

**COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and conspiracy beliefs in Togo: Findings from two cross-sectional surveys**

Herve Akinochi<sup>1\*</sup>; Ken Brackstone<sup>2\*</sup>; Nia Eastment<sup>2\*</sup>; Jean-Paul Fantognon<sup>3\*</sup>; Michael G Head<sup>2,4,5\*</sup>

\* In order to demonstrate equity among co-authors, individuals are listed in alphabetical order by surname

1. Center for Research and Opinion Polls, Lomé, Togo

2. Clinical Informatics Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

3. Ministry of Health, of Public Hygiene, and Universal Health Coverage , Lomé, Togo

4. School of Medicine, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana

5. School of Public Health, University for Health and Allied Sciences, Hohoe, Ghana

Correspondence author – Dr Michael Head, [M.Head@soton.ac.uk](mailto:M.Head@soton.ac.uk)

## Abstract

Togo is a low-income country in West Africa. Estimates suggest that only 25% of the Togolese population have received at least one dose of any COVID-19 vaccine by June 2023. Whilst the early phase of the pandemic vaccine rollout across 2021 was dominated by higher-income countries taking much of the available supply, there have long been sufficient supplies for all nations. Thus, there remains a need to understand reasons for low uptake in countries such as Togo. Two cross-sectional telephone surveys of Togo residents were conducted in December 2020 and January 2022. These surveys asked questions around perceptions of COVID-19, trust in public health messaging, belief in conspiracy theories, and hesitancy around COVID-19 vaccination. Analyses here focus on unvaccinated respondents. Across Survey 1 (N = 1430) and Survey 2 (N = 212), 65% of respondents were men, 47% lived in Lomé (capital city of Togo), 25% completed higher education, 67% were married, and 69% were Christian. Between Surveys 1 and 2, overall hesitancy (33.0% to 58.0%) and beliefs in conspiracy theories (29% to 65%) significantly increased. Using logistics regression, governmental mistrust was the strongest significant predictor of hesitancy (OR: 2.90). Participants who indicated agreement or uncertainty with at least one conspiracy belief also predicted greater vaccine hesitancy (OR: 1.36). Proactive approaches to public health messaging, that better understand reasons for hesitancy across different demographics, can support uptake of COVID-19 vaccinations within Togo. This includes health promotion campaigns that use locally and nationally trusted knowledge providers (e.g. the health service or religious leaders) for greatest effectiveness at reducing impact of misinformation. Key future research should focus around knowledge gaps and areas of mistrust created by the pandemic, such as the impact of misinformation upon routine immunisation uptake.

**Keywords** – vaccine hesitancy; misinformation; infodemic; COVID-19; health promotion

## Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic, caused by a novel coronavirus which spread across multiple countries in early 2020, was likely responsible for an estimated 18.2m excess global deaths by the end of 2021 (1). The sustained spread of the virus had a huge impact upon routine health services globally (2), with extensive and long-lasting socio-economic consequences. Togo is a lower-income country with approximately 8.7m population in West Africa, bordered by Ghana to the west, Benin to the east, Burkina Faso to the north, and the Atlantic Ocean to the south. As of June 2023, the country has reported 39,503 confirmed cases of COVID-19, with 290 confirmed deaths (3). These numbers are likely to be a significant underestimate, with evidence showing extensive under-reporting from sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) countries amid a likely higher age-adjusted infection fatality rate (4), and also worsened mortality among hospitalised patients (5). However, it would still appear that most countries on the African continent did not experience high overall death rates observed in high-income settings such as the United Kingdom or United States of America. There are likely to be many contributory factors to the lower mortality in West Africa, including a younger population, improved preparedness (6), and better outbreak management (7).

By mid-2023, there is still a significant global burden of COVID-19, but it is more manageable. Most countries are looking toward a “post-pandemic” environment, with case management of COVID-19 integrated within routine health systems. However, population-level COVID-19 vaccine uptake remains low across many lower-income countries, with estimates that only 25% of the Togolese population have received at least one dose of any COVID-19 vaccine (by June 2023). (3) Whilst the early phase of the pandemic vaccine rollout across 2021 was dominated by higher-income countries taking much of the available supply (8), there have long been sufficient supplies for all nations. Thus, there remains a need to understand reasons for low uptake in countries such as Togo. This can help us to learn lesson from the COVID-19 pandemic, here developing a deeper understanding of hesitancy and mistrust ahead of any future similar public health emergency.

Vaccine hesitancy in West Africa has been associated with governmental dissatisfaction and mistrust, particularly of government messaging, for example a boycott of the polio vaccine in Northern Nigeria in 2003-2004 (9). More recent surveys administered in (SSA) countries such as Malawi, Mali, and Nigeria, found that dissatisfaction with the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic predicted hesitancy (10). This pattern was also found in Ghana, where supporters of opposition political parties demonstrated greater hesitancy to receive the COVID-19 vaccine than supporters of the party currently in government (11). Afrobarometer surveys found that Togolese citizens distrust in their government to ensure the safety of vaccines has decreased during the pandemic (62% in January 2021 to 47% in March 2022), but were overall satisfied with the government's handling of the covid-19 crisis (12,13). Thus, individual differences in political trust and mistrust may be associated with vaccine hesitancy in Togo. Studies have also shown that COVID-19-related misinformation is common in West Africa. For example, a nationwide survey conducted in Ghana found that over 50% of citizens believed, or expressed uncertainty, that COVID-19 was a biological weapon designed by the Chinese government, and that the virus was designed specifically to reduce or control the population.

Previously, our team published two vaccine hesitancy studies in Ghana, one of which took place electronically across four time points (11), and a one-off survey carried out in-person in a rural location (14). To date, there has been little COVID-19 research focusing on Togo. This study covers descriptions of vaccine hesitancy from two national surveys with Togo citizens. A portion of the Survey 1 data has been made available to policymakers previously for their consideration and decision-making (15). Here, we provide further insight into both surveys, seeking to identify characteristics associated with vaccine hesitancy using socioeconomic and demographic variables, and to describe knowledge and attitudes toward, and the impact of, the COVID-19 pandemic in Togo. To widen access to our results within Togo and other French-speaking nations, the manuscript is also available in French (S1, translated by author HA).

## **Methods**

### **Design, participants, and procedure**

Two nationally representative telephone surveys were administered (S2). Survey 1 was conducted across 1-16 December 2020 – approximately 6 months after the first case of COVID-19 was reported in Togo and prior to any vaccines being available in-country. Survey 2 was implemented across 11-28 January 2022, approximately 9 months after COVID-19 vaccines began to be rolled out in Togo.

Prior to data collection, a power analysis was conducted to determine the appropriate sample size. We assumed a confidence level of 95%, and a margin of error of approximately 3-5%, and found that the necessary sample was between 385 and 1067 participants in each survey. We achieved these sample sizes in both surveys. However, when considering just the participants who were unvaccinated in Survey 2, we fell short of the required number.

### **Ethical approval**

See S3 for confirmation of ethics approval. Participants provided verbal informed consent prior to taking part in the survey. They were provided with verbal participant information during the initial telephone call and offered written information via email or private message. They were then given time to consider whether they wished to take part. All participants confirmed that they were over the age of 18 before proceeding with the survey. The study received ethical approvals from the Togo Bioethics Research Committee (reference 006/2020/CRBS).

### **Measures**

**Vaccine hesitancy.** In Survey 1, participants were asked: “When the COVID-19 vaccine becomes available to you, would you like to get vaccinated?” (Yes, No, I don’t know). In Survey 2, participants initially indicated whether they had previously received any doses of the COVID-19 vaccine. Among participants who indicated that they had not received any doses, participants were subsequently asked:

“When the COVID-19 vaccine becomes available to you, would you like to get vaccinated?” (Yes, No, I don’t know).

**Governmental mistrust.** Participants next indicated the extent in which they agreed with the statement: “I have trust in the Togolese government’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic” (1 = *strongly disagree*; 5 = *strongly agree*;  $M = 3.90$ ;  $SD = 1.11$ ). Mistrust was coded by dichotomising participants’ responses (strongly disagree, somewhat disagree, or undecided).

**Conspiracy beliefs.** Participants indicated whether they believed in eight COVID-19-related conspiracy beliefs recorded to be circulating in SSA.(11) They selected “yes” if they agreed with the belief, “unsure” if they were uncertain about the belief, or “no” if they did not agree with the belief (e.g. “To the best of your knowledge... [COVID-19] is designed to reduce or control the population”).

**Sources of information.** Participants were presented with several places where they may have sought out COVID-19-related information and the pandemic response. These included traditional news sources (TV/radio), the Ministry of Health and health service, government officials, and the internet (e.g. news websites, blogs, Google). Participants selected the sources that they typically used to receive information about COVID-19 and vaccines.

**Demographic variables.** Finally, participants indicated their age (coded into <40 and 40>], gender, religion (Christianity, Muslim, other, none) and marital status (never married, cohabitation without marriage, married, separated but not divorced, divorced, widow/widower). Socioeconomic variables covered education (high [university degree or higher] and low [senior secondary or lower]) and region (Lomé, Maritime, Plateaux, Central, Kara, Savannah).

**Data management and analysis**

Data were examined for errors, cleaned, and exported into IBM SPSS Statistics 28 for further analysis. Descriptive statistics summarized respondents' socio-demographics. Inferential statistics were conducted in three phases. First, temporal trends in hesitancy and population prevalence were compared between each survey. Hesitancy was coded by dichotomising participants' responses (no, I don't know) to the question: "When the COVID-19 vaccine becomes available to you, would you like to get vaccinated?" Chi-Square  $\chi^2$  tests were conducted to assess for categorical differences in hesitancy rates and conspiracy beliefs between Surveys 1-2. Descriptive analyses were also conducted to summarize conspiracy beliefs and self-reported sources of vaccine-related information.

Bivariate logistic regressions assessed relationships between individual predictors and vaccine hesitancy. A combined logistic regression considered all the predictors in a single model, providing the strictest test of potential associations with vaccine hesitancy. Vaccine hesitancy and its associated predictors were rescaled to 0 or 1, which allowed for direct comparison of effect sizes.

## **Results**

### *Participants and socio-demographic characteristics*

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics of participants from Survey 1 ( $N = 1430$ ) and Survey 2 ( $N = 212$ ). The majority of participants across both surveys were men (66.0%) vs. women (34.0%;  $M_{age} = 35.74$ ;  $SD = 12.25$ ;  $Range = 18-84$ ). The majority of participants across surveys lived in Lomé (47.4%) and Maritime (18.0%). Further, 24.7% completed higher education compared to 75.5% who completed to senior secondary or lower, and 66.8% reported being married or in a relationship compared to 33.2% who were not in a relationship. By religion, 69.2% of participants were Christian compared to Muslim or other/none (16.3% and 14.5%, respectively). Finally, 72.8% reported care responsibilities for under 18s or older adults.

174 **Table 1:** Descriptive statistics of participants from both surveys. Empty cells indicate variables not  
 175 collected.

	Combined (N = 1642)	2020 (N = 1430)	2022 (N = 212)
	% (n)		
Gender			
Men	66.0 (1084)	66.0 (944)	66.0 (140)
Women	34.0 (558)	34.0 (486)	34.0 (72)
Age			
< 40	66.2 (1087)	64.5 (922)	77.8 (165)
40 >	33.8 (555)	35.5 (508)	22.2 (47)
Marital status			
Single/separated	33.2 (544)	31.1 (444)	47.2 (100)
Married/in a relationship	66.8 (1097)	68.9 (985)	52.8 (112)
Region			
Central	7.9 (129)	7.6 (109)	9.4 (20)
Kara	8.3 (136)	8.8 (126)	4.7 (10)
Lomé	47.4 (778)	49.2 (704)	34.9 (74)
Maritime	18.0 (295)	16.1 (230)	30.7 (65)
Plateaux	12.7 (209)	12.3 (176)	15.6 (33)
Savannah	5.8 (95)	5.9 (85)	4.7 (10)



**Highest education**

Senior secondary or lower	75.5 (1237)	75.9 (1085)	71.7 (152)
Higher	24.7 (405)	24.1 (345)	28.3 (60)

**Religion**

Christian	69.2 (1135)	70.0 (999)	64.2 (136)
Muslim	16.3 (267)	16.2 (231)	17.0 (36)
Other or none	14.5 (237)	13.8 (197)	18.9 (40)

**Care responsibilities**

No	27.2 (446)	24.4 (348)	46.2 (98)
Yes	72.8 (1194)	75.6 (1080)	53.8 (114)

**Get vaccinated?**

No	26.8 (436)	25.7 (368)	34.2 (68)
I don't know	8.6 (140)	6.4 (91)	24.1 (48)
Yes	64.6 (1053)	67.8 (970)	41.7 (83)

**Sources of information**

Mass media (TV/radio)	94.4 (1550)	97.4 (1393)	74.1 (157)
THS or health workers	58.2 (955)	63.6 (909)	21.7 (46)
Government officials	33.4 (549)	37.0 (529)	9.4 (20)
Internet	25.6 (421)	26.7 (382)	18.4 (39)

*Sources of COVID-19 vaccine-related information*

The most commonly accessed sources of COVID-19 vaccine-related information were mass media (e.g. newspapers, radio, TV; 94.4%), the health service (58.2%), government officials (33.4%), and the internet (e.g. Google, news websites, blogs; 25.6%).

*Conspiracy beliefs*

Overall, 50.9% (554/1088) of participants indicated agreement with at least one conspiracy belief ( $M = 0.57$ ,  $SD = 1.03$ ; Table 2). The most commonly believed conspiracy beliefs included: "... is plague caused by sins and disbelief of human beings" (261/1642; 15.9%), "... a biological weapon designed by the government of China" (157/1642; 9.6%), and "... designed to reduce or control the population" (151/1642; 9.2%). A Pearson's Chi-Squared test revealed a significant association between time and conspiracy beliefs, in which the proportion of respondents who indicated agreement increased from 29.2% (CI: 26.6%-31.8%) in Survey 1 (December 2020) to 64.6% (95% CI: 57.9%-71.3%) in Survey 2 (January 2022;  $\chi^2(1) = 103.85$ ,  $p < .001$ ; Figure 1).

**Fig 1.** Breakdown of yes, no, and unsure responses across two nationally representative surveys conducted in Togo in December 2020 and January 2022.

**Table 2.** Breakdown of COVID-19 misinformation beliefs in Surveys 2 and 3. *Note:* Percentages may not equal 100 due to incomplete questions.

Combined	2020 (N = 1430)	2022 (N = 212)
(N = 1642)		

		% (n)	
<hr/>			
<b>A biological weapon designed by the government of China</b>			
Yes	9.6 (157)	8.2 (117)	18.9 (40)
No	59.0 (969)	59.6 (852)	55.2 (117)
I don't know	31.4 (515)	32.2 (460)	25.9 (55)
<b>A virus designed by the pharmaceutical companies to sell their drugs</b>			
Yes	5.4 (89)	2.9 (41)	22.6 (48)
No	58.0 (951)	62.7 (896)	25.9 (55)
I don't know	36.6 (601)	34.4 (492)	51.4 (109)
<b>An exaggeration by news media to cause fear and panic</b>			
Yes	8.9 (146)	7.7 (110)	17.0 (36)
No	64.7 (1061)	68.9 (984)	36.3 (77)
I don't know	26.4 (434)	23.4 (335)	46.7 (99)
<b>A plague caused by sins and disbelief in human beings</b>			
Yes	15.9 (261)	15.9 (227)	16.0 (34)
No	61.9 (1016)	63.4 (907)	51.4 (109)

I don't know	22.5 (365)	20.7 (296)	32.5 (69)
--------------	------------	------------	-----------

**Designed to reduce or control the population**

Yes	9.2 (151)	8.3 (118)	15.6 (33)
-----	-----------	-----------	-----------

No	57.0 (935)	57.7 (824)	52.4 (111)
----	------------	------------	------------

I don't know	33.3 (555)	34.1 (487)	32.1 (68)
--------------	------------	------------	-----------

**A biological weapon designed by the US government**

Yes	5.6 (92)	1.3 (18)	34.9 (74)
-----	----------	----------	-----------

No	59.5 (977)	63.1 (901)	35.8 (76)
----	------------	------------	-----------

I don't know	34.9 (572)	35.7 (510)	29.2 (62)
--------------	------------	------------	-----------

**A result of 5G technology being installed in the country**

Yes	2.4 (40)	1.1 (16)	11.3 (24)
-----	----------	----------	-----------

No	65.5 (1075)	68.2 (974)	47.6 (101)
----	-------------	------------	------------

I don't know	32.1 (526)	30.7 (439)	41.0 (87)
--------------	------------	------------	-----------

**Transmitted by radio waves**

Yes	0.5 (8)	0.1 (2)	2.8 (6)
-----	---------	---------	---------

No	74.8 (1227)	78.1 (1116)	52.4 (111)
----	-------------	-------------	------------

I don't know	24.7 (406)	21.8 (311)	44.8 (95)
--------------	------------	------------	-----------

---

Next, 48.4% (794/1642) of participants indicated uncertainty about at least one COVID-19-related conspiracy beliefs ( $M = 2.42$ ,  $SD = 2.99$ ). The most common conspiracy beliefs included: "... a virus designed by the pharmaceutical industry to sell their drugs" (601/1642; 36.6%), "... is a biological weapon caused by the US government" (572/1642; 34.9%), and "... designed to reduce or control the population" (555/1642; 33.8%). A Pearson's Chi-Squared test revealed a significant association between time and conspiracy beliefs, in which the proportion of respondents increased from 43.5% (CI: 40.9%-46.1%) in Survey 1 (December 2020) to 81.1% (95% CI: 74.4%-87.8%) in Survey 2 (January 2022;  $\chi^2(1) = 104.72$ ,  $p < .001$ ; Figure 1).

### *Vaccine hesitancy*

A Pearson's Chi-Squared test revealed a significant association (Figure 1) between time and vaccine hesitancy ( $\chi^2(1) = 52.23$ ,  $p < .001$ ), in which overall hesitancy increased from 32.5% (CI: 29.9%-35.1%) in Survey 1 (December 2020) to 58.0% (95% CI: 51.3%-64.7%) in Survey 2 (January 2022).

Table 3 shows the combined logistic regression model of factors contributing to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. Governmental mistrust was the strongest predictor of hesitancy in the model (OR: 2.90; 95% CI: 2.23-3.79;  $p < .001$ ) (Figure 1). Further, participants who indicated agreement or uncertainty with at least one conspiracy belief (i.e., participants who ticked "yes" to indicate agreement or "I don't know" to indicate uncertainty) predicted greater vaccine hesitancy compared to participants who did not indicate agreement or uncertainty (OR: 1.36; 95% CI: 1.07-1.72;  $p = .010$ ).

223

224 **Table 3.** Combined logistic regression model of factors contributing to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy.  $N =$ 225 1642.  $R^2 = 0.110$ .

	OR	p-value	95% CI
Aged 40+ (ref. = 18-39)	1.097	.446	0.865 – 1.390
Women (ref. = men)	1.225	.080	0.976 – 1.538
Region (ref. = Lomé)			
Central	0.709	.118	0.461 – 1.091
Kara	0.582	.015	0.377 – 0.899
Maritime	1.130	.416	0.842 – 1.516
Plateaux	0.955	.788	0.681 – 1.339
Savannah	0.450	.003	0.264 – 0.765
Higher education (ref. = lower)	0.866	.285	0.665 – 1.128
Islam faith or other (ref. = Christianity)	1.333	.018	1.051 – 1.689

Governmental mistrust (ref. = low mistrust)	2.904	< .001	2.227 – 3.788
Care responsibilities for adults or children (ref. = none)	0.880	.302	0.689 – 1.122
Conspiracy beliefs or uncertainty (ref. = none)	1.359	.010	1.074 – 1.719
Channels of COVID-19 information			
Mass media (e.g., radio, newspapers, TV)	0.738	.189	0.468 – 1.162
Togo Health Service or health workers	1.145	.254	0.907 – 1.446
Government officials	0.812	.095	0.636 – 1.037
Internet (e.g., Google, news websites, blogs)	1.390	.016	1.063 – 1.818

---

There were no significant predictors of vaccine hesitancy among participants who used the health service (OR: 1.15; 95% CI: 0.91-1.45;  $p = .25$ ), the mass media (OR: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.47-1.16;  $p = .189$ ), or government officials (OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.64-1.04;  $p = .095$ ) for COVID-19 vaccine-related information compared to participants who reported not using these platforms. However, participants who reported using internet webpages (e.g., news websites, blogs, Google) as a source of vaccine-related information

were significantly more likely to report vaccine hesitancy than those who did not use the internet (OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.06-1.82;  $p = .016$ ).

Finally, there were several significant demographic and socio-demographic factors. Greater hesitancy was observed among Muslim participants compared to Christian participants (OR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.05-1.69;  $p = .018$ ), and significantly lower hesitancy among participants living in the Kara (OR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.38-0.90;  $p = .015$ ) and Savannah (OR: 0.45; 95% CI: 0.26-0.76;  $p = .003$ ) regions of Togo compared to Lomé. There was also marginally higher hesitancy among females compared to male participants (OR: 1.23; 95% CI: 0.97-1.53;  $p = .080$ ) (Figure 2).

**Fig 2.** Combined logistic regression model of factors contributing to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy ( $N = 1138$ ,  $R^2 = 0.144$ )

## Discussion

This study describes evidence of changes in overall levels of vaccine hesitancy in Togo across two points in time during the COVID-19 pandemic response. Hesitancy increased between December 2020 and January 2022. Survey 2 was after COVID-19 vaccines became available in Togo, with the associated health promotion activity that came with the vaccine roll out. Belief or uncertainty around key conspiracy theories also increased between surveys 1 and 2. Among key groups more likely to express hesitancy included Muslims, females, individuals who received COVID-19 information from internet sources, and individuals who expressed uncertainty about commonly-circulated COVID-19 misinformation beliefs.

Our results here show that presence of conspiracy theories can have an impact upon public perceptions of vaccination. There is no 5G technology set up in Togo – despite this, around one-third of participants here



indicated they were unsure about whether 5G was responsible for the spread of COVID-19. During the pandemic, the term ‘infodemic’ was used to describe the prevalence of both too much, and false, information which resulted in, for example, the WHO African Region setting up an Infodemic Response Alliance (16). The scope of this Alliance is to monitor and proactively counter misinformation, conspiracy theories and rumours, thus supporting health service stakeholders with their engagement with the general public. The monkeypox public health emergency also saw an ‘infodemic’, with significant quantities of misinformation (17). This can have a negative impact on any health systems response with individuals feeling stigmatised and reluctant to seek healthcare.

Trust in governance has emerged as an important factor around public health messaging and infection control during the COVID-19 pandemic (18). Our results from Ghana showed that political allegiance has a role to play in confidence around vaccination (11). Where the government is the source of any health promotion messaging, this may be more willingly received by populations who voted for them. Opposition voters may put less trust in that messaging . , Consequently, there should be careful consideration around who communicates the public health messaging, and how it can have the best possible impact. Beyond the pandemic, vaccine confidence around routine immunisation will need to be monitored and new avenues explored around how to counter any misinformation.

Within one year of their implementation, the COVID-19 vaccines are estimated to have averted between 15-20m deaths globally (19). For Togo, across 2020 and 2021, there were 248 confirmed deaths. However, modelling estimates excess death numbers of around 9030, a ratio difference of 36.4 between confirmed and excess mortality (1). There undoubtedly will be a significant number of COVID-19 deaths that will never be recognised in official statistics. A lack of infrastructure to collect routine data, including birth and death registers, mean that many people in lower-income settings, including Togo, never make it into official statistics (20). Given these limitations, it is very difficult to provide accurate real-time information during a public health emergency, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Ahead of the next

pandemic or high-profile outbreak, the implementation of improved routine data collection systems would allow for better-informed policy and planning.

One of the strengths of our study is the relatively large number of respondents, and that it covers two time points, rather than a one-off snapshot of public opinion. These time points also covered pre- and post- the introduction of the COVID-19 vaccines. However, the need for internet or telephone access may have limited how representative the sampled population are to some extent. Certain groups will be under-represented, including those who reside in rural areas and people of lower socio-economic status – the so-called Last Mile populations (21). There will likely be some response bias, given respondents were required to consent and take part via random selection from a phone call or have seen the internet survey and chosen to complete it. However, at the times the survey was completed, there were national guidelines in place around population movements, and thus remote approaches were more feasible, locally-acceptable, and more cost effective than in-person data collection around specific communities. We were also able to gain responses nationally via these methods, which may have been less feasible with data collectors on the ground.

## **Conclusion**

Hesitancy rates among unvaccinated individuals in Togo increased between time December 2020 and January 2022. Among key groups more likely to express hesitancy included those who had a strong mistrust of government and belief in at least one key conspiracy theory around COVID-19 vaccination. Health promotion campaigns should use locally and nationally trusted knowledge providers (e.g., the health service) and distribute the public health messaging via trusted individuals, such as religious leaders. Messaging should also target media platforms that are used by hesitant population groups. There needs to be an awareness of the range and strength of the circulating misinformation, with proactive health promotion approaches to counter the misinformation. For example, campaigns can focus on addressing concerns about vaccine safety and side effects. These approaches can improve uptake of any

311 COVID-19 vaccines within Togo. Key future research should focus around knowledge gaps created by  
312 the pandemic, such as the impact of misinformation upon routine immunisation uptake.  
313

314    **Acknowledgements**

315    We acknowledge and thank the survey participants and data collectors for their time and assistance with  
316    this research.

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361

## References

1. Wang H, Paulson KR, Pease SA, Watson S, Comfort H, Zheng P, et al. Estimating excess mortality due to the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic analysis of COVID-19-related mortality, 2020-21. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2022 Jun;399(10334):1513–36. Available from: <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673621027963/fulltext>
2. Organization WH. Pulse survey on continuity of essential health services during the COVID-19 pandemic: interim report [Internet]. 2020. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-EHS\\_continuity-survey-2020.1](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-EHS_continuity-survey-2020.1)
3. Our World in Data. Togo: Coronavirus Pandemic Country Profile [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jun 29]. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus/country/togo>
4. Levin AT, Owusu-Boaitey N, Pugh S, Fosdick BK, Zwi AB, Malani A, et al. Assessing the burden of COVID-19 in developing countries: systematic review, meta-analysis and public policy implications. *BMJ Glob Health* [Internet]. 2022;7(5). Available from: <https://gh.bmj.com/content/7/5/e008477>
5. Kirenga BJ, Byakika-Kibwika P. Excess COVID-19 mortality among critically ill patients in Africa. *The Lancet* [Internet]. 2021 Jun;397(10288):1860–1. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673621005766>
6. Ahanhanzo C, Johnson EAK, Eboreime EA, Issiaka S, Traoré BI, Adohinzin CCY, et al. COVID-19 in West Africa: Regional resource mobilisation and allocation in the first year of the pandemic. *BMJ Glob Health* [Internet]. 2021 May 4 [cited 2021 Jun 10];6(5):4762. Available from: <http://gh.bmj.com/>
7. Danquah EPB, Darko S, Frimpong A, Head MG, Osei B. Lessons from the field: COVID-19 outbreak investigations in Kpone-Katamanso, Greater Accra, Ghana: A Global South approach to disease control and contact tracing. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2022;116(10).
8. Yamey G. Rich countries should tithe their vaccines. *Nature*. 2021 Feb 1;590(7847):529.
9. Jegede AS. What Led to the Nigerian Boycott of the Polio Vaccination Campaign? *PLoS Med* [Internet]. 2007 Mar [cited 2023 Jul 24];4(3):e73. Available from: <https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0040073>
10. Kanyanda S, Markhof Y, Wollburg P, Zezza A. Acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from six national phone surveys. *BMJ Open* [Internet]. 2021 Jun;11(12):e055159. Available from: <https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/11/12/e055159>
11. Brackstone K, Atengble K, Head M, Boateng L. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy trends in Ghana: a cross-sectional study exploring the roles of political allegiance, misinformation beliefs, and sociodemographic factors. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2022;43.

12. Afrobarometer. Résumé des résultats Compilé par Center for Research and Opinion Polls (CROP) [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 1]. Available from: [www.afrobarometer.org](http://www.afrobarometer.org)
13. Afrobarometer. La COVID-19 au Togo: Les citoyens sont satisfaits de la réponse du gouvernement mais sceptiques quant aux vaccins [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 Aug 1]. Available from: [https://www.afrobarometer.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ad429-togolais\\_satisfaits\\_de\\_la\\_reponse\\_du\\_gouvernement\\_a\\_la\\_covid-19-depeche\\_afrobarometer-2mars21.pdf](https://www.afrobarometer.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ad429-togolais_satisfaits_de_la_reponse_du_gouvernement_a_la_covid-19-depeche_afrobarometer-2mars21.pdf)
14. Afreh OK, Angwaawie P, Attivor EJK, Boateng LA, Brackstone K, Head MG, et al. Examining confidence and hesitancy towards COVID-19 vaccines: A cross-sectional survey using in-person data collection in rural Ghana. *Vaccine*. 2023;41(13).
15. Head M, Brackstone K, Akinochi H, Atengble K, Boateng LA, osei kingsley, et al. Examining determinants of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Togo. 2021 Jun 25 [cited 2023 Jun 29]; Available from: [/articles/journal\\_contribution/Examining\\_determinants\\_of\\_COVID-19\\_vaccine\\_hesitancy\\_in\\_Togo/14805765/1](https://www.afrobarometer.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ad429-togolais_satisfaits_de_la_reponse_du_gouvernement_a_la_covid-19-depeche_afrobarometer-2mars21.pdf)
16. World Health Organization. Africa Infodemic Response Alliance [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: <https://www.afro.who.int/aira>
17. Farahat RA, Head MG, Tharwat S, Alabdallat Y, Essar MY, Abdelazeem B, et al. Infodemic and the fear of monkeypox: call for action. *Trop Med Health*. 2022;50(1).
18. Thornton J. Covid-19: Trust in government and other people linked with lower infection rate and higher vaccination uptake. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2022 Feb 2 [cited 2023 Jul 14];376:o292. Available from: <https://www.bmj.com/content/376/bmj.o292>
19. Watson OJ, Barnsley G, Toor J, Hogan AB, Winskill P, Ghani AC. Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2022 Jun;0(0). Available from: <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S1473309922003206/fulltext>
20. Hoxha K, Hung YW, Irwin BR, Grépin KA. Understanding the challenges associated with the use of data from routine health information systems in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Health Information Management Journal* [Internet]. 2022 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Jun 29];51(3):135–48. Available from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1833358320928729>
21. Pedrajas M, Choritz S. Getting to the Last Mile in Least Developed Countries [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.unCDF.org/article/939/getting-to-the-last-mile-in-least-developed-countries-migration>

396

397    **Supporting information**

398    S1. French translation of the submission manuscript

399    S2. Survey questions answered by the participants

400    S3. Ethical approval granted by the Togo Bioethics Research Committee

401




Figure 1






Figure 2