1 MONITORING OF RESPIRATORY VIRUS COINFECTION IN SOUTHERN 2 BRAZIL DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC

3

4 Dayane Azevedo Padilha^{1,3}, Fernando Hartmann Barazzetti^{2,3}, Marcos André

- 5 Schörner², Vilmar Benetti Filho^{1,3}, Eric Kazuo Kawagoe³, Doris Sobral Marques
- 6 Souza¹, Maria Luiza Bazzo², Glauber Wagner³ and Gislaine Fongaro^{1*}
- 7

¹ Laboratório de Virologia Aplicada do Departamento de Microbiologia,
⁹ Imunologia e Parasitologia (MIP) do Centro de Ciências Biológicas (CCB),
¹⁰ Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil.

² Laboratório de Biologia Molecular, Microbiologia e Sorologia do Centro de
Ciências da Saúde (CCS), Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina,
Florianópolis, Brazil.

- ³ Laboratório de Bioinformática do Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e
 Parasitologia (MIP) do Centro de Ciências Biológicas (CCB), Universidade
 Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil.
- 17
- 18 * Corresponding Author: Prof. Gislaine Fongaro
- 19 Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia (MIP) do Centro de
- 20 Ciências Biológicas (CCB), Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
- 21 Florianópolis, SC 88040-900, Brazil
- 22 E-mail: gislainefongaro@gmail.com
- 23

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

24 ABSTRACT

25 Since December 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 has reached approximately 769 million people, leading to more than 7 million deaths 26 27 worldwide. Faced with the possible presence of other respiratory pathogens that 28 could co-infect and modify the clinical response of patients detected for SARS-29 CoV-2, some researchers have explored this line of investigation. The 30 relationship between these co-infections remains unclear, leading to a need to 31 deepen our knowledge about interactions among pathogens, and between pathogens and the host. Thus, the present study employed RT-gPCR to assess 32 33 the presence of Human Adenovirus (HAdV), Influenza A (Flu A), Influenza B (Flu B), Human Metapneumovirus (HMPV), Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), 34 35 Human Rhinovirus (HRV), and Parainfluenza Virus (PIV). A total of 187 36 nasopharyngeal samples from adult patients exhibiting respiratory symptoms 37 were collected between February 2021 and November 2022 at the University Hospital Polydoro Ernani de Sao Thiago in Florianopolis, SC, Brazil. Our findings 38 39 revealed that 25.16% of samples tested positive for non-SARS-CoV-2 respiratory viruses (29.8% - HRV, 5.3% - PIV, 4.3% - RSV, and 1.1% - HMPV). From the 40 41 74.84% of SARS-CoV-2 positive patients, the presence of co-infection was observed in 9,7% of patients, with 7.5% being HRV, 1.1% HAdV and 1.1% Flu A. 42 43 Since co-infections can potentially alter patient prognoses and impact local 44 epidemiological dynamics, this study highlights the significance of ongoing monitoring and epidemiological assessment through genomic surveillance of 45 46 other clinically relevant respiratory pathogens.

47 Keywords: Co-infection; Respiratory Viruses; RT-qPCR; SARS-CoV-2.

48

49 **1 INTRODUCTION**

In August 2023, the pandemic of COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2, reached approximately 769 million cases and almost 7 million deaths worldwide, while in Brazil, the number of cases is approaching 37 million with approximately 700,000 deaths. These data reinforce the clinical and epidemiological importance of this pandemic that lasted more than 3 years 1.

55 Early reports from China said that co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 with other respiratory viruses is rare. However, studies have shown that the presence of co-56 infection between SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory viruses seems to aggravate 57 lung disease in such a way as to increase the need for mechanical ventilation 58 59 (when co-infected with influenza, for example), in addition to impacting the circulation of seasonal viral infections and patient morbidity in cases where there 60 61 is co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 with Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), Human Adenovirus (HAdV), Human Rhinovirus (HRV) and human Metapneumovirus 62 63 (HMPV) 2, 3.

The co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and influenza is the most reported in the literature. In addition, the two viruses share similarities in terms of respiratory symptoms. It is believed that at the beginning of the pandemic, several cases may have been misdiagnosed, as at that time there were no well-established diagnostic tests for SARS-CoV-2 4.

69 During the first cases of severe acute respiratory infections, at a time in 70 which the pathological agent had not been identified, molecular biology 71 techniques for detecting pathogens through respiratory panels were decisive and 72 paved the way for the sequencing and classification of SARS-CoV-2. At the 73 beginning of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, the number of studies of co-infection with other respiratory pathogens was very low. Even today, after the WHO 74 declared the end of the pandemic, knowledge about the influences of co-75 76 infections of SARS-CoV-2 with other respiratory viruses is unclear with regards to host-pathogen interactions, patterns of infection and transmissibility, and the 77 clinical outcome of patients 5. 78

59 Studies have demonstrated the importance of identifying pathogens that 80 cause acute pneumonia even before COVID-19, given the pulmonary predominance of Influenza A (Flu A) and Influenza B (Flu B), HRV, RSV, HMPV,
and Parainfluenza Virus (PIV), which had their epidemiological patterns modified
with the insertion of SARS-CoV-2 into the population 6.

The present study evaluated 187 nasopharyngeal samples from patients with non-serious respiratory symptoms, admitted to a screening unit for the diagnosis of COVID-19, to determine co-infections by HAdV; Flu A; Flu B; HMPV; RSV; HRV, an**d PIV.** Thus, this study aims to report the profile of co-infections of respiratory viruses and SARS-CoV-2 from February 2021 to November 2022 in the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.

90

91 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

92 2.1 SAMPLES PROCESSING

A total of 187 nasopharyngeal samples from adults (men and women), with non-serious respiratory symptoms, were randomly collected between February 2021 and November 2022 – of which 88 were obtained from healthcare professionals – with 93 positive and 94 negative diagnoses for SARS-CoV-2. Samples were collected using a nasopharyngeal swab in an appropriate transport medium, as recommended by health agencies, for the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-92.

100 2.2 RNA PURIFICATION AND PCR

101 Samples were aliquoted and stored in cryotubes at -80°C. Viral genetic 102 material was extracted using QIAmp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA). Detection 103 of SARS-CoV-2 was performed using the Allplex[™] 2019-nCoV Assay Kit or Allplex[™] SARS-CoV-2 Assay Kit (Seegene, Korea). Negative and positive 104 105 samples for SARS-CoV-2 from symptomatic patients were tested in the Allplex™ 106 RV Essential Assay (Seegene, Korea), multiplex gPCR that detects seven viruses: HAdV; Flu A; Flu B; HMPV; RSV; HRV, and PIV. Amplification was 107 108 performed according to the manufacturer's instructions on the CFX96™ Realtime PCR System thermal cycler (Bio-Rad®) and the results were visualized 109 110 using the Seegene View software.

112 2.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

During this study, 187 nasopharyngeal samples from adult patients with respiratory symptoms were collected between February 2021 and November 2022 at the Hospital Universitário Polydoro Ernani de São Thiago in Florianópolis, SC, Brazil. After PCR testing for the viruses HAdV, Flu A, Flu B, HMPV, RSV, HRV, and PIV, Fisher's exact test was used to examine the association between SARS-CoV -2 and other viral infections.

119

120 **3 RESULTS**

From February 2021 to November 2022, 187 samples were randomly selected to determine co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 with HAdV, Flu A, Flu B, HMPV, RSV, HRV, and PIV. None of the 187 samples were positive for Flu B. Between February 2021 and November 2022, one test was positive for HAdV, one for Flu A, one for HMPV, four for RSV, 35 HRV, and five for PIV.

126 Absolute and relative frequencies for viral infections are shown in Figure 1. HRV was the most frequent infection for patients with negative gPCR results 127 128 for SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 2) and showed co-infections with PIV, HMPV, and RSV 129 (Figure 1). SARS-CoV-2-positive patients had more co-infections with HRV than the other tested viruses (Figure 2). Also, Flu A and HAdV were only detected in 130 131 SARS-CoV-2 positive patients and this result indicates a relationship between 132 SARS-CoV-2 and other viral infections caused by Influenza A and HAdV (Fisher's exact test p-value = 0.000001327). 133

134

135 4 DISCUSSION

Even after the official WHO declaration 1 on the end of the public health emergency of international concern related to COVID-19, scientific data still needs to be explored in search of answers that can elucidate the variations in the clinical presentation among patients and in the epidemiological aspects of SARS-CoV-2. One of these explorations involves the importance of co-infection with other respiratory pathogens 1.

Respiratory viruses such as influenza (Flu A and Flu B) and RSV were 142 143 already known in the pre-pandemic periods for affecting the population, 144 generating epidemic outbreaks with cardiorespiratory impairment, which could 145 lead to morbidity in the infected population 7.8. Studies have shown that even early in the pandemic, diagnosis of other respiratory pathogens helped identify 146 147 the virus that later became known as SARS-CoV-2. Some studies have reported 148 the clinical importance of patients co-infected with respiratory viruses combined 149 with SARS-CoV-2, identifying an increased need for mechanical ventilation after 150 co-infection 3,9.

151 The epidemiological data show a clear change in the detection of seasonal 152 viruses such as influenza, which seems not to have been identified between the 153 years 2020 and 2022, the period with the highest number of cases and deaths 154 caused by COVID-19 10. It is not clear if this lower detection of seasonal 155 respiratory viruses is due to the reduction in the prevalence of pathogens other 156 than SARS-CoV-2 owing to interactions and competition between pathogens, to 157 a possible underreporting resulting from the overload of health professionals involved in the pandemic, or both 11. 158

Of the total of 187 samples from adult patients (men and women) with nonserious respiratory symptoms, one test was positive for HAdV, one for Flu A, one for HMPV, four for RSV, 35 for HRV, and five for PIV. Our data corroborates Kim and collaborators who, in 2020, had already demonstrated higher report rates of HRV and RSV infections in patients with respiratory symptoms but undetected for SARS-CoV-2 11,12.

165 It is important to highlight that, during their study, Kim and collaborators 12 166 also evaluated the presence of enterovirus, Chlamydia pneumoniae and 167 Mycoplasma pneumoniae, unlike our study, in which such infections may have 168 been underreported, and which have clinical importance and may worsen the 169 prognosis of patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. In addition, the number of cases 170 of COVID-19 in our study was much higher 12,13.

Another study 14 evaluated, between November 2021 and February 2022, the presence of co-infections among patients detected with SARS-CoV-2 in combination with Flu A (65%), enterovirus-rhinovirus (20%), HAdV (2%), RSV (2%), HMPV (2%), Human parainfluenza virus type 3 (2%), and Human

175 coronavirus (7%). Unlike our study, in which patients detected for SARS-CoV-2 176 had a higher prevalence of co-infection with HRV, Eldesouki et al. 14 had the 177 highest prevalence of co-infection between SARS-CoV-2 and Flu A. On the other 178 hand, we must consider that the studies were developed with different sample 179 sizes (41 patients versus 187), in addition to differences in population 180 characteristics 14.

In Brazil, since 2021, data from the Board of Epidemiological Surveillance of the State of Santa Catarina - DIVE (official website for monitoring infectious diseases) have presented RSV, HRV, HAdV, bocavirus, in addition to the unidentified ones, as the main agents causing acute respiratory syndrome after SARS-CoV-2. These data are partially different from our results, showing HRV as the main agent detected both in patients detected and undetected for SARS-CoV-2 15.

188 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) is a more aggressive infection that can lead to hospitalizations and death. In the surveillance carried 189 190 out in this work, the largest number of infections reported, except for SARS-CoV-191 2, is by HRV. This discrepancy in the data can be explained in part by the 192 sampling of this work, which did not cover severe cases, but only classic flu 193 symptoms, a profile different from that monitored by public agencies. 194 Interestingly, although our results demonstrate only one sample of co-infection 195 between SARS-CoV-2 and Flu A, epidemiological data from DIVE 16,17 reported 196 72 deaths in the state of Santa Catarina due to SARS caused by Flu A. We 197 hypothesize that this difference is due to the population group of the study, which 198 were symptomatic adults without enough clinical severity to justify hospitalization 199 characteristics of SARS.

200

201 5 CONCLUSIONS

Between February 2021 and November 2022, respiratory viruses were diagnosed in 187 adult patients of both sexes, with characteristic symptoms of respiratory infection. During this study, HRV, PIV, RSV, and MPV were detected in patients not detected for SARS-CoV-2, in addition to the detection of HAdV, Flu A, and HRV co-infecting patients detected for SARS-CoV-2. These data

207 demonstrate the importance of the monitoring of contagious infectious pathogens208 of clinical and epidemiological importance, in addition to SARS-CoV-2, aiming to

- 209 identify the population epidemiological pattern to improve the tools for preventing
- transmissibility and making therapeutic approaches as individualized as possible.
- 211

212 **DISCLAIMER**

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil, or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated. The funders had no role in the study design, data analysis, or the decision to publish.

217

218 FUNDING

219 This research was funded by Santa Catarina Research and Innovation 220 Foundation (FAPESC, Santa Catarina, Brazil) (grant number 221 COV2020051000065), CAPES (Coordination for the Improvement of Higher 222 Education Personnel, Brazil), CNPq (National Council for Scientific and 223 Technological Development, Brazil) and UFSC (Federal University of Santa Catarina). DAP, FHB, MAS, VBF, EKK and DSMS were recipients of FAPESC, 224 CAPES, or CNPq scholarships. 225

226

227 INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STATEMENT

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee in Research Humans Beings of Federal University of Santa Catarina (Assent number: 4.035.636, CAAE: 31521920.8.0000.0121, 19 May 2020).

232

233 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is dedicated to all SC citizens who suffered or passed away due to COVID-19. We are indebted to Hospital Universitário/HU/EBSERH at the Federal University of Santa Catarina (Florianópolis, SC, Brazil) for granting access to interview patients and perform sample collection.

238

239 **REFERENCES**

WHO. Corona Virus Dashboard [homepage on the internet]. Cited 2023
 sep 19. Available from: ">https://covid19.who.int/>.

Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics
 of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive
 study. Lancet. 2020; 395(10223):507-513.

3. Swets MC, Russell CD, Harrison EM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 co-infection with
influenza viruses, respiratory syncytial virus, or adenoviruses. Lancet 2022;
399:1463–1464.

Scott SJ, Pfotenhauer B, Weiner JJ, et al. Respiratory Pathogen
 Coinfections in SARS-CoV-2-Positive Patients in Southeastern Wisconsin: A
 Retrospective Analysis. Microbiol Spectr. 2021; 31;9(2):e0083121.

5. Xing QS, Li GJ, Xing YH, et al. Precautions are needed for COVID-19
patients with coinfection of common respiratory pathogens. medRxiv, 2020
(PrePrint). doi: 10.1101/2020.02.29.20027698.

Burk M, El-Kersh K, Saad M, et al. Viral infection in community-acquired
 pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir Rev.
 2016;25(140):178–188.

Shi T, Denouel A, Tietjen AK, et al. Global Disease Burden Estimates of
 Respiratory Syncytial Virus-Associated Acute Respiratory Infection in Older
 Adults in 2015: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Infect. Dis.
 2020;222((Suppl. 7)):S577–S583.

Li Y, Wang X, Blau DM, et al. Global, regional, and national disease burden
 estimates of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus
 in children younger than 5 years in 2019: A systematic analysis. Lancet.
 2022;399:2047–2064.

Maltezou HC, Papanikolopoulou A, Vassiliu S, et al. COVID-19 and
 Respiratory Virus Co-Infections: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Viruses.
 2023;15(4):865.

268 10. PAHO - Alerta epidemiológico - Influenza, vírus sincicial respiratório e
269 SARS-CoV-2 [homepage on the internet]. Cited 2023 jun 6. Available from:
270 https://www.paho.org/pt/documentos/alerta-epidemiologico-influenza-virus-

271 sincicial-respiratorio-e-sars-cov-2-6-junho-2023>.

272 11. Dähne T, Bauer W, Essig A, et al. The impact of the SARS-CoV-2
273 pandemic on the prevalence of respiratory tract pathogens in patients with
274 community-acquired pneumonia in Germany. Emerg Microbes Infect.
275 2021;10(1):1515-1518.

276 12. Kim D, Quinn J, Pinsky B, et al. Rates of Co-infection Between SARS277 CoV-2 and Other Respiratory Pathogens. JAMA. 2020;323(20):2085–2086.

278 13. Mirzaei R, Goodarzi P, Asadi M, et al. Bacterial co-infections with SARS279 CoV-2. IUBMB Life, 2020;72(10):2097-2111.

14. Eldesouki RE, Uhteg K, Mostafa HH. The circulation of Non-SARS-CoV-2
respiratory viruses and coinfections with SARS-CoV-2 during the surge of the
Omicron variant. J Clin Virol. 2022;153:105215.

283 15. DIVE [homepage on the internet]. Cited 2023 sep 20. Available from:
284 https://dive.sc.gov.br/phocadownload/Boletins/Boletim%20Epidemiol%C3%B3
285 gico%20SRAG%20N%C2%BA13.pdf>.

286 16. DIVE [homepage on the internet]. Cited 2023 sep 21. Available from:
287 https://dive.sc.gov.br/phocadownload/doencas-

agravos/Gripe%20(Influenza)/Boletins/boletim-influenza-12-2022.pdf>.

289 17. DIVE [homepage on the internet]. Cited 2023 sep 21. Available from:
290 https://dive.sc.gov.br/phocadownload/doencas-

agravos/Gripe%20(Influenza)/Boletins/boletim-influenza-13-2022.pdf>.

292

293

295 FIGURES

296



297

Figure 1. Viral profile in positive and negative SARS-CoV-2 samples. Absolute and relative
frequency for respiratory viral panel tests. Human Adenovirus (HAdV); Influenza A (Flu A); Human
Metapneumovirus (HMPV); Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV); Human Rhinovirus (HRV) and
Parainfluenza Virus (PIV).

302



304

Figure 2. Summary of viral infection related to SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic. The respiratory viral
panel tests included Human Adenovírus (HAdV), Influenza A (Flu A), Human Metapneumovirus
(HMPV), Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), Human Rhinovirus (HRV), and Parainfluenza Virus
(PIV). The thinnest lines represent zero counts and all viruses are ordered in the same sequence
in both columns.