

18 **Abstract**

19 We recruited patients with perioperative gastric cancer and examined
20 preoperative oral hypofunction and its relationship with prognostic nutritional index. This
21 cross-sectional study analysed 95 patients who underwent oral function management. We
22 assessed the following parameters: body mass index, stage of gastric cancer, C-reactive
23 protein, total lymphocyte count, albumin, and prognostic nutritional index. The patients
24 were divided into two groups: prognostic nutritional index <45 and prognostic nutritional
25 index >45. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between the
26 measurements of oral function and the prognostic nutritional index. A univariate analysis
27 of factors associated with decreased oral function and prognostic nutritional index
28 showed significant differences in C-reactive protein, neutrophils and tongue pressure
29 ($p < 0.01$) between the two groups. However, oral hygiene, oral dryness, occlusal force,
30 tongue-lip motor function, masticatory function, and swallowing function were not
31 significantly different. Multivariate analysis showed that C-reactive protein (odds ratio:
32 0.12, 95% confidence interval: 0.30–0.45, $p < 0.01$) and tongue pressure (odds ratio: 3.62,
33 95% confidence interval: 1.04–12.60, $p < 0.05$) were independent risk factors for oral
34 hypofunction. Oral function is decreased in perioperative patients with gastric cancer, and
35 decreased tongue pressure is associated with decreased prognostic nutritional index.

36 **Introduction**

37 Nutritional status and systemic inflammatory response in patients with gastric
38 cancer affect disease recovery, reduce and cause postoperative complications and
39 hospital mortality [1–3]. Systemic inflammatory responses and malnutrition are caused
40 by inadequate dietary intake, reduced activity, oxidative stress, increased inflammatory
41 cytokines, and hormonal imbalances [4,5]. The indicators calculated from the
42 preoperative blood sampling data are used as an objective method of assessing the
43 nutritional status [6]. Currently, the prognostic nutritional index (PNI) is considered to be
44 associated with prognosis in several cancers [3,7–10]. The PNI is calculated from serum
45 albumin levels and total lymphocyte counts in peripheral blood, and has been proposed
46 for assessing the perioperative immunonutritional status and surgical risk of patients
47 scheduled for gastrointestinal surgery. Low PNI may lead to increased postoperative
48 complications and worsened prognosis [11].

49 Oral function plays a major role in the feeding and swallowing process, and oral
50 dysfunction worsens the nutritional status [12–14] and is significantly associated with
51 sarcopenia, low nutrition, and hypotrophy. Decreased oral function decreases the quantity
52 and quality of food intake, leading to muscle hypotrophy and weight loss, which lead to
53 a negative cascade of events that promote further progression of hypotrophy and

54 sarcopenia. The individual functions of the parts of the oral cavity work complementarily;
55 therefore, the decline in oral function is not detected early and progresses gradually.
56 Therefore, oral hypofunction (OHF) assessment has been proposed to quantitatively
57 evaluate functional decline, which will lead to early detection of oral hypofunction
58 [15,16]. The diagnosis of oral hypofunction is based on seven tests, and a diagnosis is
59 made when the results of three or more of the seven tests are below normal values. The
60 tests include the assessment of oral moisture, bite force, tongue-lip motor function, tongue
61 pressure, masticatory function, and swallowing function. Previous studies have associated
62 poor oral hypofunction with malnutrition, sarcopenia, and frailty [17–20]. However, the
63 studies were conducted on institutionalised elderly patients, and few studies have
64 correlated preoperative nutritional status and oral function decline in patients with gastric
65 cancer. Therefore, we investigated the relationship between the changes in preoperative
66 oral function and the PNI, an immunonutritional index, in patients with gastric cancer,
67 since a decline in preoperative oral function may contribute to postoperative
68 complications. Furthermore, we investigated the correlation between PNI and the seven
69 factors related to oral hypofunction.

70

71 **Materials and Methods**

72 **Participants**

73 A cross-sectional analysis of 112 patients diagnosed with primary gastric cancer
74 who underwent oral functional management at the Nagoya Ekisaikai Hospital from 1
75 January 2014 to 31 December 2021 was conducted. The inclusion criteria were as
76 follows: (1) no history of other cancers, (2) histopathologically diagnosed gastric cancer,
77 (3) no history of radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy, and (4) available preoperative
78 blood test results. The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) history of oral and
79 pharyngeal cancer and aborted surgery, (2) patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumours,
80 (3) recurrence, (4) metabolic diseases (e.g. diabetes), and (5) Sjogren's syndrome; and
81 (6) 17 patients with missing data. Thus, 95 patients (38 males and 57 females) were
82 enrolled in the study. Patients' clinical data were recorded using a chart review. No a
83 priori sample size calculation was required due to the retrospective observational study
84 design. This research was independently reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee
85 of the Nagoya Ekisaikai Hospital (approval number 2021-048). The study was performed
86 in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in
87 Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.
88 The STROBE checklist is shown in Fig 1. The experiments were undertaken with the
89 understanding and written consent of each subject and according to the above mentioned

90 principles.

91

92 **Fig 1. Flow diagram of exam selection.**

93

94 **Evaluation and general status of patients with gastric cancer**

95 Patients with gastric cancer were diagnosed by endoscopy, computed
96 tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging in the gastrointestinal surgery department
97 of Nagoya Ekisaikai Hospital. Nutritional status was determined by calculating the body
98 mass index (BMI), and albumin (ALB), C-reactive protein (CRP), and total lymphocyte
99 counts were measured by preoperative blood tests. All blood specimens were collected at
100 least one month prior to the preoperative examination for gastric cancer surgery. The
101 patients' height and weight were measured during their first visit to our clinic. The PNI
102 indicates the nutritional status and systemic inflammatory response. The PNI is a simple
103 scoring system and is calculated based on the serum ALB level and peripheral blood
104 lymphocyte count [21] by the following formula: $PNI = [10 \times \text{serum albumin level (g/dL)}]$
105 $+ 0.005 \times \text{total peripheral lymphocyte count (per mm}^3\text{)]}$.

106 The PNI is typically quantitative and estimates postoperative surgical
107 complications in surgical patients, with a PNI >45 indicating surgery, 45–40 indicating

108 caution during surgery, and <40 indicating a contraindication for surgery [22,23].

109

110 **Evaluation of oral condition**

111 Oral examinations were performed by two calibrated dentists with more than
112 seven years of clinical experience. Based on the diagnostic criteria of the Japanese Society
113 of Geriatric Dentistry, seven sub-symptoms of OHF were measured and evaluated at the
114 dental outpatient clinic on the day or two days before the surgery. The seven items
115 include: (1) oral hygiene, (2) oral dryness, (3) occlusal force, (4) tongue-lip motor
116 function, (5) tongue pressure, (6) masticatory function, and (7) swallowing function.

117 To assess oral hygiene, the degree of tongue coating was visually evaluated by
118 the tongue coating index (TCI) [24]. The tongue surface was divided into nine sections,
119 and the degree of tongue coating in each section was rated on a scale of 0 - 2, with 0
120 indicating the least amount of tongue coating. The total score for all the sections was
121 calculated as the TCI. A total score of 9 or more was considered poor oral hygiene [16].
122 The percentage TCI was calculated as the sum of the scores divided by the maximum
123 score multiplied by 100%. Oral dryness was measured by the wetness of the oral mucosa
124 using an oral moisture meter (Mucus®, Life Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan) [25,26]. A
125 moisture meter reading of <27 was considered as oral dryness. A tongue pressure probe

126 attached to a digital tongue pressure measuring device (JMS Tongue Depressor®, G.C.,
127 Tokyo, Japan, JMS) was pressed against the tongue and palate with maximum force. A
128 mean value of <30 kPa was defined as low tongue pressure [14,27]. The participants were
129 instructed to clench the pressure-sensitive film with their teeth (Dental Prescale II®, G.C.
130 Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for 3 s, and the colour change on the pressure-sensitive film was
131 analysed and converted into occlusal force using the supplied software. A bite force
132 measurement of <200 N was considered as a decreased bite force [16]. The tongue and
133 lip movement function was measured by instructing the participants to pronounce the
134 sounds /pa/, /ta/, and /ka/ as many times as possible in 5 s, and the number of consecutive
135 pronunciations of /pa/, /ta/, and /ka/ was measured with an oral function measurement
136 device (Kenkuchi-kun Handy, Takei Kikai Kogyo, Niigata, Japan). For the masticatory
137 function, the participants chewed 2 g of gummy jelly (Glucoram, G.C., Tokyo, Japan) for
138 20 s, and the gummy jelly was gargled with 10 mL of water. The chewed gummies and
139 water were drained through a filtration mesh, and the solution that passed through the
140 mesh was sampled with an applicator to measure the amount of glucose that eluted with
141 a chewing ability testing device (Glucosensor GS-II, G.C., Tokyo, Japan). A glucose
142 density of <100 mg/dL was considered as masticatory dysfunction [28]. Swallowing
143 function was evaluated using the Swallowing Screening Questionnaire (a 10-item Eating

144 Assessment Tool [EAT-10], 40-point scale); a total score of 3 or more was considered as
145 a decline in swallowing function [16].

146

147 **Data analysis**

148 The normality of the PNI and patient characteristic data were assessed using the
149 Shapiro–Wilk test. Fisher's exact test was used for normally distributed data, while
150 Mann–Whitney U test was used for non-normally distributed data. A PNI of 40 or less
151 was used as the objective variable, and five patient characteristics variables (age, gender,
152 smoking, alcohol), the number of current teeth, Eichner classification, and the seven
153 variables that constitute the oral function test were used as explanatory variables in a
154 logistic regression analysis using the stepwise variable reduction method (Wald;
155 probability of elimination: 0.10). The Wald test was applied to test the regression
156 coefficients. The significance level for all statistical analyses was set at 5% on both sides,
157 and the analyses were conducted by forcibly selecting explanatory variables. All
158 statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical
159 University, Japan) [29].

160

161 **Results**

162 **Clinical characteristics of the patients**

163 Among the 112 patients with gastric cancer who consented to the study, 95 (38
164 males and 57 females) were included in the present analysis. The characteristics of the
165 patients are shown in Table 1. The age of the participants ranged from 37 - 89 years with
166 a mean age \pm standard deviation (SD) of 67.2 ± 13.2 years; their BMI ranged from 14.8 -
167 43.2 kg/m^2 with a mean \pm SD of $22.4 \pm 4.1 \text{ kg/m}^2$. The number of patients with stage I,
168 II, III, and IV gastric cancer was 33 (34.7%), 25 (26.3%), 21 (22.1%), and 16 (16.8%),
169 respectively. No significant between-group differences were found in gender, age, stage
170 of gastric cancer, or BMI. Patients with PNI <45 (24 patients, 25.3%) were classified into
171 the low PNI group and those with PNI greater than 45 (71 patients, 74.7%) into the high
172 PNI group [30].

173

174 **Table 1. Patient background.**

Characteristics	Group	Overall
n		95
Age (years)		67.2 ± 13.2
Sex (%)	Male	38 (40.0)
	Female	57 (60.0)
Smoking (%)	Yes	53 (56.0)
	No	42 (44.0)

Alcohol consumption	Yes	51 (53.3)
(%)		
	No	44 (46.7)
BMI		22.4±4.1
Albumin		3.8±0.7
CRP		1.0±1.9
PNI		44.9±8.3
WBC		6.2±1.9
Neutrophil		65.2± 9.8
Total lymphocytes		1490.9±566.2
T (%)	1	31 (32.6)
	2	23 (24.3)
	3	27 (28.0)
	4	14 (14.7)
N (%)	0	73 (76.9)
	1	14 (14.7)
	2	6 (6.3)
	3	2 (2.1)
Stage (%)	1	33 (34.7)
	2	25 (26.3)
	3	21 (22.1)
	4	16 (16.8)
Residual teeth		18.8±9.0

Eichner classification	A	48 (50.5)
(%)		
	B	28 (29.5)
	C	19 (20.0)
Oral hygiene		24.6±21.0
Oral dryness		25.9±4.2
Occlusal force		396.8±314.3 N
Tongue and lip movement	Yes	29 (30.5)
/pa/ta/ka/		
	No	66 (69.5)
Tongue pressure		31.9±11.0 kPa
Masticatory function		143.5±75.5mg/d L
Swallowing function	No problem	82 (86.7)
	Problem	13 (13.7)
Oral hypofunction (%)	Yes	24 (25.3)
	No	71 (74.7)

175 BMI: body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein; PNI: prognostic nutritional index;
 176 WBC: white blood cell

177

178 Oral function

179 The percentage TCI ranged from 0 - 100% (24.6±21.0%), oral dryness ranged
 180 from 8.2 - 32.7 (25.9± 4.2), tongue pressure ranged from 6 - 62.1 kPa (31.9 ± 11.0 kPa),

181 and the occlusal force ranged from 44.9 - 1585.6 N (396.8 ± 314.3 N). The mean value
182 of tongue and lip movement function was less than 6 times in 66 patients (69.5%).
183 Decreased masticatory function ranged from 24.0 to 494.0 mg/dL (143.5 ± 75.5 mg/dL).
184 The total score for decreased swallowing function was 0 - 14, with 82 patients (86.3%)
185 having a score of 3 or less and 13 patients (13.7%) having a score of >3 In addition, 24
186 patients (25.3%) had dysfunction in more than three items of oral function and were
187 considered to have OHF. We performed univariate analysis of factors related to PNI for
188 oral dysfunction. The following variables were analysed: age, gender, stage of gastric
189 cancer, CRP, oral hygiene, oral dryness, tongue pressure, occlusal force, tongue and lip
190 movement function, masticatory function, and swallowing function. High oral
191 contamination, such as a TCI of >50%, was observed in four patients in the low PNI
192 group and 12 patients in the high PNI group. Dry mouth was observed in 10 patients in
193 the low PNI group and 23 patients in the high PNI group. Low tongue pressure was
194 observed in 15 patients in the low PNI group and 24 patients in the high PNI group.
195 Decreased occlusal force was observed in 11 patients in the low PNI group and 19 patients
196 in the high PNI group. Decreased tongue and lip motor function was found in 18 patients
197 in the low PNI group and 48 patients in the high PNI group. Masticatory dysfunction was
198 observed in 11 patients in the low PNI group and 19 patients in the high PNI group.

199 Reduced occlusal force was observed in 11 patients in the low PNI group and 19 patients
 200 in the high PNI group. Swallowing dysfunction was observed in five patients in the low
 201 PNI group and eight patients in the high PNI group (Table 2). Univariate analysis showed
 202 significant differences in CRP, neutrophils and tongue pressure between the two groups
 203 ($p < 0.01$; Table 3). Multivariate analysis showed that CRP (odds ratio: 0.12, 95%
 204 confidence interval [CI]: 0.30–0.45, $p < 0.01$) and tongue pressure (odds ratio: 3.62, 95%
 205 CI: 1.04–12.6, $p < 0.05$) were independent risk factors for PNI (Table 3).

206

207 **Table 2. Univariate analysis.**

Factor	Group	PNI		p-value
		Low (<40)	High (≥ 40)	
Sex	Male	14	24	0.103
	Female	10	47	
BMI kg/m ²	Low (<25)	16	57	0.345
	High (≥ 25)	8	14	
Smoking	Yes	14	39	1
	No	10	32	
Alcohol consumption	Yes	10	41	0.296
	No	14	30	
Stage	≤ 2	10	48	0.059

	≥ 3	14	23	
WBC	Low (<)	18	58	0.512
	High (\geq)	6	13	
Neutrophil	Low (<)	18	66	0.041
	High (\geq)	6	5	
Total lymphocytes	Low (<)	21	51	0.133
	High (\geq)	3	20	
CRP	Low (<5)	5	51	>0.001
	High (≥ 5)	19	20	
Residual teeth	<20	14	28	0.188
	≥ 20	10	43	
Eichner classification	A	8	40	0.137
	B	9	19	
	C	8	11	
Oral hygiene	Good	20	59	1
	Poor	4	12	
Oral dryness	Yes	12	27	0.578
	No	17	39	
Occlusal force	Low (<200)	11	19	0.153
	High (≥ 200)	13	52	

Tongue-lip motor				
function ODK	Low (<3)	18	48	0.777
	/pa/ta/ka/			
	High (≥3)	6	23	
Tongue pressure	Low (<30)	15	24	0.033
	High (≥30)	9	47	
Masticatory	Low (<100			
function	mg/dL)	11	19	0.153
	High (≥100			
	mg/dL)	13	52	
Swallowing				
function	No problem	19	63	0.262
	Problem	5	8	
Oral				
hypofunction	Yes	19	63	0.262
	No	5	8	

208 BMI, body mass index; CRP: C-reactive protein; ODK: oral diadochokinesis; PNI:
 209 prognostic nutritional index; WBC: white blood cell

210

211

212

213 **Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression: Logistic regression analysis with oral**
 214 **hypofunction as the objective variable.**

Factor	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
CRP	0.12	0.03–0.45	0.0015
Tongue pressure	3.62	1.04–12.6	0.044

215 CRP: C-reactive protein; CI: confidence interval

216

217 **Discussion**

218 This cross-sectional study investigated the relationship between PNI and OHF
219 in preoperative patients with gastric cancer. In such patients, a low PNI is an independent
220 risk factor for poor prognosis. Consequently, patients with a low preoperative PNI must
221 be monitored closely after surgery to avoid postoperative complications [3]. Previously,
222 we reported that lower occlusal support levels are associated with a lower PNI [31,32].
223 However, the assessment of bite support range only evaluates a part of the complex oral
224 function, such as swallowing and mastication. Regarding mastication, the risk of low
225 nutrition and malnutrition can be prevented by devising different food forms and
226 providing appropriate meals for patients. Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the
227 general oral function. The results showed that high CRP and low tongue pressure were
228 associated with a decreased PNI. Decreased tongue pressure was considered to have a
229 possible influence on low nutrition and hidden sarcopenia. Preoperative serum CRP
230 increases with cancer progression and is considered a prognostic indicator of cancer
231 [1,33,34] In the microenvironment, tumour growth stimulates the production of
232 inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and tumour
233 necrosis factor (TNF). This is followed by inflammatory response, angiogenesis, and

234 elevated CRP. Inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-1, and IL-6, also induce CRP
235 production in the liver and cause gradual loss of critical protein components [4,5]. Thus,
236 high CRP increases the risk of developing cancer, and the PNI is decreased when the CRP
237 level is high. The preoperative state of nutrition in patients with gastric cancer is
238 associated with impaired immune system function, delayed wound healing, development
239 of complications and increased mortality [1–3]. Patients with gastric cancer are often
240 malnourished due to stomach pain and protein leakage from tumour ulcers [2]. In addition,
241 poor oral function can lead to loss of appetite and dysphagia, making the patient more
242 prone to poor nutrition. Preoperative nutritional insufficiency can be caused by a variety
243 of factors, such as OHF. Although studies have examined the relationship of nutritional
244 status with the number of teeth loss and masticatory function [35,36], no study has related
245 preoperative nutritional status in gastrointestinal cancer surgery to comprehensive oral
246 functions such as swallowing, mastication, and occlusion. A diagnostic criterion
247 consisting of seven items has been proposed by the Japanese Society of Geriatric
248 Dentistry as an index to comprehensively evaluate the decline in oral function [16]. If the
249 value of three of the seven items exceeds the standard value, the patient is diagnosed with
250 decreased oral function [36]. In this study, 30 patients (40.0%) were diagnosed with
251 decreased oral function, indicating that many patients with gastric cancer have oral

252 function problems before surgery.

253 Oral functions often require compensatory movements that complement each
254 other, it is necessary to identify the most influential factors related to oral function. Oral
255 uncleanliness can lead to an abnormal increase in microorganisms in the oral cavity,
256 causing pneumonia, postoperative infections, and oral infections. The higher the TCI %,
257 the higher the number of microorganisms on the tongue. The total anaerobic bacteria
258 count is about 7Log_{10} (CFU/mL) when the TCI % is about 50–60% [37]. Poor oral
259 hygiene of the tongue diminishes the sense of taste and affects the quality of food.
260 Specifically, poor oral hygiene may affect the intensity of taste, but it is unlikely to be
261 directly related to nutritional status. No significant difference was found between the two
262 groups in this study, suggesting that the effect of poor oral hygiene on PNI is small. Oral
263 dryness alters the homeostasis of the oral environment and induces a variety of disorders.
264 There were no significant differences in oral dryness and PNI between the groups in this
265 study. Oral dryness may be caused by unconscious activities such as simultaneous fluid
266 intake with food intake. Occlusal support, residual tooth count, and muscle strength affect
267 occlusal strength [38–40]. As the number of teeth decreases, the occlusal force and
268 masticatory capability decrease. According to many reports, oral function can be
269 maintained if the number of remaining teeth is ≥ 20 and occlusal force is ≥ 200 N [41].

270 These reports suggest that a decrease in the number of teeth in patients with gastric cancer
271 causes an alteration in diet that leads to low nutrition due to impaired gastrointestinal
272 absorption caused by gastric cancer. In the present study, no association was found
273 between bite force and PNI. A study reported that low occlusal force (<200 N) is
274 associated with low body weight as well as obesity [42]. This may be because low bite
275 strength was calculated based on a study of older people residing in institutions and the
276 threshold was set at a lower value.

277 Decreased tongue and lip motor function may affect eating behaviour, nutrition,
278 life function, and quality of life. The value of oral diadochokinesis varies depending on
279 the condition of the oral cavity such as dentures, individual differences in ageing changes,
280 underlying diseases, mental status, living environment, nutritional status, and presence of
281 oral function training [43]. In the present study, no significant association was found
282 between oral diadochokinesis and PNI. The reason may be that the frailty in patients for
283 whom surgery had been indicated had not progressed. As surgical indications are
284 expanded with the improvement of surgical and anaesthesia techniques, some frail
285 patients with gastric cancer may be encountered; therefore, the effectiveness of screening
286 needs to be additionally examined. Decreased tongue pressure due to chronic dysfunction
287 of the lingual muscle groups decreases the pressure created between the tongue, palate,

288 and food. The tongue performs complex mastication, swallowing, and phonation
289 movements in coordination with the lips, mandible, pharynx, and larynx. These are
290 important functions for maintaining life and quality of life but are difficult to quantify
291 due to the complexity of the movements. The tongue pressure test is one of the few
292 methods that can quantify the tongue strength. Previous studies have shown that tongue
293 pressure is positively correlated with the food intake rate and that individuals with a
294 maximised tongue pressure of ≥ 30 kPa can consume regular food, while individuals with
295 a low tongue pressure have significantly lower food intake rate and eating patterns
296 [14,27,44]. The results of our study showed that tongue pressure was an independent risk
297 factor for PNI. When there is poor occlusion, it is assumed that the patient eats a soft diet
298 and swallows by crushing the food with the tongue, jaw crest, and palate. Therefore, with
299 appropriate tongue pressure, the compensatory mechanisms of oral function would work
300 effectively, and the PNI would not decrease. In contrast, with low tongue pressure, the
301 tongue cannot press against the jaw crest and palate, and compensatory movements are
302 not possible, leading to a low PNI. Therefore, low tongue pressure is a risk factor for
303 dysphagia and low nutrition and may also affect eating patterns, assessment and
304 interventions such as appropriate and adequate exercise therapy, and therapeutic
305 intervention such as improvement of oral morphology with prosthetic devices (e.g. tongue

306 contact assisted floor), which are important for the prevention of low nutrition and muscle
307 weakness. Dysphagia precedes the onset of obvious disability. In the absence of a
308 causative disease, some cases of dysphagia are not recognised as diseases and do not
309 warrant medical attention, and many symptoms, such as mild pharyngeal discomfort and
310 swelling, occur in the older population. Therefore, the presence of hypophagia is a
311 criterion for evaluating dysfunctional states prior to the onset of obvious disability. This
312 reflects the patient's perception, but there was no significant difference between the two
313 PNI groups, which suggests that few patients eligible for surgery have significant
314 functional decline.

315 Several limitations exist in this study. The present study was a single-centre
316 study with a retrospective design, and had a small sample size. Selection bias exists
317 because this is an observational study of surgical patients. Nutritional status is influenced
318 by several factors, including oral findings, smoking history, psychiatric and psychological
319 status, socioeconomic status, performance of daily activities, level of education,
320 prevalence of processed food intake, and cultural differences in diet among countries. All
321 of these factors were not investigated in this study. In addition, as the present study was
322 a single-centre cross-sectional study, it was not feasible to establish a causal relationship
323 from the findings obtained. PNI is formulated on the basis of albumin levels and

324 lymphocyte counts. Therefore, caution should be exercised when interpreting results from
325 patients with preoperative chemotherapy or severe inflammatory or autoimmune disease.

326 When the diagnostic criteria for OHF are considered as a screening stage before
327 functional impairment and malnutrition occur, it is possible to detect and respond early
328 to the transition from OHF to malnutrition and to the flail cycle in the dental field. This
329 early response can only be achieved through cooperation among multiple physicians and
330 through cooperation among nurses, pharmacists, nutritionists, dental hygienists, and
331 linguists, which will increase the contribution of dentistry to cooperation with
332 multidisciplinary physicians and multidisciplinary professionals such as nurses,
333 pharmacists, nutritionists, dental hygienists, speech therapists, and physical therapists.

334

335 **Acknowledgements**

336 We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

337

338 **References**

339 1. Elahi MM, McMillan DC, McArdle CS, Angerson WJ, Sattar N. Score based on
340 hypoalbuminemia and elevated C-reactive protein predicts survival in patients with
341 advanced gastrointestinal cancer. *Nutr Cancer*. 2004;48: 171–173. doi:

342 [10.1207/s15327914nc4802_6](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327914nc4802_6).

343 2. Kushiyama S, Sakurai K, Kubo N, Tamamori Y, Nishii T, Tachimori A, et al.
344 The preoperative geriatric nutritional risk index predicts postoperative complications in
345 elderly patients with gastric cancer undergoing gastrectomy. *In Vivo*. 2018;32:
346 1667–1672. doi: [10.21873/invivo.11430](https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.11430).

347 3. Xishan Z, Ye Z, Feiyan M, Liang X, Shikai W. The role of prognostic nutritional
348 index for clinical outcomes of gastric cancer after total gastrectomy. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10:
349 17373. doi: [10.1038/s41598-020-74525-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74525-8).

350 4. Diakos CI, Charles KA, McMillan DC, Clarke SJ. Cancer-related inflammation
351 and treatment effectiveness. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;15: e493–e503. doi: [10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70263-3)
352 [2045\(14\)70263-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70263-3).

353 5. Roxburgh CS, McMillan DC. Cancer and systemic inflammation: Treat the
354 tumour and treat the host. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;110: 1409–1412. doi: [10.1038/bjc.2014.90](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.90).

355 6. Guner A, Kim HI. Biomarkers for evaluating the inflammation status in patients
356 with cancer. *J Gastric Cancer*. 2019;19: 254–277. doi: [10.5230/jgc.2019.19.e29](https://doi.org/10.5230/jgc.2019.19.e29).

357 7. Fanetti G, Polesel J, Fratta E, Muraro E, Lupato V, Alfieri S, et al. Prognostic
358 nutritional index predicts toxicity in head and neck cancer patients treated with definitive
359 radiotherapy in association with chemotherapy. *Nutrients*. 2021;13: 127. doi:

360 [10.3390/nu13041277](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13041277).

361 8. Toyokawa G, Kozuma Y, Matsubara T, Haratake N, Takamori S, Akamine T, et
362 al. Prognostic impact of controlling nutritional status score in resected lung squamous cell
363 carcinoma. *J Thorac Dis.* 2017;9: 2942–2951. doi: [10.21037/jtd.2017.07.108](https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2017.07.108).

364 9. Wu X, Jiang Y, Ge H, Diao P, Wang D, Wang Y, et al. Predictive value of
365 prognostic nutritional index in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Dis.*
366 2020;26: 903–911. doi: [10.1111/odi.13318](https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.13318).

367 10. Xue Y, Zhou X, Xue L, Zhou R, Luo J. The role of pretreatment prognostic
368 nutritional index in esophageal cancer: A meta-analysis. *J Cell Physiol.* 2019;234:
369 19655–19662. doi: [10.1002/jcp.28565](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.28565).

370 11. Jiang N, Deng JY, Ding XW, Ke B, Liu N, Zhang RP, et al. Prognostic
371 nutritional index predicts postoperative complications and long-term outcomes of gastric
372 cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20: 10537–10544. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v20.i30.10537](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i30.10537).

373 12. de Marchi RJ, Hugo FN, Hilgert JB, Padilha DMP. Association between oral
374 health status and nutritional status in south Brazilian independent-living older people.
375 *Nutrition.* 2008;24: 546–553. doi: [10.1016/j.nut.2008.01.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2008.01.054).

376 13. Soini H, Muurinen S, Routasalo P, Sandelin E, Savikko N, Suominen M, et al.
377 Oral and nutritional status-is the MNA a useful tool for dental clinics. *J Nutr Health Aging.*

378 2006;10: 495–499; discussion 500.

379 14. Yoshida M, Kikutani T, Tsuga K, Utanohara Y, Hayashi R, Akagawa Y.

380 Decreased tongue pressure reflects symptom of dysphagia. *Dysphagia*. 2006;21: 61–65.

381 doi: [10.1007/s00455-005-9011-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-005-9011-6).

382 15. Hatanaka Y, Furuya J, Sato Y, Uchida Y, Shichita T, Kitagawa N, et al.

383 Associations between oral hypofunction tests, age, and sex. *Int J Environ Res Public*

384 *Health*. 2021;18: 10256. doi: [10.3390/ijerph181910256](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910256).

385 16. Minakuchi S, Tsuga K, Ikebe K, Ueda T, Tamura F, Nagao K, et al. Oral

386 hypofunction in the older population: Position paper of the Japanese Society of

387 Gerodontology in 2016. *Gerodontology*. 2018;35: 317–324. doi: [10.1111/ger.12347](https://doi.org/10.1111/ger.12347).

388 17. Iwasaki M, Motokawa K, Watanabe Y, Shirobe M, Ohara Y, Edahiro A, et al.

389 Oral hypofunction and malnutrition among community-dwelling older adults: Evidence

390 from the Otassha study. *Gerodontology*. 2022;39: 17–25. doi: [10.1111/ger.12580](https://doi.org/10.1111/ger.12580).

391 18. Kugimiya Y, Iwasaki M, Ohara Y, Motokawa K, Edahiro A, Shirobe M, et al.

392 Relationship between oral hypofunction and sarcopenia in community-dwelling older

393 adults: The Otassha study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18: 6666. doi:

394 [10.3390/ijerph18126666](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18126666).

395 19. Nakamura M, Hamada T, Tanaka A, Nishi K, Kume K, Goto Y, et al.

- 396 Association of oral hypofunction with frailty, sarcopenia, and mild cognitive impairment:
397 A cross-sectional study of community-dwelling Japanese older adults. *J Clin Med*.
398 2021;10: 1626. doi: [10.3390/jcm10081626](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10081626).
- 399 20. Shimazaki Y, Nonoyama T, Tsushita K, Arai H, Matsushita K, Uchibori N. Oral
400 hypofunction and its association with frailty in community-dwelling older people. *Geriatr*
401 *Gerontol Int*. 2020;20: 917–926. doi: [10.1111/ggi.14015](https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.14015).
- 402 21. Pinato DJ, North BV, Sharma R. A novel, externally validated inflammation-
403 based prognostic algorithm in hepatocellular carcinoma: The prognostic nutritional index
404 (PNI). *Br J Cancer*. 2012;106: 1439–1445. doi: [10.1038/bjc.2012.92](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2012.92).
- 405 22. Buzby GP, Mullen JL, Matthews DC, Hobbs CL, Rosato EF. Prognostic
406 nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery. *Am J Surg*. 1980;139: 160–167. doi:
407 [10.1016/0002-9610\(80\)90246-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9610(80)90246-9).
- 408 23. Onodera T, Goseki N, Kosaki G. Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal
409 surgery of malnourished cancer patients. *Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi*. 1984;85: 1001–
410 1005. (in Japanese).
- 411 24. Shimizu T, Ueda T, Sakurai K. New method for evaluation of tongue-coating
412 status. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2007;34: 442–447. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2842.2007.01733.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2007.01733.x).
- 413 25. Fukushima Y, Yoda T, Kokabu S, Araki R, Murata T, Kitagawa Y, et al.

- 414 Evaluation of an oral moisture-checking device for screening dry mouth. *Open Journal*
415 *of Stomatology*. 2013;3: 440–446. doi: [10.1002/cre2.145](https://doi.org/10.1002/cre2.145).
- 416 26. Yamada H, Nakagawa Y, Nomura Y, Yamamoto K, Suzuki M, Watanabe NY,
417 et al. Preliminary results of moisture checker for Mucus in diagnosing dry mouth. *Oral*
418 *Dis*. 2005;11: 405–407. doi: [10.1111/j.1601-0825.2005.01136.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2005.01136.x).
- 419 27. Tsuga K, Yoshikawa M, Oue H, Okazaki Y, Tsuchioka H, Maruyama M, et al.
420 Maximal voluntary tongue pressure is decreased in Japanese frail elderly persons.
421 *Gerodontology*. 2012;29: e1078–e1085–e1085. doi: [10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00615.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00615.x).
- 422 28. Deftereos I, Kiss N, Isenring E, Carter VM, Yeung JM. A systematic review of
423 the effect of preoperative nutrition support on nutritional status and treatment outcomes
424 in upper gastrointestinal cancer resection. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2020;46: 1423–1434. doi:
425 [10.1016/j.ejso.2020.04.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2020.04.008).
- 426 29. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for
427 medical statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2013;48: 452–458. doi:
428 [10.1038/bmt.2012.244](https://doi.org/10.1038/bmt.2012.244).
- 429 30. Yang Y, Gao P, Song Y, Sun J, Chen X, Zhao J, et al. The prognostic nutritional
430 index is a predictive indicator of prognosis and postoperative complications in gastric
431 cancer: A meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2016;42: 1176–1182. doi:

432 [10.1016/j.ejso.2016.05.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2016.05.029).

433 31. Abe A, Kurita K, Hayashi H, Ishihama T, Ueda A. Correlation between
434 prognostic nutritional index and occlusal status in gastric cancer. *Oral Dis.* 2020;26: 465–
435 472. doi: [10.1111/odi.13242](https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.13242).

436 32. Abe A, Ito Y, Hayashi H, Ishihama T, Momokita M, Taniguchi S. Correlation
437 between geriatric nutritional risk index and oral condition in gastric cancer patients. *Oral*
438 *Dis.* 2021. doi: [10.1111/odi.14035](https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.14035).

439 33. Erlinger TP, Platz EA, Rifai N, Helzlsouer KJ. C-reactive protein and the risk of
440 incident colorectal cancer. *JAMA.* 2004;291: 585–590. doi: [10.1001/jama.291.5.585](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.5.585).

441 34. Kinoshita A, Onoda H, Imai N, Iwaku A, Oishi M, Fushiya N, et al. Comparison
442 of the prognostic value of inflammation-based prognostic scores in patients with
443 hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Cancer.* 2012;107: 988–993. doi: [10.1038/bjc.2012.354](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2012.354).

444 35. Hakeem FF, Bernabé E, Sabbah W. Association between oral health and frailty:
445 A systematic review of longitudinal studies. *Gerodontology.* 2019;36: 205–215. doi:
446 [10.1111/ger.12406](https://doi.org/10.1111/ger.12406).

447 36. Iwasaki M, Hirano H, Ohara Y, Motokawa K. The association of oral function
448 with dietary intake and nutritional status among older adults: Latest evidence from
449 epidemiological studies. *Jpn Dent Sci Rev.* 2021;57: 128–137. doi:

450 [10.1016/j.jdsr.2021.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdsr.2021.07.002).

451 37. Iyota K, Mizutani S, Oku S, Asao M, Futatsuki T, Inoue R, et al. A cross-
452 sectional study of age-related changes in oral function in healthy Japanese individuals.
453 Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17: 1376. doi: [10.3390/ijerph17041376](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041376).

454 38. Iinuma T, Arai Y, Fukumoto M, Takayama M, Abe Y, Asakura K, et al.
455 Maximum occlusal force and physical performance in the oldest old: The Tokyo oldest
456 old survey on total health. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2012;60: 68–76. doi: [10.1111/j.1532-
457 5415.2011.03780.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03780.x).

458 39. Ikebe K, Matsuda K, Murai S, Maeda Y, Nokubi T. Validation of the Eichner
459 index in relation to occlusal force and masticatory performance. Int J Prosthodont.
460 2010;23: 521–524.

461 40. Inomata C, Ikebe K, Kagawa R, Okubo H, Sasaki S, Okada T, et al. Significance
462 of occlusal force for dietary fibre and vitamin intakes in independently living 70-year-old
463 Japanese: From SONIC Study. J Dent. 2014;42: 556–564. doi:
464 [10.1016/j.jdent.2014.02.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2014.02.015).

465 41. de Andrade FB, Lebrão ML, Santos JL, Duarte YA. Relationship between oral
466 health and frailty in community-dwelling elderly individuals in Brazil. J Am Geriatr Soc.
467 2013;61: 809–814. doi: [10.1111/jgs.12221](https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12221).

- 468 42. Ikebe K, Matsuda K, Morii K, Nokubi T, Ettinger RL. The relationship between
469 oral function and body mass index among independently living older Japanese people. *Int*
470 *J Prosthodont.* 2006;19: 539–546.
- 471 43. Umemoto G, Tsuboi Y, Kitashima A, Furuya H, Kikuta T. Impaired food
472 transportation in Parkinson’s disease related to lingual bradykinesia. *Dysphagia.* 2011;26:
473 250–255. doi: [10.1007/s00455-010-9296-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-010-9296-y).
- 474 44. Utanohara Y, Hayashi R, Yoshikawa M, Yoshida M, Tsuga K, Akagawa Y.
475 Standard values of maximum tongue pressure taken using newly developed disposable
476 tongue pressure measurement device. *Dysphagia.* 2008;23: 286–290. doi:
477 [10.1007/s00455-007-9142-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-007-9142-z).




Figure 1