035, 152.097)	0.009
	Not reduced	17 (77.27%)		
	Rest			
	Inadequate	21 (95.45%)	1.071 (0.262, 4.378)	0.924
	Adequate	1 (4.55%)		
Smoking				
No	19 (86.36%)	0.665 (0.123, 3.591)	0.636	
Yes	3 (13.64%)			
Condition improved (n=25)	Subsequent visit			
	No	21 (84.00%)	2.730 (0.731, 10.199)	0.135
	Yes	4 (16.00%)		
	Medication regimen			
	Not changed	19 (76.00%)	0.374 (0.094, 1.496)	0.165
	Changed	6 (24.00%)		
	Emotional status			
	Negative	1 (4.00%)	2.094 (0.138, 31.710)	0.594
	Positive	14 (56.00%)	2.259 (0.751, 6.792)	0.147
	Normal	10 (40.00%)		
	Physical exercise			
	Reduced	1 (4.00%)	0.694 (0.222, 2.167)	0.529
	Not reduced	24 (96.00%)		
	Rest			
	Inadequate	9 (36.00%)	0.136 (0.015, 1.249)	0.078
	Adequate	16 (64.00%)		
Smoking				
Yes	4 (15.38%)	0.481 (0.104, 2.228)	0.350	
No	22 (84.62%)			

*The reference categories: Condition no change.

Table 5. The comparison of the medication regimens before and after the outbreak of COVID-19 and the changes in medication regimens.

	Medication	Before the outbreak, n (%)	After the outbreak, n (%)	The number of changes*, n
UC patients, (n=56)	No medication	1 (1.75%)	4 (5.26%)	+3
	Mesalazine	50 (89.47%)	48 (85.96%)	-2
	Remicade	3 (5.26%)	3 (5.26%)	0
	Enteral nutrition	1 (1.75%)	1 (1.75%)	0
	Glucocorticoid	2 (3.51%)	2 (3.51%)	0
	Adalimumab	1 (1.75%)	1 (1.75%)	0
	Probiotics	4 (5.26%)	4 (5.26%)	0
CD patients, (n=46)	No medication	1 (1.92%)	3 (5.77%)	+2
	Adalimumab	1 (1.92%)	2 (3.85%)	+1
	Remicade	21 (46.15%)	16 (36.54%)	-5
	Methotrexate	2 (3.85%)	1 (1.92%)	-1
	Mesalazine	8 (17.31%)	8 (17.31%)	0
	Enteral nutrition	10 (19.23%)	10 (21.15%)	0
	Azathioprine	20 (40.38%)	20 (40.38%)	0
	Glucocorticoid	2 (3.85%)	2 (3.85%)	0
	Thalidomide	1 (1.92%)	1 (1.92%)	0
	Probiotics	1 (1.92%)	1 (1.92%)	0

*Plus sign indicates an increase in quantity and minus sign indicates a decrease in quantity.