

1 **Evaluating public health effects of risk-based travel policy for the**
2 **COVID-19 epidemic in Scotland**

3 **Authors:** Isobel McLachlan^{1,†}, Selene Huntley^{1,†}, Kirstin Leslie^{1,†}, Jennifer Bishop¹,
4 Christopher Redman¹, Gonzalo Yebra¹, Sharif Shaaban¹, Nicolaos Christofidis¹,
5 Samantha Lycett², Matthew T.G. Holden^{1,4}, David L. Robertson^{1,3}, Alison Smith-
6 Palmer^{1,*}, Joseph Hughes^{1,3}, Sema Nickbakhsh^{1,5,*}

7 **Affiliations**

8 ¹Public Health Scotland, Meridian Court, 5 Cadogan Street, Glasgow G2 6QE, United
9 Kingdom

10 ²Roslin Institute, Easter Bush Campus, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH25
11 9RG, United Kingdom

12 ³MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, 464 Bearsden Road,
13 Glasgow, G61 1QH, United Kingdom

14 ⁴School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, KY16 9TF,
15 United Kingdom

16 ⁵School of Biodiversity, One Health & Veterinary Medicine, Garscube Campus,
17 University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G61 1QH, United Kingdom

18 [†]Shared first authors

19 ^{*}Corresponding authors: Dr. Sema Nickbakhsh (sema.nickbakhsh@phs.scot); Dr.
20 Alison Smith-Palmer (alison.smith-palmer@phs.scot)

21 **Word count:** 4995 (excluding references, tables, figures)

22 **Abstract**

23 **Background:** Decisions to impose temporary travel measures are less common as
24 the global epidemiology of COVID-19 evolves. Risk-based travel measures may
25 avoid the need for a complete travel ban, however evaluations of their effects are
26 lacking. Here we investigated the public health effects of a temporary traffic light
27 system introduced in the United Kingdom (UK) in 2021, imposing red-amber-green
28 (RAG) status based on risk assessment.

29 **Methods:** We analysed data on international flight passengers arriving into Scotland,
30 COVID-19 testing surveillance, and SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences to
31 quantify effects of the traffic light system on (i) international travel frequency, (ii)
32 travel-related SARS-CoV-2 case importations, (iii) national SARS-CoV-2 case
33 incidence, and (iv) importation of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants.

34 **Results:** International flight passengers arriving into Scotland had increased by
35 754% during the traffic light period. Amber list countries were the most frequently
36 visited and ranked highly for SARS-CoV-2 importations and contribution to national
37 case incidence. Rates of international travel and associated SARS-CoV-2 cases
38 varied significantly across age, health board, and deprivation groups. Multivariable
39 logistic regression revealed SARS-CoV-2 cases detections were less likely among
40 travellers than non-travellers, although increasing from green-to-amber and amber-
41 to-red lists. When examined according to travel destination, SARS-CoV-2 importation
42 risks did not strictly follow RAG designations, and red lists did not prevent
43 establishment of novel SARS-CoV-2 variants.

44 **Conclusions:** Our findings suggest that country-specific post-arrival screening
45 undertaken in Scotland did not prohibit the public health impact of COVID-19 in

46 Scotland. Travel rates likely contributed to patterns of high SARS-CoV-2 case
47 importation and population impact.

48 **Keywords**

49 SARS-CoV-2; variant of concern; surveillance; risk assessment; health policy;
50 pathogen genomics

51 **Background**

52 On 24th March 2020, Scotland with the rest of the United Kingdom (UK) entered a
53 national lockdown to limit SARS-CoV-2 spread and subsequent COVID-19-
54 associated hospitalisations. This early period of the pandemic was characterised by
55 British nationals being advised against all but essential travel (1), alongside
56 unprecedented border closures implemented increasingly by countries globally (2).
57 However, prior to implementation of these stringent travel restrictions in the UK,
58 hundreds of introductions of SARS-CoV-2 into Scotland had already occurred
59 through international travel and community transmission was underway (3).

60 By July 2020, circulating SARS-CoV-2 was dramatically reduced (4) and Scotland
61 had moved to Phase 3 of a route map out of lockdown (5). Whilst interventions
62 focusing on reducing social contacts remained in place, travel restrictions were
63 relaxed with the lifting of quarantine measures from certain overseas destinations (6).
64 A country-specific risk assessment approach was subsequently undertaken with the
65 introduction of 'travel corridors', relaxing self-isolation measures for individuals
66 travelling to countries not considered to be high risk for high levels of SARS-CoV-2
67 exposure (7).

68 Progress out of lockdown was short lived however, with increasing COVID-19
69 incidence linked to travel in the summer of 2020 (4,8) and the subsequent

70 identification of the first novel SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern (VOC), Alpha (B.1.1.7
71 Pango lineage (9)), in Scotland during November 2020, first identified in the UK and
72 classified VOC based on risk assessment (10). Scotland subsequently entered a
73 period with travel restrictions enforced at a local authority level based on regional
74 infection rates, regulating both domestic and international travel, and the country
75 entered its second national lockdown on 5th January 2021. By 4th January 2021,
76 Alpha was the dominant variant circulating in the UK and managing the tradeoff
77 between COVID-19 hospitalisations and recovery of the economy including the travel
78 industry was of high concern. Other variants of concern were also identified, such as
79 Beta (B.1.351) in South Africa, resulting in a long-standing travel ban to this country.

80 Subsequently, in line with the lifting of lockdown restrictions and safe resumption of
81 international travel, May 2021 marked the introduction of a ‘traffic light system’ across
82 the UK which applied relaxed quarantine and testing requirements for travellers on a
83 country-specific basis through Red-Amber-Green (RAG) list designations (11).

84 During this period, the epidemiological course of the epidemic shifted in the UK, with
85 the importation of a fourth novel VOC, Delta (B.1.617.2; first identified in India)(12),
86 although widespread rollout of the national COVID-19 vaccination programme was
87 also in place at this time. Delta replaced Alpha as the dominant variant in Scotland by
88 the end of May 2021 (13). The traffic light system was subsequently lifted across the
89 UK on 4th October 2021, with Scotland adopting a simplified system of ‘red list’ and
90 ‘not red’ (14). However, a fifth novel VOC, Omicron (the BA.1 sub-lineage; first
91 identified in South Africa), was identified in Scotland at the end of November 2021
92 (15,16). Several countries deemed high risk were given red list status in an attempt
93 to slow the introduction and spread of Omicron in the UK (17), although this highly
94 transmissible variant was soon established in the community. Travel regulations were

95 eventually lifted incrementally and fully removed across the UK on 18th March 2022
96 (18).

97 International travel continues to present the main risk pathway for importations of
98 novel SARS-CoV-2 variants of public health significance. In the UK, a temporary
99 increase in surveillance was recently reintroduced for individuals travelling from
100 specific locations, owing to the evolving epidemiological situation of other nations
101 experiencing increased COVID-19 activity and the emergence of further variants of
102 potential public health significance (19). There is, however, a need for improved
103 understanding of how risks of novel SARS-CoV-2 introductions and subsequent
104 spread vary by the geography and demography of populations, to inform
105 proportionate travel-related interventions and early warning surveillance systems.
106 There have been few observational studies providing evidence for the public health
107 effects of COVID-19 travel restrictions, with the majority focused on border closures
108 put in place to curb global spread early in the pandemic (2,20,21).

109 Here we use Scottish community surveillance and SARS-CoV-2 whole genome
110 sequence data to evaluate the public health effects of the COVID-19 traffic light travel
111 policy in Scotland. Our objectives were to quantify the effect of the traffic light policy
112 on (i) international travel frequency, (ii) temporal, demographic, and geographic
113 patterns of SARS-CoV-2 importation risk, and the odds of SARS-CoV-2 case
114 detections, (iii) national SARS-CoV-2 case incidence, and (iv) the risk of importing
115 specific SARS-CoV-2 VOC across RAG groups. This evaluation has importance for
116 providing an evidence-based approach to future public health guidance and decision
117 making around international travel policy.

118 **Methods**

119 Datasets

120 *Civil Aviation Authority data*

121 Data on the monthly number of passenger arrivals into Scottish airports, by country
122 and airport of origin, were provided by the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA). There were
123 5,508 records capturing 9,383,947 passengers in total from the period April 2019 to
124 September 2021, from flights arriving into 11 Scottish airports from 290 airports in 70
125 countries. Data were grouped providing total monthly numbers of flights into Scottish
126 airports by country of origin.

127 *COVID-19 Passenger Locator Forms*

128 From June 2020, measures were introduced requiring all UK arrivals to complete a
129 Passenger Locator Form (PLF) to support compliance with COVID-19 travel
130 measures (23). The dataset contained weekly data for the period 28th June 2020 to
131 19th March 2022 on the number of PLFs submitted to Border Control and the
132 originating countries from which passengers had travelled from into Scotland. Over
133 the 90-week period, passengers arrived into Scotland from 247 countries.

134 To enable like-for-like country-level comparison with PCR data, data on the Canary
135 Islands and Madeira were merged with that of Spain and Portugal respectively in
136 both the CAA and the PLFs.

137 *COVID-19 microbiological surveillance data: Community cases*

138 Data on SARS-CoV2 infections in Scotland were accessed from the NHS Scotland
139 Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW), a database designed to support the analysis and
140 reporting of COVID-19 surveillance in Scotland. The national case definition for a
141 SARS-CoV-2 infection was applied for the study duration, whereby respiratory

142 specimens testing positive by reverse transcription real-time Polymerase Chain
143 Reaction (PCR) or Lateral Flow Device (LFD) tests 90 days or more after an
144 individual's last positive specimen were captured as a separate episode of infection
145 (reinfection). The dataset captured demographics (age, sex, postcode location) and
146 test information (date of sample and test result). Reasons for testing included
147 diagnostic confirmation for those with symptoms, asymptomatic testing of close
148 contacts to support self-isolation, and workplace testing as part of the NHS Test &
149 Protect system.

150 There were 1,356,983 case records for the study period of 15th February 2021 to 3rd
151 May 2022. This period spanned the introduction of post-arrival tests for travellers
152 returning from international destinations to a period post-lifting of travel restrictions.

153 *COVID-19 microbiological surveillance data: Traveller tests*

154 The CDW database captured post-arrival travel-related tests from 15th February
155 2021. During the traffic light period, tests were taken on or before day 2 (red, amber
156 and green list countries) and on or after day 8 (red and amber list countries).

157 Individuals were required to self-report the main country they travelled to when
158 booking a COVID-19 test if they had travelled internationally within the preceding 14
159 days. All individuals were required to take pre-departure tests until this requirement
160 was incrementally removed from 7th January 2022.

161 There were 425,764 SARS-CoV-2 PCR test records from individuals reporting recent
162 international travel over the study period. Deduplication of SARS-CoV-2 tests was
163 conducted by grouping multiple tests based on a unique identifier (Community Health
164 Index) for individuals with specimen dates falling within a 14-day period and relating
165 to the same travel destination, thereby defining travel events by country. Following

166 this process, 345,045 travel records remained representing 290,044 individuals. Over
167 the study period, 41,934 (14.5%) of individuals had more than one travel event, with
168 a minority of individuals (n=1,178, 0.4%) reporting travel to more than one country
169 within a 14-day period. Tests with specimen dates out with a 90-day period were
170 deemed a separate episode of infection (following the national case definition (24)).
171 Positive test records were preferentially retained over negative records for each
172 travel event. Monthly PCR test frequencies for travellers were strongly correlated with
173 numbers of passengers into Scotland based on PLFs (Figure S1, Supplementary
174 Material).

175 *COVID-19 microbiological surveillance data: Case-control analysis*

176 Logistic regression modelling was employed to assess the relative odds of SARS-
177 CoV-2 case detection between international travellers and non-travellers. For this
178 analysis, PCR tests were extracted for the traffic light period (17th May 2021 to 30th
179 September 2021). There were 184,126 deduplicated travel records for this time
180 period, and among 183,839 with the information, 1.9% had self-reported symptoms.

181 Non-travel records (n=4,011,152) were deduplicated as for the travel records. Those
182 with specimen dates out with 90 days of the first identified records were presumed a
183 separate episode of infection, retaining a single record per episode. Positive test
184 results were retained over negative test results. Test records without a unique
185 identifier were assumed to be from different individuals. Following deduplication,
186 there were 1,891,873 non-travel records during the traffic light period and among
187 1,499,890 tests with the information, 43.3% had self-reported symptoms.

188 *SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequencing data*

189 Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) of SARS-CoV-2 samples was introduced in
190 Scotland in March 2020. Following emergence of the Alpha variant, a push to
191 sequence all positive SARS-CoV-2 samples was stepped up to allow for surveillance
192 of variants, though with prioritisation toward travel-related samples to identify
193 importation of VOC. WGS results were uploaded by sequencing labs and quality
194 assessed via the COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) pipeline hosted on the Cloud
195 Infrastructure for Microbial Bioinformatics (CLIMB) as part of COVID-19 Genomics
196 UK (COG-UK)(25). Definitions based on mutational patterns were then applied to
197 resultant genomic information to designate a variant (9) and these results were linked
198 to the original PCR test via a unique specimen identifier.

199 During the study period there were 317,570 samples from COVID-19 cases resident
200 in Scotland that underwent SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequencing. Samples which
201 had a low-quality genome and samples that could not be linked to a positive SARS-
202 CoV-2 PCR test, and therefore could not be confirmed as a Scottish sample, were
203 excluded (n=78).

204 *Traffic light system country designations*

205 The Red-Amber-Green (RAG) status of each travel destination was extracted from
206 Scottish Government online travel updates (18). Test records, including genome
207 sequences, were linked to RAG status based on the recorded travel destination and
208 the specimen date of the test. Analyses aggregating data on a weekly basis applied
209 the country's RAG status pertaining to the start of each week, all other analyses
210 applied the daily designations.

211 Statistical analysis

212 *Assessment of travel patterns by time, location and demography*

213 Descriptive statistical analyses were performed to characterise key trends of
214 international travel for the Scottish population before and during the pandemic, based
215 on CAA and PLF data. Changes in the count of passengers entering Scotland, at or
216 between key time points, was used as an objective indicator of the impact of travel-
217 related measures on travel.

218 To assess the impact of the traffic light system on the risk of SARS-CoV-2
219 importations, trends in the weekly numbers and proportions of PCR-positive
220 travellers were examined for the top 30 most frequently visited travel destinations,
221 spanning a period before (February-May 2021), during (May 2021-October 2021),
222 and after (October 2021-May 2022) the traffic light system. Although post-arrival tests
223 were a mandatory travel policy, some exceptions were in place and compliance is not
224 quantified (23). PLF data were therefore used to assess how representative the PCR
225 test data was of travel frequency by destination during the traffic light period.

226 Groups at risk of importing SARS-CoV-2 through international travel were also
227 assessed by comparing travel frequencies across demographic (age, sex, relative
228 deprivation of residential location, as measured by the Scottish Index of Multiple
229 Deprivation; SIMD) and geographical (territorial NHS Board) factors. An ANOVA test
230 was used to assess differences in mean travel frequencies across demographic and
231 geographic groups, with a p-value <0.05 applied to indicate statistical significance.

232 The potential impact of travel on the epidemiological situation in Scotland was
233 assessed by quantifying the numbers of travel-related SARS-CoV-2 cases as a
234 proportion of all Scottish SARS-CoV-2 cases. Ranking of travel destinations
235 according to travel frequency, importation risk, and impact gave an overall

236 assessment of risk, shown for a period of low (June 2021) and high (September
237 2021) travel frequency.

238 *Logistic regression modelling*

239 SARS-CoV-2 tests were extracted and deduplicated across travellers (with a recent
240 travel event) and non-travellers (records with no recent travel event) for calendar
241 months spanning the period of the traffic light system (17th May 2021 and 30th
242 September 2021 inclusive).

243 The combined dataset was linked to the RAG status according to the travel
244 destination of each record. A 'travel status' variable was created whereby those with
245 an international travel event were grouped by level of RAG status and with the
246 remaining forming a 'non-traveller' group.

247 Statistical analyses were performed to assess the association between travel status
248 and the odds of detecting a SARS-CoV-2 case in a test-negative case-control design,
249 adjusting for demographic, geographic and temporal factors. Unadjusted odds ratios
250 were initially quantified in univariate binary logistic regression models examining
251 associations between SARS-CoV-2 infection and travel status, age group (0-19y, 20-
252 39y, 40-59y, 60-79y, 80y+), sex (male versus female), month (May, June, July,
253 August, September) and NHS Board (fourteen territorial health boards).

254 Multivariable mixed-effects logistic regression modelling was then used to quantify
255 the relative odds of SARS-CoV-2 case detection adjusting for age group, sex, and
256 calendar month as fixed effects and NHS Board location as a random effect.

257 Statistical interactions were assessed for all factors that were significant in the final
258 multivariable model, with a p-value less than 0.05 indicating statistical significance. A
259 small number of individuals (n=8990, 0.4%) had duplicate records (positive and/or

260 negative tests). Restricting analyses to the first observed records per individual did
261 not alter the magnitude, direction or significance of the estimated odds ratios.

262 All analyses were performed in R v3.6.1 (26). The glm function was applied for single
263 effect statistical models and the lme4 (1.1-27.1 package) for mixed-effect models.

264 **Results**

265 **Impact of COVID-19 policies on patterns of international travel in Scotland**

266 Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, numbers of international flight passengers arriving
267 into Scotland per month were estimated to range from around 445,000 passengers
268 (February 2019) to 952,000 (July 2019) during the peak summer period (CAA data,
269 see Figure 1). During the COVID-19 pandemic, monthly numbers of international
270 flight passengers decreased substantially owing to travel restrictions (Figure 1). A
271 reduction in travel of 97.7% (to around 17 thousand passengers per month,
272 compared to the same period in 2019) was observed during the first national
273 lockdown (April to May, 2020), by 86.7% (to around 95 thousand passengers per
274 month, compared to same period in 2019) during a period of relaxed travel
275 restrictions (data from July 2020 to January, 2021), and by 87.4% (to around 111
276 thousand passengers per month, compared to the same period in 2019) during the
277 period of the traffic light system (May to September 2021).

278 The magnitude of reduced international travel across the phases of COVID-19 travel
279 restrictions was largely consistent across destinations and data sources (Figure S2,
280 Supplementary Material). Despite large reductions in travel, the most frequently
281 visited destinations remained largely consistent however, with Spain the most
282 common destination both prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Figure 2).

283 The traffic light system (17th May 2021 to 4th October 2021) followed a country-
284 specific risk-based approach (see Figure S3, Supplementary Material), with
285 quarantine reserved for high-risk countries (red list), and others requiring self-
286 isolation together with day 2 and 8 post arrival tests (amber list), or day-8 post-arrival
287 tests only (green list). The frequency of international arrivals into Scottish airports
288 subsequently increased by 754% from May 2021 to September 2021, compared with
289 a 12% increase over the same period in 2019 (Figure 2).

290 The ranking of travel frequency across RAG groups remained consistent over time
291 when retrospectively applying designations to the pre-pandemic period, with amber
292 list countries the most frequently visited (83.8% and 70.0% in the pandemic period
293 based on PLF and CAA data sources respectively, and 74.8% pre-pandemic (CAA
294 data)). A small discrepancy was seen between red and green list countries although
295 they were largely consistent throughout the traffic light period (Figure S3,
296 Supplementary Material). The largest decrease in travel frequency, comparing May-
297 to-September inclusive pre-and-post pandemic, was seen for red list (87.7%
298 decrease) followed by amber (78.8% decrease) and green list (63.2% decrease)
299 countries (Figure S2, Supplementary Material).

300 Despite the lifting of the traffic light system and incremental removal of travel
301 restrictions in winter 2021/22, the frequency of international travel declined during
302 this period consistent with the typical seasonality of travel (Figure 1).

303 **Travel and case patterns by demographic and geographic groups**

304 A significant difference in average weekly rates of travel was found by age group,
305 deprivation, and NHS Board, but not for sex, with a similar pattern observed for
306 SARS-CoV-2 detection rates among travellers (Table 1). Both travel and SARS-CoV-

307 2 case detection rates were notably higher in working aged adults (age groups 20-
308 39y and 40-59y), for residents of NHS Boards with larger populations, and increased
309 with decreasing deprivation (over half of all travel events were from individuals
310 residing in the lowest deprivation groups).

311 However, some variation was seen, with younger (20-29y) working aged females and
312 older (30-39y and 50-59y) working aged males having the greatest travel frequencies
313 (Figure S4a), and a relatively high proportion of travellers resided in high deprivation
314 (low SIMD score) locations for specific destinations (Figure S4b). Despite the
315 temporal variation, differences across NHS Boards showed general consistency with
316 more populous regions tending to have higher travel rates (Figure S4c).

317 **Risks and population impact of SARS-CoV-2 importations through international**
318 **travel during the period of the traffic light system**

319 Figure 3 summarises weekly numbers of tests and travel-related SARS-CoV-2 cases
320 for each of the top 30 most frequently visited travel destinations. Overall, there was a
321 324% increase in SARS-CoV-2 cases comparing the weeks with the highest travel
322 frequency in the pre-traffic light (w/c 5th April 2021) and traffic light (w/c 13th
323 September 2021) periods. For some countries, periods of increasing case numbers
324 appeared to coincide with increasing travel frequency. However, in other instances,
325 increasing case numbers appeared decoupled from travel frequency and instead
326 appeared to be explained by SARS-CoV-2 importation risk (the proportion of traveller
327 PCR tests with a positive result).

328 Figure 4 summarises the rankings of the top 30 most frequently visited countries
329 according to travel frequency, SARS-CoV-2 importation risk, and population impact
330 (as measured by the proportion of all Scottish SARS-CoV-2-positive PCR tests with

331 an associated travel event). Countries ranking high across each metric represented
332 the greatest risk when combining exposure and consequence. Notably, four red list
333 countries appeared in the top seven, and two in the top three, ranking of SARS-CoV-
334 2 importation risks during the lowest (June) and highest (September) travel frequency
335 periods respectively. However, one green list country had the highest combined
336 ranking across all metrics during June, and by September there was no discernible
337 pattern of risk distinguishing green and amber list destinations.

338 Overall, the rate of SARS-CoV-2 cases detections was estimated to be 17 per 1,000
339 among those with an international travel event, compared to 190 per 1,000 among
340 those without an international travel event over the same period. Employing
341 multivariable mixed-effects logistic regression, the odds of detecting SARS-CoV-2
342 cases increased from green-to-amber and amber-to-red list countries but was lower
343 for travellers relative to the non-traveller PCR-tested community. This finding was
344 consistent regardless of RAG group, controlling for age group, sex, month and
345 geographical location (see Table 2 for details). Some variation in the effect of travel
346 status was found according to age group, sex, and month, however the relative odds
347 of case detection was consistently greater among those with no recorded recent
348 international travel event.

349 Several demographic factors were identified as independently associated with the
350 odds of detecting SARS-CoV-2, regardless of travel status, with cases more likely
351 detected among 0-19y olds relative to 20-39y olds, among males relative to females,
352 and among individuals' resident in more deprived areas. These predictors of SARS-
353 CoV-2 cases differed from that observed among travellers (as highlighted also by
354 differences in the modelled predicted probabilities when comparing traveller and non-
355 traveller groups; results not shown) and the patterns of travel frequency.

356 **Risks and population impact of SARS-CoV-2 novel variant importations**
357 **through international travel during the period of the traffic light system**

358 Figure 5 shows the weekly numbers of sequenced SARS-CoV-2 cases by variant
359 group against a timeline of travel policy changes. For most travel destinations and
360 periods of the epidemic, the most likely imported VOC reflected the dominant variant
361 circulating in the UK representing 50% or more of sequenced cases (Figure S5,
362 Supplementary Material). However, some variation in the risk of VOC importation
363 was observed across travel destinations, particularly during the period of Alpha
364 variant dominance albeit based on small numbers of sequenced cases.

365 The Delta variant was identified in Scotland on 4th April 2021 before the relaxation of
366 travel restrictions for some destinations through the traffic light system. Figure 6
367 compares weekly numbers of variants identified among sequenced SARS-CoV-2
368 cases returning from non-red and red-list travel destinations, alongside non-traveller
369 community cases during the period in which Delta emerged. Although several
370 countries were added to the red list from 9th April 2021, Delta was primarily detected
371 among travellers returning from non-red list countries (except for a short period from
372 late April to end of May). Community transmission was evident from late-April,
373 following which Delta was relatively more frequently identified among non-travellers.
374 Delta replaced Alpha to become the dominant variant in Scotland from 19th May 2021
375 (Figure S6, Supplementary Material).

376 Shortly after the traffic light system was removed on 4th October 2021, Omicron
377 (BA.1 sublineage), emerged with the specimen of the first known case in Scotland
378 dated 22nd October 2021 (Figure S6, Supplementary Material). In contrast to Delta,
379 Omicron was first identified in the community among non-travel-related cases (Figure

380 7), with only 2.6% of sequenced Omicron cases associated with recent international
381 travel compared to 22.1% of Delta cases in the first four weeks of their respective
382 emergence. Overall, both Delta and Omicron were more frequently detected among
383 non-travellers and similarly to Delta, detection frequency for Omicron was higher in
384 those returning from non-red list than red list countries.

385 **Discussion**

386 With the ongoing generation of novel variants able to infect vaccinated and
387 previously infected individuals, SARS-CoV-2 can be expected to persist globally with
388 continued spread of novel variants of public health significance. While acquired
389 immunity either from prior waves of infection or from vaccines are now mediating
390 disease severity in most cases of infection, the future evolution of SARS-CoV-2
391 remains unpredictable. In the event of a new VOC emerging, border closures may
392 provide a means for reducing the numbers of imported cases and control the
393 progression of outbreaks locally. However, it is well recognised that unless
394 implemented rapidly and informed by the true risks, the public health benefit is
395 limited.

396 Once community transmission has been established, risk-based quarantine and post-
397 travel screening may be proportionate measures to reduce the public health
398 consequences of international travel. Risk-based screening measures have recently
399 been reintroduced by several nations, including the UK, owing to increasing global
400 COVID-19 spread (19). However, there is a lack of understanding of travel policy
401 effectiveness pre-dating COVID-19, including for pandemic influenza and other
402 emerging infectious diseases (27,28). Most studies assessing the public health
403 effects of COVID-19 travel-related policies have used modelling approaches in the

404 absence of empirical data, and have focused on the early phase of the pandemic
405 when reduced international travel was of paramount interest to curb the global
406 spread of disease (2,20,21,29,30). One study suggests the quarantine of
407 symptomatic COVID-19 cases is insufficient to significantly delay the onset of an
408 epidemic (31).

409 The traffic light system employed in the UK during May to October 2021 aimed to
410 provide a risk-based approach to targeting quarantine and screening measures for
411 travellers returning from specific destinations. To our knowledge, our study is the first
412 to evaluate the potential public health effects of this measure. Firstly, our study
413 shows, as expected, that international travel increased substantially during the period
414 of the traffic light system. The highest travel rates were seen for working aged adults,
415 and those resident in more populous health boards and in low deprivation areas. The
416 ability to characterise patterns of travel may have implications for targeting safe travel
417 messaging in the prevention of future VOC importations.

418 Secondly, travel was most frequent to amber list countries during the traffic light
419 period despite the mandatory requirement for self-isolation. This finding was
420 corroborated across PLF and CAA datasets despite known limitations, with the
421 former involving self-submitted information and therefore reliant on compliance, and
422 with the latter expected to have comparatively underrepresented travel from
423 countries with few or no direct flights into Scotland but overrepresented travel from
424 airports operating as central hubs.

425 Thirdly, there were significant differences in the rates of SARS-CoV-2 infections
426 detected amongst travellers across age groups and deprivation, but not for sex,
427 aligning with patterns of travel frequency. However, cases of SARS-CoV-2 were

428 more likely detected among non-travellers than travellers overall during the traffic
429 light period, and the relative odds of detecting cases differed from the pattern of
430 cases observed among travellers. Overall these findings highlight the complexity in
431 understanding predictors of case detections that are specific to travellers, but that
432 patterns of travel may be a good proxy indicator of this.

433 The likelihood of detecting a SARS-CoV-2 infection among travellers increased from
434 green-to-amber-to-red list countries, although with some variation when examining
435 individual travel destinations. The highest frequency of travel was seen for an amber
436 list country, resulting in relatively high numbers of imported SARS-CoV-2 cases when
437 coupled with importation risk (proportion of travellers testing positive) together with a
438 high population impact (proportion of Scottish SARS-CoV-2 cases attributed to
439 travel). However, our study shows that despite fewer travel events, the highest
440 SARS-CoV-2 importation risk was associated with a green list country in June 2021.
441 Furthermore, by September 2021, a number of green list countries ranked higher
442 than red list countries for population impact, highlighting the complexity of
443 proportionate applications of RAG systems. We note however that our study does not
444 assess the impact of quarantine and isolation measures in place for those returning
445 from red and amber list countries which is expected to have reduced the population
446 impact of international travel. Travel frequency and the context of existing community
447 circulation are key factors affecting the public health effects of international travel,
448 along with the epidemiological situation of the travel destination. Identifying specific
449 countries as high risk without considering the speed of spread of SARS-CoV-2
450 variants to other regions internationally, coupled with large differences in international
451 surveillance efforts, will severely limit the effect of a traffic-light system.

452 Finally, our study spanned two novel SARS-CoV-2 variant introductions. Stringent
453 travel restrictions did not prevent the introduction of Delta into the UK, whilst the risk-
454 based red list policy did not prevent establishment in the community once
455 introductions had occurred. However, decreased case numbers were observed for
456 some red list countries during the Delta wave despite a high SARS-CoV-2
457 importation risk, likely owing to decreased travel to these destinations. The rapidity
458 by which community transmission was established in the case of Omicron, with most
459 initial cases detected among non-travellers, suggests the direct impact of travel to
460 red list countries during this period was likely minimal, especially given the
461 requirement for quarantine. It was not possible however to assess the impact of the
462 evolving epidemiological situation in red list countries and degree of global spread on
463 the risks of Omicron variant importations from amber and green lists countries.

464 Our study has a number of limitations. Firstly, laboratory surveillance data may not
465 capture all travellers; an unknown number of individuals were exempt from testing
466 and compliance is not quantifiable. Secondly, deductions of SARS-CoV-2 infection
467 risks across traveller and non-traveller groups must be made with caution. The
468 greater odds of detecting SARS-CoV-2 cases in the non-traveller group may be
469 explained by the targeted testing of symptomatics and known close contacts of
470 confirmed cases.

471 Furthermore, those entering the UK were required to take a pre-departure test during
472 the traffic light period, so the proportion of cases in this group is expected to reflect
473 the risk of SARS-CoV-2 importation - combining the risks of a travel-associated
474 infection and testing negative prior to departure. This should not preclude the validity
475 of comparing SARS-CoV-2 case frequency over time, by travel destination, and
476 across demographic and geographic groups. Thirdly, case misclassifications may

477 have arisen, with some over-ascription of infections to the period of international
478 travel (the acquisition of infection before or after travel cannot be ruled out, including
479 from household transmission). Fourthly, in the absence of a suitable control
480 population or period, our study did not assess the reduction in SARS-CoV-2 case
481 incidence in Scotland attributable to the traffic light system.

482 **Conclusions**

483 Our findings show that risk-based post-arrival screening undertaken in Scotland did
484 not in practice prohibit the importation of SARS-CoV-2 cases, or the establishment of
485 SARS-CoV-2 VOC in the Scottish community, arising through international travel.
486 Overall SARS-CoV-2 case importation risks did not strictly follow RAG designations.
487 This is likely explained by travel frequency, regardless of country risk status, coupled
488 with rapid global spread and local community transmission limiting the value of red
489 lists to control VOC importations and population impact. Our study provides valuable
490 learnings that may support decision making in Scotland during any future emergency
491 response for COVID-19 or pandemics. These lessons may be of relevance to other
492 nations and infectious diseases although differences in the epidemiology, disease
493 surveillance systems, and travel policy implementations should be borne in mind.
494 While it is clear that risk-based travel restrictions may have limited value in isolation
495 in the case of a pathogen like SARS-CoV-2, which has the potential to spread
496 rapidly, they could potentially work more effectively if international variant
497 surveillance systems were compatible, and with information shared in a timely and
498 open manner, to rapidly detect and respond to new variants as they arise.

499

500

501

502 **Declarations**

503

504 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

505 This study was undertaken as part of public health surveillance activity within the
506 COVID-19 programme of Public Health Scotland, in line with the necessary
507 associated regulations and guidelines. The retention and processing of information
508 on individuals is conducted by Public Health Scotland as part of COVID-19
509 surveillance in Scotland in the context of emergency data processing
510 (<https://www.informationgovernance.scot.nhs.uk/covid-19-privacy-statement/>),
511 including the Civil Contingencies Act 2004, the NHS (Scotland) Act 1978 and the
512 Public Health (Scotland) Act 2008, and under Articles 6(1)(e), 9(2)(h), 9(2)(i), 9(2)(j)
513 of the General Data Protection Regulation. Surveillance data was shared with NHS
514 Scotland according to the Intra NHS Scotland Data Sharing Accord
515 ([https://www.informationgovernance.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/2020-
516 06-17-Intra-NHS-Scotland-Sharing-Accord-v2.0.pdf](https://www.informationgovernance.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/2020-06-17-Intra-NHS-Scotland-Sharing-Accord-v2.0.pdf)). Ethics approval and informed
517 consent was not required for this work which was based on pre-existing infectious
518 disease surveillance data for the Scottish population. The access and processing of
519 individual-level data was conducted under standard Data Protection Impact
520 Assessment information governance approval. Individual-level records were de-
521 identified before being accessed and analysed by the project team.

522 **Consent for publication**

523 Not applicable.

524 **Availability of data and materials**

525 The healthcare data used in this study are available upon application to the NHS
526 Scotland Public Benefit and Privacy Panel For Health and Social Care: [Public Benefit
527 and Privacy Panel for Health and Social Care \(scot.nhs.uk\)](https://www.scot.nhs.uk/public-benefit-and-privacy-panel-for-health-and-social-care/). International flight data
528 may be sourced from the Civil Aviation Authority (<https://www.caa.co.uk/home/>); see
529 also: [https://www.caa.co.uk/data-and-analysis/uk-aviation-market/airports/uk-airport-
530 data/](https://www.caa.co.uk/data-and-analysis/uk-aviation-market/airports/uk-airport-data/).

531 **Competing interests**

532 The author(s) declare no competing interests.

533 **Funding**

534 This work was supported by the Chief Scientist Office Response Mode funding
535 [HIPS/21/63]. The MRC-University of Glasgow authors are supported by the Medical
536 Research Council [MC_UU_12014/12].

537 **Authors' contributions**

538 SL, MTG, DLR, ASP, JH and SN conceived the study and acquired funding. IM, SH,
539 KL, SL, JH and SN designed the analyses. JB performed data extractions and
540 processing. IM, SH, KL, GY, SS and NC performed data curation. IM, SH, and KL
541 performed analyses. MH, DLR, ASP, JH and SN coordinated data generation. SN
542 coordinated and supervised analyses and the study team. All authors interpreted
543 data. IM, SH, KL and SN wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors
544 contributed to and approved the final version.

545 **Acknowledgments**

546 We thank the Bioinformatics, COVID-19 Surveillance, and Travel Health teams at
547 Public Health Scotland who developed and managed the surveillance infrastructure

548 and data sharing that enabled this study as part of the COVID-19 response in
549 Scotland. We also thank the Civil Aviation Authority, in particular Kit Beynon, for
550 providing the data analysed in our study and for their helpful comments. We also
551 thank Jim McMenamin for a helpful critique of the manuscript. The SARS-CoV-2
552 genomics data was initially generated through a network of laboratories as part of the
553 COVID-19 Genomics UK Consortium ([COVID-19 Genomics UK Consortium](https://cogconsortium.uk)
554 [\(\[cogconsortium.uk\]\(https://cogconsortium.uk\)\)](https://cogconsortium.uk)) and then by the NHS Sequencing Service in Scotland ([COVID-
555 19 Whole Genome Sequencing \(WGS\) - Public health microbiology - Services -
556 Public Health Scotland](https://www.nhs.uk/health-scotland/19-whole-genome-sequencing-wgs-public-health-microbiology-services-public-health-scotland)).

557 **References**

- 558 1. Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: First Minister's speech 24 March 2020 -
559 gov.scot [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 7]. Available from:
560 <https://www.gov.scot/publications/first-ministers-update-covid-19/>
- 561 2. Bou-Karroum L, Khabisa J, Jabbour M, Hilal N, Haidar Z, Abi Khalil P, et al.
562 Public health effects of travel-related policies on the COVID-19 pandemic: A
563 mixed-methods systematic review. *J Infect.* 2021 Oct;83(4):413–423.
- 564 3. da Silva Filipe A, Shepherd JG, Williams T, Hughes J, Aranday-Cortes E,
565 Asamaphan P, et al. Genomic epidemiology reveals multiple introductions of
566 SARS-CoV-2 from mainland Europe into Scotland. *Nat Microbiol.* 2021
567 Jan;6(1):112–122.
- 568 4. Lycett SJ, Hughes J, McHugh MP, Filipe ADS, Dewar R, Lu L, et al. Epidemic
569 waves of COVID-19 in Scotland: a genomic perspective on the impact of the
570 introduction and relaxation of lockdown on SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv.* 2021 Jan
571 20;
- 572 5. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Phase 3: Scotland's route map update - 9 July 2020 -
573 gov.scot [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 10]. Available from:
574 [https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-framework-decision-
575 making-scotlands-route-map-through-out-crisis-phase-3-update/](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-framework-decision-making-scotlands-route-map-through-out-crisis-phase-3-update/)
- 576 6. Quarantine rule ends for travellers arriving from lower risk countries and
577 territories - gov.scot [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 6]. Available from:
578 [https://www.gov.scot/news/quarantine-rule-ends-for-travellers-arriving-from-
579 lower-risk-countries-and-territories/](https://www.gov.scot/news/quarantine-rule-ends-for-travellers-arriving-from-lower-risk-countries-and-territories/)
- 580 7. [Withdrawn] COVID-19 risk assessment methodology for inbound international
581 travel - GOV.UK [Internet]. [cited 2022 Nov 28]. Available from:

- 582 [https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-risk-assessment-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-risk-assessment-methodology-for-inbound-international-travel/covid-19-risk-assessment-methodology-for-inbound-international-travel)
583 [methodology-for-inbound-international-travel/covid-19-risk-assessment-](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-risk-assessment-methodology-for-inbound-international-travel/covid-19-risk-assessment-methodology-for-inbound-international-travel)
584 [methodology-for-inbound-international-travel](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-risk-assessment-methodology-for-inbound-international-travel/covid-19-risk-assessment-methodology-for-inbound-international-travel)
- 585 8. Hodcroft EB, Zuber M, Nadeau S, Vaughan TG, Crawford KHD, Althaus CL, et
586 al. Spread of a SARS-CoV-2 variant through Europe in the summer of 2020.
587 *Nature*. 2021 Jul;595(7869):707–712.
- 588 9. O’Toole Á, Scher E, Underwood A, Jackson B, Hill V, McCrone JT, et al.
589 Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using the
590 pangolin tool. *Virus Evol*. 2021 Jul 30;7(2):veab064.
- 591 10. Hill V, Du Plessis L, Peacock TP, Aggarwal D, Colquhoun R, Carabelli AM, et
592 al. The origins and molecular evolution of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in the
593 UK. *Virus Evol*. 2022 Aug 26;8(2):veac080.
- 594 11. Traffic light system: safe return to international travel - GOV.UK [Internet]. [cited
595 2022 Nov 28]. Available from: [https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/traffic-](https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/traffic-light-system-safe-return-to-international-travel)
596 [light-system-safe-return-to-international-travel](https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/traffic-light-system-safe-return-to-international-travel)
- 597 12. McCrone JT, Hill V, Bajaj S, Pena RE, Lambert BC, Inward R, et al. Context-
598 specific emergence and growth of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant. *Nature*. 2022
599 Oct;610(7930):154–160.
- 600 13. Tracking the Delta variant in Scotland - Our blog - Public Health Scotland
601 [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 6]. Available from:
602 [https://www.publichealthscotland.scot/our-blog/2021/november/tracking-the-](https://www.publichealthscotland.scot/our-blog/2021/november/tracking-the-delta-variant-in-scotland/)
603 [delta-variant-in-scotland/](https://www.publichealthscotland.scot/our-blog/2021/november/tracking-the-delta-variant-in-scotland/)
- 604 14. Summary of updates to international travel, October 2021 - GOV.UK [Internet].
605 [cited 2022 Oct 11]. Available from:
606 [https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/summary-of-updates-to-international-](https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/summary-of-updates-to-international-travel-october-2021)
607 [travel-october-2021](https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/summary-of-updates-to-international-travel-october-2021)
- 608 15. Coronavirus (COVID-19): Omicron in Scotland - evidence paper - gov.scot
609 [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 6]. Available from:
610 <https://www.gov.scot/publications/omicron-scotland-evidence-paper/>
- 611 16. Willett BJ, Grove J, MacLean OA, Wilkie C, De Lorenzo G, Furnon W, et al.
612 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron is an immune escape variant with an altered cell entry
613 pathway. *Nat Microbiol*. 2022 Aug;7(8):1161–1179.
- 614 17. 6 African countries added to red list to protect public health as UK designates
615 new variant under investigation - GOV.UK [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jan 6].
616 Available from: [https://www.gov.uk/government/news/six-african-countries-](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/six-african-countries-added-to-red-list-to-protect-public-health-as-uk-designates-new-variant-under-investigation)
617 [added-to-red-list-to-protect-public-health-as-uk-designates-new-variant-under-](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/six-african-countries-added-to-red-list-to-protect-public-health-as-uk-designates-new-variant-under-investigation)
618 [investigation](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/six-african-countries-added-to-red-list-to-protect-public-health-as-uk-designates-new-variant-under-investigation)
- 619 18. Coronavirus (COVID-19): international travel - gov.scot [Internet]. [cited 2022
620 Oct 6]. Available from: [https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-international-travel-quarantine/)
621 [international-travel-quarantine/](https://www.gov.scot/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-international-travel-quarantine/)

- 622 19. Precautionary and temporary measures introduced to improve COVID
623 surveillance from China - GOV.UK [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jan 5]. Available from:
624 [https://www.gov.uk/government/news/precautionary-and-temporary-measures-](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/precautionary-and-temporary-measures-introduced-to-improve-covid-surveillance-from-china)
625 [introduced-to-improve-covid-surveillance-from-china](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/precautionary-and-temporary-measures-introduced-to-improve-covid-surveillance-from-china)
- 626 20. Grépin KA, Ho T-L, Liu Z, Marion S, Piper J, Worsnop CZ, et al. Evidence of the
627 effectiveness of travel-related measures during the early phase of the COVID-
628 19 pandemic: a rapid systematic review. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2021 Mar;6(3).
- 629 21. Burns J, Movsisyan A, Stratil JM, Coenen M, Emmert-Fees KMF, Geffert K, et
630 al. Travel-related control measures to contain the COVID-19 pandemic: a rapid
631 review (Review). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2020;(9).
- 632 22. UK Civil Aviation Authority. Data and analysis | Civil Aviation Authority [Internet].
633 [cited 2023 Jan 5]. Available from: <https://www.caa.co.uk/Data-and-analysis/>
- 634 23. Managing cross-border travel during the COVID-19 pandemic - National Audit
635 Office (NAO) Report [Internet]. [cited 2022 May 3]. Available from:
636 [https://www.nao.org.uk/report/managing-cross-border-travel-during-the-covid-](https://www.nao.org.uk/report/managing-cross-border-travel-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/)
637 [19-pandemic/](https://www.nao.org.uk/report/managing-cross-border-travel-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/)
- 638 24. COVID-19 reporting to include further data on reinfections - News - Public
639 Health Scotland [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jan 10]. Available from:
640 [https://publichealthscotland.scot/news/2022/february/covid-19-reporting-to-](https://publichealthscotland.scot/news/2022/february/covid-19-reporting-to-include-further-data-on-reinfections/)
641 [include-further-data-on-reinfections/](https://publichealthscotland.scot/news/2022/february/covid-19-reporting-to-include-further-data-on-reinfections/)
- 642 25. Nicholls SM, Poplawski R, Bull MJ, Underwood A, Chapman M, Abu-Dahab K,
643 et al. CLIMB-COVID: continuous integration supporting decentralised
644 sequencing for SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance. *Genome Biol*. 2021 Jul
645 1;22(1):196.
- 646 26. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
647 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [Internet]. 2019 [cited
648 2023 Jan 17]. Available from: <https://www.r-project.org/>
- 649 27. Mateus ALP, Otete HE, Beck CR, Dolan GP, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS.
650 Effectiveness of travel restrictions in the rapid containment of human influenza:
651 a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2014 Dec 1;92(12):868–880D.
- 652 28. Errett NA, Sauer LM, Rutkow L. An integrative review of the limited evidence on
653 international travel bans as an emerging infectious disease disaster control
654 measure. *J Emerg Manag*. 2020;18(1):7–14.
- 655 29. Gostic K, Gomez AC, Mummah RO, Kucharski AJ, Lloyd-Smith JO. Estimated
656 effectiveness of symptom and risk screening to prevent the spread of COVID-
657 19. *Elife*. 2020 Feb 24;9.
- 658 30. Quilty BJ, Clifford S, CMMID nCoV working group², Flasche S, Eggo RM.
659 Effectiveness of airport screening at detecting travellers infected with novel
660 coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Euro Surveill*. 2020 Feb;25(5).

661 31. Mandal S, Bhatnagar T, Arinaminpathy N, Agarwal A, Chowdhury A, Murhekar
 662 M, et al. Prudent public health intervention strategies to control the coronavirus
 663 disease 2019 transmission in India: A mathematical model-based approach.
 664 Indian J Med Res. 2020;151(2 & 3):190–199.

665

666 **Tables**

667

668 **Table 1.** Frequency of international travel events across demographic and geographical
 669 groups, during the period of the traffic light system (17th May 2021 to 26th September
 670 2021) in Scotland.

Factor	Level	Mean weekly travel events per 10,000 population	ANOVA test p-value*	Mean weekly cases among travellers per 10,000 population	ANOVA test p-value*
Age group	0-19y	5.98	p<0.001	0.25	p<0.001
	20-39y	26.31		0.40	
	40-59y	21.69		0.29	
	60-79y	12.36		0.12	
	80y+	1.73		0.05	
Sex	Male	17.29	p=0.731	0.28	p=0.412
	Female	16.13		0.24	
NHS Board**	Greater Glasgow &	18.42	p<0.001	0.34	p=0.004

	Clyde				
	Lothian	26.86		0.37	
	Lanarkshire	11.93		0.27	
	Grampian	20.09		0.24	
	Tayside	14.11		0.23	
	Fife	14.61		0.22	
	Ayrshire & Arran	9.92		0.22	
	Highland	10.21		0.13	
	Forth Valley	14.25		0.26	
	Dumfries & Galloway	5.66		0.12	
	Borders	9.50		0.20	
	Western Isles	5.82		0.38	
	Shetland	6.33		0.00	
	Orkney	5.22		0.45	
Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation	1 (most deprived)	10.02	p<0.001	0.22	p=0.166
	2	12.65		0.24	
	3	15.49		0.21	
	4	18.04		0.27	
	5 (least deprived)	25.95		0.35	
Month	May (17 th May)	4.40	p<0.001	0.04	p<0.001

	- 6 th Jun 2021)			
	June (7 th Jun - 4 th Jul 2021)	7.27		0.09
	July (5 th Jul - 1 st Aug 2021)	14.09		0.26
	August (2 nd Aug - 5 th Sept 2021)	24.56		0.43
	September (6 th - 26 th Sept 2021)	31.95		0.43

671 *Test of difference in mean weekly travel events per 10,000 population

672 ** NHS Boards are ranked by population size from high-to-low

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682 **Table 2.** Investigating risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection during the period of the
 683 traffic light system (17th May 2021 to 30th September 2021) in Scotland.

Factor	Level	Number (%) of positive events	Number (%) of negative events	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI, p- value)*	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI, p- value)**
Travel status	No recent travel	333,206 (99.8)	1,457,766 (97.2)	Reference	Reference
	Travel to green list country	62 (0.02)	5,536 (0.4)	0.06 (0.06, 0.07; p<0.001)	0.06 (0.05, 0.06; p<0.001)
	Travel to amber list country	463 (0.1)	35,148 (2.3)	0.07 (0.07, 0.07; p<0.001)	0.07 (0.07, 0.07; p<0.001)
	Travel to red list country	16 (0.005)	758 (0.05)	0.10 (0.09, 0.12; p<0.001)	0.10 (0.09, 0.11; p<0.001)
Age group	20-39y	114,283 (34.2)	442,182 (29.5)	Reference	Reference
	0-19y	111,775 (33.5)	355,095 (23.7)	1.34 (1.32, 1.35; p<0.001)	1.21 (1.19, 1.22; p<0.001)
	40-59y	76,330	403,990	0.74 (0.73,	0.73 (0.73, 0.74;

		(22.9)	(26.9)	0.75; p<0.001)	p<0.001)
	60-79y	26,643 (8.0)	230,426 (15.4)	0.46 (0.46, 0.47; p<0.001)	0.46 (0.45, 0.46; p<0.001)
	80y+	4,716 (1.4)	67,515 (4.5)	0.30 (0.29, 0.31; p<0.001)	0.28, (0.28, 0.29; p<0.001)
Sex	Female	167,666 (50.2)	830,303 (55.4)	Reference	Reference
	Male	166,081 (49.8)	668,905 (44.6)	1.21 (1.20, 1.22; p<0.001)	1.23 (1.22, 1.23; p<0.001)
Calendar month	September	122,729 (36.8)	499,256 (33.3)	Reference	Reference
	May	6,716 (2.0)	98,475 (6.6)	0.32 (0.31, 0.32; p<0.001)	0.30 (0.29, 0.30; p<0.001)
	June	50,341 (15.1)	285,125 (19.0)	0.80 (0.80, 0.81; p<0.001)	0.73 (0.72, 0.74; p<0.001)
	July	58,354 (17.5)	232,590 (15.5)	1.04 (1.03, 1.05; p<0.001)	1.09 (1.08, 1.10; p<0.001)
	August	95,607	383,762	1.06 (1.05,	1.06 (1.05, 1.07;

		(28.6)	(25.6)	1.06; p<0.001)	p<0.001)
Deprivation (SIMD) score	5 (least deprived)	67,106 (20.1)	318,304 (21.2)	Reference	Reference
	1 (most deprived)	76,558 (22.9)	299,429 (20.0)	1.31 (1.30, 1.33; p<0.001)	1.13 (1.12, 1.14; p<0.001)
	2	68,859 (20.6)	292,631 (19.5)	1.19 (1.18, 1.21; p<0.001)	1.10 (1.09, 1.12; p<0.001)
	3	57,938 (17.4)	279,730 (18.7)	1.03 (1.02, 1.04; p<0.001)	1.03 (1.02, 1.05; p<0.001)
	4	63,286 (19.0)	309,114 (20.6)	1.01 (0.99, 1.02; p=2.92)	1.00 (0.99, 1.01; p=0.748)

684 * Crude odds ratio estimated from single-level binary logistic regression models

685 ** Adjusted odds ratios from multivariable binary logistic regression model fitting a

686 random effect to control for health board location.

687


688

689

690

691

692 **Figures**



693

694 **Figure 1. Monthly numbers of passengers into Scotland, before and during the**
 695 **pandemic January.** Data from Civil Aviation Authority data and Passenger Locator
 696 forms spanning January 2019 to March 2022.

697


698

699

700

701

702



703

704 **Figure 2. Mean monthly number of passengers with Scottish residence**
 705 **travelling into the UK.** Based on Civil Aviation Authority data comparing periods
 706 before (January 2019 to February 2020) and during (March 2020 – March 2022) the
 707 COVID-19 pandemic.

708


709

710

711


712

713



714

715 **Figure 3. Patterns of international travel and associated SARS-CoV-2 infections**
 716 **in Scotland.** Weekly numbers of (a) international travel events among PCR-tested
 717 individuals, (b) proportions testing SARS-CoV-2 positive, and (c) numbers testing
 718 SARS-CoV-2 positive, spanning w/c 15th February 2021 to w/c 25th April 2022.



719

720 **Figure 4. Top 30 most frequently visited international travel destinations for**
 721 **Scotland by risk and impact.** Travel frequency = the number of travel events to the
 722 given destination; Risk = infection importation risk (as measured by the proportion of
 723 PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases among travel-related tests); Impact =
 724 epidemiological impact (as measured by the proportion of Scottish cases of SARS-
 725 CoV-2 associated with international travel).

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735


736

737

738

739

740



741

742 **Figure 5. Weekly count of PCR-confirmed cases undergoing whole genome**
 743 **sequencing by SARS-CoV-2 variant type.**

744

745

746

747


748


749

750

751

752





764

765 **Figure 7: Weekly count of whole genome sequenced SARS-CoV-2 cases during**
 766 **period of Omicron variant emergence.** Numbers are shown among non-traveller
 767 community cases (top panel), travellers returning from non-red destinations
 768 (middle panel), and travellers returning from red-list travel destinations (bottom panel).

769

770

771

772