- 1 Exploring novel risk loci for heart failure and the shared genetic etiology with
- 2 blood lipids, blood pressure, and blood glucose: a large-scale multi-trait
- 3 association analysis
- 4
- 5 Yanchen Zhu¹, Yahui Wang¹, Zhaorui Cui¹, Fani Liu¹, Jiqiang Hu^{1§}
- ¹Cardiology Department, Dongfang Hospital Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China.
- 7
- 8 Correspondence:
- 9 Prof. Jiqiang Hu
- 10 Email: hujiqiang@bucm.edu.cn.
- 11 Phone: (+86) 13522675198.
- 12 ORCID: 0009-0008-4678-7220
- 13 Affiliations: Department of Cardiology, Dongfang Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine,
- 14 Fanggu Road, Beijing 100078, China.

1 Exploring novel risk loci for heart failure and the shared genetic etiology with

2 blood lipids, blood pressure, and blood glucose: a large-scale multi-trait

- 3 association analysis
- 4

5 Keywords

Heart failure, Blood lipids, Blood pressure, Blood glucose, Shared genetics, Multi-trait association
analysis

8

9 Abstract

Background: The comprehensive exploration of genomic risk loci for heart failure (HF) remains
constrained, and the genetic role of blood lipids (BL), blood pressure (BP) and blood glucose (BG) in
HF has not been fully characterized.

Methods: We first assessed the global and local genetic correlations between HF and the quantitative traits of BL, BP, and BG. We then employed multi-trait association analysis and multi-trait colocalization analysis to identify novel and pleiotropic genomic risk loci for HF. Furthermore, we explored potential genes, pathways, tissues, and cells associated with HF involving BL, BP, and BG. Lastly, we investigated potential therapeutic targets for HF.

18 Findings: We found extensive global and local genetic correlations between HF and the traits of BL, 19 BP, and BG. Multi-trait association analysis successfully identified 154 novel genomic risk loci for HF. 20 Multi-trait colocalization analysis further revealed 46, 35, and 14 co-localized loci shared by HF with 21 BL, BP, and BG, respectively. We found that the loci shared by HF with these traits rarely overlapped, 22 indicating distinct shared mechanisms. Gene-mapping, gene-based, and transcriptome-wide 23 association analyses prioritized noteworthy candidate genes (such as LPL, GRK5, and TNNC1) for 24 HF. In enrichment analysis, HF exhibited comparable characteristics with cardiovascular traits and 25 metabolic correlated to BL, BP, and BG. We provided genetic evidence for putative drugs, and 26 highlighted 33 robust potential protein targets.

27 Interpretation: These findings will provide biological insights into the pathogenesis for HF, and28 benefit the development of preventive or therapeutic drugs for HF.

29

1 Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a prominent public health issue. Global statistics indicate that HF impacts over 64 million individuals, which contributes the major cause of cardiovascular hospitalization rates, mortality, and healthcare expenditures(1, 2). As the terminal state of cardiac diseases, HF is a polygenic disease and presents a more intricate genetic structure than other cardiometabolic disorders. Therefore, investigating the underlying genetic mechanisms of HF could enhance our etiological understanding of HF and facilitate the development of potential targets for intervention. However, the exploration of the genetic mechanisms of HF is still insufficient.

9 Previous studies have identified several genomic risk loci associated with HF(3, 4). However, further 10 identification of risk loci for HF remains challenging due to the limited sample size. Therefore, it is 11 necessary to employ advanced statistical genetics methods to investigate the association of potential 12 loci with HF. Multi-trait joint analysis can borrow relevant information from multiple related traits and 13 has become an effective statistical method to improve statistical power to identify novel genomic risk 14 loci for target traits(5). In the clinical practice, dyslipidemia, elevated blood pressure (BP), and blood 15 glucose (BG), are main risk factors for HF, and these abnormal statuses are highly prevalent among HF 16 patients, exerting a substantial influence on disease progression(6).

17 Despite HF is an irreversible progressive disease, the abnormalities in blood lipids (BL), BP, and BG 18 can be identified early and treated effectively with medications. Previous studies have reported that BL, 19 BP, and BG have potential shared genomic loci with HF(7, 8). In addition, the present genetics 20 association study of BL, BP, and BG exhibits a substantial sample size, demonstrating significant 21 polygenic heritability and greater multitude of genomic loci in contrast to prior research. Therefore, 22 their multi-trait joint analysis with HF can not only borrow information from these traits to deeply 23 explore HF-related genetic variations, but also identify pleiotropic loci shared by HF with BL, BP, and 24 BG.

In this study, we utilized the largest publicly available genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics to perform multi-trait analysis between HF and the quantitative traits of BL, BP, and BG. Our study aims to achieve two primary objectives. Firstly, we aim to discover potential novel genomic risk loci for HF, elucidate its genetic mechanism, and explore potential drug targets. Secondly, we aim to investigate the shared genetic etiology basis for HF with BL, BP, and BG, and characterize the genetic roles of BL, BP, and BG in HF.

1 Methods

2 1.Population samples and ethics

3 Figure 1 presents a schematic overview of our study. In this study, we utilized GWAS summary 4 statistics for a total of 12 traits. For each trait, we utilized the most recent and largest publicly available 5 GWAS summary statistics from European ancestry individuals. The GWAS summary statistics for HF 6 were obtained from the Heart Failure Molecular Epidemiology for Therapeutic Targets (HERMES) 7 consortium, which combined data from 26 cohort-level GWAS comprising 47,309 cases and 930,014 8 controls(3). The Global Lipids Genetics Consortium (GLGC) consortium provided summary statistics 9 [high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), total 10 cholesterol (TC), and triglyceride (TG)] (N= 1,320,016) of BL(9), and additional summary statistics 11 [apolipoprotein A1(APOA1) (N= 393,193) and apolipoprotein B(APOB) (N= 439,214)] for BL traits 12 were from UK Biobank(10). The GWAS summary statistics for BP traits [systolic blood pressure (SBP), 13 diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and pulse pressure (PP)] were used from a meta-analysis study of UK 14 Biobank (N= 458,577) and the International Consortium of Blood Pressure genetics (ICBP) (N= 15 299,024, across 77 cohorts)(11). For BG, the summary statistics [fasting glucose (FG) (N=314,916) and 16 hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (N=344,182)] were obtained from the UK Biobank-Neale lab(12). The 17 supplementary Table 1 provides access to the specific details of each GWAS summary statistics.

18 2.Statistical analysis

The GWAS summary statistics underwent genotypic quality control measures. Supplement Methodsprovide more comprehensive description of these analytical procedures.

Both linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC)(13) and high-Definition Likelihood (HDL)(14) were conducted to evaluate heritability of each trait and the genetic correlation between HF and the quantitative traits of BL, BP, and BG. Latent causal variable model (LCV)(15) was employed to further evaluate the genetic causality proportion between HF and these traits. Given the intricate genetic structure of each region, we employed local analysis of [co]variant association (LAVA)(16) to examine local genetic correlation. To correct for multiple testing for above statistical analyses, we applied the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) approach, with the threshold set at 0.05.

- 28 We utilized multi-trait analysis of GWAS (MTAG)(5) to identify novel genomic risk loci for HF by
- 29 leveraging the interrelationships among pairwise traits exhibiting significant genetic correlation. To
- 30 assess the overall inflation resulting from the violation of the homogeneous assumption in MTAG

1 analysis, we computed the maximum FDR (maxFDR), which evaluate the overall inflation due to 2 violation of the homogeneous assumption. The genome-wide significance threshold for HF_{MTAG} was 3 determined to be $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. We further employed the Functional Mapping and Annotation of Genetic 4 Associations (FUMA)(17) to characterize significant genomic loci. FUMA identified independent 5 significant single nucleotide variants (SNVs) with a genome-wide significant and a linkage 6 disequilibrium (LD) measure of $r^2 \square < \square 0.6$. Lead SNVs were determined by selecting independent 7 significant SNVs that were not in LD with each other at $r^2 \square < \square 0.1$. Risk loci were defined by 8 combining lead SNVs that physically overlapped or had LD blocks within 250 kb apart. FUMA 9 additionally provided functional annotations such as ANNOVAR analysis, combined annotation 10 dependent depletion (CADD) scores, and RegulomeDB scores. Variants with a CADD score exceeding 11 12.37 were deemed potentially deleterious. To identify shared causal variants within each genomic 12 locus across traits, hypothesis prioritization in multi-trait colocalization (HyPrColoc)(18) analysis was 13 conducted based on genomic risk loci of HF_{MTAG}. The colocalized locus was considered if the posterior 14 probability exceeded 0.7.

15 Based on MTAG results, we conducted a comprehensive investigation into the underlying shared 16 biological mechanisms for HF with BL, BP, and BG. In order to identify potential genes associated 17 with HF, a combination of gene-mapping, multi-marker analysis of genomic annotation (MAGMA)(19), 18 and transcriptome-wide association analysis (TWAS)(20) methods were employed. The threshold for 19 FDR.P correcting multiple testing was set at 0.05. Furthermore, we elucidated the biological pathways 20 by conducting gen-set enrichment analyses using the GO and KEGG databases. In addition, we used 21 the DESE (driver tissue estimation by selective expression) approach implemented in 22 phenotype-cell-gene association analysis (PCGA)(21-24) website to further explore the tissue/cell 23 types specificity and similar phenotypes for HF_{MTAG}.

24 3.Genomics-driven drug discovery

To further enhance gene-driven drug discovery for HF, we utilized the Genome for Repositioning drugs (GREP)(25) software to determine the clinical indication categories and the enrichment of candidate effector genes in drug repositioning. GREP conducts Fisher's exact tests to detect enrichment of a gene set within genes targeted by drugs currently in use or previously developed for the specific clinical indication category (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System [ATC]). Furthermore, proteome-wide mendelian randomization (MR) was utilized to identify potential therapeutic plasma

1 protein targets for HF [UK Biobank Pharma Proteomics Project (UKB-PPP)(26), and deCODE 2 genetics(27)]. The primary analysis was employed using the inverse variance weighted method, and all 3 results underwent FDR correction (FDR.P<0.05). The steiger test was conducted to assess whether the 4 MR results were affected by potential reverse causality. The MR-Egger regression intercept test and 5 Cochran's Q test were used to respectively assess the presence of pleiotropy and heterogeneity of the 6 results. Bayesian colocalization analysis was utilized to examine whether the observed significant 7 protein-disease pairs were driven by single causal SNV in the LD region(28). Specifically, we set the 8 threshold of posterior probability hypotheses 4(the protein and HF were driven by single causal SNV) 9 at 0.7. Based on MR and colocalization, phenome-wide MR analysis was conducted to investigate the 10 pleiotropic or side effects of the protein targets (FDR.P<0.05).

11 **Results**

12 1.Genetic correlation and genetic causality proportion

13 LDSC results showed that BG (FG, HbA1c), BP (SBP, DBP, and PP), and TC exhibited significant 14 positive genetic correlations with HF. Conversely, HDL-C and APOA1 displayed significant negative 15 genetic correlations (Supplementary Table 2; Fig. 2). The results pertaining to HDL exhibit a notable 16 level of congruity with the findings of LDSC (Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 2). LAVA discovered a total 17 of 366 significant bivariate local genetic correlations (FDR.P<0.05) for HF with BL, BP, and BG at 224 18 specific regions (Supplementary Table 4; Fig. 2). The present study reveals a general pattern in the 19 local genetic correlations for HF with HDL-C, APOA1, and APOB, indicating a notable inclination 20 towards negative correlations. Conversely, other traits exhibit a tendency towards positive correlations, 21 aligning with the direction of their respective global genetic correlations. It should be noted that the 22 coexistence of both negative and positive local genetic correlations suggests a multifaceted impact. 23 The LCV analyses demonstrated significant associations between HF and FG (|GCP| = 0.65, FDR.P =

24 0.015), SBP (|GCP| = 0.33, FDR.P = 0.002), DBP (|GCP| = 0.38, FDR.P = 7.91×10^{-5}) (Supplementary

Table 5; Fig. 2), suggesting a potential genetic causal association for HF with FG, SBP, and DBP.

26 2. Multi-trait association analysis

27 Multi-trait association analyses combining HF with BL, BP, and BG, respectively, significantly 28 increased the effective sample sizes and heritability of HF. Specifically, the polygenic heritability 29 exhibited an impressive 2.02-, 1.83-, and 1.3-fold escalation for each respective HF_{MTAG} consideration. 30 Accompanied by max-FDR values of 0.046, 0.032, and 0.029 (Table 1), respectively, showed no 1 indication of inflation, thereby confirming the reliability of our methodology and findings. We 2 successfully identified 93, 48 and 40 genomic risk loci from 486, 109 and 97 independent 3 genome-wide significant SNVs for HF_{BL} , HF_{BP} , and HF_{BG} , of which 85, 41, and 31 are novel risk loci 4 for HF (Supplementary Table 6-12; Fig. 3). The HyPrColoc further identified 46, 35, and 14 pleiotropic 5 loci that exhibited evidence of colocalization, indicating the widely distribution of shared genominc 6 risk loci for HF with BL, BP, and BG (Supplementary Table 13-15).

7 Furthermore, ANNOVAR offered notable variants' annotation information regarding these loci 8 (Supplementary Table 16-18). For instance, we discovered 117 (2.8%) of 4188 genome-wide 9 significant SNVs from HF_{BL} loci are exons, 77 of which are located in protein-coding genes (35 10 synonymous SNVs, 42 nonsynonymous SNVs). Further annotation by Combined 11 Annotation-Dependent Depletion (CADD) scores predicted that 186 SNVs were deleterious (CADD 12 score > 12.37). We noted several novel genomic risk loci and variants of interest. The most significant risk locus is located at region 8p21.3, and its index variant rs144958026 ($P = 5.51 \times 10^{-65}$) is an intronic 13 14 variant of LPL gene which encoding lipoprotein lipase. The next significant locus is located at region 15 11q12.2, whose index variant rs174551 (P = 6.92×10^{-50}) is located in the 5-UTR of genes FADS1 and 16 FADS2. Fatty acid desaturase (FADS) genes encode the fatty acid desaturase enzyme that is mainly 17 responsible for regulating the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids in the fatty acid metabolic pathway. In addition, rs34312154 (P=3.38×10⁻⁰⁹) in region 11p11.2 has the highest CADD score of 31. 18 We also noted four index variants that fell in the exonic region, including rs61749613 ($P = 3.62 \times 10^{-9}$; 19 gene = VCAN), rs55707100 (P = 2.61×10^{-15} ; gene = MAP1A), rs1800961 (P = 4.06×10^{-16} ; gene = 20 21 HNF4A), and rs9935936 (P = 1.72×10^{-9} ; gene = GNAO1:RP11-441F2.5). 22 Notably, by combining MTAG analysis for HF with BL, BP and BG, we discovered a total of 165 HF

risk loci, of which 154 of these identified loci were novel discoveries in relation to HF. By comparing locus reported in GWAS catalog (Supplementary Table 19-21), we found that a total of 143 loci have not been reported before. Interestingly, there was only a 6.1% overlap between the loci related to HF_{BL} , HF_{BP} , and HF_{BG} , indicating that these three with different mechanisms that contribute to the effects on HF.

28 3.Gene-based and transcriptome-wide association analysis

FUMA mapped 1466 [including 1251 positional mapped genes, 327 expression quantitative trait loci
(eQTL) mapped genes and 540 3D Chromatin Interaction mapped genes], 486 (including 406

positional mapped genes, 108 eQTL mapped genes and 145 3D Chromatin Interaction mapped genes),
 500 (including 435 positional mapped genes, 113 eQTL mapped genes and 190 3D Chromatin
 Interaction mapped genes) genes for HF_{BL}, HF_{BP}, and HF_{BG}, respectively (Supplementary Table 22-24).
 We obtained a total of 2444 candidate genes, of which only 5.8% overlapped.

The MAGMA gene-based analysis found a total of 910, 703, and 398 genes significantly associated
with HF_{BL}, HF_{BP}, and HF_{BG} (FDR.P<0.05) (Supplementary Table 25-27). We obtained a total of 1539
candidate genes, of which only 23.8% overlapped.

8 Combined with tissue-specific eQTL data (GTEx v8)(29) for whole blood, artery-aorta, coronary,

9 heart-atrial appendage, and left ventricle, TWAS analysis revealed 248, 71, 53 genes for HF_{BL} , HF_{BP} ,

10 and HF_{BG} (FDR.P<0.05) (Supplementary Table 28-30). Among a total of 359 candidate genes, only a

11 minimal proportion of 3.34% exhibited overlapping associations.

In summary, the results obtained from the parallel gene-level analyses are consistent with the findings of the preceding SNV-level analyses, indicating a limited overlap among the genes associated with HF_{BL}, HF_{BP}, and HF_{BG} (Supplementary Fig. 1). This provides additional support to the concept that BL, BP, and BG exert their impact on HF through separate mechanisms.

16 4. Gene-set enrichment

17 The MAGMA gene-set analysis indicated that the predominant biological processes of 87 pathways 18 implicated in the interaction between HF and BL primarily revolve around lipid metabolism. 19 Furthermore, we identified 43 HF_{BP} and 16 HF_{BG} pathways (Supplementary Table 31-34; 20 Supplementary Fig. 2). The results demonstrate that the biological processes involved in the association 21 between HF and BP are significantly linked to vasculature and muscle development, while the 22 association between HF and BG primarily revolves around hexokinase activity and lipid metabolism.

23 5. Phenotype-cell-gene specificity association analysis

We found that arterial, adipose, and lung tissues displayed significant associations with HF_{MTAG} . The tissues that exhibited the top 3 strongest correlations with HF_{BL} were adipose-visceral omentum, artery-coronary, and adipose-subcutaneous (Supplementary Table 34-36; Supplementary Fig. 3). For HF_{BP} , the top 3 significant associations were observed in artery-coronary, artery-tibial, and lung. And HF_{BG} demonstrated a strong correlation with artery-coronary, subcutaneous adipose, and artery-tibial. Among 2,214 human single cell types, macrophages were identified as pivotal cell types for HF_{BL} , endothelial cells for HF_{BP} , and ACE2-expressing AT2 cells exhibited the most notable association with HF_{BG} (Supplementary Table 37-39; Supplementary Fig.4). Through the examination of phenotypic
similarities in 1,588 unique phenotypes, our findings align with established biological knowledge. As
expected, HF_{BL}, HF_{BP}, and HF_{BG} exhibited comparable characteristics with cardiovascular traits and
metabolic traits correlated to BL, BP, and BG (Supplementary Table 40-42; Supplementary Fig. 5).

5 6.Existing drug effects for heart failure

6 Using GREP software for enrichment of significant HF-related genes obtained from gene-mapping, 7 MAGMA, and TWAS analyses, we identified significant enrichment genes (ABCA1, APOB, LPL, 8 PPARD, PPARG, and THRA) for HF_{BL} in drug targets of the cardiovascular lipid-modifying drug 9 targets (ATC C10 drugs), which encode targets for probucol, mipomersen, clofibrate, gemfibrozil, 10 bezafibrate, and dextrothyroxine (Supplementary Table 43). HF_{BP} genes demonstrated significant 11 enrichment within the cardiovascular beta-blocking drug targets (ATC C07 drugs), incorporating 12 ADRA1B and KCNH2 genes that encode targets for labetalol and sotalol (Supplementary Table 43). 13 And HF_{BG} genes exhibited a significant enrichment within drug targets for systemically used antivirals 14 (ATC J05 drugs), which included the ADORA2B and CES1 genes, the encoding targets for vidarabine 15 and oseltamivir (Supplementary Table 43).

16 **7.Plasma protein targets for heart failure**

17 We performed two-sample MR using plasma protein quantitative trait loci (pQTL) statistics from the 18 UK Biobank Pharma Proteomics Project (UKB-PPP)(26) and deCODE(27) genetics with HF_{MTAG} 19 statistics to evaluate protein targets for primary prevention for HF. We identified a total of 33 protein 20 targets that satisfied the statistical significance of both MR (FDR.P<0.05) and colocalization 21 (PP.H4>0.7) support in at least one cohort, and there was no reverse causal association by steiger 22 testing (Fig. 4). Sensitivity analyses also showed that there was no pleiotropy or obvious heterogeneity. 23 Among the 4907 pQTL for drug target proteins from deCODE, our results identified a total of 16 24 plasma proteins with potential causal associations with HF risk (Supplementary Table 44-46). These 25 proteins were supported by MR (FDR.P < 0.05) and colocalization (PP.H4>0.7) analyses, and no 26 reverse causal association was indicated by steiger test. Among them, GSTM4, NADK, and NPPB 27 were replicated in the UKB-PPP cohort (FDR.P < 0.05; PP.H4>0.7; Steiger.P < 0.05) and had 28 consistent effect directions. GSTA1 and LILRA5 were supported by colocalization in the UKB-PPP 29 cohort (PP.H4>0.7), and the MR results showed nominal significance (P<0.05). INHBC, despite having 30 a consistent direction of effect in the UKB-PPP cohort, was not supported by MR and colocalization.

1 The remaining proteins (APOA4, KPNA2, ALDH2, CSK, HEXIM2, NMT1, NPPA, ULK3, ALDH6A1, 2 PFKM) are only available in the deCODE cohort due to strict instrumental variable filtering conditions. 3 For 2941 pQTL in UKB-PPP, additional 17 protein targets (FDR.P < 0.05; PP.H4>0.7; Steiger.P < 0.05) 4 were identified (Supplementary Table 47-49). Among them, NCAN, PLTP, and DLL1 received 5 colocalization support in the previous deCODE cohort (PP.H4>0.7), and the MR results all showed 6 nominal significance (P<0.05). PLG did not find MR significance in the deCODE cohort but was 7 supported by colocalization. DLK1 was not supported by MR and colocalization. The remaining 8 proteins (APOA2, ATRAAID, CELSR2, CTRL, HYOU1, LPL, CELSR2, FES, SH2B3, SPINK8, 9 TJAP1, WASHC3, ZBTB17) could not be replicated in the deCODE cohort due to lack of instrumental 10 variables. In addition, further phenome-wide MR analysis revealed that no side effects for the majority 11 of identified protein targets were observed, while simultaneously indicating potential therapeutic 12 benefits for other significant medical conditions (Supplementary Results; Supplementary Fig. 6-7).

13 Discussion

Multi-trait association analysis for HF with BL, BP, and BG significantly improved the statistical power in identification of novel genomic risk loci for HF. We discovered 154 novel HF loci, of which 143 have not been reported previously. We further explored the shared genetic etiology, including potential genes, pathways, tissues, and cells for HF with these traits. The novel loci we have identified hold promise as potential targets for drug development or therapeutic interventions. Furthermore, bioinformatics analyses provide genetic evidence for putative drug effects and novel protein targets of HF (Supplementary Discussion).

In this study, our findings suggest that there is minimal overlap between the risk loci or genes associated with HF_{BL} , HF_{BP} , and HF_{BG} , indicating that the risk of HF caused by these factors appears to be independent, with distinct biological mechanisms. Consequently, the combination therapeutic strategy targeting HF with BL, BP, and BG may offer additive and diverse benefits.

The 154 risk loci include some noteworthy HF-related genes. Lipoprotein lipase (LPL)-mediated hydrolysis of circulating lipoproteins into fatty acids (FA) is thought to be the primary source of FA utilization by the heart(30). Studies have shown that mice with cardiac LPL deficiency develop HF as they age and are unable to respond normally to increased afterload. In addition, LPL-deficient mice result in a reduction in HDL-C of more than 50%(30). The significance of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 5 (GRK5) as a key regulator of pathological cardiac hypertrophy has been documented,

1 rendering it a potential therapeutic target for HF. GRK5 was found to be upregulated in the 2 myocardium of individuals with HF, as well as to promote maladaptive cardiac hypertrophy in animal 3 models(31). Furthermore, an experimental and pharmacogenomic research showed the 4 pharmacogenomic interaction between GRK5 and beta-blocker therapy, wherein the presence of the 5 GRK5-Leu41 polymorphism was linked to a reduction in mortality rates among African American 6 individuals afflicted with HF or cardiac ischemia(32). TNNC1 (Troponin C1, Slow Skeletal and 7 Cardiac Type) is a protein coding gene. Diseases associated with TNNC1 include cardiomyopathy, 8 familial hypertrophic and cardiomyopathy(33). TNNC1 is mainly related to striated muscle contraction. 9 The inotropic drug levosimendan, which targets TNNC1, is a common drug for HF.

We acknowledge some limitations. Our analyzes were limited to individuals of European ancestry and the results may not be generalizable to other ancestry. Additionally, we excluded all rare variants (MAF </br/>(MAF) from the MTAG analysis and therefore from all subsequent analyses. Therefore, we may not be able to identify rare variants with large effects. In MR analyses, we exclusively utilized cis-regulatory regions as instrumental variables, which may mitigate horizontal pleiotropy to some extent and result in reduced statistical efficacy. Lastly, our inquiry was restricted to identifying and validating pQTL data utilizing accessible instrumental variables, potentially neglecting alternative therapeutic targets.

17 Conclusion

In conclusion, this multi-trait association study provides important insights to the risk loci for HF and the shared genetic etiology for HF with BL, BP, and BG. Additionally, it highlights existing drugs and potential novel protein targets for HF therapy. These findings will provide biological insights into the pathogenesis for HF, and benefit the development of preventive or therapeutic drugs for HF.

| 1 | Reference |
|----|---|
| 2 | 1. Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and |
| 3 | years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a |
| 4 | systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1789-858. |
| 5 | 2. Ziaeian B, Fonarow GC. Epidemiology and aetiology of heart failure. Nat Rev Cardiol. |
| 6 | 2016;13(6):368-78. |
| 7 | 3. Shah S, Henry A, Roselli C, Lin H, Sveinbjornsson G, Fatemifar G, et al. Genome-wide |
| 8 | association and Mendelian randomisation analysis provide insights into the pathogenesis of heart |
| 9 | failure. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):163. |
| 10 | 4. Joseph J, Liu C, Hui Q, Aragam K, Wang Z, Charest B, et al. Genetic architecture of heart failure |
| 11 | with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):7753. |
| 12 | 5. Turley P, Walters RK, Maghzian O, Okbay A, Lee JJ, Fontana MA, et al. Multi-trait analysis of |
| 13 | genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. Nat Genet. 2019;51(7):1190. |
| 14 | 6. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Bohm M, Dickstein K, et al. ESC |
| 15 | guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for |
| 16 | the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of |
| 17 | Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J |
| 18 | Heart Fail. 2012;14(8):803-69. |
| 19 | 7. Kononov S, Azarova I, Klyosova E, Bykanova M, Churnosov M, Solodilova M, et al. |
| 20 | Lipid-Associated GWAS Loci Predict Antiatherogenic Effects of Rosuvastatin in Patients with |
| 21 | Coronary Artery Disease. Genes (Basel). 2023;14(6). |
| 22 | 8. Levin MG, Tsao NL, Singhal P, Liu C, Vy HMT, Paranjpe I, et al. Genome-wide association and |
| 23 | multi-trait analyses characterize the common genetic architecture of heart failure. Nat Commun. |
| 24 | 2022;13(1):6914. |
| 25 | 9. Graham SE, Clarke SL, Wu KH, Kanoni S, Zajac GJM, Ramdas S, et al. The power of genetic |
| 26 | diversity in genome-wide association studies of lipids. Nature. 2021;600(7890):675-9. |
| 27 | 10. Richardson TG, Sanderson E, Palmer TM, Ala-Korpela M, Ference BA, Davey Smith G, et al. |
| 28 | Evaluating the relationship between circulating lipoprotein lipids and apolipoproteins with risk of |
| 29 | coronary heart disease: A multivariable Mendelian randomisation analysis. PLoS Med. |

30 2020;17(3):e1003062.

- 1 11. Evangelou E, Warren HR, Mosen-Ansorena D, Mifsud B, Pazoki R, Gao H, et al. Genetic analysis
- 2 of over 1 million people identifies 535 new loci associated with blood pressure traits. Nat Genet.
- 3 2018;50(10):1412-25.
- 4 12. Neale lab team. GWAS Results Round 2. <u>http://www.nealelab.is/ukbiobank/</u> (2018).
- 5 13. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh PR, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Schizophrenia Working Group of the
- 6 Psychiatric Genomics C, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in
- 7 genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2015;47(3):291-5.
- 8 14. Ning Z, Pawitan Y, Shen X. High-definition likelihood inference of genetic correlations across
- 9 human complex traits. Nat Genet. 2020;52(8):859-64.
- 10 15. O'Connor LJ, Price AL. Distinguishing genetic correlation from causation across 52 diseases and
- 11 complex traits. Nat Genet. 2018;50(12):1728-34.
- 12 16. Werme J, van der Sluis S, Posthuma D, de Leeuw CA. An integrated framework for local genetic
- 13 correlation analysis. Nat Genet. 2022;54(3):274-82.
- 14 17. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and annotation of
- 15 genetic associations with FUMA. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):1826.
- 16 18. Foley CN, Staley JR, Breen PG, Sun BB, Kirk PDW, Burgess S, et al. A fast and efficient
- 17 colocalization algorithm for identifying shared genetic risk factors across multiple traits. Nat Commun.
- 18 2021;12(1):764.
- 19 19. de Leeuw CA, Mooij JM, Heskes T, Posthuma D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of
- 20 GWAS data. PLoS Comput Biol. 2015;11(4):e1004219.
- 20. Gusev A, Ko A, Shi H, Bhatia G, Chung W, Penninx BW, et al. Integrative approaches for
- 22 large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2016;48(3):245-52.
- 23 21. Xue C, Jiang L, Zhou M, Long Q, Chen Y, Li X, et al. PCGA: a comprehensive web server for
- 24 phenotype-cell-gene association analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(W1):W568-W76.
- 25 22. Gold JI, Gao E, Shang X, Premont RT, Koch WJ. Determining the absolute requirement of G
- 26 protein-coupled receptor kinase 5 for pathological cardiac hypertrophy: short communication. Circ Res.
- 27 2012;111(8):1048-53.
- 28 23. Li M, Jiang L, Mak TSH, Kwan JSH, Xue C, Chen P, et al. A powerful conditional gene-based
- 29 association approach implicated functionally important genes for schizophrenia. Bioinformatics.
- 30 2019;35(4):628-35.

- 1 24. Jiang L, Miao L, Yi G, Li X, Xue C, Li MJ, et al. Powerful and robust inference of complex
- 2 phenotypes' causal genes with dependent expression quantitative loci by a median-based Mendelian
- 3 randomization. Am J Hum Genet. 2022;109(5):838-56.
- 4 25. Sakaue S, Okada Y. GREP: genome for REPositioning drugs. Bioinformatics.
- 5 2019;35(19):3821-3.
- 6 26. Sun BB, Chiou J, Traylor M, Benner C, Hsu YH, Richardson TG, et al. Plasma proteomic
- 7 associations with genetics and health in the UK Biobank. Nature. 2023;622(7982):329-38.
- 8 27. Ferkingstad E, Sulem P, Atlason BA, Sveinbjornsson G, Magnusson MI, Styrmisdottir EL, et al.
- 9 Large-scale integration of the plasma proteome with genetics and disease. Nat Genet.
- 10 2021;53(12):1712-21.
- 11 28. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, Franke L, Hingorani AD, Wallace C, et al. Bayesian
- 12 test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. PLoS
- 13 Genet. 2014;10(5):e1004383.
- 14 29. Consortium GT, Laboratory DA, Coordinating Center -Analysis Working G, Statistical Methods
- 15 groups-Analysis Working G, Enhancing Gg, Fund NIHC, et al. Genetic effects on gene expression
- 16 across human tissues. Nature. 2017;550(7675):204-13.
- 17 30. Goldberg IJ. 2017 George Lyman Duff Memorial Lecture: Fat in the Blood, Fat in the Artery, Fat
- 18 in the Heart: Triglyceride in Physiology and Disease. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.
- 19 2018;38(4):700-6.
- 20 31. Hullmann JE, Grisanti LA, Makarewich CA, Gao E, Gold JI, Chuprun JK, et al. GRK5-mediated
- 21 exacerbation of pathological cardiac hypertrophy involves facilitation of nuclear NFAT activity. Circ
- 22 Res. 2014;115(12):976-85.
- 23 32. Liggett SB, Cresci S, Kelly RJ, Syed FM, Matkovich SJ, Hahn HS, et al. A GRK5 polymorphism
- 24 that inhibits β -adrenergic receptor signaling is protective in heart failure. Nat Med. 2008;14(5):510-7.
- 25 33. Zhang X, Shen Y, Cao Z, Zhu Y, Liu W, Wu W, et al. Carbon nanotube promotes contraction and
- 26 electrical activity of neonatal cardiomyocytes by targeting sodium/calcium exchanger NCX1. Signal
- 27 Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8(1):180.
- 28
- 29

1 Contributors

YZ, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing-original draft; YW
Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-original draft; ZC and FL, Conceptualization, Methodology,
Writing-review, and editing; JH, Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Writing-review,
and editing. All authors critically edited the manuscript, followed by reading and approving the final
version. All authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the
decision to submit for publication.

8 Data sharing statement

9 The GWAS summary statistics for heart failure and blood pressure used in this study are deposited in 10 the GWAS Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/) and the accession codes are as follows: HF 11 (GCST009541),SBP (GCST006624), DBP (GCST006630), and PP (GCST006629).Summary-level 12 data from Global Lipids Genetics Consortium(GLGC) for blood lipids are available as follows: 13 https://csg.sph.umich.edu/willer/public/glgc-lipids2021, and additional lipids traits (APOA1 [ieu-b-107] 14 and APOB [ieu-b-108]) are available through the IEU Open GWAS database. Data for blood glucose 15 can be obtained from UK Biobank-Neale lab via http://www.nealelab.is/uk-biobank. The pQTL 16 summary statistics are acquired from the UK Biobank Pharma Proteomics Project (UKB-PPP) through 17 the website https://www.synapse.org, and from deCODE genetics via http://www.decode.com. 18 Phenome-wide the UK Biobank summary statistics for can be accessed at 19 https://www.leelabsg.org/resources.

20 Code availability

- 21 No novel custom computer code or mathematical algorithm was employed in generating the results
- 22 pivotal to the derived conclusions.

23 Declaration of interests

- All authors declare no competing interests.
- 25 Acknowledgements
- 26 We thank Figdraw (www.figdraw.com) for its help in creating the figures. We would like to express our
- 27 gratitude to all researchers and participants involved in GWAS studies, for their generous sharing their
- 28 data.

29 Funding Information

30 This work was supported by the Capital's Funds for Health Improvement and Research (2020-2-4203).

2 Legend

Fig.1 Design schematic of the present study. HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C:
low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TC: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride, APOA1: apolipoprotein A1,
APOB: apolipoprotein B, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, PP: Pulse
pressure, FG: Fasting glucose, HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c.

7

8 Fig.2 Genetic correlation and genetic causality proportion between HF and the quantitative traits 9 of BL, BP, and BG. a, The correlation heat-map shows the global genetic correlation and genetic 10 causality proportion between HF and the quantitative traits of BL, BP, and BG. b, Chord diagram 11 shows the local genetic correlation between HF and these traits. LDSC: linkage disequilibrium score 12 regression, HDL: high-Definition Likelihood, LCV: latent causal variable model, rg: genetic 13 correlation estimate, gcp: genetic causality proportion, HF: heart failure, HDL-C: high-density 14 lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TC: total cholesterol, TG: 15 triglyceride, APOA1: apolipoprotein A1, APOB: apolipoprotein B, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: 16 diastolic blood pressure, PP: Pulse pressure, FG: Fasting glucose, HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c.

17

Fig.3 Circular plot of multi-trait analysis of GWAS results for HF_{GWAS} , HF_{BL} , HF_{BP} , and HF_{BG} . The dots in the inner circle represent the loci (index SNV) associated with each trait. The middle part presents the genomic risk loci. The outer part presents the nearest genes to the top signals of HF, and the associated traits are indicated by different coloured squares. Genes in red denote novel loci. The details of these risk loci in the traits of BL, BP, and BG are presented in Supplementary Table 10-12. *HF: heart failure, BL: blood lipids, BP: blood pressure, BG: blood glucose.*

24

Fig.4 Forest plot of protein targets for heart failure indicated by mendelian randomization analysis. The forest plot only displayed 33 specific protein targets that satisfied the statistical significance of both mendelian randomization (FDR.P<0.05) and colocalization (PP.H4>0.7) in at least one cohort. The square symbolizes the odds ratio for mendelian randomization, while the horizontal line represents the 95% confidence interval. *UKB-PPP: UK Biobank Pharma Proteomics Project; deCODE: deCODE genetics; HF: heart failure; BL: blood lipids; BP: blood pressure; BG: blood*

1

- 1 glucose; P.adjusted: false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence 2
- interval.
- 3
- 4 Table 1. The summary of MTAG results for heart failure. MTAG: multi-trait analysis of GWAS; h2:
- 5 Observed scale heritability (LD score regression); Se: standard error; gcov_int: genetic covariance
- 6 intercept; λGC : the genomic control inflation factor based on the median; maxFDR: maximum FDR;
- 7 CADD: combined annotation dependent depletion.
- 8
- 9 **Supplementary Materials**
- 10 **Supplementary Methods**
- 11 **Supplementary Results**
- 12 **Supplementary Discussion**
- 13 Supplementary Tables 1-49: Table of contents, S1-S49.
- 14 Supplementary Fig. 1 Wayne diagrams of the results indicated by gene base and
- 15 transcriptome-wide association analyses. a, Plots shows the results of gene-mapping analysis. b,
- 16 Plots shows the results of MAGMA and TWAS analysis. MAGMA: multi-marker analysis of genomic
- 17 annotation, TWAS: transcriptome-wide association analysis.
- 18 Supplementary Fig. 2 Significantly enriched in GO and EKGG gene sets of heart failure
- 19 indicated by MAGMA analysis. a, gene-set enrichment of HF_{RI} . b, gene-set enrichment of HF_{RP} . c,
- 20 gene-set enrichment of HF_{BG}. GO: Gene Ontology, KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and
- 21 Genomes, MAGMA: multi-marker analysis of genomic annotation, HF: heart failure, BL: blood lipids,
- 22 BP: blood pressure, BG: blood glucose.

23 Supplementary Fig. 3 Enrichment of tissue specificity associated with heart failure. a, associated

- 24 tissues of HF_{BL} . b, associated tissues of HF_{BP} . c, associated tissues of HF_{BG} . HF: heart failure, BL:
- 25 blood lipids, BP: blood pressure, BG: blood glucose.
- 26 Supplementary Fig. 4 Enrichment of cell-type specificity associated with heart failure. a,
- 27 associated cell types of HF_{BL}. b, associated cell types of HF_{BP}. c, associated cell types of HF_{BG}. HF:
- 28 heart failure, BL: blood lipids, BP: blood pressure, BG: blood glucose.

29 Supplementary Fig. 5 Enrichment of similar phenotype specificity associated with heart failure. a,

30 associated similar phenotypes of HF_{BL}. b, associated similar phenotypes of HF_{BP}. c, associated similar

- 1 phenotypes of HF_{BG}. *HF: heart failure, BL: blood lipids, BP: blood pressure, BG: blood glucose.*
- 2 Supplementary Fig. 6 The exploration of non-HF pleiotropic or side effects for the protein targets
- 3 from UKB-PPP. Manhattan plot utilizes black circles to highlight the statistically significant results of
- 4 the FDR correction. UKB-PPP: UK Biobank Pharma Proteomics Project.
- 5 Supplementary Fig. 7 The exploration of non-HF pleiotropic or side effects for the protein targets
- 6 from deCODE. Manhattan plot utilizes black circles to highlight the statistically significant results of
- 7 the FDR correction. *deCODE: deCODE genetics*.







UKB-PPP

| | Gene | P.adjusted | OR (95%CI) | |
|---|--------|------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | PLTP | 2.51e-23 | 0.968(0.963-0.974) | ۰į |
| | CELSR2 | 5.97e-18 | 0.965(0.958-0.973) | e¦ |
| | LPL | 1.94e-12 | 0.952(0.941-0.964) | • |
| | CTRL | 3.49e-09 | 0.865(0.830-0.902) | ыЧ¦ |
| 님 | HYOU1 | 1.79e-05 | 1.038(1.024-1.053) | |
| 뽀 | GSTM4 | 4.82e-05 | 1.020(1.012-1.027) | þ |
| | APOA2 | 6.55e-04 | 0.915(0.881-0.950) | нч |
| | NCAN | 0.001 | 1.037(1.021-1.054) | |
| | ATRAID | 0.002 | 0.980(0.972-0.989) | e, |
| | PLG | 0.037 | 0.981(0.971-0.992) | ei, |
| | SH2B3 | 2.73e-08 | 1.135(1.094-1.178) | |
| | FES | 2.50e-07 | 0.941(0.923-0.959) | 101 |
| | CELSR2 | 7.72e-06 | 0.978(0.971-0.986) | e, |
| | TJAP1 | 4.36e-04 | 0.868(0.820-0.919) | ⊢⊣¦ |
| đ | ZBTB17 | 0.001 | 1.138(1.078-1.202) | |
| 노 | NPPB | 0.003 | 0.969(0.956-0.983) | m, |
| | NADK | 0.003 | 0.978(0.968-0.988) | α <mark>ι</mark> |
| | SPINK8 | 0.004 | 1.016(1.009-1.024) | þ |
| | WASHC3 | 0.004 | 1.068(1.036-1.101) | ¦ imi |
| | DLL1 | 0.014 | 0.972(0.959-0.986) | ы <mark>,</mark> |
| g | CELSR2 | 2.02e-04 | 0.978(0.970-0.986) | u, |
| Ψ | DLK1 | 0.001 | 0.980(0.972-0.988) | ц¦ |
| - | | | | |

deCODE

| _ | Gene | P.adjusted | OR (95%CI) | _ |
|---|---------|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 7 | APOA4 | 1.52e-14 | 1.111(1.085-1.138) | н |
| | LILRA5 | 9.30e-06 | 1.020(1.013-1.027) | |
| 님 | KPNA2 | 7.47e-05 | 1.120(1.074-1.169) | ⊢•• |
| 노 | GSTA1 | 0.003 | 0.983(0.976-0.990) | |
| | INHBC | 0.011 | 1.007(1.004-1.010) | • |
| | GSTM4 | 0.015 | 1.011(1.006-1.017) | |
| | NMT1 | 1.63e-07 | 1.199(1.134-1.266) | ⊢•⊣ |
| | ALDH2 | 3.04e-06 | 1.104(1.068-1.142) | Here a |
| | CSK | 3.59e-04 | 1.109(1.064-1.155) | нн |
| 6 | HEXIM2 | 0.002 | 1.073(1.041-1.106) | ю |
| 뽀 | NPPB | 0.003 | 0.939(0.913-0.965) | ы |
| | NADK | 0.003 | 0.956(0.936-0.975) | |
| | ULK3 | 0.006 | 1.071(1.037-1.105) | ю |
| | NPPA | 0.012 | 0.920(0.883-0.959) | ны |
| g | PFKM | 0.005 | 1.039(1.022-1.056) | 5 10 |
| Ï | ALDH6A1 | 0.030 | 0.931(0.899-0.963) | ю |
| - | | | | 0.8 1.2 |

0.8

1.2

нн

H----

1.2

| Trait | liability-scale h2 (Se) | λgc | Mean Chi^2 | gcov_int (Se) | maxFDR_MTAG | N_sample size | N_risk loci | N_novel risk loci | N_genome-wide significant SNVs | N_exons | N_CADD score>12.37 |
|--------|-------------------------|-------|------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| HFGWAS | 0.0304 (0.0024) | 1.127 | 1.159 | 1.006 (0.007) | NA | 964057 | 11 | | 736 | 20 | 40 |
| HFBL | 0.0618 (0.0032) | 1.114 | 1.259 | 0.826 (0.010) | 0.046 | 1366841 | 93 | 85 | 4188 | 117 | 186 |
| HFBP | 0.0558 (0.0026) | 1.118 | 1.227 | 0.862 (0.009) | 0.032 | 1243259 | 48 | 41 | 1930 | 41 | 78 |
| HFBG | 0.0395 (0.0022) | 1.127 | 1.189 | 0.933 (0.008) | 0.029 | 1240601 | 40 | 31 | 934 | 13 | 28 |