Supplementary materials

outbicak			
	Wuhan	Shanghai	
	(N=510)	(N=501)	P-value
	N(%)	N(%)	
Last week, I have because of the outbreak			
Avoided eating out	488 (95.7)	487 (97.2)	0.193
Avoided using public transport	487 (95.5)	467 (93.2)	0.117
Reduced going to public areas	479 (93.9)	479 (95.6)	0.229
Rescheduled travel plan	468 (91.8)	451 (90.0)	0.335
Increased surface cleaning	456 (89.4)	390 (77.8)	<0.001
Maintained better indoor ventilation	499 (97.8)	488 (97.4)	0.648

Table S1. Engagement in avoidance and recommended behaviors due to the novel coronavirusoutbreak

	Wuhan		Shanghai			
	Aged 18-39 (N=250)	Aged 40 and above (N=260)	P-value	Aged 18-39 (N=251)	Aged 40 and above (N=250)	P-value
	N (%)	N (%)		N (%)	N (%)	
Severity compared with SARS						
			0.136			<0.001
Much lower	6 (2.4)	7 (2.7)		8 (3.2)	4 (1.6)	
Lower	25 (10.0)	41 (15.8)		52 (20.7)	23 (9.2)	
Even	75 (30.0)	56 (21.5)		81 (32.3)	55 (22.0)	
Higher	76 (30.4)	82 (31.5)		50 (19.9)	77 (30.8)	
Much higher	68 (27.2)	74 (28.5)		60 (23.9)	91 (36.4)	

Table S2. Perceived severity compared with SARS by age groups

	Increased frequency in		Longer	
-	Face mask	Immediate	handwashin	Purchased goggles
	wearing	handwashing	duration	
GAD score (continuous)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	(0.9 - 1.0)	(1.0 - 1.0)	(1.0 - 1.0)	(1.0 - 1.0)
Correct perceived efficacy	1.4	1.9**	1.2	1.2
	(0.5 - 4.0)	(1.2 - 3.2)	(0.8 - 1.7)	(0.8 - 1.8)
Perceived risk	0.9	1.0	1.2**	1.1
	(0.7 - 1.3)	(09 – 1.3)	(1.1 - 1.4)	(0.9 - 1.3)
Perceived severity	0.8	0.8	0.8*	1.1
	(0.6 - 1.0)	(0.7 - 1.0)	(0.7 – 1.0)	(0.9 - 1.3)
Relative transmissibility				
to SARS (in 2003)	1.2	1.3*	1.3**	1.0
	(0.8 – 2.0)	(1.0 - 1.7)	(1.1 - 1.6)	(0.8 - 1.1)
Relative harm to body				
to SARS (in 2003)	1.1	0.8*	1.0	1.2*
	(0.8 - 1.4)	(0.7 – 1.0)	(0.9 - 1.2)	(1.0 - 1.4)
Received and read information brochure	1.3	3.2**	1.2	0.9
	(0.5 - 3.4)	(1.4 - 7.1)	(0.7 - 1.9)	(0.5 - 1.5)
Sufficient information	1.2	1.1	1.2*	0.9
	(0.8 - 1.9)	(0.9 - 1.4)	(1.0 - 1.4)	(0.8 - 1.1)
Confused about information reliability	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0
	(0.8 - 1.4)	(0.8 - 1.2)	(0.9 - 1.2)	(0.9 - 1.2)
Self-confidence	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.0
	(0.6 - 1.6)	(0.9 - 1.6)	(0.8 - 1.1)	(0.8 - 1.2)

Table S3. Perception variables associated with face mask wearing and hands washing, odds ratios (95% CI)

Notes: Each column presents a separate multivariate logistic regression result. * for significance at the 5% level; ** for significance at the 1% level.

	Wuhan	Shanghai	Dualua
	N (%)	N (%)	P-value
Washing hands more frequently			0.274
Effective	435 (85.5)	414 (82.6)	
Even	71 (13.9)	80 (16.0)	
Ineffective	3 (0.6)	7 (1.4)	
Wearing a face mask			0.314
Effective	471 (92.5)	450 (89.8)	
Even	35 (6.9)	47 (9.4)	
Ineffective	3 (0.6)	4 (0.8)	
Reducing going out			0.547
Effective	491 (96.5)	481 (96.0)	
Even	14 (2.8)	18 (3.6)	
Ineffective	4 (0.8)	2 (0.4)	
Washing mouth with salty water			<0.001
Effective	153 (30.1)	124 (24.8)	
Even	231 (45.4)	187 (37.3)	
Ineffective	125 (24.6)	190 (37.9)	
Having vitamin C or radix isatidis			0.002
(a traditional Chinese medicine)			0.002
Effective	81 (15.9)	89 (17.8)	
Even	256 (50.3)	198 (39.5)	
Ineffective	172 (33.8)	214 (42.7)	

Table S4. Perceived efficacy of various behaviors

Figure S1. Participant recruitment flowcharts





Figure S2. Top reasons for wearing a face mask and not always wearing in the past week