The well-being and work-related stress of senior school leaders in Wales and Northern

Ireland during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional descriptive study

Emily Marchant¹^{*}, Joanna Dowd²[&], Lucy Bray³[&], Gill Rowlands⁴[&], Nia Miles⁵[&], Tom Crick¹[&], Michaela James⁶[&], Kevin Dadaczynski^{7,8}[&], Orkan Okan^{9,10}[&]

¹ Department of Education and Childhood Studies, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Swansea University, United Kingdom

² Health Researcher (Freelance), Northern Ireland, United Kingdom

³ School of Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health, Faculty of Health, Social Care and Medicine, Edge Hill University, United Kingdom

⁴ Public Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

⁵ National Academy for Educational Leadership Wales, United Kingdom

⁶ National Centre for Population Health and Wellbeing Research, Population Data Science, Faculty of Medicine, Health and Life Science, Swansea University, United Kingdom

⁷ Department of Health Sciences, Fulda University of Applied Sciences, Germany

⁸ Centre for Applied Health Sciences, Leuphana University Lüneburg, Germany

⁹ School of Medicine and Health, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Center for Health and Medicine in Society, Munich, Germany

¹⁰ School of Medicine and Health, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Center for Health Promotion in Childhood and Adolescence, Munich, Germany

*Corresponding author

Email: E.K.Marchant@swansea.ac.uk

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

& These authors also contributed equally to this work.

1 Abstract

2 The COVID-19 pandemic caused far-reaching societal changes, including significant educational impacts, affecting 3 over 1.6 billion pupils and 100 million education practitioners globally. Senior school leaders were at the forefront; 4 an occupation already reporting high work-related stress and large numbers leaving the profession preceding COVID-19, leaders were exposed to high demands relating to the numerous challenges they had to manage during 5 6 a "crisis leadership" period. This cross-sectional descriptive study through the international COVID-HL network 7 aimed to examine the well-being and work-related stress of senior school leaders (n=323) in Wales (n=172) and 8 Northern Ireland (n=151) during COVID-19 (2021-2022). Findings suggest that senior school leaders reported high 9 workloads (54.22±11.30 hours/week), low well-being (65.2% n=202, mean WHO-5 40.85±21.57), depressive 10 symptoms (WHO-5 34.8% n=108) and high work-related stress (PSS-10: 29.91±4.92). High exhaustion (BAT: 11 high/very high 89.0% n=285) and specific psychosomatic complaints (experiencing muscle pain 48.2% n=151) were 12 also reported, and females had statistically higher outcomes in these areas. School leaders were engaging in self-13 endangering working behaviours; 74.7% (n=239) gave up leisure activities in favour of work and 63.4% (n=202) 14 sacrificed sufficient sleep, which was statistically higher for females. These findings are concerning given that the 15 UK is currently experiencing a "crisis" in educational leadership against a backdrop of pandemic-related pressures. 16 Senior leaders' high attrition rates further exacerbate this, proving costly to educational systems and placing 17 additional financial and other pressures on educational settings and policy response. This has implications for 18 senior leaders and pupil-level outcomes including health, well-being and educational attainment, requiring urgent 19 tailored and targeted support from the education and health sectors. This is particularly pertinent for Wales and 20 Northern Ireland as devolved nations in the UK, who are both implementing or contemplating major education 21 system level reforms, including new statutory national curricula, requiring significant leadership, engagement and 22 ownership from the education profession.

23 Introduction

24 The COVID-19 pandemic caused unprecedented changes in all areas of society. It required the implementation of 25 an unprecedented range of public health measures to reduce social contacts and transmission of SARS-CoV-2. 26 Across all educational settings and contexts this included changes to the delivery of learning, teaching and 27 assessment, such as full or partial face-to-face closures, a move to hybrid and blended learning, and the 28 introduction of a variety of measures upon the full return to education [1-6]. These significant and prolonged 29 changes to the delivery of teaching and learning impacted all those within education, including pupils, educational support staff, teachers, and senior school leaders (e.g. headteachers, deputy headteachers, senior leadership 30 31 team) [7]. At its peak, over 1.6 billion learners and 100 million educational practitioners globally were affected by 32 disruption to education [8].

33 Research efforts were initially focused on the impacts of the pandemic on school children and teaching staff [8]. 34 However, senior school leaders were at the forefront of educational leadership, who were required to navigate 35 an evolving working situation and environment. This required rapid decision-making, school management and 36 leadership relating to the numerous new protocols and policies they had to master and manage. Senior school 37 leaders are responsible for all aspects of school life and therefore had to cope with high demands due to the COVID-19 pandemic, a period defined as "crisis leadership" [9,10]. Responsibilities included rapidly responding to 38 39 government guidelines, managing communication with education authorities and the school community, ensuring 40 professional development and continuity of learning and safeguarding vulnerable children.

Prior to COVID-19, concerns were raised regarding educational leaders' workload, well-being, recruitment and retention within the profession [11,12] and experts had referred to a "potential crisis in leadership in education" [13]. It is well documented that educational leaders are prone to high levels of work-related stress, burnout, and reduced well-being, reporting higher stress levels than other occupations and the general population [14–17]. Furthermore, evidence suggests gender differences exist in perceptions of stress and exhaustion, with female

school leaders exhibiting higher perceived stress [18] and symptoms of exhaustion and fatigue compared to their
male counterparts [19]; further research is required to examine this in the context of COVID-19.

48 These existing pressures on educational leaders were exacerbated during the COVID-19 pandemic in a time that 49 required "constant crisis and change management" [20]. This was fuelled further by intense scrutiny of school 50 leaders by policymakers, parents and the media, in addition to a shift from local to national decision making and 51 accountability [21]. These psychological and physical impacts can transcend to learners, with a body of evidence 52 demonstrating an association between school leadership quality and student outcomes, including achievement, 53 health and well-being [22,23]. Thus, the additional work-related pressures during a period of "crisis leadership" 54 are likely to have had significant impacts on educational leaders, and research is urgently required to assess these 55 impacts to direct appropriate resources and support. 56 This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted through the international COVID Health Literacy (COVID-HL)

network [24]. The network was established in 2020 to enable collaborative and cross-country health literacy research and includes more than 150 researchers from over 60 countries. Through the COVID-HL network, a number of surveys were developed to examine the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on educational settings, including the *COVID-19 School Principals Survey* [25], which has been administered in 17 countries to date [26– 30]. This study aimed to examine the working situation, well-being and work-related stress of senior school leaders in Wales and Northern Ireland and explore gender differences as a whole sample during the COVID-19 pandemic 2021 and 2022.

64

65 Materials and Methods

The *COVID-19 School Principals Survey* was administered in Wales between June and November 2021 and Northern Ireland between March and May 2022. This was part of an ongoing international study through the COVID-HL network, and the survey has been administered in 17 countries globally at the time of writing.

69 Sample and recruitment

70 Inclusion criteria were: any member of staff with a senior leadership position, recognised in the UK as senior 71 leadership team (SLT). This includes the headteacher or deputy/assistant headteacher, in addition to members of 72 the SLT with leadership or management responsibilities (e.g. head of school/department/subject area, or senior 73 pastoral role such as pupil well-being). Senior leaders were required to be currently working within any primary 74 (ages 3-11), secondary (ages 11-16) or special educational setting. A convenience sample of participants were 75 recruited via email, social media and through education stakeholders. Recruitment in Wales was facilitated by HAPPEN (Health and Attainment of Pupils in Primary EducatioN) Wales [31,32], a pan-Wales infrastructure that 76 77 connects research with primary schools, directly aligning with the new Curriculum for Wales [33] (which is phasing 78 in from September 2022) and its health and well-being area of learning and experience, alongside the increased 79 policy prominence of health literacy. The recruitment period in Wales was from 1st June 2021 to 14th November 80 2021. In addition, stakeholder support was received from the National Academy for Educational Leadership Wales 81 [34] who distributed the survey through their networks. In Northern Ireland, the survey was emailed to all primary, 82 post-primary and special schools, and a reminder was also sent to encourage uptake. The recruitment period in Northern Ireland was from 31st January 2022 to 31st May 2022. The survey was securely administered online via 83 84 Microsoft Forms with a survey link emailed to participants. Participants received an information sheet detailing 85 the study aims, objectives, their rights, including their right to withdraw and information regarding anonymity and 86 confidentiality and were required to provide written informed consent, confirming that they had read the

87 information sheet and understood what participation involved. The survey took approximately 20-30 minutes to
88 complete.

⁸⁹ The COVID-19 School Principal Survey

The *COVID-19 School Principal Survey* [25] was designed by the international COVID-HL network and adapted for Wales and Northern Ireland (S1 and S2 Fig). It asks participants a range of questions regarding their work situation, including general work-related factors, health, well-being, work-related Sense of Coherence, exhaustion and psychosomatic complaints, self-endangering behaviour and perceived stress. The inclusion criteria for the survey are any senior leadership staff (headteacher, deputy headteacher, senior leadership team). A full copy of the survey is available in the S1 and S2 Fig.

96 Measures

97 Demographic characteristics

Data regarding demographic characteristics were collected, including gender (male, female, prefer not to say), type of school (primary, post-primary/secondary), specific leadership position at school (e.g. headteacher, deputy headteacher, senior leadership team e.g. with leadership, management or pastoral responsibilities), school size, percentage of pupils from different socioeconomic groups (self-defined as low, medium, high) and percentage of their pupils eligible for free school meals. Free school meals are used as a proxy measure of deprivation, eligibility criteria at the time of the study were any child living in a household which gets income-related benefits and has an annual income less than £7,400 in Wales [35] or £14,000 in Northern Ireland [36].

105 Work-related factors

Participants were asked questions regarding their workload, including their total weekly working hours, weekly
 teaching hours and changes in their workload compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic (higher, about the
 same, lower than before the COVID-19 pandemic).

109 General health

The subjective health of participants was examined using the WHO-endorsed item of the self-perception of health status, which asks about *'health in general'* [37]. This has been found to be associated with other health measures, use of health services and survival rate in adults. In the current study, participants responded to a single-item question *'How is your health in general?'* using a 5-point Likert scale (1-5) from *'Very good'* to *'Very bad'* [38]. Lower mean values indicate better perceived general health.

115 Well-being

116 The 5-item World Health Organization Well-being Index (WHO-5) was used to measure the subjective 117 psychological well-being of senior school leaders [39]. Participants were asked to indicate how they had been feeling in the past two weeks in relation to five statements, including 'I have felt cheerful and in good spirits'. 118 119 Responses followed a 6-point Likert scale and were scored from 0 (None of the time) to 5 (All of the time). These 120 were summed to calculate a total raw score between 0 to 25, and this total raw score was multiplied by 4, 121 providing a final WHO-5 score between 0 (complete absence of well-being) to 100 (highest imaginable level of well-being). Mean scores were calculated, in addition to binary variables, a cut-off score of ≤50 is indicative of low 122 123 well-being [40], and \leq 28 indicative of depressive symptoms [41].

124 Work-related Sense of Coherence

125 Sense of Coherence (SoC) is a framework which explains how people manage stressful situations to maintain their 126 health and well-being. Work-related SoC is used as an indicator for the health-promoting quality of life at work. It 127 consists of three concepts; comprehensibility (how an individual perceives their work situation as structured, consistent and coherent, as opposed to unpredictable and chaotic), manageability (how an individual perceives 128 129 the availability of resources to cope with demands in the workplace) and meaningfulness (the extent to which a 130 person perceives their work situation as worthy of commitment and involvement) [42]. Work-related SoC was 131 captured using a 9-item scale with responses following a Likert scale (each item score ranging from 1-7) relating 132 to how participants were finding their work situation. For the comprehensibility sub-scale (items 1, 3, 6 and 9),

items were 'Unmanageable - manageable', 'Unstructured - unstructured', 'Unclear - clear' and 'Unpredictable predictable'. The manageability sub-scale (items 4 and 7) includes 'Impossible to influence – easy to influence' and 'Uncontrollable - controllable'. The meaningfulness sub-scale was captured in items 'Meaningless - meaningful', 'Insignificant - significant'. Total scores were summed, and the three sub-scales scores were summed, and mean values calculated. Higher values indicate a higher SoC.

138 Exhaustion and psychosomatic complaints

139 Work-related exhaustion was assessed using the short-form exhaustion items from the Burnout Assessment Tool 140 (BAT) [43]. This asked participants to rate on a 5-item Likert scale from Never to Always (scored 1-5) three 141 statements relating to how they were experiencing their work situation including 'At work, I feel mentally 142 exhausted'. For psychosomatic complaints, the following items from the BAT were assessed using the same Likert 143 scale as above, asking participants how often they suffer from, for example, 'Palpitations and/or chest pain'. Total 144 scores and mean values for exhaustion and psychosomatic complaints were calculated, with higher scores 145 indicating higher work-related fatigue or psychosomatic complaints. In addition, statistical norms based on 146 percentiles derived from Schaufeli, De Witte and Desart [43] categorised the exhaustion sub-scale as low, average, 147 high or very high.

148 Self-endangering behaviour

149 Participants' self-endangering behaviour, recognised as behaviours that may be functional to attaining work goals 150 in response to coping with excessive working demands, but have a negative effect on longer-term health, well-151 being and ability to work were assessed. Three sub-scales of the subjective self-endangering work behaviour scale 152 were used; the 6-item work extensification (extending working hours), 3-item work intensification (working at an 153 increased pace and multitasking, whilst limiting break periods and work-related social interactions) and 3-item 154 quality reduction (reducing the quality of work in reaction to excessive work demands). For each sub-scale, 155 participants were asked in relation to the past three months, and responses were scored using a 5-point Likert 156 scale from 'Never' to 'Very often'. Total scores and mean values were calculated for each sub-scale, with higher

values indicating higher self-endangering behaviours. Krause et al. [44] report very good reliability of the
 subjective self-endangering work behaviour scale and acceptable to good reliability within sub-scales.

159 Perceived stress

160 Perceived stress was captured using a 10-item measure based on the original Perceived Stress Scale by Cohen [45], adapted by Schneider et al. [46] and applied to the COVID-19 working context by Dadaczynski, Okan and 161 162 Messer [25]. Participants were asked how often they had found aspects of their working situation in the last 163 month, including 'Felt confident about your ability to handle your professional work-related problems caused by 164 the COVID-19 pandemic'. The 10-item adapted PSS consists of two sub-scales; Perceived Helplessness (items 1, 2, 165 3, 6, 9, 10), which represents a lack of control of negative emotions, and Perceived Self-Efficacy (items 4, 5, 7, 8), 166 how effective the person feels in coping with demands. A 5-item Likert scale scored responses were from 1 167 ('Never') to 5 ('Very often'). A total score was summed by combining perceived helplessness item scores and the 168 reverse scoring of perceived self-efficacy items. In addition, sub-scale total scores (perceived self-efficacy reverse 169 scored) were calculated. Total mean and sub-scale values were calculated, higher values indicate higher perceived 170 stress. High content and construct validity have been reported by Schneider et al. [46].

171 Data analysis

Data were handled using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 28) to calculate descriptive statistics describing frequencies
 and percentages, and independent samples t-tests explored differences between gender.

174 Ethics

This study received ethical approval from the Swansea University Medical School Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 2021-0043). All participants were required to provide written informed consent to participate in the study. Participants in Northern Ireland were made aware that due to the anonymous nature of the survey, they were not able to withdraw their data after pressing submit. For Wales, personal data (name and school) were collected for the purposes of data linkage, and participants were informed of their right to withdraw.

181 Results

182 Demographic characteristics

- 183 Table 1 and 2 present the demographic characteristics of study participants by whole sample, country (Table 1)
- and gender (Table 2). In total, 323 school leaders participated in the COVID-19 School Principal Study, of which
- 185 172 were from Wales (53.25%) and 151 from Northern Ireland (46.75%) (Table 1). Of the whole sample, 67.5%
- 186 (n=216) were female. The sample consisted of 83.2% (n=253) primary schools, and 80.6% headteachers (n=257).
- 187 School-level demographic characteristics reported by participants show the mean and median number of students
- 188 at senior leaders' schools (357.48 ± 333.10, 240). The percentage of pupils in low, medium and high socioeconomic
- groups within senior leaders' schools were reported (whole group; 43.17 ± 29.62 , 46.19 ± 27.23 , 7.70 ± 10.59).
- 190 The majority of senior leaders worked within schools with 0-20% of pupils eligible for free school meals (43.8%).

191 Table 1: Demographic characteristics (whole sample, n=323, and by country)

192

			% (n)	
			mean ${f \pm}$ standard deviation	
		Whole sample	Wales	Northern
				Ireland
Total		323	172 (53.25%)	151 (46.75%)
Gender	Male	32.5% (104)	63 (37.3%)	41 (27.2%)
	Female	67.5% (216)	106 (62.7%)	110 (72.8%)
	Prefer not to say	<5	<5	<5
School type	Primary	83.2% (253)	130 (81.3%)	123 (85.4%)
	Secondary	16.8% (51)	30 (18.7%)	21 (14.6%)
Leadership position	Headteacher	80.6% (257)	133 (79.2%)	124 (82.1%)
	Deputy	8.2% (26)	14 (8.3%)	12 (7.9%)
	headteacher	11.3% (36)	21 (12.5%)	15 (9.9%)
	Senior leadership			
Number of students in	Mean	357.48 ± 333.10	396 ± 360.55	314.21 ± 294.82
school	Median	240	250	220
	0-200	128 (40.1%)	57 (33.9%)	71 (47.0%)
	201-400	96 (30.1%)	54 (32.1%)	42 (27.8%)
	401-600	48 (15.0%)	29 (17.3%)	19 (12.6%)
	601+	47 (14.7%)	28 (16.7%)	19 (12.6%)

ercentage of students in
chool from
ocioeconomic groups
ercentage of pupils in
chool eligible for free
chool meals
cioeconomic groups ercentage of pupils in chool eligible for free chool meals

193

194 Table 2 Demographic characteristics (by gender)

		% (n) mean \pm standard deviation	
		Male	Female
Total		104 (32.2%)	216 (66.9%)
School type	Primary	70 (71.4%)	182 (88.8%)
	Secondary	28 (28.6%)	23 (11.2%)
Leadership position	Headteacher	81 (79.4%)	175 (81.0%)
	Deputy headteacher	7 (6.9%)	19 (8.8%)
	Senior leadership	14 (13.7%)	22 (10.2%)
Number of students in	Mean	449.47 ± 397.49	309.54 ± 288.28
school	Median	340	220
	0-200	32 (31.4%)	96 (44.4%)
	201-400	30 (29.4%)	66 (30.6%)
	401-600	14 (13.7%)	33 (15.3%)
	601+	26 (25.5%)	21 (9.7%)
Percentage of students in	Low	37.70 ± 26.49	45.77 ± 30.81
school from	Medium	49.71 ± 24.66	44.47 ± 28.37
socioeconomic groups	High	8.84 ± 10.80	7.19 ± 10.49
Percentage of pupils in	0-20%	47 (45.6%)	92 (42.6%)
school eligible for free	21-40%	41 (39.8%)	75 (34.7%)
school meals	41-60%	11 (10.7%)	29 13.4%)
	61-80%	4 (3.9%)	16 (7.4%)
	81-100%	0	<5

195

Tables 3 and 4 present the descriptive statistics of senior leaders' working situation, well-being and work-related stress by whole sample, country (Table 3) and gender (Table 4). The distribution of responses can be viewed in the S3 Fig. Complete cases are presented, and responses with a minimum of five participants' data are presented for purposes of anonymity.

200

201 Table 3: Descriptive statistics of senior leaders' well-being, working situation and work-related stress (whole

202 sample, country)

			9	6 (n)
			Mean \pm star	ndard deviation
		Whole sample	Wales	Northern Ireland
Working situation	Teaching hours	10.29 ± 14.97	7.12 ± 13.14	13.85 ± 16.09
	Workload hours	54.22 ± 11.30	54.93 ± 11.33	53.43 ± 11.26
Workload	Lower	12 (3.8%)	8 (4.9%)	<5
compared to	About the same	91 (29.0%)	65 (39.9%)	26 (17.2%)
before COVID-19	Higher	211 (67.2%)	90 (55.2%)	121 (80.1%)
General health	Good/very good	226 (69.9%)	135 (79.4%)	91 (60.3%)
	Mean	2.09 ± 0.86	1.91 ± 0.81	2.27 ± 0.87
WHO-5	Mean	40.85 ± 21.57	44.93 ± 21.62	36.08 ± 20.59
	Low well-being	202 (65.2%	91 (54.5%)	111 (77.6%)
	Depressive	108 (34.8%)	47 (28.1%)	61 (42.7%)
	symptoms			
Sense of	Mean	3.95 ± 1.21	4.16 ± 1.25	3.71 ± 1.13
coherence	Comprehensibility	3.61 ± 1.26	3.83 ± 1.30	3.36 ± 1.16
	Manageability	3.33 ± 1.38	3.54 ± 1.42	3.10 ± 1.31
	Meaningfulness	4.83 ± 1.48	5.01 ± 1.49	4.58 ± 1.43
Exhaustion	Mean	3.74 ± 0.76	3.52 ± 0.80	4.00 ± 0.62
	High/very high	285 (89.0%)	138 (81.2%)	147 (98.0%)
Psychosomatic	Mean	2.70 ± 0.83	2.58 ± 0.85	2.83 ± 0.80
complaints				
Self-endangering	Work extensification	4.35 ± 0.55	4.33 ± 0.51	4.36 ± 0.59
behaviour	Work intensification	4.20 ± 0.79	4.01 ± 0.84	4.42 ± 0.67
	Quality reduction	3.47 ± 0.76	3.31 ± 0.75	3.65 ± 0.73
Perceived stress	Total score	29.91 ± 4.92	28.72 ± 6.00	31.30 ± 2.65
	Helplessness	19.23 ± 4.14	18.32 ± 4.95	20.28 ± 2.57
	Self-efficacy	10.67 ± 1.94	10.37 ± 2.12	11.01 ± 1.66

203

204 Table 4: Descriptive statistics of senior leaders' well-being, working situation and work-related stress (gender)

		% (n) mean \pm standard deviation	
		Male	Female
Total		104 (32.2%)	216 (66.9%)
Working situation	Teaching hours	9.54 ± 14.33	10.69 ± 15.29
	Workload hours	55.39 ± 10.87	53.63 ± 11.50
Workload compared to	Lower	5 (5.1%)	7 (3.3%)
before COVID-19	About the same	30 (30.3%)	61 (28.5%)

	Higher	64 (64.6%)	146 (68.2%)
General health	Good/very good	72 (69.2%)	153 (70.8%)
	Mean	2.07 ± 0.98	2.09 ± 0.84
WHO-5	Mean	42.9 ± 22.91	39.79 ± 20.90
	Low well-being	65 (63.7%)	137 (66.2%)
	Depressive symptoms	31 (30.4%)	77 (37.2%)
Sense of coherence	Mean	3.90 ± 1.25	3.99 ± 1.18
	Comprehensibility	3.58 ± 1.34	3.64 ± 1.21
	Manageability	3.33 ± 1.39	3.34 ± 1.37
	Meaningfulness	4.69 ± 1.50	4.91 ± 1.45
Exhaustion	Mean	3.60 ± 0.83*	3.81 ± 0.71*
	High/very high	87 (83.7%)	197 (91.6%)
Psychosomatic complaints	Mean	2.39 ± 0.81*	2.84 ± 0.80*
Self-endangering	Work extensification	4.21 ± 0.62*	4.41 ± 0.50*
behaviour	Work intensification	4.09 ± 0.84	4.25 ± 0.76
	Quality reduction	3.43 ± 0.81	3.48 ± 0.73
Perceived stress	Mean	29.26 ± 5.56	30.21 ± 4.56
	Helplessness	18.56 ± 4.68	19.52 ± 3.79
	Self-efficacy	10.71 ± 2.06	10.66 ± 1.89

205 * depicts statistically significant differences between groups

206

207 Working situation

208 The mean weekly workload for senior leaders in this sample was 54.22 ± 11.3 hours (Wales: 54.93 ± 11.33,

209 Northern Ireland: 53.43 ± 11.26), 76.8% (n=241) reported working at least 50 hours per week during COVID-19.

210 Weekly teaching responsibility was also reported as 10.29 ± 14.97 hours, differences between countries were

observed (Wales: 7.12 ± 13.14, Northern Ireland 13.85 ± 16.09 hours). Workload increased during the COVID-19

212 pandemic compared to before, this was reported by 67.2% (n=211) of the sample (Wales: 55.2%, n=90; Northern

213 Ireland: 80.1%, n=121).

214 General health

215 Senior leaders reported their perceived general health status, with 69.9% (n=226) responding that this was

216 good/very good (Wales: 79.4%, n=135; Northern Ireland: 60.3%, n=91). Male and female senior school leaders

reported similar perceived health status, with 69.2% (n=72) and 70.8 (n=153) reporting this as good/very good,
respectively.

219 Well-being

Using the WHO-5, senior leaders' mean subjective psychological well-being was 40.85 \pm 21.57 (Wales: 44.93 \pm 21.62, Northern Ireland: 36.09 \pm 20.59). 62.5% (n=202) (Wales: 54.5%, Northern Ireland: 77.6%) were categorised as having low well-being using the cut-off score of \leq 50 determined by Topp et al. [40] and 34.8% (n=108) (Wales: 28.1%, n=47, Northern Ireland: 42.7%, n=61) were below the cut-off for depressive symptoms [41]. Male senior leaders reported higher subjective psychological well-being (42.9 \pm 22.9) than female senior leaders (39.7 \pm 20.90). Whilst there were minimal gender differences in being classified as having low well-being (males: 63.7%, n=65 females: 66.2%, n=137), females reported higher depressive symptoms (37.2%, n=77) than males (30.4%, n=31).

227 Sense of Coherence

Findings regarding work-related SoC indicate that meaningfulness (the extent to which a work situation is seen as
worthy of commitment and involvement) was rated the highest (4.83 ± 1.48, Wales: 5.01 ± 1.49, Northern Ireland:
4.58 ± 1.43), followed by comprehensibility (3.61 ± 1.26, Wales: 3.83 ± 1.30, Northern Ireland: 3.36 ± 1.16), with
manageability rated lowest of the three sub-scales (3.33 ± 1.38, Wales: 3.54 ± 1.42, Northern Ireland: 3.10 ± 1.31).
High mean values indicate stronger SoC, which can suggest coping more efficiently with work stressors (overall
SoC whole sample: 3.95 ± 1.21, Wales: 4.16 ± 1.25, Northern Ireland: 3.71 ± 1.13). This trend was also observed
between gender.

235 Exhaustion and psychosomatic complaints

Regarding exhaustion, mean values for the sample of senior leaders were 3.74 ± 0.76 . (Wales: 3.52 ± 0.80 , Northern Ireland 4.00 ± 0.62), and females (3.81 ± 0.71) reported significantly higher exhaustion compared to males (3.60 ± 0.83 , t=-2.208, p=0.029). Applying the statistical norms proposed by Schaufeli, De Witte and Desart

[43] suggest that 89.0% (n=285) of senior leaders exhibit high/very high exhaustion (Wales: 81.2%, n=183,
Northern Ireland: 98%, n=147), and gender differences (males: 83.7%, n=87, females: 91.6%, n=197).

241 Further descriptive data (S3 Fig) shows that senior leaders from Wales and Northern Ireland report often/always 242 feeling mentally exhausted (57.1% and 82%, respectively) and physically exhausted (37.1% and 77.7%, 243 respectively). Exhaustion is a core symptom of work-related burnout, with secondary symptoms including 244 psychosomatic complaints, that is experiencing physical symptoms that are attributed to or exacerbated by 245 mental stress. Most notably, this included often/always experiencing muscle pain such as neck, shoulder and back 246 (Wales: 39.5%, Northern Ireland: 58.2%) and headaches (Wales: 31.9%, Northern Ireland: 38.7%. Statistically 247 significant gender differences were also observed, with female senior leaders reporting higher psychosomatic 248 complaints (females: 2.84 ± 0.80, high/very: 41.2%, males: 2.39 ± 0.81, high/very high: 16.1%, t=-4.721, p=<0.001).

249 Self-endangering behaviour

250 The self-endangering work behaviour scale [44] assessed three-sub scales; work extensification, work 251 intensification and quality reduction was used. Regarding extensification of work (i.e. extending working hours), 252 mean values for the senior leaders in this sample were 4.35 ± 0.55. The distribution of responses indicates that 253 91.5% (n=293) reported to fairly often/very often worked extra hours in the previous three months, 74.7% (n=239) 254 given up leisure activities in favour of work, and 63.4% (n=202) sacrificing sufficient sleep. Differences between 255 genders were statistically significant (t=-2.879, p=0.005), with females reporting higher extensification of work 256 than their male counterparts, 4.41 ± 0.50 and 4.21 ± 0.62 , respectively. For work intensification, that is, working 257 at an increased pace and multitasking whilst limiting break periods and work-related social interactions [47], mean 258 values were 4.20 ± 0.79 (whole sample). The majority of senior leaders (fairly often/very often: 74.4%, n=239) 259 reported to work at a pace they found burdensome, that cannot be sustained in the long term (81.3%, n=261), 260 and they know it is not good for them (82.9%, n=266). The third sub scale, quality reduction, reducing the quality 261 of work in reaction to excessive work demands, was rated the lowest by senior leaders (mean values; 3.47 ± 0.76).

262 Perceived stress

Using the 10-item *Perceived Stress Scale* adapted to the COVID-19 working context for the purpose of this study, findings from the current study present total scores for the sample of senior leaders (29.91 ± 4.92), and sub-scales of perceived helplessness (19.23 ± 4.14), and perceived self-efficacy (10.67 ± 1.94. Gender differences suggest significantly greater perceived helplessness reported by females than males (19.52 ± 3.79 and 18.56 ± 4.68, respectively, t=-1.996, p=0.047). Within items from this sub-scale, nearly half of females (49.6%) reported that they could not cope with all their work tasks (fairly often/very often) compared to 28.8% of males.

269

270 Discussion

271 This study aimed to examine the working situation, well-being and work-related stress of senior school leaders in 272 Wales and Northern Ireland and explore gender differences during the COVID-19 pandemic between 2021 and 273 2022. This is part of an ongoing international study through the COVID-HL network, with specific relevance to 274 current and emerging policy and practice in Wales and Northern Ireland. Findings suggest that senior school 275 leaders reported high workloads, low well-being, depressive symptoms and high work-related stress. Senior 276 leaders also had high levels of exhaustion and psychosomatic complaints indicating burnout and were engaging in self-endangering working behaviours, these were significantly higher in female senior leaders. During the 277 278 COVID-19 pandemic, senior school leaders were exposed to a period of "crisis leadership" [9]. Understanding the 279 impact this had on specific aspects of school leaders' physical and mental health is important, allowing the 280 education and health systems to respond with a targeted approach and appropriate resources. This evidence base 281 can shape and inform policy and directly address the working situation and well-being experiences of leaders in 282 the education system. The findings in the current study supports other work in this area both nationally [15,26– 283 30,48]

284 The majority of senior leaders in this study reported low well-being, lower than the general adult population pre-285 pandemic [49] and COVID-HL international study data from Hong Kong [26,27] and Switzerland [28]. Elsewhere, 286 reports from 2020 to 2022 suggest negative impacts of the sustained work-related pressures on senior leaders' 287 well-being and mental health [15,50,51]. In this study, females exhibited higher depressive symptoms than males, 288 reflecting global data that suggests existing gender disparities in the prevalence of depression widened further 289 during COVID-19 [52]. Findings regarding the well-being and mental health of senior school leaders in this study 290 have wider implications as evidence demonstrates associations between the well-being of educational 291 practitioners and pupil-level outcomes, including health, well-being and educational attainment [53].

292 The large majority of senior leaders in this study reported a higher workload than before the pandemic and 293 working at least 50 hours per week. UK-based educational practitioners work longer hours than their international 294 colleagues [54], and headteachers in the UK reported to work 20 hours more per week during COVID-19 than 295 classroom teachers [55]. These findings are concerning given that high workload has been identified as a key 296 reason for staff considering leaving the profession [50], and headteacher retention rates were a concern pre-297 pandemic [11]. This has since been labelled a "crisis" in England, Wales and Northern Ireland [56]. Most recently, 298 senior leaders in Wales and Northern Ireland have resorted to industrial action, citing workload, below-inflation 299 pay awards and school funding as key concerns within the profession [57,58], suggesting these work-related 300 pressures persist.

Coping mechanisms to high workload include engaging in self-endangering behaviours that are functional to attaining work goals but negatively affect longer-term health, well-being and ability to work [47]. In this study, senior leaders reported often giving up leisure activities in favour of work, sacrificing sufficient sleep and waiving breaks during the working day. This relates to the transactional stress model, which explains individuals' cognitive and behavioural responses as coping mechanisms to manage internal or external perceived stressors [59]. Females were significantly more likely to report self-endangering behaviours, in agreement with research in Germany which found that females were more likely to show overcommitting behaviours within their role, overexerting

308 themselves through excessive work engagement [60]. Furthermore, interesting findings were reported within the 309 Swiss teaching profession which found prolonging working hours mediates the relationship between work 310 overload and exhaustion, thus, work extensification is used as a coping mechanism to high working demands [61].

The majority of senior leaders reported that this level of work was burdensome, cannot be sustained in the long term and was not good for them. Whilst our findings are in line with international study data from Hong Kong, [27], the prevalence of self-endangering behaviours was higher in Wales and Northern Ireland. Given that high work-related pressures are still being reported in the UK [56], it is reasonable to assume that many senior leaders are still engaging in self-endangering behaviours as a mechanism to mediate the negative work-related stressors associated with continued high workloads and working conditions. Whilst necessary to fulfil working demands,

this is not conducive to their health and well-being.

318 Increased workload and self-endangering behaviours can lead to burnout, a psychological syndrome caused by 319 chronic job stressors [62], of which exhaustion is a core symptom [43]. Senior leaders in this study reported very 320 high levels of exhaustion, this is supported by research nationally during COVID-19 which demonstrates that 321 headteachers and senior leaders reported the highest exhaustion compared to other educational practitioners 322 [48]. Exhaustion was also higher in the current study than in international COVID-HL data [30]. Our study also 323 found statistically significant gender differences, with females exhibiting higher exhaustion. These gender 324 differences in school leader populations have also been noted in Sweden [19]. In a review of the role of gender in 325 workplace stress, Gyllensten and Palmer identified a number of contributing factors for females, including 326 increased responsibility for domestic chores, work-family conflicts and additional caring responsibilities (e.g. 327 childcare and elderly parents) [63]. Though this review is broad and considers multiple occupations and not solely 328 senior school leaders, it offers insights into gender differences observed in this study and reflects wider societal 329 gender expectations and norms.

Psychosomatic complaints, recognised as physical symptoms attributed to or exacerbated by mental stress, are considered secondary symptoms of burnout [43]. Senior leaders in this study also reported higher psychosomatic complaints than reported internationally [26], including higher frequencies of headaches and muscle pain (e.g. neck, shoulder, back), feeling mentally and physically exhausted and finding it difficult to recover their energy after a day of work.[30]. Again, significant gender differences were observed, with females reporting higher psychosomatic complaints. Senior leaders in this study may have been at risk of, or were experiencing burnout during the COVID-19 pandemic, as reported elsewhere in the UK during this time [48].

337 Further to this and building on the transactional stress model are findings relating to senior leaders' perceived 338 stress, this is minimally lower in Wales and Northern Ireland than reported in Hong Kong [26], though significantly higher than those in Taiwan [29]. Outside of the COVID-HL international study, findings from Wales and Northern 339 340 Ireland are also significantly higher than teachers elsewhere in the UK [64]. Higher perceived stress has been 341 associated with other outcomes, including hormonal changes and disturbances to the menstrual cycle in women 342 [65], with females in this study reporting higher perceived helplessness, insomnia [66] and accessing primary care 343 services [67]. A framework that explains how people manage stressful situations to maintain their health and well-344 being, a Sense of Coherence (SoC) and work-related SoC are used to indicate the health-promoting quality of life 345 at work [42]. Senior leaders in this study reported manageability as the lowest of the three sub-scales, suggesting 346 they perceived inadequate resources available to cope with the demands of the pandemic. According to the job 347 demands-resources model, job resources (physical, psychological, social or organizational) are a central 348 component in achieving work goals, reducing job demands and negating the associated 349 physiological/psychological impacts [68]. Within this theoretical model, it is proposed that adequate job resources 350 can buffer the impact of job demands on job-related strains such as burnout. This emphasizes the importance of 351 necessary personal, organisational and governmental support for senior leaders and could be one consideration 352 in reducing levels of burnout.

353 Despite the numerous work-related challenges experienced by senior leaders in this study, meaningfulness, the 354 extent to which a person perceives their work situation as worthy of commitment and involvement, was reported 355 the highest. Senior leaders still valued their role and its contribution, this is supported elsewhere within qualitative 356 work with educational practitioners remaining in challenging working situations who expressed a strong sense of 357 social responsibility within their role [69]. Following a similar trend to other measures in this study, overall SoC 358 was lower than comparable international study data [27,29]. Higher work-related SoC predicted higher subjective 359 well-being scores in Hong Kong[27], and was associated with lower perceived stress and lower likelihood of 360 depressive symptoms in Taiwan [29]. Thus, this indicates stronger SoC acting as a protective factor in the stress, 361 well-being and mental health of senior school leaders, and factors to foster SoC, including adequate resources 362 must be considered.

363 Ultimately, these findings regarding senior leaders' work-related stress and well-being are concerning, given that 364 Wales and Northern Ireland are currently experiencing a "crisis" in educational leadership against a backdrop of 365 pandemic-related pressures. Assumptions regarding 'bouncing back' have been short-lived; more recent evidence 366 suggests these initial pandemic-related pressures have accumulated with prior strain experienced by senior 367 leaders and are indeed long-lasting. This is supported by survey research from the most recent Headteacher 368 Wellbeing Index in the UK which indicates that the stress levels of educational practitioners increased up to 2022 369 [15], and evidence examining post-pandemic well-being and burnout from other fields such as healthcare 370 highlights this further [70].

The high attrition rates of senior leaders and other educational practitioners further exacerbate this, proving costly to educational systems and placing additional financial and other pressure on educational settings and policy response. This not only has implications for senior leaders' well-being, work-related stress and issues regarding retention in the profession but also on pupil-level outcomes, including health, well-being and educational attainment [23,53,71].

This study expands current knowledge on the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and "crisis leadership" on educational leaders in Wales and Northern Ireland. Findings suggest that both tailored and targeted support from the education and health sectors and government are urgently required to improve and optimise the working conditions of senior leaders. Based on the findings from this study, we propose five recommendations for consideration based on lessons learnt and what may mitigate these issues in any future national crises:

- i) A more strategic approach to supporting the well-being of educational leaders in Wales and Northern
 Ireland is essential, including joint working between the health/social care and education sectors to
 provide mental health support to senior leaders.
- ii) In the short term, the mental health and well-being supports currently available from both the
 education and health/social care sectors should be more explicitly highlighted to senior school leaders.
- iii) Further research into what mental health support and resources are needed at individual,
 organisational and systems level to better support senior leaders in their role.
- iv) Greater clarity on the extent and quality of leadership development provision to specifically support
 leaders' well-being is needed. This is particularly important during periods of major education system level reforms; leadership is critical to support school and system improvement and ensuring ownership
 by practitioners.
- v) Further research charting changes over time in leaders' experience of their well-being can contribute
 to strengthening the evidence base in this area. This includes longitudinal research using both quantitative
 and qualitative methods, extending this research to include senior leaders from nursery and post-16
 educational settings and capturing this across the four nations of the UK to contribute to international
 data.

397 Strengths and limitations

398 This study builds on the evidence base of the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on educational settings and 399 extends this to senior school leaders during a period of "crisis leadership" in Wales and Northern Ireland. This is 400 part of a wider global study through the COVID-HL network, enabling international comparisons. There are 401 limitations to consider when interpreting the findings from this study. Whilst efforts were made to invite a range 402 of participants through publicly available school contact information and key education stakeholders to increase 403 the response rate, this study reports results obtained from a convenience sample of participants that chose to 404 complete the survey and may not represent the wider senior leadership population. Whilst this study extends our 405 understanding of the impact on school leaders, other educational practitioners are likely to have experienced 406 similar work-related pressures and capturing a wider range of roles and experiences would provide further context 407 to school settings, further research is required here. There is potential for response bias, and the reporting of 408 socially desirable responses. In addition, given the high levels of stress and exhaustion reported in this study, 409 selection bias is possible, the sample consists of more females, and it may be possible that more stressed or 410 exhausted female senior leaders may have chosen to participate in the survey. The cross-sectional self-report 411 survey was administered to a convenience sample of senior leaders in Wales and Northern Ireland at different 412 time points during the COVID-19 pandemic, thus, it would not be helpful to compare between countries. Further 413 longitudinal research using both quantitative and qualitative methods is required, obtaining a representative 414 sample and extending this research across the four nations of the United Kingdom.

415 **Conclusions**

Findings in this study suggest that senior school leaders in Wales and Northern Ireland reported high workloads, low well-being, depressive symptoms, and high work-related stress during the COVID-19 pandemic. Senior leaders also had high levels of exhaustion and psychosomatic complaints indicating burnout and were engaging in selfendangering working behaviours such as sacrificing sufficient sleep. Gender differences were observed, with

420 females reporting statistically higher exhaustion, psychosomatic complaints, and extensification of work (e.g. 421 extending working hours) than their male counterparts. These findings are concerning, not least because concerns 422 were raised prior to the pandemic regarding the well-being, mental health and working conditions of senior school 423 leaders across the UK, with experts warning of a "potential crisis in leadership in education" due to the high 424 numbers leaving the profession [4,10,13]. This has also been observed across other education sectors in the UK, 425 especially higher education [5]. It is likely the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated this, and further research is 426 required to examine the long-term impacts across school-level and other educational settings and contexts. It is 427 vital to understand the impact the COVID-19 pandemic had on specific aspects of school leaders' physical and 428 mental health to enable the education and health systems to respond with a targeted approach and appropriate 429 resources. This is especially relevant with all four nations of the UK having undergone, or are currently undergoing, 430 major education system-level reforms [72], including new national curricula and gualifications — for example, the 431 start of the new Curriculum for Wales from September 2022 onwards; leadership is critical to support school and 432 system improvement, as well as ensuring ownership by practitioners [73]. This evidence base can thus shape and 433 inform emerging policy and practice to directly address the working situation and well-being experiences of 434 leaders in the education system.

435 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the senior school leaders who gave up their time to participate in this research study, particularly during such a challenging period within their roles, we are grateful for their participation. We would like to thank the National Academy for Educational Leadership Wales for their support with this study. Finally, we would like to thank Professor Kevin Dadaczynski and Professor Orkan Okan for their invitation to join the COVID-HL network and their support and guidance with undertaking this research in Wales and Northern Ireland.

442 **References**

- 1. Crick T. Covid-19 and Digital Education: a Catalyst For Change? ITNOW. 2021 Feb 16;63(1):16–7.
- Watermeyer R, Crick T, Knight C, Goodall J. COVID-19 and digital disruption in UK universities: afflictions
 and affordances of emergency online migration. High Educ. 2021;81:623–41.
- 446 3. Marchant E, Griffiths L, Crick T, Fry R, Hollinghurst J, James M, et al. COVID-19 mitigation measures in
- 447 primary schools and association with infection and school staff wellbeing: An observational survey linked
- 448 with routine data in Wales, UK. PLoS One [Internet]. 2022 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Jul 5];17(2):e0264023.
- 449 Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0264023
- 4. Hulme M, Beauchamp G, Clarke L, Hamilton L. Collaboration in Times of Crisis: Leading UK Schools in the
 Early Stages of a Pandemic. Leadersh Policy Sch. 2023 Jan 2;22(1):161–80.
- 452 5. Watermeyer R, Crick T, Knight C. Digital disruption in the time of COVID-19: learning technologists'
 453 accounts of institutional barriers to online learning, teaching and assessment in UK universities. Int J Acad
 454 Dev. 2022 Apr 3;27(2):148–62.
- Marchant E, Todd C, James M, Crick T, Dwyer R, Brophy S. Primary school staff perspectives of school
 closures due to COVID-19, experiences of schools reopening and recommendations for the future: A
 qualitative survey in Wales. PLoS One [Internet]. 2021 Dec 2 [cited 2022 Jan 20];16(12):e0260396.
 Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0260396
- 459 7. Meinck S, Fraillon J, Strietholt R. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on education: International
 460 evidence from the Responses to Educational Disruption Survey (REDS) [Internet]. 2022. Available from:
 461 https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380398
- 462 8. UNESCO. One year into COVID-19 education disruption: Where do we stand? [Internet]. 2021. Available
 463 from: https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/one-year-covid-19-education-disruption-where-do-we-stand
- 464 9. McLeod S, Dulsky S. Resilience, Reorientation, and Reinvention: School Leadership During the Early
 465 Months of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Front Educ. 2021;6.
- Hamilton L, Beauchamp G, Hulme M, Harvey JA, Clarke L. Challenges for school leadership and
 management in the four nations of the United Kingdom during the pandemic: conceptual shifts and
 implications for future thinking. In: Research Handbook on Public Leadership. Edward Elgar Publishing;
 2023. p. 80–96.

470 11. Welsh Government. Research Study on the Attractiveness of Teaching, and Retention of Teachers. 2019.

- 471 12. Support E. Teacher Wellbeing Index 2019. 2019.
- 47213.Davies AJ, Milton E, Connolly M;, Barrance R. Headteacher Recruitment, Retention and Professional
- 473 Development in Wales: Challenges and Opportunities. Wales J Educ [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2023 Aug
 474 16];20(2):204–24. Available from: https://doi.org/10.16922/wje.20.2.11
- 475 14. Phillips S, Sen D, McNamee R. Prevalence and causes of self-reported work-related stress in head
 476 teachers. Occup Med (Chic III). 2007 Aug 1;57(5):367–76.
- 477 15. Education Support. Teacher Wellbeing Index 2022 [Internet]. 2022. Available from:
- 478 https://www.educationsupport.org.uk/media/zoga2r13/teacher-wellbeing-index-2022.pdf
- 479 16. Agyapong B, Obuobi-Donkor G, Burback L, Wei Y. Stress, Burnout, Anxiety and Depression among
 480 Teachers: A Scoping Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Aug 27;19(17):10706.
- 481 17. Scott S, Limbert C, Sykes P. Work-related stress among headteachers in Wales: Prevalence, sources, and
 482 solutions. Educ Manag Adm Leadersh. 2021 Nov 15;174114322110546.
- Lücker P, Kästner A, Hannich A, Schmeyers L, Lücker J, Hoffmann W. Stress, Coping and Considerations of
 Leaving the Profession—A Cross-Sectional Online Survey of Teachers and School Principals after Two
 Years of the Pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(23).
- Persson R, Leo U, Arvidsson I, Håkansson C, Nilsson K, Österberg K. Prevalence of exhaustion symptoms
 and associations with school level, length of work experience and gender: a nationwide cross-sectional
 study of Swedish principals. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1).
- 489 20. Harris A, Jones M. COVID 19–school leadership in disruptive times. Sch Leadersh Manag. 2020;40(4):243–
 490 7.
- Thomson P, Greany T, Martindale N. The trust deficit in England: emerging research evidence about
 school leaders and the pandemic. J Educ Adm Hist. 2021 Oct 2;53(3–4):296–300.
- 493 22. Dhuey E, Smith J. How important are school principals in the production of student achievement? Can J
 494 Econ. 2014;47(2).
- 495 23. Estyn. Healthy and happy School impact on pupils' health and wellbeing [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 Aug
 496 18]. Available from: https://www.estyn.gov.wales/system/files/2022-

497 01/Healthy%2520and%2520Happy%2520report%2520En_0.pdf

- 498 24. COVID-HL Network. COVID Health Literacy Network [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from:
 499 https://covid-hl.eu/
- 500 25. Dadaczynski K, Okan O, Messer M. COVID-19 Health Literacy School Principals Survey (COVID-HL: School
 501 Principal). Questionnaire and Scale Documentation. 2021.
- Lau SSS, Shum ENY, Man JOT, Cheung ETH, Amoah PA, Leung AYM, et al. Teachers' Well-Being and
 Associated Factors during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Study in Hong Kong, China. Int J
 Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(22).
- Lau SSS, Shum ENY, Man JOT, Cheung ETH, Amoah PA, Leung AYM, et al. A Cross-Sectional Study of the
 Perceived Stress, Well-Being and Their Relations with Work-Related Behaviours among Hong Kong School
 Leaders during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(23).
- Betschart S, Sandmeier A, Skedsmo G, Hascher T, Okan O, Dadaczynski K. The Importance of School
 Leaders' Attitudes and Health Literacy to the Implementation of a Health-Promoting Schools Approach.
 Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Nov 11;19(22):14829.
- 511 29. Duong T Van, Nguyen MH, Lai C-F, Chen S-C, Dadaczynski K, Okan O, et al. COVID-19-related fear, stress
 512 and depression in school principals: impacts of symptoms like COVID-19, information confusion, health513 related activity limitations, working hours, sense of coherence and health literacy. Ann Med. 2022 Dec
 514 31;54(1):2064–77.
- S15 30. Leksy K, Wójciak M, Gawron G, Muster R, Dadaczynski K, Okan O. Work-Related Stress of Polish School
 Principals during the COVID-19 Pandemic as a Risk Factor for Burnout. Int J Environ Res Public Health.
 2023 Jan 1;20(1):805.

518 31. Todd C, Christian D, Tyler R, Stratton G, Brophy S. Developing HAPPEN (Health and Attainment of Pupils
519 involved in a Primary Education Network): Working in partnership to improve child health and education.
520 Perspect Public Health. 2016;136(3).

32. HAPPEN Wales. HAPPEN - Network [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from: https://happenwales.co.uk/

33. Welsh Government. Curriculum for Wales - Hwb [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from:
https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales

- 525 34. National Academy for Educational Leadership Wales. Home National Leadership Wales [Internet]. 2023
 526 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from: https://nael.cymru/
- Welsh Government. Free School Meals in Wales: Information for Parents and Guardians [Internet]. 2019
 [cited 2020 Mar 30]. Available from: https://gov.wales/free-school-meals-frequently-asked-questions
- 529 36. Education Authority. Apply for Free School Meals / Uniform Grants | Education Authority Northern
 530 Ireland [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from: https://www.eani.org.uk/financial-help/free 531 school-meals-uniform-grants/apply-for-free-school-meals-uniform-grants
- de Bruin A, Picavet HSJ, Nossikov A. Health interview surveys: towards international harmonization of
 methods and instruments. 1996.
- 38. Lampert T, Schmidtke C, Borgmann L-S, Poethko-Müller C, Kuntz B. Subjektive Gesundheit bei
 Erwachsenen in Deutschland. J Heal Monit. 2018;3(2).
- World Health Organization. Wellbeing measures in primary health care/the DepCare Project: report on a
 WHO meeting: Stockholm, Sweden, 12–13 February 1998 [Internet]. Stockholm; 1998. Available from:
 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/349766
- 539 40. Topp CW, Dinesen Østergaard S, Søndergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: A Systematic
 540 Review of the Literature. Pyschother Psychosom. 2015;84:167–76.
- 541 41. Omani-Samani R, Maroufizadeh S, Almasi-Hashiani A, Sepidarkish M, Amini P. The WHO-5 Well-Being
 542 Index: A Validation Study in People with Infertility. Iran J Public Health. 2019 Nov;48(11):2058–64.
- Vogt K, Jenny GJ, Bauer GF. Comprehensibility, manageability and meaningfulness at work: Construct
 validity of a scale measuring work-related sense of coherence. SA J Ind Psychol. 2013;39(1).
- 545 43. Schaufeli W, De Witte H, Desart S. BURNOUT ASSESSMENT TOOL 1 Version 2.0-July 2020. KU Leuven,
 546 Belgium; 2020.
- Krause A, Baeriswyl S, Berset M, Deci N, Dettmers J, Dorsemagen C, et al. Selbstgefährdung als Indikator
 für Mängel bei der Gestaltung mobil-flexibler Arbeit: Zur Entwicklung eines Erhebungsinstruments.
- 549 Wirtschaftspsychologie [Internet]. 2015;(4):49–59. Available from: http://www.psychologie-
- aktuell.com/index.php?id=184&tx_ttnews%5Btt_news%5D=3833&tx_ttnews%5BbackPid%5D=185&cHas
 h=d51d629405#marker4
- 552 45. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav.

553 1983;24(4).

- 554 Schneider EE, Schönfelder S, Domke-Wolf M, Wessa M. Measuring stress in clinical and nonclinical 46. 555 subjects using a German adaptation of the Perceived Stress Scale. Int J Clin Heal Psychol [Internet]. 2020 556 May 1 [cited 2023 Jun 27];20(2):173–81. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32550857/ 557 47. Dettmers J, Deci N, Baeriswyl S, Berset M, Krause A. Self-endangering work behavior. In: Healthy at Work: 558 Interdisciplinary Perspectives. 2016. 559 48. Sundaram N, Abramsky T, Oswald WE, Cook S, Halliday KE, Nguipdop-Djomo P, et al. Implementation of 560 COVID-19 Preventive Measures and Staff Well-Being in a Sample of English Schools 2020-2021. J Sch 561 Health. 2023 Apr 30;93(4):266-78.
- 49. Office for National Statistics. Measuring national well-being: international comparisons Office for
 National Statistics [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 Aug 16]. Available from:
- https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/wellbeing/datasets/measuringnationalwellbei
 nginternationalcomparisons
- 566 50. Education Support. Teacher Wellbeing Index 2020 [Internet]. 2020. Available from:
- 567 https://www.educationsupport.org.uk/media/yfrhfjca/teacher_wellbeing_index_2020.pdf
- 568 51. Education Support. Teacher Wellbeing Index 2021 [Internet]. 2021. Available from:

569 https://www.educationsupport.org.uk/media/qzna4gxb/twix-2021.pdf

- 570 52. Santomauro DF, Mantilla Herrera AM, Shadid J, Zheng P, Ashbaugh C, Pigott DM, et al. Global prevalence
- and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the
 COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet. 2021 Nov;398(10312):1700–12.
- 573 53. Naghieh A, Montgomery P, Bonell CP, Thompson M, Aber JL. Organisational interventions for improving
 574 wellbeing and reducing work-related stress in teachers. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Apr 8;
- 575 54. Allen R, Benhenda A, Jerrim J, Sims S. New evidence on teachers' working hours in England. An empirical
 576 analysis of four datasets. Res Pap Educ. 2021;36(6).
- 577 55. Jerrim J, Allen R, Sims S. How did the COVID-19 pandemic affect the wellbeing of teachers at work? 578 [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from:
- 579 https://johnjerrim.files.wordpress.com/2022/11/working_paper_pandemic_nov_22_v3.pdf
- 580 56. NAHT. Gone for good: leaders who are lost to the teaching profession [Internet]. 2022. Available from:

581		https://www.naht.org.uk/Portals/0/PDF's/Campaigns/NAHT-Retention-rate-report-FINAL.pdf
582 583 584	57.	Seith E. Welsh heads vote to continue industrial action over pay [Internet]. tes magazine. 2023. Available from: https://www.tes.com/magazine/news/general/welsh-headteachers-vote-continue-industrial-action-over-pay
585 586	58.	Robbie Meredith. Teacher strikes: Five NI unions to take action on 26 April - BBC News. BBC News [Internet]. 2023 Apr 3 [cited 2023 Aug 18]; Available from: https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-65166921
587	59.	Lazarus RS, Folkman S. Transactional theory and research on emotions and coping. Eur J Pers. 1987;1(3).
588 589	60.	Kreuzfeld S, Seibt R. Gender-Specific Aspects of Teachers Regarding Working Behavior and Early Retirement. Front Psychol. 2022;13.
590 591 592	61.	Sandmeier A, Baeriswyl S, Krause A, Muehlhausen J. Work until you drop: Effects of work overload, prolonging working hours, and autonomy need satisfaction on exhaustion in teachers. Teach Teach Educ. 2022;118.
593	62.	Maslach C, Jackson SE. The measurement of experienced burnout. J Organ Behav. 1981 Apr;2(2):99–113.
594 595	63.	Gyllensten K, Palmer S. The role of gender in workplace stress: A critical literature review. Vol. 64, Health Education Journal. 2005.
596 597 598	64.	Butler N, Wilson C, Bates R, Quigg Z, Ashworth E. Sefton School Mental Wellbeing and Resilience Survey 2021 [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://www.ljmu.ac.uk/-/media/phi-reports/pdf/2023-01-sefton-school-mental-wellbeing-and-resilience-survey.pdf
599 600	65.	Schliep KC, Mumford SL, Vladutiu CJ, Ahrens KA, Perkins NJ, Sjaarda LA, et al. Perceived Stress, Reproductive Hormones, and Ovulatory Function. Epidemiology. 2015 Mar;26(2):177–84.
601 602 603	66.	Palagini L, Bruno RM, Cheng P, Mauri M, Taddei S, Ghiadoni L, et al. Relationship between insomnia symptoms, perceived stress and coping strategies in subjects with arterial hypertension: psychological factors may play a modulating role. Sleep Med. 2016 Mar;19:108–15.
604 605 606	67.	Prior A, Vestergaard M, Larsen KK, Fenger-Grøn M. Association between perceived stress, multimorbidity and primary care health services: a Danish population-based cohort study. BMJ Open. 2018 Feb 24;8(2):e018323.
607	68.	Bakker AB, Demerouti E. The Job Demands-Resources model: State of the art. Vol. 22, Journal of

608 Managerial Psychology. 2007.

- 609 69. Arthur L. What makes teachers decide to stay in challenging schools? | The British Academy [Internet].
 610 The British Academy. 2020 [cited 2023 Aug 18]. Available from:
- https://www.thebritishacademy.ac.uk/blog/summer-showcase-2020-what-makes-teachers-decide-staychallenging-schools/
- 70. Zhou T, Xu C, Wang C, Sha S, Wang Z, Zhou Y, et al. Burnout and well-being of healthcare workers in the
 post-pandemic period of COVID-19: a perspective from the job demands-resources model. BMC Health
 Serv Res. 2022 Dec 2;22(1):284.
- 616 71. Roffey S. Pupil wellbeing -Teacher wellbeing: Two sides of the same coin? Educ Child Psychol.
 617 2012;29(4):8–17.
- Knight C, Conn C, Crick T, Brooks S. Divergences in the framing of inclusive education across the UK: a
 four nations critical policy analysis. Educ Rev. 2023 Jun 22;1–17.
- Harris A, Jones M, Crick T. Curriculum leadership: a critical contributor to school and system
 improvement. Sch Leadersh Manag. 2020 Jan 1;40(1):1–4.

622