Title: Modeling for Corona Virus Outbreak in IRAN

Maryam Moghadami, PhD of Knowledge Management, Faculty of Management and Economics ,Tehran university (corresponding author)

Maryam Moghadami, PhD of Knowledge Management, Faculty of Management and Economics ,Tehran university, Tehran. Iran (Corresponding author)

Ka Wa, MEng Architecture & Environmental Engineering from the University of Nottingham, landan

Mohammad Hassanzadeh, Head and Faculty member, Knowledge and Information science Dept, Faculty of Management and Economics Tarbiat Modares University Tehran. Iran

Aziz Hedayati, Head of Dept. of Knowledge & InformationScience, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz. Iran

Abstract

Background:

As the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a worldwide pandemic, it is rapidly expanding in Iran, real-time analyses of epidemiological data are needed to increase situational awareness and inform interventions. In this study, we built a predictive model based on the cumulative trend of new cases and deaths for the top five provinces. we will also look at modeling the trends for confirmed cases, deaths and recovered for the whole country.

Method: In this study, we have chosen to apply the exponential smoothing model to iteratively forecast future values of a regular time seires from weightedaverages of past daily values of the series. This method is exponential because the value of each level is influenced by every preceeding actual value to an exponentially decreasing degree – more recent values are given a greater weight. The available data is too small to identify seasonal patterns and make

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

predictable variation in value, such as annual fluctuation in temperature relative to the season. Trend is a tendency in the data to increase or decrease over time.

Results:

If no control measures are put in place, it is expected that over 40,000 would be infected in Tehran around the middle of June. However, if control measures were implemented with a high degree of success, one would expect the spreadof the COV-19 virus would peak at the start of April with a downward trend dropping off by the end of May (70 days). In the scenario, that no further measures are implemented, one would expect the spread of COVID-19 to continue on a gentle incline, reaching 21,000 by mid-June. The same processhas been applied to review the confirmed, deaths and recovered dataset. The forecast has been carried out for the next 30 days, a shorter timeframe has been selected as there is a high probability that the Iranian New Year's celebration, Farvardin, first month of Spring (30th March in Western calendar) will have an impact on the infection rate following the event.

The best predictive model predicts the confirmed cases to be in the range of 35,000-70,000, with the number of reported COVDI-19 deaths to be between 3,000 - 5,000 and 5,000 - 30,000 of recovered cases.

Conclusions: Modeling outbreak ofCovid-19 shows that the number of patients and deaths is still increasing. Contagious diseases follow an exponential model and the same be Haves this one. This is because, the virus can spread to others and finally each person turns into a carrier of the virus and transmit it to another person. Disease control depends on disconnection and social distancing. In addition, many factors are effective in stopping the disease. These include citizens' participation in the prevention process, health education, the effectiveness of instructive traditions, environmental conditions, and so on.

On 31 December 2019, the World Health Organization(WHO) China Country Office was informed of cases of pneumonia of unknown etiology (unknown cause) detected in Wuhan City, Hubei Province of China, and WHO reportedthat a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), which was named as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) by International Commit- tee on Taxonomy of Viruses on 11 February, 2020, was identified as the causative virus by Chinese authoritieson 7 January(1)

During the <u>2019–20 coronavirus pandemic</u>, <u>Iran</u> reported its first confirmed cases of <u>SARS-CoV-2</u> infections on 19 February 2020 in <u>Qom(2)</u>. As of 17 March 2020, according to Iranian health authorities, there had been 988 <u>COVID-19</u> deaths in Iran with more than 16,000 confirmed infections(3,4,5) This respiratory disease caused by a coronavirus is one of the leading causes for serious illnesses in people all over the world. According to the global statistics of fatalities caused by coronavirus, and its spread in Iran, it is vital and essential to forecast its outbreak by a model.

As the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a worldwide pandemic, it is rapidly expanding in Iran, real-time analyses of epidemiological data are needed to increase situational awareness and inform interventions. Previously, real-time analyses have shed light on the transmissibility, severity, and natural history of an emerging pathogen in the first few weeks of an outbreak. such as with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), the 2009 influenza pandemic, and Ebola. Analyses of detailed line lists of patients are particularly useful to infer key epidemiological parameters, such as the incubation and infectious periods, and delays between infection and detection, isolation, and reporting of cases. However, official individual patient data rarely become publicly available, when the information is most needed. This is an analysis of the COVID-19 out-break in Iran. In this population-level observational study, I used Iranian Ministry of Health reports downloaded from GitHub, an online datasharing platform. This dataset is updated on a daily basis with a 24 hour delay. The dataset include time-stamped counts of the daily cases and deaths within each province in Iran.

In this study, we will build a predictive model based on the cumulative trend of new cases and deaths for the top five provinces. I will also look at modeling the trends for confirmed cases, deaths and recovered for the whole country.

Method

In this study, I have chosen to apply the exponential smoothing model to iteratively forecast future values of a regular time seires from weighted averages of past daily values of the series. This method is exponential because the value of each level is influenced by every preceeding actual value to an exponentially decreasing degree – more recent values are given a greater weight.

Due to the lack of historical data, seasonality analysis has been removed from the modelling and the trends are analysed based on the daily timeframe. The available data is too small to identify seasonal patterns and make predictable variation in value, such as annual fluctuation in temperature relative to the season. Trend is a tendency in the data to increase or decrease over time.

The predictive model will be tested against four regression model evaluations for robustness. They are as follow:

- MAE mean absolute error, this gives less weight to the outliers
- MAPE similar to MSE, but normalized by true observation. The downside is when true observation is zero, this metric could be problematic.
- MSE mean squared error, it is like a combination measurement of bias ad variance of the prediction. For eample, bias squared add variance.
- RMSE root MSE, this takes the root of MSE to bring the unit back to actual value. It is the standard deviation of residuals (prediction errors).
 Residuals are a measure of of how far from the regression line data points.

Both MAE & RMSE can range from 0 to infinity. They are negatively-oriented scores: lower values are better.

The Akaike Information Criterion, AIC test will be applied to the predictive model. This purpose of this test is to see how well the model fits the dataset without overfitting it. The AIC score rewards models that achieve a high goodness-of-fit score ad penalizes them if they become over complex. A low AIC score indicates a better fit.

Statistical analysis

Since the outbreak began in early February 2020, the rate of infection and number death has increased significantly as seen in the illustration below. On the 19th February, the first 2 deaths were reported, on the 23rd February, 4 additional province reported COVID-19 deaths, and within the space 28 days the virus has spreaded across the whole country (see illustration 2), by 20thMarch 2020, Tehran, the capital city of Iran reported close to 4000 confirmed cases of COVID-19.

Illiustration 1






Illustration 2




The total number of confirmed cases of infected patients on the 20th March 2020 is 19,661, with 1,411 deaths and 6,226 recovered. The top 10 most affected provinces are Tehran, Esfahan, Mazandaran, Qom, Alborz, Gilan, Markazi, Razavi-Khorasan, East Azerbaijan and Semon, which can be reviewed in illustration 3, province ranked by confirmed cases from the highest number to the least.

Illustrattion 3



Based on the exponential smoothing model, the projection for the next 90 days across the top 5 with the most reported confirmed cases are shown in illustration 4. If no control measures are put in place, it is expected that over 40,000 would be infected in Tehran around the middle of June. However, if control measures were implemented with a high degree of success, one would expect the spread of the COV-19 virus would peak at the start of April with a downward trend dropping off by the end of May (70 days). In the scenario, that no further measures are implemented, one would expect the spread of COVID-19 to continue on a gentle incline, reaching 21,000 by mid-June.

Illustration 4



Two models have been tested with varying degree of accuracy. The base model shown in Illustration 5 is the simple forecast model, without trend or seasonality built-in. It has the highest AIC out of the three models across the 5 sampled provinces, although it has a low MAPE, when compared to the other three statistical metrics like RMSE, MAE, MASE it yields the highest margin of error in the group.

The quality of the predictive model for Tehran is acceptable because the dataset is more robust compared to one integrated with seasonality. The Mean Absolute Scaled Error, MASE is less than 1 for the projected 90 days period which is a positive score for the model. The RMSE, unbiased forecast score is slightly higher than the MAE, which gives a median future distribution of 84. The MAPE is over 100% which means the errors are "much greater" then the actual values.

Table 1 & 2

Options Used to Create Forecasts

Time series: Day of Date Measures: Sum of Cases Forecast forward: 30 days (20 Mar 2020 – 18 Apr 2020) Forecast based on: 19 Feb 2020 – 19 Mar 2020 Ignore last: 1 day (20 Mar 2020) Seasonal pattern: None

Sum of Cases


| Column | Color | Detail | Initial | Change From Initial | Seasonal Effect | Contri | bution | |
|---------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Country | City | City | 20 Mar 2020 | 20 Mar 2020 - 18 Apr 2020 | High Low | Trend | Season | Quality |
| Iran | Tehran | Tehran | 3,989 ± 4.9% | 151.5% | None | 100.0% | 0.0% | Ok |
| Iran | Qom | Qom | 1,028 ± 8.8% | 82.8% | None | 100.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Mazandaran | Mazandaran | 1,603 ± 10.2% | 109.5% | None | 100.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Gilan | Gilan | 926 ± 9.2% | 148.8% | None | 100.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Esfahan | Esfahan | $1.697 \pm 6.9\%$ | 213.0% | None | 100.0% | 0.0% | Poor |

All forecasts were computed using exponential smoothing.

Sum of Cases

| Column | Color | Detail | | Model | | | Qua | lity Me | trics | | Smoot | hing Co | efficients |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|----------|--------|------|-----|---------|--------|-----|-------|---------|------------|
| Country | City | City | Level | Trend | Season | RMSE | MAE | MASE | MAPE | AIC | Alpha | Beta | Gamma |
| Iran | Tehran | Tehran | Additive | Additive | None | 100 | 84 | 0.66 | 188.7% | 286 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Qom | Qom | Additive | Additive | None | 46 | 33 | 0.96 | 123.8% | 240 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Mazandaran | Mazandaran | Additive | Additive | None | 83 | 51 | 0.97 | 102.7% | 275 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Gilan | Gilan | Additive | Additive | None | 44 | 35 | 1.15 | 171.1% | 236 | 0.500 | 0.281 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Esfahan | Esfahan | Additive | Additive | None | 59 | 44 | 0.81 | 42.2% | 255 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.000 |

%Illustration 5





Options Used to Create Forecasts

Time series: Day of Date Measures: Sum of Cases Forecast forward: 90 days (20 Mar 2020 – 17 Jun 2020) Forecast based on: 19 Feb 2020 – 19 Mar 2020 Ignore last: 1 day (20 Mar 2020) Seasonal pattern: None

Sum of Cases

| Column Country | Color City | Detail City | Initial 20 Mar 2020 | Change From Initial 20 Mar 2020 – 17 Jun 2020 | Seasonal Effect High Low | | ibution Season | Quality |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------|-------------------|---------|
| Iran | Tehran | Tehran | 3,517 ± 17.4% | 0.0% | None | 0.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Qom | Qom | 961 ± 17.1% | 0.0% | None | 0.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Mazandaran | Mazandaran | 1,471 ± 19.6% | 0.0% | None | 0.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Gilan | Gilan | 828 ± 17.6% | 0.0% | None | 0.0% | 0.0% | Poor |
| Iran | Esfahan | Esfahan | 1,452 ± 18.7% | 0.0% | None | 0.0% | 0.0% | Poor |

| Sum of | Cases | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|-------|--------|------|-----|---------|-------|-----|-------|---------|------------|
| Column | Color | Detail | | Model | | | Qua | lity Me | trics | | Smoot | hing Co | efficients |
| Country | City | City | Level | Trend | Season | RMSE | MAE | MASE | MAPE | AIC | Alpha | Beta | Gamma |
| Iran | Tehran | Tehran | Additive | None | None | 313 | 235 | 1.84 | 33.8% | 351 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Qom | Qom | Additive | None | None | 84 | 64 | 1.88 | 37.7% | 272 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Mazandaran | Mazandaran | Additive | None | None | 147 | 98 | 1.85 | 33.2% | 306 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Gilan | Gilan | Additive | None | None | 75 | 55 | 1.82 | 30.7% | 265 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Iran | Esfahan | Esfahan | Additive | None | None | 138 | 97 | 1.79 | 30.8% | 302 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The same process has been applied to review the confirmed, deaths and recovered dataset. The forecast has been carried out for the next 30 days, a shorter timeframe has been selected as there is a high probability that the Iranian New Year's celebration, Farvardin, first month of Spring (30th March in Western calendar) will have an impact on the infection rate following the event.

The best predictive model as seen in Illustration 7 predicts the confirmed cases to be in the range of 35,000-70,000, with the number of reported COVDI-19 deaths to be between 3,000 - 5,000 and 5,000 - 30,000 of recovered cases.

Iluustration 7




Table 5 & 6

| | e series: D | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------|------|--|
| | easures: S | | | 220 10 | | 20202 | | | | | | | | |
| Forecast b | forward: 3 | | | | | 2020) | | | | | | | | |
| | ore last: 1 | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | pattern: N | | | | onal p | attern | ecurring | everv | 7 Davs) | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | | | | 1.1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum of N | umber | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Color | Init | | | ange Fr | | | | sonal E | | | bution | | | |
| Status | 20 Mar | | 20 Mar | 2020 - | | pr 202 | 0 Hig | | Low | Benalter and Street of Street, | Season | Quality | | |
| recovered | 6,226 ± | | | 189. | | | | None | | 100.0% | | Poor | | |
| deaths confirmed | 1,411 ± 19,661 ± | | | 299. 170. | | | | None None | | 100.0% 100.0% | | Ok Ok | | |
| commed | 19,001 1 | : 3.9% | | 170. | 4% | | | NOTIE | | 100.0% | 0.0% | OK | | |
| All forecasts | were comp | outed usir | ng expone | ntial smo | oothin | g. | | | | | | | | |
| | | outed usir | ng expone | ntial smo | oothin | g. | | | | | | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color | mber | Model | | | Qui | ality Me | | | | hing Coe | | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status | mber Level | Model Trend | Season | RMSE | Qua | ality Me MASE | MAPE | and the local division of the local division | Alpha | Beta | Gamma | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered | mber Level | Model Trend Additive | Season None | RMSE 364 | Qu MAE 257 | ality Me MASE 1.31 | MAPE 15.9% | 364 | Alpha 0.500 | Beta 0.314 | Gamma 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Sum of Nu Color Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |
| Status recovered deaths | mber Level Additive | Model Trend Additive Additive | Season None None | RMSE 364 32 | Qu MAE 257 26 | ality Me MASE 1.31 0.59 | MAPE 15.9% 240.7% | 364 218 | Alpha 0.500 0.500 | Beta 0.314 0.500 | Gamma 0.000 0.000 | | | |

Conclusion

Modeling outbreak ofCovid-19 shows that the number of patients and deaths is still increasing. Contagious diseases follow an exponential model and the same be Haves this one. This is because, the virus can spread to others and finally each person turns into a carrier of the virus and transmit it to another person. Disease control depends on disconnection and social distancing. In addition, many factors are effective in stopping the disease.

These include citizens' participation in the prevention process, health education, the effectiveness of instructive traditions, environmental conditions, and so on. This article strived to analyze the growth trend of the number of patients, deaths and patients recovered in some provinces of Iran. The knowledge gained can help health planners and planners. Combining the findings of this study with other countries' studies can help to extract a global pattern for the virus outbreak process analysis. We believe that there are still many factors that can be included in the study. Adding these factors helps to validate and consolidate the

findings. We hope that our study as part of an effort to better understand the disease and prevent the spread of the disease will help a global achievement

References

1. World Health Organization. Coronavirus. World Health Organization, cited January 19, 2020. Available: https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus).

2. <u>Iran Reports Its First 2 Cases of the New Coronavirus</u>". New York Times. <u>Archived</u> from the original on 19 February 2020. Retrieved 19 February 2020.

3<u>"IRNA - Iran's Coronavirus Toll"</u>. IRNA. 10 March 2020.

4. Smith, Josh; Stanway, David (26 February 2020). <u>"Germany 'heading for</u> <u>epidemic' as virus spreads faster outside China"</u>. <u>Thomson Reuters</u>. <u>Archived</u> from the original on 26 February 2020. Retrieved 26 February 2020.

5. Aboulenein, Ahmed (25 February 2020). <u>"First Iraqis catch coronavirus amid fear of Iran epidemic spillover"</u>. <u>Thomson Reuters</u>. <u>Archived</u> from the original on 25 February 2020. Retrieved 25 February 2020