

Impact of vaccination with SCB-2019 COVID-19 vaccine on transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a household contact study in the Philippines

Birkneh Tilahun Tadesse,¹⁻³ Lulu Bravo,⁴ Florian Marks,^{1,5,6,7} Asma Binte Aziz,¹ Young Ae You,¹ Jonathan Sugimoto,^{8,9,10} Ping Li,¹¹ Joyce Garcia,¹¹ Frank Rockhold,¹² Ralf Clemens¹ and the HHC study group*

1. International Vaccine Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea
2. Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden
3. Center for Innovative Drug Development and Therapeutic Trials for Africa, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
4. University of the Philippines Manila, Ermita, Manila, The Philippines
5. Cambridge Institute of Therapeutic Immunology and Infectious Disease, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, UK
6. Heidelberg Institute of Global Health, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
7. University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo, Madagascar
8. Seattle Epidemiologic Research and Information Center, Cooperative Studies Program, Office of Research and Development, Department of Veterans Affairs, Seattle, WA, USA
9. Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA
10. Vaccine and Infectious Diseases Division, Fred Hutchinson Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA
11. Clover Biopharmaceuticals, Cambridge, MA, USA
12. Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

*** The Household Contact (HHC) study group:**

The Philippines:

Camilo Roa, Jr., Manila Doctors Hospital, Manila;

Charissa Borja-Tabora, Asian Hospital and Medical Center, Alabang, Muntinlupa;

Josefina Carlos, University of the East Ramon Magsaysay Memorial Medical Center, Quezon City;

May Emmeline B. Montellano, Far Eastern University Hospital - Nicanor Reyes Medical Foundation, Quezon City;

Mari Rose A. de Los Reyes, Las Pinas Doctors Hospital, Las Pinas City;

Edison R. Alberto, Tropical Disease Foundation-HIMC, Cavite City;

Milagros Salvani-Bautista, University of the East Ramon Magsaysay Memorial Medical Center, Quezon City.

South Korea:

Hwayoung Kim, Sye Lim Hong, Deok Ryun Kim, Sophie SY Kang and Irene Njau, International Vaccine Institute, Seoul.

Corresponding author Florian Marks, Ph.D.

International Vaccine Institute

1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu

Seoul, 08826

Republic of Korea

Tel: +82-2-881-1133

E-mail: fmmarks@ivi.int

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; vaccination; household transmission; SCB-2019

ABSTRACT

Background: An exploratory household transmission study was nested in SPECTRA, the phase 2/3 efficacy study of the adjuvanted recombinant protein-based COVID-19 vaccine SCB-2019. We compared occurrence of confirmed COVID-19 infections between households and household contacts of infected SPECTRA participants who were either placebo or SCB-2019 recipients.

Methods: SPECTRA trial participants at eight study sites in the Philippines who developed rRT-PCR-confirmed COVID-19 were contacted by a study team blinded to assignment of index cases to vaccine or placebo groups to enroll in this household transmission study. Enrolled households and household contacts were monitored for three weeks using rRT-PCR and rapid antigen testing to detect new COVID-19 infections.

Results: Observation of the households of 154 eligible COVID-19 index cases, 130 symptomatic and 24 asymptomatic at diagnosis, revealed household secondary attack rates for any COVID-19 infection of SCB-2019 index cases of 0.76% (90% CI: 0.15–3.90) compared with 5.88% (90% CI: 3.20–10.8) in placebo index case households, a relative risk reduction of 79% (90% CI: -28–97). The relative risk reduction of symptomatic COVID-19 was 84% (90% CI: 28–97) for household contacts of all COVID-19 infected index cases, and 80% (90% CI: 7–96) for household contacts of index cases with symptomatic COVID-19.

Conclusions: In this prospective household contact study vaccination with SCB-2019 reduced SARS-CoV-2 transmission in households, so decreasing infections of household contacts, compared with placebo.

INTRODUCTION

Almost 550 million people have contracted COVID-19 due to infection by the severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) [1] with more than 18 million excess deaths worldwide [2]. Transmission is through three main mechanisms: inhalation, direct inoculation onto mucosal surfaces, or touching contaminated surfaces [3]. Airborne transmission has been argued to be the dominant mechanism [4,5], infected individuals releasing virus in droplets during coughing, sneezing, talking, or singing to infect others [6], leading to the global implementation of mask-wearing policies in public places.

As risk of infection directly relates to duration and proximity to an index case their household members are estimated to be at a tenfold higher risk of infection [7,8]. Overall secondary attack rates (SAR) in household contacts (HHC) have been estimated to be 3% to 40% [9–11], with highest SAR reported following symptomatic index cases (18%), spouse contacts (37.8%) and in households with only one contact (41%) [11].

Globally, after masking and social distancing measures, the main COVID-19 prevention strategy has been mass immunization to provide personal protection and minimize community and household transmission. Therefore, impact of any new SARS-CoV-2 vaccine on preventing household transmission is an essential aspect of vaccine development. There is limited evidence on vaccines preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection of HHC although several investigations have reported positive impact [12–18]. A database link study reported 50% reduction among HHC of vaccinated versus unvaccinated index cases [13], but other studies found limited impact of vaccination especially for index cases with the Delta (B.1.617.2) variant [17,18], potentially due to the high viral load in breakthrough cases. We report a prospective exploratory clinical study of the impact of vaccination with SCB-2019, an adjuvanted recombinant protein-based COVID-19

vaccine, on household transmission in households of index cases who previously received SCB-2019 or placebo.

METHODS

This exploratory study was conducted alongside the pivotal, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 2/3 efficacy trial of SCB-2019 vaccine (SPECTRA; ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04672395) [19]. The objective of this household transmission (HHT) investigation, performed in eight of the SPECTRA Philippine sites, was to assess and compare reductions in COVID-19 infections in households and household members of SCB-2019 vaccine recipients with a breakthrough infection with those in households of placebo recipients. Sites and numbers of placebo or vaccine recipient index cases enrolled by site are presented in *Supplementary Table 1*. The protocol was approved by the Single Joint Research Ethics Board (SJREB), the respective Institutional Review Boards (IRB) of the eight study sites and the International Vaccine Institute IRB and implemented in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, principles of ICH-GCP and the Philippines' ethical requirements. All participants or their parents or legal guardians provided written informed consent or assent.

Participants and procedures

Participants of SPECTRA were followed as part of the main trial using symptom screening and weekly rapid antigen testing (RAT) for COVID-19 infection [19]. Any participant at one of the eight HHT study sites who developed COVID-19 confirmed by a positive real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) during follow-up was contacted by the HHT study team for their willingness to enroll in this HHT study and for follow-up of their household.

Household contact study

The HHT study team was blinded to the randomization of the index cases to vaccine or placebo groups in the SPECTRA trial. Once the trial participant consented to participate in the HHT study their HHC were contacted for their consent within 3–5 days for inclusion and three weeks of follow-up in the HHT study. Eligible HHC were 6 years-old or older, with no other household member other than the participant index case to have been co-diagnosed with COVID-19.

Key sociodemographic and vaccination information including age, sex, income status, COVID-19 risk and COVID-19 preventive efforts (masking, crowd avoidance, and hand-washing/sanitizer use), and presence of any comorbidities was obtained by interview of enrolled participants. Solicited COVID-19 information included any suggestive symptoms (breathing difficulties, fever, chills, cough, fatigue, muscle/body aches, headache, new loss of taste or smell, sore throat, congestion or runny nose, nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea), and vaccination history included vaccine type, date of vaccination, complete or partial vaccination. All consented HHC without COVID-19 symptoms were tested at enrollment for anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM or IgG/IgM to exclude any acute asymptomatic infections. Nasopharyngeal (NP) swab samples were taken for RT-PCR testing from any HHC who reported symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 or had a positive antibody test.

Follow-up for COVID-19 symptoms and NP sampling

Enrolled HHC completed daily symptom checklists for any COVID-19 symptoms for three weeks which were reviewed by study coordinators available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. HHC who developed any symptoms were instructed to promptly contact the study coordinator and NP samples collected at their home or the study site were transported to one of two certified molecular laboratories in the Philippines – Manila Doctors Hospital or the Asian Hospital and Medical Center to detect SARS-CoV-2 by rRT-PCR using the manufacturer protocols, with

molecular assays and specific laboratory instructions according to WHO guidelines [20]. Study coordinators telephoned households weekly to review the symptom checklist for any symptoms that had been missed. In cases of unreported mild symptoms, NP samples were collected on the day of identification.

rRT-PCR-confirmed cases were considered as symptomatic COVID-19 and were followed for severity from time of diagnosis until completion of treatment or outcome 14 days after symptom onset. For symptomatic COVID-19 infections in HHC a detailed case report was completed to capture risk of infection, relationship to index case, any contact with known or suspected COVID-19 cases other than the index case within the previous 2 weeks, and details of symptoms including temporal relationship with the index case diagnosis.

Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections during the three-week follow-up were determined using lateral flow anti-N antibody rapid antibody test (RAT) kits (PCL, South Korea) [21]. Household participants with negative antibody tests at baseline when the index case was diagnosed, and no symptoms or positive test during the surveillance, were retested at the end of follow-up. Those with a positive IgM or IgG/IgM provided an NP sample for rRT-PCR while those with IgG positivity were followed for any symptoms during the follow-up. Similarly, IgM positivity was considered as a sign of acute infection and an indication for PCR testing. All HHC had an exit follow-up visit at the study sites.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics (COVID-19 risk, co-morbidities and COVID-19 vaccination status) of household contacts of SCB-2019 and placebo index cases were summarized and compared using Chi-square or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, and Student's t-test, or Wilcoxon rank sum test for or non-parametric tests depending on whether the data were normally distributed.

Household contact study

Primary endpoints were the symptomatic secondary attack rates (SAR) in households of SCB-2019 and placebo recipients in the SPECTRA trial (index cases), secondary endpoints were asymptomatic COVID-19 infections in those households. Point estimates and 90% confidence intervals of symptomatic, asymptomatic, and overall SAR by household and the relative risk reduction (RRR) were estimated using the generalized estimating equation (GEE) logistic regression modeling approach with jackknifed standard errors, using the `geeglm` function of the `geepack` library of R (version 4.1.2) and an exchangeable correlation structure. The percentage of HHC vaccinated was used for SAR estimation stratified by COVID-19 vaccination. For SARs by household, the estimates were adjusted for vaccination coverage in the households, which was calculated as the proportion of HHC who received the vaccine in a single household. Vaccination coverage in contacts in each household were categorized in to 0–24%, 24–49%, 50–74% and 75–100% for inclusion in the models. For the SAR estimation by HHC, however, the proportion of HHC who were fully or partially vaccinated or were unvaccinated in each treatment group of the index cases were used to adjust the SAR estimation.

RESULTS

During active surveillance for this HHT study from July 9, 2021 to December 6, 2021 we identified 598 rRT-PCR-confirmed index cases among SPECTRA trial participants of whom 117 said they had no eligible household members and 42 were detected too late (more than 5 days after diagnosis of the index case); 184 of the remaining 439 did not consent to having their household members contacted and 98 households refused to participate. Of the 157 index cases enrolled two were excluded as they lived in the same household and a further case was found to have no eligible household member. Thus, 154 index cases representing 154 households; 51 SCB-2019 and 103 placebo index cases, respectively, were eligible (**Figure 1**), 130 (42 SCB-2019 and 88 placebo) symptomatic and 24 (9 SCB-2019 and 15 placebo) asymptomatic at diagnosis. Sequencing analysis of 74 index case swab samples, 24 in SCB-2019 and 50 in placebo groups, identified the Delta (B.1.617.2) variant in all cases (**Table 1**). Mean ages of index cases were similar in SCB-2019 index cases (36.7 ± 12.2 years) and in placebo groups (35.0 ± 10.9 years) as they were in terms of sex, symptoms, and adherence to the SPECTRA study vaccination regimen (**Table 1**).

A total of 388 HHC agreed to enroll of whom 4 were lost to follow-up with 384 completing the study, 134 HHC of SPECTRA SCB-2019 vaccinees, and 250 HHC of SPECTRA placebo recipients index cases (**Table 1**). As with the index cases, households and HHC had comparable household composition and size, demographic characteristics of age, sex, and relationship to the index case. Vaccination status of HHC (**Supplementary Table 2**) shows that the majority were either not vaccinated or only partially vaccinated, only 22.9% being reported as fully vaccinated, a proportion that was similar in SCB-2019 and placebo groups. Baseline and endline serostatus of HHC as an indication of past or present infection status is presented in **Table 2**. Most infected

HHCs were from symptomatic index cases; 106 (79.1%) HHC of 42 (82.4%) index SCB-2019 cases and 212 (84.8%) HHC of 88 (85.4%) placebo recipient symptomatic index cases. The remainder in both groups were contacts of asymptomatic index cases (**Figure 1**).

In general, the symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection rate was markedly lower in the SCB-2019 recipient index case households and among their HHC compared with the infection rate in households and HHC of placebo recipient index cases (**Tables 3 and 4**).

Risk reductions in households

In the 51 households with an SCB-2019 vaccinee index case there was one household with a secondary infection (**Table 3**), an SAR of 76 (90% CI: 15–390), compared 7 of 103 households of placebo index cases with secondary infections for an SAR of 588 (320–1082); a relative risk reduction of 79% (90% CI: -28–97).

Risk reductions in household contacts

One symptomatic secondary COVID-19 case among 134 HHC in the SCB-2019 index cases gave an SAR of 7.5 per 1,000 persons (90% CI: 0.4–34.9) compared with 12 symptomatic cases reported from 250 HHC in the placebo group, an SAR of 48.0 per 1,000 persons (27.9–76.6); a RRR of 84% (90% CI: 28–97) (**Table 4**). Risk reduction was similar across vaccinated and unvaccinated HHC who were distributed comparably between the two treatment groups. There were no cases of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in fully or partially vaccinated HHC of SCB-2019 recipient index cases. The RRR in symptomatic COVID-19 disease among unvaccinated HHC of SCB-2019 recipient index cases compared with unvaccinated HHCs of placebo recipient index cases was 77% (90% CI: -7–95).

When assessed for only those index cases with symptomatic COVID-19 the pattern was similar (**Table 4**). The SAR in the HHC of SCB-2019 index cases was 9.4 per 1000 person (90% CI: 0.5–44.0) compared with 47.2 per 1,000 persons (90% CI: 25.8–78.7) in placebo case HHC, a RRR of 80.0% (90% CI: 7–96). There were no secondary infections observed in 28 HHC of SCB-2019 index cases with asymptomatic COVID-19 infections, and only two cases in 38 HHC placebo index cases who had asymptomatic infections (**Table 4**). These small numbers preclude any meaningful analysis.

SAR for asymptomatic infections in HHC who were seronegative at baseline did not show any differences between those in households with SCB-2019 or placebo index cases with a RRR of 12% (90% CI: -32–43) based on SARs of 210 (90% CI: 138–298) and 239 (90% CI: 185–301) per 1,000 persons in SCB-2109 and placebo group households, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Measures such as mask-wearing, social distancing, and work-from-home policies are major components of preventative health measures in the COVID-19 pandemic, but domestic transmission within households cannot be subject to such regulations. Therefore, a better understanding of this aspect of viral dissemination, and especially of how it is impacted by vaccination campaigns, is particularly important for health authorities to control outbreaks.

Our study findings, conducted as part of the SPECTRA trial with blinded vaccine and placebo groups, are an important contribution to understanding the impact of vaccination in preventing transmission among household contacts. The efficacy of SCB-2019 in the Philippines as part of the SPECTRA study was 69.3% (95% CI: 51.0–81.4) in the initial analysis of cases occurring a median of 24 days after the second dose; efficacy at 6 months post-vaccination was 48.3% (38.0–57.0) when most cases would have been Delta variant. Having monitored the occurrence

Household contact study

of rRT-PCR-confirmed COVID-19 in household members of infected SPECTRA trial participants for three weeks, we found a 79% (90% CI: -28–97) risk reduction of secondary symptomatic infection rates in households if the COVID-19 positive index cases were vaccinated with SCB-2019 vaccine versus households where the infected index cases had received placebo in the parent SPECTRA trial. The 84% (90% CI: 28–97) reduction in secondary infections in household contacts of vaccinated index cases compared with placebo mirrored the trend seen in households. These results are particularly notable in being obtained when the Delta (B.1.617.2) variant predominated, a variant with more rapid symptom onset and higher viral load than earlier strains [22].

The study was conducted as part of a well-conducted, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled efficacy trial “SPECTRA” with a study team blinded to participant allocation throughout the study, providing a unique opportunity to minimize confounding while estimating the vaccine impact in preventing secondary infections among household contacts. Trial participants underwent intensive follow-up and testing for SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease. Any confirmed COVID-19 in SPECTRA participants in the Philippines was a potential index case in a household. Daily clinical follow-up of index case HHC used methods established for the clinical efficacy trial including weekly telephone call interviews and daily self-reported symptom checklists assessing HHC with signs and symptoms of any severity. All symptomatic cases were confirmed using rRT-PCR testing of NP samples following the WHO standard guidelines to ensure a reliable diagnosis of COVID-19 [20]. There were no cases of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in fully or partially vaccinated contacts of SCB-2019 recipient index case, indicating that the adjuvanted recombinant protein SCB-2019 vaccine provides both direct protection to the vaccinee and effectively exerts indirect effects preventing transmission. Whilst

Household contact study

we were not able quantify it vaccinated people with breakthrough infections have been reported to have a faster decline in viral load than unvaccinated persons [18], which significantly impacts transmission [23].

Household transmission has played a significant role in the scale of the COVID-19 pandemic [21,24]. There is a lack of conclusive evidence on the impact of vaccines to prevent secondary infections in household contacts; some studies have demonstrated a positive impact of COVID-19 vaccines on reducing household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 [12–16] while others reported limited impact in decreasing the risk of household transmission of COVID-19 especially for contacts of Delta variant index cases [17,18]. A major barrier to obtaining conclusive evidence is the lack of control groups and the presence of significant confounding, so our study findings, with blinded vaccine and placebo groups, provides important information on the impact of vaccination on secondary COVID-19 infections among HHC, and particularly on the impact of SCB-2019 on preventing such secondary infections in HHC.

Elucidating the role of household transmission can have several policy and practice implications particularly to inform vaccination practices and policies in resource-limited countries where the coverage is still low such as sub-Saharan Africa where average vaccine coverage is approximately 10% [25]. In countries with limited access to COVID-19 vaccines a focus on households could support optimal vaccine use to control of SARS-CoV-2 transmission. As COVID-19 can transition to endemicity in many settings, understanding the mechanisms and developing prevention strategies for household transmission will provide several opportunities. Moreover, with the potential for future waves as vaccine immunity wanes or new variants emerge, vaccination strategies globally will need to consider household coverage of COVID-19 vaccination as an important aspect of limiting viral transmission.

Limitations

Our study findings should be interpreted with several important limitations. First, many index cases refused consent for this household study due to fear of stigma and discrimination related to COVID-19 infection in the Philippines which could have created a selection bias if different between SCB-2019 and placebo index cases. However, of the 441 refusals 155 (35%) and 286 (65%) had received SCB-2019 or placebo, respectively, mirroring the 51 (33%) and 103 (67%) who did enroll. Secondly, detection of incident asymptomatic infections during the follow-up of HHC using RAT kits may underestimate the burden of asymptomatic COVID-19 infections due to their potentially limited sensitivity and specificity [26–28]. Third, pandemic-related lockdowns and travel restrictions disrupted onsite monitoring and quality control/assurance activities, but data quality was assured through centralized database-based monitoring and contracting local monitors for on-site visits. Crude secondary attack rate estimates presented here do not account for possible exposure to sources of SARS-CoV-2 infection other than the SPECTRA trial index case, an issue in practically all household contact studies. The lack of multiple variable adjustment makes it likely that these SAR estimates are affected by residual confounding. Finally, we do not know whether transmission of more infectious Omicron variants would be affected, although experience suggests that impact on severe outcomes would be retained.

CONCLUSION

Based on a limited number of households and contacts we observed a trend of 79% reduction in household transmission and 80% in HHC transmission for SCB-2019 vaccinated index cases rather than placebo in an environment of predominantly Delta variant circulation.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (Grants RRCL2001 and RCL2202) and Clover Biopharmaceuticals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to all participants in the study and to our external service providers (CROs, laboratories, clinical suppliers and biostatistics) for their invaluable assistance in performing the trial. We thank Keith Veitch (keithveitch communications, The Netherlands) for editorial assistance with the manuscript (funded by Clover Biopharmaceuticals).

POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

P.L. and J.G. are full-time employees of the study sponsor. Other authors declare they have no conflicts.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available at: <https://covid19.who.int/> Accessed on July 7th, 2022.
2. Wang, H, Paulson KR, Pease SA, et al. Estimating excess mortality due to the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic analysis of COVID-19-related mortality, 2020-21. *Lancet* 2022; **399**:1513–36.
3. Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention DC. Scientific Brief: SARS-CoV-2 Transmission. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/science/science-briefs/sars-cov-2-transmission.html> Accessed on March 22nd, 2022.
4. Greenhalgh T, Jimenez JL, Prather KA, Tufekci Z, Fisman D, Schooley R. Ten scientific reasons in support of airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Lancet* 2021; **397**:1603–5.
5. Wang CC, Prather KA, Sznitman J, Jimenez JL, Lakdawala SS, Tufekci Z, Marr LC. Airborne transmission of respiratory viruses. *Science* 2021; **373**(6558):eabd9149.
6. Dhand R, Li J. Coughs and sneezes: their role in transmission of respiratory viral infections, including SARS-CoV-2. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; **202**(5):651–9.
7. Grijalva CG, Rolfes MA, Zhu Y, McLean HQ, Hanson KE, Belongia EA, et al. Transmission of SARS-COV-2 infections in households - Tennessee and Wisconsin, April-September 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020; **69**:1631–4.
8. Lei H, Xu X, Xiao S, Wu X, Shu Y. Household transmission of COVID-19 - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* 2020; **81**(6):993–5.
9. Metlay JP, Haas JS, Soltoff AE, Armstrong KA. Household transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA Network Open* 2021; **4**:e210304.
10. Luo L, Liu D, Liao X, Wu X, Jing Q, Zheng J, et al: Contact settings and risk for transmission in 3410 close contacts of patients with COVID-19 in Guangzhou, China: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2020; **173**:879–87.
11. Shah ASV, Gribben C, Bishop J, Hanlon P, Caldwell D, Wood R, et al. Effect of vaccination on transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *New Engl J Med* 2021; **385**:1718–20.

12. Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Longini IM, Halloran ME, Dean NE. Household secondary attack rates of SARS-CoV-2 by variant and vaccination status: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Network Open* 2022; **5**:e229317.
13. Harris RJ, Hall JA, Zaidi A, Andrews NJ, Dunbar JK, Dabrera G. Effect of vaccination on household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in England. *New Engl J Med* 2021; **385**:759–60.
14. Lyngse FP, Mølbak K, Denwood M, Christiansen LE, Møller CH, Rasmussen M, et al: Effect of vaccination on household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC. medRxiv 2022: 2022.2001.2006.22268841.
15. Prunas O, Warren JL, Crawford FW, Gazit S, Patalon T, Weinberger DM, et al. Vaccination with BNT162b2 reduces transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to household contacts in Israel. *Science* 2022: **375**(6585):1151–4.
16. Hayek S, Shaham G, Ben-Shlomo Y, Kepten E, Dagan N, Nevo D, et al. Indirect protection of children from SARS-CoV-2 infection through parental vaccination. *Science* 2022: **375**(6585):1155–9.
17. Eyre DW, Taylor D, Purver M, et al. Effect of Covid-19 vaccination on transmission of Alpha and Delta variants. *New Engl J Med* 2022; **386**:744–56.
18. Singanayagam A, Hakki S, Dunning J, Madon KJ, Crone MA, Koycheva A, et al. Community transmission and viral load kinetics of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta (B.1.617.2) variant in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals in the UK: a prospective, longitudinal, cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021; **22**(2):183–95.
19. Bravo L, Smolenov I, Han HH, Li P, Hosain R, Rockhold F, et al: Efficacy of the adjuvanted subunit protein COVID-19 vaccine, SCB-2019: a phase 2 and 3 multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2022; **399**:461–72.
20. World Health Organization. Laboratory testing for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in suspected human cases: interim guidance, March 19, 2020. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/10665-331501> Accessed on March 22nd, 2022.
21. Lei H, Xu X, Xiao S, Wu X, Shu Y. Household transmission of COVID-19-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* 2020; **81**:979–97.

22. Kang M, Xin H, Yuan J, et al. Transmission dynamics and epidemiological characteristics of Delta variant infections in China. *Euro Surveill* 2022; **27**:2100815.
23. Bhavnani D, James ER, Johnson KE, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load is associated with risk of transmission to household and community contacts. *BMC Infect Dis* 2022; **22**:672.
24. Lewis HC, Marcato AJ, Meagher N, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in standardised first few X cases and household transmission investigations: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Influenza Other Respi Viruses*. 2022; 1–17.
25. Lawal L, Aminu Bello M, Murwira T, et al. Low coverage of COVID-19 vaccines in Africa: current evidence and the way forward. *Hum Vaccs Immunother* 2022; **18**:2034457.
26. Choe JY, Kim JW, Kwon HH, Hong HL, Jung CY, Jeon CH, et al. Diagnostic performance of immunochromatography assay for rapid detection of IgM and IgG in coronavirus disease 2019. *J Med Virol* 2020; **92**:2567–72.
27. Makoah NA, Tipih T, Litabe MM, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the sensitivity of antibody tests for the laboratory confirmation of COVID-19. *Future Virol* 2021;10.2217/fvl-2021-0211.
28. Lagerqvist N, Maleki KT, Verner-Carlsson J, et al: Evaluation of 11 SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests by using samples from patients with defined IgG antibody titers. *Sci Rep* 2021; **11**:7614.

Table 1: Sociodemographic information of the Index cases and their household contacts

	SCB-2019 SPECTRA group	Placebo SPECTRA group
	Index cases (N = 154)*	
	(n = 51)	(n = 103)
Age (years)		
Mean (SD)	36.7 (12.2)	35.0 (10.9)
Median (Min, Max)	35 (19, 65)	33 (20, 65)
Sex		
Male	25 (49.0%)	40 (38.8%)
Female	26 (51.0%)	63 (61.2%)
Infection status		
Symptomatic	42 (82.4%)	88 (85.4%)
Asymptomatic	9 (17.6%)	15 (14.6%)
Number who did not receive second dose		
	3 (5.9%)	1 (1.0%)
Days from last vaccination to RT-PCR confirmation		
Mean (SD)	77.9 (33.1)	73.5 (34.7)
Median (Min, Max)	81 (14, 158)	66 (17, 169)
Virus sequenced (all Delta)		
	24 (47.1%)	50 (48.5%)
	Households (n = 154)	
All households	51	103
With 1 eligible Household Contact	11 (22%)	32 (31%)
With 2 eligible Household Contacts	11 (22%)	29 (28%)
With 3 or more eligible Household Contacts	29 (57%)	42 (41%)
	Household contacts of index cases (N = 384)	
	(n = 134)	(n = 250)
Age (years)		
Mean (SD)	28.8 (15.4)	28.8 (16.9)
Median (Min, Max)	24 (6, 70)	26 (6, 78)
Sex		
Male	75 (56.0%)	137 (54.8%)
Female	59 (44.0%)	113 (45.2%)
Relationship to the vaccine trial participant		
Parent	21 (15.7%)	37 (14.8%)
Child	22 (16.4%)	51 (20.4%)
Spouse	7 (5.2%)	18 (7.2%)
Grandparent	1 (0.7%)	5 (2.0%)
Other	83 (61.9%)	139 (55.6%)

* SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed on all Index cases by RT-PCR

Table 2. COVID-19 infection history and vaccine-related information in household contacts

	Household contacts (HHC)	
	SCB-2019 (n = 134)	Placebo (n = 250)
COVID-19 tested in the last 3 months, n (%)		
All tested	12 (9.0%)	29 (11.6%)
Positive test result	1 (0.7%)	3 (1.2%)
Negative test result	11 (8.2%)	26 (10.4%)
Vaccination status for COVID-19, n (%)		
Unvaccinated	85 (63.4%)	154 (61.6%)
Partially vaccinated	19 (14.2%)	38 (15.2%)
Fully vaccinated	30 (22.4%)	58 (23.2%)
Baseline and end-line COVID-19 Rapid Antibody Test results		
Tested at baseline	134	250
Seropositive at baseline	53 (39.6%)	87 (34.8%)
Seronegative at baseline	81 (60.4%)	163 (65.2%)
No second test at end of follow-up ^a	3 (3.7%)	18 (11.0%)
Paired baseline seronegative samples tested for antibody at end of follow-up ^a	78 (96.3%)	145 (89.0%)
Seropositive at end of follow-up ^b	17 (12.7%)	39 (15.6%)
Seronegative at end of follow-up ^b	61 (45.5%)	106 (42.4%)
Time to symptomatic HHC diagnosis from index case diagnosis		
n,	1	12
Mean days (SD)	16.0 (0)	15.9 (19.6)

a. Denominator is those with seronegative test result at baseline

b. Denominator is those who had paired baseline and end of follow-up samples

Table 3. Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection secondary attack rates (SAR) in the **households** of index cases.

	Households of SPECTRA index cases								RRR ^c (90% CI*)	
	SCB-2019 index cases (N = 51)				Placebo index cases (N = 103)					
	Cases	Households	Households with ≥ 1 case	Household SAR, % of index case's contacts (90% CI) ^a	Cases	Households	Households with ≥ 1 case	Household SAR, % of index case's contacts (90% CI) ^a		
All	1	51	1	0.76 (0.15–3.90)	12	103	7	5.88 (3.20–10.82)	0.79 (-0.28–0.97)	
<i>Household COVID-19 vaccine coverage (%)^b</i>										
75 to 100	0	9	0	-	2	25	2	4.27 (1.33–13.73)	-	
50 to 74	0	12	0	-	0	18	0	-	-	
25 to 49	0	7	0	-	8	16	3	18.3 (7.48–44.95)	-	
0 to 24	1	23	1	1.95 (0.38–9.87)	2	44	2	2.48 (0.77–8.00)	0.21 (-5.14–0.90)	

a. Point estimates and 90% CI for household secondary attack rates (**SAR**) and the indirect effect were estimated using a GEE logistic regression modeling approach with jack-knifed standard errors (see Methods).

b. Coverage for any COVID-19 vaccine received estimated as the proportion of household members who received any SARS-CoV-2 vaccine over total size of household.

c. Relative Risk Reduction (**RRR**) calculated as 1 minus the relative risk (**RR**) comparing SAR in SCB-2019 and Placebo households.

Table 4. Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection secondary attack rates (SAR) among **household contacts** of index cases

	Household contacts of index cases				RRR ^a (90% CI ^b)
	Cases among contacts / Total number of contacts	SAR per 1000 persons (90% CI)	Cases among contacts / Total number of contacts	SAR per 1000 persons (90% CI)	
Secondary attack rates among household contacts of All Index Cases					
	SCB-2019 vaccine group (N = 134)		Placebo group (N = 250)		
All Household Contacts	1 / 134	7.5 (0.4–34.9)	12 / 250	48.0 (27.9–76.6)	0.84 (0.28–0.97)
Fully vaccinated	0 / 30	0 (0–95.0)	3 / 58	51.7 (14.2–128)	1.00 (-0.66–1.00)
Partially vaccinated	0 / 19	0 (0–146)	1 / 38	26.3 (1.3–119)	1.00 (-4.21–1.00)
Unvaccinated	1 / 85	11.8 (0.6–54.6)	8 / 154	51.9 (26.1–91.8)	0.77 (-0.07–0.95)
Secondary attack rates among household contacts of Symptomatic Index Cases					
	SCB-2019 vaccine group (n = 106)		Placebo group (n = 212)		
All Household Contacts	1 / 106	9.4 (0.5–44.0)	10 / 212	47.2 (25.8–78.7)	0.80 (0.07–0.96)
Fully vaccinated	0 / 21	0 (0–133)	3 / 53	56.6 (15.6–140)	1.00 (-1.13–1.00)
Partially vaccinated	0 / 16	0 (0–171)	1 / 33	30.3 (1.6–136)	1.00 (-4.34–1.00)
Unvaccinated	1 / 69	14.5 (0.7–66.9)	6 / 126	47.6 (20.9–91.8)	0.70 (-0.47–0.94)
Secondary attack rates among household contacts of Asymptomatic Index Cases					
	SCB-2019 vaccine group (n = 28)		Placebo group (n = 38)		
All households	0 / 28	0 (0–102)	2 / 38	52.6 (9.4–157)	1.00 (-0.76–1.00)
Fully vaccinated	0 / 9	0 (0–283)	0 / 5	0 (0–451)	-

Household contact study


Partially vaccinated	0 / 3	0 (0–632)	0 / 5	0 (0–451)	-
Unvaccinated	0 / 16	0 (0–171)	2 / 28	71.4 (12.8–208)	1.00 (-1.21–1.00)-

SAR: Secondary Attack Rates; RRR: Relative Risk Reduction

a: One minus the relative risk comparing the SAR among the HH contacts of SCB-2019 versus Placebo index cases

b: 90% confidence intervals estimated as 1 minus the score confidence interval for the ratio of two proportions [relative risk], as implemented in the *PropCIs* package (version 0.3.0) of R (version 4.1.2).

Figure 1. Disposition of households, household contacts (HHC) and household infections by index case SPECTRA treatment group.



Supplementary material for Tadesse et al

Impact of vaccination with SCB-2019 COVID-19 vaccine on transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a household contact study in the Philippines

Supplementary table 1: Study sites and enrolled index cases in each site

Study Site	Number of Index cases (%)	Number of HH contacts (%)
University of Philippines, Manila	27 (17.2)	62 (15.9)
Asian Hospital and Medical Center	35 (22.3)	73 (18.7)
Tropical Disease Foundation	22 (14.0)	36 (9.2)
Manila Doctors Hospital	20 (12.7)	70 (17.9)
Las Piñas Doctors Hospital	21 (13.4)	58 (14.8)
UERM Memorial Medical Center-Laguna	2 (1.3)	2 (0.5)
FEU-NRMF Clinical Research	28 (17.8)	85 (21.7)
UERM Memorial Medical Center-Quezon City	2 (1.3)	5 (1.3)
Total	157	391

Supplementary table 2. Medical histories and vaccination records of household contacts

	Household contacts of index cases		
	Total	SCB-2019 group	Placebo group
	(N = 384)	(n = 134)	(n = 250)
Any underlying conditions	36 (9.4%)	9 (6.7%)	27 (10.8%)
Pregnancy	3 (0.8%)	1 (0.7 %)	2 (0.8%)
Cardiovascular disease (including hypertension)	14 (3.6%)	4 (3.0%)	10 (4.0%)
Diabetes	3 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	3 (1.2%)
Chronic neurological disease	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)
Renal or hepatic disease, or HIV	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Other	18 (4.7%)	4 (3.0%)	14 (5.6%)
Vaccination history			
Fully vaccinated	88 (22.9%)	30 (22.4%)	58 (23.2%)
Partially vaccinated	57 (14.8%)	19 (14.2%)	38 (15.2%)
Not vaccinated	239 (62.2%)	85 (63.4%)	154 (61.6%)

There were no significant differences between SCB-2019 and placebo groups when tested by Pearson's Chi-Squared Test or Fisher's Exact Test