

1 **Lessons Learned from Development and Implementation of the National Surgical,**
2 **Obstetric and Anaesthesia Plan in Ethiopia: a qualitative study**

3
4 Amanuel Belay^{1¶}, Medhanit Getachew^{1¶}, Abebe Bekele^{2,3}, Andualem Deneke Beyene², Amezene
5 Tadesse², Justine Davies^{4,5,6}, Andrew JM Leather⁷, Charlotte Hanlon^{1,8*}

- 6
- 7 1. Centre for Innovative Drug Development and Therapeutic Trials for Africa, Addis Ababa
 - 8 University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
 - 9 2. Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa
 - 10 University, Ethiopia
 - 11 3. School of Medicine, University of Global Health Equity, Rwanda
 - 12 4. Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
 - 13 5. Centre for Global Surgery, Department of Global Health, Stellenbosch University, Cape
 - 14 Town
 - 15 6. Medical Research Council/Wits University Rural Public Health and Health Transitions
 - 16 Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of the
 - 17 Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
 - 18 7. King's Centre for Global Health and Health Partnerships, School of Life Course and
 - 19 Population Sciences, King's College London, London, UK
 - 20 8. Centre for Global Mental Health, Health Service and Population Research Department,
 - 21 Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, UK

22

23 *** Corresponding author**

24 E-mail: charlotte.hanlon@kcl.ac.uk

25 ¶**These authors contributed equally to this work.**

26 **Funding:** The research underpinning the findings presented in this paper was funded by the
27 National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Global Health Research Unit on Health System
28 Strengthening in Sub-Saharan Africa (ASSET), King’s College London (GHRU 16/136/54)
29 using UK aid from the UK Government.

30 **Competing interests:** None

31 **Data availability:** Open access.

32 **Short title:** National surgical planning and implementation in Ethiopia

33

34 **Author contributions**

35 AL, JD and AB conceptualised the overarching research goals and aims, and contributed to
36 funding acquisition. AL, JD, AB, AD and CH contributed to developing the methodology. MG
37 conducted the interviews. AB and MG led data analysis, supervised by CH. AB and MG
38 prepared the first draft. All authors contributed to interpretation of findings, reviewing and
39 editing drafts to produce the final manuscript. All authors approved the final submitted version
40 of the manuscript.

41

42

43 **Abstract**

44 **Background:** The Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery (SaLTS) project was launched in 2016 by
45 the Ethiopian Ministry of Health to improve access and quality of surgical and anaesthesia care
46 throughout Ethiopia. A key action of SaLTS was to develop a National Surgical, Obstetric and
47 Anaesthesia Plan (NSOAP). The aim of this study was to explore barriers and facilitators in the
48 development and implementation of this plan in Ethiopia.

49 **Methods:** We conducted semi-structured interviews with 12 key stakeholders involved in
50 developing and implementing the NSOAP at national and regional level in Ethiopia. Thematic
51 analysis was performed.

52 **Results:** The attention given to safe surgery after the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery that
53 reported in 2015, provided key impetus to commitment from the Ethiopian government to develop
54 an NSOAP. Another important opportunity while drafting the plan was the engagement of relevant
55 and motivated professionals. However, major challenges during development included a lack of
56 consistent leadership, no prior experience to benchmark from, the extended time taken to complete
57 the plan, the lack of exclusively dedicated staff, varying engagement, awareness and commitment
58 of regional bureaus and poor quality of available data to inform the situation analysis. Key
59 facilitators of implementation were ownership of the plan by the government and involvement of
60 a multidisciplinary implementation team. However, this was undermined by poor cost estimation
61 and inadequate commitment of financial resources, the low quality of surgical equipment and
62 supplies, and the lack of dedicated human resources, data management systems, ongoing
63 stakeholder engagement, and government accountability. Recommendations to increase the
64 success of future planning and implementation included the need to properly estimate resources
65 and financial impact, carry out a careful baseline assessment, implement checklists for monitoring

66 and evaluation, ensure reasonable resource allocation and involve grassroots regional stakeholders
67 from the beginning. Alongside this, countries need to have committed leadership and transparency
68 in setting goals to bring about broad stakeholder engagement.

69 **Conclusion:** Ethiopia showed early commitment to strengthen surgical systems and improve
70 surgical care. Lessons learned can help to guide and encourage other countries to develop realistic
71 NSOAPs and support their effective implementation on the ground.

72 **Keywords:** *surgical plan, obstetric plan, anaesthesia plan, health policy, surgical care,*
73 *implementation, Ethiopia*

74 **Background**

75 Surgical care and anaesthesia services have been recognized by the World Health Assembly
76 (Resolution-68/15) as an important public health investment and a key component of universal
77 health coverage [1]. Nonetheless, it has been estimated that five billion people lack access to safe,
78 affordable surgical and anaesthesia care when needed. This disparity disproportionately affects
79 those living in low- and middle-income countries: an estimated 93% of the population in sub-
80 Saharan Africa does not have access to safe, affordable surgical and anaesthesia care compared to
81 3.6% of the population in higher-income regions [2]. In Ethiopia, a country with a population of
82 120 million people, there is an estimated unaddressed need for 5,000,000 surgical procedures per
83 year [3]. To address this gap, a national plan that specifically addresses surgery is essential to
84 ensure that surgical and anaesthesia care are fully integrated into the health system and for proper
85 planning of care delivery, education and research [2]. Developing a national plan is an essential
86 first step for countries to strengthen their surgical systems and improve surgical care [4].

87 The Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery (SaLTS) initiative was launched in 2016 by the Ethiopian
88 Ministry of Health to improve access and quality of surgical and anaesthesia care throughout
89 Ethiopia [3]. Through SaLTS, Ethiopia became one of the first countries to develop a National
90 Surgical, Obstetric and Anesthesia Plan (NSOAP) and emerged as a leader in sub-Saharan Africa
91 in prioritizing surgery as part of a national healthcare strategy [5, 6]. The Lancet Commission on
92 Global Surgery has developed a template for the development of a national surgical plan [2],
93 covering the following six domains aligned with the WHO health service building blocks:
94 infrastructure, workforce, service delivery, financing, information management and governance
95 [7]. At the time of conducting this study, six countries had developed NSOAPs, 10 countries had
96 plans underway and 23 additional countries had shown a commitment to developing an NSOAP
97 [6, 8].

98 The existence of such a national-level plan is not, however, enough in itself to ensure improved
99 access to safe, affordable, surgical and anaesthesia care. Plans need to be implemented and we are
100 only aware of one country (Ethiopia) that has attempted to implement an NSOAP. Although the
101 development and early implementation of the Ethiopian NSOAP have been described previously
102 (5), to the best of our knowledge, there has been no systematic investigation of the barriers and
103 facilitators to the development and implementation of NSOAPs in this or any other low-resource
104 setting. The objective of this study was to explore the NSOAP planning process and the barriers
105 and facilitators to the development and implementation of a national surgical plan in Ethiopia.

106 Methods

107 Design

108 The study design was qualitative, nested within the surgical work package of the ASSET (HeAlth
109 System StrEngThening in sub-Saharan Africa) National Institute of Health Research Global Health
110 Unit [9], a health system strengthening research program working across four sub-Saharan African
111 countries (Ethiopia, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Zimbabwe) and three platforms of care (surgery,
112 primary care and maternal care).

113 Context

114 The study was carried out in Ethiopia from 27th May 2018 until 23rd January 2020. The SaLTS
115 initiative proposed to use a health system approach to improving surgical care through the
116 Ethiopian Hospitals Alliance for Quality (EHAQ) platform to simultaneously tackle activities
117 across eight ‘pillars of excellence’. These key strategic pillars are 1) Leadership, management, and
118 governance: a nationally scaled surgical leadership and mentorship program, 2) Infrastructure:
119 operating room construction and oxygen delivery plan, 3) Supplies and logistics: a national
120 essential surgical procedure and equipment list, 4) Human resource development: a Surgical
121 Workforce Expansion Plan and Anaesthesia National Roadmap, 5) Advocacy and partnership:
122 strong Ministry of Health partnerships with international organizations, including General Electric
123 Foundation’s safe Surgery 2020 initiative, 6) Innovation: facility-driven identification of problems
124 and solutions, 7) Quality of surgical and anaesthesia care service delivery: a national peri-operative
125 guideline and WHO Surgical Safety Checklist implementation, and 8) Monitoring and evaluation:
126 a comprehensive plan for short-term and long-term assessment of surgical quality and capacity
127 [3].

128 **Participants**

129 Informants were purposively selected based on being key stakeholders involved at the national or
130 regional level of plan development and implementation. They included policy-makers, planners,
131 surgeons in leadership positions, individuals with a variety of responsibilities at NGOs and
132 government level consultants.

133 **Data collection**

134 A topic guide was developed for in-depth interviews based upon the authors' knowledge of the
135 field and the literature. The interview guide was developed to explore the following topics: the
136 process of developing the plan, main steps taken during the drafting of the plan, opportunities and
137 barriers during the development process, key players in the development process, opportunities
138 and barriers during the implementation of the plan and lessons learned/recommendations for future
139 planning.

140 The topic guide was first developed in English and translated into Amharic. One experienced
141 interviewer, fluent in Amharic did all the interviews in Amharic. Interviews were audio-recorded.
142 Eleven interviews took place in the respondents' office and one was done virtually via the zoom
143 platform.

144 **Analysis**

145 All interviews were transcribed in Amharic, translated into English, and uploaded to OpenCode
146 4.02 software to facilitate analysis. Thematic analysis was performed. Two members of the
147 research team (AB, MG) read and re-read transcripts to familiarize themselves with the data and
148 independently carried out line-by-line coding of two randomly selected transcripts. AB and MG

149 met twice to compare and refine codes and coding. They developed a common codebook through
150 a discussion of each code definition and assignment. CH reviewed the codebook with AB and MG
151 to identify areas of overlap, inconsistency or possible gaps. AB and MG then independently coded
152 the remaining transcripts based on the codebook. Similar codes were grouped to form themes, and
153 these were compared back to the data and iteratively improved until consensus was reached.
154 Quotes from a range of participants were selected to illustrate these themes.

155 Ethical approval

156 Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the College of Health
157 Sciences of Addis Ababa University (protocol number 026/18/PSY) and King's College London
158 (protocol number HR-17/18-6144). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.
159 Confidentiality was ensured by anonymising identifying information and assigning a unique ID
160 code to all interviews.

161 Results

162 Twelve respondents participated, including respondents from the Ministry of Health, a Regional
163 Health Bureau and implementing NGOs. See Table 1 for characteristics. Ten participants were
164 trained as medical doctors, one was a nurse and one had a public health background.

165 **Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants**

166

Code	Position	Involvement in the Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery (SaLTS) initiative
------	----------	---

ID 01	Ministry of Health	Planning/design and implementation
ID 02	Ministry of Health	Planning/design only
ID 03	Implementing NGO	Planning/design and implementation
ID 04	Implementing NGO	Planning/design
ID 05	Implementing NGO	Late stage of the planning/design
ID 06	Surgical clinical expert	Planning/design
ID 07	Regional Health Bureau	Piloting stage
ID 08	Surgical clinical expert	Planning/design and implementation
ID 09	Surgical clinical expert	Piloting stage
ID 10	Surgical clinical expert	Piloting
ID 11	Ministry of Health	Planning/design and implementation

ID 12	Surgical clinical expert	Planning/design and implementation
-------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

167

168 **Key steps in drafting and developing the NSOAP**

169 Safe surgery as a problem area needing a response started to be given attention in Ethiopia
170 following the publication of the Lancet Commission on Global Surgery in 2015 (Fig 1). The
171 adoption of emergency and essential surgical care and anaesthesia as a component of universal
172 health coverage at the May 2015 World Health Assembly (WHA) was also perceived by
173 respondents as providing important impetus for the Ethiopian Ministry of Health (MoH) to
174 prioritize surgical care and initiate development of the NSOAP.

175 **Figure 1. Key steps in the development of the Ethiopian NSOAP**

176 The next key step was the MoH engaging with various professional institutions and stakeholders.
177 The idea for SaLTS was presented to senior surgeons, anaesthetists and obstetricians in Ethiopia.
178 Under the medical services directorate of MoH, a team of professionals was formed to provide
179 technical support and develop a concept note for SaLTS. The team members were identified
180 through the Ethiopian Medical Association and Addis Ababa University. To prioritize surgical
181 interventions that are cost-effective for scaling up, an “Ethiopian essential service package for
182 surgery” was developed. During the development process of this package, in addition to using the
183 documents prepared by World Bank and WHO as a baseline, input was obtained from a multi-
184 professional team drawn from multiple different surgical disciplines (including Ear, Nose and
185 Throat, and dental).

186 “... engagement was a critical part but during the engagement process, it was necessary
187 to engage the responsible professionals. Therefore, senior professionals have engaged not
188 only once but also repeatedly ... and the other thing we shouldn't have to underestimate
189 is, technical support, which is a very important thing. There were a team of professionals
190 that came from different areas who were dedicated to support and advise the ministry of
191 health.” (ID 03)

192 Another important step in developing the surgical plan was reported to be the time taken to conduct
193 a situational analysis. After a concept note was developed for funding, the situation analysis was
194 carried out. The situation analysis focused on the current status of infrastructure, human resources,
195 equipment in different settings and surgical and anaesthesia services that were being provided
196 across Ethiopia. The data from this situation analysis was used to identify gaps and helped the
197 team to develop the eight pillars for the Plan.

198 “..... to some extent situational analysis was attempted. This situational analysis, when it
199 is done, the pillars, for instance, on HR [human resources], how many surgeons are there,
200 how many obstetricians do we have, how many ophthalmologists do we have, where are
201 they and the like, these kinds of exercise was done on the analysis. Then, starting from the
202 situational analysis, after we identify gaps, those eight pillars were made to stand out.”
203 (ID 01)

204 The planning and implementation of some pillars of the NSOAP were described as being
205 undertaken in parallel. Along with the planning process, anaesthesia, Intensive Care Unit and
206 Operating Room equipment that was needed for implementation was purchased. In addition,
207 residency programmes for training of anaesthetists, surgeons, obstetricians and ophthalmologists

208 were expanded to strengthen the available workforce. Respondents emphasized the importance of
209 piloting aspects of the plan. As part of the implementation, a program on human capacity building,
210 which aimed to strengthen leadership and innovation for surgical and anaesthesia care was piloted
211 in five hospitals located in two regions. The experience from this pilot study was then incorporated
212 into the national plan (SaLTS).

213 *“... For learning purposes, as the two regions were ready at the time, we conducted pilot*
214 *studies in five hospitals in Amhara and Tigray regions. Then we took the experience we*
215 *found and we have tried to incorporate it as input in the SaLTS document.” (ID 08)*

216

217

218 Challenges and opportunities in developing the NSOAP

219 Many of the respondents spoke of the opportunity that arose due to the global attention given to
220 safe surgery and the resulting recognition of surgery as an essential component of care in Ethiopia.

221 *“The Lancet Commission publication is a landmark publication on the role of surgery as*
222 *an essential [part of] universal care. After that, they [Ministry of Health officials] believed*
223 *that there is a need to have one strategic document for Ethiopia too. So, the minister who*
224 *was there at that time believed in that and started the initiative and developed the plan*
225 *together with the experts...” (ID 05)*

226 The resulting commitments from champions for surgical care in the MoH and the funding made
227 available by various partners were mentioned as important opportunities. The strong engagement

228 of stakeholders, especially professionals and professional societies, (e.g. the Surgical Society of
229 Ethiopia) was also seen as vital to drive the process forwards.

230 An important challenge was that this was the first time an NSOAP had been developed in a low-
231 income country. There was no prior experience or benchmark, so this made the process difficult.

232 *“...at that time, when we did this, no other country had developed their surgical plan so,*
233 *this was I can see the first so, when you are the first, again the problem is there is no one*
234 *you can benchmark or refer to, there was no one we could do that with, so it was not easy*
235 *...” (ID 01)*

236 Respondents noted that a lack of consistent leadership and management of the process of
237 developing and implementing the plan was one of the main challenges. As the development
238 process went on, the policymakers were perceived not to have the required understanding and
239 commitment to lead. It was also noted that the leadership did not allow active participation of all
240 key stakeholders or engagement was late, when decisions had already been made. Inconsistent
241 leadership was described by respondents, exacerbated by a high turnover of leadership within the
242 MoH. Friction about who should lead was also present.

243 *“First, the leadership at the ministry of health was good in principle. But there was a*
244 *turnover of the leadership team. There was inability to make priorities most of the times.*
245 *There was sometimes no strong tendency from the Ministry of Health side to bring all*
246 *together and communicate in a clear manner and take things forward. So, from the ministry*
247 *of health side, a deficiency was observed.” (ID 08)*

248 Not including a budget allocation plan due to the uncertainties of how much it would cost was
249 mentioned as the main limitation of the plan.

250 *“But I think the main limitation of the plan was, budget allocation was not done...the*
251 *budget allocation was not seen on the plan. But the plan has a big impact on the budget...I*
252 *think it is because if the budget is predetermined it might be very high or it might be very*
253 *low ...I think that is why the budget is not calculated. We have tried to draft the budget on*
254 *another document but if you see the document now, you may not find the exact amount of*
255 *money.” (ID 06)*

256 The lengthy time for the planning process was emphasized by respondents as the other main
257 challenge. In total, the planning process took about nine months. No staff members were
258 exclusively dedicated to the task; rather the team had to drop other activities, like delivery of
259 surgical care, to engage in the planning process. There were competing priorities of the surgeons
260 that did not allow them to provide timely review and feedback to inform the planning process.

261 *“The other challenge I would think is you would have competitive priority and like the*
262 *team does not do this full time, many of them are physicians, they have to go to their clinic,*
263 *they have to go to their patients ...” (SALT 10)*

264 *“It is as I told you, as the individual didn’t engage, it might not be timely reviewed and*
265 *things might not go by the pace you thought, and there were competing priorities. Due to*
266 *these reasons, it was late for a certain time.” (SALT 04)*

267 Furthermore, limited data availability and poor quality of the available baseline data was a barrier
268 to progress. Basic information on essential service packages and the number of practitioners in the
269 country were not readily accessible.

270 *“It is difficult to get quality data in our country. We don’t even know the burden of surgical*
271 *disease; it is not easy to know the number of practitioners in each field. If you want to*
272 *know how many anaesthetists are actively practising in Ethiopia, you wouldn’t find the*
273 *number. So, it is difficult to find such type of background data...it is difficult to find quality*
274 *data. Thus, if you are thinking to develop the plan based on that data, it will be difficult.”*
275 *(ID 05)*

276 Lack of reporting from the devolved regional health administrations, reflecting both a lack of
277 awareness and involvement, was one of the reasons identified for limited data sources. The variable
278 understanding, commitment and willingness of regional health bureaus to invest in and lead the
279 implementation of the plan was perceived to be an important barrier.

280

281 Implementation Challenges and facilitators (current and foreseen)

282 Although respondents had some criticisms of the MoH leadership team during the development of
283 the plan, the leadership at all levels and ownership of the program by the government (MoH) was
284 seen as vital for actually getting the plan implemented.

285 *“... At its inception, it was Dr Kesete’s (the minister at the time) idea, not anyone else’s. If*
286 *we give credit, it should be for him. He identified the funding and he had the idea that the*
287 *focus area should be on surgery and the country should focus on that. He was consulting*

288 *others about this idea... We have repeatedly discussed how we should design the project.*
289 *Then his project was written by engaging others in the ministry and surgeons outside the*
290 *ministry, then when a partnership was started with GE Foundation, Jhpiego was involved*
291 *and then Harvard was engaged, it meant that these all were actively engaged.” (ID 12)*

292 Another important facilitator was bringing together professionals from relevant professional
293 associations. The involvement of a multidisciplinary implementation team of surgeons from the
294 Ethiopian Surgical Society, partner NGOs and other professionals (especially anaesthetists) was
295 seen as a strength.

296 Respondents also mentioned monitoring and evaluation, presentation of findings to higher officials
297 and stakeholders, follow up, accountability, decentralization of the work to regional health offices,
298 better resources and infrastructure and detailed planning as important implementation facilitators.

299 Nonetheless, the success of implementation was greatly affected by limitations in financial
300 resources and surgical supplies. Problems with the systems for purchasing and maintenance of
301 equipment and supplies, as well as low quality of the procured materials, held back
302 implementation.

303 *“Supply issues, the sustainability of consumable supplies, anaesthesia and other surgical*
304 *equipment’s whether it is consumable or non-consumable, when we think of the sustained*
305 *availability of these supplies, not only in our country but also worldwide, some of the*
306 *supplies are not accessible, they are not affordable as well.” (ID 11)*

307 Respondents said that the cost estimation exercise was not sufficiently thorough or accurate which
308 had consequences for the execution of the plan. In addition, different implementation activities

309 were funded by different donors but the fund was only available for a few selected hospitals,
310 making the broader execution of the plan nationwide unfeasible.

311 As with the development process, changes in the MoH leadership, the lack of a dedicated lead
312 person, limited resources and high turnover rates of professionals at the implementation sites
313 undermined implementation efforts.

314 *“What challenged the programme was the leadership change at the Ministry of Health. A*
315 *project which used to be seen greatly, a project which reports used to be requested weekly*
316 *by the ministry, which was a regular meeting agenda, a project raised by the minister*
317 *whenever he travels abroad, it all went down to a cliff as soon as a new minister is*
318 *appointed,” (ID 12)*

319

320 In addition, the prominent involvement of NGOs at the implementation stage made the health
321 professionals consider SaLTS as additional work as opposed to part of the routine work.

322 *“... the idea of “donor’s job” is usually “NGO’s job” and that will be a barrier. Thus, as*
323 *much as possible we need to take that out of our minds. We need to convince them as this*
324 *is the ministry’s job and as it is part of our routine work. Otherwise, it is going to be a*
325 *barrier. When you are going to do some tasks, if it is NGO’s job, people have a different*
326 *attitude towards that and they might ask for additional payment. So, such kinds of things*
327 *might happen more than you realize. Because, they may say like, “this is NGO’s job and it*
328 *is not clear to understand” ... such type of feelings might be a barrier.” (ID 05)*

329 Respondents mentioned that the political instability in the country that began just before
330 implementation started was also a huge challenge.

331 *“... right at our entry point, the country went into chaos. Those people that we trained on*
332 *SaLTS, they back out, that means they have resigned in regions that means, things that*
333 *were there, all people and those who took the orientation and training, those who took the*
334 *skill, the people who took it, overall, given the situation that was there in the country, the*
335 *economy inflation and the situation in the country, they resigned, so, when you go and ask*
336 *them what SaLTS is they talk to you about the salt we put in foods, not this Safe lives*
337 *through safe surgery.” (ID 02)*

338 The lack of a data management system, ongoing stakeholder engagement and government
339 accountability were also raised as challenges to implementation. A few participants stated that the
340 programme did not consider how surgery fits with other priority programs or the affordability for
341 the community.

342

343 **Lessons learned in developing and implementing an NSOAP**

344 There were several recommendations by respondents for other countries that intend to develop and
345 implement national surgical plans. Framing safe surgery as a public health problem and ensuring
346 committed leadership at all levels were the key points mentioned by respondents. It was said that
347 professionals in the sector should stay motivated and give national planning and implementation
348 high priority. To maintain motivation, the MoH or other stakeholders that own the process in the
349 country should decentralize the work to the grassroots level and encourage leadership at all levels.

350 *“The first thing is to make either the political leaders or technical leaders own the*
351 *program. It is important to make them take that as their own job. If we can do this, the*
352 *leadership at each level will have a responsibility and accountability...and they will*
353 *allocate resources for the program and they will also evaluate whether the resource is*
354 *being used properly or not. Therefore, it is important to do this starting from the federal*
355 *level to the woreda level.” (ID 06)*

356 Transparency in setting the national goals and commitment by the governing body would allow
357 the stakeholders at different levels to have similar understandings and strengthen their
358 commitment.

359 *“The major thing is to have a clear plan. There has to be a clear plan, the plan should*
360 *clearly state the implementation process and responsibilities. And there needs to be*
361 *monitoring and evaluation to follow evaluate the performance of the implementation. But*
362 *there need to be standards to be followed while doing this.” (ID 11)*

363 Another important recommendation was the need for a community baseline assessment to develop
364 a contextualized plan. Such an assessment should include situation analyses of resources and
365 financial impacts of surgical conditions to make the case for the return on investment for making
366 surgical care available.

367 *“It is good to conduct a situational analysis in the whole country. It is important to know*
368 *how many hospitals are available, the level of those hospitals, how many health centres*
369 *there are, after that it is also necessary to assess the availability of the human resource.*
370 *They need to think about the availability of human resources that can implement the*
371 *program.” (ID 06)*

372 Furthermore, it was recommended that the planning process should be done step by step, ensuring
373 that all required tasks are completed promptly. This will allow partners to take part in steps that
374 require their input. This will additionally allow the process to be comprehensive, for instance,
375 considering all types of surgery, and other tasks needed when planning. Finally having checklists
376 and standards for monitoring and evaluation of the plan and setting ethical standards were
377 highlighted as key points to consider when drafting such a plan.

378 Changes that the respondents suggested in the planning and design process included engagement
379 of stakeholders at all levels (mainly regional bureau staff) from the beginning to the end. This
380 engagement should be done in the form of teamwork, where both leaders and team members are
381 equally informed and committed. The rationale for this approach was that it would maintain
382 commitment despite a change in the leadership. One respondent suggested that the development
383 of an activity-based strategic plan before a national surgical plan would have given better direction
384 to implementation activities.

385 *“The first thing is the leadership commitment has main role. We have to make commitment*
386 *at Ministry of Health and regional level by all aspects and engage professional societies*
387 *since they are the one which implement it. So, their engagement have main role .“ (ID 04)*

388 It was suggested that the pillars of SaLTS should be refined using local experience; for example,
389 to add one pillar on social mobilization and to prioritize fewer pillars to give more focus on details.
390 Developing the plan at the country’s regional level and consolidating centrally at a later stage was
391 also recommended as an alternative approach to top-down planning and implementation. Regional
392 planning would need to be supported by adequate budget, facilitation and human resources.

393 *“I believe that it is also necessary to work on social mobilization and it might be necessary*
394 *to add one pillar which can address the social mobilization part. Because it is important*
395 *to take SALT program from the health professionals and ministry of health and give the*
396 *ownership to the community.” (ID 06)*

397 Discussion

398 This paper explored the processes, facilitators and barriers of development and implementation of
399 an NSOAP in Ethiopia.

400 The NSOAP was the first of its kind to be developed and implemented in Ethiopia. A major
401 opportunity that supported the development and implementation process was the recognition given
402 to safe surgery and anaesthesia globally, which gave impetus to national recognition of this
403 neglected area of healthcare. The involvement of Ethiopian global surgery experts in the global
404 surgical movement is likely to have facilitated this translation from global to national relevance.
405 There are increasing calls for global health initiatives to be led from the global south [10]. Strong
406 LMIC leadership is likely to increase buy-in by national governments while ensuring contextual
407 relevance and alignment with priorities.

408 Key identified challenges resulted from the lack of consistent leadership at the highest level, full
409 commitment from stakeholders and the availability of limited resources. The high-level political
410 commitment by the Ministry of Health was the first key step in the development of the NSOAP.
411 Strong ownership by the government and the involvement of expert working groups that included
412 key individuals and organizations involved in surgery and anaesthesia was the next important step
413 in the development of the plan. The Ethiopian Ministry of Health has a strong track record of
414 exercising country ownership of health development [11]. Thus, MoH tends to coordinate

415 resources from partners and donors so that they are directed towards the priorities of the country
416 and avoid fragmentation from vertical planning or undue influence of external agendas. Closely
417 related to the question of political commitment is the imperative of ensuring strong and continuous
418 leadership which, our findings indicate was one of the main challenges in both the development
419 and the implementation of this national plan. Inconsistent or inadequate leadership has been
420 identified as a barrier to implementation of national plans for non-surgical initiatives in other
421 LMICs as well [12]. Even though there was a strong initial engagement of stakeholders in
422 developing the NSOAP, there was a lack of sustained engagement in the implementation of the
423 plan. Systematically identifying and involving relevant stakeholders in developing such a plan and
424 continued engagement of all stakeholders at implementation is necessary [13].

425 A major implementation challenge for the Ethiopia national surgical plan was the inadequacy of
426 available funding. Limited financial resources are a key challenge in implementing health plans in
427 other countries [14, 15]. Not having a budget allocation plan for such a country-wide programme
428 undermines implementation efforts, even when significant financial support is available from
429 partners. Lessons learned from the description of developing and planning to implement the
430 national surgical plan in Tanzania showed that the funding available was sufficient but required
431 dedicated staff to properly manage the programme and allocate funds for the planned activities
432 [16]. This lack of dedicated staff was similarly pointed out as a challenge in our study. Findings
433 from early implementation of the Ethiopia NSOAP had shown that attracting additional financial
434 resources was a challenge [5]. The importance of ensuring sustainable funding for such programs
435 is also backed by the literature [17]. A vital strategy to guaranteeing a budget line for NSOAP
436 implementation is integration of an NSOAP into a country's national health strategy and
437 involvement of the Ministry of Finance from the earliest stages of NSOAP development [4, 18].

438 Identifying and utilization of domestic funding to be supplemented with funding from international
439 partners is also important [4, 18-20]. Studies show that essential surgical procedures are among
440 the most cost-effective of all health interventions and that investment in surgical services in LMICs
441 is affordable [2, 21]. Without scale-up of surgical care, surgical conditions are estimated to result
442 in losses of up to 2.5% potential annual GDP in LMICs by 2030 [22], making the investment in
443 surgical care compelling from an economic point of view alone.

444 The designing of the Ethiopian NSOAP could have been more effective if it was based on more
445 detailed and better-quality data, as well as more diverse data sources. A baseline situational
446 assessment could also add value to the quality of the plan and inform implementation indicators
447 and targets [4, 7]. Data points for constructing these indicators and the situation should be
448 established from regular collection and reporting of standard metrics [23]. A strong monitoring
449 and evaluation plan is essential for successful NSOAP implementation [24]. Poor and limited data
450 along with inadequate data management infrastructure and capacity were highlighted by our
451 respondents. This was also mentioned in the literature as a key barrier to effective monitoring and
452 evaluation [25, 26].

453 A limitation of this study is that the only source of our findings were individuals who participated
454 in the planning, designing and piloting of the surgical plan from the ministry of health and NGOs.
455 Individuals working at the regional level and health facilities did not participate in the study and
456 so we may have missed important insights on the implementation of SaLTs. Furthermore, the
457 voices of patients and advocacy groups were not included. It is important for future researchers to
458 ensure that the experiences and priorities of more diverse stakeholders are explored.

459 In conclusion, country-led planning and the involvement of motivated professionals has been
460 critical for strengthening the surgical and anaesthesia systems and improving surgical care in
461 Ethiopia. Lessons learned from the experience of developing and implementing a surgical plan in
462 Ethiopia can improve ongoing implementation, while also providing important insights to guide
463 other countries to achieve realistic and effective surgical system change.

464

465 **Acknowledgments**

466 The research underpinning the findings presented in this paper was funded by the National
467 Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Global Health Research Unit on Health System
468 Strengthening in Sub-Saharan Africa (ASSET), King's College London (GHRU 16/136/54)
469 using UK aid from the UK Government. Charlotte Hanlon (CH) receives support through an
470 NIHR RIGHT grant (NIHR200842) and an NIHR global health research group on homelessness
471 and mental health in Africa (HOPE; NIHR134325). The views expressed in this publication are
472 those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health and
473 Care Research or the Department of Health and Social Care, England. CH is also funded by the
474 Wellcome Trust through grants 222154/Z20/Z (SCOPE) and 223615/Z/21/Z (PROMISE).

475 For the purposes of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC
476 BY) licence to any Accepted Author Manuscript version arising from this submission.

477

478 Conflicts of interest: none

479 **References**

- 480 1. Price R, Makasa E, Hollands M. World Health Assembly Resolution WHA68.
481 15:“strengthening emergency and essential surgical care and anesthesia as a component of
482 universal health coverage”—addressing the public health gaps arising from lack of safe,
483 affordable and accessible surgical and anesthetic services. *World journal of surgery*.
484 2015;39(9):2115-25.
- 485 2. Meara JG, Leather AJ, Hagander L, Alkire BC, Alonso N, Ameh EA, et al. Global Surgery
486 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development. *The*
487 *Lancet*. 2015;386(9993):569-624. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.06.20248468>
- 488 3. FMOH. Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia National Safe Surgery Strategic PLAN,
489 Saving Lives Through Safe Surgery (SaLTS) Strategic Plan 2016–2020. Addis Ababa: Federal
490 Ministry of Health of Ethiopia, 2017.
- 491 4. Peters AW, Roa L, Rwamasirabo E, Ameh E, Ulisubisya MM, Samad L, et al. National
492 Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plans Supporting the Vision of Universal Health Coverage.
493 *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2020;8(1):1-9.
- 494 5. Bursaa D, Teshome A, Iverson K, Ahearn O, Ashengo T, Barash D, et al. Safe surgery for
495 all: early lessons from implementing a national government-driven surgical plan in Ethiopia.
496 *World journal of surgery*. 2017;41(12):3038-45.
- 497 6. Sonderman KA, Citron I, Meara JG. National surgical, obstetric, and anesthesia planning
498 in the context of global surgery: the way forward. *Jama Surgery*. 2018;153(10):959-60.
- 499 7. Albutt K, Sonderman K, Citron I, Nthele M, Bekele A, Makasa E, et al. Healthcare Leaders
500 Develop Strategies for Expanding National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anaesthesia Plans in WHO

- 501 AFRO and EMRO Regions. *World J Surg.* 2019;43(2):360-7. Epub 2018/10/10. doi:
502 10.1007/s00268-018-4819-z. PubMed PMID: 30298283.
- 503 8. Truché P, Shoman H, Reddy CL, Jumbam DT, Ashby J, Mazhiqi A, et al. Globalization of
504 national surgical, obstetric and anesthesia plans: the critical link between health policy and
505 action in global surgery. *Globalization and Health.* 2020;16(1):1-8.
- 506 9. Seward N, Hanlon C, Abdulahi A, Abrams Z, Alem A, Araya R, et al. HeAlth System
507 StrEngThening in four sub_Saharan African countries (ASSET) to achieve high-quality, evidence-
508 informed surgical, maternal and newborn, and primary care: protocol for pre-implementation
509 phase studies. medRxiv. 2021.
- 510 10. Dalglish SL. COVID-19 gives the lie to global health expertise. *Lancet (London, England).*
511 2020;395(10231):1189. Epub 2020/03/31. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30739-x. PubMed
512 PMID: 32222159; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7194526.
- 513 11. Teshome SB, Hoebink P. Aid, ownership, and coordination in the health sector in
514 Ethiopia. *Development Studies Research.* 2018;5(sup1):S40-S55. doi:
515 10.1080/21665095.2018.1543549.
- 516 12. Khan F, Owolabi MO, Amatya B, Hamzat TK, Ogunniyi A, Oshinowo H, et al. Challenges
517 and barriers for implementation of the World Health Organization Global Disability Action Plan
518 in low- and middle- income countries. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine.* 2018;50(4):367-76.
519 Epub 2017/10/06. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2276. PubMed PMID: 28980008.
- 520 13. Mukhopadhyay S, Lin Y, Mwaba P, Kachimba J, Makasa E, Lishimpi K, et al. Implementing
521 World Health Assembly Resolution 68.15: National surgical, obstetric, and anesthesia strategic

- 522 plan development--the Zambian experience. Bulletin of the American College of Surgeons.
523 2017;102(6):28-35. Epub 2017/09/09. PubMed PMID: 28885807.
- 524 14. Todd G, Mamdani M. Tanzania and the sustainable development goals: has Tanzania
525 prepared to roll-out and domesticate the health SDGs. Ifakara health Institute. 2017.
- 526 15. Michelo C, Jacobs CN, Munakampe MN, Silumbwe A. Assessing Zambia's Preparedness
527 to implement sustainable development goals on Health. Zambia: African Center for Global
528 Health and Social Transformation. 2017.
- 529 16. Citron I, Jumbam D, Dahm J, Mukhopadhyay S, Nyberger K, Iverson K, et al. Towards
530 equitable surgical systems: development and outcomes of a national surgical, obstetric and
531 anaesthesia plan in Tanzania. BMJ global health. 2019;4(2):e001282. Epub 2019/05/30. doi:
532 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001282. PubMed PMID: 31139445; PubMed Central PMCID:
533 PMCPMC6509614.
- 534 17. Mghamba JM, Talisuna AO, Suryantoro L, Saguti GE, Muita M, Bakari M, et al.
535 Developing a multisectoral National Action Plan for Health Security (NAPHS) to implement the
536 International Health Regulations (IHR 2005) in Tanzania. BMJ global health. 2018;3(2):e000600.
537 Epub 2018/04/03. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000600. PubMed PMID: 29607098; PubMed
538 Central PMCID: PMCPMC5873533.
- 539 18. Albutt K, Citron I, Sonderman K, Nthele M, Bekele A, Maongezi S, et al. National Surgical
540 Obstetric and Anaesthesia Planning: Process and Consensus Recommendations. Dubai, United
541 Arab Emirates: 2018.
- 542 19. Lumumba-Kasongo T, Newman J. 2015 Addis Ababa Action Agenda of the Third
543 International Conference on Financing for Development "Concerning gender inequality, which

544 is the most important component of social inequality in African states, the international
545 institutions are the most conservative par excellence.”. Wagadu. 2015;13.

546 20. Yamey G, Shretta R, Binka FN. The 2030 sustainable development goal for health. British
547 Medical Journal Publishing Group; 2014.

548 21. Mock CN, Donkor P, Gawande A, Jamison DT, Kruk ME, Debas HT. Essential surgery: key
549 messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition. Lancet (London, England).
550 2015;385(9983):2209-19. Epub 2015/02/11. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60091-5. PubMed
551 PMID: 25662414; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7004823.

552 22. Alkire BC, Shrimel MG, Dare AJ, Vincent JR, Meara JG. Global economic consequences of
553 selected surgical diseases: a modelling study. The Lancet Global health. 2015;3 Suppl 2(Suppl
554 2):S21-7. Epub 2015/05/01. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(15)70088-4. PubMed PMID: 25926317;
555 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7004823.

556 23. Davies JI, Gelb AW, Gore-Booth J, Martin J, Mellin-Olsen J, Åkerman C, et al. Global
557 surgery, obstetric, and anaesthesia indicator definitions and reporting: An Utstein consensus
558 report. PLoS medicine. 2021;18(8):e1003749. Epub 2021/08/21. doi:
559 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003749. PubMed PMID: 34415914; PubMed Central PMCID:
560 PMC7004823 following competing interests: Tore Laerdal is Executive Director of the
561 Laerdal Foundation, which provided financial support for the meeting. Tore provided practical
562 support for the meeting and read and approved the manuscript, but did not input into the
563 consensus process or conclusions.

564 24. Sonderman KA, Citron I, Mukhopadhyay S, Albutt K, Taylor K, Jumbam D, et al.
565 Framework for developing a national surgical, obstetric and anaesthesia plan. BJS open.

566 2019;3(5):722-32. Epub 2019/10/09. doi: 10.1002/bjs5.50190. PubMed PMID: 31592517;

567 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6773655.


568 25. Najafizada SAM. Policy research institutions and the health SDGs: building momentum

569 in South Asia-country report, Afghanistan. 2017.

570 26. NPHF. Policy Research Institutions and the Health SDGs: Building Momentum in Nepal -

571 Country Report. Kathmandu, Nepal: Nepal Public Health Foundation (NPHF), 2017.

572



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.06.23296670>; this version posted October 6, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure