

Systematic review of reviews of symptoms and signs of COVID-19 in children and adolescents

Authors

Russell Viner¹
Joseph Ward¹
Lee Hudson¹
Melissa Ashe²
Sanjay Patel³
Dougal Hargreaves⁴
Elizabeth Whittaker⁵

Affiliation

1: UCL Great Ormond St. Institute of Child Health, London
2: Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health
3: Department of Paediatric Immunology & Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton; NIHR Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton
4: School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London
5: Department of Infectious Disease, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London

Correspondence:

Prof. Russell Viner
UCL Great Ormond St. Institute of Child Health
30 Guilford St. London WC1N 1EH
r.viner@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

Objective

To undertake a systematic review of reviews of the prevalence of symptoms and signs of COVID-19 in those aged under 20 years?

Design

Narrative systematic review of reviews. PubMed, medRxiv, Europe PMC and COVID-19 Living Evidence Database were searched on 9 October 2020.

Setting

All settings, including hospitalised and community settings.

Patients

CYP under age 20 years with laboratory-proven COVID-19.

Study review, data extraction and quality

Potentially eligible articles were reviewed on title and abstract by one reviewer. Quality was assessed using the modified AMSTARS criteria and data were extracted from included studies by two reviewers.

Main outcome measures

Prevalence of symptoms and signs of COVID-19

Results

1325 studies were identified and 18 reviews were included. Eight were high quality, 7 medium and 3 low quality. All reviews were dominated by studies of hospitalised children. The proportion who were asymptomatic ranged from 14.6 to 42%. Fever and cough were the commonest symptoms; proportions with fever ranged from 46 to 64.2% and with cough from 32 to 55.9%. All other symptoms or signs including rhinorrhoea, sore throat, headache, fatigue/myalgia and gastrointestinal symptoms including diarrhoea and vomiting are infrequent, occurring in less than 10-20%.

Conclusions

Fever and cough are the most common symptoms in CYP with COVID-19, with other symptoms infrequent. Further research on symptoms in community samples are needed to inform pragmatic identification and testing programmes for CYP.

Background

Most countries rely upon symptom based testing systems for SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19. This is based upon the assumption that testing symptomatic individuals and tracing, testing and isolating their positive contacts, is the most efficient way of using limited testing resources. In the UK the criteria for testing individuals for SARS-CoV-2 are the presence of fever, a new persistent cough and loss or change in smell (<https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/coronavirus-covid-19/symptoms/coronavirus-in-children/>) with similar symptom-based case definitions operating in other countries.

Such case definitions imply that individuals with fever and/or cough have COVID-19 until proven otherwise, requiring those who are symptomatic to quarantine until a negative SARS-CoV-2 test is obtained. Despite evidence that individual symptoms and signs have relatively poor diagnostic properties for COVID-19 in adults,¹ other causes of fever and cough are uncommon in healthy adults. However children and young people (CYP), particularly young children, may have 8-10 upper respiratory infections (URI) per year,^{2,3} particularly over winter. Thus fever and URI symptoms are likely to be less reliable indicators of SARS-COV-2 positivity in children, even when virus prevalence is high.

The resumption of schooling in the UK in September 2020 led to ten-fold increase in numbers of 0-18 year olds reporting potential COVID-19 symptoms in England.⁴ This almost certainly represented large numbers of children attending school with mild fevers and URI symptoms, caused by a variety of common circulating winter viruses. Yet these URI symptoms led to many CYP being asked to quarantine or be tested for SARS-CoV-2 before returning to school.⁵ Moreover there has been some uncertainty about which symptoms indicate likely COVID-19 in children and young people (CYP), as some recent studies have suggested that other symptoms such as gastrointestinal symptoms⁶ or fatigue^{6,7} may be common in CYP with COVID-19.

Policy on case definitions and testing policy for COVID-19 in CYP requires evidence on those symptoms which are most commonly associated with test positivity, but also those that are not. In view of a rapidly growing literature in this area including a number of systematic reviews, we undertook a systematic review of reviews (or umbrella review) of symptoms or signs of proven COVID in CYP aged under 20 years.

Methods

We undertook a rapid systematic review of systematic reviews, reporting Methods and Findings using the PRISMA checklist.⁸

Review question

Our review question was: What is the prevalence of symptoms and signs of COVID-19 in those aged under 20 years?

Search strategy

We searched four databases (PubMed; medRxiv; COVID-19 Living Evidence database; European PMC) on 9 October 2020. Search terms in PubMed were ("COVID-19"[Text Word] OR "2019-nCoV"[Text Word] OR "SARS-CoV-2"[Text Word]) AND ("child*" [All Fields] OR "infant*" [All Fields]) AND ("symptom" [All Fields] OR "clinical presentation" [All Fields] OR "Signs" [All Fields]), applying the

filters for Meta-Analysis or Systematic review. Search terms in the medRxiv preprint server were: "COVID-19 AND child AND systematic review AND (symptom OR sign OR clinical)" – note that these searches were undertaken separately due to the inability to perform Boolean searches in this database. The COVID-19 Living Evidence database (https://zika.ispm.unibe.ch/assets/data/pub/search_beta/) and European PMC (<https://europepmc.org>) were searched subsequently using similar terms. We did not limit studies by date or language. Identified relevant reviews were hand-searched for additional likely studies. Studies were also identified through the professional networks of the authors.

Eligibility

We included systematic reviews or meta-analyses of clinical presentations (symptoms or signs) of laboratory-proven COVID-19 disease in CYP. We only included reviews which:

- i. Systematically searched and reviewed the literature using prespecified protocols
- ii. examined CYP from 0 – 19 years. Studies with a wider age range which provided data on CYP separately were eligible
- iii. included only studies with laboratory-proven SARS-CoV-2 cases
- iv. assessed and reported the frequency or prevalence of symptoms or signs of COVID-19

We excluded studies of investigation results, cross-age studies that did not separate data on CYP, studies of conditions linked with COVID-19 e.g. (Paediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS), and preprints that had been deposited for >5 months without update or publication.

Study selection

The search and inclusion flowchart is shown in Figure 1. Titles and abstracts were reviewed and potentially eligible articles identified by one reviewer (RV). Full text review and agreement on final inclusion was undertaken by two reviewers (RV; JW). The abstracts of 1325 studies were reviewed and 21 potentially eligible articles were identified for full text review, with 1 additional study identified through handsearching of citation lists and 3 studies through professional networks. After further review, 18 reviews are included in this review.

Data extraction

Descriptive findings were extracted to a spreadsheet and checked for accuracy by two reviewers (JW, RV).

Quality evaluation

Quality including risk of bias was assessed using an adapted version of Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR).^{9,10} We characterised reviews as high, medium or low quality. High-quality reviews were required to have provided a priori designs; searched at least two bibliographic databases; searched for reports regardless of publication type; listed and described included studies; used at least two people for data extraction; documented the size and quality of included studies and used this to inform their syntheses; synthesised study findings narratively or statistically; assessed the likelihood of publication bias; and included a conflict of interest statement. Those unable to meet 6 of these 8 requirements were characterised as low quality, with those meeting 6 or 7 criteria characterised as medium quality. Note we made no attempt to assess the quality of studies included in each review. Quality assessments for each review are shown in Appendix Table 1.

Data synthesis and summary measures

We confined our analysis to descriptive measures of the prevalence of each symptom or sign in each included review. We made no attempt to quantitatively summarise findings across reviews.

Results

We included 18 reviews in our analysis.¹¹⁻²⁸ Characteristics of the included reviews are shown in Table 1; all are freely available online (see Appendix Table 2). All reviews were in English. The great majority of studies included in all reviews were from China, with studies also from Italy, Spain, South Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Vietnam, Iran and the USA. Eight were high quality,^{11 14 15 17 19 24 27 28} 7 were medium,^{16 18 20-23 26} and 3 were low quality.^{12 13 25} The very great majority of studies within the reviews were of hospitalised CYP. No reviews specifically focused on symptoms or signs amongst community samples of infected children, and none compared symptoms in hospitalised compared with non-hospitalised children. One review included only studies of infants¹⁶, one included a comparison of symptoms in children with those in adults from China,²⁶ and one examined only gastrointestinal symptoms.²⁸ One study provided descriptive data from included studies only and did not report pooled estimates of symptom prevalence.²⁵

Figure 2 shows the proportions of CYP in each review with reported symptoms or signs of COVID-19 data shown by study in Appendix Table 3). The proportion who were asymptomatic ranged from 14.6 to 42%. Fever and cough were the commonest symptoms; proportions with fever ranged from 46 to 64.2% and with cough from 32 to 55.9%. All other symptoms or signs were present at less than 10-20%. Vomiting, diarrhoea and abdominal pain were reported separately in the majority of studies, however some reported gastrointestinal symptoms together. These estimates ranged from 7.4%¹⁹ to 17.7%.²⁸ All studies which reported fatigue and myalgia did so as 'fatigue or myalgia', with the exception of Assaker et al.²² who reported myalgia in 14% and fatigue in 8%.

Only two studies reported on combinations of symptoms or signs. Wang et al.²⁴ in a high quality review reported that 30% had both fever and cough, whilst a medium quality review by Christophers et al.²⁰ reported that 58.4% had only a single symptom; in 23.2% this was fever, 5.6% cough and in 1.6% diarrhoea.

Few studies addressed differences in symptom profiles by age amongst CYP. A large high quality review by Cui et al.¹⁵ reported that proportions with fever and cough were similar in infants to those in older children, conclusions supported by a small low quality review by Yasuhara et al.¹³ In contrast, a small medium quality review, Christophers et al.²⁰ reported that fever appeared more common in older children (65%) than in infants (48%). Christophers et al.²⁰ also reported that vomiting and diarrhoea occurred largely in those under 9 years, whereas Yasuhara et al.¹³ reported gastrointestinal symptoms to be more common in adolescents, although numbers were small and symptoms uncommon in all ages.

Only one review compared CYP with adults. Pei et al.²⁶ undertook a medium quality review comparing symptoms in 170 children and 275 adults, restricting the analysis to studies from China. They reported that that CYP were more likely to be asymptomatic than adults (20% compared with 5.5%).

Discussion

We identified 18 systematic reviews and meta-analyses that were informative about the prevalence of symptoms and signs of COVID-19 in CYP. Findings show clearly that fever and cough are the most common symptoms occurring in around 40-60% of infected CYP, with all other symptoms occurring

at much lower prevalences, under 10-20%. Common URI symptoms such as rhinorrhoea or sore throat are uncommon in COVID-19, as are somatic symptoms such as headache and fatigue/myalgia and gastrointestinal symptoms including diarrhoea and vomiting. We found no data on the frequency of loss or change in smell in these reviews.

Few data were available on the frequency of combinations of symptoms although one study reported that the combination of fever and cough occurred in around one-third of CYP, less common than fever or cough alone. The common symptoms of fever and cough appeared equally prevalent across younger and older children. There were insufficient data to conclude that there were age-differences in the frequency of other symptoms between younger and older children.

Findings from this study are consistent with recently published large UK²⁹ and pan-European³⁰ studies of children hospitalised with COVID-19, both of which reported fever occurring in approximately 65%. The UK study of over 650 CYP hospitalised with COVID-19 found similarly low proportions with symptoms other than fever and cough.

The main limitation of this review is that the great majority of studies in the reviews we examined were of hospitalised children. Whilst early in the pandemic all positive children were hospitalised in some countries regardless of severity, the inclusion of largely hospitalised children is likely to strongly bias these findings towards those with clinical disease and more severe disease. This is likely to inflate the prevalence of symptoms and decrease estimates of the proportion who are asymptomatic. Other studies have suggested that only around 20%³¹-50%⁶ of population samples of CYP have symptoms. Findings from the small number of available community samples suggest that lower proportions present with fever (30%⁶³²-40%³³) and cough,(10%⁶-35%)³²³³ consistent with a higher proportion who are asymptomatic.

Our findings are subject to a number of other limitations. Some studies were included in multiple reviews in our study. For this reason we did not undertake a meta-summary of findings across reviews. However even in the narrative review undertaken here duplication of studies may still inflate the importance of certain studies compared with others. There was considerable heterogeneity across the included reviews and the risk of publication bias varied by symptom in some reviews. The majority of reviews included data from the early months of the pandemic, and only 3 reviews included studies published after June 2020.¹³²⁷²⁸ This leads to the domination of findings by studies from China and by case series of hospitalised children with more severe COVID-19, as these studies were more quickly set up early in the pandemic. One review was excluded as it was judged not to be a systematic review.³⁴ A review of COVID-19 in CYP with developmental disabilities was excluded as it contained no useable data.³⁵

Conclusions

Available data suggests that fever and cough are the predominant symptoms of COVID-19 in CYP, supporting their use in case definitions of potential COVID-19 in this age-group. Other symptoms are uncommon and their inclusion in case definitions and testing systems for COVID-19 would lower specificity markedly. Rhinorrhoea and sore throat are infrequent in COVID-19 in CYP, which, given the frequency of URI and associated symptoms in young children, suggests that their presence is much more likely to indicate infection with viruses other than SARS-CoV-2. Further data on symptoms in community samples including in schools are urgently needed to inform pragmatic identification and testing programmes for CYP and reduce misclassification of CYP as potential COVID-19 cases requiring isolation of peers and families.

What is already known on this topic

- Symptom-based case definitions for likely COVID-19 may misclassify children as young children may have 8-10 upper respiratory infections per year.
- Some recent studies have suggested that gastrointestinal symptoms or fatigue maybe more prevalent amongst children than cough and fever
- Policy on case definitions and testing policy for COVID-19 in CYP requires evidence on those symptoms which are most commonly associated with test positivity, but also those that are not. In

What this study adds

- Fever and cough are the most common symptoms of COVID-19 in children and young people, by some margin.
- Other symptoms, including rhinorrhoea, sore throat and diarrhoea and vomiting, are infrequent. Their inclusion in symptom profiles for COVID-19 is likely to markedly reduce specificity

Author contribution: RV, EW, SP and MW conceptualised the paper. RV undertook the searching of databases and identification of potentially eligible articles. JW and RV agreed the inclusion of the final articles, assessed quality and extracted data. All authors contributed to editing of the final paper.

Competing interests: Nil declared.

Funding: Nil obtained for these analyses

References

1. Struyf T, Deeks JJ, Dinnes J, et al. Signs and symptoms to determine if a patient presenting in primary care or hospital outpatient settings has COVID-19 disease. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2020;7:CD013665. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD013665 [published Online First: 2020/07/08]
2. Wald ER, Dashefsky B, Byers C, et al. Frequency and severity of infections in day care. *J Pediatr* 1988;112(4):540-6. doi: 10.1016/s0022-3476(88)80164-1 [published Online First: 1988/04/01]
3. Roberts L, Smith W, Jorm L, et al. Effect of infection control measures on the frequency of upper respiratory infection in child care: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2000;105(4 Pt 1):738-42. doi: 10.1542/peds.105.4.738 [published Online First: 2000/04/01]
4. Potential Coronavirus (COVID-19) symptoms reported through NHS Pathways and 111 online: NHS Digital; 2020 [Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/dashboards/nhs-pathways> accessed 12 October 2020, <https://digital.nhs.uk/dashboards/nhs-pathways>.
5. Salter J. Kids and Covid-19: Should I send my child to school with a cold? *The Telegraph* 2020 12 October 2020.
6. Waterfield T, Watson C, Moore R, et al. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in children - A prospective multicentre cohort study. *medRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.08.31.20183095:2020.08.31.20183095. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.31.20183095
7. COVID Symptom Study. COVID symptoms in children. Back to school: the real symptoms to look out for in children London: Kings College London and ZOE; 2020 [updated 7 September 2020]. Available from: <https://covid.joinzoe.com/post/back-to-school> accessed 12 October 2020, <https://covid.joinzoe.com/post/back-to-school>.
8. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ* 2009;339:b2700. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2700 [published Online First: 2009/07/23]
9. Shackleton N, Jamal F, Viner R, et al. Systematic review of reviews of observational studies of school-level effects on sexual health, violence and substance use. *Health Place* 2016;39:168-76. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2016.04.002
10. Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2007;7:10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-7-10 [published Online First: 2007/02/17]
11. Mantovani A, Rinaldi E, Zusi C, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in children and/or adolescents: a meta-analysis. *Pediatr Res* 2020 Published Online First: 2020/06/20. doi: 10.1038/s41390-020-1015-2 doi: 10.1038/s41390-020-1015-2 [published Online First: 2020/06/20]
12. de Souza TH, Nadal JA, Nogueira RJN, et al. Clinical manifestations of children with COVID-19: A systematic review. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2020;55(8):1892-99. doi: 10.1002/ppul.24885 [published Online First: 2020/06/04]
13. Yasuhara J, Kuno T, Takagi H, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in children: A systematic review. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2020 Published Online First: 2020/07/30. doi: 10.1002/ppul.24991 doi: 10.1002/ppul.24991 [published Online First: 2020/07/30]
14. Hoang A, Chorath K, Moreira A, et al. COVID-19 in 7780 pediatric patients: A systematic review. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020;24:100433. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100433 [published Online First: 2020/08/09]
15. Cui X, Zhao Z, Zhang T, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *J Med Virol* 2020 doi: 10.1002/jmv.26398:10.1002/jmv.26398. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26398

16. Raba AA, Abobaker A, Elgenaidi IS, et al. Novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19) in children younger than one year: A systematic review of symptoms, management and outcomes. *Acta Paediatr* 2020 doi: 10.1111/apa.15422:10.1111/apa.15422. doi: 10.1111/apa.15422
17. Zhang L, Peres TG, Silva MVF, et al. What we know so far about Coronavirus Disease 2019 in children: A meta-analysis of 551 laboratory-confirmed cases. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2020;55(8):2115-27. doi: 10.1002/ppul.24869 [published Online First: 2020/06/11]
18. Ma X, Liu S, Chen L, et al. The clinical characteristics of pediatric inpatients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *J Med Virol* 2020 doi: 10.1002/jmv.26208:10.1002/jmv.26208. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26208
19. Ding Y, Yan H, Guo W. Clinical Characteristics of Children With COVID-19: A Meta-Analysis. *Front Pediatr* 2020;8:431-31. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00431
20. Christophers B, Marin BG, Oliva R, et al. Trends in clinical presentation of children with COVID-19: a systematic review of individual participant data. *Pediatr Res* 2020 Published Online First: 2020/09/18. doi: 10.1038/s41390-020-01161-3 doi: 10.1038/s41390-020-01161-3 [published Online First: 2020/09/18]
21. Liguoro I, Pilotto C, Bonanni M, et al. SARS-COV-2 infection in children and newborns: a systematic review. *Eur J Pediatr* 2020;179(7):1029-46. doi: 10.1007/s00431-020-03684-7 [published Online First: 2020/05/20]
22. Assaker R, Colas AE, Julien-Marsollier F, et al. Presenting symptoms of COVID-19 in children: a meta-analysis of published studies. *Br J Anaesth* 2020;125(3):e330-e32. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.026 [published Online First: 2020/06/15]
23. Chang T-H, Wu J-L, Chang L-Y. Clinical characteristics and diagnostic challenges of pediatric COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2020;119(5):982-89. doi: 10.1016/j.jfma.2020.04.007 [published Online First: 2020/04/16]
24. Wang Z, Zhou Q, Wang C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Children with COVID-19: A Rapid Review and Meta-Analysis. *medRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.04.13.20064352:2020.04.13.20064352. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.13.20064352
25. Jahangir M, Nawaz M, Nanjiani D, et al. Clinical manifestations and outcomes of COVID-19 in the paediatric population: a systematic review. *Hong Kong Med J* 2020 Published Online First: 2020/10/01. doi: 10.12809/hkmj208646 doi: 10.12809/hkmj208646 [published Online First: 2020/10/01]
26. Pei Y, Liu W, Masokano IB, et al. Comparing Chinese children and adults with RT-PCR positive COVID-19: A systematic review. *J Infect Public Health* 2020 Published Online First: 2020/07/20. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.06.036 doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2020.06.036 [published Online First: 2020/07/20]
27. Kharoud HK, Asim R, Siegel L, et al. Review of clinical characteristics and laboratory findings of COVID-19 in children-Systematic review and Meta-analysis. *medRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.09.23.20200410:2020.09.23.20200410. doi: 10.1101/2020.09.23.20200410
28. Ji-Gan W, Hairong C, Huabo T, et al. *Research Square* 2020 doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-34733/v2 doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-34733/v2
29. Swann OV, Holden KA, Turtle L, et al. Clinical characteristics of children and young people admitted to hospital with covid-19 in United Kingdom: prospective multicentre observational cohort study. *BMJ* 2020;370:m3249. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3249 [published Online First: 2020/09/23]
30. Gotzinger F, Santiago-Garcia B, Noguera-Julian A, et al. COVID-19 in children and adolescents in Europe: a multinational, multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2020 Published Online First: 25 June 2020. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30177-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30177-2) doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30177-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30177-2) [published Online First: 25 June 2020]
31. Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, et al. Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. *Nature Med* 2020 Published Online First: 16 June 2020. doi:

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0962-9> [published Online First: 16 June 2020]

32. Ulyte A, Radtke T, Abela IA, et al. Variation in SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in school-children across districts, schools and classes. *medRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.09.18.20191254:2020.09.18.20191254. doi: 10.1101/2020.09.18.20191254
33. Hurst JH, Heston SM, Chambers HN, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infections Among Children in the Biospecimens from Respiratory Virus-Exposed Kids (BRAVE Kids) Study. *medRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.08.18.20166835:2020.08.18.20166835. doi: 10.1101/2020.08.18.20166835
34. Rabinowicz S, Leshem E, Pessach IM. COVID-19 in the Pediatric Population-Review and Current Evidence. *Current infectious disease reports* 2020;22(11):29-29. doi: 10.1007/s11908-020-00739-6 [published Online First: 2020/09/19]
35. Dugas M, Carnovale V, Poirier A-A, et al. COVID-19 in Children with Brain-Based Developmental Disabilities: A Rapid Review. *medRxiv* 2020 doi: 10.1101/2020.05.01.20088021:2020.05.01.20088021. doi: 10.1101/2020.05.01.20088021

Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart




Figure 2. Proportions with symptoms and signs across reviews




Table 1. Characteristics of included studies

Study	Source	Type	Context	Search end	Age	Case definition	Included studies	Total children	Countries of studies	Quality summary
Mantovani et al. ¹¹	Professional	SR/MA	All	11 April	NS	NS	19	2855	China 17, USA, Spain	High
De Sousa et al. ¹²	PubMed	SR	All	6 April	<18y	RT-PCR+	38	1124	China 33, Italy, Iran, Singapore, South Korea, Vietnam	Low
Yasuhara et al. ¹³	PubMed	SR	All	20 June	<18y	RT-PCR+	46	114	China 20, USA 13, 2 Italy, 2 Iran, Korea, Vietnam, Malaysia, Spain, Lebanon, Belgium, UK, Turkey, France	Low
Hoang et al. ¹⁴	PubMed	SR	All	14 May	≤21y	RT-PCR+	131	7780	26 countries; China made up 64% of studies	High
Cui et al. ¹⁵	PubMed	SR/MA	All	30 April	<18y	RT-PCR+	48	5829	China 43, Singapore, Korea, Spain, USA, Iran	High
Raba et al. ¹⁶	Professional	SR	All; under 1 year	7 April	<1y	RT-PCR+	18	160	China 17, Vietnam	Medium
Zhang et al. ¹⁷	PubMed	SR/MA	All	4 May	0-18y	'laboratory confirmed COVID-19'	46	551	China 35, Iran 2, Italy 3, South Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Spain, USA, Vietnam	High
Ma et al. ¹⁸	PubMed	SR/MA	Hospitalised	21 April	NS	RT-PCR+	15	486	China	Medium
Ding et al. ¹⁹	Professional	SR/MA	All	1 April	0-17y	RT-PCR+	14	371	China	High
Christophers et al. ²⁰	PubMed	SR	All	15 May	1 mnth-18y	RT-PCR+	21	123	China 16, USA, Italy 2, Iran, Malaysia,	Medium
Liguoro et al. ²¹	PubMed	SR	All	1 May	0-18y	'confirmed cases'	62	7480	China 49, South Korea 3, Italy 5, Vietnam, Iran, USA, Spain, Malaysia	Medium
Assaker et al. ²²	PubMed	SR/MA	All	3 May	NS	RT-PCR+	28	1614	China 24, Malaysia, Spain, Italy, USA	Medium
Chang et al. ²³	Handsearch	SR/MA	All	15 March	<18y	RT-PCR+	9*	93	China	Medium
Wang et al. ²⁴	medRxiv	SR/MA	All	31	<18y	WHO	49 [†]	1667	45 China 1 Singapore,	High

				March		definition			1 Korea, 1 Vietnam, 1 Iran	
Jahangir et al. ²⁵	PubMed	SR	All	9 April	0-19y	NS	27	NS	China, South Korea, Spain, USA: numbers not stated	Low
Pei et al. ²⁶	PubMed	SR	All	3 March	0-18y	RT-PCR+	5	70	China	Medium
Kharoud et al. ²⁷	Europe PMC	SR/MA	All	15 July	0-18y	'laboratory confirmed COVID-19'	37	668	China 33, Italy 2, USA, Spain	High
Wang, Cui et al. ²⁸	Europe PMC	SR/MA	Gastrointestinal symptoms only	10 August	NS	NS	38	3028	China 21, other countries (not listed) 17	High

Notes:

SR: systematic review

MA: meta-analysis

Contact: All = all contexts;

NS: not stated

Search end: all dates are in 2020.

Countries: where countries have no number next to them, each country had 1 included study

*In Chang et al., 2 studies examined neonates only which were not included in the meta-analyses

+In Wang et al. only studies with at least 9 cases were included in the meta-analyse