1					
2	White matter tract integrity is reduced in major depression and in individuals with genetic				
3	liability for depression				
4	David Nothdurfter ¹ , Philippe Jawinski ¹ , Sebastian Markett ^{1*} ,				
5	¹ Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany				
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29	*corresponding author's address:				
30	Dr. Sebastian Markett				
31	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin				
32	Unter den Linden 6				
33	10099 Berlin, Germany NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice				
34	sebastian.markett@hu-berlin.de				

1 0. Abstract

- 2 Major depression has been linked to alterations of the brain's white matter architecture. Major
- 3 depression has also a considerable genetic component. It is, however, unclear if genetic liability
- 4 for depression relates directly to the suggested alterations at the brain level.
- 5 We re-evaluated white matter fiber tract alterations in major depression and aimed to relate 6 polygenic risk for depression in unaffected individuals to these alterations.
- 7 We conducted a population-based retrospective cohort study with N=17183 participants (n=287
- 8 currently depressed, n=5536 formerly depressed, n= 13360 healthy) aged 44 to 82 from the UK
- 9 Biobank imaging cohort, collected between 2015 and 2020.
- 10 Depression status was assessed based on questionnaire data. We derived 27 major white matter
- 11 tracts from diffusion MRI and indexed their integrity according to mean fractional anisotropy.
- 12 Genetic liability for depression was quantified by polygenic scores based on three recent case-
- 13 control GWAS.
- 14 White matter integrity was globally reduced in depression. The reduction was most pronounced
- 15 on thalamic and intracortical fiber tracts, where the reduction was observed irrespective of
- 16 current symptom status. Non-depressed individuals showed already a reduction in relevant fiber
- 17 tracts with increasing polygenic risk, particularly in thalamic radiations. Subsequent in-silico
- 18 simulations and non-parametric tests confirmed that the polygenic association was stronger than
- 19 expected given the low-level statistical dependencies between target and discovery samples.
- 20 White matter integrity on thalamic and association tracts is reduced in depression irrespective
- 21 of current disease status and relates to the underlying genetic risk factors in unaffected
- 22 individuals. The observed statistical links between genes, brain, and disorder adhere to the
- 23 definition of an intermediate phenotype at the brain level.

1 1. Introduction

2 Depression is among the most prevalent psychiatric disorders in Europe and one of the leading causes of disability around the globe^{1,2}. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have 3 4 confirmed that depression is considerably heritable and highly polygenic with many individual genetic variants of very small effect sizes each³⁻⁶. At the time of writing, about 3.2% of the risk 5 6 towards major depression can be explained by polygenic scores, i.e., weighted sum scores that aggregate information of multiple trait-associated alleles from GWAS⁴. Little is known, 7 8 however, about how this genetic risk might translate into depression. In the present study, we 9 aim at identifying brain phenotypes that may mediate the effects of genetic variation on disease 10 risk. Neuroimaging studies suggest alterations of the integrity of white matter pathways in 11 people suffering from depression⁷. While the findings of lower global white matter integrity in 12 people suffering from depression are robust⁸, it remains open which specific brain pathways 13 are altered. Previous research has yielded mixed results, also due to different MRI protocols or 14 limited power in studies with low sample size⁹. Meta-analyses have found most consistently 15 alterations in the genu of the corpus callosum but have produced mixed results regarding other fiber tracts^{8–11}. Even larger sample size may be needed to overcome limitations and to resolve 16 17 inconsistencies regarding implicated fiber tracts. Another reason for inconsistent findings might 18 be heterogeneity in case definition when not distinguishing between currently depressed 19 individuals and individuals with a life-time history of depression. It has been suggested, for 20 instance, that individuals suffering from acute depression exhibit more pronounced alterations 21 of connectivity patterns⁹.

22 The goals of the present study are twofold. First, we seek to re-evaluate alterations in white 23 matter integrity. By distinguishing between life-time as well as currently depressed individuals 24 in a large imaging sample (UK Biobank with 19,183 participants) we seek to establish both global and local connectivity markers of depression. Second, we seek to link depression-related 25 26 white matter alterations to the genetic risk for depression in the healthy population. Studies on 27 the relationship between polygenic risk for depression and brain connectivity have yielded divergent results thus far^{12,13} but were also not based on the most recent GWAS findings on 28 29 depression. Establishing neural markers for depression (goal 1) and linking these markers to 30 polygenic liability (goal 2) are major steps towards a deeper understanding of the etiology of depression¹⁴. 31

32

33 2. Methods

1 2.1. Data resource

All participants were drawn from the UK Biobank (UK Biobank, 2020a). Access to the UK Biobank was granted under project 42032 (PI: Sebastian Markett). We focused on a subset of N = 19,183 participants with neuroimaging (T1- and diffusion-weighted) and with complete genotyping data (50.27% men; mean age M = 64.08 years (SD = 7.52, range: 45 - 82).

6

7 2.2 Exclusion criteria

8 We excluded participants with poor quality in the structural T1-weighted image, participants 9 with sex-aneuploidy and whose reported sex did not match their genetic sex, and participants 10 with a history of neurological disorders such as dementia or psychiatric disorders other than 11 depression, social phobia or anxiety, panic attacks, or generalized anxiety disorder. Details on 12 the exclusion procedure are described in the supplementary methods.

13

14 *2.3 Depression status*

Depression status was assessed based on participants' responses to a touchscreen questionnaire presented during the imaging visit¹⁵. We assigned participants into three groups: *depressed without current symptoms, currently depressed* and *healthy controls*. Details on the assignment to the groups are described in the supplementary methods. In total, depression status was obtained for 19,183 subjects (13360 healthy, 5536 formerly depressed and 287 currently depressed individuals). For descriptive statistics see supplementary table T1.

21

22 2.4 Group matching

Because measures of white matter integrity are influenced by sex, age and image quality, we performed an additional analysis in a subset of the sample in which the three groups were matched for these variables (via propensity score matching; see supplementary methods). The matched sub-sample included 8653 participants (see figure 1 and supplementary tables T1-T4).

28 2.5 MRI analyses

MRI data were acquired on Siemens Skyra 3T scanners at three different imaging facilities across the UK. We used the preprocessed imaging-derived phenotypes for DTI data, generated by an imaging-processing pipeline run by the UK Biobank¹⁶. In brief, tractography was perfomed in FSL's probtrackx and 27 major tracts were automatically reconstructed from the data and characterized by mean fractional anisotropy (FA). More details are given in the supplementary methods.

Because fiber tracts share a substantial amount of variance¹⁷, we computed summary FA measures (via principal component analyses) for groups of fiber tracts (all fiber tracts, association/ commissural fibers, thalamic radiations and projection fibers), following suggestions from previous work¹⁸ (see supplementary methods for more details).

5 Multiple regression analyses were then performed to investigate differences in fractional 6 anisotropy between the three groups, individually for the three comparisons (currently vs. 7 formerly depressed, currently depressed vs. healthy, formerly depressed vs. healthy). The four 8 PCs (summary FA measures) were each set as the dependent variable, while depression status 9 served as the independent variable. Also, multiple regressions were performed with FA of 10 individual fiber tracts as dependent variable (applying the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure with 11 a false discovery rate of 5%¹⁹).

12

13 2.6. Polygenic risk score analyses

Polygenic risk scores were based on three genome-wide association studies (GWAS)⁴⁻⁶. Details 14 15 on the calculation of the polygenic risk sores are described in the supplementary methods. To 16 investigate if higher polygenic risk for depression is associated with lower white matter 17 integrity on depression-related fiber tracts, we analyzed the remaining healthy participants that 18 were not used for the previous analyses with the matched subgroups. To ensure full equivalence 19 between this analysis and the group comparison, we used PCs from the group comparison and projected the unmatched healthy individuals' data onto these components. The resulting factor 20 21 scores as well as FA values of individual fiber tracts were then correlated with participants' 22 polygenic risk scores for depression. Multiple regressions were performed with factor scores as 23 dependent variable and each polygenic risk score as independent variables. In addition to the 24 above-mentioned covariates, genotyping array and 20 genetic principal components were 25 included. As the three GWAS discovery samples showed varying sample overlap (6.19, 44.74 26 and 59.58%) with the full UKB cohort from which we selected a subsample for the present 27 study, we performed additional analyses to ensure that the overlap does not confound our 28 conclusions. We benchmarked our results in comparison to a null model that was based on a 29 series of simulations in which we explored how random variables with varying intercorrelations 30 with depression status are related to the polygenic risk scores. The rationale behind these 31 analyses was to quantify the impact of sample overlap between GWAS discovery and target 32 samples under different boundary conditions. As part of this benchmarking, each empirical PGS 33 association was compared to the distribution of PGS associations with 10,000 random synthetic

1 variables which were similarly intercorrelated with depression status as white matter integrity.

2 We refer to the supplementary material for more details.

- 3
- 4

5 2.7. Genetic correlations.

We conducted GWAS using *PLINK2* for the FA phenotypes that differed between groups. All
participants with available genetic and imaging data were included for this step. We then
performed linkage disequilibrium score regression to calculate SNP-based heritabilities²⁰.
Finally, genetic correlations were performed between the depression phenotypes and the FA
phenotypes.

11

12 2.8. Covariates and Outlier exclusion

Highest degree of education, intake of antidepressants, sex, age, age², image quality and the location of the assessment center were entered as covariates (see supplementary methods for more details).For all analyses, we excluded participants with outliers in the PC or FA value of interest by applying the Tukey criterion. On average, 1.27% (*SD*=0.76) of the participants were excluded in the different analyses due to outliers. Figure 1 provides an overview of the different sub-samples of the UK Biobank used for the various analyses.

19

20 2.9 Data and Code availability statement

21 All analyses were performed in *R* version 3.6.3. All data were taken from the UK Biobank.

22 Data access is limited to registered researchers via https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/. We will

23 publish analysis code for the null model for PGS testing as well as code to reproduce the figures

24 on the open science framework upon final acceptance of the manuscript.



1

2 Figure 1 Overview of the sub-samples included for the different analyses. Each depicted 3 person-icon represents 1,000 participants. Smaller icons represent proportionally lower 4 numbers of participants (unmatched sub-samples: depressed with current symptoms 287 5 participants, depressed without current symptoms 5536 participants, no depression 13360 6 participants, unclear depression status 15876 participants; matched sub-samples: depressed 7 with current symptoms 286 participants, depressed without current symptoms 4185 8 participants, no depression 4182 participants; no depression (remaining unmatched) 8092 9 participants).

10

11 3. Results

12

13 3. 1. Differences in global FA components

14 We first investigated group differences in global FA as quantified by the first principal component (PC) across all fiber tracts and across all fiber tract groups. Supplementary tables 15 16 T5 and T6 depict loadings of individual fiber tracts on these PCs. Figure 2 shows the 17 comparison of the four fiber groups' PCs for the subgroup of matched participants. Multiple 18 regression analyses revealed that depressed participants without current symptoms showed significantly lower values on the PC derived from all fiber tracts (b = -0.184, p = 0.008), 19 20 association/commissural fibers and thalamic radiations than healthy participants (b = -0.219, p = .018; b = -0.132, p < .001). Between healthy participants and depressed participants with 21 22 current symptoms, the PCs derived from association/commissural fibers and thalamic 23 radiations differed significantly (b = -0.38, p = .019; b = -0.252, p = .023). No differences in any 24 of the four PCs could be observed between the two depression groups. The results were similar 25 to the analyses including the full sample, except for a lack of significant difference in thalamic 26 radiations between currently depressed and healthy participants (see tables T7 to T9). 27





1

2 Figure 2 Overall integrity of all white matter fiber tracts (A) was reduced in individuals with a 3 history of depression but no current symptoms (D-) relative to healthy participants (H). For association fiber tracts (B) and thalamic radiations (C), the reduction was additionally visible 4 5 for the smaller group of individuals with current symptoms (D+). No such difference was 6 observed for projection fibers (D). The bar graphs in the middle column give the factor scores 7 of the fiber tracts in the matched subgroups (caliper 0.05). The red lines mark significant group 8 differences. The heatmaps on the right list association strengths (standardized beta coefficients) 9 between fiber tract integrity and polygenic scores based on the GWAS by Howard et al. (H), 10 Wray et al. (W), and Baselmans et al. (B) across different thresholds. Significant coefficients are highlighted according to the corresponding t-statistic. 11

12

13 3. 2. Differences in individual fiber tracts

14 Eleven individual fiber tracts showed decreased FA in depressed participants without current 15 symptoms in at least the full sample or the sub-sample including matched participants, compared to healthy participants (figure 2). Between healthy participants and depressed 16 participants with current symptoms significant differences in FA were found for five fiber tracts 17 (figure 2). None of the fiber tracts differed between the two depression groups. 18





2 Figure 3 Eleven fiber tracts (top panel) showed group differences individually (middle panel). 3 We present standardized beta coefficients for group differences between healthy individuals 4 and individuals with a history of depression (no current symptoms) and individuals with current 5 symptoms, based on matched groups (m) and all participants (a). Only FDR-significant 6 coefficients are given and color-coded by the corresponding t-value. Polygenic score 7 associations are depicted in the bottom panel (different discovery GWAS in columns, different 8 thresholds in rows). Only significant associations are highlighted and color coded by the 9 corresponding t-value.

10

11 3.3. Polygenic risk scores

Figure 2 depicts the associations between PGS and fiber integrity in healthy participants of the 12 13 fiber tract groups that were linked to depression in the group comparison (all fiber tracts, 14 commissural/association fibers, thalamic radiations). With increasing genetic liability for depression (higher PGS scores), healthy individuals showed reduced integrity of 15 commissural/association fiber tracts and of the thalamic radiations, suggesting a subclinical 16 alteration of white matter integrity. Of note, this relationship was observed for all three 17 operationalizations of polygenic risk and extended across several PGS thresholds. Figure 3 18 19 depicts the association of PGS and the integrity of the individual fiber tracts for which we 20 observed group differences between healthy participants and one of the two depression groups. 21 Every fiber tract except the left inferior longitudinal fasciculus showed significant association 22 with at least one of the three PGS, most consistently for the anterior thalamic radiations and the 23 forceps minor.

24

25 3.4 Genetic correlations

26 We sought to corroborate previous heritability estimates of depression through LD score 27 regression: Depending on the summary statistics from the original GWAS, heritability 28 estimates were 9.6%⁶, 6.3%⁴, and 3.1%⁵. SNP-based heritability for the global FA components

was considerable, ranging between 29-30% (supplementary table T21). Genetic correlations between depression and global FA components were all in the expected directions but not statistically significant (supplementary table T21). SNP-based heritability for individual fiber tracts ranged between 19 and 33%. Genetic correlations with depression were all in the expected direction but did not survive the correction for multiple comparisons (see supplementary table T22).

7

8 3.5 Validation analysis

9 To investigate the influence of partly overlapping participants between the present and 10 the GWAS discovery samples, we simulated synthetic random data for which we systematically 11 manipulated the degree of intercorrelation with actual depression status. We then ran partial 12 correlation analyses identical to our actual analysis of white matter fiber statistics (see methods 13 and supplementary methods). As expected, we observed a spurious increase in polygenic 14 association with increasing intercorrelations between depression status and random data when 15 including patients and controls (Figure 4A). When limiting the analysis to healthy controls, 16 however, the partial correlations where close to zero (< |1e-15|), irrespective of the degree of 17 intercorrelation between the synthetic data and depression status (figure 4B). While the 18 simulation results suggest that partially overlapping discovery and target samples do not affect 19 the validity of PGS associations in a scenario where GWAS summary statistics are obtained by 20 case-control comparisons and the target sample includes only controls, we still decided to 21 complement the simulations with a further validation step: For each fiber group, we established 22 a null model of 10,000 random variables whose intercorrelation with depression status matched 23 the empirical correlations between fiber integrity and depression status. If the here reported 24 PGS associations with fiber integrity were simply a result of the partially overlapping samples. 25 we would except similar PGS associations with the random data. This, however, was not the 26 case: For association/commissural tracts and for the thalamic radiations, we confirmed 27 significant reductions in fiber integrity in healthy participants with higher genetic liability for 28 depression (figure 4C). Only for the global measure of white matter integrity of all fiber tracts, 29 the comparison to the null model did not confirm the descriptive PGS association, suggesting 30 that the observed correlation is not stronger than the inherent interdependencies resulting from 31 sample overlap.



1

2 Figure 4 Results from the validation analyses: PGS associations with synthetic data are only 3 substantial when the target sample includes cases and controls, and increase as a function of 4 similarity between the variable of interest and disease status (A). When only healthy 5 participants are included in the target sample, the PGS associations with random data is 6 practically zero (B). The different lines reflect PGS on different thresholds, with more yellow 7 hues reflecting less liberal thresholds. The highlighted empirical PGS associations in panel C 8 are significantly larger (i.e. more negative) than PGS associations with comparable random data 9 (p<.05, non-parametric test with 10,000 random variables).

10

11

1 **4. Discussion**

2

3 The present study aimed at establishing structural connectivity markers for major depression, 4 and linking depression-related white matter alterations to the genetic risk for depression. We 5 found lower white matter fiber tract integrity in depression using data from the UK Biobank, extending previous work with an earlier data release¹⁸. Our results support previous findings on 6 reduced integrity of interhemispheric fiber tracts, particularly the forceps minor^{8–11,21}, fiber 7 tracts belonging to the Papez circuit which plays a role in negative affect²², and the medial 8 forebrain bundle which includes the cingulum bundle and the uncinate fasiculus²³ (Bracht et 9 10 al., 2015). We further observed that similar reductions in white matter integrity present already 11 subclinically in healthy individuals with higher genetic liability for depression.

12

Mental disorders are considerably heritable²⁴ and contemporary GWAS results can be used to 13 14 quantify an individual's genetic liability for a disorder in the form of polygenic scores²⁵. 15 Linking PGS to brain structure and function bears the potential to elucidate the neural 16 mechanisms by which genetic risk translates into the disorder, to assess interactions with 17 environmental factors, and to characterize sub-clinical expression in unaffected populations. So 18 far, PGS-brain relationships have mostly been investigated in the context of schizophrenia and autism¹ but not all attempts have been successful^{31,32}. PGS for depression and related 19 phenotypes have also been linked to brain structure and function $^{33-36}$, but not all studies have 20 21 distinguished between cases and controls, or have linked the PGS-brain associations to 22 case/control differences. Particularly the latter, however, is crucial to establish links between 23 genes, brain, and behavior, and to establish intermediate phenotypes for the disorder. To the 24 best of our knowledge, our current results are the first to confirm neurostructural differences in 25 individuals with depression and link the same neurostructural variables to genetic liability for 26 depression in unaffected individuals. Our results thus provide major implications for structural 27 connectivity as an intermediate phenotype for depression.

28

To qualify as an intermediate phenotype (or endophenotype) of depression, an imaging-derived phenotype needs to be related to the disorder, needs to be heritable, and needs to be relatable to the genetic underpinnings of the disorder in unaffected individuals¹⁴. We confirmed these criteria for white matter integrity: Table 1 summarizes our findings regarding these criteria for different groups of fiber tracts. Using LD-score regression, we confirmed a SNP-based heritability for white matter tract integrity ranging from 19 and 33%. Using polygenic scores,

1 we confirmed that genetic liability for depression is not only associated with the disorder itself,

but also with white matter tract integrity, which is a key postulate for an endophenotype^{37,38}. 2

3 Even though total effect sizes were low, the significant reductions in white matter integrity with

4 increasing genetic risk load in healthy individuals indicate that white matter integrity of

5 implicated fiber tracts fulfill the endophenotype criteria. The small effect sizes are a likely

6 consequence of the high polygenicity of the genetic underpinnings of depression and the limited

- prediction accuracy of polygenic scores to date.³⁹ 7
- 8

9 Table 1 Summary of the main results with respect to the endophenotype concept. White

matter integrity of association and commissural fiber tracts is heritable, reduced in depression 10

independent of current symptoms, and subclinically reduced in healthy individuals who are 11

12 genetically at risk.

-	Reduced in	Independent of	Heritable	Polygenic risk in
	depression	current illness		healthy individuals
		state		
All fiber tracts	Х	Х	Х	(x)
Association/ commissural fibers	х	X	Х	X
Thalamic radiation	X	X	X	х
Projection fibers		Х		

- 13
- 14

15 A well-known pitfall in PGS analyses is the spurious increase in association strength when the GWAS discovery sample and the target sample overlap⁴⁰. Even partial sample overlap can 16 17 dramatically inflate observed associations. Partially overlapping samples, however, should be less of a concern when the GWAS summary statistics reflect a group comparison (such as 18 19 individuals with depression vs. healthy controls) and the target variable of interest is only 20 assessed in individuals belonging to either group (such as healthy participants only). We 21 corroborated this conjecture through a series of simulations. And we established through a 22 simple non-parametric test that the observed relationship between polygenic liability for 23 depression and white matter integrity of intracortical and thalamic fiber tracts is substantial, even in the face of overlapping samples. Given the large sample size requirements of discovery 24 25 GWAS³⁹, sample overlap will remain a concern, at least until the predictive accuracy of PGS 26 will improve to the point that meaningful associations can already be observed in small target 27 samples⁴¹. The here presented non-parametric test does not depend on prior knowledge of

sample overlap, does not require access to individual-level data from the discovery cohort, and
 is easy to implement, particularly in psychiatric research contexts where summary statistics
 often result from case/control comparisons.

4

Contrary to the polygenic score analyses, genetic correlations did not reveal significant 5 6 associations between FA phenotypes and depression. This may be explained by the lower 7 statistical power of summary-based (genetic correlations via LD score regression) relative to 8 individual-level association analysis (polygenic score analysis). Findings from genetic 9 correlations may indicate that the total genetic overlap between FA phenotypes and depression 10 is modest, in line with previous findings linking psychiatric diseases to brain structure⁴². The 11 lack of significant genetic correlations between FA and depression needs further investigation. 12 Another general limitation to the present study is the group definition which was not based on 13 structured clinical interviews but on self-report data. Even though this assessment led to similar prevalence rates of depression as other studies¹⁵, it is still possible that depression in the present 14 15 study may have been over-diagnosed.

16

In summary, we report structural connectivity differences between healthy and depressed individuals. These alterations are present in currently depressed as well as formerly depressed individuals, are heritable, and seem to be related to higher genetic liability for depression in healthy individuals. Our results thus provide indications for an involvement of structural connectivity in the genetic etiology of depression. Identifying molecular substrates of brain connectivity may thus reflect a promising strategy to inform individualized treatment^{43–45} and improved diagnostics in the near future^{46,47}

24 25

26 5. References

- 27
- Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of Global Mortality and Burden of Disease from
 2002 to 2030. Samet J, ed. *PLoS Med.* 2006;3(11):e442.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030442
- Wittchen HU, Jacobi F, Rehm J, et al. The size and burden of mental disorders and other
 disorders of the brain in Europe 2010. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol J Eur Coll Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2011;21(9):655-679. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2011.07.018
- Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic Epidemiology of Major Depression: Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000;157(10):1552-1562. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.157.10.1552

1 Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression 4. 2 identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain 3 regions. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(3):343-352. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7 4 5. Baselmans BML, Jansen R, Ip HF, et al. Multivariate genome-wide analyses of the well-5 being spectrum. Nat Genet. 2019;51(3):445. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0320-8 6 6. Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 7 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nat Genet. 8 2018;50(5):668-681. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3 9 7. Zhuo C, Li G, Lin X, et al. The rise and fall of MRI studies in major depressive disorder. 10 Transl Psychiatry. 2019;9(1):335. doi:10.1038/s41398-019-0680-6 11 8. Liao Y, Huang X, Wu Q, et al. Is depression a disconnection syndrome? Meta- analysis 12 of diffusion tensor imaging studies in patients with MDD. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 13 2013;38(1):49-56. doi:10.1503/jpn.110180 14 9. van Velzen LS, Kelly S, Isaev D, et al. White matter disturbances in major depressive 15 disorder: a coordinated analysis across 20 international cohorts in the ENIGMA MDD 16 working group. Mol Psychiatry. 2020;25(7):1511-1525. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0477-2 17 10. Wise T, Radua J, Nortje G, Cleare AJ, Young AH, Arnone D. Voxel-Based Meta-18 Analytical Evidence of Structural Disconnectivity in Major Depression and Bipolar 19 Disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2016;79(4):293-302. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.03.004 20 11. Chen G, Hu X, Li L, et al. Disorganization of white matter architecture in major 21 depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging with tract-based spatial 22 statistics. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):21825. doi:10.1038/srep21825 23 12. Reus LM, Shen X, Gibson J, et al. Association of polygenic risk for major psychiatric 24 illness with subcortical volumes and white matter integrity in UK Biobank. Sci Rep. 25 2017;7(1):42140. doi:10.1038/srep42140 26 13. Whalley HC, Sprooten E, Hackett S, et al. Polygenic Risk and White Matter Integrity in 27 Individuals at High Risk of Mood Disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2013;74(4):280-286. 28 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.01.027 29 14. Gottesman II, Gould TD. The Endophenotype Concept in Psychiatry: Etymology and 30 Strategic Intentions. Am J Psychiatry. 2003;160(4):636-645. 31 doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.160.4.636 32 15. Smith DJ, Nicholl BI, Cullen B, et al. Prevalence and Characteristics of Probable Major 33 Depression and Bipolar Disorder within UK Biobank: Cross-Sectional Study of 172,751 34 Participants. Potash JB, ed. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(11):e75362. 35 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075362 36 16. Alfaro-Almagro F, Jenkinson M, Bangerter NK, et al. Image processing and Quality 37 Control for the first 10,000 brain imaging datasets from UK Biobank. NeuroImage. 38 2018;166:400-424. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.10.034

- 1 17. Cox SR, Ritchie SJ, Tucker-Drob EM, et al. Ageing and brain white matter structure in 2 3,513 UK Biobank participants. Nat Commun. 2016;7(1):13629. 3 doi:10.1038/ncomms13629
- 4 Shen X, Reus LM, Cox SR, et al. Subcortical volume and white matter integrity 18. 5 abnormalities in major depressive disorder: findings from UK Biobank imaging data. Sci 6 Rep. 2017;7(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05507-6
- 7 19. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and 8 Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol. 1995;57(1):289-9 300. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x
- 10 Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh PR, Finucane HK, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes 20. 11 confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 12 2015;47(3):291-295. doi:10.1038/ng.3211
- 13 21. Deng F, Wang Y, Huang H, et al. Abnormal segments of right uncinate fasciculus and 14 left anterior thalamic radiation in major and bipolar depression. Prog 15 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2018;81:340-349. 16 doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.09.006
- 17 22. Papez J. A proposed mechanism of emotion. 1937 [classical article]. J Neuropsychiatry 18 Clin Neurosci. 1995;7(1):103-112. doi:10.1176/jnp.7.1.103
- 19 23. Bracht T, Linden D, Keedwell P. A review of white matter microstructure alterations of 20 pathways of the reward circuit in depression. J Affect Disord. 2015;187:45-53. 21 doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.06.041
- 22 24. Pettersson E, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H, et al. Genetic influences on eight psychiatric 23 disorders based on family data of 4 408 646 full and half-siblings, and genetic data of 24 333 748 cases and controls. Psychol Med. 2019;49(07):1166-1173. 25 doi:10.1017/S0033291718002039
- 26 25. Murray GK, Lin T, Austin J, McGrath JJ, Hickie IB, Wray NR. Could Polygenic Risk 27 Scores Be Useful in Psychiatry?: A Review. JAMA Psychiatry. 2021;78(2):210. 28 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3042
- 29 26. Grama S, Willcocks I, Hubert JJ, et al. Polygenic risk for schizophrenia and subcortical 30 brain anatomy in the UK Biobank cohort. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10(1):309. 31 doi:10.1038/s41398-020-00940-0
- Ranlund S, Rosa MJ, de Jong S, et al. Associations between polygenic risk scores for 32 27. 33 four psychiatric illnesses and brain structure using multivariate pattern recognition. 34 NeuroImage Clin. 2018;20:1026-1036. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2018.10.008
- 35 28. Sha Z, Schijven D, Francks C. Patterns of brain asymmetry associated with polygenic 36 risks for autism and schizophrenia implicate language and executive functions but not 37 brain masculinization. Mol Psychiatry. Published online July 1, 2021. 38 doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01204-z
- 39 29. Stauffer EM, Bethlehem RAI, Warrier V, et al. Grey and white matter microstructure is 40 associated with polygenic risk for schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry. Published online 41 August 30, 2021. doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01260-5

- Zhu X, Ward J, Cullen B, et al. Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia, Brain Structure, and
 Environmental Risk in UK Biobank. *Schizophr Bull Open*. 2021;2(1):sgab042.
 doi:10.1093/schizbullopen/sgab042
- Alemany S, Blok E, Jansen PR, Muetzel RL, White T. Brain morphology, autistic traits,
 and polygenic risk for autism: A p OPULATION-BASED neuroimaging study. *Autism Res.*2021;14(10):2085-2099. doi:10.1002/aur.2576
- van der Merwe C, Passchier R, Mufford M, Ramesar R, Dalvie S, Stein DJ. Polygenic
 risk for schizophrenia and associated brain structural changes: A systematic review.
 Compr Psychiatry. 2019;88:77-82. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2018.11.014
- Schmitt S, Meller T, Stein F, et al. Effects of polygenic risk for major mental disorders and cross-disorder on cortical complexity. *Psychol Med*. Published online April 8, 2021:1-12. doi:10.1017/S0033291721001082
- Shen X, Howard DM, Adams MJ, et al. A phenome-wide association and Mendelian
 Randomisation study of polygenic risk for depression in UK Biobank. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):2301. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16022-0
- 35. Ward J, Lyall LM, Bethlehem RAI, et al. Novel genome-wide associations for
 anhedonia, genetic correlation with psychiatric disorders, and polygenic association with
 brain structure. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2019;9(1):327. doi:10.1038/s41398-019-0635-y
- Zhang X, Yan H, Yu H, et al. Childhood urbanicity interacts with polygenic risk for
 depression to affect stress-related medial prefrontal function. *Transl Psychiatry*.
 2021;11(1):522. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01650-x
- 37. Kendler KS, Neale MC. Endophenotype: a conceptual analysis. *Mol Psychiatry*.
 2010;15(8):789-797. doi:10.1038/mp.2010.8
- 38. Meyer-Lindenberg A, Weinberger DR. Intermediate phenotypes and genetic
 mechanisms of psychiatric disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2006;7(10):818-827.
 doi:10.1038/nrn1993
- 27 39. Zhang Y, Qi G, Park JH, Chatterjee N. Estimation of complex effect-size distributions
 28 using summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex
 29 traits. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(9):1318-1326. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0193-x
- Wray NR, Yang J, Hayes BJ, Price AL, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. Pitfalls of
 predicting complex traits from SNPs. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2013;14(7):507-515.
 doi:10.1038/nrg3457
- 41. Plomin R, von Stumm S. The new genetics of intelligence. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2018;19:148159. doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.104
- 42. van der Meer D, Shadrin AA, O'Connell K, et al. Boosting Schizophrenia Genetics by
 Utilizing Genetic Overlap With Brain Morphology. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2022;92(4):291298. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.12.007
- 43. Drobisz D, Damborská A. Deep brain stimulation targets for treating depression. *Behav Brain Res.* 2019;359:266-273. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2018.11.004

- 1 44. Riva-Posse P, Choi KS, Holtzheimer PE, et al. A connectomic approach for subcallosal 2 cingulate deep brain stimulation surgery: prospective targeting in treatment-resistant depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2018;23(4):843-849. doi:10.1038/mp.2017.59 3
- 4 45. Korgaonkar MS, Rekshan W, Gordon E, et al. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Measures 5 of Brain Structure to Predict Antidepressant Treatment Outcome in Major Depressive 6 Disorder. EBioMedicine. 2015;2(1):37-45. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2014.12.002
- 7 46. Gong Q, He Y. Depression, Neuroimaging and Connectomics: A Selective Overview. Biol Psychiatry. 2015;77(3):223-235. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.08.009 8
- 9 Korgaonkar MS, Cooper NJ, Williams LM, Grieve SM. Mapping inter-regional 47. 10 connectivity of the entire cortex to characterize major depressive disorder: a whole-brain 11 diffusion tensor imaging tractography study. NeuroReport. 2012;23(9):566-571. 12 doi:10.1097/WNR.0b013e3283546264