# 1 Socio-economic determinants of early antenatal care visit among pregnant women

# 2 in Zambia (2007-2019): Evidence from the Zambia Demographic and Health Surveys

# 3 Authors and affiliations

- 4 Wingston Felix Ng'ambi<sup>1\*</sup>, Cosmas Zyambo<sup>2</sup>, Alice Ngoma Hazemba<sup>2</sup>, Adamson Sinjani Muula<sup>3</sup>, Dominic Nkhoma<sup>1</sup>,
- 5 Twaambo Hamonga<sup>2</sup>, Angel Mwiche<sup>4</sup>
- 6 <sup>1</sup>Kamuzu University of Health Sciences, Department of Health Systems and Policy, Health Economics and Policy Unit,
- 7 Lilongwe, Malawi
- 8 <sup>2</sup>University of Zambia, Department of Public Health and Family Medicine, Lusaka, Zambia
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Kamuzu University of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Blantyre, Malawi
- 10 <sup>4</sup>Ministry of Health, Reproductive Health Directorate, Lusaka, Zambia

11

12 \*Corresponding author information: Wingston Felix Ng'ambi, Kamuzu University of Health Sciences,

13 Department of Health Systems and Policy, Health Economics and Policy Unit, Lilongwe, Malawi, Email:

14 wingston.ngambi@gmail.com/wng'ambi@kuhes.ac.mw

15

# 16 ABSTRACT

17 **INTRODUCTION:** The timing of antenatal care (ANC) attendance may affect outcomes for mother and

18 child health. Using the Zambia Demographic and Health Survey (ZDHS), we describe the adoption of at

19 least four early ANC (ANC4+) visits and early uptake of ANC among women of reproductive age in

20 Zambia between 2007 and 2019.

21 METHODS: We made use of ZDHS data gathered between 2007 and 2019. In this investigation, all

women between the ages of 15 and 49 were taken into account. Early ANC4+ was the desired result,

23 which was defined as having at least four ANC visits with the first ANC visit occurring during the first four

24 months of pregnancy. In Stata v17, weighted univariate, bivariate, and multivariate logistic regression

- analyses were performed.
- 26 **RESULTS:** A total of 11633 (56%) of the 20661 women enrolled in our study had received early initiation

of ANC4+. We saw an increase in the proportion of women who started ANC4+ early, from 55% in 2007

- to 63% in 2018/19. There was a decreasing trend in the odds of early ANC4+ initiation with parity, but an
- 29 increasing trend in the odds of early ANC4+ initiation with a higher level of education. Being a member

- of a wealthier household was associated with a lower risk of ANC4+ (OR= 0.81, 95%CI: 0.66-0.99,
- P=0.03). Twenty-seven percent of the 12,333 women who had at least four ANC visits, regardless of the
- 32 timing of their first visit, reported being late for ANC.
- 33 CONCLUSION: Early ANC4+ uptake increased in Zambia between 2007 and 2019. There were, however,
- disparities due to wealth, education, and parity. We found that 27% of women who were misclassified
- as having at least ANC4+ using conventional analysis were actually late for ANC. We provide some key
- 36 considerations for ensuring that Zambia and other similar settings achieve universal antenatal care
- 37 coverage by 2030.
- 38
- 39 **RUNNING TITLE:** Early uptake of at least four ANC visits in Zambia
- 40
- 41 KEY TERMS: SSA, Zambia, ANC, ANC4+
- 42

## 43 INTRODUCTION

Several antenatal care (ANC) models have been implemented by the World Health Organisation 44 45 (WHO) since 2000 [1]. For example, the Focused Antenatal Care (FANC), an ANC model that recommends least four ANC (ANC4+) visits for women with uncomplicated pregnancies, has been 46 implemented since 2000 [2]. Despite the reduction in maternal and child mortality due to improved 47 48 health system in the past decade, most women still do not complete the required ANC visits since they 49 report late for their first ANC visit [3]. Although most women under the FANC model did not meet the 50 required minimal number of ANC visits, the WHO 2016 ANC guidelines doubled the number of ANC visits 51 to be completed by ANC women [4] [5]. The WHO 2016 ANC guidelines are premised on high income 52 countries where the increased number of contact has been associated with low perinatal and maternal 53 deaths [5] [6].

There is evidence on the general uptake and timing of ANC services in Zambia [7] [8] [9] however such evidence have not assessed the composition of both early initiation and attendance of at least four ANC visits. Furthermore, in Zambia nearly half of the women had at least four ANC visits irrespective of the timing of the first ANC visit and that most of women report late for ANC [7] [8] [9]. This study, therefore, generates evidence that will allow policy makers and decision makers in Zambia and other similar settings to understand the determinants of both uptake and timing of ANC visits.

60 Furthermore, the evidence is important in informing the design and implementation of interventions on

- 61 promoting both early initiation and attainment of the minimum of four ANC visits, and consequently
- 62 informing the possibility of achieving the 8 contacts as stipulated in the WHO 2016 ANC guidelines.
- 63

#### 64 METHODS

#### 65 Study design, participants, data source, and sample size

We combined data from the 2007, 2013-4 and 2019 ZDHS. A secondary analysis of the women's questionnaire data from three Zambia Demographic and Health Surveys (ZDHS) administered between 2007 and 2019 [10] [11]. These ZDHSs collected data from female participants on maternal and child health and healthcare use, contraception and women's socio-economic status. All 20,661 women aged between 15-49 years who had given at least one birth during the five-year period preceding each survey were included in the analysis.

## 72 Study setting

Zambia is located in southern Africa. Currently, there were approximately 19 million persons in
Zambia in 2021. Zambia shares its border with Angola, Botswana, the Democratic Republic of Congo,
Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, Tanzania, and Zimbabwe. The country is divided into 116 districts and
provinces. The ANC services are provided free of user fees in all public health clinics.

#### 77 Sampling Procedure

78 The sampling frame for 2007 ZDHS was the Census of Population and Housing of the Republic of 79 Zambia (CPH) conducted in 2000 [10] while the sampling frame for the 2014 and 2018 ZDHS was the 80 2010 Census of Population and Housing of the Republic of Zambia (CPH) [12]. The sampling frame is a 81 complete list of all census standard enumeration areas (SEA). The SEA contains information about the 82 location, type of residence (urban or rural), and the estimated number of residential households. The ZDHS samples were stratified and selected in two stages. A total of 320 SEAs were selected with 83 probability proportional to size in the first stage. In the second stage, 300 households were selected. 84 Prior to selection of the households, listing of the households in the selected clusters was done. 85

### 86 Variables and Measurements

87 Outcome Variable

The outcome variable of interest was whether or not women had at least four ANC visits; reviewed by a doctor/clinical officer, or assistant clinical officer, or nurse/midwife; with the first visit occurring in or prior to the four months of pregnancy [13].

#### 91 Independent Variable

92 The following were the explanatory variables used in this analysis and have been classified as 93 follows: external environment (area of residence (rural/urban), year of interview, and province of 94 residence during the interview), socio-demographics (woman's age, wealth index of the household from 95 which the woman comes from, woman's highest education level, woman's marital status, and number 96 of children ever born by the woman), woman's exposure to knowledge (frequency of listening to radio or watching television)) and woman's ANC enablers (permission to visit health facility, availability of 97 98 money to pay for health services, distance to health facilities, presence of companion when going for 99 healthcare at a facility, and desire for current pregnancy) [13]. We further examined the health services 100 accessed during ANC (blood test, urine test, blood pressure check-up, Iron tablets for 90+ days, HIV 101 testing and counselling, and sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP)/Fansidar for malaria prophylaxis) [13].

102

#### 103 Data Management and statistical Analysis

104 Statistical data management and analysis were done in Stata version 17 (Stata Corp., Texas, 105 USA). To detect bias, we examined the data for completeness and all the merged data had no missing 106 data hence all the data were included in this analysis. We estimated frequencies, percentages, odds 107 ratios (OR) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) as applicable. We weighted the 108 analysis since it came from a complex survey design [14]. We calculated the equal weights for each 109 sample cluster and divided the average weight for each cluster by three as illustrated by Friedman and 110 Jang in 2002 [15]. We then conducted weighted univariate, bivariate and multivariable logistic 111 regression analysis of the effects of each of the explanatory variable on the binary endpoint of early 112 initiation of ANC4 [13]. Multiple weighted logistic regression models were used with a forward step-wise 113 selection method. We used the likelihood ratio test (LRT) to determine whether a factor was included in 114 the model or not. We set a statistical significance of P< 0.05 as the threshold in this analysis. We 115 included age of the woman *apriori* in the multiple logistic regression model.

#### 116 Ethical Considerations

We obtained permission to conduct secondary analysis of the DHS 2007, 2013-14 and 2018 from
 Measure DHS. The ZDHS data were obtained data from Measure DHS. Individual informed consent was
 not required since we conducted secondary data analysis.

120

# 121 **RESULTS**

#### 122 Characteristics of ANC women in Zambia

123 The characteristics of 20661 ANC women evaluated between 2007 and 2019 are shown in Table 124 1. A total of 4099 (20% of 20661) were interviewed in 2007 while 7305 (36% of 20661) were interviewed 125 in the 2018/19. Table 1 shows that the majority of the women being aged 20-24 years while the 126 minority were aged between 45 to 49 years. The median age of the ANC women was 28 years 127 (interquartile range (IQR): 23-34). The majority of the ANC women had between 2 and 3 children 128 previously (33%) while the minority of the ANC women had one child (22%) as shown in Table 1. The 129 median parity was 3 (IQR: 2-5). Most of women had primary education while the minority had tertiary 130 education (see Table 1). Forty-five percent of 20661 ANC women were from households of poor socio-131 economic position. 132 Sixty-two percent of the women were from rural areas with more women were being from rural

133 areas between 2007 and 2019 (see Table 1). Although 8986 of 20661 listened to radio between 2007 134 and 2019, we observed a decreasing trend from 54% in 2007 to 32% in 2018/19. Overall, the minority of 135 women (5498 of 20661) watched a television (TV) for more than once a week but the numbers watching 136 TV increased from 23% in 2007 to 28% in 2018/19. Over the 2007 to 2019 surveys, women cited 137 different barriers to accessing ANC and these barriers had different trends (see Table 1). The major 138 barriers were long distance to health facilities (39% of 20661) and lack of money to use in accessing 139 health services (27% of 20661). Across the survey populations, the majority of the women were married while the lowest proportion were widowed (see Table 1). 140

#### 142 Factors associated with early antenatal care of at least four visits in Zambia

#### 143 **Distribution of women by number of ANC visits**

144 The distribution of women by number of ANC visits is shown in Table 2a and 2b. Of the 20661,

145 11633 (56%) of women had attended early of the ANC4+. There was an increasing trend in the uptake of

early initiation of ANC4+ from 55% in 2007 to 63% in 2018/19 (P<0.001). The distribution of women with

147 early initiation of ANC4+ decreased with increasing parity (see Table 2a). Early ANC+ initiation was

148 higher amongst women with tertiary education (74%) compared to those with no formal education

149 (52%). Also, early initiation of ANC4+ was higher amongst women from rural areas than their

- 150 counterparts from urban areas (see Table 2a).
- 151

## 152 Adjusted odds ratios of women having early antenatal care of at least four visits

Table 3 shows both the crude and adjusted odds ratios for early initiation of ANC4+ amongst women in Zambia. The uptake of early initiation of ANC4+ significantly increased between 2007 and 2019 (P<0.001). However, the odds of early initiation of ANC4+ decreased with increasing parity (see Table 3) while there was an increasing uptake of early initiation of ANC4+ with the level of education (Table 3). Women from richer households were less likely to have early initiation of ANC4+ compared to their counterparts from poor households (OR= 0.81, 95%CI: 0.66-0.99, P=0.03).

159

## 160 Services received by women seeking antenatal care

Figure 1 shows the ANC services received by women that attended ANC in Zambia. Between 161 162 2007 and 2019, the coverage of full blood count increased from 61% to 96% (P < 0.001). Similarly, urine 163 test was done 23% of the ANC women in 2007 and 64% of the ANC women in 2018/19 (P<0.001). The 164 distribution of ANC women that were given at least two doses of Fansidar/SP for malaria prophylaxis 165 increased from 86% in 2007 to 95% in 2018/19 (P<0.001). HIV testing uptake at ANC increased from 46% 166 in 2007 to 70% in 2013/14 and then dropped to 67% in 2018/19. Between 2007 and 2019, blood 167 pressure checkup increased from 81% to 94% of the ANC women. By 2019, nearly all ANC women in 168 Zambia received iron tablets (see Figure 1).

169

#### 171 Timing of antenatal care visits

172 The median time of presenting for the first ANC was 4 months (IQR: 3-5). Over the time period, 173 there was strong evidence of association between timing of first ANC visit by survey year (P<0.001). 174 Table 4 shows the characteristics of women with at least four ANC visits regardless of the timing of the 175 first ANC visit. Of the 12333 ANC women with at least 4 ANC visits, 9004 (73%) started ANC after the 176 fourth month of pregnancy. The distribution of ANC women that attended their first ANC visit after four 177 months dropped from 40% in 2007 to 19% in 2018/19. Most women with higher parity had late 178 attendance of ANC (see Table 4). In addition, fewer ANC women with tertiary education (21%) than 179 women with no formal education (26%) had late attendance of ANC.

180

## 181 DISCUSSION

This is the first analysis of both early initiations and uptake of four or more ANC visits through the using combined outcome in the context of Zambia between 2007 and 2019. The study's key findings were: (a) nearly two-thirds of the women in Zambia had early ANC4+ visits, (b) there was increasing trend in early ANC4+ visits between 2007 and 2019, (c) early of ANC4+ decreased with increasing parity, (d) women from richer households were less likely to have early ANC4+, (d) early of ANC4+ increased with increasing level of woman's education, and (e) uptake of services provided to ANC women increased although HIV testing was sub-optimal.

Our study The uptake of early ANC4+ in Zambia was 56%. The observed uptake of early ANC4+ for Zambia was almost twice the early ANC4+ visits reported in Malawi over similar time period [13]. The difference in the uptake of early ANC4+ is attributable to the existing policy frameworks in operationalizing the ANC guidelines to achieve the targets for the two countries [7] [16].

193 We observed an increasing trend in early ANC4+ uptake over time between 2007 and 2019 for 194 Zambia. The observed trend in early ANC4+ is similar to what was observed in Malawi [13]. Similarly, a 195 multi-country analysis of the countries in the East Africa region found increasing uptake of ANC4+ visits 196 over time [17]. The increasing uptake of early ANC4+ is mainly due to increased commitment of these 197 countries in implementing the global and local interventions aimed at providing quality ANC4+ services 198 to women in these settings [18]. In Zambia, the emphasis was placed on empowering community 199 volunteers also known as Safe Motherhood Action Groups (SMAGs) with health promotion messages to 200 encourage women to report early for ANC in order to achieve ANC4+ visits and access health facility 201 delivery [19] [20].

In this study we found a lower uptake of early ANC4+ with increasing parity. Other similar studies have also observed a similar trend in uptake of early ANC4+ with increasing parity [17] [13]. A possible explanation for the observed pattern could be that women who have more children feel more experienced with pregnancy due to previous experiences with non-complicated pregnancies and end up reporting late for ANC. In addition, women with a higher parity may also be ashamed with their current pregnancies and hence find themselves not willing to report early for ANC and this is mainly the case with unwanted pregnancies or multiparty.

209 We also observed that women from richer households were less likely to have early ANC4+ than 210 the women from poorest households. The findings from this study are different from what has been 211 observed in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) [21] or in Malawi [13]. The differences may be attributable to 212 different socio-cultural environments in which the ANC guidelines are implemented, where deliberate 213 efforts are placed on poor communities to the neglect of those living in richer communities. Such 214 disparities can be addressed by embracing ideologies for universal coverage for all health care services 215 and especially reproductive health interventions [22].

216 In this study, there was an increasing uptake of early ANC4+ with increasing education level of 217 the ANC women. This is similar to what was observed in Malawi on a study that looked at the uptake of 218 early ANC4+ [13]. Furthermore, a multi-country study involving 36 countries in SSA also found huge 219 inequalities in uptake of ANC by woman's highest level of education in which those with lower 220 education had lower uptake of ANC [21]. Therefore, Zambia should potentially invest in education so 221 that disparities that occur as a result of lower education get reduced or eliminated completely. This 222 would also contribute towards achieving universal health coverage of the ANC and other health 223 outcomes that are sensitive to education.

224 There has been an increasing trend in the services offered within the ANC setting in Zambia 225 except for HIV testing. However, other settings like Malawi [13] and Uganda [23] have higher rates of 226 HIV testing in ANC than what has been observed in Zambia. Needless to mention that ANC is one of the 227 critical service delivery points for identifying HIV positive women in the prevention and elimination 228 mother to child transmission [23] [24] [25] [26] [27]. The observed HIV testing uptake amongst ANC 229 women in Zambia was quite below the UNAIDS 95% target for HIV testing [28]. There is need to 230 strategies for integrated HIV testing in ANC services in order to move towards universal HIV testing in 231 antenatal care settings.

The main strength of the current approach to analyzing the ANC4+ visits is that it minimizes the risk of over-reporting the women with ANC4+ visits. In this study, 27% of the women that would have

been misclassified as having at least 4 ANC visits actually reported late for ANC and their frequent visits
were due to pregnancy related complications. Some of the limitations in this study are (a) that the
analysis does not include data on quality of the ANC services received by the women in Zambia, (b)
although hypertension, diabetes and previous HIV status prior to the current pregnancy might affect
ANC uptake, the ZDHS did not capture these hence they were not included in this analysis, and (c)
although ZDHS collected information on HIV testing, there is no information on the timing of the
captured HIV testing to the pregnancy.

241

#### 242 CONCLUSION

243 In conclusion, early uptake of ANC4+ increased between 2007 and 2019 in Zambia. However,

244 there were inequalities due to wealth, education and parity. Our current analytical approach has

245 demonstrated that 27% of the women that would have been misclassified as having at least ANC4+

using conventional analysis were actually late for ANC. The countries in Sub-Saharan Africa should put in

247 place careful measures in implementing the 2016 ANC guidelines since most of them are not achieving

248 at least four timely ANC visits has been a toll order. The findings provide some key considerations in

ensuring that Zambia and other similar settings are able to achieve universal coverage of antenatal careby 2030.

251

## 252 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

WFN led the manuscript writing, conducted data management and analysis; CZ, ANH, ASM, DN, TH &
AM advised on the data analysis and policy insights on the paper. All authors read and approved the
final manuscript.

256

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC: Most studies have analysed timing of ANC visits and uptake
 of ANC4+ visits separately.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: Generates evidence that will allow policy makers and decision makers in
Zambia and other similar settings to understand the determinants of both uptake and timing of ANC
visits as a combined outcome. This will be crucial for ANC programme planning and implementation.

## 263 FUNDING

- 264 We did not receive any funding to conduct this analysis.
- 265

## 266 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

267 There are no competing interests.

268

# 269 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

270 The authors would like to thank the Measure DHS for allowing us to use the ZDHS data.

271

# 273 **REFERENCES**

274 1. Villar J, Ba'aqeel H, Piaggio G, Lumbiganon P, Belizán JM, Farnot U, et al. WHO antenatal care 275 randomised trial for the evaluation of a new model of routine antenatal care. The Lancet. 2001 276 May 19;357(9268):1551-64. 277 2. Mchenga M, Burger R, von Fintel D. Examining the impact of WHO's Focused Antenatal Care policy 278 on early access, underutilisation and quality of antenatal care services in Malawi: a retrospective 279 study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019 May 8;19(1):295. 280 3. Andegiorgish AK, Elhoumed M, Qi Q, Zhu Z, Zeng L. Determinants of antenatal care use in nine sub-Saharan African countries: a statistical analysis of cross-sectional data from Demographic and 281 282 Health Surveys. BMJ Open. 2022 Feb 1;12(2):e051675. 283 4. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience [Internet]. 284 Apps.who.int. 2016 [cited 12 August 2020]. Available from: 285 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250796/9789241549912-eng.pdf?sequence=1. 286 5. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Recommendations on Antenatal Care for a Positive 287 Pregnancy Experience: Summary. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 6. Vogel JP, Habib NA, Souza JP, Gülmezoglu AM, Dowswell T, Carroli G, et al. Antenatal care 288 289 packages with reduced visits and perinatal mortality: a secondary analysis of the WHO Antenatal 290 Care Trial. Reprod Health. 2013;10(1):1-7. 7. Chama-Chiliba CM, Koch SF. Utilization of focused antenatal care in Zambia: examining individual-291 292 and community-level factors using a multilevel analysis. Health Policy Plan. 2015 Feb 1;30(1):78-293 87. 294 8. Kyei NNA, Campbell OMR, Gabrysch S. The Influence of Distance and Level of Service Provision on 295 Antenatal Care Use in Rural Zambia. PLOS ONE. 2012 Oct 4;7(10):e46475. 296 9. Nyambe S, Lungowe S, Choolwe J, Patrick M, Charles M. Factors associated with late antenatal care 297 booking: population based observations from the 2007 Zambia demographic and health survey. 298 PAMJ [Internet]. 2016 Oct 24 [cited 2022 Aug 12];25(109). Available from: 299 https://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/25/109/full 300 10. Central Statistical Office/Zambia, Ministry of Health/Zambia, Tropical Disease Research 301 Centre/Zambia, University of Zambia. Zambia Demographic and Health Survey 2007 [Internet]. Calverton, Maryland, USA: Central Statistical Office/Zambia and Macro International; 2009. 302 303 Available from: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR211/FR211.pdf 304 Zambia Statistics Agency - ZSA, Ministry of Health - MOH, University Teaching Hospital Virology 11. 305 Laboratory - UTH-VL, ICF. Zambia Demographic and Health Survey 2018 [Internet]. Lusaka, Zambia: ZSA, MOH, UTH-VL and ICF; 2020. Available from: 306 https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR361/FR361.pdf 307 308 12. Central Statistical Office/Zambia, Ministry of Health/Zambia, University of Zambia Teaching 309 Hospital Virology Laboratory, University of Zambia Department of Population Studies, Tropical 310 Diseases Research Centre/Zambia, ICF International. Zambia Demographic and Health Survey 2013-14 [Internet]. Rockville, Maryland, USA: Central Statistical Office/Zambia, Ministry of 311 312 Health/Zambia, and ICF International; 2015. Available from: 313 http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR304/FR304.pdf Ng'ambi WF, Collins JH, Colbourn T, Mangal T, Phillips A, Kachale F, et al. Socio-demographic 314 13. 315 factors associated with early antenatal care visits among pregnant women in Malawi: 2004–2016. PLOS ONE. 2022 Feb 8;17(2):e0263650. 316 317 Croft Trevor N., Marshall Aileen M. J., Allen Courtney K., et al. 2018. Guide to DHS Statistics. 14. 318 Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF. Availible from: 319 https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/DHSG1/Guide to DHS Statistics DHS-7 v2.pdf. 320 15. Friedman EM, Jang D. & Williams TV. (2002) Combined estimates from four quarterly survey data 321 sets. Proceedings of the American Statistical Association Joint Statistical Meetings-Section on 322 Survey Research Methods, pp.1064–1069. Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.

16. Ministry of Health. ANC GUIDELINES FOR A POSITIVE PREGNACY EXPERIENCE [Internet]. Lusaka, 323 324 Zambia; 2022. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2019-06/Draft%20ANC%20Guidelines%202018%20-%20Final%20Copy.pdf 325 326 17. Mlandu C, Matsena-Zingoni Z, Musenge E. Trends and determinants of late antenatal care 327 initiation in three East African countries, 2007–2016: A population based cross-sectional analysis. 328 PLOS Glob Public Health. 2022 Aug 15;2(8):e0000534. 329 18. WHO. WHO recommendation on antenatal care contact schedules [Internet]. WHO, Geneva, 330 Switzerland; [cited 2022 Aug 18]. Available from: https://srhr.org/rhl/article/who-331 recommendation-on-antenatal-care-contact-schedules-332 2#:~:text=The%20focused%20ANC%20(FANC)%20model,between%2036%20and%2038%20weeks. 333 Ngoma-Hazemba A, Hamomba L, Silumbwe A, Munakampe MN, Soud F. Community Perspectives 19. of a 3-Delays Model Intervention: A Qualitative Evaluation of Saving Mothers, Giving Life in 334 335 Zambia. Glob Health Sci Pract. 2019 Mar 11;7(Supplement 1):S139. 336 20. CDC, USAID, PEPFAR, & SMGL. (2014). Saving Mothers, Giving Life: Maternal Mortality. Phase 1 337 Monitoring and Evaluation Report. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: US 338 Dept of Health and Human Services. 339 Obse AG, Ataguba JE. Explaining socioeconomic disparities and gaps in the use of antenatal care 21. 340 services in 36 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Health Policy Plan. 2021 Jun 3;36(5):651–61. 341 22. T. K. Sundari Ravindran & Veloshnee Govender (2020) Sexual and reproductive health services in 342 universal health coverage: a review of recent evidence from lowand middle-income countries, 343 Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters. 344 23. Gunn JKL, Asaolu IO, Center KE, Gibson SJ, Wightman P, Ezeanolue EE, et al. Antenatal care and 345 uptake of HIV testing among pregnant women in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross-sectional study. J Int 346 AIDS Soc. 2016;19(1):20605. 347 24. Ministry of Health. Zambia Consolidated Guidelines for Treatment and Prevention of HIV Infection [Internet]. MoH, Zambia; [cited 2022 Aug 18]. Available from: https://www.moh.gov.zm/wp-348 349 content/uploads/filebase/Zambia-Consolidated-Guidelines-for-Treatment-and-Prevention-of-HIV-350 Infection-2020.pdf 351 25. Consolidated guidelines on HIV prevention, testing, treatment, service delivery and monitoring: 352 recommendations for a public health approach. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021. 353 Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 354 Awopegba OE, Kalu A, Ahinkorah BO, Seidu AA, Ajayi AI. Prenatal care coverage and correlates of 26. 355 HIV testing in sub-Saharan Africa: Insight from demographic and health surveys of 16 countries. PLOS ONE. 2020 Nov 9;15(11):e0242001. 356 357 27. Staveteig S, Wang S, Head SK, Bradley SEK, Nybro E. Demographic patterns of HIV testing uptake in sub-Saharan Africa [Internet]. Calverton, Maryland, USA: ICF International; 2013. (DHS 358 359 Comparative Reports No. 30). Available from: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/CR30/CR30.pdf 360 28. UNAIDS. HIV testing and counselling [Internet]. UNAIDS; [cited 2022 Aug 18]. Available from: 361 https://www.unaids.org/en/keywords/hiv-testing-and-counselling 362 363

#### 364 Table 1: Characteristics of women Interviewed during the Zambia Demographic and Health Surveys

## 365 **Conducted between 2007 and 2019**

Characteristics (n = 20661)	Tot	al	20	07	2013	8-14	2018-19	
	n	%	N	%	n	%	n	%
Total	20661	100.0	4099	100.0	9257	100.0	7305	100.0
Age group								
15-19	1939	9.3	361	8.7	848	9.0	730	10.0
20-24	5067	24.4	1042	25.3	2139	23.0	1886	25.6
25-29	4996	24.3	1103	26.8	2262	24.5	1631	22.6
30-34	4005	19.6	782	19.2	1865	20.6	1358	18.5
35-39	2755	13.5	476	11.8	[1280	13.8	999	14.0
40-44	1468	7.0	246	6.1	679	7.1	543	7.2
45-49	431	2.0	89	2.2	184	2.0	158	2.0
Number of children ever born								
1	4481	21.7	797	19.2	1890	20.4	1794	24.8
2-3	6788	33.3	1352	33.2	2996	32.9	2440	33.8
4-5	4699	22.8	988	24.1	2144	23.3	1567	21.4
6+	4693	22.2	962	23.5	2227	23.4	1504	20.0
Education level								
None	2199	10.4	520	[12.7	954	10.1	725	9.6
Primary	11055	53.5	2459	60.1	4924	53.6	3672	49.5
Secondary	6587	32.1	1004	24.3	3004	32.1	2579	36.5
Tertiary	820	4.0	116	2.8	375	4.2	329	4.4
Wealth index quintile								
Poorest	4750	22.5	834	20.4	2021	22.1	1895	24.1
Poorer	4540	21.2	829	20.1	2033	21.1	1678	22.0
Middle	4523	20.9	909	21.0	2134	21.6	1480	19.9
Richer	3839	19.5	935	22.9	1718	19.0	1186	18.2
Richest	3009	15.9	592	15.6	1351	16.2	1066	15.7
Residence								
Urban	7608	37.6	1460	35.8	3747	39.7	2401	36.1
Rural	13053	62.4	2639	64.2	5510	60.3	4904	63.9
Sources of antenatal care knowledge								
Frequency of listening to radio								
Less than once a week	11670	56.4	1868	46.3	4791	51.6	5011	68.2
At least once a week	8986	43.6	2231	53.7	4461	48.4	2294	31.8
Frequency of watching television						<b>60 -</b>		
Less than once a week	15163	/1.5	3179	76.8	6549	68.7	5435	/2.1
At least once a week	5498	28.5	920	23.2	2708	31.3	1870	27.9
Barriers to access antenatal care								
Permission to visit health services	000	2.0	101	4.5	202	2.0	220	4.5
Big problem	803	3.8	181	4.5	283	3.0	339	4.5
Not big problem	19858	96.2	3918	95.5	8974	97.0	6966	95.5
Pig problem	FEOF	26.7	1260	24.4	2404	76.2	1721	22.0
Not his problem	15076	20.7	2720	54.4 65.6	6762	20.5	1731 EE74	22.9 77 1
Distance to health facilities	13070	73.5	2/39	05.0	0703	/3./	5574	//.1
Big problem	8180	38.6	1775	43 5	3882	41 3	2523	32.5
Not big problem	[12491	61.4	2324	56.5	5375	58.7	4782	52.5 67.5
Presence of companion	[12401	01.4	2324	50.5	3373	50.7	7702	07.5
Big problem	3897	18.4	1099	26.7	1669	18 1	1[129	14 1
Not hig problem	16758	81.6	3000	73.3	7582	81.9	6176	85.9
No female provider	10/30	01.0	5000	, 5.5	, 302	01.5	01/0	03.5
Big problem	2629	[12.5	788	18.4	985	10.8	856	11.4
Not big problem	18024	87.5	3311	81.6	8264	89.2	6449	88.6
Marital status	20021	07.0	5511	0110	0201	5512	0.15	0010
Never married	2431	11.4	398	9.3	1019	10.2	1014	14.0
Married	15952	77.8	3280	80.2	7223	79.1	5449	74.7
Widowed	419	2.0	110	2.8	196	2.0	113	1.5
Divorced	1859	8.8	311	7.7	819	8.6	729	9.7

		Тс	otal			20	07			201	3-14				2018-1	9
Characteristics	<4	ANC		4+	<4	ANC		C <b>4</b> +	<4	ANC	ANC	24+	<4	ANC		ANC4+
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total	9028	43.6	11633	56.4	1848	45.1	2251	54.9	4459	47.8	4798	52.2	2721	37.5	4584	62.5
Age group																
15-19	901	46.6	1038	53.4	180	48.7	181	51.3	433	51.5	415	48.5	288	40.1	442	59.9
20-24	2285	45.1	2782	54.9	487	47.1	555	52.9	1090	51.2	1049	48.8	708	37.2	1178	62.8
25-29	2202	43.6	2794	56.4	479	42.7	624	57.3	1102	47.5	1160	52.5	621	38.8	1010	61.2
30-34	1694	42.2	2311	57.8	330	42.4	452	57.6	881	46.8	984	53.2	483	35.6	875	64.4
35-39	1133	41.1	1622	58.9	208	44.5	268	55.5	566	43.3	714	56.7	359	36.8	640	63.2
40-44	623	43.0	845	57.0	116	48.1	130	51.9	301	45.4	378	54.6	206	37.7	337	62.3
45-49	190	45.2	241	54.8	48	57.5	41	42.5	86	46.6	98	53.4	56	35.7	102	64.3
Number of children ever born																
1	1890	42.0	2591	58.0	344	42.1	453	57.9	890	46.8	1000	53.2	656	37.0	1138	63.0
2-3	2995	43.8	3793	56.2	605	44.7	747	55.3	1485	48.9	1511	51.1	905	37.2	1535	62.8
4-5	2031	43.3	2668	56.7	435	43.7	553	56.3	1034	48.2	1110	51.8	562	36.4	1005	63.6
6+	21[12	45.2	2581	54.8	464	49.6	498	50.4	1050	46.7	1177	53.3	598	40.1	906	59.9
Education level	-															
None	1060	48.2	1139	51.8	258	49.4	262	50.6	498	52.7	456	47.3	304	41.5	421	58.5
Primary	4873	44.3	6182	55.7	1119	46.0	1340	54.0	2386	48.4	2538	51.6	1368	37.5	2304	62.5
Secondary	2881	43.2	3706	56.8	442	43.1	562	56.9	1454	47.6	1550	52.4	985	38.5	1594	61.5
Tertiary	214	25.9	606	74.1	29	24.1	87	75.9	121	30.5	254	69.5	64	21.0	265	70.0
Wealth index quintile																79.0
Poorest	2093	44.5	2657	55.5	398	48.3	436	51.7	1010	50.6	1011	49.4	685	35.8	[1210	64.2
Poorer	1907	42.3	2633	57.7	352	43.1	477	56.9	963	47.5	1070	52.5	592	35.8	1086	64.2
Middle	2028	44.2	2495	55.8	397	42.4	5[12	57.6	1058	49.1	1076	50.9	573	38.7	907	61.3
Richer	1844	47.7	1995	52.3	454	48.6	481	51.4	880	51.1	838	48.9	510	42.7	676	57.3
Richest	1156	38.2	1853	61.8	247	42.2	345	57.8	548	38.9	803	61.1	361	35.1	705	64.9
Residence																
Urban	3535	45.4	4073	54.6	674	45.7	786	54.3	1874	48.7	1873	51.3	987	40.8	1414	59.2
Rural	5493	42.5	7560	57.5	1174	44.8	1465	55.2	2585	47.2	2925	52.8	1734	35.7	3170	64.3

## Table 2a: Distribution of women with at least four ANC visits in Zambia between 2007 and 2019

#### Table 2b: Distribution of women with at least four ANC visits in Zambia between 2007 and 2019

		Тс	otal			20	07			2013	3-14				2018-1	9
Characteristics	<4/	ANC	ANC	4+	<4	ANC	ANC	4+	<4 A	NC	ANC	4+	<4	ANC		ANC4+
	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Sources of antenatal care knowledge																
Frequency of listening to radio																
Less than once a week	5095	44.0	6575	56.0	862	46.2	1006	53.8	2337	49.1	2454	50.9	1896	38.3	3115	61.7
At least once a week	3928	43.1	5058	56.9	986	44.2	[1245	55.8	2117	46.4	2344	53.6	825	35.9	1469	64.1
Frequency of watching television																
Less than once a week	6688	44.2	8475	55.8	1448	45.7	1731	54.3	3206	49.1	3343	50.9	2034	37.4	3401	62.6
At least once a week	2340	42.2	3158	57.8	400	43.2	520	56.8	[1253	45.0	1455	55.0	687	37.9	1183	62.1
Barriers to access antenatal care																
Permission to visit health services																
Big problem	393	49.4	410	50.6	96	52.5	85	47.5	148	55.1	135	44.9	149	43.1	190	56.9
Not big problem	8635	43.4	1[1223	56.6	1752	44.8	2166	55.2	4311	47.6	4663	52.4	2572	37.3	4394	62.7
Money to pay for health services																
Big problem	2529	45.0	3056	55.0	658	48.2	702	51.8	[1216	48.2	[1278	51.8	655	37.9	1076	62.1
Not big problem	6499	43.1	8577	56.9	1190	43.5	1549	56.5	3243	47.7	3520	52.3	2066	37.4	3508	62.6
Distance to health facilities																
Big problem	3678	45.3	4502	54.7	841	47.4	934	52.6	1861	48.5	2021	51.5	976	38.5	1547	61.5
Not big problem	5350	42.6	7131	57.4	1007	43.4	1317	56.6	2598	47.3	2777	52.7	1745	37.0	3037	63.0
Presence of companion																
Big problem	1745	44.5	2152	55.5	491	44.2	608	55.8	824	48.9	845	51.1	430	37.6	699	62.4
Not big problem	7277	43.4	9481	56.6	1357	45.5	1643	54.5	3629	47.5	3953	52.5	2291	37.5	3885	62.5
No female provider																
Big problem	1163	44.1	1466	55.9	355	44.9	433	55.1	459	46.4	526	53.6	349	40.8	507	59.2
Not big problem	7857	43.5	10167	56.5	1493	45.2	1818	54.8	3992	47.9	4272	52.1	2372	37.1	4077	62.9
Marital status																
Never married	1111	54.2	1320	45.8	190	47.7	208	52.3	525	51.4	494	48.6	396	39.9	618	60.1
Married	6911	43.3	9041	56.7	1493	45.6	1787	54.4	3433	47.2	3790	52.8	1985	36.6	3464	63.4
Widowed	179	43.9	240	56.1	44	42.0	66	58.0	89	47.4	107	52.6	46	39.9	67	60.1
Divorced	827	43.9	1032	56.1	[121	38.4	190	61.6	4[12	49.3	407	50.7	294	40.4	435	59.6

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.08.24303972; this version posted March 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (while By White By White

Characteristics (n=20661)	Bivariate analys	is	Multivariate analysis				
	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value			
Age group		0.61		0.07			
15-10	1.00		1.00				
20-24	1.06 (0.84-1.34)		1 16 (0 90-1 50)				
25-29	1 [12 (0.89-1.43)		1 36 (1 02-1 83)				
30-34	1 20 (0 94-1 53)		1.57 (1.13-2.19)				
25.20	1.20 (0.94 1.55)		1.37 (1.13-2.13)				
40.44	1.25 (0.90-1.05)		1.79 (1.24-2.39)				
40-44	1.16 (0.85-1.37)		1.74 (1.15-2.04)				
وبري Vor	1.00 (0.00-1.71)	<0.001	1.05 (0.54-2.05)	<0.001			
1007	1.00		1.00				
2007	1.00		1.00				
2013-14	0.90 (0.76-1.08)		0.80 (0.73-1.02)				
	1.37 (1.15-1.63)	0.59	1.30 (1.09-1.55)	0.19			
Number of children ever born	4.00		4.00				
1	1.00		1.00				
2-3	0.93 (0.78-1.10)		0.82 (0.67-1.00)				
4-5	0.95 (0.79-1.14)		0.75 (0.57-0.98)				
6+	0.88 (0.73-1.06)	<0.001	0.63 (0.56-0.87)	<0.001			
Education level							
None	1.00		1.00				
Primary	1.17 (0.95-1.44)		1.21 (0.98-1.50)				
Secondary	1.22 (0.98-1.52)		1.25 (0.98-1.59)				
Tertiary	2.67 (1.79-3.97)	0.006	2.35 (1.50-3.67)	0.03			
Wealth index quintile							
Poorest	1.00		1.00				
Poorer	1.09 (0.91-1.32)		1.09 (0.90-1.31)				
Middle	1.01 (0.84-1.22)		0.99 (0.82-1.20)				
Richer	0.88 (0.73-1.06)		0.81 (0.66-0.99)				
Richest	1.30 (1.06-1.59)	0.071	1.00 (0.79-1.27)				
Residence							
Urban	1.00						
Rural	1.[12 (0.99-1.28)						
Sources of antenatal care knowledge		0.60					
Frequency of listening to radio		0.00					
Less than once a week	1.00						
At least once a week	1.03 (0.91-1.17)	0.25					
Frequency of watching television		0.25					
Less than once a week	1.00						
At least once a week	1.08 (0.94-1.24)						
Barriers to access antenatal care		0.14					
Permission to visit health services		0.14					
Big problem	1.00						
Not big problem	1.28 (0.93-1.76)	0.27					
Money to pay for health services		0.27					
Big problem	1.00						
Not big problem	1.08 (0.94-1.24)						
Distance to health facilities		0.09					
Big problem	1.00						
Not big problem	1.[12 (0.98-1.27)						
Presence of companion		0.59					
Big problem	1.00						
Not big problem	1.04 (0.89-1.22)						
No female provider		0.80					
Big problem	1.00						
Not big problem	1.03 (0.85-1.23)						
Marital status		0.78					
Never married	1.00						
Married	1 11 (0.01 1.25)						
	1.11 (0.31-1.35)						
Widowed	1.08 (0.67-1.73)						
Divorced	1.08 (0.82-1.42)						

Table 4: Distribution of women with at least four antenatal care visits by socio-demographic characteristics of the women in Zambia between 2007 and 2019

		ANC attendance for v						
Characteristics (n=[12333)	Ear	rly	Li	ate				
	N	%	n	%				
Total	9004	73.0	3329	27.0				
Age group								
15-19	795	72.0	295	28.0				
20-24	2159	74.3	765	25.7				
25-29	2177	74.0	771	26.0				
30-34	1784	72.6	670	27.4				
35-39	[1247	71.7	489	28.3				
40-44	650	70.8	264	29.2				
45-49	192	72.2	75	27.8				
Year								
2007	1496	59.7	1013	40.3				
2013-14	3639	71.8	1445	28.2				
2018-19	3869	81.4	871	18.6				
Number of children ever born								
1	2002	73.8	7[12	26.2				
2-3	2965	74.5	1028	25.5				
4-5	2068	72.4	771	27.6				
6+	1969	70.6	818	29.4				
Education level								
None	892	73.8	311	26.2				
Primary	4768	72.3	1803	27.7				
Secondary	2850	73.0	1086	27.0				
Tertiary	494	79.0	[129	21.0				
Wealth index quintile								
Poorest	2107	75.1	689	24.9				
Poorer	2081	75.5	668	24.5				
Middle	1896	71.9	765	28.1				
Richer	1464	68.1	698	31.9				
Richest	1456	73.8	509	26.2				
Residence								
Urban	3044	70.0	1328	30.0				
Rural	5960	74.8	2001	25.2				
Sources of antenatal care knowledge								
Frequency of listening to radio								
Less than once a week	5154	74.2	1785	25.8				
At least once a week	3850	71.5	1544	28.5				
Frequency of watching television								
Less than once a week	6566	73.2	2400	26.8				
At least once a week	2438	72.5	929	27.5				
Barriers to access antenatal care								
Permission to visit health services								
Big problem	316	71.7	[127	28.3				
Not big problem	8688	73.1	3202	26.9				
Money to pay for health services								
Big problem	2344	72.2	9[12	27.8				
Not big problem	6660	73.3	2417	26.7				
Distance to health facilities								
Big problem	3488	/3.4	[1257	26.6				
Not big problem	5516	/2.8	2072	27.2				
Presence of companion		77.0		27.0				
Big problem	1650	/3.0	608	27.0				
Not big problem	7354	/3.0	2721	27.0				
No remaie provider		71 0		20.0				
Big problem	1097	/1.2	451	28.8				
Not big problem	7907	/3.3	2878	26./				
Maritan Status		<i>c</i> o c		20.1				
Never Married	977	69.9	421	30.1				
Marrieo	7027	/3.3	2567	26.7				
wiaowea	185	/1.3	76	28.7				
Divorced	815	75.1	265	24.9				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.08.24303972; this version posted March 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (vpighrea: se prices developed by the that the department of the provide the provide the provide the provided by the provided by



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.08.24303972; this version posted March 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was many strained medRxiv, a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-B Y 4.0 methational license.

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
1Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		( <i>b</i> ) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	1
Introduction			1
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3
Methods			1
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	3
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	3
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	3
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	4
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	3,5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	4
Statistical methods	12	( <i>a</i> ) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	4
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	4
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		( <i>d</i> ) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	4
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			1
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	

Rxiv preprint doi: https://do /hjୁବୁଧୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁୁ	pi.org/10.110 p <b>eer <u>1</u>gviev</b> It is	1/2024.03.08.24303972; this version posted March 9, 2024. The copyright holder fo () (ቴ ኮፍ መሬቲ የአምር ምር መድር የመድር የመድር የመድር የመድር የመድር የመድር የመድር	<u>r this pre</u> p <b>g</b> rpetui
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	5
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	5, 6, 6
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	6
		clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	7
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	8
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	10

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.