1	Artificial intelligence directed development of a digital twin to measure soft tissue shift during
2	head and neck surgery
4	
5	
6	David Männle ¹ , Jan Pohlmann ¹ , Sara Monji-Azad ² , Jürgen Hesser ^{2,3,4,5,6} , Nicole Rotter ¹ , Annette
7	Affolter ¹ , Anne Lammert ¹ , Benedikt Kramer ¹ , Sonja Ludwig ¹ , Lena Huber ¹ , Claudia Scherl ^{1,6*}
8	
9	
10	
11	¹ Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University hospital Mannheim,
12 12	Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany
15 14	² Mannheim Institute for Intelligent Systems in Medicine (MIISM) Medical Faculty Mannheim
15	Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany
16	
17	³ Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing (IWR), Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany
18	
19	⁴ Central Institute for Computer Engineering (ZITI), Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany
20	
21	⁵ CZS Heidelberg Center for Model-Based AI, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany
22	
23	⁶ AI Health Innovation Cluster, Heidelberg-Mannheim Health and Life Science Alliance, Heidelberg,
24	Germany
25 26	*corresponding author
20	
27	Email: <u>claudia.scherl@umm.de</u>
20	
28	
29	Short title:
30	Artificial intelligence for measuring soft tissue shift during head and neck surgery
31	
32	Associated Data
33	Data Availability Statement
34	All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

35 Abstract

36 Introduction: Digital twins derived from 3D scanning data were developed to measure soft tissue deformation in head and neck surgery by an artificial intelligence approach. This framework was 37 applied suggesting feasibility of soft tissue shift detection as a hitherto unsolved problem. 38 39 Methods: In a pig head cadaver model 104 soft tissue resection had been performed. The surface of 40 the removed soft tissue (RTP) and the corresponding resection cavity (RC) was scanned (N=416) to train an artificial intelligence (AI) with two different 3D object detectors (HoloLens 2; ArtecEva). An 41 42 artificial tissue shift (TS) was created by changing the tissue temperature from 7,91±4,1°C to 43 36,37±1,28°C.

Results: Digital twins of RTP and RC in cold and warm conditions had been generated and volumes were calculated based on 3D surface meshes. Significant differences in number of vertices created by the different 3D scanners (HoloLens2 51313 vs. ArtecEva 21694, p<0.0001) hence result in differences in volume measurement of the RTC (p=0.0015). A significant TS could be induced by changing the temperature of the tissue of RC (p=0.0027) and RTP (p=<0.0001). RC showed more correlation in TS by heating than RTP with a volume increase of 3.1 µl or 9.09% (p=0.449).

50 *Conclusions:* Cadaver models are suitable for training a machine learning model for deformable 51 registration through creation of a digital twin. Despite different point cloud densities, HoloLens and 52 ArtecEva provide only slightly different estimates of volume. This means that both devices can be used 53 for the task.TS can be simulated and measured by temperature change, in which RC and RTP react 54 differently. This corresponds to the clinical behaviour of tumour and resection cavity during surgeries, 55 which could be used for frozen section management and a range of other clinical applications.

56 Keywords

57 Digital twin, artificial intelligence, tissue shift, soft tissue, head and neck surgery, resection cavity,58 tumour

60 Introduction

Tissue shift (TS) is referred to as tissue displacements during soft tissue surgery. The tissue deforms after the wound opening due to its soft consistency and loss of tension. Consequently, anatomical landmarks can displace making orientation difficult. This effect has often been described in neurosurgery as brain shift and is considered a major source of error in neuro-navigation systems (1-3). Similar to neurosurgery, precise orientation is of immense importance in head and neck surgery, since many critical structures are located in very small space.

67 Therefore, navigation systems had been established. So far, these can only be used for the application 68 of rigid bony structures, such as in sinus surgery (4). In visceral surgery marker-based tracking systems 69 have been evaluated to aid in tumour resection and to compensate TS in soft tissue resections (5, 6). 70 There are no marker-less navigation systems for head and neck surgery that compensate for soft tissue 71 tracking. Resection of soft tissue tumours is a major field of head and neck surgery with tissue 72 deformity causing difficulties. The study provides preliminary work for the development of a marker-73 free soft-tissue navigation system by determining TS using artificial intelligence (AI). Determining TS 74 would have an enormous advantage for tumour resection itself and for handling frozen section 75 procedure. Soft-tissue tumours could be resected better if orientation was improved by a navigation 76 system. Furthermore, due to tissue deformation the exact spot for a re-resection after frozen section 77 analysis is difficult to localize. Here, precise AI guided navigation can improve the safety, as areas to 78 be re-resected can be detected in a better way.

Moreover, it is helpful to determine tissue deformation using AI in measuring and planning defect reconstruction with flaps. Due to TS, difficulties in fitting the flaps arise. The AI system developed in this study to determine TS could also help to gauge flap sizes more precisely in the future.

To the best authors' knowledge, there is no study on AI measuring TS on volume changes of tumours and corresponding resection cavity. In order to obtain large amounts of data for AI training and the limited number of surgeries, the current study simulates tumour resections on pig cadaver heads to

investigate a TS based on experimental volume changes. The study primarily intends to generate an AI
system to register and measure TS. For that a simulation model for generating tissue shift was created.

88 Material and Methods

89 Animal cadavers and 3D scans

To conduct this experimental study 52 pig head cadavers (Schradi Frischfleisch GmbH, Mannheim) had 90 91 been dissected. The animal cadaver model was approved by the Mannheim Veterinary Office (DE 08 92 222 1019 21). The pig heads were halved in the sagittal plane and stored at 7,91±4,1°C for immediate use or frozen at approximately -18°C for later processing. The pig head cadavers (PHC) were covered 93 94 with surgical drape and tissue blocks at the parotid region down to the masseter muscle had been 95 removed as a simulated tumour resection. Surgical drapes were marked next to the resection cavities 96 and to the removed piece of tissue to make this region recognizable to the 3D cameras as "region of interest" (ROI) (Fig 1). 97

98

99 Fig 1: HMD images of pig head

Arrows and lines point to "cranial" (1), "caudal"(2), "rostral"(3), and "occipital"(4) direction to indicate the region of interest to the 3D-cameras and photogrammetry. A1: cold resection cavity; A2: cold removed tissue with basal side upwards; B1: warm resection cavity; A2: warm removed tissue with basal side upwards.

104

After resection the cavity and the resected tissue piece (RTP) are scanned with the 3D cameras (cold scan). Furthermore, images for photogrammetry are taken with the Head Mounted Display (see below). Tissue shift is simulated by heating. The shape of the tissue changes as a result of the temperature alteration, leading to a soft tissue displacement. In order to provoke a controlled and measurable tissue shift the RTP is placed back into the cavity, the PHC is covered with plastic sheets to minimize dehydration and warmed up to 36.37 ± 1.28 °C in a heat camber (*Binder GmbH, FD-53*) for

11 10 to 12 hours. The core temperature was measured continuously. Then immediately after warming112 the warm scans were performed.

To generate the raw data, scans were taken with a Head Mounted Display (HL2) (HoloLens 2[©], 113 Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA) and a 3D-object scanner ArtecEva (Artec3D, 114 115 Luxembourg) (Fig 2). HoloLens and ArteEva have already been proven to be sufficient in the clinical-116 experimental use of head and neck surgery (7-9). Immediately before scanning core temperatures 117 were measured using a piercing probe. With the HL2 approximately 15-20 images were taken from 118 each angle in order to capture as much anatomical detail of the ROI as possible. To generate a detailed 119 3D mesh with the ArtecEva the camera is moved around the objects at a distance of 40 to 100 cm for 120 30-60 seconds.

121

122 Fig 2: 3D cameras. (a) Microsoft HoloLens 2[©] (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA), 123 (b) Artec Eva 3D object scanner (Artec3D, Luxembourg). The HoloLens 2 is a mixed-reality headset that 124 augments the vision by superimposing the reality with movable 3D holograms. 3D figures can be 125 viewed from any perspective and virtually positioned anywhere in the user's field of vision. Recordings 126 are made with a camera integrated into the front part of the device in JPEG format (8 megapixels for 127 still images and 1080 p30 pixels in video format). The 3D object scanner ArtecEva is hand-held 3D-128 camera being approved for medical use. It takes 16 pictures per second. The object to be scanned is 129 illuminated alternately with a flashlight and a natural light. The 3D resolution is specified with an 130 accuracy of 0.2mm.

131

132 Data Generation

After the scans have been obtained, further processing takes place using photogrammetry. This process is done by using Meshroom software (Version 2021.1.0, Windows 10, Python 3.7.4) as a GUI for the Alice Vision Framework on a workstation with 16 Cores (AMD Ryzen 9 5950X) 64GB RAM and a single Nvidia 3080 Ti GPU. Mesh generation is done using Artec Studio 14 Professional, MeshLab 64bit,

v2021.07, and Blender 2.93.4. Individual 2D images, which are created from different angles and are
taken into account to form a 3D mesh. Then, Meshroom examines and compares the available input
images, recognizes them, and is thus able to determine the different camera positions. Therefore, the
2D objects, depicted on the HL2 images in JPEG format, are converted into 3D figures.

141

142 Post-Processing

143 To improve the quality of the 3D objects, some post-processing steps are done using MeshLab 144 software. For instance, some of the 3D figures contain holes in their surface structure. Then the "Close 145 Holes" function is used. Furthermore, during the creation and editing of the meshes, a total number is 146 set to 300,000 vertices for the entire mesh. Since uneven distribution of the vertices on the 3D figures 147 arise because some surfaces of the object are captured better than others during scanning, a 148 MeshResampling node is added to Meshroom's processing pipeline, and the "Simplification factor" 149 setting is set to 1.0. It is worth mentioning that the development of the 3D meshes from the scans with 150 the Artec Eva is carried out by the Artec Studio Professional 14 software, including the holes closing 151 and ROI segmentation. During 3D mesh generation and post-processing steps, a 3D figure of each 152 cavity and of the RTP is generated (Fig 3). The 3D figures created with Meshroom from the HL2 images 153 are provided by the software in OBJ format. In contrast to the ArtecEva meshes, this format does not 154 provide metric information such as the length and 3D object volume. However, for subsequent 155 calculation of the volume of the resection cavity and the RTP the dimensionless sizes of the OBJ format 156 have to be adapted to the real size. Additionally, an exact adjustment of the scales of the meshes from HL2 and Artec Eva images is necessary since the Meshroom software generates very small meshes 157 158 compared to the real size of the objects. The metric information provided in the Artec meshes is 159 considered the basis for calculating the scaling factor. Therefore, the length of the cranial line of the marks (Fig 1) is precisely measured three times in the MeshLab software and shown as "ltareet" in 160 161 millimetres. Subsequently, the same measurement method is applied to the corresponding mesh from 162 the HL2 recordings. The mean value is again calculated from the three measurements and shown as

163 " I_{source} ", which is dimensionless. Consequently, the scaling factor *f* is calculated using the following 164 equation:

165

$$f = \frac{l_{target}}{l_{source}}$$

(1)

166

167 With the calculated scaling factors, we are able to adjust the dimensionless sizes of the HL2 meshes to168 the real scales.

169

170 Segmentation and Volume

Segmenting the resection cavity and the RTP meshes is done in MeshLab. The "Draw Polyline" tool is 171 172 used to digitally cut out the ROI from the rest of the mesh. To this end, the edges of the resection 173 cavity and the RTP piece are marked out with the polyline by repeated mouse clicks. This is followed 174 by an inversion of this marking to the portions outside the ROI, which will be deleted at the end. In this 175 way, the relevant measurement area is separated from its external environment leaving only the 176 resected cavity and the RTP for subsequent evaluation. The process of cavity and tumour segmentation 177 to create a digital twin is shown in Fig 3. The volumes of the resection cavity and the RTP is measured 178 in mm³ and also calculated using the MeshLab software. The output is shown in Fig 3D.

179

180 Fig 3: Segmentation of meshes with MeshLab of the cold resection cavity

181 A: Digital twin of HoloLens images; B: Digital twin of ArtecEva images; C: Completed segmentation of

the ArtecEva mesh; D: View of the ArtecEva mesh after marking the volume of the ROI with the Convex

183 Hull function and calculating it using Compute Geometric Measures

184

185 Statistics

The Wilcoxon-signed-ranks test is used to compare the captured data using ArtecEva and HL2, with respect to the number of vertices in the ROI and the volume values of the cavity and of the RTP.
Differences in volume as a function of temperature was also matched employing the Wilcoxon-signed-

ranks test. This examines whether the central tendencies of two dependent paired samples differ. All analyses are reported with p-value, median, standard deviation (SD), minimum, maximum, range (minimum-maximum), and 95% confidence interval (CI). A result with a p-value < 0.05 is considered statistically significant. GraphPad PRISM, Version 9, 2020 was used for statistical analysis. Data were included in the final data aggregation (S1 appendix).

194

195 Results

The collected data consist of temperature (°C), vertices, and volume amount (µl) which are provided both for cavity and RTP in cold and warm conditions. A total of 416 data sets from 104 halved pigs were evaluated. Due to insufficient quality problems of some meshes and some 3D objects 31 data sets were excluded from the present study as the ascertainment of vertices for determination the volumes were impossible, leaving 385 eligible cases for analysis. In this regard, 208 scans of cold tissue and 208 scans of warm tissue were unattainable.

202

203 Vertices and volume depending on the capture device

204 To analyse the number of vertices in the ROI, 193 pairs of values of the meshes from HL2 and ArtecEva 205 are used. The data which is examined include the segmented resection cavity and RTP of both, cold 206 and warm, captures. As shown in Fig 4A, the HL2 generates a significantly higher number of vertices 207 after processing the meshes compared to the ArtecEva camera. With a value of 51313 vertices, the 208 HL2 meshes have more than twice the span in comparison to the 21694 vertices of the Artec 3D 209 objects. The median and standard deviation of the vertices of the HL2 and the Artec Eva meshes are 210 12928 ± 6504 (range: 1145 to 52458, 95%-CI: 12344-14005) and 10158 ± 3079 (range: 1486 to 23180, 211 95%-CI: 9424-10632), respectively (p<0.0001).

212 Details of the different cameras regarding the volume (μ I) of resection cavity and RTP are depicted in 213 **Fig 4B**. Deeming the resection cavity, there was no significant difference between the HL2 (median ± 214 SD: 35849 μ I ± 17482 μ I, range: 15814 μ I to 119618 μ I, 95%-CI: 30648-40188) and the ArtecEva (median

- \pm SD: 36104 μl \pm 17656 μl, range: 1253 μl 118037 μl, 95%-Cl: 31370-38815) p=0.9116. Analysing the
- 216 RTP volume the following significant differences are found: HL2 (median \pm SD: 29425 μ l \pm 13636 μ l,
- 217 range: 13226 μl to 86991 μl, 95%-Cl: 26217-33887) and ArtecEva (median ± SD: 31723 μl ± 14114 μl,
- 218 range: 13696 μl to 92449 μl, 95%-Cl: 26504-35296), (p=0.0015).
- 219

220 Fig 4: Vertices and volumes depending on the recording device

- A: Vertices of resection cavity and RTP recorded either with HL2 or ArtecEva; B: Comparison of volumes
- 222 (μ l) depending on recording device for either cavity or RTP.

HL2 = HoloLens, Artec = ArtecEva 3D object camera, RTP = resected tissue piece. Significance
determined with Wilcoxon-signed-ranks test, p<0.0001 = highly significant***, p<0.01 very
significant**, p<0.05 significant, ns = not significant.

226

238

227 Volume as a function of tissue and temperature

228 The following volume determinations refer to the data collected with the ArtecEva, as this device is 229 already approved for medical applications. Significant differences in volume can be seen in Fig 5A 230 comparing the cavity and the RTP for warm and cold temperatures. In the cold state (7-8°C) volume of 231 the resection cavity and the RTP reveal significant differences (p=0.0449). The volume of the cavity 232 revealed a median volume of $34074 \, \mu l \pm 16970 \, \mu l$ (range $15373 \, \mu l$ to $118037 \, \mu l$, 95%-CI: 28913-37990) 233 and the RTP of 33318 µl ± 14524 µl (range 16485 µl to 92449 µl, 95% Cl: 27105-37548). Comparing 234 cavity and RTP in the warm state (36.37 ± 1.28 °C), median volume of the cavity is $37173 \mu l \pm 18496 \mu l$, 235 (range: 12531 μ l to 117872 μ l, 95%-Cl: 29906-45620). In contrast, the warm RTP exhibited a median 236 volume of 31199 μ l ± 13501 μ l (range 13696 μ l to 85907 μ l, 95%-Cl: 23943-35576), p ≤ 0.0001. 237 The boxplots of the volume of the resection cavity and RTP before and after heating of the tissue are

- $34.1 \,\mu$ l in the cold state to $37.2 \,\mu$ l after heating (p=0.0027). A highly significant decrease in RTP volume
- by median of 2.1 μ l from 33.3 μ l in the cold state to 31.2 μ l after heating was measured (p<0.0001).

shown in **Fig 5B**. The resection cavities increase the volume significantly by a median of 3.1 μ l from

241

242 Fig 5: Volume as a function of tissue type and temperature

A: Volume as a function of tissue type: resection cavity (RC) and resected tissue piece (RTP) for cold
and warm scans; B: Volume comparing cold (7-8°C) and warm (36.37 ± 1.28 °C) temperature of RC and
RTP.

RC = resection cavity, RTP = resected tissue piece. Significance determined with Wilcoxon-signed-ranks
 test, p<0.0001 = highly significant***, p<0.01 very significant**, p<0.05 significant, ns = not significant.

248

249 Discussion

So far, no satisfactory method for measuring TS has been found. Numerous authors, mostly neurosurgeons, report on intraoperative imaging to determine TS during surgery (10-12). All methods are very time-consuming, costly and error-prone. With our AI based pilot investigation we describe a completely new approach. We were able to develop a pig carcass model on which soft tissue resections were performed. Tissue deformations were induced by controlled temperature changes. Hundreds of tissue scans trained an AI, which then registered and measured tissue deformation.

Two modern scanning devices were used and compared, Microsoft HoloLens 2 and Artec Eva 3D object scanner. Although the ArtecEva generates fewer vertices, the volume of the resection cavity is captured equally by both devices (p=0.9116). A higher number of vertices is not equivalent to an increase in accuracy. Many vertices of the HL2 overlapped. While requiring computing power the superimposed vertices did not contribute to volume calculation.

A TS can be simulated by changing the temperature of the tissue. This is caused by gaping of the borders of the resection cavity, loss of tissue tension and partial by dehydration. There is a change in Volume after heating. Cold tissue is rigid, thus preventing tissue to deform. The RTP and the defect remain precisely fitting when cold. The gaping of the borders of the cavity simulates the intraoperative behaviour of resection cavities quite well. During surgery the tension of the surrounding tissue leads to an enlargement of the defect. Our investigations show that temperature changes are suitable to simulate this intraoperative tissue shift of the resection cavity. The RTP volume decreases after
heating. This is due to changes in the elastic properties of the tissue, dehydration, and leakage of fat.
Here, too, the clinically known phenomenon of tumour shrinkage after resection can be simulated
well.

271 The simulation model presented here could be used for the following clinical problems: (1) Frozen 272 section management: This is where the largest and most important application potential can be seen. 273 When assigning intraoperative frozen section findings, shifts in landmarks can occur due to TS in the 274 resection cavity. That's why target deviation for subsequent re-resection could be up to 1 cm (13). As 275 a result, in 78% of all re-resection samples there is no residual tumour found. This could mean that the 276 corresponding site in the tumour bed for the positive or close margins was incorrectly localized and 277 tumour cells might remain in the patient (14). Our method can help to measure the TS in order to 278 better determine areas for subsequent re-resection to remove the tumour completely. This increases 279 the oncological safety of frozen section-controlled tumour resections. (2) Flap planning: In head and 280 neck surgery large defects have to be closed by tissue transfer (flaps). During surgery it is common to 281 estimate the size of a flap before tumour removal by the size of the tumour. When planning to close 282 the defect with a flap in that way, fitting difficulties of the flap are possible later on due to a TS on the 283 resection cavity. The method shown in this report can be used to determine the size and volume of 284 the defect intraoperatively in order to better determine the dimensions and volume of the flap. In 285 addition, a subsequent flap shrinkage must be taken into account. It is recommended to include this 286 shrinkage in the flap size planning beforehand (15, 16). Due to the possibility of precisely determining 287 the volume of the resection cavity with the presented method, it could also help to calculate the 288 amount of overcorrection. (3) Soft tissue navigation: In soft tissue navigation inaccuracies occur due 289 to TS. Marker-based tracking systems should help to compensate TS, which is also not error-free (5). 290 As a basis for the development of soft tissue navigation without markers, we present a completely new 291 Al-based approach. Similar to the method by Pfeiffer M et al for liver surgery (17) we developed a 292 system to record tissue deformation in real-time, which could be an enormous orientation aid,

especially in head and neck surgery. Because, due to the anatomically very narrow conditions with many structures in a small space, it is difficult to find tumours and remove them in such a way that important structures are spared.

296

Based on the investigations, it shows that generating controlled TS is possible in an animal cadaver model and to measure it by volume differences. In future works, this methodology can be applied to real tumour resection during soft tissue surgery. For this, additional work is needed in training AI to augment model prediction and accuracy, which than will also recognize different tumour formations.

301

302 Conclusions

In this study, we verified that cadaver models are suitable for training an AI through creation of big data. In the scanning process, the number of vertices depends on the recording device. The more vertices were generated, the more superimpositions of these occurred, which are not included in the volume calculation. TS can be simulated by temperature change mirroring a number of clinical phenomena, for which the presented method can be helpful in the future.

308

309 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Johann Kern and Petra Prohaska for the provision of animal laboratory space including all necessary equipment and their assistance during resection and scanning process. Furthermore, we thank Dennis Feiler and Holger Ladewig (DFC SYSTEMS, Munich) for excellent technical support. The study was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action, Central Innovation Programme for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs); funding number: KK5044704CS0.

316

317 References

3181.Khan MF, Mewes K, Gross RE, Skrinjar O. Assessment of brain shift related to deep brain319stimulation surgery. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg. 2008;86(1):44-53.

- Miyagi Y, Shima F, Sasaki T. Brain shift: an error factor during implantation of deep brain
 stimulation electrodes. J Neurosurg. 2007;107(5):989-97.
- 3223.Reinertsen I, Lindseth F, Askeland C, Iversen DH, Unsgård G. Intra-operative correction of brain-323shift. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2014;156(7):1301-10.
- 3244.Citardi MJ, Batra PS. Intraoperative surgical navigation for endoscopic sinus surgery: rationale325and indications. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007;15(1):23-7.
- 3265.Eppenga R, Kuhlmann K, Ruers T, Nijkamp J. Accuracy assessment of target tracking using two3275-degrees-of-freedom wireless transponders. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 2020;15(2):369-32877.
- 3296.Kok END, Eppenga R, Kuhlmann KFD, Groen HC, van Veen R, van Dieren JM, et al. Accurate330surgical navigation with real-time tumor tracking in cancer surgery. NPJ Precis Oncol. 2020;4:8.
- Scherl C, Männle D, Rotter N, Hesser J, Stallkamp J, Balkenhol T, et al. Augmented reality during
 parotid surgery: real-life evaluation of voice control of a head mounted display. Eur Arch
 Otorhinolaryngol. 2023;280(4):2043-9.
- 3348.Scherl C, Stratemeier J, Karle C, Rotter N, Hesser J, Huber L, et al. Augmented reality with335HoloLens in parotid surgery: how to assess and to improve accuracy. Eur Arch336Otorhinolaryngol. 2021;278(7):2473-83.
- Scherl C, Stratemeier J, Rotter N, Hesser J, Schönberg SO, Servais JJ, et al. Augmented Reality
 with HoloLens[®] in Parotid Tumor Surgery: A Prospective Feasibility Study. ORL J
 Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec. 2021;83(6):439-48.
- Ivan ME, Yarlagadda J, Saxena AP, Martin AJ, Starr PA, Sootsman WK, et al. Brain shift during
 bur hole-based procedures using interventional MRI. J Neurosurg. 2014;121(1):149-60.
- Mohammadi A, Ahmadian A, Azar AD, Sheykh AD, Amiri F, Alirezaie J. Estimation of
 intraoperative brain shift by combination of stereovision and doppler ultrasound: phantom
 and animal model study. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 2015;10(11):1753-64.
- Miga MI, Sun K, Chen I, Clements LW, Pheiffer TS, Simpson AL, et al. Clinical evaluation of a
 model-updated image-guidance approach to brain shift compensation: experience in 16 cases.
 Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 2016;11(8):1467-74.
- 348 13. Kerawala CJ, Ong TK. Relocating the site of frozen sections--is there room for improvement?
 349 Head Neck. 2001;23(3):230-2.
- Chang AM, Kim SW, Duvvuri U, Johnson JT, Myers EN, Ferris RL, et al. Early squamous cell
 carcinoma of the oral tongue: comparing margins obtained from the glossectomy specimen to
 margins from the tumor bed. Oral Oncol. 2013;49(11):1077-82.
- 35315.Yokoi S, Nishio N, Fujimoto Y, Fujii M, Iwami K, Hayashi Y, et al. Feasibility of virtual surgical354simulation in the head and neck region for soft tissue reconstruction using free flap: a355comparison of preoperative and postoperative volume measurement. Int J Oral Maxillofac356Surg. 2021;50(3):316-22.
- 35716.Kamizono KI, Yoshida S, Yasumatsu R, Kadota H. Volumetric changes of transferred free358anterolateral thigh flaps in head and neck lesions. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2021;48(4):751-7.
- Pfeiffer M, Riediger C, Weitz J, Speidel S. Learning soft tissue behavior of organs for surgical navigation with convolutional neural networks. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg.
 2019;14(7):1147-55.







A













