Association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis on depression and anxiety symptoms among slum residents in Kampala, Uganda

Authors

Solomon T. Wafula^{1,2}, Lesley L. Ninsiima¹, Hilbert Mendoza^{1,3}, John C. Ssempebwa¹, Florian Walter⁴, David Musoke¹

Institutional affiliations

1 Department of Disease Control and Environmental Health, Makerere University School of Public Health. Kampala, Uganda

2 Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Hamburg, Germany

3 Social Epidemiology and Health Policy, Department of Family Medicine and Population Health, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

4 Division of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, United Kingdom

Correspondence:

Solomon T. Wafula; swafula@musph.ac.ug

Contacts

Aisha Nalugya: aishanalugya18@gmail.com Lesley R Ninsiima: Ininsiima04@gmail.com Hilbert Mendoza: Hilbert.Mendoza2@uantwerpen.be John C Ssempebwa: jssemps@musph.ac.ug Florian Walter: florian.walter@manchester.ac.uk David Musoke: dmusoke@musph.ac.ug

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

1 Abstract

2 Background

An increase in mental health problems has been reported since the beginning of the COVID-19
pandemic. However, little is known about the prevalence of depressive and anxiety disorders, and
how recent COVID-19 diagnosis may influence risk of these conditions especially in low-income
settings. In this study, we assessed the association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis and
depressive and anxiety symptoms among residents in an urban slum setting in Uganda.

8 Methods

9 A cross-sectional study was conducted among 284 individuals in a slum settlement in Kampala, 10 Uganda between April and May 2022. We assessed generalized anxiety and depression symptoms 11 using two validated questionnaires. We collected data on sociodemographic characteristics, and 12 self-reported recent COVID-19 diagnosis (in the previous 30 days). Using a modified Poisson 13 regression, adjusted for age, sex, gender and household income, we separately provided prevalence 14 ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the associations between recent COVID-19 diagnosis and 15 depressive and anxiety symptoms.

16 Results

Overall, 33.8% and 13.4% of the participants met the depression and generalized anxiety screening criteria respectively. People with recent COVID-19 diagnosis were more likely to be depressed (53.1%) than those with no recent diagnosis (31.4%). Participants who were recently diagnosed with COVID-19 reported higher prevalence of anxiety (34.4%) compared to those with no recent diagnosis of COVID-19 (10.7%). After adjusting for confounding, recent diagnosis with COVID-19 was associated with depression (PR= 1.60, 95% CI 1.09 – 2.34) and anxiety (PR = 2.83, 95% CI 1.50 – 5.31).

24 Conclusion

This study suggests an increased risk of depressive symptoms and GAD in adults following a
COVID-19 diagnosis. We recommend additional mental health support for recently diagnosed
persons. The long-term of COVID-19 on mental health effects also need to be investigated.

2	ο
2	0

- Keywords: COVID-19, depression, anxiety, slum settlement, Uganda

37 Introduction

In 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a pandemic and has since had detrimental effects on population health and well-being worldwide [1]. The uncertainties and unpredictable nature of the pandemic resulted in individuals experiencing various forms of mental health problems such as depression, anxiety, psychosocial dysfunction, dissociation disorders, substance abuse, and insomnia. It was projected that these effects would be most severe in lowresourced settings due to constraints related to weak health systems with limited access to mental health services [2].

45

46 Low-resourced settings such as slum residences comprise of informal dwelling units which are 47 poorly constructed, crowded with poor sanitary conditions and environmental pollution [3, 4]. 48 Slum dwellers usually have poor physical and economic access to health care including mental 49 health care [5]. Most informal settlements comprise an assortment of individuals (e.g., low-income 50 earners, asylum seekers, refugees), who are at high risk of mental health problems due to multiple 51 stressors and this may be further aggravated by the COVID-19 pandemic [2]. Due to unfavourable 52 conditions of unstable income sources, high dependence on daily casual jobs, and threatened 53 livelihoods and food security [6, 7], slum dwellers may be at elevated risk of experiencing mental 54 health challenges. A constellation of individual, social and cultural factors that contribute to 55 psychological distress in informal settings have been highlighted and include trauma, violence, 56 community insecurity, and healthcare barriers [8]. Mental health among informal settlement dwellers is understudied at large, including both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic[9]. 57 58 Available evidence suggests that psychological distress during the pandemic has been high in 59 various parts of Africa, For example, in a phone-based study in urban areas of Burkina Faso, 60 Ethiopia, and Egypt, 28% of participants had symptoms of mild, moderate, or severe psychological 61 distress [10].

62

Evidence from recent epidemics such as SARS, MERS and Ebola poignantly illustrate thepsychosocial impacts of the epidemic and related restrictions. During these epidemics, the fear of

65 infection or death, helplessness, depression, anxiety, social isolation, and stigma are the most 66 reported psychological manifestations [11]. For example, approximately 15% of individuals quarantined due to SARS epidemic in the Canadian city of Toronto showed signs of depression 67 68 and post-traumatic stress disorder [12]. Similarly, higher prevalences of psychological effects such 69 as fear, anxiety and depression were reported during the 2013-2016 West Africa Ebola outbreak 70 [13]. Whereas several studies on the effect of COVID-19 on mental health have been conducted 71 elsewhere, there was limited evidence regarding the effect of recent diagnosis with COVID-19 on 72 depression and anxiety, especially among socioeconomically deprived populations such as slum 73 dwellers. To date, little is known about the burden of mental health conditions disease in urban 74 slums and related research among slum residents in Uganda and globally [9]. We, therefore, set out 75 to investigate the association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis and depressive and anxiety 76 symptoms among residents in an urban slum in Uganda.

77 Methods

78 Study design and setting

79 This study used cross-sectional, population-based data from adults (at least 18 years of age) 80 residing in Bwaise; an urban slum setting in Kampala, Uganda from April to May 2022. Bwaise is 81 one of Kampala's most densely populated slums, distinguished by mostly informal and 82 substandard housing and small-scale businesses. It has a high population density, crowded 83 households, and low socioeconomic status. The study population consisted of adults who had 84 resided in the area for at least three months.

85 Sampling procedures

A sample of 284 adults from households in the Bwaise slum participated in the study. Sample size was calculated for the main study[14]. A representative sample of households were selected from the three parishes in Bwaise. Within each parish, one zone was selected randomly by ballot method, and at least 93 households were selected from each zone using systematic sampling, with a sampling interval obtained by dividing the number of households (based on records from the local

91 office (LC)) by the number of households needed in each zone (i.e., divided by 93). Sampling and
92 interviews started at the LC office and then north direction then clockwise until the target sample
93 size was realised for each zone. A selected household was replaced by the next household if the
94 original household had no eligible respondents or did not consent.

95 Study procedures and measures

96 We organized face-to-face questionnaire interviews with participants through a consenting process 97 by experienced and trained research assistants. Research assistants with a background training in 98 health sciences captured participant responses on KoboCollect; a mobile data collection app 99 (https://www.kobotoolbox.org/). We collected information on the exposure (recent COVID-19 100 diagnosis (in the previous 30 days) and having experiences (diagnosis or death) of a family member 101 or close friend in the previous 30 days), as well as on covariates; the socio-demographic 102 characteristics (age, marital status, income, education level, duration of stay), substance use in the 103 last 30 days (alcohol, smoking, marijuana).

104 The primary outcomes were current depression and generalized anxiety disorder (within the 105 preceding 2 weeks). Depressive symptoms were assessed using a validated 9-item Patient Health 106 Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [15] with each item scored as 0 (not at all), 1 (several days), 2 (more than 107 half the days) and 3 (nearly every day). Thus, the total score of the PHQ-9 can range from 0 to 27. 108 As previously recommended [16], we used the cutoff score of 10, corresponding with at least a 109 moderate level of depression. Anxiety was assessed using a 7-item validated Generalized Anxiety 110 Disorder (GAD-7) tool to screen the presence of generalized anxiety disorder and assess its 111 severity [17]. The recommended screening cutoff was ≥ 10 , corresponding with at least a moderate 112 anxiety level. Data collection tools were developed following a critical review of existing literature 113 [18-21].

114 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14 (Stata Corp, Texas, USA). Descriptive statisticssuch as frequencies and percentages were used for categorical data. For continuous data, we used

117 medians and interquartile range. Participant characteristics by depression and anxiety status were 118 compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test for non-normally distributed continuous variables 119 and the Chi-squared test for dichotomous variables. We also performed two separate multivariable 120 modified Poisson regressions adjusting for age, sex, gender and household income [22] and 121 producing prevalence ratios (PRs) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for the 122 association between recent COVID-19 infection and depression and anxiety. All statistical tests 123 were two-tailed, and statistical significance was assumed when a p-value was ≤ 0.05 .

124

125 Results

126 Background characteristics of participants

127 In total, 284 participants participated in the study including responding to the PHQ-9 and GAD-

128 7 questionnaires. Characteristics of all participants are described for depressive and anxiety

disorders determined by PHQ-9 and GAD-7 cutoffs ≥10. Most participants were females 85.2%

and had a median age of 29 years (IQR = 24,37). The median depression score based on PHQ-9

131 was 8 (6,10) while for anxiety, the median score was 6 (4,8) based on the GAD-7. The prevalence

132 of depression was 33.8% and anxiety was 13.4%, which differed significantly depending on income

133 (p<0.001) and recent positive COVID-19 diagnosis status (p<0.001) (Table 1).

134 Table 1: Characteristics of household participants

Characteristics	Overall	Current 1	Depressive sympton	oms	Generalized anxiety disorder		
		No	Yes	p- value	No	Yes	p-value
Total	284	188 (66.2)	96 (33.8)		246 (86.6)	38 (13.4)	
Gender							
Female	242 (85.2)	158 (65.3)	84 (34.7)	0.438	207 (85.5)	35 (14.5)	0.198
Male	42 (14.8	30 (71.4)	12 (28.6)		39 (92.9)	3 (7.1)	
Age of respondents (in years)	× ×						
Median (IQR)	29 (24,37)	29 (25,36)	29 (23,38)	0.689^{1}	29 (24, 37)	29 (23, 35)	0.924^{1}
< 30	146 (51.4	97 (66.4)	49 (33.6)	0.736	126 (86.3)	20 (13.7)	0.794
30 – 45	108 (38.0	73 (67.6)	35 (32.4)		95 (88.0)	13 (12.0)	
> 45	30 (10.6	18 (60.0)	12 (40.0)		25 (83.3)	5 (16.7)	
Marital status	X						
Married or living with partner	136 (47.9	93 (68.4)	43 (31.6)	0.161	120 (88.2)	16 (11.8)	
Separated	43 (15.1	23 (53.5)	20 (46.5)		32 (74.4)	11 (25.6)	
Single	105 (37.0	72 (68.6)	33 (31.4)		94 (89.5)	11 (10.5)	
Education level							
No formal education	25 (8.8	16 (64.0)	9 (36.0)	0.201	20 (80.0)	5 (20.0)	0.514
Primary	114 (40.1	69 (60.5)	45 (39.5)	00.	98 (86.0)	16 (14.0)	
Post primary	145 (51.1	103 (71.0)	42 (29.0)		128 (88.3)	17 (.7)	
Occupation	((_,,)			- ()	
Employed	58 (20.4)	131 (67.2)	64 (32.8)	0.490	169 (86.7)	26 (13.3)	0.973
Unemployed	72 (25.4)	48 (66.7)	24 (33.3)	0.120	62 (86.1)	10 (13.9)	0.0770
Other	17 (6.0)	9 (52.9)	8 (47.1)		15 (88.2)	2 (11.8)	
Owner of the dwelling		(0-1)	0 (111)		10 (00.2)	- (11.0)	
Yes	26 (9.2)	19 (73.1)	7 /26.9)	0.437	23 (88.5)	3 (11.5)	0.772
No	258 (90.8)	169 (65.5)	89 (34.5)	0.157	223 (86.4)	35 (13.6)	0.112
Household income (USD)	200 (2010)	107 (00.0)	07 (31.3)		<u></u> (00.1)	55 (15.6)	
Median (IQR)	83.3 (41.7,	83.3 (55.5,125)	83.3 (41.7,125)	0.061^{1}	83.3 (58.3, 125)	41.6 (22.2,	< 0.0011
	125)	00.0 (00.0,120)	(11.7,125)	0.001	(30.3, 123)	83.3)	-0.001
< 50	77 27.1	44 (57.1)	33 (42.9)	0.122	55 (71.4)	22 (28.6)	< 0.001
50 - 150	163 57.4	115 (70.6)	48 (29.5)	0.122	150 (92.0)	13 (8.0)	• 0.001
> 150	44 15.5	29 (65.9)	15 (34.1)		41 (93.2)	3 (6.8)	
Duration of residence (years)	TT 13.3	27 (03.7)	13 (37.1)		TI (75.2)	5 (0.0)	
< 5	148 (52.1)	96 (64.9)	52 (35.1)	0.218	125 (84.5)	23 (15.5)	0.366
5 – 10	57 (20.1)	34 (59.7)	23 (40.3)	0.210	49 (86.0)	8 (14.0)	0.500
J = 10	57(20.1)	J+ (J)./)	23 (40.3)		+ (00.0)	0 (17.0)	

> 10	79 (27.8)	58 (73.4)	21 (26.4)		72 (91.1)	7 (8.9)	
COVID-19							
Diagnosed with COVID-19 in last 30 days	252 (88.7)	173 (68.7)	79 (31.4)	0.014	225 (89.3)	27 (10.7)	< 0.001
:No							
Yes	32 (11.3)	15 (46.9)	17 (53.1)		21 (65.6)	11 (34.4)	
Had family member or close friend who	220 (77.5)	147 (66.8)	73 (33.2)	0.682	188 (85.5)	32 (14.6)	0.285
suffered or died from COVID-19 in the							
previous 30 days: No							
yes	64 (22.5)	41 (64.1)	23 (35.9)		58 (90.6)	6 (9.4)	
Substance use in last 30 days							
Alcohol use: No	175 (61.6)	120 (68.6)	55 (31.4)	0.284	159 (90.9)	16 (9.1)	0.008
Yes	109 (38.4)	68 (62.4)	41 (37.6)		87 (79.8)	22 (20.2)	
Smoking: No	243 (85.6)	166 (68.3)	77 (31.7)	0.067	215 (88.5)	28 (11.5)	0.025
Yes	41 (14.4)	22 (53.7)	19 (46.3)		31 (75.6)	10 (24.4)	
Marijuana: No	265 (93.3)	176 (66.4)	89 (33.6)	0.772	229 (86.4)	36 (13.6)	0.705
Yes	19 (6.7)	12 (63.2)	7 (36.8)		17 (89.5)	2 (10.5)	
Have children under 5 years: No	128 (45.1)	86 (67.2)	42 (32.8)	0.749	117(91.4)	11 (8.6)	0.032
Yes	156 (54.9)	102 (65.4)	54 (34.6)		129 (82.7)	27 (17.3)	

¹ p-value based on the Wilcoxon rank sum test; other p-values based on Pearson chi-square statistics.

Association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis and depressive symptoms

In unadjusted analysis, there was a significant association between recent SARS-COV-2 infection

with household income and smoking in households. After adjusting for age, gender and income,

recent COVID-19 diagnosis was positively associated with current depressive symptoms (PR=

1.60, 95% CI 1.09 – 2.34) (Table 2).

Attributes	Current depressive symptoms					
	Unadjusted ana	lysis	Adjusted analysis			
	PR (95 % CI)	p-value	PR (95 % CI)	p-value		
Sociodemographic characteristics						
Gender						
Female	1					
Male	0.82(0.45 - 1.51)	0.454	0.83 (0.49 - 1.39)	0.470		
Age of respondents (in years)	1.00(0.98 - 1.02)	0.872	1.00(0.98 - 1.01)	0.896		
Marital status						
Married or with partner	1		1			
Separated	1.47 (0.86 - 2.50) +	0.062	1.25 (0.81 - 1.92)	0.312		
Single	0.99(0.63 - 1.56)	0.975	0.92(0.62 - 1.36)	0.665		
Education level	× /		```			
No formal education	1					
Primary	1.09(0.54 - 2.24)	0.752				
Post primary	0.80(0.39 - 1.65)	0.464				
Occupation	· · · · · ·					
Employed	1		1			
Unemployed	1.01(0.69 - 1.49)	0.937	0.98 (0.65 - 1.48)	0.931		
Other	1.43 (0.83 – 2.47)	0.194	1.41 (0.78 – 2.55)	0.253		
Owner of the dwelling:	1.28 (0.59 – 2.76)	0.459				
Length of stay (years)						
< 5	1					
5 - 10	1.14(0.70 - 1.88)	0.481				
>10	0.76(0.46 - 1.26)	0.201				
Household income (USD)						
< 50	1		1			
50-150	0.69(0.48 - 0.98)	0.036	0.80 (0.55 - 1.15)	0.224		
> 150	0.80 (0.49 - 1.29)	0.356	0.83 (0.51 - 1.36)	0.457		
COVID-19 experiences	0.000 (0.13)	0.000		0.101		
Diagnosed with COVID-19 in the last						
30 days	1.69 (1.01 – 2.86) *	0.006	1.60 (1.09 - 2.34)	0.016		
Family or close friend suffered or died						
from COVID-19 in the previous 30 days	1.08 (0.67 - 1.73)	0.679				
Psychoactive substance uses		0.072				
Alcohol use	1.20 (0.80 - 1.79)	0.281				
Regular smoking	1.46 (0.89 - 2.42)	0.049	1.29 (0.85 - 1.96)	0.225		
Marijuana or cannabis use	1.09 (0.51 - 2.36)	0.768		0.220		
Have children under 5	1.05 (0.70 - 1.58)	0.750				

149	Association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis and generalized anxiety disorder
150 151	In adjusted analysis, participants who had been diagnosed with COVID-19 within 30 days of the
152	survey had a 2.8 times higher risk of anxiety compared to their counterparts ($PR = 2.83, 95\%$ CI
153	1.50 - 5.31). Higher monthly incomes (i.e. USD $50 - 150$ (PR = 0.38, 95%CI (0.19 - 0.76) and
154	USD> 150 (PR = 0.30 , 95%CI ($0.11 - 0.87$)) were associated with lower risk of anxiety symptoms
155	compared to those who earned less than USD 50. The likelihood of meeting anxiety screening
156	criteria was 2.23 times higher among particular who reported regularly drinking alcohol compared
157	to those with no/limited alcohol consumption (PR = 2.23 , 95 CI $1.12 - 4.42$) (Table 3).
158	

Table 3: Association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis and anxiety disorder 159

Attributes	Generalized Anxiety disorder					
	Unadjusted and	alysis	Adjusted analysis			
	PR (95 % CI)	p-value	PR (95 % CI)	p-value		
Sociodemographic characteristics		1		1		
Gender						
Female	1		1			
Male	0.49 (0.16 - 1.54)	0.223	0.57(0.21 - 1.52)	0.260		
Age of respondents (in years)	1.01 (0.98 - 1.03)	0.592	1.02(0.98 - 1.05)	0.347		
Marital status						
Married or with partner	1		1			
Separated	2.17 (1.01 - 4.68)	0.027	1.56 (0.72 - 3.42)	0.262		
Single	0.89(0.41 - 1.92)	0.754	0.82 (0.41 - 1.63)	0.567		
Education level						
No formal education	1					
Primary	0.70 (0.26 - 1.92)	0.444				
Post primary	0.59(0.22 - 159)	0.247				
Occupation	()					
Formal or informal employment	1					
Unemployed	1.04 (0.52 - 2.05)	0.906				
Other	0.88(0.22 - 3.41)	0.856				
Owner of the dwelling: No	1.18 (0.36 - 3.82)	0.775				
Length of stay	· · · ·					
< 5 years	1		1			
5 - 10	0.90(0.41 - 2.01)	0.789	1.14 (0.53 – 2.44)	0.733		
>10	0.57 (0.24 – 1.33)	0.170	0.53 (0.21 – 1.33)	0.176		
Household income (USD)	· · · ·		· · · · ·			
< 50	1					
50 - 150	0.28(0.14 - 0.52)	0.001	0.38 (0.19 - 0.76)	0.006		
> 150	0.24(0.08 - 0.75)	0.015	0.30 (0.11 – 0.87)	0.026		
COVID-19 experiences	· · · ·		· · · · ·			
Diagnosed with COVID-19 in the last 30 days	3.21 (1.76 - 5.83)	< 0.001	2.83 (1.50 - 5.31)	0.001		
Family or close friend suffered or died from						
COVID-19 in the previous 30 days	0.64 (0.27 - 1.54)	0.298				
<i>Psychoactive substance use in the last 30 days</i>		'				
Alcohol	2.21 (1.16 - 4.20)	0.010	2.23 (1.12 - 4.42)	0.022		
Smoking	2.12(1.03 - 4.35)	0.022	1.21 (0.52 - 2.85)	0.662		
Marijuana	0.77 (0.19 - 3.22)	0.711				
Have children under 5	2.01 (1.00 - 4.06)	0.038	1.93 (0.98 - 3.82)	0.058		

160 **Discussion**

161

162 In this community-based study, we examined the prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety 163 and their association with recent COVID-19 diagnosis among adult slum dwellers in Kampala, 164 Uganda. Our findings highlight the challenges that individuals affected by COVID-19 face and are consistent with the larger literature on the impact of COVID-19 on mental health in the general 165 166 population. In this study, a recent COVID-19 diagnosis was associated with both depression and 167 anxiety. For instance, it increased the likelihood of depressive symptoms by 60%. For GAD, the 168 prevalence was 2.8 times higher than for those who did not have a recent infection after adjusting 169 for age, gender, household income and use of psychoactive substances.

170 Our study results revealed a high prevalence of anxiety and depression among participants (13.4%) 171 and 33.8%, respectively). High levels of depression and anxiety raise concerns about the capacity 172 of mental healthcare systems to respond during the pandemic. The prevalence of depression in 173 this study was higher than national pre-pandemic levels of 29.3%[23]. In addition to studies in the 174 general adult population in Uganda, there is evidence that mental health was significantly affected 175 by the COVID-19 pandemic even when not directly affected by the infection [24]. In the previous 176 study, the prevalence rates were reported to be in excess of 80% for depression and 90% for anxiety. Although outcome measures are not directly comparable to our study, the reported rates 177 178 appear worryingly high. As noted in that study, several limitations related to the data collection 179 might have artificially increased the prevalence rates. However, the study underlines the 180 significance of the mental health impact attributed to COVID-19 and related restrictions. Our 181 findings support new evidence from other LMICs on the state of mental health during the 182 pandemic. For instance, a recent study conducted in a socially and economically comparable setting 183 in Kenya, reported elevated rates of depression (34.1%) and GAD (14.0%) [2] while in Bangladesh, 184 the estimated prevalence of depression was 33.0%[25]. A further study from the United 185 Kingdom[26] has compared pre- and post-COVID-19 rates of psychological distress and has 186 shown that deterioration of mental health did not recover after COVID-19 restrictions were eased.

Further evidence indicates mental health problems among adults, especially during a health crisis,
impact negatively on child developmental and behavioural outcomes[27]. The implication is that
children by parents/guardians with such environments and backgrounds may be at elevated risk
to experience various cognitive, behavioural, and emotional problems later in life if the problem is
not addressed [28].

192 Although several studies have highlighted the elevated risk of mental health disorders and 193 psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic, there seems to be limited research 194 focusing specifically on how being recently diagnosed with COVID-19 affects individuals' mental 195 health. In our study, recently diagnosed (< 30 days) participants were 1.5 times and 2.8 times more 196 likely to be diagnosed to have depression and anxiety respectively compared to their counterparts. 197 In line with these findings, evidence from the United States (23) showed that experiencing a 198 COVID-19 infection was associated with an increased risk of common mental disorders such as 199 anxiety and depression in the acute phase of the infection. This is also in agreement with multiple 200 other studies reporting an elevation in mental health problems following infection [29-32]. Our 201 study is, to our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to investigate the influence of recent 202 COVID-19 diagnosis on depression and anxiety in Uganda and reinforces the fact that the month 203 following an infection seems to be associated more strongly with depressive symptoms and anxiety 204 than experiencing a COVID-19 infection at any point in the past[33]. A possible explanation for 205 these findings is that additional stressors related to the impact of COVID-19 infections such as 206 financial problems, isolation from others, grief and worries about others could contribute to the 207 experience of common mental health problems. However, studies have suggested that underlying 208 biological mechanisms could also explain the increase in the prevalence of mental disorders [34, 35]. It is well established that the mechanisms, predominantly driven by the body's immune 209 210 response and associated cytokine activity can affect the neurological structures of patients. It is 211 therefore reasonable to suggest that these changes could contribute to the observed effects on 212 mental health. However, these mechanisms are not fully understood and require further research.

213 Limitations

214 Although our study is the first to examine the association between recent COVID-19 diagnosis 215 and depressive symptoms and GAD in an informal settlement in Uganda, it had several limitations. 216 First of all, a recent COVID-19 diagnosis was self-reported (as told to participants by medical 217 practitioners after tests) and we could not validate this. It is possible that participants misclassified 218 themselves as not having a COVID-19 infection because they were not tested or diagnosed during 219 the study period (especially if they were asymptomatic). Second, we only collected data on a limited 220 set of confounding variables, which, coupled with the relatively small sample size, may have 221 hampered the robustness of our findings because we were unable to account for all relevant 222 confounders. Thirdly, we assessed mental health cross-sectionally which limits our ability to test 223 changes in prevalence over time and whether the associations changed as time since diagnosis 224 increased and also as the pandemic progressed. However, considering that our findings correspond 225 with the wider literature we are confident that despite unmeasured confounding, this association 226 would still hold even if we were to adjust for further covariates. Longitudinal studies may be 227 needed in future to assess the effect of COVID-19 diagnosis on mental health over time.

228 Conclusion

Overall, the study suggests an elevated risk for depressive symptoms and GAD among adults within 30 days following COVID-19 diagnosis. These findings suggest that additional support is required to address this burden and ensure that people receive mental /emotional treatment when necessary. Although we evaluated the effect of COVID-19 diagnosis on mental health within 30 days, long-term effects are possible; therefore, future research should evaluate the long-term consequences of COVID-19 infections in LMICS.

- 236
- 237
- 238

239 Declarations

240 Acknowledgements

- 241 We appreciate the local authorities for administrative support as well as the research assistants and
- the participants for without which this study would not have been possible.

243 Contributors

- 244 STW, MH and DM conceived and designed the study, STW supervised data collection, LLN,
- 245 STW, HM and FW analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. STW, HM, JCS,
- 246 FW and DM provided a critical review of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the
- interpretation of the results, reviewed and editing of the manuscript and approved the final version
- for publication.

249 Funding

- 250 This work was supported by Makerere University School of Public Health under the Small
- 251 Grants Programme (MakSPH-GRCB/18-19/01/02 to STW). The funders had no role in study
- design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
- 253

254 Data availability statement

- All relevant data are available from within the manuscript as well as a supplementally information
 file.
- 258 Declaration of interests
- 259 The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

260 Ethical consideration

261 Ethical approval was obtained from Makerere University School of Public Health Higher Degrees

262 Research and Ethics Committee (HDREC: Ref No. SPH-2021-99) and Uganda National Council

- 263 of Science and Technology (UNCST: Ref No. SS996ES). The Kampala Capital City Áuthority
- 264 gave permission for the study's implementation, provided advise and support with planning of
- study activities. We followed principles of the Helsinki declaration and written informed consent
- was obtained from each participant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.28.22284012; this version posted December 30, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

267 References

268 Logie CH, Berry I, Okumu M, Loutet M, McNamee C, Hakiza R, et al. The prevalence 1. 269 and correlates of depression before and after the COVID-19 pandemic declaration among 270 urban refugee adolescents and youth in informal settlements in Kampala, Uganda: A longitudinal cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. 2022;66:37-43. Epub 2021/11/18. doi: 271 272 10.1016/j.annepidem.2021.11.005. PubMed PMID: 34785396; PubMed Central PMCID: 273 PMCPMC8590831.

274 Angwenyi V, Kabue M, Chongwo E, Mabrouk A, Too EK, Odhiambo R, et al. Mental 2. 275 Health during COVID-19 Pandemic among Caregivers of Young Children in Kenya's Urban Informal Settlements. A Cross-Sectional Telephone Survey. International Journal of 276 277 and Public Health. 2021;18(19):10092. Environmental Research PubMed PMID: 278 doi:10.3390/ijerph181910092.

279 Khatua S. Density, distancing, informal settlements and the pandemic. Economic and 3. 280 Political Weekly. 2020;55(20):7-8.

281 4. Cicione A, Walls RS, Kahanji C. Experimental study of fire spread between multiple full 282 scale informal settlement dwellings. Fire safety journal. 2019;105:19-27.

283 Joulaei H, Bhuiyan AR, Sayadi M, Morady F, Afsar Kazerooni P. Slums' access to and 5. 284 coverage of primary health care services: a cross-sectional study in shiraz, a metropolis in 285 southern iran. Iran J Med Sci. 2014;39(2 Suppl):184-90. Epub 2014/04/23. PubMed PMID: 24753641; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3993042. 286

287 Kimani-Murage EW, Schofield L, Wekesah F, Mohamed S, Mberu B, Ettarh R, et al. 6. 288 Vulnerability to Food Insecurity in Urban Slums: Experiences from Nairobi, Kenya. Journal of 289 Urban Health. 2014;91(6):1098-113. doi: 10.1007/s11524-014-9894-3.

290 Nyadera IN, Onditi F. COVID-19 experience among slum dwellers in Nairobi: A double 7. 291 tragedy or useful lesson for public health reforms? International Social Work. 2020;63(6):838-292 41. doi: 10.1177/0020872820944997.

293 8. Silove D, Ventevogel P, Rees S. The contemporary refugee crisis: an overview of 294 mental health challenges. World Psychiatry. 2017;16(2):130-9. Epub 2017/05/13. doi: 295 10.1002/wps.20438. PubMed PMID: 28498581; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5428192.

296 Ezeh A, Oyebode O, Satterthwaite D, Chen YF, Ndugwa R, Sartori J, et al. The history, 9. 297 geography, and sociology of slums and the health problems of people who live in slums. 298 Lancet. 2017;389(10068):547-58. Epub 2016/10/21. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31650-6. 299 PubMed PMID: 27760703.

300 Workneh F, Wang D, Millogo O, Worku A, Chukwu A, Lankoande B, et al. Knowledge 10. 301 and Practice Related to COVID-19 and Mental Health among Adults in Sub-Saharan Africa. The 302 American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2021;105(2):351-62. doi: 303 10.4269/ajtmh.21-0219.

304 Khan KS, Mamun MA, Griffiths MD, Ullah I. The Mental Health Impact of the COVID-11. 19 Pandemic Across Different Cohorts. Int J Ment Health Addict. 2022;20(1):380-6. Epub 305 2020/08/25. doi: 10.1007/s11469-020-00367-0. PubMed PMID: 32837440; PubMed Central 306 307 PMCID: PMCPMC7347045 interest.

308 Hawryluck L, Gold WL, Robinson S, Pogorski S, Galea S, Styra R. SARS control and 12. psychological effects of guarantine, Toronto, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10(7):1206-12. 309 310 Epub 2004/08/25. doi: 10.3201/eid1007.030703. PubMed PMID: 15324539; PubMed Central 311 PMCID: PMCPMC3323345.

312 13. Van Bortel T, Basnayake A, Wurie F, Jambai M, Koroma AS, Muana AT, et al. 313 Psychosocial effects of an Ebola outbreak at individual, community and international levels.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Bull World Health Organ. 2016;94(3):210-4. Epub 2016/03/12. doi: 10.2471/blt.15.158543.
PubMed PMID: 26966332; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4773931.

Wafula ST, Nalugya A, Mendoza H, Kansiime WK, Ssekamatte T, Walekhwa AW, et al.
Indoor air pollutants and respiratory outcomes among residents of an informal urban setting
in Uganda: a cross-sectional study. medRxiv. 2022:2022.07.28.22278151. doi:
10.1101/2022.07.28.22278151.

Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity
 measure. Journal of general internal medicine. 2001;16(9):606-13.

Manea L, Gilbody S, McMillan D. Optimal cut-off score for diagnosing depression with
the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9): a meta-analysis. Canadian Medical Association
Journal. 2012;184(3):E191. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.110829.

325 17. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized
326 anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of internal medicine. 2006;166(10):1092-7.

327 18. Jafta N, Barregard L, Jeena PM, Naidoo RN. Indoor air quality of low and middle income
328 urban households in Durban, South Africa. Environmental Research. 2017;156:47-56. doi:
329 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.03.008</u>.

Heseltine E, Rosen J. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: dampness and mould.2009.

Rumchev K, Zhao Y, Spickett J. Health risk assessment of indoor air quality,
socioeconomic and house characteristics on respiratory health among women and children
of Tirupur, South India. International journal of environmental research and public health.
2017;14(4):429.

Nadali A, Arfaeinia H, Asadgol Z, Fahiminia M. Indoor and outdoor concentration of
PM10, PM2. 5 and PM1 in residential building and evaluation of negative air ions (NAIs) in
indoor PM removal. Environmental Pollutants and Bioavailability. 2020;32(1):47-55.

Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary
data. Am J Epidemiol. 2004;159(7):702-6. Epub 2004/03/23. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwh090.
PubMed PMID: 15033648.

342 23. Kaggwa MM, Najjuka SM, Bongomin F, Mamun MA, Griffiths MD. Prevalence of
343 depression in Uganda: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE.
344 2022;17(10):e0276552. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0276552.

Najjuka SM, Checkwech G, Olum R, Ashaba S, Kaggwa MM. Depression, anxiety, and
stress among Ugandan university students during the COVID-19 lockdown: an online survey.
Afr Health Sci. 2021;21(4):1533-43. Epub 2022/03/15. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v21i4.6. PubMed
PMID: 35283951; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8889827.

349 Mamun MA, Sakib N, Gozal D, Bhuiyan AI, Hossain S, Bodrud-Doza M, et al. The COVID-25. 350 19 pandemic and serious psychological consequences in Bangladesh: A population-based 351 2021;279:462-72. nationwide study. J Affect Disord. Epub 2020/10/30. doi: 352 10.1016/j.jad.2020.10.036. PubMed PMID: 33120247; PubMed Central PMCID: 353 PMCPMC7568472.

Patel K, Robertson E, Kwong ASF, Griffith GJ, Willan K, Green MJ, et al. Psychological
Distress Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Adults in the United Kingdom
Based on Coordinated Analyses of 11 Longitudinal Studies. JAMA Netw Open.
2022;5(4):e227629. Epub 2022/04/23. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.7629. PubMed
PMID: 35452109.

27. Laurenzi CA, Hunt X, Skeen S, Sundin P, Weiss RE, Kosi V, et al. Associations between
 caregiver mental health and young children's behaviour in a rural Kenyan sample. Glob Health

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.28.22284012; this version posted December 30, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

361 Action. 2021;14(1):1861909. Epub 2021/01/06. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2020.1861909. 362 PubMed PMID: 33397222; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7801103.

363 Shumba C, Maina R, Mbuthia G, Kimani R, Mbugua S, Shah S, et al. Reorienting 28. 364 Nurturing Care for Early Childhood Development during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Kenya: A 365 Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(19). Epub 2020/10/01. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17197028. PubMed PMID: 32992966; 366 PubMed Central PMCID: 367 PMCPMC7579158.

368 Ahmed GK, Khedr EM, Hamad DA, Meshref TS, Hashem MM, Aly MM. Long term 29. 369 impact of Covid-19 infection on sleep and mental health: A cross-sectional study. Psychiatry Res. 2021;305:114243. Epub 2021/10/22. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114243. PubMed 370 371 PMID: 34673325; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8507572.

372 Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-month neurological and 30. 373 psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study 374 using electronic health records. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2021;8(5):416-27. doi: 10.1016/S2215-375 0366(21)00084-5.

376 31. Thompson EJ, Stafford J, Moltrecht B, Huggins CF, Kwong ASF, Shaw RJ, et al. 377 Psychological distress, depression, anxiety, and life satisfaction following COVID-19 infection: 378 evidence from 11 UK longitudinal population studies. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2022;9(11):894-379 906. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(22)00307-8.

Magnúsdóttir I, Lovik A, Unnarsdóttir AB, McCartney D, Ask H, Kõiv K, et al. Acute 380 32. 381 COVID-19 severity and mental health morbidity trajectories in patient populations of six 382 nations: an observational study. The Lancet Public Health. 2022;7(5):e406-e16. doi: 383 10.1016/S2468-2667(22)00042-1.

384 Klaser K, Thompson EJ, Nguyen LH, Sudre CH, Antonelli M, Murray B, et al. Anxiety and 33. 385 depression symptoms after COVID-19 infection: results from the COVID Symptom Study app. 386 Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & amp; amp; Psychiatry. 2021;92(12):1254. doi: 387 10.1136/jnnp-2021-327565.

388 Yang AC, Kern F, Losada PM, Agam MR, Maat CA, Schmartz GP, et al. Dysregulation of 34. brain and choroid plexus cell types in severe COVID-19. Nature. 2021;595(7868):565-71. Epub 389 390 2021/06/22. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03710-0. PubMed PMID: 34153974; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8400927. 391

392 Etter MM, Martins TA, Kulsvehagen L, Pössnecker E, Duchemin W, Hogan S, et al. 35. 393 Severe Neuro-COVID is associated with peripheral immune signatures, autoimmunity and 394 neurodegeneration: a prospective cross-sectional study. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):6777. 395 Epub 2022/11/10. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-34068-0. PubMed PMID: 36351919.

396