Examining the Role of Maternal Religiosity in Offspring Mental Health Using

Latent Class Analysis in a UK Prospective Cohort Study

Isaac Halstead^{1*}, Jon Heron², Connie Svob³, Carol Joinson¹

Keywords: ALSPAC, religion, mental health, latent class analysis, religious belief

¹The Centre for Academic Child Health, Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, Gloucestershire, BS8 2BN, UK
²Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, Gloucestershire, BS8 2BN, UK
³ Department of Psychiatry, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons; Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University; Division of Child &

Adolescent Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute; New York, NY, USA.

* Corresponding author; Isaac.halstead@bristol.ac.uk

Word count: 3884 NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Background

Previous research has examined the role of parental religious belief in offspring mental health, but has revealed inconsistent results, and suffered from a number of limitations. The aim of this study is to examine the prospective relationship between maternal religiosity and offspring mental health and psychosocial outcomes.

Methods

We used latent classes of religious belief (Highly religious, Moderately religious, Agnostic, Atheist) in mothers from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children and examined their association with parent-reported mental health outcomes and self-reported psychosocial outcomes in their children at age 7-8 (n = 6079 for mental health outcomes and n = 5235 for psychosocial outcomes). We used inverse probability weighted multivariable logistic regression analysis adjusted for maternal mental health, adverse childhood experience, and socioeconomic variables.

Results

There was evidence for a greater risk of internalising problems among the offspring of the Highly religious and Moderately religious classes (e.g. for depression; OR = 1.51, 95% CI [1.24,1.77], OR = 1.50, 95% CI [1.26,1.73]), and greater risk of externalising problems in the offspring of the Atheist class (e.g. for ADHD; OR = 1.44, 95% CI [1.18,1.71]), compared to the offspring of the Agnostic class.

Conclusions

These novel findings provide evidence associations between maternal religiosity and offspring mental health differ when examined using a person-centred approach, compared to the previously used variable-centred approaches. Our findings also suggest that differences

may exist in the relationship between religious (non)belief and mental health variables when comparing the UK and US.

Introduction

Childhood mental health problems place a strain on both the child and their family (Farrell & Barrett, 2007; Houtrow & Okumura, 2011). Parental factors such as socioeconomic status, parenting style, and parental mental health are important predictors of mental health outcomes in children (Bøe et al., 2014; Leinonen et al., 2003; Manning & Gregoire, 2008; Melchior & van der Waerden, 2016). There is also some evidence for parental religiosity playing a role in offspring mental health, but the limited research that exists has found inconsistent associations (Bartkowski et al., 2008; Schottenbauer et al., 2007; Svob et al., 2018). Some studies that examined the relationship between parental religiosity and child internalising (Schottenbauer et al., 2007) and externalising problems (Bartkowski et al., 2008), and lower suicidal ideation (Svob et al., 2018). However, other studies have found a mixture of positive or no relationship (van der Jagt-Jelsma et al., 2015, 2017), or only mediated relationships (by parenting factors, with no direct association) with mental health (Kim-Spoon et al., 2012).

Some of these differences may be attributed to small sample sizes (J. Kim et al., 2009; Kim-Spoon et al., 2012; Svob et al., 2018; van der Jagt-Jelsma et al., 2017; Varon & Riley, 1999) and inadequate adjustment for confounders (Kim-Spoon et al., 2012; Schottenbauer et al., 2007; van der Jagt-Jelsma et al., 2017). These studies are also limited due to study design – of all the studies mentioned that examine parental religiosity and offspring mental health, only three use a longitudinal cohort study design, (the rest being cross-sectional) and none of these examined parental belief before the birth of the child.

Parental mental health is a potentially important confounder of the relationship between parental religiosity and child mental health. A large body of evidence indicates that parental mental health is a strong and consistent predictor of offspring mental health outcomes (Bould et al., 2015; Dean et al., 2010; M. Jacobs et al., 2012; R. H. Jacobs et al., 2015), and an individual's religiosity is consistently, positively related to their own mental health in US samples (Braam & Koenig, 2019; Koenig, 2009). Parental socioeconomic position (SEP) is also a plausible confounder of the relationship between parental religiosity and offspring mental health. Higher parental SEP is associated with better mental and physical health in offspring (Vukojević, 2017; Cohen et al., 2009; Lemstra et al., 2008). There is also evidence for a relationship (albeit an inconsistent one) between socioeconomic variables and religiosity (Brandt & Henry, 2012; Heaton, 2013; Horowitz & Garber, 2003; Mueller & Johnson, 1975; Schieman, 2010; Schwadel, 2015; Storm, 2017; Thompson et al., 2012), which was also identified in ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children) (Halstead et al., 2022; Major-Smith et al., 2022). Adverse childhood experiences are also associated with religious struggles (e.g., feelings of abandonment by God) such as the death of a loved one or life-threatening events (McCormick et al., 2017), but also a desire to connect to a higher power (Santoro et al., 2016). Finally, greater parental age is simultaneously related to greater religiosity (Schwadel, 2011), and better child mental health (albeit inconsistently) (Zondervan-Zwijnenburg et al., 2020), and should be included as a confounder.

Furthermore, previous research has been dominated by US samples. There is evidence for differences in the relationship between religious belief and mental health in the US compared to other countries, such as the UK (United Kingdom), Korea, Spain, The Netherlands, Slovenia, Estonia, Portugal, and Chile (King et al., 2013; Leurent et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2005; Park et al., 2012). These studies have also almost exclusively used single item measures of religiosity – attendance at a place of worship, or the importance of religion

in their lives. While commonly used in the religious belief literature, church attendance functions poorly when differentiating atheists and agnostics, which are both unlikely to attend church, barring weddings, funerals etc. Additionally, the importance of church attendance may also differ between religious denominations. Consequently, use of these items may be artificially constraining the variety of distinct kinds of religious (non)belief and conceal their true relationships to outcome variables.

Religiosity also relates to a variety of childhood psychosocial outcomes, such as higher self-worth (Top et al., 2003), academic achievement/scholastic competence (Jeynes, 2003; McKune & Hoffmann, 2009), and lower antisocial behaviours (Adamczyk, 2012; Laird et al., 2011; Munir & Malik, 2020). However, the role of parental religiosity has not been extensively examined (Abar et al., 2009; Bartkowski et al., 2008; Regnerus, 2003), with a mixture of positive, negative, and no associations.

The present study, based on data from a large birth cohort, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), examines the prospective relationship between maternal religiosity and a range of child mental health outcomes (parent-reported) and psychosocial outcomes (child-reported) at age 7-8 years. This study addresses limitations of previous studies by using latent classes that describe patterns of maternal religious belief (Halstead et al., 2022) measured before the birth of the child. The latent classes describe qualitatively distinct patterns of religious belief and distinguish between highly religious, moderately religious, Agnostic and Atheist. The analysis adjusts for a range of confounders including parental mental health, parental adverse childhood events, demographic variables, and SEP indicators.

Methods

Participants

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) was established to understand how genetic and environmental characteristics influence health and development in parents and children. All pregnant women resident in a defined area in the Southwest of England, with an expected date of delivery between 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992 were invited to take part in the study. The initial number of pregnancies enrolled is 14,541. Of these initial pregnancies, there was a total of 14,676 foetuses, resulting in 14,062 live births and 13,988 children who were alive at 1 year of age. These parents and children have been followed over the last 30 years and have completed a variety of questionnaires concerning their demographics, physiological and genetic data, life events, physical, and psychological characteristics. For more information, see Boyd et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2013. Please note that the study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/ourdata/).

Figure 1. Sample flowchart showing each stage of exclusion.



Table 1. Distribution of confounders across the samples

Sample size		Baseline (up to 13980)	Mothers with religion data (12664)	Religion and DAWBA (7530)	Religion and DAWBA and confounders (6079)	Religion and focus at 8 (7321)	Religion and Focus at 8 and confounders (5235)
Social class (Ref; non-manual)	Manual	2933 (25.6%)	2611 (24.6%)	1401(21.1%)	1235 (20.3%)	1353 (21.0%)	1038 (19.8%)
Financial problems (Ref; no)	Yes	1510 (12.1%)	1319 (11.6%)	665(9.6%)	569 (9.4%)	673 (10.0%)	510 (9.7%)
Financial hardship (Ref; no)	Yes	3298 (26.1%)	2970 (25.3%)	1590 (22.0%)	1265 (20.8%)	1494 (21.3%)	1026 (19.9%)
Education (Ref; A- level or higher)	None/vocational	3869 (29.8%)	3381 (28.2%)	1621 (21.9%)	1259 (20.7%)	1524 (21.3%)	1019 (19.5%)
	O Level	4485 (34.6%)	4243 (35.4%)	2648 (35.8%)	2228 (36.7%)	2528 (35.3%)	1875 (35.8%)
Home status (Ref; bought)	Rented	3578 (26.4%)	2941 (24.0%)	1282 (17.4%)	893 (14.7%)	1136 (15.8%)	695 (13.3%)
ACE (Ref; 0 ACE)	1	2026 (20.4%)	2330 (18.4%)	1479 (19.6%)	1174 (19.3%)	1424 (19.5%)	988 (18.7%)
	2	1387 (14.0%)	1419 (11.2%)	860 (11.4%)	684 (11.3%)	813 (11.1%)	573 (10.8%)
Maternal age at baseline	Mean (SD)	28.4 (4.8)	28.5 (4.7)	29.1 (4.5)	29.3 (4.4)	29.3 (4.5)	29.5 (4.4)
Maternal depression	Median (IQR)	7 (4.9)	6.9 (4.8)	6.5 (4.6)	6 (6)	6.5 (4.6)	6 (6)
Maternal anxiety	Median (IQR)	4.9 (3.5)	4.9 (3.5)	4.7 (3.4)	4 (4)	4.7 (3.4)	4 (4)

Note. The samples in the table correspond to the samples in the flowchart.

Exposures

Latent classes of maternal religiosity

We used the maternal latent class membership variables derived by Halstead et al., (2022) as our indicators of religiosity in the mothers of the ALSPAC parent cohort, based upon the assumptions that they would be the primary caregiver, the classes are stable over time (D. Smith et al., 2022) and that maternal and paternal religious latent classes are associated (see Halstead et al., 2022). The latent classes are composed of a series of conditional probabilities, which are

used to label and describe the classes. These classes provide a more nuanced alternative to variable centred approaches that measure religiosity using a single item by providing qualitatively distinct types of religious belief rather than a simple continuum. The questions used to derive the classes include belief in God, whether a person has asked for help from God, whether they would ask for God's help when in trouble, the duration of their faith, their church attendance, and whether they have received help from individuals from their own or another religion, which were measured at the antenatal timepoint. Our choice to use the latent classes generated at the antenatal timepoint were motivated by the larger sample size provided by this timepoint. This decision is also supported by the small amount of transition between classes over time (see D. Smith et al., 2022 for a descriptive account of these transitions). The classes were named the Highly Religious, Moderately Religious, Agnostic, and Atheist and each represented approximately 14%, 30%, 38%, and 18% of the sample, respectively. For details of these questions, see Table 2 of the appendix.

Outcomes

Parent-reported child mental health outcomes

When their study child was aged 7 years, mothers were asked to complete the Development and Wellbeing Assessment (DAWBA) (Goodman et al., 2000) which includes

questions about symptoms of common mental health disorders. We included symptoms of separation anxiety, phobias, social anxiety, obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), generalised anxiety disorder (GAD), depression, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder. A small number of children met DSM-IV criteria for psychiatric disorders in the ALSPAC cohort at this age (Joinson et al., 2006). We therefore created binary variables to indicate the presence of any symptom that was severe, e.g., rated as "a lot more than others" or "a great deal" (See Table 1 of the appendix for details of items used). Only the complete case samples were used for analyses. The prevalence of mental health symptoms is provided in Table S3 of the appendix.

Self-reported psychosocial outcomes

Child self-reported psychosocial outcomes were obtained from a 'Focus Clinic' attended by children when they were aged 8 years. The prevalence of psychosocial outcomes is provided Table S4 of the appendix. All reported Cronbach's α refer to the current paper, using the complete case samples.

Bullying (as the bully and victim)

We assessed peer victimisation through self-report using a modified version of the bullying and friendship interview schedule (Wolke et al., 2001). The scale consists of measures of being an overt victim ($\alpha = 0.593$), relational victim ($\alpha = 0.623$), overt bully ($\alpha = 0.510$), and relational bully ($\alpha = 0.447$).

Scholastic competence and global self-worth

This measure used a 12-item version of Harter's Self Perception Profile for Children (SPPC) (Harter, 1985) comprising global self-worth ($\alpha = 0.651$) and scholastic competence ($\alpha = 0.691$), rather than the full 36 item scale that contains the other subscales.

Unhappy with friends

A series of five questions taken from the Cambridge Hormones and Moods Project Friendship Questionnaire (Goodyer et al., 1990) to indicate unhappiness with friends ($\alpha = 0$. 503).

Antisocial activities

This measure used 15 questions, including 11 from the Self-Reported Antisocial Behaviour for Young Children Questionnaire (Loeber et al., 1989), three dummy questions and an additional example question, to measure antisocial activities ($\alpha = 0.582$).

Confounders

We chose confounders based upon empirical evidence of a relationship with the exposure and outcome variables. Confounders were assessed by maternal reports in the antenatal period and included maternal age at baseline, maternal mental health, and SEP indicators. We also adjusted for retrospective reports of maternal adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) assessed in questionnaires completed during the antenatal period and when the study child was aged 2 years. Details of the confounding variables are provided in Table S1 of the appendix.

Statistical analyses

We used logistic regression to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for each mental health and psychosocial variable and their association with maternal religious latent class, both before and after adjustment for confounders. As the outcomes we are examining are rare ($\leq 10\%$) we interpreted the effect estimates as risk ratios, and we attributed changes seen to parameter estimates in the multivariable models as being due to confounding (Zammit et al., 2002). Risk ratios were estimated in relation to the Agnostic class, as this was the largest class in the sample, and was characterised by the most 'moderate' beliefs (i.e. neither religious nor atheist). Parameter estimates were then adjusted for confounders. The dataset was constructed in R studio (R Core Team, 2021) and all analyses were carried out in Mplus

(Version 8.7), using a bias adjusted 3 step latent class analysis which incorporates uncertainty in latent class assignment (Vermunt & Magidson, 2021).

Weighted estimates

To address potential bias due to missing data, we created weighting variables based on SEP variables as SEP is associated with attrition in the ALSPAC sample (Fernández-Sanlés et al., 2021; Howe et al., 2013). This was done by using inverse probability weighting, using SEP indicators with minimal missingness (less than 5%) including home ownership status, cigarette smoking, car ownership, and education, with any missingness recoded in these variables to be the modal response category. These were then used as exposures in a logistic regression model, with missingness at the 7-year and 8-year timepoints (when our outcome variables were measured) as the outcome variables. From these models, the weights were calculated and added to the main dataset, for use in the weighted analyses. The results of the weighted analyses are presented as the main results and the unweighted results are provided in Table S7 and S8 of the appendix. Additionally, full details of each adjusted model may be found in Table S5 and S6 of the appendix.

Results

Compared with the baseline sample, the restricted sample used in the complete case analysis comprised a higher proportion of participants of higher SEP (i.e. homeowners, nonmanual social class, no major financial problems, and no financial hardship). The restricted sample also had a lower proportion of maternal depression, anxiety and adverse childhood events. See Table 1 for more details.

Association between maternal religiosity latent classes and parent-reported child mental health outcomes

Table 2 shows the results of the logistic regressions with parent-reported mental health variables as outcomes. In the unadjusted models, children of mothers in the **Highly Religious**

class, compared with the Agnostic class have increased risk of ADHD, depression, OCD, and ODD. Compared with the Agnostic class, children of mothers in the **Moderately Religious** class have increased risk of depression, anxiety, and ODD. Compared with the Agnostic class, children of mothers in the **Atheist** class have increased risk of ADHD, conduct disorder, and ODD. The highest level of attenuation in the adjusted models was found for conduct disorder, with a 9% reduction in the risk ratio in the fully adjusted model. There was little evidence of confounding in the adjusted models for the other mental health symptoms.

Table 2. Weighted risk ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the associations between maternal religious latent class and offspring mental health at age 7, with

the Agnostic class as the reference class.

	OR (CI) Model 1	OR (CI) Model 5		OR (CI) Model 1	OR (CI) Model 5
ADHD			Social phobia		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	1.29(1.00,1.58)	1.34(1.05,1.64)	Highly religious	1.39(1.01,1.76)	1.33(0.95,1.71)
Moderately religious	1.16(0.90,1.41)	1.17(0.91,1.43)	Moderately religious	1.25(0.91,1.58)	1.24(0.90,1.57)
Atheist	1.44(1.18,1.71)	1.41(1.14,1.68)	Atheist	1.04(0.65,1.43)	1.01(0.62,1.40)
P - value	.043	.053	P - value	.255	.328
Conduct disorder			Specific phobia		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	1.35(0.98,1.72)	1.37(0.99,1.75)	Highly religious	1.01(0.74,1.28)	1.06(0.78,1.33)
Moderately religious	1.19(0.86,1.53)	1.22(0.89,1.56)	Moderately religious	1.03(0.80,1.26)	1.05(0.82,1.28)
Atheist	1.55(1.22,1.89)	1.46(1.12,1.80)	Atheist	0.86(0.60,1.12)	0.84(0.58,1.11)
P - value	.067	.133	P - value	.585	.383
Depression			ODD		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	1.51(1.24,1.77)	1.40(1.13,1.68)	Highly religious	1.73(1.37,2.10)	1.72(1.35,2.08)
Moderately religious	1.50(1.26,1.73)	1.48(1.24,1.71)	Moderately religious	1.39(1.06,1.72)	1.38(1.04,1.72)
Atheist	1.17(0.90,1.44)	1.13(0.86,1.40)	Atheist	1.44(1.08,1.79)	1.39(1.02,1.75)
P - value	.001	.004	P - value	.020	.027
General anxiety			Separation anxiety		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	1.21(0.89,1.53)	1.22(0.90,1.55)	Highly religious	1.19(0.83,1.55)	1.18(0.81,1.56)
Moderately religious	1.44(1.18,1.70)	1.43(1.17,1.70)	Moderately religious	1.23(0.92,1.54)	1.25(0.94,1.56)
Atheist	1.24(0.94,1.53)	1.24(0.94,1.53)	Atheist	1.09(0.75,1.44)	1.05(0.70,1.40)
P - value	.056	.066	P - value	.552	.775
OCD					
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref			
Highly religious	1.60(1.21,2.00)	1.53(1.13,1.92)			
Moderately religious	0.65(0.19,1.11)	0.64(0.18,1.10)			
Atheist	1.04(0.63,1.46)	1.03(0.62,1.45)			
P - value	.004	.008			

Note. Model 1 is the unadjusted model, Model 5 adjusts for maternal age, SEP, ACE, and maternal mental health. P values are omnibus p-values based on a Wald test with 3 d.f.

Association between maternal religiosity latent classes and self-reported psychosocial outcomes

Table 3 that compared with the Agnostic class, children of mothers in the **Highly Religious** class have increased risk of antisocial behaviour, and decreased risk of being an overt bully. Compared with the Agnostic class, children of mothers in the **Moderately Religious** class have increased risk of self-reported antisocial behaviour and low scholastic competence. Compared with the Agnostic class, children of mothers in the **Atheist** class have increased risk of self-reported antisocial behaviour, but lower risk of being overt bullies. The highest level of attenuation in the adjusted models was found for low scholastic competence, with an 8% reduction in the risk ratio in the fully adjusted model. There was little evidence of confounding in the adjusted models for the other psychosocial outcomes. Table 3. Weighted risk ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the associations between maternal religious latent class and offspring psychosocial outcomes at

age 8, with the Agnostic class as the reference class.

	OR (CI) Model 1	OR (CI) Model 5		OR (CI) Model 1	OR (CI) Model 5
Antisocial behaviour			Overt bully		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	1.29(1.06,1.52)	1.29(1.06,1.52)	Highly religious	0.60(0.22,0.98)	0.59(0.21,0.98)
Moderately religious	1.24(1.04,1.44)	1.24(1.04,1.44)	Moderately religious	0.74(0.38,1.09)	0.73(0.38,1.09)
Atheist	1 42(1 21 1 64)	1 40(1 18 1 61)	Atheist	0.57(0.20,0.94)	0.58(0.21,0.95)
P - value	.008	.013	P - value	.013	.013
Low scholastic competence			Relational bully		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	0.96(0.74,1.17)	1.04(0.82,1.26)	Highly religious	0.71(0.13,1.29)	0.65(0.07,1.24)
Moderately religious	1.17(1.00,1.35)	1.22(1.04,1.40)	Moderately religious	1.03(0.48,1.59)	1.05(0.48,1.61)
Atheist	1.10(0.90,1.30)	1.12(0.92,1.32)	Atheist	0.90(0.30,1.49)	0.90(0.31,1.49)
P - value	.241	.184	P - value	.642	.458
Low self-worth			Relational victim		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	1.26(0.99,1.52)	1.27(1.00,1.54)	Highly religious	0.77(0.52,1.02)	0.74(0.49,0.99)
Moderately religious	1.15(0.91,1.38)	1.17(0.94,1.41)	Moderately religious	0.94(0.72,1.16)	0.92(0.70,1.15)
Atheist	1.17(0.91,1.43)	1.16(0.90,1.42)	Atheist	1.08(0.82,1.33)	1.09(0.84,1.35)
P - value	.324	.289	P - value	.103	.045
Overt victim			Unhappy with friends		
Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	Agnostic	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
Highly religious	0.91(0.71,1.11)	0.89(0.69,1.10)	Highly religious	1.15(0.91,1.39)	1.15(0.91,1.40)
Moderately religious	0.95(0.78,1.12)	0.95(0.78,1.12)	Moderately religious	0.93(0.72,1.14)	0.94(0.72,1.15)
Atheist	0.94(0.75,1.14)	0.96(0.76,1.15)	Atheist	0.77(0.51,1.02)	0.76(0.51,1.01)
P - value	.827	.743	P - value	.047	.043

Note. Model 1 is the unadjusted model, Model 5 adjusts for maternal age, SEP, ACE, and maternal mental health. P values are omnibus p-values based on a Wald test with 3 d.f.

Discussion

The current study found evidence that maternal religious belief was associated with a range of mental health and psychosocial outcomes in their offspring at age 7-8 years. Compared with children of Agnostic mothers, the children of Highly religious and Moderately religious parents were at greater risk of internalising symptoms, and the children of Atheist parents were at greater risk of externalising symptoms. However, there was no clear pattern of results for psychosocial outcomes. These associations were independent of maternal age, SEP variables, ACE, and mental health. There were only a few instances of attenuation, with most of the results being robust to the inclusion of confounding variables.

Strengths and limitations

There are several strengths to this study. The use of data from a large prospective community based cohort, use of latent classes of belief, rather than relying upon single item measures which dominate the religiosity literature, availability of parent- and child-reported mental health and psychosocial outcomes based on validated questionnaires, and availability of data on a wide range of important confounders The use of latent classes provided insights that may have otherwise been lost by using items that fail to differentiate between Agnostic and Atheist individuals, such as through the use of items that ask participants to indicate the importance of religion in their lives or church attendance.

There are also limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings. There was a large amount of attrition between the baseline sample, and the final complete case analysis which could lead to selection bias. Specifically, those with higher SEP (Howe et al., 2013) and religiosity (Morgan et al., 2022) are more likely to participate in ALSPAC initially and continue to participate over time. Attrition is strongly related to low SEP in ALSPAC, and the present study attempted to mitigate this using weighting to account for the potential bias, in line with recommendations (Howe et al., 2013).

Comparisons with previous research

Our findings are contrary to previous research which examined the relationship between parental religious belief and child mental health, which has found that greater parental (either maternal or paternal) religious belief is associated with better mental health outcomes in offspring (Svob et al., 2018; Varon & Riley, 1999). A possible reason for this difference is that the current study is based on a UK sample, compared to previous research which has predominantly been based on US samples (e.g., 75% of the religious belief and mental health research reviewed by Koenig, 2009 was conducted on US samples). There is evidence the UK differs in its relationship with religious belief and mental health compared to other countries, with some studies finding that increased religious or spiritual belief was associated with worse mental health outcomes such as depression, anxiety, and phobias (King et al., 2013; Leurent et al., 2013). Additionally, given that the US is highly religious compared to the UK, and most previous studies contain relatively small numbers of individuals that could be considered atheist or agnostic, previous studies may be less suited to capturing the differences between them and religious individuals.

The use of items that assume religious belief exists on a simple continuum from nonreligious to highly religious may be obscuring the true nature of religion's relationship with mental health and psychosocial outcomes. The relationship between religious belief and mental health may be better explained by using qualitatively different types of belief in future research. Given previous literature's inclination to use items that ask about religious attendance or the importance of religion, and both Atheists and Agnostics are likely to respond the same way to these items (i.e. they are both unlikely to attend church), previous research may be failing to acknowledge the way these items function for different groups. Conflating Atheist and Agnostic groups appears to hide important differences in outcomes between the two.

Possible mechanisms explaining the current findings

The increased mother-reported externalising problems and psychosocial outcomes in the Highly Religious mothers (e.g. ADHD, ODD, antisocial behaviour) may be partially explained by highly religious mothers having higher expectations of their child's morals (Rhodes & Nam, 1970; C. Smith, 2003), an increased likelihood to perform parental monitoring activities (Guo, 2018; Y.-I. Kim & Wilcox, 2014) and to be more engaged in their child's life (Guo, 2018). This possibly leads them to be more attentive to ADHD or ODD symptoms, as well as negative (e.g. antisocial) behaviours. However, children of religious parents also perceive their parents to be more controlling, which could in turn lead to more internalising and externalising problems (Bornstein et al., 2017). Combined, this suggests that a degree of monitoring or control is healthy and may lead to more attentive parenting, but when it is perceived to be excessive, it can be a stressor to the child. In the context of the current study, the higher degree of control that religious mothers exert over their children may impact their levels of stress, leading to a greater risk of depression or anxiety (Bean & Catania, 2018; Rapee, 1997; Yap et al., 2014). The increased mother reported internalising symptoms may be explained by children of religious parents being religious themselves, which may incline them towards rumination, which leads to greater internalising symptoms (Saunders et al., 2021). Religious belief is associated with OCD (Abramowitz et al., 2004; Himle et al., 2011; Sica et al., 2002), possibly through heightened pathogen disgust sensitivity (Olatunji et al., 2007), which is also associated with religiosity (Stewart et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2021). Consequently, the behaviours associated with OCD symptoms may be taught to offspring during their upbringing (Waters & Barrett, 2000). There is little existing literature to explain the pattern of results in the Atheist class, or for the differences between the Moderately and Highly Religious classes. We may nevertheless speculate that the association between spirituality, depression, and other internalizing disorders may be partially explained by an increased interiororientation, observed for example in rumination (Saunders et al., 2021) and default-mode

network connectivity (Svob et al., 2016) in those who are spiritual. Whereas, Atheism may support a more external worldview and contribute to its greater likelihood to be associated with externalizing disorders. Further research is needed to examine the replicability of our findings in non-US samples.

Conclusions

Contrary to existing literature, we found evidence that maternal religiosity is associated with a higher risk of internalising symptoms. Children of atheist parents are at greater risk of externalising symptoms. Future research is needed to determine whether these relationships are causal and to identify the underlying mechanisms. For example, it is conceivable that the relationship may be mediated by parenting style/quality variables or moderated by partner religious class.

Ethical standards

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses.

Financial support

The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol currently provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors, and they will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. A

comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf). This project was made possible through the support of grants from the John Templeton Foundation (ref no. 61356 and 61917). The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton Foundation.

Competing Interests

The authors declare none.

Data Availability

Please see the ALSPAC data management plan which describes the policy regarding data sharing (<u>http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/documents/alspac-data-management-plan.pdf</u>), which is by a system of managed open access. Data used for this submission will be made available on request to the Executive (<u>alspac-exec@bristol.ac.uk</u>). The datasets presented in this article are linked to ALSPAC project number B4001, please quote this project number during your application.

The steps below highlight how to apply for access to the data included in this study and all other ALSPAC data:

1. Please read the ALSPAC access policy (<u>http://www.bristol.ac.uk/media-library/sites/alspac/documents/researchers/data-access/ALSPAC_Access_Policy.pdf</u>) which describes the process of accessing the data and samples in detail, and outlines the costs associated with doing so.

2. You may also find it useful to browse our fully searchable research proposals database (<u>https://proposals.epi.bristol.ac.uk/?q=proposalSummaries</u>), which lists all research projects that have been approved since April 2011.

3. Please submit your research proposal (<u>https://proposals.epi.bristol.ac.uk/</u>) for consideration by the ALSPAC Executive Committee. You will receive a response within 10 working days to advise you whether your proposal has been approved.

References

- Abar, B., Carter, K. L., & Winsler, A. (2009). The effects of maternal parenting style and religious commitment on self-regulation, academic achievement, and risk behavior among African-American parochial college students. *Journal of Adolescence*, *32*(2), 259–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2008.03.008
- Abramowitz, J. S., Deacon, B. J., Woods, C. M., & Tolin, D. F. (2004). Association between Protestant religiosity and obsessive-compulsive symptoms and cognitions. *Depression and Anxiety*, 20(2), 70–76. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20021
- Adamczyk, A. (2012). Understanding Delinquency with Friendship Group Religious Context*: Delinquency with Friendship Group Religious Context. *Social Science Quarterly*, n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6237.2012.00839.x
- Bartkowski, J. P., Xu, X., & Levin, M. L. (2008). Religion and child development: Evidence from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study. *Social Science Research*, 37(1), 18–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2007.02.001
- Bean, S. J., & Catania, J. A. (2018). Immunology beliefs as a factor in vaccine opposition among complementary and alternative medical providers. SAGE Open Medicine, 6, 205031211880762. https://doi.org/10.1177/2050312118807625
- Bøe, T., Sivertsen, B., Heiervang, E., Goodman, R., Lundervold, A. J., & Hysing, M. (2014). Socioeconomic Status and Child Mental Health: The Role of Parental Emotional Well-Being and Parenting Practices. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 42(5), 705–715. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-013-9818-9
- Bornstein, M. H., Putnick, D. L., Lansford, J. E., Al-Hassan, S. M., Bacchini, D., Bombi, A. S., Chang, L., Deater-Deckard, K., Di Giunta, L., Dodge, K. A., Malone, P. S., Oburu, P., Pastorelli, C., Skinner, A. T., Sorbring, E., Steinberg, L., Tapanya, S., Tirado, L. M. U., Zelli,

A., & Alampay, L. P. (2017). 'Mixed blessings': Parental religiousness, parenting, and child adjustment in global perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *58*(8), 880–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12705

- Bould, H., Koupil, I., Dalman, C., DeStavola, B., Lewis, G., & Magnusson, C. (2015). Parental mental illness and eating disorders in offspring: Parent Mental Illness, Offspring Eating Disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 48(4), 383–391.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22325
- Boyd, A., Golding, J., Macleod, J., Lawlor, D. A., Fraser, A., Henderson, J., Molloy, L., Ness, A.,
 Ring, S., & Davey Smith, G. (2013). Cohort Profile: The 'Children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *42*(1), 111–127. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys064
- Braam, A. W., & Koenig, H. G. (2019). Religion, spirituality and depression in prospective studies: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 257, 428–438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.06.063
- Brandt, M. J., & Henry, P. J. (2012). Psychological Defensiveness as a Mechanism Explaining the Relationship Between Low Socioeconomic Status and Religiosity. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 22(4), 321–332. https://doi.org/10.1080/10508619.2011.646565
- Cohen, D., Yoon, D. P., & Johnstone, B. (2009). Differentiating the Impact of Spiritual Experiences, Religious Practices, and Congregational Support on the Mental Health of Individuals With Heterogeneous Medical Disorders. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 19(2), 121–138. https://doi.org/10.1080/10508610802711335
- Dean, K., Stevens, H., Mortensen, P. B., Murray, R. M., Walsh, E., & Pedersen, C. B. (2010). Full Spectrum of Psychiatric Outcomes Among Offspring With Parental History of Mental Disorder. Archives of General Psychiatry, 67(8), 822. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.86
- Farrell, L. J., & Barrett, P. M. (2007). Prevention of Childhood Emotional Disorders: Reducing the Burden of Suffering Associated with Anxiety and Depression. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 12(2), 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-3588.2006.00430.x

- Fernández-Sanlés, A., Smith, D., Clayton, G. L., Northstone, K., Carter, A. R., Millard, L. A., Borges, M. C., Timpson, N. J., Tilling, K., Griffith, G. J., & Lawlor, D. A. (2021). Bias from questionnaire invitation and response in COVID-19 research: An example using ALSPAC. *Wellcome Open Research*, *6*, 184. https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17041.1
- Fraser, A., Macdonald-Wallis, C., Tilling, K., Boyd, A., Golding, J., Davey Smith, G., Henderson, J.,
 Macleod, J., Molloy, L., Ness, A., Ring, S., Nelson, S. M., & Lawlor, D. A. (2013). Cohort
 Profile: The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 42(1), 97–110. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys066
- Freeman, A., Tyrovolas, S., Koyanagi, A., Chatterji, S., Leonardi, M., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L., Tobiasz-Adamczyk, B., Koskinen, S., Rummel-Kluge, C., & Haro, J. M. (2016). The role of socioeconomic status in depression: Results from the COURAGE (aging survey in Europe). BMC Public Health, 16(1), 1098. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3638-0
- Goodman, R., Ford, T., Richards, H., Gatward, R., & Meltzer, H. (2000). The Development and Well-Being Assessment: Description and Initial Validation of an Integrated Assessment of Child and Adolescent Psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 41(5), 645– 655. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2000.tb02345.x
- Goodyer, I., Wright, C., & Altham, P. (1990). Recent Achievements and Adversities in Anxious and Depressed School Age Children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 31(7), 1063– 1077. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1990.tb00846.x
- Guo, S. (2018). A model of religious involvement, family processes, self-control, and juvenile delinquency in two-parent families. *Journal of Adolescence*, 63(1), 175–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2017.12.015
- Halstead, I., Heron, J., & Joinson, C. (2022). Identifying patterns of religiosity in adults from a large UK cohort using latent class analysis. Wellcome Open Research, 7, 192. https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17969.1
- Harter, S. (1985). Manual of the Self-Perception Profile for Children.
- Heaton, T. B. (2013). Religion and socioeconomic status in developing nations: A comparative approach. *Social Compass*, *60*(1), 97–114. https://doi.org/10.1177/0037768612471772

- Himle, J. A., Chatters, L. M., Taylor, R. J., & Nguyen, A. (2011). The relationship between obsessivecompulsive disorder and religious faith: Clinical characteristics and implications for treatment. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 3(4), 241–258. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023478
- Horowitz, J. L., & Garber, J. (2003). Relation of Intelligence and Religiosity to Depressive Disorders in Offspring of Depressed and Nondepressed Mothers. J. AM. ACAD. CHILD ADOLESC. PSYCHIATRY, 9.
- Houtrow, A. J., & Okumura, M. J. (2011). Pediatric mental health problems and associated burden on families. *Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies*, 6(3), 222–233. https://doi.org/10.1080/17450128.2011.580144
- Howe, L. D., Tilling, K., Galobardes, B., & Lawlor, D. A. (2013). Loss to Follow-up in Cohort Studies: Bias in Estimates of Socioeconomic Inequalities. *Epidemiology*, 24(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e31827623b1
- Jacobs, M., Miller, L., Wickramaratne, P., Gameroff, M., & Weissman, M. M. (2012). Family religion and psychopathology in children of depressed mothers: Ten-year follow-up. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 136(3), 320–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.11.030
- Jacobs, R. H., Talati, A., Wickramaratne, P., & Warner, V. (2015). The Influence of Paternal and Maternal Major Depressive Disorder on Offspring Psychiatric Disorders. *Journal of Child* and Family Studies, 24(8), 2345–2351. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-014-0037-y
- Jeynes, W. H. (2003). The Effects of Religious Commitment on the Academic Achievement of Urban and Other Children. *Education and Urban Society*, 36(1), 44–62. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124503257206
- Joinson, C., Heron, J., Emond, A., & Butler, R. (2006). Psychological Problems in Children with Bedwetting and Combined (day and night) Wetting: A UK Population-Based Study. *Journal* of Pediatric Psychology, 32(5), 605–616. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsl039
- Kim, J., McCullough, M. E., & Cicchetti, D. (2009). Parents' and Children's Religiosity and Child Behavioral Adjustment Among Maltreated and Nonmaltreated Children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 18(5), 594–605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-009-9262-1

- Kim, Y.-I., & Wilcox, W. B. (2014). Religious Identity, Religious Attendance, and Parental Control. *Review of Religious Research*, 56(4), 555–580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13644-014-0167-0
- Kim-Spoon, J., Longo, G. S., & McCullough, M. E. (2012). Parent-Adolescent Relationship Quality as a Moderator for the Influences of Parents' Religiousness on Adolescents' Religiousness and Adjustment. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *41*(12), 1576–1587. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-012-9796-1
- King, M., Marston, L., McManus, S., Brugha, T., Meltzer, H., & Bebbington, P. (2013). Religion, spirituality and mental health: Results from a national study of English households. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 202(1), 68–73. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.112.112003
- Koenig, H. G. (2009). Research on Religion, Spirituality, and Mental Health: A Review. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 54(5), 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1177/070674370905400502
- Laird, R. D., Marks, L. D., & Marrero, M. D. (2011). Religiosity, self-control, and antisocial behavior: Religiosity as a promotive and protective factor. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 32(2), 78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2010.12.003
- Leinonen, J. A., Solantaus, T. S., & Punamäki, R.-L. (2003). Parental mental health and children's adjustment: The quality of marital interaction and parenting as mediating factors: Parental mental health and children's adjustment. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 44(2), 227–241. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.t01-1-00116
- Lemstra, M., Neudorf, C., D'Arcy, C., Kunst, A., Warren, L. M., & Bennett, N. R. (2008). A Systematic Review of Depressed Mood and Anxiety by SES in Youth Aged 10–15 Years. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 99(2), 125–129. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03405459
- Leurent, B., Nazareth, I., Bellón-Saameño, J., Geerlings, M.-I., Maaroos, H., Saldivia, S., Švab, I., Torres-González, F., Xavier, M., & King, M. (2013). Spiritual and religious beliefs as risk factors for the onset of major depression: An international cohort study. *Psychological Medicine*, 43(10), 2109–2120. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712003066

- Lewis, C. A., Maltby, J., & Day, L. (2005). Religious orientation, religious coping and happiness among UK adults. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38(5), 1193–1202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2004.08.002
- Loeber, R., Stouthamer-Loeber, M., Van Kammen, W. B., & Farrington, D. P. (1989). Development of a New Measure of Self-Reported Antisocial Behavior for Young Children: Prevalence and Reliability. In M. W. Klein (Ed.), *Cross-National Research in Self-Reported Crime and Delinquency* (pp. 203–225). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-1001-0_10
- Lorant, V. (2003). Socioeconomic Inequalities in Depression: A Meta-Analysis. American Journal of Epidemiology, 157(2), 98–112. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwf182
- Major-Smith, D., Morgan, J., Halstead, I., Tohidinik, H. R., Iles-Caven, Y., Golding, J., & Northstone, K. (2022). Demographic and socioeconomic predictors of religious/spiritual beliefs and behaviours in a prospective cohort study (ALSPAC) in Southwest England: Results from the parental generation. *Wellcome Open Research*, *7*, 159. https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17897.1
- Manning, C., & Gregoire, A. (2008). Effects of parental mental illness on children. 3.
- McCormick, W. H., Carroll, T. D., Sims, B. M., & Currier, J. (2017). Adverse childhood experiences, religious/spiritual struggles, and mental health symptoms: Examination of mediation models.
 Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 20(10), 1042–1054.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2018.1440544
- McKune, B., & Hoffmann, J. P. (2009). Religion and Academic Achievement Among Adolescents. 23.
- Melchior, M., & van der Waerden, J. (2016). Parental influences on children's mental health: The bad and the good sides of it. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 25(8), 805–807. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-016-0891-9
- Morgan, J., Halstead, I., Northstone, K., & Major-Smith, D. (2022). Religious/spiritual beliefs and behaviours and study participation in a prospective cohort study (ALSPAC) in Southwest England. *Wellcome Open Research*, *7*, 186.

https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17975.1

- Mueller, C. W., & Johnson, W. T. (1975). Socioeconomic Status and Religious Participation. *American Sociological Review*, 40(6), 785. https://doi.org/10.2307/2094180
- Munir, A., & Malik, J. A. (2020). Mediating role of religious orientation and moral character for the relationship between parent and peer attachment and delinquency. *Cogent Psychology*, 7(1), 1761042. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2020.1761042
- Olatunji, B. O., Williams, N. L., Tolin, D. F., Abramowitz, J. S., Sawchuk, C. N., Lohr, J. M., & Elwood, L. S. (2007). The disgust scale: Item analysis, factor structure, and suggestions for refinement. *Psychological Assessment*, 19(3), 281–297. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.19.3.281
- Park, J.-I., Hong, J. P., Park, S., & Cho, M.-J. (2012). The Relationship between Religion and Mental Disorders in a Korean Population. *Psychiatry Investigation*, 9(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.4306/pi.2012.9.1.29
- R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. https://www.R-project.org/
- Rapee, R. (1997). Potential role of childrearing practices in the development of anxiety and depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 17(1), 47–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(96)00040-2
- Regnerus, M. D. (2003). Linked Lives, Faith, and Behavior: Intergenerational Religious Influence on Adolescent Delinquency. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 42(2), 189–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5906.00172
- Rhodes, A. L., & Nam, C. B. (1970). The Religious Context of Educational Expectations. American Sociological Review, 35(2), 253. https://doi.org/10.2307/2093204
- Santoro, A. F., Suchday, S., Benkhoukha, A., Ramanayake, N., & Kapur, S. (2016). Adverse childhood experiences and religiosity/spirituality in emerging adolescents in India. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 8(3), 185–194. https://doi.org/10.1037/rel0000038
- Saunders, D., Svob, C., Pan, L., Abraham, E., Posner, J., Weissman, M., & Wickramaratne, P. (2021). Differential Association of Spirituality and Religiosity With Rumination. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 209(5), 8.

Schieman, S. (2010). Socioeconomic Status and Beliefs about God's Influence in Everyday Life. *Sociology of Religion*, 71(1), 25–51. https://doi.org/10.1093/socrel/srq004

- Schottenbauer, M. A., M. Spernak, S., & Hellstrom, I. (2007). Relationship between family religious behaviors and child well-being among third-grade children. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture*, 10(2), 191–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/13674670600847394
- Schwadel, P. (2011). Age, period, and cohort effects on religious activities and beliefs. *Social Science Research*, 40(1), 181–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2010.09.006
- Schwadel, P. (2015). Explaining Cross-National Variation in the Effect of Higher Education on Religiosity: EFFECT OF HIGHER EDUCATION ON RELIGIOSITY. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 54(2), 402–418. https://doi.org/10.1111/jssr.12187
- Sica, C., Novara, C., & Sanavio, E. (2002). Religiousness and obsessive–compulsive cognitions and symptoms in an Italian population. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40(7), 813–823. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(01)00120-6
- Smith, C. (2003). Research Note: Religious Participation and Parental Moral Expectations and Supervision of American Youth. *Review of Religious Research*, 44(4), 414. https://doi.org/10.2307/3512218
- Smith, D., Halstead, I., Morgan, J., Tohidinik, H. R., Iles-Caven, Y., Golding, J., & Northstone, K. (2022). Individual-level changes in religious/spiritual beliefs and behaviours over three decades in the parental generation of the ALSPAC cohort, UK [Preprint]. Open Science Framework. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/brykn
- Stewart, P. A., Adams, T. G., & Senior, C. (2020). The Effect of Trait and State Disgust on Fear of God and Sin. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 51. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00051
- Storm, I. (2017). Does Economic Insecurity Predict Religiosity? Evidence from the European Social Survey 2002–2014. Sociology of Religion, 78(2), 146–172. https://doi.org/10.1093/socrel/srw055
- Svob, C., Wang, Z., Weissman, M. M., Wickramaratne, P., & Posner, J. (2016). Religious and spiritual importance moderate relation between default mode network connectivity and

> familial risk for depression. *Neuroscience Letters*, *634*, 94–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2016.10.009

Svob, C., Wickramaratne, P. J., Reich, L., Zhao, R., Talati, A., Gameroff, M. J., Saeed, R., &
Weissman, M. M. (2018). Association of Parent and Offspring Religiosity With Offspring
Suicide Ideation and Attempts. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 75(10), 1062.
https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.2060

Thompson, M. S., Thomas, M. E., & Head, R. N. (2012). Race, Socioeconomic Status, and Self-Esteem: The Impact of Religiosity. *Sociological Spectrum*, 32(5), 385–405. https://doi.org/10.1080/02732173.2012.694792

- Top, B. A., Chadwick, B. A., & McClendon, R. J. (2003). Spirituality and Self-Worth: The Role of Religion in Shaping Teens' Self-Image. 20.
- van der Jagt-Jelsma, W., de Vries-Schot, M. R., de Jong, R., Hartman, C. A., Verhulst, F. C., Klip, H., van Deurzen, P. A. M., & Buitelaar, J. K. (2015). Religiosity and mental health of preadolescents with psychiatric problems and their parents: The TRAILS study. *European Psychiatry*, 30(7), 845–851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.07.006
- van der Jagt-Jelsma, W., de Vries-Schot, M., Scheepers, P., van Deurzen, P. A. M., Klip, H., & Buitelaar, J. K. (2017). Longitudinal study of religiosity and mental health of adolescents with psychiatric problems. The TRAILS study. *European Psychiatry*, 45, 65–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2017.05.031
- Varon, S. R., & Riley, A. W. (1999). Relationship Between Maternal Church Attendance and Adolescent Mental Health and Social Functioning. *Psychiatric Services*, 50(6), 799–805. https://doi.org/10.1176/ps.50.6.799

Vermunt, J. K., & Magidson, J. (2021). How to Perform Three-Step Latent Class Analysis in the Presence of Measurement Non-Invariance or Differential Item Functioning. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 28(3), 356–364. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2020.1818084

Vukojević, M. (2017). Parental Socioeconomic Status as a Predictor of Physical and Mental Health Outcomes In Children – Literature Review. Acta Clinica Croatica. https://doi.org/10.20471/acc.2017.56.04.23

Waters, T. L., & Barrett, P. M. (2000). The Role of the Family in Childhood Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 3(3), 173–184. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009551325629

Wolke, D., Woods, S., Stanford, K., & Schulz, H. (2001). Bullying and victimization of primary school children in England and Germany: Prevalence and school factors. *British Journal of Psychology*, 92(4), 673–696. https://doi.org/10.1348/000712601162419

- Yap, M. B. H., Pilkington, P. D., Ryan, S. M., & Jorm, A. F. (2014). Parental factors associated with depression and anxiety in young people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 156, 8–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.11.007
- Yu, Z., Bali, P., Tsikandilakis, M., & Tong, E. M. W. (2021). 'Look not at what is contrary to propriety': A meta-analytic exploration of the association between religiosity and sensitivity to disgust. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, bjso.12479. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12479
- Zammit, S., Allebeck, P., Andreasson, S., Lundberg, I., & Lewis, G. (2002). Self reported cannabis use as a risk factor for schizophrenia in Swedish conscripts of 1969: Historical cohort study. *BMJ*, 325(7374), 1199–1199. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7374.1199
- Zondervan-Zwijnenburg, M. A. J., Veldkamp, S. A. M., Neumann, A., Barzeva, S. A., Nelemans, S. A., Beijsterveldt, C. E. M., Branje, S. J. T., Hillegers, M. H. J., Meeus, W. H. J., Tiemeier, H., Hoijtink, H. J. A., Oldehinkel, A. J., & Boomsma, D. I. (2020). Parental Age and Offspring Childhood Mental Health: A Multi-Cohort, Population-Based Investigation. *Child Development*, *91*(3), 964–982. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13267