medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.23296264; this version posted September 29, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license . Endemic means change as SARS-CoV-2 evolves Sarah P. Otto¹, Ailene MacPherson², & Caroline Colijn²

1. Department of Zoology & Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia,

2. Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada

Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada. otto@zoology.ubc.ca

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Summary 19

COVID-19 has become endemic, with dynamics that reflect the waning of immunity and re-exposure, 20 by contrast to the epidemic phase driven by exposure in immunologically naïve populations. Endemic 21 does not, however, mean constant. Further evolution of SARS-CoV-2, as well as changes in behaviour 22 and public health policy, continue to play a major role in the endemic load of disease and mortality. In 23 this paper, we analyse evolutionary models to explore the impact that newly arising variants can have on 24 25 the short-term and longer-term endemic load, characterizing how these impacts depend on the transmission and immunological properties of variants. We describe how evolutionary changes in the 26 virus will increase the endemic load most for persistently immune-escape variants, by an intermediate 27 amount for more transmissible variants, and least for transiently immune-escape variants. Balancing the 28 tendency for evolution to favour variants that increase the endemic load, we explore the impact of 29 vaccination strategies and non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) that can counter these increases in 30 the impact of disease. We end with some open questions about the future of COVID-19 as an endemic 31 32 disease.

Introduction 33

Early in the global pandemic, COVID-19 levels rose and fell steeply, displaying rapid exponential 34

growth and leading to widespread lockdowns and other public health measures to slow transmission 35

(Ogden et al. 2022; Talic et al. 2021). The vaccination campaigns of 2021, followed by the nearly-36

uncontrolled Omicron waves in early 2022 (Figure 1, BA.1 and BA.2 peaks), have now led to almost 37

100% immunological exposure in many countries. In Canada, for example, 100% of blood donors had 38

developed antibodies to the spike protein from previous exposure to the virus by June 2023, with 80% 39 also showing antibodies to nucleocapsid, indicating prior infection (Canadian Blood Services 2023). The 40

number of immunologically naïve individuals that fed COVID-19 dynamics throughout the pandemic

41

has now greatly decreased, but in its place is a continual flow of newly susceptible individuals as 42 humoral immunity wanes. For the past year, COVID-19 levels have ebbed and flowed in response to this

43

44 waning immunity, new variants, and to changing public health measures. These peaks and troughs are 45 more subdued wavelets, compared to earlier Omicron peaks (Figure 1).



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FIGURE 1: COVID-19 trends across four provinces in Canada. Major waves in early 2022 47 were driven by the rise and spread of Omicron, whose immune-evasive properties allowed 48 widespread infection at a time when public health measures were largely relaxed (peak in January 49 2022: BA.1, April: BA.2, July: BA.4 & BA.5). A year later, Omicron variants have continued to 50 spread rapidly (peak in December 2022: BQ.1; April 2023: XBB.1.5), but they no longer cause 51 major waves in cases. PCR-confirmed cases per 100,000 individuals aged 70+ (green dots) are 52 used to illustrate case trends, as testing practices changed dramatically over this time period but 53 this age group remained eligible for testing. To guide the eye, a cubic spline fit (lambda = 3) was 54 applied (top curves in each panel), and the frequency changes of each variant under this curve were 55 fitted by maximum likelihood using duotang (CoVaRR-Net's CAMEO 2023). Genomic sequence 56 data from each province were obtained from the Canadian VirusSeg Portal (VirusSeg 2023) and fit 57 by maximum likelihood to a model of selection in two periods: first 9 months using BA.1 as a 58 reference (left of dashed line); second 9 months using BA.4/5 as a reference (right of dashed line). 59 grouping all clades within a family together except when a sub-clade is also mentioned (e.g., BQ.1 60 separated from BA.5). See supplementary Mathematica file for scripts and duotang (CoVaRR-61 Net's CAMEO 2023) for methodological details and finer resolution of lineages and time periods. 62

COVID-19 is now considered an endemic disease, being both widespread and persistent, adding to the respiratory infectious diseases with which we must routinely contend. Its now-endemic nature reflects a balance between waning immunity and on-going transmission, leading to a turnover of cases across the globe. Endemic does not mean "constant", as new variants and behavioral shifts drive change. Endemic also does not mean "rare", as waning and transmission rates have remained high (e.g., Figure 1). Here we explore mathematical models to improve understanding of how the ongoing evolution of SARS-CoV-2, as well as our behavioural responses, will shape endemic COVID-19 and similar diseases.

When most individuals in a population are susceptible (epidemic phase), any variant or behavioural 70 71 measure that affects the transmission rate will have a direct effect on the number of new infections over 72 the short term, as exposures determine the spread of disease. When a disease first appears, the reproductive number describing the number of new infections per infection, R_0 , is given by the 73 transmission rate divided by the clearance rate of the infection in the classic SIR epidemiological model 74 (Keeling and Rohani 2011). Thus, variants that increase transmission or behavioural changes that reduce 75 transmission directly reduce new infections and the rate of exponential growth, but these new infections 76 77 have little immediate effect on the large pool of susceptible individuals. Models of this epidemic phase 78 (e.g., (Day et al. 2020)) also typically ignore waning of immunity or the possibility that variants may evade any such immunity earlier. 79

By contrast, when a disease is endemic and most individuals have some degree of immunity, waning must be explicitly considered and modeled in a manner that allows variants to infect earlier (as in the SIR_n model, with multiple recovered classes, that we consider here). Furthermore, any change in the transmission rate has a more complex effect on the number of infections in the near future, because higher transmissibility depletes the number of susceptible individuals whose immunity has waned (by "refreshing" that immunity through exposure), while lowering transmission allows susceptible individuals to accumulate.

87 Indeed, this kind of reasoning about endemic disease has been used as an argument against non-88 pharmaceutical measures like masking:

89 Masks "can delay transmission, they can reduce transmission, but they're not actually effective 90 measures at a population level," because "exposure is essentially universal now to COVID-19."

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Public Health Officer, November 2, 2022 in Today in BC podcast

92 This argument assumes that transmission is so common that reducing risks of exposure no longer

93 matters, as another exposure will occur soon thereafter. This is a strong claim, with major implications

94 for both individual and public health decisions. It is essentially a claim that endemic levels of a disease

such as COVID-19 are not under our control.

96 Mathematical models can help evaluate such claims and determine whether and to what extent our

97 actions affect the endemic load of disease and mortality. Models can also predict how this load would

98 change in the face of new variants and how this depends on the properties of those variants. Here, we

99 tailor standard epidemiological models to the current phase of COVID-19 to better understand the risks

100 posed by new variants and our ability to control endemic diseases.

101

91

102 Model background

103 We use a classic compartment model, SIR_n , as illustrated in Figure 2, measuring the fraction of the

104 population that is in the susceptible class (S), the infectious class (I), or in one of several recovered

105 classes (R_j) . These sequential recovered classes allow for different stages of waning immunity (i, j)

ranging from 1 to n) and capture the dynamics of neutralizing antibodies that help protect against

infection (Andrews et al. 2022; Khoury et al. 2021). When measured on a log scale, neutralizing
antibodies rise to a high level soon after infection or vaccination and then decline linearly over time

since vaccination and/or infection (e.g., (E. H. Lau et al. 2021; Evans et al. 2022; C. S. Lau et al. 2022;

Jacobsen et al. 2023). We thus consider the R_i classes to fall along different stages of this linear decline

111 (R_1 being highest and R_n lowest), with antibody levels falling over time (modeled as movement of

individuals from R_j to R_{j+1}) until levels are so low that infection is no longer prevented (R_n waning to S).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



113

FIGURE 2: Epidemiological model used to predict impact of changing variants, behaviour, 114 and policy on endemic levels of disease. We consider populations that have a high level of 115 immunity due to prior infection and/or vaccination and that consist of S: a susceptible fraction, I: 116 an infected fraction, and R_i: a recovered fraction with immunity at different stages of waning. 117 Parameters are β : transmission rate, κ : recovery rate, δ_i : per-class waning rate per day, and ν : 118 vaccination rate at the population level, all measured in the present-day population with prior 119 exposure. Movement between adjacent recovered classes is set equal to $\delta_i = n\delta$, so that the 120

expected time between first recovering and returning to the susceptible state is $1/\delta$ days. 121

122 As we are modelling the long-term epidemiological dynamics in a population previously exposed to the virus via vaccinations and/or infections, we emphasize that the susceptible class, S, consists of

123

individuals who have had previous exposure but are currently susceptible due to waning immunity. 124 125 Throughout this paper, we are thus describing the epidemiological dynamics in a previously challenged

population. 126

127 When we model vaccination, vaccines move individuals from the susceptible (S) to the first recovered

class (R_1) at rate v per population (Figure 2). We consider v to be the total fraction of the population 128

moved (rather than the rate per susceptible individual) to align with data on observed or target 129

vaccination rates within a country. Vaccination is therefore protective against infection in this model 130

until vaccine-induced immunity wanes, which occurs at the same rate that infection-induced immunity 131

wanes (although we do extend the model to consider the possibility that vaccination does not elicit an 132 immune reaction in some individuals). We do not separately model disease or severity, or vaccine's 133

effectiveness against these as distinct from protection against infection. 134

Before considering variants or NPI measures, the dynamics describing changes in the number of 135 individuals in each compartment within the SIR_n model are: 136

137
$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \delta_n R_n - \beta SI - v$$

138
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \kappa I \tag{1}$$

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

139
$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = \kappa I + \nu - \delta_1 R_1$$

 $\frac{\kappa}{\beta}$

140
$$\frac{dR_j}{dt} = \delta_{j-1}R_{j-1} - \delta_j R_j \qquad \text{for } 2 \le j \le n.$$

141 We can find the equilibria of this system of equations by setting the derivatives to zero and solving,

142 yielding two equilibria for the fraction of individuals in each class. One equilibrium corresponds to the 143 disease being absent ($\hat{S} = 1$), and the other to the disease being endemic:

144
$$\hat{S} =$$

145
$$\hat{I} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right) \frac{\delta}{\delta + \kappa} - \frac{\nu}{\delta + \kappa}$$
(2)
146
$$\hat{R}_j = \frac{1}{n} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right) \frac{\kappa}{\delta + \kappa} + \frac{\nu}{\delta + \kappa} \right) \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n.$$

Importantly, because we are explicitly modelling endemic COVID-19 most individuals have previously 147 been exposed to SARS-CoV-2, susceptibility and infectiousness may be lower in the current population 148 than when the virus first appeared in humans because of cellular immunity, any residual humoral 149 immunity among susceptible individuals (Tan et al. 2023), and/or due to any behavioural changes 150 (including better ventilation, testing and self-isolation practices). For example, the rapid induction of 151 152 cellular immunity reduces the viral load of typical breakthrough infections (Puhach et al. 2022), lowering transmission (β) compared to a fully naïve population. The epidemiological dynamics in this 153 endemic model thus depend on an "endemic basic reproductive number", $\tilde{R}_0 \equiv \beta/\kappa$, which is the basic 154 reproductive number in a population consisting entirely of currently susceptible, but previously 155 vaccinated or infected, individuals whose immunity has waned. In contrast to the initial R_0 for COVID-156 19 at the time of its emergence, the parameters of the endemic model and in \tilde{R}_0 (transmission, β , and 157 recovery, κ) refer to rates in this previously challenged population. Our estimates of \tilde{R}_0 range from 1 to 158 6 (Appendix 1), depending on estimates used for recovery rates, waning, and the endemic level of 159 infections within a population, with a value of $\tilde{R}_0 \approx 2$ for the parameters considered typical (Table S1). 160 If this previously challenged population were fully susceptible (\hat{S} near one), the disease would spread 161

161 If this previously challenged population were fully susceptible (S hear one), the disease would spread 162 when rare as long as transmission rates were higher than recovery rates, $\tilde{R}_0 = \frac{\beta}{\kappa} > 1$, which we assume 163 to hold. In this case, the endemic equilibrium (2) exists and is stable for all examples considered here. 164 The endemic equilibrium may, however, be unstable (Hethcote, Stech, and Van Den Driessche 1981), 165 leading to sustained cyclic dynamics, outside of the parameters used here (e.g., for *n* large enough).

166 The equilibrium can also be written in terms of \tilde{R}_0 as:

167
$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_0}$$

168
$$\hat{I} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_0}\right) \frac{\delta}{\delta + \kappa} - \frac{v}{\delta + \kappa}$$
(3)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

169
$$\hat{R}_j = \frac{1}{n} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_0} \right) \frac{\kappa}{\delta + \kappa} + \frac{\nu}{\delta + \kappa} \right) \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n.$$

170 Also of relevance is the number of bouts of disease that an individual expects per year, which is 171 $365 \beta \hat{S} \hat{l} = 365 \kappa \hat{l}$, assuming average behaviour (see Table S1).

Given that recovery rates are higher than waning rates ($\delta \ll \kappa$), equation (3) shows that the number of 172 infectious individuals at the endemic equilibrium is reduced by the number of vaccinations within a 173 typical recovery period (ν/κ) . If more vaccinations were to be given in a typical recovery period than 174 the fraction of individuals expected to be infectious in the absence of vaccination, the disease could be 175 driven extinct locally (though we note that in this model vaccination has a very high, if temporary, 176 efficacy against infection, and that reintroductions are expected from importations, animal reservoirs, 177 and chronic infections). Uptake of additional vaccine doses during 2023 has, however, been so low in 178 many countries as to make little difference to the incidence and dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 (e.g., daily 179 [annual] rates of 0.012% [4.7%] in France and 0.023% [8.8%] in the United States from 1 January – 30 180 April, 2023; (Our World in Data 2023)). To simplify the discussion, we ignore ongoing vaccination for 181 now, returning later to a discussion of the impact that vaccination uptake can have on individual risks of 182

183 infection and on the overall incidence of disease.

184 Spread of variants during the endemic phase

A new variant may spread within the population if it is more transmissible (e.g., better binding to ACE2 receptors on host cells), more immune evasive, or both (see (Cao et al. 2023) for empirical measures for

- 187 SARS-CoV-2). We can calculate the rate of spread of a variant using the SIR_n model by allowing
- different transmission rates for the resident variant (β) and the new variant ($\beta^* = \beta + \Delta\beta$) and by
- allowing immune evasive variants to infect earlier than the resident strain, while antibody levels are at
- 190 intermediate levels. Specifically, we assume that an immune evasive variant can infect the last *m*
- recovered classes (each of which is at frequency \hat{R}_j at the endemic equilibrium given by (3)), as well as susceptible individuals.
- 102 As described in Amondia 1 a new verient introduced into
 - As described in Appendix 1, a new variant introduced into a population at the endemic equilibrium has aselective advantage of:

195
$$S = \underbrace{\frac{\Delta\beta}{\beta}\kappa}_{\text{Transmission advantage}} + \underbrace{m\,\hat{R}_n\,\beta^*}_{\text{Evasion advantage}}$$

The selection coefficient, *s*, describes the rate at which the new variant spreads relative to the resident variant. Selection coefficients describing evolutionary changes in SARS-CoV-2 have been estimated in many jurisdictions using sequence information and are often relatively stable over time and space when measured consistently against the same reference strain (van Dorp et al. 2021; Otto et al. 2021).

What are the consequences of spreading variants for the incidence of disease? The incidence is initially expected to rise exponentially at rate proportional to selection (specifically, $s \hat{I}$), but this is only transient as the new variant spreads through the susceptible population available to it. Over the long term, we show that the impact on the endemic level of disease depends strongly on whether the variant increases transmission rates and/or increases immune evasiveness, as well as the persistence of immune evasion during subsequent infections, even for variants with the same selective advantage.

(4)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In particular, when the population is comprised entirely of the new variant, the endemic level of disease 206 207 changes to:

208
$$\hat{I}^* = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\beta + \Delta\beta}\right) \frac{\delta + \Delta\delta}{\delta + \Delta\delta + \kappa}$$
(5)

(found by solving equation (A1) for the endemic equilibrium when only the variant is present). The term 209 $\Delta\delta$ refers to how the variant changes the rate of complete waning, from first entering the recovered class 210 to returning to the susceptible state (i.e., $1/(\delta + \Delta \delta)$) is the mean number of days to return to 211 susceptibility). [As short-hand, we refer to a variant's impact on immune evasion as a change in the 212 waning rate $\Delta\delta$, but the model actually assumes log-antibody levels wane at a constant rate but the 213 variants can just infect earlier, becoming susceptible sooner.]

214

- Equation (5) allows us to evaluate the long-term impact of different types of variants. For immune 215
- evasive variants, the results are strongly dependent on the variant-specific immunity that develops after 216 infection, even if the lineages have the same selective advantage and rate of spread (s, equation (4)). 217
- Consider two extreme possibilities: 218
- 219 Transient immune evasiveness: If the variant better evades the initial suite of antibodies but causes infections that generate variant-specific immunity, subsequent infections may no longer 220 be immune evasive. In this case, subsequent infections would require the full waning period 221 (returning to the S compartment and not the R_i compartments), as for the resident strain. With 222 only transient evasiveness, the long-term level of COVID-19 is *unaffected* ($\Delta \delta = 0$). 223
- Persistent immune evasiveness: If the variant allows infections to occur earlier, both in the first • 224 and in subsequent infections, then the rate of return to susceptibility is consistently higher ($\Delta \delta$ = 225 $\frac{m}{n-m}\delta$). With waning slow relative to recovery ($\delta + \Delta \delta \ll \kappa$), persistently immune evasive
- 226
- variants cause the incidence of disease to *rise in proportion* to the increased rate of waning, $\hat{I}^* \approx$ 227 $\hat{I}(1 + \Delta \delta / \delta).$ 228
- In either case, immune-evasive variants spread in the short-term because of the selective advantage, s, 229 gained by infecting susceptible individuals earlier, but the subsequent dynamics and long-term impact 230 differ greatly, depending on whether variant-specific immunity builds (Figure 3). The extent to which 231 exposure to a variant elicits variant-specific humoral or cellular immunity almost certainly falls between 232 these two extremes. Metanalyses suggest, for example, that infections with Omicron are less protective 233 against reinfection with Omicron, compared to the protective effects of pre-Omicron variants, 234
- suggesting some persistence in its immune-evasive properties (Arabi et al. 2023). 235

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



FIGURE 3: Impact of the spread of immune evasive variants depends on whether a variant-236 237 specific immune response is elicited. Plots illustrate the dynamics over time of a more immune evasive variant, which is able to infect earlier in the waning period (by m = 2 out of n = 5238 recovered classes), giving the variant an s = 8.3% selective advantage per day, which lies in the 239 range of the faster spreading variants observed in the past year (CoVaRR-Net's CAMEO 2023). 240 While the short-term spread of the variant (dark shading taking over from light shading) and rise in 241 cases are nearly identical (given s is the same), the long-term consequences differ substantially 242 depending on whether the variant's evasive properties are (panel A) transient or (panel B) 243 persistent. The endemic equilibrium rises only if evasiveness persists in subsequent infections 244 (panel B). We illustrate the dynamics in younger (under 70) and older (70+) individuals, who are 245 more prone to severe cases. Parameters: $\kappa = 0.2$, $\delta = 0.008$, $\hat{l} = 2\%$, $\beta = 0.42$, the nominal 246 parameter estimates given in Appendix 1 for all age classes. 247

248 By contrast, more transmissible variants change the endemic equilibrium to:

249
$$\hat{I}^* = \hat{I} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_0 - 1} \frac{\Delta \beta}{\beta + \Delta \beta} \right).$$
(6)

250 As a consequence:

• More transmissible variants: If the variant increases transmission rate, spread in the short term depends directly on the change in transmission ($s = (\Delta \beta / \beta) \kappa$; equation (4)), while the longterm impact on the incidence of disease exhibits diminishing returns (\hat{I}^*/\hat{I} depends on $\Delta \beta / (\beta + \Delta \beta)$). Thus, more transmissible variants have **a less than proportionate influence** on the number of cases in the long term, unless the susceptible pool is large and \tilde{R}_0 small ($\hat{S} = 1/\tilde{R}_0 > 1/2$).

257 While more immune evasive variants increase the pool of susceptible individuals available to them (in 258 our model, by adding the last *m* recovered classes to the susceptible class), more transmissible variants 259 deplete the susceptible pool. This can be seen by the effect on the susceptible class at equilibrium, which 260 decreases from $\hat{S} = \kappa/\beta$ for the resident virus to $\hat{S}^* = \kappa/(\beta + \Delta\beta)$ for a more transmissible virus. For 261 this reason, more transmissible viruses are, to some extent, self-limiting and often have less of an effect 262 on the long-term number of cases than seen for a permanently immune evasive variant. Thus is 263 illustrated in Figure 4, which shows that the equilibrium rises less for a given % increase in

- transmissibility (panel C) than for the same % increase in waning rate for a permanently immune
- evasive variant (panel B), unless \tilde{R}_0 is so small that most individuals in the population are susceptible.
- 266 Of course, variants may combine features affecting transmissibility and immune evasiveness (Cao et al.
- 267 2023), as explored in Figure S1.



More transmissible variant

FIGURE 4: Impact of the spread of a more transmissible variant. Plot illustrates the dynamics over time of a more transmissible variant, which increases β (and hence \tilde{R}_0) by 42%, chosen to give the variant the same selective advantage as in Figure 3 (s = 8.3%). While exhibiting a similar short-term rise in cases as in Figure 3, the long-term impact is intermediate. Parameters are identical to Figure 3, with $\beta^* = 0.59$.

This model predicts, as seen in the data over the past year (Figure 1), only modest rises and falls in case numbers, despite substantial evolutionary change in the frequency of different variants. This occurs because of the substantial population-level immunity that persists in the population at the endemic equilibrium. With a mixture of susceptible, infectious, and recovered individuals, the effective reproductive number at the endemic equilibrium must be one ($R_{e,t} = 1$) for the resident and $R_{e,t} = 1 +$ $\Delta\beta/\beta$ for a more transmissible variant (e.g., $R_{e,t} = 1.42$ in Figure 4). Thus, only a modest drop in the susceptible population is needed ($1/R_{e,t}$) before the infectious class peaks and falls again.

The robustness of these conclusions is explored in Appendix 2, considering different models of immunity (including leaky immunity) and the inclusion of features such as an exposed class and failure to seroconvert. The strength of selection (equation (4)) and the long-term impact on endemic levels of disease (equation (5)) are robustly observed. The speed at which the waves dissipate over time, however, is sensitive to model assumptions, stabilizing faster than observed above in many cases (Figure S2), so we caution that the nature of subsequent wavelets caused by the spread of a variant is hard to predict.

286 We conclude that variants may have dramatically different long-term impacts on the level of disease

depending on the nature of the advantage (transiently or persistently immune evasive and/or more

transmissible), despite exhibiting the same selective advantage and hence spreading at the same rate

(e.g., with selection of s = 8.3% per day in Figures 3 and 4). Indeed, a variant that is transiently immune

- evasive but less transmissible can spread and would be expected to reduce the equilibrium level of
- disease, except that once immunity to this variant has built, the previous resident reemerges because of
- 292 its higher transmissibility (Figure S1B).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 5 shows these long-term impacts on disease incidence at the endemic equilibrium across the 293 range of plausible parameters (Appendix 1). Transiently immune evasive variants have no long-term 294 impact (panel A), whereas the rise in cases is nearly proportional to the ability of a variant to evade 295 immunity, if that evasiveness is persistent, regardless of the exact parameter values (panel B). By 296 contrast, the long-term impact of a more transmissible variant depends strongly on the current 297 transmissibility, as measured by the endemic reproductive number. The larger \tilde{R}_0 is, the smaller the 298 long-term impact of more transmissible variants is on disease levels (Figure 5C), essentially because the 299 pool of susceptible individuals is then smaller and rapidly depleted by more transmissible variants (\hat{S} = 300 $1/\tilde{R}_0$). That said, for given waning (δ) and recovery (κ) rates, the endemic level of disease is higher 301 when \tilde{R}_0 is higher (equation (3)), so a small percentage increase in disease incidence can still have a 302 numerically important impact on the burden of disease. 303



305 FIGURE 5: Long-term impact of a variant. The percent change in the endemic equilibrium is shown as a function of the percent by which the variant increases the rate at which recovered 306 individuals become susceptible again ($\Delta\delta$, panels A,B) or transmissibility ($\Delta\beta$, panel C). 307 Transiently immune evasive variants have no long-term impact, while persistently immune evasive 308 variants cause the endemic incidence of disease to rise nearly in proportion (dashed curve) across 309 all parameters considered plausible (purple shading, with the nominal parameter values illustrated 310 by a thick purple curve; see Appendix 1 and Table S1). By contrast, the impact of a more 311 transmissible variant that increases β depends strongly on \tilde{R}_0 (but none of the other parameters), 312 leading to a less than proportional rise in cases whenever $\tilde{R}_0 \ge 2$ (see equation (6)). 313

304

Controlling an endemic disease – In the face of variants that are increasingly transmissible and/or 314 persistently immune evasive, the endemic level of disease is expected to rise over time, but these 315 increases can be countered by protective measures at the individual and population level. Protective 316 measures range from vaccination to NPI measures, such as testing and self-isolation, avoiding crowded 317 indoor spaces, improving ventilation, and the wearing of well-fitting and high-quality masks (The Royal 318 Society 2023). Here we explore the impact of these protective measures at both the individual level, 319 modulating the frequency of infections, and the population level, modulating the endemic incidence of 320 disease. 321

Vaccination – Vaccination allows individuals to short-circuit the disease cycle by boosting antibody
 levels and immunity by another dose rather than by infection. Globally, 65% of people have had the
 primary series of COVID-19 vaccines but an average of only 0.35 booster doses have been distributed
 per person ((Our World in Data 2023); accessed 22 August 2023). Jurisdictions vary widely in

recommended vaccine schedules and access to vaccines. For example, only individuals at higher risk of

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

serious illness are eligible for COVID-19 vaccinations in the United Kingdom (NHS 2023). In Canada,

- 328 the National Advisory Committee on Immunization recommends that all adults be offered vaccines six
- months after the last dose or infection (NACI 2023). The Centre for Disease Control in the USA,
 however, recommends that individuals stay up to date with important vaccine updates (e.g., the updated
- mRNA vaccines providing protection against BA.4 and BA.5 in the fall of 2022 and against XBB in the
- 332 fall of 2023 (CDC 2023)).

There is substantial uncertainty and confusion in both public and public health circles about the value of regular vaccinations against COVID-19 (Lin et al. 2023). Here, we explore one aspect: how much do regular vaccinations reduce the burden of disease expected at an endemic equilibrium?

- We consider the impact of policies aimed at future vaccine uptake, encouraging vaccination of a portion 336 of the population (v) per day. Given that vaccines are recommend only after a substantial amount of time 337 has passed since the previous dose or infection, these vaccinees are assumed to target individuals in the 338 susceptible class, moving them into the first recovered class (S to R_1 ; equation (1)). Unlike many 339 previous epidemiological models (reviewed in (Scherer and McLean 2002), we assume that the target 340 vaccination rate is set by policy, adjusting public health campaigns, vaccine cost, and availability to 341 meet these targets (i.e., dS/dt in equation (1) declines by a fixed daily rate, v, rather than a per capita 342 rate, v S). 343
- We consider vaccination rates in Canada as typical of what can be achieved when vaccines are available 344 at regular intervals (every six months). From April-July 2023, vaccination rates in Canada have 345 averaged only 0.012% of the population per day (annual rate of 4.5% (Health Infobase Canada 2023)). 346 While these vaccinations help those individuals receiving a dose, this level has a negligible impact on 347 the endemic level of cases (decreasing \hat{l} from 2% to 1.94% for the nominal parameter values). Many 348 public health agencies have encouraged COVID-19 vaccine updates in the fall (Mahase 2023). For 349 example, vaccination rates in Canada during September-December 2022 were 14 times higher (0.174% 350 of the population per day, an annual rate of 63.5% (Health Infobase Canada 2023)), a rate that 351 substantially lowers the endemic equilibrium level if maintained (from 2% to 1.16% for the nominal 352 parameter values). 353
- 354 At an individual level, vaccination reduces the number of infections that one expects to have.
- Individuals on a regular six-month vaccination schedule are expected to be protected from neutralizing antibodies for $1/\delta$ out of every 180 days. Calculating the probability of waning and infection before
- their next vaccine (equation (A2); Appendix 1), a regularly vaccinated individual expects to have about
- 358 60% as many infections per year (0.88 vs 1.46) for the nominal parameter values (Appendix 1). Across
- the range of parameters considered plausible, vaccination every six months leads to only 40%-66% as
- 360 many infections annually (supplementary *Mathematica* file).
- 361 At a population level, in our model, vaccination reduces the endemic level of infections to $\hat{I}_{\nu} = \hat{I} \frac{v}{\delta + \kappa}$
- 362 (equation (2)). That is, the endemic level of infections is reduced by approximately the number of
- vaccinations conducted during the infectious period (ν/κ , given that waning is considerably slower than
- 364 recovery). Figure 6 illustrates the impact of increasing and maintaining the vaccination rate at the higher
- levels observed in Canada in the fall of 2022 (v = 0.174%). In this case, the long-term incidence of
- infection can be driven down by ~42% (panel A). Across the range of parameters considered in
- 367 Appendix 1, this population-level benefit ranging from a 12-100% decline in incidence of disease,
- falling at the lower end of the benefit when disease incidence is high without vaccination ($\hat{l} = 4\%$) but

- at the higher end and allowing complete eradication when disease incidence is low without vaccination $(\hat{l} = 0.5\%)$.
- 371 One policy option considered in many jurisdictions is to regularly vaccinate only the more vulnerable
- segment of the population. Figure 6B illustrates, however, that limiting vaccination to the more
- vulnerable population (shown here as vaccinating only those over 70 at a rate v = 0.174%) has less
- impact on the frequency of infections experienced by this vulnerable population (reduced by only 24%),
- because the incidence of COVID-19 remains high overall, increasing their risk of exposure.

That said, the additional protection provided by COVID-19 vaccines against severe disease, above and beyond the protection provided against infection, means that the risk of hospitalization and death can be lowered by vaccinating the vulnerable (Nyberg et al. 2022; Chemaitelly et al. 2022). Further reducing the risk of infection and severe disease, however, requires a broader vaccination campaign. Broad vaccination campaigns provide additional protection for the vulnerable, while also reducing the number of sick days, risks of long COVID, and severe disease among those not known to be vulnerable.



(B) Vaccination limited to 70+

(A) Increased vaccination

382

FIGURE 6: Impact of vaccination strategies. Plots illustrate the dynamics over time when vaccination is increased to 0.174% of the population per day (annual rate of 63.5%), either (A) within the entire population or (B) limited to a more vulnerable population (illustrated as 70+ in age). Parameters are identical to Figure 3, with v = 0.00174.

As noted in Appendix 2, seroconversion rates upon vaccination are high (Wei et al. 2021), so we do not 387 correct v for the small fraction of doses that fail to elicit an immune response. Not all individuals will, 388 however, achieve high levels of immunity following vaccination and not all will be protected from 389 infection. A mixture of induced immunity could be modelled by moving vaccinated individuals into a 390 distribution of R_i classes. Additionally, some individuals being vaccinated may have been exposed in 391 the recent past (i.e., coming from the infectious or recovered compartments, not solely from the 392 susceptible classes). These possibilities are not explicitly modeled, although they would lower the 393 protection offered by vaccination, akin to lowering v, and so require higher vaccination uptake to 394 395 achieve the benefits described above.

NPI measures – A wide variety of non-pharmaceutical interventions have been deployed to counter the
 spread of SARS-CoV-2, including testing and self-isolation, enhancing ventilation and air filtration, and

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

wearing of high quality masks (see evaluation of evidence in the report (The Royal Society 2023)). 398 Here, we consider the individual-level and population-level benefits of NPIs, as a function of their 399 impact on preventing transmission of the virus, modelled by NPIs preventing a portion p of 400 transmissions both from and to NPI users. Specifically, we assume that the NPI measures reduce 401 transmission from β to $(1 - p)\beta$ if one member in an interaction practices the measures and to 402 $(1-p)^2 \beta$ if both do. The population is assumed to be heterogenous, as illustrated in Figure S4, 403 consisting of a fraction f who regularly engage in NPI measures and are denoted by a \ddagger (compartments 404 S^{\ddagger} , I^{\ddagger} , R_{i}^{\ddagger} for j = 1 to *n*, which sum to *f*) and a fraction 1 - f who do not (compartments *S*, *I*, *R_j*, which 405 sum to 1 - f). See Appendix 3 for model details. 406

We first determine the benefit to an individual who adheres to NPI measures (e.g., masking). At the endemic equilibrium, an individual engaging in the NPI measure has a lower risk of being infected at any given point in time of:

410 Relative risk of infection:
$$\frac{\hat{l}^{\ddagger}/f}{\hat{l}/(1-f)} = \frac{1}{1+\hat{S}\frac{p}{(1-p)(1-f)}},$$
(7)

411 where \hat{S} is the fraction of the population at the endemic equilibrium who are susceptible and do not 412 engage in the NPI measure (given by (A11), $\hat{S} \approx \kappa/\beta$ when *f* is small). This relative risk is 413 mathematically equivalent to the relative rate at which individuals become infected for those who do 414 versus do not engage in the NPI measure, as well as the relative number of infections expected per year.

Figure 7 illustrates the relative risk of infection (equation (7)). As expected, the more effective the NPI measure is (the higher p) the lower the relative risk to individuals who engage in the NPIs (panel A). This individual-level benefit is only weakly dependent on the fraction of the population currently engaging in these measures (f = 10%, 50%, and 90% shown as solid, dashed, and dotted curves), with the relative risk rising slightly as f increases because non-practitioners gain a slight benefit from those who do practice the NPI measure.

- The individual benefits depend strongly, however, on the endemic reproductive number of the disease (\tilde{R}_0). At the nominal value of $\tilde{R}_0 = 2$ and assuming low population-level uptake (*f* small), a person's relative risk of infection can be substantially reduced for NPIs that provide fairly modest protection (by 14% and by 33% for p = 25% and 50%, respectively). For $\tilde{R}_0 = 6$ (on the high end of the range considered plausible; Appendix 1), however, these individual-level benefits diminish (to 5% and 14% for p = 25% and 50%, respectively), because individuals are exposed so often that modestly protective NPIs only moderately delay infection.
- Not only is a susceptible individual who regularly engages in NPI measures less likely to become 428 infected when in contact with an infectious individual (by a factor 1 - p), but they are also less likely to 429 pass the infection on to one of their contacts (by another factor 1 - p), compared to a non-practicing 430 individual who is currently susceptible. Accounting for the proportion of time that practicing and non-431 practicing individuals are susceptible (as in equation (7)), the risk per unit time of being infectious and 432 infecting a contact is substantially lowered for those engaging in NPI measures relative to those who do 433 434 not (Figure 7B). This validates the approach used by many who adhere to NPI measures, such as masking, in order to protect vulnerable relatives and close contacts. 435

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



436

Endemic reproductive number, \tilde{R}_0

FIGURE 7: Risk of infection for individuals regularly engaging in an NPI measure such as 437 masking, relative to unmasked individuals. Coloured lines illustrate different levels of 438 protection, p, provided by the NPI measure, in a population where the fraction of individuals 439 engaging in the NPI measure is f = 10% (solid), 50% (dashed), and 90% (dotted). Panel A shows 440 the risk of infection and panel B the risk of becoming infected and infecting a contact for an 441 individual engaging in NPI measures, relative to those who do not. The x-axis gives the endemic 442 reproductive number in this heterogeneous population, $\tilde{R}_0 = ((1-f) + f(1-p)^2)\beta/\kappa$. 443 Parameters: Relative risk depends on the parameters only through \tilde{R}_0 , f, and p. 444

445 The curvature of the relative risks in Figure 7 highlights the utility of multiple complementary 446 interventions: other policies that reduce transmission (lowering \tilde{R}_0) make masking more effective to 447 individuals, because those individuals are less repeatedly exposed.

The individual-level benefits of NPI measures diminish with \tilde{R}_0 (x-axis of Figure 7) because individuals 448 practicing NPI measures are more likely than non-practitioners to have remained uninfected and so are 449 more often susceptible at the time of exposure, which increases their relative risk of infection as \tilde{R}_0 450 increases. Different results are obtained if individuals frequently switch their behaviour (e.g., masking 451 some days and not others). Modifying the model as described by equation (A12), all individuals are then 452 equally likely to be susceptible on any given day, and the NPI measure always reduces the risk of 453 infection by a factor (1 - p) for each practicing individual in an interaction. That is, the benefits remain 454 at their maximal value of (1-p) in panel A and $(1-p)^2$ in panel B, regardless of \tilde{R}_0 and f (Appendix 455 3). 456

We next evaluate the population-level advantages of NPI measures by calculating the fraction of infected individuals expected at the endemic equilibrium $(\hat{I} + \hat{I}^{\ddagger})$ when a fraction *f* of the population upholds these measures, relative to a population in which nobody does:

460 Relative fraction of population infected:
$$\frac{\hat{l}+\hat{l}^{\ddagger}}{(\hat{l}+\hat{l}^{\ddagger})_{f=0}} = (1-\hat{S}-\hat{S}^{\ddagger})\frac{\tilde{R}_{0}}{\tilde{R}_{0}-1},$$
 (8)

461 using the equilibrium values given by equation (A11). The right-hand side of equation (8) emphasizes 462 that the population-wide benefits increase (fewer people will be infected) when there are more 463 susceptible individuals available ($\hat{S} + \hat{S}^{\ddagger}$ larger).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

464 While the population-level impact is small when few individuals mask (left panel of Figure 8), there are

substantial benefits to having moderate to high adherence to the NPI measures (central and right panel).

466 These benefits are strongest when the endemic reproductive number is small, potentially moving the

population away from the endemic case, where COVID-19 persists, to the disease-free equilibrium
(when the curves cross the x-axis), again emphasizing the added benefits that come from combining

469 interventions.

470 The reduction in cases caused by NPI measures is expected to result in a proportionate reduction in

471 severe cases and deaths. Even a modest reduction (say 20%) can have non-linear benefits when

472 hospitals are at capacity, improving care for all (Wichmann and Wichmann 2023). Achieving such

473 benefits at a population level, however, requires that there be clear messaging and incentives to obtain

474 the moderate to high levels of uptake required to impact population-wide infection rates.



Endemic reproductive number, \tilde{R}_0 (no NPIs)

475

FIGURE 8: Reduction in cases at the endemic equilibrium when a fraction f of the population engages in an NPI measure, relative to when none do. Coloured curves illustrate different levels of protection, p, provided by the NPI measure. Panels show the fraction of the population practicing the NPI measure: (A) f = 10%, (B) 50%, and (C) 90%. The x-axis gives the endemic reproductive number in a population that is not engaging in the NPI measure, given by

481 $\tilde{R}_0 = \beta / \kappa$. Parameters: Reduction in cases depends on the parameters only through \tilde{R}_0, f , and p.

482 Discussion –

This paper aims to expand our understanding of the impact of variants, as well as behavioural and public 483 health measures, on endemic diseases like COVID-19. Widespread measures, both by individuals and 484 public health agencies, repeatedly "flattened the curve" of COVID-19 during the first two years of the 485 pandemic, reducing viral transmission to save lives and avoid collapse of health care systems (Ogden et 486 al. 2022; Talic et al. 2021). Since mid-2022, however, COVID-19 has persisted at high levels throughout 487 the world, becoming endemic with no sign of abating even during summer months. The mantra to 488 "flatten the curve" is no longer relevant, as endemic levels are already fairly flat, and we lack a 489 compelling guide to govern our collective behaviour in its place. 490

491 For COVID-19, endemic does not mean constant, with wavelets caused by variants, changing behaviour,

and varying vaccination rates. Nor does endemic mean rare, as on-going high levels of COVID-19

health impacts remain. Nor does endemic mean out of our control, as protective measures continue to

494 have important benefits, boosting immunity through vaccination and reducing transmission through

495 effective NPI measures. The goal of this paper is two-fold: to explore the impact of evolutionary

changes in the virus on disease incidence and to discuss how protective measures can counteract theserises, reducing disease risks.

498 Variants of endemic diseases that increase transmissibility and/or immune evasion are selectively

499 favoured, with rises in frequency that can be measured empirically, yielding estimates of the strength of

selection (*s*). While the strength of selection accurately predicts the speed with which one variant

replaces another, it does not predict the long-term impact on endemic levels of disease. For a given selection coefficient, we have shown that the long-term impact on disease is negligible for variants that

are more immune evasive, but only transiently so, eliciting variant-specific antibodies that protect from

reinfection (Figure 5A). By contrast, immune evasive variants that fail to elicit variant-specific
 antibodies have a persistent advantage, leading to a nearly proportional increase in cases in the long term

- 506 (Figure 5B). In Appendix 2, we also consider variants that cause immunity to become leakier, increasing
- the risk of infection for all recovered classes, which are particularly problematic (Figure S3), causing a
 high long-term rise in cases because all individuals remain prone to infection if leakiness is persistent.
- 509 Variants that are more transmissible generally have an intermediate impact on disease incidence (Figure
- 510 5C). Thus, depending on the exact properties of new variants, we may see smaller or larger rises in cases
- 511 over the long term, even for variants initially spreading at the same rate.

Lab assays of SARS-CoV-2 have dramatically sped up phenotypic assessment of new variants (Cao et

al. 2023). Within days of new variants emerging, information has been shared by groups around the
world, evaluating immune evasiveness (e.g., the titer of neutralizing antibodies in convalescent plasma

required to prevent infection of cell lines) and efficiency of binding to ACE-2 receptors (e.g., via twitter,

516 @yunlong cao). These assays often find that infection with one variant (e.g., BA.1) builds higher

neutralizing capacity against that variant than other variants (e.g., BA.2), indicating some loss of

- 517 incutatizing capacity against that variant than other variants (e.g., *DA*(2)), incleating some loss of 518 immune evasiveness following infection with a variant (Cao et al. 2023). The impact on long-term
- 519 immune evasion and reinfection rates for different variants remains an open question, and one whose
- 520 answer determines the impact on endemic incidence of disease (Figure 5A,B).

521 We can counter variant-induced rises in cases, however, by encouraging higher uptake rates of vaccines 522 and other non-pharmaceutical interventions. These measures always help individuals reduce their own 523 risk of infection and the risk of infecting those around them (Figure 7). Widespread, but not universal, uptake is needed to substantially reduce levels of disease (Figure 8), except if the disease is near 524 eradication (\tilde{R}_0 near 1). The benefits could be enhanced by encouraging NPI measures around those who 525 526 are most at risk of adverse outcomes and in places and times where risks of infection and/or the health care burden are high. Particularly valuable are investments in measures that protect all, regardless of 527 528 uptake (such as improved air filtration and ventilation, adequate testing and job security to stay home when sick). 529

530 The models explored herein lack many important epidemiological details, including spatial and age 531 structure in contact rates and seasonal variation in transmission risk. As such, the results are meant to 532 guide expectations rather than provide precise predictions. Details were sacrificed in an effort to help us 533 better understand how the endemic level of disease is likely to change in the future, in response to our 534 efforts as well as further evolution of the virus.

535 Supplementary Materials (FOR REVIEW)

All proofs and code needed to generate the figures are available in *Mathematica* and PDF versions at https://www.zoology.ubc.ca/~otto/Research/Endemic (to be deposited in Dryad).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

538 Acknowledgements

- 539 We thank all the authors, developers, and contributors to the VirusSeq database for making their
- 540 SARS-CoV-2 sequences publicly available. We thank especially the Canadian Public Health Laboratory
- 541 Network, academic sequencing partners, diagnostic hospital labs, and other sequencing partners for the
- 542 provision of the Canadian sequence data used in Figure 1. The authors would like to thank the scientific
- 543 community of the Coronavirus Variants Rapid Response Network (CoVaRR-Net), particularly Fiona
- 544 Brinkman, Carmen Lia Murall, Jesse Shapiro, and Justin Yue for helpful discussions about variants.
- 545 Funding was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada to SPO
- 546 (RGPIN- 2022-03726), to AM (RGPIN-2022-03113), and to CC (CANMOD; RGPIN-2019-06624), by
- 547 the Canada Research Chairs Program (SPO and AM) and the Canada 150 Research Chair Program (CC),
- and by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR) operating grant to CoVaRR-Net.
- 549

550 Declaration of Interests

551 The authors declare no competing interests.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

552 Appendix 1: Modelling the spread of variants

553 Dynamics – We include infections by a resident variant (I) and a new variant (I^*) in the SIR_n

epidemiological model illustrated in Figure 1. By allowing for multiple recovered classes, we can model new variants that are more immune evasive by allowing them to infect earlier in the waning period (infecting individuals in the last *m* recovered compartments R_j), when antibody levels are high enough to prevent infection by the resident virus but not the new variant. The dynamics are then described by the following set of differential equations:

559
$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \delta_n R_n - \beta SI - \beta^* SI^*$$

560
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \kappa I \qquad \qquad \frac{dI^*}{dt} = \beta^* \left(S + \sum_{j=1+n-m}^n R_j \right) I^* - \kappa I^* \tag{A1}$$

561
$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = \kappa I + \kappa I^* - \delta_1 R_1$$

562
$$\frac{dR_j}{dt} = \delta_{j-1}R_{j-1} - \delta_j R_j \qquad \text{for } 2 \le j \le n - m$$

563
$$\frac{dR_j}{dt} = \delta_{j-1}R_{j-1} - \delta_j R_j - \beta^* R_j I^* \qquad \text{for } n - m < j \le n$$

Setting all waning rates between recovered classes equal to $\delta_i = \delta/n$ ensures that the average time from first recovering to returning to the susceptible class has a mean of $1/\delta$ days. The distribution of waning times is then given by a gamma distribution with a coefficient of variation (CV) of $1/\sqrt{n}$, becoming more bell shaped with higher *n* (Hethcote, Stech, and Van Den Driessche 1981).

568 Spread of a new variant – The spread of a new variant into a population at the stable endemic 569 equilibrium (equation (2)) is given by the leading eigenvalue, λ_L of the external stability matrix 570 describing the dynamics of the variant (see details in the Supplementary *Mathematica* package), which 571 equals:

572
$$\lambda_L = \hat{S}\beta^* + m\hat{R}_j\beta^* - \kappa \tag{A2}$$

573 If the new variant did not change the transmission rate ($\beta^* = \beta$) and was unable to infect any additional 574 sector of the population (m = 0), it would be neutral ($\lambda_L = 0$, plugging in (2)).

The selection coefficient favouring a new variant is defined by the rise in frequency of the new variant relative to the old variant ($\frac{dx}{dt} \equiv s x$, where x = freq(new variant)/freq(old variant)), which predicts an exponential rise in the relative frequency of the new variant over time ($x_t = e^{s t} x_0$). The strength of selection can thus be estimated empirically by the slope on a logit plot (plotting log of x_t over time). Near the endemic equilibrium, it can be shown that selection, defined in this way, equals λ_L (see Supplementary *Mathematica* package). Plugging in equation (2) for \hat{S} into (A2) then gives the selection coefficient reported in equation (4).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Parameter values – We consider the following parameter values for the current endemic phase during
 which Omicron predominates, giving the nominal value considered typical and the plausible range in
 square brackets:

- κ of 0.2 (mean of 5 days) [range of 3-10 days]. Source: Estimates of the infectious period for
 Omicron vary depending on the study design, but several studies are consistent with
 infectiousness for a couple of days prior to symptom onset and five days thereafter (UKHSA 2023). We take into account some self-isolation upon infection and use a five-day average
 infectious period as a default.
- δ of 0.008 (mean of 125 days) [range of 100-180 days]. Source: Waning rate depends on the exact sequence of vaccinations and infections. The half-life of protection against symptomatic infection with Omicron among studies summarized by Menegale et al. (Menegale et al. 2023) was 87 days without a booster and 111 days with a booster, yielding δ values ranging from 0.0071 to 0.0094 per day. Waning rates were similar for older and younger individuals (Menegale et al.'s eFigure 14).
- Î of 2% [range of 0.5%-4%]. Sources: The last report of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection
 Survey UK (Office for National Statistics 2023), which assayed nose and throat swabs from
 households, found 2.66% of England were infected (13 March 2023). In Canada, models suggest
 that 1 in 28 were infected the week of 16 April 2023, while 1 in 80 were infected the week of 9
 September 2023 (COVID-19 Resources Canada 2023).
- Age structure for Canada: 13% of the population is 70+ in age (Statistics Canada 2023).
- 602 Combining these estimates with equations (2) and (3) allows estimation of the transmission rate and 603 reproductive number. The nominal parameters given above yield estimates of $\beta = 0.42$ and $\tilde{R}_0 = 2.1$, 604 ranging from $\beta = \{0.11-2.27\}$ and $\tilde{R}_0 = \{1.1,6.8\}$ (Table S1), although some combinations are not 605 possible (e.g., a mean waning time of 180 days is inconsistent with an incidence of $\hat{I} = 4\%$ if mean 606 clearance times are too short, $\kappa > 0.13$), and the disease is then expected to decline.
- 607 The expected number of disease bouts per year is 1.46 for the nominal parameter values, ranging from 0 608 (when the disease disappears) to 2.92 when incidence is high ($\hat{l} = 4\%$), waning is fast ($\delta = 1/100$), and 609 recovery is fast but not so fast that the disease disappears ($\kappa = 1/5$).
- 610 We can also calculate the expected number of infections per year for an individual who is vaccinated at

regular intervals (every *T* days). For simplicity, we make the approximation that vaccinations are

frequent enough and waning slow enough that we need only consider the chance of one infection

between vaccinations. If waning times were exponentially distributed, then the probability of becominginfected in the period between vaccinations would be:

615
$$P = \int_{0}^{T} \underbrace{\delta \ e^{-\delta t}}_{\text{Waning at time } t} * \underbrace{\left(1 - e^{-\beta \hat{I}(T-t)}\right)}_{\text{Probability of infection after waning}} dt$$
(A3)

616
$$= 1 - \frac{e^{-\delta T}\beta \hat{l} - e^{-\beta \hat{l}T}\delta}{\beta \hat{l} - \delta}.$$

617 The approximate annual number of infections is then 365 *P*/*T*, which is 0.88 for those on a six-month 618 vaccination interval (T = 365/2) and the nominal parameter values ($\kappa = 0.2, \delta = 0.008, \hat{I} = 2\%, \beta =$ 619 0.42).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

620

621 Appendix 2: Model sensitivity and oscillatory behaviour

Different choices about the number of recovered classes, movement among them, and whether immunity
is leaky, as well as the inclusion of a latent period and incomplete seroconversion, were explored to
determine sensitivity of the results to model assumptions (Supplementary *Mathematica* file). To
simplify the presentation, we focus on the case where daily vaccination rates are low and are ignored
(except where noted).

- Alternate models of recovery In the main text, we used multiple recovered classes in the SIR_n model to 627 capture observed declines in neutralizing antibodies, measured on a log scale, over time since 628 vaccination and/or infection. While this reflects the dynamics of neutralizing antibody levels, a side 629 consequence is that the distribution for the total waning time becomes increasingly bell shaped as n rises 630 (CV of $1/\sqrt{n}$). This synchronizes the recovery of individuals infected at the same time. If n is large 631 enough, this synchronisation can destabilize the endemic equilibrium, leading to persistent cycles 632 (Hethcote, Stech, and Van Den Driessche 1981). While the rise in frequency of a variant, as described 633 by its selective advantage (equation (4)) and the long-term impact of the variant on the endemic 634 equilibrium (equation (5)) are insensitive to the number of recovered compartments (n), the extent of 635 oscillations following the initial spread of the variant are much stronger as *n* increases (Figure S2, panels 636 A-C). Empirically, the distribution of waning times is close to exponential (CV = 1; (Menegale et al. 637 2023), suggesting that intrinsic oscillations are likely to be damped (like Figure S2A, where CV = 1). 638
- Similar behaviour to Figure S2A is seen in a model with only two recovered classes (n = 2), 639 corresponding to high (R_1) and low (R_2) antibody levels, where an immune evasive variant (but not the 640 resident virus) can infect the second class. By setting the waning rates to $\delta_1 = \delta/x$ (from R₁ to R₂) and 641 $\delta_2 = \delta/(1-x)$ (from R₂ to S), the equilibrium fraction of recovered individuals in the second class (x) 642 can be adjusted to allow for more immune evasive variants, while keeping the average time from first 643 recovering to susceptibility at $1/\delta$ days for the resident virus. This model has a nearly exponential 644 waning time, with rapidly dampening oscillations, for more transmissible variants (Figure S2D), more 645 immune evasive variants (Figure S2E), or both (Figure S2F). The selection coefficient and equilibrium 646 remain unchanged, all else being equal (given by equations (4) and (5), respectively). 647
- 648 *Leaky immunity* In the main text, we considered immunity to be polarized: individuals are either 649 susceptible to infection (*S* compartment) or not (R_j compartments, with *j* depending on the variant). 650 There is evidence, however, that SARS-CoV-2 immunity is leaky, such that high viral exposure can lead 651 to infection for those who would otherwise be immune (Lind et al. 2023). Furthermore, variants may 652 differ in the extent of leaky immune (e.g., (Lind et al. 2023) found higher hazard ratios following close
- exposure for Delta than for Omicron).
- We thus explored variants that increased leakiness of immunity, ξ , in the SIR (n = 1) and SIR_n (n = 5) models (exploring the latter numerically only in the Supplementary *Mathematica* file). Incorporating leaky immunity in the SIR model changes the dynamics to:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \delta R - \beta SI - \beta^* SI^*$$

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

658
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI + \xi \beta RI - \kappa I \qquad \qquad \frac{dI^*}{dt} = \beta^* S I^* + \xi^* \beta^* R I^* - \kappa I^*$$
(A4)

659

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \kappa I + \kappa I^* - \xi \beta R I - \xi^* \beta^* R I^* - \delta R$$

660 The equilibrium is then:

661
$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{2}(-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4c})$$

662
$$\hat{I} = \frac{\delta\left(\frac{\kappa}{\beta} - \hat{S}\right)}{\hat{S}\xi\beta}$$
(A5)

663 where $b = -\frac{\kappa - \delta - \xi \beta}{(1 - \xi)\beta}$ and $c = -\frac{\kappa}{\beta} \frac{\delta}{(1 - \xi)\beta}$. Selection on a variant then becomes:

664
$$s = \underbrace{\frac{\Delta\beta}{\beta}\kappa}_{\text{Transmission advantage}} + \underbrace{\Delta\xi\,\hat{R}\,\beta^*}_{\text{Evasion advantage}}$$
(A6)

Figure S3 illustrates cases where immunity was robust against the resident virus ($\xi = 0$) but leaky for the variant ($\xi^* > 0$), combined with some to no transmission advantage (panels A to C). Again we see that the same selective advantage (*s*) is consistent with substantially different long-term consequences for endemic disease levels. Variants that exhibit leakier immunity greatly increase the endemic equilibrium, more than seen in Figures 3 and 4 for a given selection coefficient, because all individuals are more prone to infection in the long term, not just those with low antibody levels.

671 *Latent period* – Viral infections are characterized by a latent period between infection and detectible 672 viral load, which is thought to indicate the onset of the infectious period (UKHSA 2023). We can 673 include this period by adding to the SIR_n model a latent class (*E*), into which all new infections enter and 674 then exit at rate ϵ . Including this period, the equilibrium fraction of infected individuals changes to:

675
$$\hat{I} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right) \frac{\delta \epsilon}{\epsilon \,\delta + \epsilon \,\kappa + \delta \,\kappa} \tag{A7}$$

Given that the rate of leaving the latent class is much faster than waning ($\epsilon \gg \delta$), the last $\delta \kappa$ term in the denominator is negligible, and ϵ cancels out of (A7). Thus, the equilibrium number of infections (\hat{I}) is nearly unaffected by including a latent class.

679 Recalculating the leading eigenvalue at this endemic equilibrium, the selection coefficient favoring the 680 new variant ($s = \lambda_L$) changes slightly when a latent period is added, from *s* given by equation (4) to:

681
$$s = -\frac{\epsilon + \kappa}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\epsilon + \kappa}{2}\right)^2 + s \epsilon}$$
(A8)

Assuming that the spread of the variant is slow relative to the latent and infectious periods ($s \ll \epsilon, \kappa$), adding a latent period causes selection to weaken slightly, with (A8) approaching $s \frac{1}{1+\kappa/\epsilon}$. This occurs because only during a fraction $\frac{1/\kappa}{1/\epsilon+1/\kappa} = \frac{1}{1+\kappa/\epsilon}$ of the generation time of the virus is it infectious. Xin at al. (Xin et al. 2023) estimate a mean latent period of 3.1 days for Omicron. For the parameters

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- considered typical of Omicron (Appendix 1), selection would be ~70% as strong with a latent period.
 We ignore this correction to simplify the model presentation.
- 688 Seroconversion Another real-world complication is that not all individuals seroconvert following
- 689 infection or vaccination (i.e., not all infections elicit a robust immune response). If a fraction q of
- 690 infections boost immunity (meaning here that they recover to the R_1 compartment in the SIR_n model),
- 691 while 1-q return become susceptible again (returning to the S compartment), the equilibrium fraction of
- 692 infected individuals changes to:

693
$$\hat{I} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right) \frac{\delta/q}{\delta/q + \kappa}.$$
 (A9)

Thus, decreasing the seroconversion rate by a factor q has the same effect on the endemic equilibrium as increasing the waning rate by a factor 1/q (equation (5)), and the same holds for the selection coefficient of a variant, s (equation (4), supplementary *Mathematica* file). The temporal dynamics of the wavelets are slightly different, with immediate waning for those who do not seroconvert and slower waning for those who do (supplementary *Mathematica* file).

699 Seroconversion rates for vaccines can also be included, changing the equilibrium to:

700
$$\hat{I} = \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\beta}\right) \frac{\delta/q}{\delta/q + \kappa} - \frac{\nu q_{\nu}/q}{\delta/q + \kappa}.$$
(A9)

where q_v is the seroconversion rate for vaccination (here meaning the probability that a vaccine dose boosts antibodies and provides protection from infection). If seroconversion rates are similar following infection and vaccination ($q_v = q$), then the results for selection (equation (3)) and endemic incidence (equation (4)) are again the same if we replace δ (ignoring seroconversion) with δ/q (including it).

To simplify the presentation, we do not explicitly include seroconversion but consider a range of waningrates to cover both seroconversion and waning.

Empirically, high seroconversion rates have been reported following vaccination with a single dose of Pfizer's BNT162b2 (q = 99.5%) or AstraZeneca ChAdOx1 (q = 97.1%), leading to antibodies recognizing the spike protein (Wei et al. 2021). Slightly lower seroconversion (q = 93.5-95.3%) was observed following infection in early 2020 (Oved et al. 2020). An estimate following Omicron infection inferred even lower rates of seroconversion of q = 74-81% (here examining antibodies to nucleocapsid, as anti-spike antibodies were nearly universal in the highly vaccinated population examined; (Erikstrup et al. 2022)).

714 Appendix 3: Non-pharmaceutical interventions

We consider an expansion of the SIR_n epidemiological model to allow heterogeneity in behaviour. As illustrated in Figure S4, we now allow two classes of individuals, those who regularly adhere to stronger NPI measures, such as masking (indicated by an \ddagger), and those who do not:

718
$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \delta_n R_n - \beta SI - (1-p)\beta SI^{\ddagger} \qquad \frac{ds^{\ddagger}}{dt} = \delta_n R_n^{\ddagger} - (1-p)\beta S^{\ddagger}I - (1-p)^2 \beta S^{\ddagger}I^{\ddagger}$$

719
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI + (1-p)\beta SI^{\ddagger} - \kappa I \qquad \frac{dI^{\ddagger}}{dt} = (1-p)\beta S^{\ddagger}I + (1-p)^{2}\beta S^{\ddagger}I^{\ddagger} - \kappa I^{\ddagger}$$
(A10)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

720
$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = \kappa I - \delta_1 R_1 \qquad \qquad \frac{dR_1^{\ddagger}}{dt} = \kappa I^{\ddagger} - \delta_1 R_1^{\ddagger}$$

721
$$\frac{dR_j}{dt} = \delta_{j-1}R_{j-1} - \delta_j R_j \qquad \qquad \frac{dR_j^{\dagger}}{dt} = \delta_{j-1}R_{j-1}^{\dagger} - \delta_j R_j^{\dagger} \qquad \text{for } 2 \le j \le n$$

722

where the last line of equations is repeated for the remaining waning classes (*j* from 2 to *n*). We again set all rates between waning classes to $\delta_i = \delta/n$ (mean waning time of $1/\delta$ days). The new parameter *p* measures the protection provided when one individual in an interaction engages in NPI measures (reducing β by a factor 1–*p*). If both infected and susceptible individuals uphold these measures, transmission is reduced by $(1-p)^2$. All variables are measured as proportions of the total population, with *f* being the fraction of the population carrying out NPI measures, such as masking (the sum of the ‡ variables).

There are two equilibria of this system (A10), one where the disease is absent and one where the diseaseis endemic at:

$$\hat{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4C} \right) \qquad \hat{S}^{\ddagger} = \frac{\kappa - \beta \,\hat{S}}{(1-p)^2 \beta}$$

$$\hat{I} = \left(1 - f - \hat{S} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta + \kappa} \qquad \hat{I}^{\ddagger} = \left(1 - f - \hat{S} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta + \kappa} \frac{\kappa - \beta \,\hat{S}}{(1-p) \,\beta \,\hat{S}}$$

734
$$\hat{R}_j = \hat{R}_{j-1} = \frac{1-f-\hat{S}-\hat{I}}{n}$$
 $\hat{R}_j^{\ddagger} = \hat{R}_{j-1}^{\ddagger} = \frac{f-\hat{S}-\hat{I}}{n}$ for $1 \le j \le n$

735

where $B = \frac{(1-f)(1-p)\beta + f(1-p)^2\beta - \kappa p}{p\beta}$ and $C = -\frac{(1-f)(1-p)\kappa}{p\beta}$. The disease-absent equilibrium is locally stable when transmission rates are low relative to clearance, such that the endemic reproductive number if everyone were susceptible is less than one, $\tilde{R}_0 = \frac{(1-f)\beta + f(1-p)^2\beta}{\kappa} < 1$, in which case the endemic equilibrium does not exist (i.e., not all variables are positive). Otherwise, when $\tilde{R}_0 > 1$, the endemic equilibrium exists and is stable for the parameters considered, but it may become unstable for large *n* (Hethcote, Stech, and Van Den Driessche 1981).

At this equilibrium, the risk that an individual is in the infected class at any point in time is \hat{I}^{\dagger}/f if they regularly mask and $\hat{I}/(1-f)$ if they do not, from which we calculate the relative risk in the main text. The population-level impact of NPI measures, such as masking, is determined by analysing the fraction of the population expected to be infected at any point in time, $\hat{I} + \hat{I}^{\dagger}$.

The above assumes that an individual's choice about engaging in NPI measures remains constant over

time, but we also consider the opposite case (detailed in the Supplementary *Mathematica* file), where

individuals rapidly switch between engaging or not in NPI measures. Assuming that the behaviour

persists over the short time frame of an infection but that individuals switch often while in the longer

- susceptible or recovered phases, we can simplify the model by monitoring only those engaging in NPI
- measures at the time of exposure, with f then representing the probability that an individual engages in

(A11)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the NPI measures at that time. We thus only sub-divide the infectious class into those who were or were not practicing the NPI measures at the time of infection (I^{\ddagger} or I, respectively). The dynamics are then:

754
$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \delta_n R_n - (1-f)\beta SI - (1-p)f\beta SI - (1-p)(1-f)\beta SI^{\ddagger} - (1-p)^2 f\beta SI^{\ddagger}$$
(A12)

755
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = (1-f)\beta SI + (1-p)(1-f)\beta SI^{\ddagger} - \kappa I \qquad \frac{dI^{\ddagger}}{dt} = (1-p)f\beta SI + (1-p)^2 f\beta SI^{\ddagger} - \kappa I^{\ddagger}$$

756
$$\frac{dR_1}{dt} = \kappa I + \kappa I^{\ddagger} - \delta_1 R_1$$

757
$$\frac{dR_j}{dt} = \delta_{j-1}R_{j-1} - \delta_j R_j \qquad \text{for } 2 \le j \le n$$

Results using (A12) instead of (A10) are similar, except that practicing and non-practicing individuals are equally likely to be susceptible at the time of exposure, so that the individual-level protective effect of the NPI measure now depends only on p and not on \tilde{R}_0 , as discussed in the text.

761 *Parameters:* The protection (p) and uptake (f) depend on the NPI measure considered (The Royal 762 Society 2023). Here we briefly review data on masking as a protective measure. One metaanalysis of 763 randomized control studies prior to the COVID-19 pandemic found protection provided by masks was p764 = 16% for respiratory infections, rising to p = 24% in studies longer than two weeks (Li et al. 2022). 765 Importantly, many individual studies were underpowered but the results were consistent across studies 766 (see Figure 2 in Li et al. 2022).

767 For COVID-19, a metaanalysis of the impact of mask mandates estimated a 25% reduction in transmission rates, comparing transmission levels predicted if everyone were in the class that self-report 768 wearing masks "most of the time in some public places" to that if no one wore masks (Leech et al. 769 2022). Importantly, the authors showed that the lifting or imposition of mandates rarely had dramatic 770 immediate effects on mask wearing, emphasizing that mandates are a poor proxy for mask wearing. 771 Their analysis thus benefited from a global analysis of trends in mask-wearing behavior around the time 772 773 of mandates by incorporating data from a survey of masking behaviour among nearly 20 million individuals. 774

As argued by Leech et al. (2022), this effect size is likely to be underestimated for a number of reasons. First, the study period (1 May – 1 September 2020) occurred when cloth masks predominated, because high-quality masks were largely unavailable outside of health care settings. Second, the definition of mask use was broad and included individuals who only occasionally mask and do so in few public places. We thus consider that p = 0.25 represents a lower bound on the protection provided by masking.

Higher values are plausible when using high-quality masks and doing so consistently in indoor public
 spaces. For example, masks provided a stronger benefit, reducing the odds ratio of infection by an

average of 50% among the studies summarized within healthcare settings (The Royal Society 2023). We

thus consider p = 0.5 to represent a reasonable upper bound on the protection provided by masking

attainable by consistent wearing of high-quality masks. Combinations of NPI measures, including

improved ventilation, avoiding crowded indoor environments, testing and self-isolation, and masking

786 may provide considerably stronger protection.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

787 Table S1: Parameter estimates and inferred rates. The first three columns are estimated from the literature (Appendix 1). Using equation (2), these parameters provide estimates for the transmission rate 788 (β), the endemic reproductive number ($\tilde{R}_0 = \beta/\kappa$), the fraction of susceptible individuals (\hat{S}), and the 789 estimated annual number of infections at the endemic equilibrium (inferred values are in italics). The 790 first row gives the nominal parameter values used in the text. "NA" are parameter combinations that do 791 not sustain an endemic equilibrium of the disease. Estimates are given without ongoing vaccination (v =792 0) but are nearly identical with low rates, as in Canada during the summer of 2023 (v = 0.00012, all 793 differences <12%; see supplementary *Mathematica* file for estimates with higher rates of vaccination). 794

| Incidence | Waning rate | Recovery | Transmission | Reproductive | Susceptible | Annual # of |
|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| (1) | (0) | Tate (K) | Tate (p) | number (R_0) | (3) | meetions |
| 0.02 | 0.008 | 0.2 | 0.417 | 2.083 | 0.48 | 1.46 |
| 0.02 | 0.008 | 0.333 | 2.273 | 6.818 | 0.147 | 2.433 |
| 0.02 | 0.008 | 0.1 | 0.137 | 1.37 | 0.73 | 0.73 |
| 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.345 | 1.724 | 0.58 | 1.46 |
| 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.333 | 1.064 | 3.191 | 0.313 | 2.433 |
| 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.128 | 1.282 | 0.78 | 0.73 |
| 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.2 | 0.769 | 3.846 | 0.26 | 1.46 |
| 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.333 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.1 | 0.161 | 1.613 | 0.62 | 0.73 |
| 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 1.149 | 0.87 | 0.365 |
| 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.333 | 0.424 | 1.271 | 0.787 | 0.608 |
| 0.005 | 0.008 | 0.1 | 0.107 | 1.072 | 0.932 | 0.182 |
| 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.223 | 1.117 | 0.895 | 0.365 |
| 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.333 | 0.402 | 1.207 | 0.828 | 0.608 |
| 0.005 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.106 | 1.058 | 0.945 | 0.182 |
| 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.2 | 0.245 | 1.227 | 0.815 | 0.365 |
| 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.333 | 0.48 | 1.439 | 0.695 | 0.608 |
| 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 1.105 | 0.905 | 0.182 |
| 0.04 | 0.008 | 0.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 0.04 | 0.008 | 0.333 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 0.04 | 0.008 | 0.1 | 0.217 | 2.174 | 0.46 | 1.46 |
| 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 1.25 | 6.25 | 0.16 | 2.92 |
| 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.333 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.179 | 1.786 | 0.56 | 1.46 |
| 0.04 | 0.006 | 0.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 0.04 | 0.006 | 0.333 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 0.04 | 0.006 | 0.1 | 0.417 | 4.167 | 0.24 | 1.46 |

795

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



FIGURE S1: Variants combining immune evasiveness and changes in transmissibility. Panel A: 796 Variant is persistently immune evasive (by half the amount shown in Figure 3B, able to infect m = 1 out 797 of n = 5 recovered classes) and more transmissible (increasing β , and hence \tilde{R}_0 , by 17%). Panel B: 798 Variant is even more immune evasive (50% more than in Figure 3B, able to infect m = 3 out of n = 5799 recovered classes) and less transmissible (decreasing β , and hence \tilde{R}_0 , by 17%), with immune evasion 800 being transient. In both cases, β^* was chosen to give the variant the same selective advantage as in 801 Figure 3 (s = 8.3%), leading to the same initial rate of spread of the variant (dark shading) in a resident 802 population of viruses (light shading). Because the variant is only transiently immune evasive in Panel B, 803 804 the resident lineage, which is more transmissible, eventually takes over. Parameters: $\kappa = 0.2, \delta =$ 0.008, $\hat{l} = 2\%$, $\beta = 0.42$. the nominal parameter estimates given in Appendix 1 for all age classes. 805

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .





FIGURE S2: Robustness to model structure. Panels (A)-(C) illustrate the sensitivity of the dynamics 807 to the number of recovery classes (n) for a more transmissible variant that increases β by 42% (panel B 808 809 is equivalent to Figure 3). Holding the expected waning period constant at $1/\delta = 125$ days, increasing the number of compartments causes a more bell-shaped distribution of the waning period and increases 810 oscillations following the spread of the variant (from panel A to C). Panels (D)-(F) consider two 811 recovered compartments (n = 2), corresponding to high and low neutralizing antibody levels. At the 812 endemic equilibrium, either 40% (x = 0.4; panels D, E) or 20% (x = 0.2, panel F) of recovered 813 individuals are in the low-immunity compartment, which can be infected by a more immune evasive 814 815 variant (panels E and F). The variant in panel (D) is only more transmissible. In each case, the transmissibility of the variant is adjusted to hold its selective advantage constant at (s = 8.3%), 816 increasing β by (A)-(D) 42%, (E) 0%, and (F) 17%. Parameters: $\kappa = 0.2, \delta = 0.008, \hat{l} = 2\%, \beta = 0.008$ 817

818 0.42, the nominal parameter estimates given in Appendix 1 for all age classes.

819

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .







It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



830

FIGURE S4: Epidemiological model used to explore the benefits of NPI measures, such as

masking. We include behavioural heterogeneity in SIR_n model, where variables with a ‡ denote 832 individuals engaging in the NPI measure(s). As before, S are susceptible individuals, I are infectious, 833 and R_n are recovered, with immunity at different stages of waning. The fraction of individuals engaging 834 in NPI measures is $f = S^{\ddagger} + I^{\ddagger} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_n^{\ddagger}$. See Supplementary *Mathematica* file for an alternative 835 model where individuals rapidly switch behaviours (switching between the upper and lower row of 836 circles). Parameters are β : transmission rate, p: protection provided by masking (modeled as a reduction 837 in transmission by a factor (1-p) for each person in an interaction wearing a mask), κ : recovery rate, and 838 δ_i : per-class waning rate. 839

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

840 **References** [*Format will be finalized following review*]

- Andrews, Nick, Julia Stowe, Freja Kirsebom, Samuel Toffa, Tim Rickeard, Eileen Gallagher, Charlotte
 Gower, et al. 2022. "Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant."
 The New England Journal of Medicine 386 (16): 1532–46.
- Arabi, Maryam, Yousef Al-Najjar, Omna Sharma, Ibtihal Kamal, Aimen Javed, Harsh S. Gohil, Pradipta
 Paul, Aljazi M. Al-Khalifa, Sa'ad Laws, and Dalia Zakaria. 2023. "Role of Previous Infection
 with SARS-CoV-2 in Protecting against Omicron Reinfections and Severe Complications of
 COVID-19 Compared to Pre-Omicron Variants: A Systematic Review." *BMC Infectious Diseases* 23 (1): 432.
- Canadian Blood Services. 2023. "COVID-19 Seroprevalence Report July 28, 2023." 2023.
 https://www.covid19immunitytaskforce.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/covid-19-full-report-june-2023.pdf.
- Cao, Yunlong, Fanchong Jian, Jing Wang, Yuanling Yu, Weiliang Song, Ayijiang Yisimayi, Jing Wang,
 et al. 2023. "Imprinted SARS-CoV-2 Humoral Immunity Induces Convergent Omicron RBD
 Evolution." *Nature* 614 (7948): 521–29.
- CDC. 2023. "Stay Up to Date with COVID-19 Vaccines." Stay Up to Date with COVID-19 Vaccines.
 2023. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/stay-up-to-date.html.
- Chemaitelly, Hiam, Houssein H. Ayoub, Sawsan AlMukdad, Peter Coyle, Patrick Tang, Hadi M.
 Yassine, Hebah A. Al-Khatib, et al. 2022. "Duration of MRNA Vaccine Protection against
 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 Subvariants in Qatar." *Nature Communications* 13 (1):
 3082.
- CoVaRR-Net's CAMEO. 2023. "Duotang, a Genomic Epidemiology Analyses and Mathematical
 Modelling Notebook." Duotang. 2023. https://covarr-net.github.io/duotang/duotang.html.
- COVID-19 Resources Canada. 2023. "Detailed Forecasts: CAN, Followed by Each Province on
 Subsequent Pages." Detailed Forecasts: CAN, Followed by Each Province on Subsequent Pages.
 2023. https://covid19resources.ca/covid-hazard-index/.
- Bay, Troy, Sylvain Gandon, Sébastien Lion, and Sarah P. Otto. 2020. "On the Evolutionary
 Epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2." *Current Biology: CB* 30 (15): R849–57.
- Borp, Christiaan H. van, Emma E. Goldberg, Nick Hengartner, Ruian Ke, and Ethan O. Romero Severson. 2021. "Estimating the Strength of Selection for New SARS-CoV-2 Variants." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 7239.
- 871 Erikstrup, Christian, Anna Damkjær Laksafoss, Josephine Gladov, Kathrine Agergård Kaspersen, Susan
 872 Mikkelsen, Lotte Hindhede, Jens Kjærgaard Boldsen, et al. 2022. "Seroprevalence and Infection
 873 Fatality Rate of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant in Denmark: A Nationwide Serosurveillance
 874 Study." *The Lancet Regional Health. Europe* 21 (October): 100479.
- Evans, John P., Cong Zeng, Claire Carlin, Gerard Lozanski, Linda J. Saif, Eugene M. Oltz, Richard J.
 Gumina, and Shan-Lu Liu. 2022. "Neutralizing Antibody Responses Elicited by SARS-CoV-2
 MRNA Vaccination Wane over Time and Are Boosted by Breakthrough Infection." *Science Translational Medicine* 14 (637): eabn8057.
- Health Infobase Canada. 2023. "COVID-19 Vaccination: Doses Administered." COVID-19
 Vaccination: Doses Administered. 2023. https://health-infobase.canada.ca/covid-19/vaccineadministration/.
- Hethcote, Herbert W., Harlan W. Stech, and P. Van Den Driessche. 1981. "Nonlinear Oscillations in
 Epidemic Models." *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 40 (1): 1–9.
- Jacobsen, Henning, Ioannis Sitaras, Maeva Katzmarzyk, Viviana Cobos Jimenez, Robert Naughton,
 Melissa M. Higdon, and Maria Deloria Knoll. 2023. "Waning of Post-Vaccination Neutralizing
 Antibody Responses against SARS-CoV-2, a Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis."
 MedRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293864.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- Keeling, Matt J., and Pejman Rohani. 2011. *Modeling Infectious Diseases in Humans and Animals*.
 Princeton University Press.
- Khoury, David S., Deborah Cromer, Arnold Reynaldi, Timothy E. Schlub, Adam K. Wheatley, Jennifer
 A. Juno, Kanta Subbarao, Stephen J. Kent, James A. Triccas, and Miles P. Davenport. 2021.
 "Neutralizing Antibody Levels Are Highly Predictive of Immune Protection from Symptomatic
 SARS-CoV-2 Infection." *Nature Medicine* 27 (7): 1205–11.
- Lau, Chin Shern, May Lin Helen Oh, Soon Kieng Phua, Ya-Li Liang, and Tar Choon Aw. 2022. "210 Day Kinetics of Total, IgG, and Neutralizing Spike Antibodies across a Course of 3 Doses of
 BNT162b2 MRNA Vaccine." *Vaccines* 10 (10). https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10101703.
- Lau, Eric Hy, David Sc Hui, Owen Ty Tsang, Wai-Hung Chan, Mike Yw Kwan, Susan S. Chiu, Samuel
 Ms Cheng, et al. 2021. "Long-Term Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody
 Responses after Infection and Estimates of the Duration of Protection." *EClinicalMedicine* 41
 (November): 101174.
- Leech, Gavin, Charlie Rogers-Smith, Joshua Teperowski Monrad, Jonas B. Sandbrink, Benedict Snodin,
 Robert Zinkov, Benjamin Rader, et al. 2022. "Mask Wearing in Community Settings Reduces
 SARS-CoV-2 Transmission." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United* States of America 119 (23): e2119266119.
- Li, Hui, Kai Yuan, Yan-Kun Sun, Yong-Bo Zheng, Ying-Ying Xu, Si-Zhen Su, Yu-Xin Zhang, et al.
 2022. "Efficacy and Practice of Facemask Use in General Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Translational Psychiatry* 12 (1): 49.
- Lin, Cheryl, Brooke Bier, Rungting Tu, John J. Paat, and Pikuei Tu. 2023. "Vaccinated Yet Booster Hesitant: Perspectives from Boosted, Non-Boosted, and Unvaccinated Individuals." *Vaccines* 11
 (3). https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11030550.
- Lind, Margaret L., Murilo Dorion, Amy J. Houde, Mary Lansing, Sarah Lapidus, Russell Thomas, Inci
 Yildirim, et al. 2023. "Evidence of Leaky Protection Following COVID-19 Vaccination and
 SARS-CoV-2 Infection in an Incarcerated Population." *Nature Communications* 14 (1): 5055.
- Mahase, Elisabeth. 2023. "Covid-19: Annual Flu-like Booster Approach May Not Be Appropriate, Says
 Expert on Infectious Disease." *BMJ* 380 (January): 196.
- Menegale, Francesco, Mattia Manica, Agnese Zardini, Giorgio Guzzetta, Valentina Marziano, Valeria
 d'Andrea, Filippo Trentini, Marco Ajelli, Piero Poletti, and Stefano Merler. 2023. "Evaluation of
 Waning of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine-Induced Immunity: A Systematic Review and MetaAnalysis." *JAMA Network Open* 6 (5): e2310650.
- 920 NACI. 2023. "Guidance on the Use of COVID-19 Vaccines in the Fall of 2023." Public Health Agency
 921 of Canada. https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/phac-
- aspc/documents/services/publications/vaccines-immunization/national-advisory-committee immunization-guidance-use-covid-19-vaccines-fall-2023/statement.pdf.
- 924 NHS. 2023. "About COVID-19 Vaccination." About COVID-19 Vaccination. 2023.
 925 https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/covid-19/covid-19-vaccination/about-covid-19-vaccination/.
- Nyberg, Tommy, Neil M. Ferguson, Sophie G. Nash, Harriet H. Webster, Seth Flaxman, Nick Andrews,
 Wes Hinsley, et al. 2022. "Comparative Analysis of the Risks of Hospitalisation and Death
 Associated with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) and Delta (B.1.617.2) Variants in England:
 A Cohort Study." *The Lancet* 399 (10332): 1303–12.
- Office for National Statistics. 2023. "Results Weekly Swab Positivity Updates from ONS." Results Weekly Swab Positivity Updates from ONS. 2023.
- https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddisea
 ses/bulletins/coronaviruscovid19infectionsurveypilot/24march2023.
- Ogden, Nicholas H., Patricia Turgeon, Aamir Fazil, Julia Clark, Vanessa Gabriele-Rivet, Theresa Tam,
 and Victoria Ng. 2022. "Counterfactuals of Effects of Vaccination and Public Health Measures

| 936 | on COVID-19 Cases in Canada: What Could Have Happened?" Canada Communicable Disease |
|------------|---|
| 937 | Report = Releve Des Maladies Transmissibles Au Canada 48 (7–8): 292–302. |
| 938 | Otto, Sarah P., Troy Day, Julien Arino, Caroline Colijn, Jonathan Dushoff, Michael Li, Samir Mechai, et |
| 939 | al. 2021. "The Origins and Potential Future of SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern in the Evolving |
| 940 | COVID-19 Pandemic." Current Biology: CB 31 (14): R918–29. |
| 941 | Our World in Data. 2023. "Our World in Data." Daily COVID-19 Vaccine Doses Administered. 2023. |
| 942 | https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/daily-covid-19-vaccination-doses. |
| 943 | Oved, Kfir, Liraz Olmer, Yonat Shemer-Avni, Tamar Wolf, Lia Supino-Rosin, George Prajgrod, Yotam |
| 944 | Shenhar, et al. 2020. "Multi-Center Nationwide Comparison of Seven Serology Assays Reveals |
| 945 | a SARS-CoV-2 Non-Responding Seronegative Subpopulation." EClinicalMedicine 29 |
| 946 | (December): 100651. |
| 947 | Puhach, Olha, Kenneth Adea, Nicolas Hulo, Pascale Sattonnet, Camille Genecand, Anne Iten, |
| 948 | Frédérique Jacquérioz, et al. 2022. "Infectious Viral Load in Unvaccinated and Vaccinated |
| 949 | Individuals Infected with Ancestral, Delta or Omicron SARS-CoV-2." Nature Medicine 28 (7): |
| 950 | 1491–1500. |
| 951 | Scherer, Almut, and Angela McLean. 2002. "Mathematical Models of Vaccination." British Medical |
| 952 | Bulletin 62: 187–99. |
| 953 | Statistics Canada. 2023. "Population Estimates on July 1st, by Age and Sex." Population Estimates on |
| 954 | July 1st, by Age and Sex. 2023. |
| 955 | https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tbl1/en/tv.action?pid=1710000501. |
| 956 | Talic, Stella, Shivangi Shah, Holly Wild, Danijela Gasevic, Ashika Maharaj, Zanfina Ademi, Xue Li, et |
| 957 | al. 2021. "Effectiveness of Public Health Measures in Reducing the Incidence of Covid-19, |
| 958 | SARS-CoV-2 Transmission, and Covid-19 Mortality: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." |
| 959 | <i>BMJ</i> 375 (November): e068302. |
| 960 | Tan, Sophia T., Ada T. Kwan, Isabel Rodríguez-Barraquer, Benjamin J. Singer, Hailey J. Park, Joseph |
| 961 | A. Lewnard, David Sears, and Nathan C. Lo. 2023. "Infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 |
| 962 | Breakthrough Infections and Reinfections during the Omicron Wave." Nature Medicine 29 (2): |
| 963 | 358–65. |
| 964 | The Royal Society. 2023. "COVID-19: Examining the Effectiveness of Non-Pharmaceutical |
| 965 | Interventions." https://royalsociety.org/-/media/policy/projects/impact-non-pharmaceutical- |
| 966 | interventions-on-covid-19-transmission/the-roval-society-covid-19-examining-the-effectiveness- |
| 967 | of-non-pharmaceutical-interventions-report.pdf. |
| 968 | UKHSA, 2023, "COVID-19 Omicron Variant Infectious Period and Transmission from People with |
| 969 | Asymptomatic Compared with Symptomatic Infection: A Rapid Review." GOV-14430. {UK |
| 970 | Health Security Agency, https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-omicron- |
| 971 | variant-infectious-period-and-asymptomatic-and-symptomatic-transmission. |
| 972 | VirusSeq 2023 "Canadian VirusSeq Data Portal" Canadian VirusSeq Data Portal 2023 |
| 973 | https://virusseq-dataportal.ca/ |
| 973 | Wei Jia Nicole Stoesser, Philippa C. Matthews, Daniel Avouhkhani, Ruth Studley, Jain Bell, John J. |
| 075 | Bell et al 2021 "Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines in 45.965 Adults from the |
| 975 | General Population of the United Kingdom "Natura Microbiology 6 (0): 1140, 40 |
| 970 | Wichmann Bruno and Poherta Moreira Wichmann 2023 "Big Data Evidence of the Impact of |
| 977 | COVID 10 Hospitalizations on Mortality Pates of Non COVID 10 Critically III Patients " |
| 978 | Scientific Penerta 12 (1): 12612 |
| 979 | Scientific Reports 15 (1): 15015. |
| 980 001 | Ann, rualer, Zhe wang, Shuang reng, Zhou Sun, Lele Yu, Benjamin J. Cowling, Qingxin Kong, and Dong Wu. 2022 "Transmission Dynamics of SADS CoV 2 Omigran Variant Infections in |
| 987 | reng wu. 2025. Transmission Dynamics of SAKS-Cov-2 Omicron variant infections in Honorboy. Zhailang, China, January Estimater 2022." Literational Learning of Learning |
| 982 082 | nangznou, Znejiang, Unina, January-February 2022. International Journal of Infectious |
| 983 | Diseases: IJID: Official Publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases 126 (Jonuary): 122–25 |
| 984 | (January): 152–55. |
| | |