	1
1	
2	
3	
4	Water fluoridation : A bibliometric study from 1950 to 2020
5	
6	
7	Anqi Liu ¹ ¶, Changyong Yuan ¹ ¶, Ling Xu ² , Li Zhao ^{3*}
8	
9	
10	
11	¹ Xuzhou Stomatological Hospital, Xuzhou Medical University, Jiangsu, PR China.
12	² College of Stomatology, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, PR China.
13	³ Associate Professor, Chongqing Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases and Biomedical Sciences,
14	Chongqing Municipal Key Laboratory of Oral Biomedical Engineering of Higher Education, College
15	of Stomatology, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, PR China.
16	
17	
18	Corresponding author: Li Zhao
19	E-mail: lizhao2010@hospital.cqmu.edu.cn
20	
21	
22	[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. 23

Abstract 24

25 Water fluoridation (WF) is considered by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 26 (CDC)to be one of the top ten public health achievements of the 20th century. However, discussions 27 about WF have been ongoing. The purpose of this study is to collect and analyze the scientific 28 literature for WF and understand the keyword network of the entire field, important articles, high-yield 29 countries, institutions and authors, and the mutual cooperation between them. The data of this research 30 are extracted from the Web of Science (WoS) from 1950 to 2020. In total, 1,008 articles were 31 published. The United States published the largest number of papers. Australia's University of 32 Adelaide ranks first among the top 20 institutions. Seven scholars, including AJ Spencer, constitute the 33 largest author collaboration network. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology had the most 34 publications, with 107 articles. Keywords density map found that the main keywords were dental caries, 35 fluoride, children, drinking water, prevalence, and dental fluorosis. Keywords clusters mainly related to 36 dental caries, fluoride, epidemiology research, and dental fluorosis. In the highly cited literature, we 37 found that the research also focused on the relationship between stopping WF and caries and the 38 systemic effects of WF.

- 39
- 40

Introduction 41

42 In 2021, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) celebrated the 75th anniversary of water fluoridation (WF) .1 By 2018, 73% of the U.S. population has drunk fluoridated 43 44 water, and there are plans to increase this proportion to 80% by 2020 .² WF is considered by the CDC

3

to be one of the top ten public health achievements of the 20th century.³ Numerous studies have
reported the effectiveness of WF in caries prevention.^{4,5}

47	However, discussions about WF have been ongoing, and both supporters and opponents in the
48	academic community have put forward their own views. ⁶ An investigation of public tweets on WF
49	shows that the words "poisoning" and "waste" frequently appear, prompting the public to be strongly
50	concerned about health damage that WF may cause.7 In view of the long-term widespread use of WF,
51	there is controversy. It is necessary to conduct detailed bibliometric research on the entire WF literature,
52	to understand the main status of current scientific research and to provide references for later research.
53	Bibliometric analysis is widely used in various fields, including management,8 environmental
54	sciences,9 medicine,10 etc. Scientific bibliometrics can involve statistical analysis on thousands to tens
55	of thousands of documents. By measuring the key information among them, a mathematical matrix is
56	formed and then visualized as a network diagram. By simplifying complex information, the main
57	structure can be understood and analysed by scholars.
58	VOSviewer was designed by NJ van Eck and L Waltman of Leiden University in the
59	Netherlands, specifically for the visual analysis of bibliometrics. ¹¹ Therefore, in this study, data were
60	organized on Web of Science (WoS), and then VOSviewer was used for co-authorship analysis and

61 keyword analysis. HistCite was designed by Garfield and used for document analysis and statistical

62 analysis of highly cited literature.¹²

63 The purpose of this study is to collect and measure scientific literature data on WF and 64 elucidate the keyword network of the entire field, important articles, high-yield countries, institutions 65 and authors, and the mutual cooperation between them.

4

Methods 67

Data Source and Search Strategy 68

69	We chose the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI-EXPANDED) from the WoS Core
70	Collection (WoSCC). The search formula was as follows: TS=("water fluoridation") OR
71	TS=("water-fluoridation") OR TS=("fluoridated water") OR TS=("fluoridated-water") OR
72	TS=("fluoride water") OR TS=("fluoride-water") OR TS=("water-fluoridation") OR TS=("fluoridated
73	water") OR TS=("fluoridated-water").
74	Topic research refers to searching for all topic-related parts, including the title, abstract and
75	keywords. The time span of the selected literature is from 1950 to 2020.

76

Data Collection 77

78 After obtaining the preliminary search results, we further refined them. The refinement process 79 involved selecting "articles" and "reviews" through the document type and selecting "English" as the 80 language of the article. Our search time was January 21, 2021. Two experts independently determined 81 whether the document should be excluded by browsing the title and abstract of the document. When 82 there was a discrepancy between the two experts, through discussion, it was determined whether the 83 document was finally excluded. "Full Record and Cited References" were selected in the retrieval data export, and "Plain Text" was selected as the data format for VOSviewer and HistCite. Figure 1 shows 84 85 the flow of the retrieval strategy and the structure diagram of this article.

86

87 Figure 1. A flowchart representing retrieval strategy and structure diagram of this article

5

Top 10 most productive countries, 20 institutions, and 10 89

journals based on publication analyses 90

Through HistCite, we analysed the time of article publication, the 10 countries and 20 91 92 institutions with the largest number of articles, and the 10 journals with the largest number of WF 93 articles. The impact factor (IF) and ranking of the journal are from the 2019 JCR report.

94

Co-authorship between analysis and keyword analysis 95

96 In the cooperation network and keyword analyses, the size of the dot represents the frequency 97 of the record; the higher the frequency, the larger dot. The connection between points is expressed by 98 the thickness of the line; the more connections, the thicker the line. When we use VOSviewer for 99 visualization, we merge synonyms. In keyword analysis, it is mainly the singular and plural forms of words or whether there are different forms caused by the "-" symbol between two words. In the author 100 101 network, we merged different spelling forms of the same author and obtained the author's unit 102 information through the article (S1 Table).

103

Analyses of the citation network of the top 30 most cited 104 outputs 105

106 HistCite was used to analyse the citation relationships of the literature in the entire study, and 107 the 30 documents with the highest total local citation scores (TLCSs) were incorporated into the 108 citation chronology. The size of the point is related to the number of citations of this document in the 109 research; the greater the number of points there are, the larger the outline of the point. A line indicates 110 that there is a citation relationship between two documents. The arrow points from the citing document

6

111	to the cited document. TLCS and total global citation score (TGCS) are the two most important
112	parameters in HistCite. The TLCS is the number of times an article has been cited in the current
113	database. The TGCS is the total number of citations in the WoS database. The TLCS can better reflect
114	the influence of the article in terms of local research.
115	
116	Results
117	Numbers of publication and the top 10 most production
118	countries
119	From 1950 to 2020, 2,646 authors published a total of 1,008 articles in 294 journals. From 1950
120	to 1989, the average annual number of articles published did not exceed 4, but since 1990, the number
121	of articles issued has increased significantly. From 1990 to 1999, 18.1 articles were published on
122	average each year; from 2000 to 2009, 27.1 articles were published on average each year; and from
123	2010-2020, 38.9 articles were published on average each year (Figure 2a). The top 10 countries with
124	the largest number of articles published a total of 810 articles, accounting for 80% of the total. Among
125	them, the United States ranked first, with 269 articles. Australia ranked second with 143 articles, and
126	the United Kingdom ranked third with 109 articles (Figure 2b).
127	
128	Figure 2. Number of publication and the top 10 most production countries.
129	A. The number of publications from 1950 to 2020.
130	B. Top 10 most production countries.
131	

Top 20 institutions ranked by the number of publications 133

134	In total, 335 articles were published among the top 20 institutions. Seven of these institutions
135	are in the United States, and six are in Australia. Brazil, the United Kingdom, and Canada each have
136	two institutions, and South Korea has one institution in the top 20. The number one publication
137	institution is Australia's University of Adelaide, with a total of 50 articles. The second is Brazil's
138	University of Sao Paulo, with 30 articles; and the third is Australia's University of Melbourne, with 26
139	articles. Among them, the TLCS of the University of Adelaide is 218, the TGCS is 784 and is ranked
140	first, and the TLCS per paper is 4.36, which means that the average article ranks fourth in terms of
141	influence in this field. Moreover, we noticed that the U.S. CDC ranked first in terms of the influence of
142	each article, with a TLCS per paper of 6.13 and a TGCS per paper of 29.19. The TLCS per paper
143	indicates the influence of a single article in this field; the second and third places are the University of
144	Iowa (United States) with a TLCS per paper of 5.73 and the University of British Columbia (Canada)
145	with a TLCS per paper of 5.73, respectively (Figure 3).
146	
147	Figure 3. Top 20 institutions ranked by the number of publication
148	

- 149

Top 10 journals ranked by number of publications 150

151	The top 10 journals published a total of 486 papers, accounting for 48% of the total number of
152	articles. The total number of publications averages 48.6 articles per journal. The journals are classified
153	on WoS mainly in Dentistry, Oral Surgery & Medicine and Public, Environmental & Occupational
154	Health. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology (2019 IF of 2.135) published 107 articles,

155	ranking first. Fluoride and the Journal of Public Health Dentistry each published 75 related articles
156	and tie for second place. According to the 2019 JCR report, among the 10 journals, the highest IF is
157	4.914 for the Journal of Dental Research, and the lowest is 0.679 (Community Dental Health). The
158	average IF is 2.02. The TLCS of Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology is 472, and the TGCS is
159	1877; thus, both are ranked first among journals. However, the TLCS per paper for the Journal of
160	Dental Research is 5.85, that for the Journal of Public Health Dentistry is 5.76, and that for
161	Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology is 4.41, to round out the top three. The TGCS per paper
162	of the Journal of Dental Research is 20.51, the TGCS per paper of Community Dentistry and Oral
163	Epidemiology is 17.54, and the TGCS per paper of the Caries Research is 16.91; these journals are the
164	top 3 in terms of TGCS per paper (Figure 4).
165	
166	Figure 4. Top 10 journals ranked by number of publications
167	
168	
169	Co-authorship between countries, institutions, and authors
170	We can see that the United States has strong cooperative relations with Canada and Australia.
171	The UK has strong cooperative relations with Ireland and Australia. Brazil has cooperative
172	relationships with the United States and Australia, and its cooperative relationship with the United

- 173 States is stronger than that with Australia (Figure 5a).
- 174 It can be seen from the cooperation map of scientific research institutions that Australia's 175 Adelaide University is at the centre of the network. It has a strong cooperative relationship with the 176 University of North Carolina, Griffith University, and Newcastle University. Moreover, it can be seen

177	that the University of Queensland and Griffith University have a certain cooperative relationship. The
178	University of British Columbia has a certain cooperative relationship with the University of Iowa and
179	Indiana University (Figure 5b).
180	Co-authorship between authors is carried out by authors whose publication record is greater
181	than or equal to 5, and there are only three co-authorship networks with more than three authors
182	(Figure 5c). Seven scholars, including AJ Spencer and I Do from Adelaide University (Australia), SM
183	Levy from Iowa University (United States) and DC Clark from the University of British Columbia
184	(Canada), constitute the largest author collaboration network.
185	Seppa, Hausen, and Karkkainen from the University of Oulu (Finland) and Iuoma from the
186	University of Kuopio (Finland) constitute the second largest cooperative network. Moreover, we
187	noticed that Seppa and Hausen also been published research papers with Iuoma with the University of
188	Kuopio. ^{13,14}
189	The last collaborative network is composed of three British scholars: Goodwin from the
190	University of Manchester and Michaela and Maguire from the University of Newcastle. Figure 5d is
191	the detail information of co-authorship network of more than 3 authors.
192	
193	Figure 5. Co-authorship between countries(a), institutions(b), and authors(c,d)
194	A. Co-authorship between countries.
195	B. Co-authorship between institutions.
196	C. Co-authorship between authors.
197	D. Detail of co-authorship network of more than 3 authors.
198	

199

Keyword analysis 200

201	Through the keyword density map, we can see that the main keywords are dental caries,
202	fluoride, children, drinking water, prevalence, and dental fluorosis (Figure 6a).
203	In the keyword network diagram, VOSviewer divides the keywords into 4 clusters. Cluster 1 is
204	red, and the high-frequency keywords are dental caries (321 times), children (187 times), oral health
205	(112 times), and prevention (75 times), which are mainly related to dental caries. Cluster 2 is green.
206	The high-frequency words were fluoride (203 times), drinking water (125 times), fluorosis (105 times),
207	and exposure (72 times), which were mainly related to fluoride and fluorosis. Cluster 3 is blue, among
208	which the high-frequency words are fluoridation (110 times) and epidemiology (46 times), which are
209	mainly related to epidemics. Cluster 4 is yellow. The high-frequency keywords are prevalence (125
210	times), dental fluorosis (119 times), risk factors (79 times), and enamel fluorosis (45 times), which are
211	mainly related to dental fluorosis (Figure 6b).
212	
213	Figure 6. Keyword analysis
214	A. Keywords density map.
215	B. Keywords network map.
216	
217	
218	Citation network of top 30 most cited outputs
219	In total, 30 records were selected for citation analysis (Figure 7). The minimum TLCS in this

220 group was 16, and the maximum TLCS was 82.

11

221

222 Figure 7. Citation network of top 30 high-cited outputs in WF

- 223
- 224

225	The first highly cited article is from 1980, and the last one is from 2013. The articles were
226	mainly published in the Journal of Public Health Dentistry (8 articles) and Community Dentistry and
227	Oral Epidemiology (6 articles). The highest TLCS is achieved by Systematic Review of Water
228	Fluoridation (record 340) published in the British Medical Journal in 2000. ¹⁵ Records 144, 218, 309,
229	371, and 488 are all focused on dental fluorosis, of which 218 and 488 studied enamel fluorosis on the
230	maxillary incisors. ^{16,17} Records 94, 128, 176, and 351 studied the relationship between interrupted WF
231	and dental caries. Records 174 and 257 studied the relationship between dental caries, dental fluorosis
232	and fluoride concentration. Records 247 and 321 studied the relationship between high-fluoride water
233	and children's intelligence. Records 354, 353, and 592 are all WF economic evaluations. In addition,
234	we noticed that record 353 has been withdrawn. ¹⁸ S2 Table shows the specific information of the top 30
235	highly cited outputs.

236

Discussion 237

WF-related research has attracted the attention of top medical journals since 1950.¹⁹⁻²⁴ Major 238 239 institutions such as the U.S. Public Health Service,25 National Research Council,26 World Health Organization,²⁷ and Institute of Medicine²⁸ have confirmed the safety of WF, but in the past three 240 241 years, they have continuously reported on WF investigations based on social media, showing that the 242 public still has doubts regarding WF.^{7,29} Hyo-Jung Oh et al (2018) performed a trend analysis on

12

243	studies in the literature related to WF and caries but did not analyse other related WF literature and did
244	not have detailed indicators such as highly cited literature and cooperative relationship analysis. ³⁰
245	This article carried out bibliometric research and analysis on articles published in the field of
246	WF over the past 70 years. After 1991, the number of articles published increased sharply. From 1991
247	to 2010, the average annual number of publications exceeded 20, and the number of publications in the
248	last 10 years was 39.3. The United States and Australia are the most productive countries with the most
249	productive scientific research institutions. Australia's Adelaide University ranks first with 50 papers
250	and ranks first in the world in terms of the TLCS and TGCS and fourth in the world in terms of the
251	TLCS per paper. This finding shows that Adelaide University not only publishes a large number of
252	articles but also publishes high-quality papers and has an important influence in this field. The main
253	co-authorship network between organizations also confirms this point. The United States and Australia
254	have more co-authorships. Adelaide University is at the centre of the institutional cooperation network.
255	At the same time, AJ Spencer and IG GO (Adelaide University), SM Levy (Iowa University, USA),
256	and DC Clark (University of British Columbia, Canada) constitute the largest author network in the
257	co-authorship analysis.
258	According to the WoS classifications, WF research is mainly published in Dentistry, Oral
259	Surgery & Medicine. Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology published 107 articles, ranked first
260	in the number of articles published and in the TLCS and TGCS. In addition, ranked thrid in the TLCS
261	per paper index. In summary, it can be seen that Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology has an
262	important position in this research field. However, in terms of the impact of a single article in this

263 research field, the *Journal of Dental Research* ranks first in the top 10 journals, showing its important

influence in the field of dentistry.

13

265	Analysing the keyword network in the WF literature, the results show that the most common
266	keywords are dental caries, fluoride, children, drinking water, prevalence, and fluorosis. The keywords
267	of WF mainly include prevention of dental caries, systemic toxicity of fluoride, dental fluorosis and
268	epidemiological investigation. The chronological chart of the top 30 highly cited articles in the field
269	shows that the most cited articles are mainly focused on 1) dental fluorosis, especially fluorosis of the
270	maxillary central incisor; 2) the relationship between interruption WF and caries; 3) the impact of
271	drinking water on children's intelligence; and 4) economic evaluation.
272	This is the first article to report on the bibliometrics of WF. The list of highly cited articles will
273	provide an important source of information for researchers, public health personnel and government
274	agencies. Analysis of research hotspots in this field, publications in journals, analysis of high-yield
275	institutions and cooperation relationships will be beneficial to later scientific research and cooperation
276	choices of researchers and government agencies.
277	Some limitations still exist in our studies. The data in this paper only comes from Wos, and
278	there is no search on other databases such as Pubmed. At the same time, the deadline for data inclusion
279	in this paper is 2020, and the lack of research data in the past three years will have a certain impact on
280	the data in this paper. However, the WoS database is considered the best database for this type of
281	evaluation because the use of additional biomedical databases does not significantly increase the
282	production of related journals. ³¹ Secondly, we are exploring the 70 years of research changes from
283	1950 to 2020, in which the chronological statistics are measured in 10 years. Hence, there is no further

285

284

addition of articles from the last 3 years.

Acknowledgements 287

288	The authors would like to acknowledge the general support of Xuzhou Medical University and

289 Chongqing Medical University.

290

291

References 292

- 293 1. Over 75 years of Community Water Fluoridation, https://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/basics/anniversary.htm 294 (archived on 18 Mar 2021).
- 295 2. Healthy People 2020: health communication and health information technology, 296 https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/oral-health/ objectives (archived on 26 Aug 297 2019).
- 298 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Ten great public health achievements--United States, 299 1900-1999. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 1999;48(12):241-3.
- 300 4. Mackert M, Bouchacourt L, Lazard A, Wilcox GB, Kemp D, Kahlor LA, et al. Social media conversations 301 about community water fluoridation: formative research to guide health communication. J Public Health 302 Dent. 2021;81(2):162-166.
- 303 5. Schluter PJ, Hobbs M, Atkins H, Mattingley B, Lee M. Association Between Community Water Fluoridation 304 and Severe Dental Caries Experience in 4-Year-Old New Zealand Children. JAMA Pediatr. 305 2020;174(10):969-976.
- 306 6. Morabia A. Community Water Fluoridation: Open Discussions Strengthen Public Health. Am J Public 307 Health. 2016;106(2):209-10.
- 308 7. Oh HJ, Kim CH, Jeon JG. Public Sense of Water Fluoridation as Reflected on Twitter 2009-2017. J Dent Res. 309 2020;99(1):11-17.
- 310 8. Zhu R, Wang Y, Wu R, Meng X, Han S, Duan Z. Trends in high-impact papers in nursing research published 311 from 2008 to 2018: A web of science-based bibliometric analysis. J Nurs Manag. 2020;28(5):1041-1052.
- 312 Curt C. Multirisk: What trends in recent works? - A bibliometric analysis. Sci Total Environ. 9. 313 2021;763:142951.

314	10	Glanville I Kendrick T McNall	v R Cam	nhell I. Hohhs I	FD Research out	nut on primary	care in A	ustralia
514	10.	Glanvine J, Kenunek T, Mervan	y K, Can	ipuen J, mouus i	D. Research out	put on primary	cale in A	usuana

- 315 Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and the United States: bibliometric analysis. BMJ.
- 316 2011;342:d1028.
- 317 11. van Eck NJ, Waltman L. Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. 318 Scientometrics. 2010;84(2):523-538.
- 319 12. Garfield E. From the science of science to Scientometrics visualizing the history of science with HistCite 320 software. J Informetr 2009;3(3):173-179.
- 321 13. Seppä L, Luoma H, Hausen H. Fluoride content in enamel after repeated applications of fluoride varnishes in 322 a community with fluoridated water. Caries Res. 1982;16(1):7-11.
- 323 14. Seppä L, Hausen H, Luoma H. Relationship between caries and fluoride uptake by enamel from two fluoride 324 varnishes in a community with fluoridated water. Caries Res. 1982;16(5):404-12.
- 325 15. McDonagh MS, Whiting PF, Wilson PM, Sutton AJ, Chestnutt I, Cooper J, et al. Systematic review of water 326 fluoridation. BMJ. 2000;321(7265):855-9.
- 327 Evans RW, Darvell BW. Refining the estimate of the critical period for susceptibility to enamel fluorosis in 16. 328 human maxillary central incisors. J Public Health Dent. 1995;55(4):238-49.
- 329 17. Hong L, Levy SM, Broffitt B, Warren JJ, Kanellis MJ, Wefel JS, et al. Timing of fluoride intake in relation 330 to development of fluorosis on maxillary central incisors. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 331 2006;34(4):299-309.
- 332 18. Griffin SO, Gooch BF, Lockwood SA, Tomar SL. Quantifying the diffused benefit from water fluoridation in 333 the United States. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2001;29(2):120-9.
- 334 KNUTSON JW. The case for water fluoridation. N Engl J Med. 1952;246(19):737-43. 19.
- 335 20. Cooper C, Wickham CA, Barker DJ, Jacobsen SJ. Water fluoridation and hip fracture. JAMA. 336 1991;266(4):513-4.
- 337 21. Danielson C, Lyon JL, Egger M, Goodenough GK. Hip fractures and fluoridation in Utah's elderly 338 population. JAMA. 1992;268(6):746-8.
- 339 22. Jacobsen SJ, O'Fallon WM, Melton LJ 3rd. Hip fracture incidence before and after the fluoridation of the 340 public water supply, Rochester, Minnesota. Am J Public Health. 1993;83(5):743-5.
- 341 23. Gibson SL, Gibson RG. Water fluoridation. Clearer evidence of benefits and risks is needed. BMJ. 342 2001;322(7300):1487.
- 343 24. Yeung CA. Water fluoridation could save NHS millions every year. BMJ. 2014;348:g2855.
- 344 25. Public Health Service report on fluoride benefits and risks. MMWR Recomm Rep. 1991;40(RR-7):1-8.
- 345 26. National Research Council Committee on Toxicology. Health effects of ingested fluoride. Washington, DC: 346 National Academy Press, 1993.

347	27.	World Health Organization. Environmental health criteria 36: fluorine and fluorides. Geneva: World Health
348		Organization, 1984.
349	28.	Institute of Medicine (US) Standing Committee on the Scientific Evaluation of Dietary Reference Intakes.
350		Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D, and Fluoride. Washington
351		(DC): National Academies Press (US); 1997.
352	29.	Mackert M, Bouchacourt L, Lazard A, Wilcox GB, Kemp D, Kahlor LA, et al. Social media conversations
353		about community water fluoridation: formative research to guide health communication. J Public Health
354		Dent. 2021;81(2):162-166.
355	30.	Oh HJ, Choi HM, Kim C, Jeon JG. Trend Analysis of Studies on Water Fluoridation Related to Dental Caries
356		in PubMed. Caries Res. 2018;52(6):439-446.
357	31. A	Aggarwal A, Lewison G, Idir S, Peters M, Aldige C, Boerckel W, et al. The state of lung cancer research: a
358		global analysis. Journal of Thoracic Oncology. 2016;11:1040-50.

359

360

Supporting information 361

- 362 S1 Table. Synonym merging.
- 363 S2 Table. Specific information of highly cited 30 outputs.



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Bibliometric analysis Question	Metrics	Data analysis software
when	Number of publications from 1950-2020	Datawrapper
where	Top 10 most production countries Top 20 institutions Top 10 journals	G Scimago Graphica Datawrapper
who	Co-authorship between countries	& VOSviewer



Figure1



Figure2A



Figure2B

Top 20 institutions ranked by the number of publications

Institutions	Country	Publication records	TLCS	TLCS per paper	TGCS	TGCS per paper
Univ Adelaide	🏭 Australia	50	218	4	784	16
Univ Sao Paulo medRxiv preprint doi: h	Brazil https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.07.23	30 1298219; this version posted November 8	61 , 2023. The copyright holder for this	2	491	16
Univ Melbourne	Altis madeiavailable ur	author/funder, who has granted medicin perpetuity. Inder a CC-BY 4.0 International license .	64	2	372	14
Univ Queensland	📳 Australia	18	19	1	252	13
Indiana Univ	USA 🔤	17	32	2	310	18
Univ N Carolina	USA	17	45	3	180	11
Ctr Dis Control & Prevent	USA USA	16	98	6	467	29
Univ Manchester	╫ UK	16	29	2	291	18
Univ Calif San Francisco	USA USA	15	32	2	352	23
Univ Iowa	USA	15	86	6	296	20
Univ Michigan	USA	14	57	4	276	20
Univ Sydney	🎦 Australia	14	31	2	210	15
Univ Toronto	🔸 Canada	13	30	2	211	16
Griffith Univ	🎦 Australia	11	21	2	159	14
Newcastle Univ	💥 UK	11	12	1	168	15
Univ Washington	USA USA	11	31	3	283	26
Univ Western Australia	🎦 Australia	11	20	2	88	8
Seoul Natl Univ	: Korea	10	17	2	67	7
Univ British Columbia	🔸 Canada	10	51	5	176	18
Univ Estadual Campinas	📀 Brazil	10	19	2	213	21

Created with Datawrapper

Journal	Paper	Wos categories	Rank & Quartile	Country	IF	TLCS	TLCS per paper	TGCS	TGCS per Paper
CDOE	107	D	31/91 Q2	DENMARK	2	472	4	1,877	18
		Public	95/193 Q2						
FLUORIDE	75	Public	168/193 Q4	EALAND	1	126	2	849	11
		Т	90/92 Q4						
JPHD	75	D	47/91 Q3	USA	2	432	6	1,064	14
		Public	119/193 Q3						
CR	47	D	30/91 Q2	SWITZERLAND	2	193	4	795	17
JADA	39	D	16/91 Q1	USA USA	3	105	3	480	12
JDR	35	D	3/91 Q1	USA 📕	5	205	6	718	21
CDH	32	D	87/91 Q4	# ENGLAND	1	36	1	304	10
BDJ	31	D	72/91 Q4	# ENGLAND	1	90	3	445	14
ADJ	29	D	66/91 Q3	NUSTRALIA	1	102	4	360	12
IDJ	16	D	34/91 Q2	# ENGLAND	2	23	1	186	12

Top 10 journals ranked by number of publications

CODE : COMMUNITY DENTISTRY AND ORAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

- JPHD: JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH DENTISTRY
- CR: CARIES RESEARCH

Figure4

_

- JADA: JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION
- JDR: JOURNAL OF DENTAL RESEARCH
- CDH: COMMUNITY DENTAL HEALTH
- BDJ: BRITISH DENTAL JOURNAL ADJ:
- AUSTRALIAN DENTAL JOURNAL IDJ:
- - INTERNATIONAL DENTAL JOURNAL

Wos categories



DENTISTRY, ORAL SURGERY & MEDICINE

PUBLIC, ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH

TOXICOLOGY



Figure5A



Figure5B



Figure5C

🍂 VOSviewer



Figure5D

saliva

diet fluoridated water

toothpaste

children

health

association

water fluoridation

developmental defects

public health

fluoride intake dentifrice

infant formula

enamel fluorosis

fluorides

dental-caries

community

australia preschool-children

caries prevention

tooth-decay caries experience

population

program

care

oral-health

experience

adults

preventive dentistry

attitudes

quality-of-life

inequalities

deprivation

cost-effectiveness

food

trends

communities

hip fracture

patterns supplements ingestion

mechanisms

school-children tea water intelligence

dental fluorosis dringking-water groundwater fluoride

drinking-water toxicity

adsorption

defluoridation

high-fluoride water

calcium

exposure endemic fluorosis rats

sodium-fluoride neurotoxicity

bone

women

osteoporosis

drinking-water fluoridation

A VOSviewer

Figure6A





Figure6B



Citation network of top 30 high-cited outputs in water fluoridation research

Figure7