BODY MASS INDEX IN PARENTS AND THEIR ADULT OFFSPRING: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Jie Zhang¹, Gemma Clayton^{2,3}, Kim Overvad¹, Anja Olsen^{1,4}, Deborah A Lawlor^{2,3}, Christina C Dahm¹

¹ Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark;

² Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom;

³ MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

⁴ Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence: Christina C. Dahm (ccd@ph.au.dk)

Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Bartholins Allé 2, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Key words: body mass index, intergenerational, adult offspring, systematic review

Running title: Body mass index in parents and their adult offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Mette Lise Lousdal (M.L.L) and Helene Tilma Vistisen (H.T.V) for their support in the literature search and screening.

FUNDING JZ's work is supported by Graduate School of Health, Aarhus University. GC's and DAL's contribution to this paper is supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 733206 (LifeCycle) and both work in a NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

unit that receives support from the University of Bristol and the UK Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00011/6). DAL's contribution is also supported by the British Heart Foundation CS/16/4/32482).

Abbreviations

BMI body mass index

CI confidence interval

MD mean difference

OR odds ratio

RR risk ratio

ROR ratio of odds ratio

SMD standardized mean difference

Summary

Obesity may track across generations, due to genetics and family environmental factors, or possibly intrauterine programming. However, many studies only assess associations between maternal body mass index (BMI) on offspring obesity in childhood. To determine whether maternal and paternal associations with offspring BMI differ, and whether associations persist into adulthood, a systematic review and meta-analysis was done. MEDLINE, EMBASE and PubMed (to December 2019) were searched. Observational studies reporting associations between maternal or paternal BMI and adult offspring BMI were included. Offspring BMIs were reported as continuous or categorical measures. 46 studies were included in the systematic review. Meta-analyses were conducted using random effects models. Parental BMI was positively associated with offspring BMI in adulthood. The pooled mother-offspring standardized mean difference (SMD) was 0.23 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.20, 0.26), and father-offspring SMD was similar: 0.22 (95%CI: 0.19, 0.25) in adjusted models. Maternal and paternal overweight and obesity were associated with higher offspring BMI with similar magnitudes. If these associations are causal, they support interventions targeting all family members, rather than focusing solely on mothers, to maintain a healthy weight.

1 1. INTRODUCTION

Obesity represents one of the most urgent national and global health challenges because of its high prevalence and long-term adverse health consequences¹. Familial resemblance in obesity is well-recognized². To date, multiple studies have explored parental-offspring body mass index (BMI) associations and linked maternal or paternal adiposity with unfavorable offspring body composition and related morbidity (e.g. diabetes, cardiovascular and metabolic diseases)^{3,4}.

8 However, the causes of the intergenerational association are unclear. Genetic 9 predisposition, family socioeconomic status, and lifestyle factors (e.g., diet, physical activity) are important contributors to risk of offspring adiposity^{5,6}. In addition to the above factors, 10 mothers with greater BMI during pregnancy might increase the risk of obesity in the offspring 11 12 via the fetal environment according to the 'fetal overnutrition' hypothesis^{7,8}. The hypothesis 13 suggests that maternal nutritional imbalance during gestation can alter metabolic processes, including the hypothalamic response to leptin and subsequent regulation of appetite and 14 pancreatic beta-cell physiology, and have a persistent effect on offspring adiposity⁹. This 15 hypothesis is supported by some animal studies^{10,11}, yet the evidence is mixed in human 16 17 studies^{12,13}. However, novel evidence from Mendelian randomization studies which use maternal genetic variants adjusted for offspring genetic variants as unconfounded 18 instrumental variables for an intrauterine exposure casts doubt on the causal effect of maternal 19 pregnancy adiposity^{14,15}. Furthermore, 'negative control' studies, which used paternal 20 adiposity as a control and compared mother-offspring and father-offspring BMI associations 21 suggest that maternal associations may be explained by family confounding^{6,16}. If there is a 22 causal *in-utero* effect, the association between parental-offspring BMI should be stronger in 23 the maternal line than in the paternal line¹⁷. There is also growing interest to investigate 24 25 whether any such association differs between daughters and sons. Comparison between the same-sex (mother-daughter, father-son) versus cross-sex (mother-son, father-daughter) 26 transmission would provide more insight into understanding the mechanisms underlying the 27 association. If a stronger same-sex association is observed, it might imply that shared 28

29 environment rather than genes is the driver because selective mother-daughter and fatherson gene transmission is not a common Mendelian trait¹⁸. However, no consistent patterns 30 31 have been identified so far, making it difficult to draw conclusions. While previous systematic 32 reviews have assessed associations between parental BMI and BMI of the offspring during childhood^{6,19}, few have investigated sex-specific parental-offspring BMI associations. and verv 33 little is known about the strength of associations with offspring in adulthood. We undertook a 34 35 systematic review and meta-analyses to quantify the strengths of associations between 36 parental BMI and adult offspring obesity, including separately by maternal and paternal lines. 37 The objectives of the present study were 3-fold: 1) to synthesize data from studies published from 1980 to 2020, thus investigating whether parental BMI is persistently associated with 38 offspring adiposity in adulthood; 2) to explore the difference in maternal or paternal 39 40 associations with adult offspring BMI and compare the associations for maternal and paternal 41 lines; 3) to assess same-sex (mother-daughter, father-son) and cross-sex (mother-son, fatherdaughter) parent-offspring BMI associations. 42

43 **2. METHODS**

The meta-analysis was performed following the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in
 Epidemiology (MOOSE) recommendation²⁰. The study was registered in PROSPERO
 (CRD42020159281), an international database of prospectively registered systematic reviews.

47 2.1 Search strategy

We carried out a systematic literature search of PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, 48 COCHRANE, and Google Scholar using predefined search terms (Appendix). Searches were 49 50 restricted to human studies published in English, full-text articles published after 1980. The reference lists of all studies that met the inclusion criteria and all related systematic reviews 51 were searched by J.Z., H.T.V, and C.C.D. Citation searches for all studies that met the 52 53 inclusion criteria and all related systematic reviews were performed using Google Scholar Citations. Authors of included studies were contacted for additional data when required for 54 inclusion in the meta-analyses. Database searches were completed in August 2019 and 55 updated in July 2020. 56

57 2.2 Study eligibility criteria

58 2.2.1 Inclusion criteria

59 Inclusion criteria were peer-reviewed studies reporting both the exposure variable (maternal BMI or paternal BMI, overweight, or obesity) and the outcome variable (offspring BMI, 60 61 overweight, or obesity) among offspring aged 18 years or older. Exposure and outcome variables could be either continuous or categorical. To be included, studies had to have been 62 published as full articles written in English, and express the findings as correlation or 63 64 regression coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), or odds ratios (OR) or risk ratios 65 (RR) with 95% CI. Studies reporting offspring BMI in late adolescence/early adulthood (reporting any offspring aged 18 years or older) were included. We included the first two 66 generations, that is grandparents and parents, in a three-generational cohort study where the 67 youngest generation was less than 18 years of age. Continuous BMI was selected as the main 68 69 measurement of adiposity in meta-analyses. Where studies were based on the same cohort (e.g 1958 British birth cohort) and the same participants, we chose the study with the larger 70 71 study population or older age group.

72 2.2.2 Study selection

Three assessors (J.Z., H.T.V, M.L.L.) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of all identified citations using Covidence online software (Covidence.org)²¹. Each full-text article was independently evaluated by three reviewers (J.Z., C.C.D, H.T.V). Disagreements were settled by discussion and consensus, with the third reviewer (C.C.D) available as an adjudicator.

78 2.2.3 Data extraction

A piloted data collection form was used by three reviewers (J.Z., C.C.D., H.T.V.) to independently extract the following data from full-text articles: study design, years of study, study origin (country), study setting, sample size, assessment methods of weight and height information, when BMI was ascertained, whether maternal BMI pertained to pre-pregnancy, and reported measures of association. We included information available from the publications. Inconsistencies were checked and resolved through the consensus process described earlier.

85 2.3 Data synthesis

86 2.3.1 Continuous outcome

We extracted correlation coefficients (r) or regression coefficients (mean difference (MD) or 87 standardized mean difference (SMD)) for continuous outcomes. As studies varied in reporting 88 89 associations between different familial groupings, we summarized three levels of family relationship groups: i) sex-specific at parental level and offspring level: mother-daughter, 90 91 mother-son, father-daughter, and father-son; (ii) sex-specific at parental level: mother-92 offspring and father-offspring. When measures of association were reported by offspring sex at parental and offspring level, we used methods proposed by Borenstein et al.²² to combine 93 94 father-offspring (or mother-offspring) from sex-specific associations (father-daughter and father-son, or mother-daughter and mother-son) and assumed varying correlations (ranging 95 96 from 0-0.3) depending on the study design and analysis of the study to account for 97 dependency. For example, we assumed a correlation of 0.3 where multilevel models had accounted for the structure of the data whilst we assumed a correlation of 0 in models with 98 99 e.g. fathers with only one child. Inverse variance-weighted random-effects modelling was conducted to pool the sex-specific associations to either father-offspring or mother-offspring. 100 101 Finally, random-effect meta-analysis was applied to synthesize the overall parental-offspring association since it accounts for both random variability and the variability in effects among 102 103 the studies.

For data from regression models (where offspring BMI could be in kg/m² or SD units), we 104 separately extracted regression coefficients that were (i) MD per kg/m² difference in parental 105 BMI (ii) SMD per SD difference in parental BMI²³⁻²⁶. We then transformed studies reporting 106 MD per kg/m² difference in parental BMI to SD units, so that (i) and (ii) could be combined to 107 increase power. Regression coefficients from multilevel models were treated as standard 108 regression models. For studies reporting correlation coefficients (r), data were transformed 109 with Fisher's Z scale, and via the inverse Fisher's Z transformation to r. The correlation 110 111 coefficients were combined with unadjusted regression coefficients for the unadjusted data

synthesis. The unadjusted and adjusted data (minimally adjusted for offspring's age and sex)were synthesized separately.

Furthermore, we tested whether there were differences between mother-offspring and fatheroffspring associations. Differences in SMD or MD were calculated for continuous outcomes. Forest plots were constructed to display the individual and aggregate measures of association and their corresponding 95% CIs, in addition to tables presenting the aggregate results. We calculated the I² value to measure heterogeneity, which represents the observed percentage of total variation across studies due to heterogeneity rather than chance.

120 2.3.2 Categorical outcome

ORs and RRs and either their standard errors or 95%CI were directly extracted from study 121 results. Because few studies reported obesity prevalence of more than 20% or reported RRs, 122 ORs and RRs were summarized together²⁷. Studies varied in reporting parental or offspring 123 124 weight status using different criteria, different reference groups (e.g., normal weight mother, normal weight father, both normal weight parents), or varied in stratifying sex-specific 125 associations mother/father-daughter, mother/father-son 126 (e.g. association, parentdaughter/parent-son association, or mother/father-offspring, or parent-offspring), precluding 127 128 synthesizing results to a meaningful single summary OR. We therefore presented forest plots showing individual study results without pooling ORs. 129

130 2.4 Subgroup analyses

The following subgroup analyses were specified a priori for the primary analysis, where data were available: (i) BMI assessment method: measured versus self-reported; (ii) study design: cross-sectional study versus longitudinal study; (iii) maternal BMI measured before pregnancy versus after pregnancy; (iv) offspring age: early adulthood (younger than 30 years), midadulthood (30-40years), and later adulthood (older than 40 years).

136 **2.5 Quality assessment**

Quality assessment was done by two reviewers (J.Z. and C.C.D) using a Newcastle-Ottawa
scale (Appendix). The Newcastle-Ottawa scale evaluated the study design,
representativeness of the exposed cohort, selection of the non-exposed cohort, ascertainment

of exposure, comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis, assessment of offspring BMI, whether all adult participants in the analysis were the target age of the research question, and the adequacy of follow up of cohorts. We also considered how missing data were handled, whether studies included only biological parents and children (non-paternity), and adjustment for key confounders in the quality assessment. Studies were awarded one point per fulfilled criteria.

146 **2.6 Post hoc analyses and publication bias**

We conducted post hoc analyses by excluding 7 studies^{26,28-33} (15% of studies and 5% of participants) that measured offspring BMI at 15–25 years or took out 3 studies^{25,29,34} with low quality scores. To evaluate the potential effect of unpublished studies on our main findings (due to asymmetry or publication bias), we produced funnel plots and conducted Egger's regression³⁵.

All analyses were conducted using the metan command in Stata BE version 17³⁶ (StataCorp,
 College Station, TX, USA).

154 **3. RESULTS**

155 **3.1 Descriptive statistics**

156 The searches identified 32,689 studies, of which 90 studies met the abstract inclusion criteria. Of the studies that met the initial abstract screening, 44 studies were excluded from the review, 157 because: study subjects were not adult offspring (n=22), wrong exposure or outcome (n=10), 158 adiposity was not assessed by BMI (n=3), exposure or outcome were BMI change (n=4), 159 articles were not in English (n=1), wrong comparator (n=1), wrong study design (n=1), same 160 161 cohort (n=1), or conference abstract (n=1). This resulted in 46 publications in the review (Figure S1). Of these studies, 26 were cohort studies, and 20 were cross-sectional studies. 162 163 Study populations were from Australia, Asia, Europe, North and South America. Most of the 164 studies included parent-offspring pairs and trios, with sample size ranging from 32 motherdaughter dyads²⁹ to 36,528 father-mother-offspring trios³⁷. Two studies used a three-165 generation cohort^{38,39}. Parental and offspring BMIs were examined at different life stages 166 across studies. Some studies focused on parental BMI at an early age^{24,30,40-43}, while other 167

studies collected parental anthropometric information in parallel with that of the adult offspring,
so that parents were in middle or late adulthood ^{23,25,31,32,44-46}. The information extracted from
each study is presented in *Table S1*.

Various statistical methods were employed to analyze the relationship between parental BMI 171 172 and adult offspring BMI. These included correlation coefficient methods, linear regression 173 models, logistic or multinomial models, and multilevel models. Most linear regression studies 174 adjusted for family socioeconomic factors, maternal age, smoking, drinking, and other 175 important confounders. The majority of studies found positive associations between parents and their adult offspring BMI, while four studies reported null associations^{25,34,38,46}. Several 176 177 studies investigated sex-specific associations and compared the same-sex (mother-daughter, or father-son) or cross-sex (mother-son, father-daughter) relationships within the same 178 population^{18,23,24,47,48}. Conclusions regarding the findings for these sex-specific results varied 179 180 between the studies. Detailed information is found in Table S2, S3, and S4.

181 **3.2 Standardized Mean Difference (SMD) for continuous measures of BMI**

Associations of continuous measures of maternal and/or paternal BMI with offspring BMI were reported in 35 studies. These studies used different BMI units and not all adjusted for confounders. We pooled results separately for: (i) confounder-adjusted SMD (n = 15 studies); (ii) unadjusted (for any covariates) SMD (n = 21) and (iii) confounder-adjusted associations with parental and offspring BMI in 1 kg/m² units (n= 9).

Across all of these studies, results were consistent with similar positive associations for 187 mother-offspring and father-offspring. For example, the pooled analyses showed mother-188 offspring SMD was 0.23 (95%CI: 0.20, 0.26 per SD greater maternal BMI), and the father-189 offspring was 0.22 (95%CI: 0.19, 0.25 per SD greater paternal BMI) in adjusted models (Figure 190 1). The equivalent unadjusted SMD results were 0.24 (95%CI: 0.21, 0.28) for mother-offspring 191 and 0.21(95% CI: 0.18, 0.25) for father-offspring (Figure S2, with additional result in Table S5). 192 There was evidence of between study heterogeneity in both analyses (I²% =79% for mother-193 offspring, I²%=68% for father-offspring). The synthesized sex-specific adjusted SMDs 194

revealed similar positive associations for mother-daughter, mother-son, father-daughter, and
father-son (*Figure 2, Table S5*).

Pooled results from 15 studies of the mean difference in offspring BMI (kg/m²) per 1 kg/m²
parental BMI were consistent with those for the SMD. There were also high levels of between
study heterogeneity (*Figure S3a, Figure S3b and Table S6*).

We further compared the strength of associations between maternal and paternal BMI and offspring BMI by calculating the difference in SMD. There was no strong evidence for differences by offspring gender for either maternal or paternal associations (difference in SMD=0.01, 95%CI: -0.02, 0.05; *Figure 3*). Similar approaches were applied to unadjusted SMD and MD, and results remained similar (*Figure S4, Figure S5 and Tables S7 to S9*).

There were 4 studies that could not be included in the meta-analyses^{33,37,49,50}. The reported findings were consistent with the meta-analysed studies. For detailed descriptions, see *Table*

207 **S10**.

208 **3.3 Subgroup and post hoc analyses**

209 There was evidence that associations were weaker when parental BMI was based on parental self-reported weight and height rather than with clinical or research measures (for example 210 211 SMD=0.21 (95%CI: 0.15, 0.27 for mother-offspring, p=0.000 and SMD=0.26, 95%CI: 0.23,0.30, p=0.006, respectively; (exact p<0.1 for heterogeneity between groups, Figure S6, 212 Table S11). The association was higher in cross-sectional studies compared to cohort studies 213 for father-offspring associations but not for mother-offspring associations (Figure S7a, Table 214 215 S12). Pooled results were very similar comparing studies where maternal BMI ascertainment 216 was before/ in early pregnancy or after pregnancy (Figure S8, Table S13), similarly results were consistent between studies in which children younger than 30 years and those who were 217 218 older than 40 years (Figure S9a, Figure S9b, and Table S14).

Post hoc analysis were performed to investigate the moderate-to-high degree of betweenstudy heterogeneity, including taking out studies with a small proportion of offspring younger than 18 years (*Table S15*) or with low quality (*Table S16*). The results remained similar to the main analyses.

223 3.4 Secondary outcome - ORs

18 studies investigated the association between parental BMI status and offspring overweight or obesity and were included. 11 of the studies^{18,25,31,33,42,47,51-64} used World Health Organization⁶⁵ categories to define overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m²) and obese status (BMI ≥30 kg/m²); the remaining studies used different thresholds based on the study population distribution.

As noted in the methods we did not pool results from these studies. Most studies found that adult offspring of overweight or obese parents (either mother or father, or both) were more likely to be overweight or obese themselves, compared to those with normal-weight parents, though magnitudes of association varied ranging from 1.1 (95%CI: 0.8, 1.6)⁵³ to 11.8 (95%CI: 7.7, 18.0)¹⁸ (see *Figures S10 to S12*).

Only one study found little evidence of an association between mother-offspring or fatheroffspring weight status⁵⁶. ORs were generally higher when both parents were overweight or obese compared to results using where only one parent was overweight or obese, and there was evidence of a dose response with stronger magnitudes of association for parental obesity than overweight. 4 studies examined the association of parental BMI as a continuum with ORs for offspring overweight or obesity, with results for theseshowing higher odds or offspring overweight and obesity with higher parental mean BMI^{31,62,63,66}.

3.5 Study quality and risk of bias

The majority of the studies were considered of good quality (scored above 3), with 4 studies 242 scoring 7, and 3 studies below 2. 11 studies did not have a representative sample of the target 243 population, the exposure or outcome were self-reported or without description in 15 studies, 244 and confounding factors were not considered in the analyses in 10 studies. 13 cross-sectional 245 studies did not score a point for adequacy of follow up of the cohort due to the nature of the 246 247 study design; 17 cohort studies had a follow-up of <80% and did not provide any description of loss to follow-up. All studies were followed-up long enough for outcomes to occur (average 248 249 offspring age was above 18 years old). Sensitivity analyses taking out the 3 studies of poor

250 quality (score below 2) showed slightly higher SMD and lower I² in the adjusted models (*Table*

251 **S17**).

252 3.6 Funnel plot asymmetry

The funnel plot and Egger's test shows no strong evidence of funnel asymmetry suggesting there was little evidence of publication bias (*Figure S13*).

255 **4. DISCUSSION**

256 In this systematic review, we found positive associations between parental BMI and their 257 offspring BMI in adulthood, assessed using several different measures of association. 258 Directions and magnitudes of associations were similar for both parents and when assessed separately in daughters and sons. They were also similar in subgroups of studies that differed 259 in age at which offspring weight and height were ascertained and timing of maternal BMI in 260 relation to pregnancy. Most studies were considered good guality, with only few scoring poorly. 261 262 and we observed that results in studies with BMI (parental and/or offspring) based on selfreported rather than measured weight and height were weaker. The findings extend a previous 263 systematic review on the intergenerational transmission of parental and offspring adiposity in 264 childhood^{6,19} and demonstrate that the association persists into adulthood. The magnitude of 265 266 association across the BMI distribution was relatively weak. For example, the pooled SMD=0.23 per 1SD higher in maternal BMI is equivalent to a correlation coefficient of 0.23. 267 On the other hand, this correlation could be important at a population level. 268

Most of the meta-analysed studies measured parental BMI concurrently with offspring 269 BMI, and it is conceivable that any intrauterine effects might be diluted with increasing age. 270 271 However, our results were similar for studies that measured parental BMI before the index pregnancy. That we see similar magnitudes of associations for mothers and fathers, is 272 consistent with previous parental negative control and Mendelian randomization studies^{15,16,67-} 273 274 ⁷¹, which suggest that intrauterine mechanisms are not key to cross-generational associations. The comparison of same-sex versus cross-sex association also did not reveal significant sex 275 276 differences in the associations. Our findings are consistent with both parents contributing to an 'obesogenic' family environment, but are also compatible with the inheritance from either 277

parent, or both, of genetic traits predisposing to adiposity⁷². Thus, these findings emphasize
the need to target all family members for obesity prevention.

280 Between-study heterogeneity was moderate-to-high for some of the pooled results. We 281 conducted a series of subgroup analyses to explore the sources of heterogeneity, but found 282 consistent results between subgroups for all of these, except whether BMI was based on self-283 reported or measured weight and height. Results were consistent between studies that did not 284 adjust for any confounders and those adjusting for some confounders (most of which adjusted 285 for what we consider to be key confounders). Thus differences in confounder adjustments are 286 unlikely to explain between study heterogeneity and we are unable to determine the cause of 287 between study heterogeneity. 6 studies adjusted for early life variables such as birth weight or gestational weight gain^{40,43,59,61,73,74}, which are potential mediators on the causal pathway 288 289 between parental and offspring BMI, rather than confounders. Therefore, we used results from 290 models that did not adjust for birthweight or gestational weight gain in the meta-analyses.

Key strengths of our review include the focus on offspring adult BMI, carefully considering which studies are appropriate to meta-analyses, and undertaking relevant subgroup analyses. We have investigated sex-specific associations and compared associations of maternaloffspring to paternal-offspring BMI associations to explore the potential etiology of BMI intergenerational associations.

We acknowledge the limitations of the meta-analyses. Firstly, whilst our focus was on 296 offspring adult (18 years or older) BMI, 7 studies^{26,28-33} (corresponding to 15% of studies and 297 5% of participants) measuring offspring BMI at 15-25 years were included in the systematic 298 review. However, meta-analysis results were not changed with removal of these studies. We 299 300 have focused on adult BMI and it is possible that results would be different for other measures 301 of adiposity, such as waist circumference or fat mass. However, the strong correlations 302 between BMI and these measurements, together with similar Mendelian randomization results for maternal BMI with offspring BMI and fat mass, makes this unlikely⁷⁵. The small number of 303 304 studies reporting all combinations of mother, father, daughter and son associations limited our ability to assess any dose-response relationships between within these different groups. 305

306 Conclusions

This systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies indicates that the intergenerational associations between parental and offspring obesity persisted into adulthood. We found there was no strong evidence of differences between maternal and paternal lines, which together with Mendelian randomization and negative control studies^{6,16} mostly in younger aged offspring, suggest that intrauterine effects related to higher maternal BMI are not a major cause of higher offspring adiposity.

313

314

315 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

316 DAL has received support from Roche Diagnostics and Medtronic Ltd for research unrelated

317 to this paper; other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

318

319

320 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JZ and CCD had the original idea for the paper and designed the study. JZ, GC, KO, AO, DAL and CCD contributed to the analysis plan. The bibliographic search and data extraction was carried out by JZ, HTV, MLL, and CCD. The methods and statistical analysis were performed by JZ and GC. The interpretation of results and writing and final editing was done by all authors.

325 All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

326

327

328

329

331 Reference

- 332 1. McPherson K. Reducing the global prevalence of overweight and obesity. The Lancet. 2014;384(9945):728-730. 333
- 334 2. Katzmarzyk PT, Perusse L, Rao D, Bouchard C. Familial risk of obesity and central adipose tissue 335 distribution in the general Canadian population. American journal of epidemiology. 336 1999;149(10):933-942.
- 337 3. Razaz N, Villamor E, Muraca GM, Bonamy A-KE, Cnattingius S. Maternal obesity and risk of 338 cardiovascular diseases in offspring: a population-based cohort and sibling-controlled study. 339 The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2020;8(7):572-581.
- 340 4. Godfrey KM, Reynolds RM, Prescott SL, et al. Influence of maternal obesity on the long-term 341 health of offspring. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2017;5(1):53-64.
- 342 5. Bond TA, Karhunen V, Wielscher M, et al. Exploring the role of genetic confounding in the 343 association between maternal and offspring body mass index: evidence from three birth 344 cohorts. International journal of epidemiology. 2020;49(1):233-243.
- 345 6. Patro B, Liber A, Zalewski B, Poston L, Szajewska H, Koletzko B. Maternal and paternal body 346 mass index and offspring obesity: a systematic review. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism. 347 2013;63(1-2):32-41.
- 348 7. Desai M, Ross MG. Fetal programming of adipose tissue: effects of intrauterine growth 349 restriction and maternal obesity/high-fat diet. Paper presented at: Seminars in reproductive 350 medicine2011.
- 351 8. Winter P, Osmond C, Margetts B, Simmonds S. Weight in Infancy and Death from Ischemic 352 Heart Disease'. Lancet. 1989;2:577-580.
- 353 9. Marciniak A, Patro-Małysza J, Kimber-Trojnar Ż, Marciniak B, Oleszczuk J, Leszczyńska-354 Gorzelak B. Fetal programming of the metabolic syndrome. Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics 355 and Gynecology. 2017;56(2):133-138.
- Bertram CE, Hanson MA. Animal models and programming of the metabolic syndrome: Type 356 10. 357 2 diabetes. British medical bulletin. 2001;60(1):103-121.
- 358 George LA, Uthlaut AB, Long NM, et al. Different levels of overnutrition and weight gain during 11. 359 pregnancy have differential effects on fetal growth and organ development. Reproductive 360 Biology and Endocrinology. 2010;8(1):1-11.
- 361 12. Lawlor DA, Relton C, Sattar N, Nelson SM. Maternal adiposity—a determinant of perinatal and 362 offspring outcomes? Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2012;8(11):679.
- 363 Sharp GC, Lawlor DA, Richardson SS. It's the mother!: How assumptions about the causal 13. 364 primacy of maternal effects influence research on the developmental origins of health and 365 disease. Social Science & Medicine. 2018;213:20-27.
- 366 14. Richmond RC, Timpson NJ, Felix JF, et al. Using Genetic Variation to Explore the Causal Effect 367 of Maternal Pregnancy Adiposity on Future Offspring Adiposity: A Mendelian Randomisation 368 Study. PLoS medicine. 2017;14(1):e1002221.
- 369 15. Bond TA, Richmond RC, Karhunen V, et al. Exploring the causal effect of maternal pregnancy 370 adiposity on offspring adiposity: Mendelian randomization using polygenic risk scores. 371 medRxiv. 2021.
- 372 16. Smith GD, Steer C, Leary S, Ness A. Is there an intrauterine influence on obesity? Evidence 373 from parent-child associations in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children 374 (ALSPAC). Archives of disease in childhood. 2007;92(10):876-880.
- 375 17. Kivimäki M, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, et al. Substantial intergenerational increases in body mass 376 index are not explained by the fetal overnutrition hypothesis: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young 377 Finns Study. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2007;86(5):1509-1514.
- 378 Han T, Hart C, Haig C, et al. Contributions of maternal and paternal adiposity and smoking to 18. 379 adult offspring adiposity and cardiovascular risk: the Midspan Family Study. BMJ open. 380 2015;5(11).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.01.22278184; this version posted August 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 381 19. Heslehurst N, Vieira R, Akhter Z, et al. The association between maternal body mass index and 382 child obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS medicine. 2019;16(6):e1002817.
- 383 20. Dekkers OM, Vandenbroucke JP, Cevallos M, Renehan AG, Altman DG, Egger M. COSMOS-E: 384 guidance on conducting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of observational studies of 385 etiology. PLoS medicine. 2019;16(2):e1002742.
- 386 21. Covidence. Covidence systematic review software. Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, 387 Australia. .
- Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JP, Rothstein HR. "CHAPTER 23 Independent Subgroups 388 22. 389 within a Study". Introduction to meta-analysis. John Wiley & Sons; 2021.
- 390 23. Hu Y, He L, Wu Y, Ma G, Li L, Hu Y. Familial correlation and aggregation of body mass index 391 and blood pressure in Chinese Han population. BMC public health. 2013;13(1):686.
- 392 Johnson PC, Logue J, McConnachie A, et al. Intergenerational change and familial aggregation 24. 393 of body mass index. Eur J Epidemiol. 2012;27(1):53-61.
- 394 Kvaavik E, Tell GS, Klepp K-I. Predictors and tracking of body mass index from adolescence into 25. 395 adulthood: follow-up of 18 to 20 years in the Oslo Youth Study. Archives of pediatrics & 396 adolescent medicine. 2003;157(12):1212-1218.
- 397 26. Swanton S, Choh AC, Lee M, et al. Body mass index associations between mother and offspring 398 from birth to age 18: the Fels Longitudinal Study. Obesity Science & Practice. 2017;3(2):127-399 133.
- 400 Egger M, Smith GD, Phillips AN. Meta-analysis: principles and procedures. BMJ (Clinical 27. 401 research ed). 1997;315(7121):1533-1537.
- 402 28. Friedlander Y, Kark J, Kaufmann N, Berry E, Stein YJIjoo. Familial aggregation of body mass 403 index in ethnically diverse families in Jerusalem. The Jerusalem Lipid Research Clinic. 404 1988;12(3):237-247.
- 405 29. Cho C, Maawadh A, Gerstner GE. Comparisons of chewing rhythm, craniomandibular 406 morphology, body mass and height between mothers and their biological daughters. Archives 407 of Oral Biology. 2015;60(11):1667-1674.
- 408 30. Salces I, Rebato E, San Martin L, Rosique J, Vinagre A, Susanne C. Family resemblance for 409 anthropometric traits II. Assessment of maternal occupational and age effects. Homo. 410 2002;52(3):201-213.
- 411 31. Kowaleski-Jones L, Brown BB, Fan JX, Smith KR, Zick CD. Are you what your mother weighs? 412 Evaluating the impact of maternal weight trajectories on youth overweight. Maternal and 413 child health journal. 2010;14(5):680-686.
- 414 32. Classen TJ. Measures of the intergenerational transmission of body mass index between 415 mothers and their children in the United States, 1981–2004. Economics & Human Biology. 416 2010;8(1):30-43.
- 417 33. Chaparro MP, Koupil I, Byberg L. Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and offspring body composition 418 in young adulthood: the modifying role of offspring sex and birth order. Public health nutrition. 419 2017;20(17):3084-3089.
- 420 34. Khoury P, Morrison JA, Laskarzewski PM, Glueck CJ. Parent-offspring and sibling body mass 421 index associations during and after sharing of common household environments: the 422 Princeton School District Family Study. Metabolism. 1983;32(1):82-89.
- 423 35. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, 424 graphical test. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 1997;315(7109):629-634.
- 425 36. Harris RJ, Deeks JJ, Altman DG, Bradburn MJ, Harbord RM, Sterne JA. Metan: fixed-and 426 random-effects meta-analysis. The Stata Journal. 2008;8(1):3-28.
- 427 37. Vik KL, Romundstad P, Carslake D, Davey Smith G, Nilsen TI. Comparison of father-offspring 428 and mother-offspring associations of cardiovascular risk factors: family linkage within the 429 population-based HUNT Study, Norway. International journal of epidemiology. 430 2014;43(3):760-771.

- 431 38. Murrin CM, Kelly GE, Tremblay RE, Kelleher CC. Body mass index and height over three 432 generations: evidence from the Lifeways cross-generational cohort study. BMC public health. 433 2012;12(1):81.
- 434 39. Kelly GE, Murrin C, Viljoen K, O'Brien J, Kelleher C. Body mass index is associated with the 435 maternal lines but height is heritable across family lines in the Lifeways Cross-Generation 436 Cohort Study. BMJ open. 2014;4(12):e005732.
- 437 Laitinen J, Power C, Järvelin M-R. Family social class, maternal body mass index, childhood 40. 438 body mass index, and age at menarche as predictors of adult obesity. The American journal of 439 clinical nutrition. 2001;74(3):287-294.
- 440 Magnusson P, Rasmussen F. Familial resemblance of body mass index and familial risk of high 41. 441 and low body mass index. A study of young men in Sweden. International journal of obesity. 442 2002;26(9):1225-1231.
- 443 42. Reynolds R, Osmond C, Phillips D, Godfrey K. Maternal BMI, parity, and pregnancy weight gain: 444 influences on offspring adiposity in young adulthood. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & 445 Metabolism. 2010;95(12):5365-5369.
- Hochner H, Friedlander Y, Calderon-Margalit R, et al. Associations of maternal prepregnancy 446 43. 447 body mass index and gestational weight gain with adult offspring cardiometabolic risk factors: 448 the Jerusalem Perinatal Family Follow-up Study. Circulation. 2012;125(11):1381-1389.
- 449 44. Mirmiran P, Mirbolooki M, Azizi F. Familial clustering of obesity and the role of nutrition: 450 Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. International journal of obesity. 2002;26(12):1617-1622.
- Wu D-M, Hong Y, Sun C-A, Sung P-K, Rao D, Chu N-F. Familial resemblance of adiposity-related 451 45. 452 parameters: results from a health check-up population in Taiwan. European journal of 453 epidemiology. 2003;18(3):221-226.
- 454 Kazumi T, Kawaguchi A, Yoshino G. Associations of middle-aged mother's but not father's body 46. 455 mass index with 18-year-old son's waist circumferences, birth weight, and serum hepatic 456 enzyme levels. *Metabolism.* 2005;54(4):466-470.
- 457 47. Zalbahar N, Najman J, McIntrye HD, Mamun A. Parental pre-pregnancy BMI influences on 458 offspring BMI and waist circumference at 21 years. Australian and New Zealand journal of public health. 2016;40(6):572-578. 459
- 460 Carrillo-Larco RM, Bernabé-Ortiz A, Sal y Rosas VG, et al. Parental body mass index and blood 48. pressure are associated with higher body mass index and blood pressure in their adult 461 462 offspring: a cross-sectional study in a resource-limited setting in northern Peru. Tropical 463 Medicine & International Health. 2018;23(5):533-540.
- 464 49. Eriksson JG, Sandboge S, Salonen M, Kajantie E, Osmond C. Maternal weight in pregnancy and 465 offspring body composition in late adulthood: findings from the Helsinki Birth Cohort Study (HBCS). Annals of medicine. 2015;47(2):94-99. 466
- 467 50. Sørensen T, Holst C, Stunkard AJ, Skovgaard LTJIjoo, Obesity rmdjotlAftSo. Correlations of 468 body mass index of adult adoptees and their biological and adoptive relatives. 1992;16(3):227-469 236.
- 470 51. Lake JK, Power C, Cole TJ. Child to adult body mass index in the 1958 British birth cohort: 471 associations with parental obesity. Archives of disease in childhood. 1997;77(5):376-381.
- 472 52. Burke V, Beilin LJ, Dunbar D. Family lifestyle and parental body mass index as predictors of 473 body mass index in Australian children: a longitudinal study. International journal of obesity. 474 2001;25(2):147-157.
- 475 53. Williams S. Overweight at age 21: the association with body mass index in childhood and 476 adolescence and parents' body mass index. A cohort study of New Zealanders born in 1972-477 1973. International journal of obesity. 2001;25(2):158-163.
- 478 54. Magarey AM, Daniels LA, Boulton TJ, Cockington RA. Predicting obesity in early adulthood 479 from childhood and parental obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27(4):505-513.
- 480 55. Crossman A, Sullivan DA, Benin M. The family environment and American adolescents' risk of 481 obesity as young adults. Social science & medicine. 2006;63(9):2255-2267.

- 482 56. Naser Al-Isa A, Campbell J, Desapriya E. Factors associated with overweight and obesity among 483 Kuwaiti men. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health. 2013;25(1):63-73.
- 484 57. Al-Isa AN. Factors associated with overweight and obesity among Kuwaiti college women. 485 Nutrition and health. 1998;12(4):227-233.
- 486 58. Wang H, Wang J, Liu M-M, et al. Epidemiology of general obesity, abdominal obesity and 487 related risk factors in urban adults from 33 communities of Northeast China: the CHPSNE 488 study. BMC public health. 2012;12(1):967.
- 489 59. Derraik JG, Ahlsson F, Diderholm B, Lundgren M. Obesity rates in two generations of Swedish 490 women entering pregnancy, and associated obesity risk among adult daughters. Scientific 491 reports. 2015;5:16692.
- 492 60. Alati R, Betts K, Williams G, Najman J, Zalbahar N, Mamun A. Generational increase in obesity 493 among young women: a prospective analysis of mother-daughter dyads. International Journal 494 of Obesity. 2016;40(1):176-180.
- 495 Rath S, Marsh JA, Newnham JP, et al. Parental pre-pregnancy BMI is a dominant early-life risk 61. 496 factor influencing BMI of offspring in adulthood. Obesity science & practice. 2016;2(1):48-57.
- 497 Koupil I, Toivanen P. Social and early-life determinants of overweight and obesity in 18-year-62. 498 old Swedish men. International journal of obesity (2005). 2008;32(1):73-81.
- 499 63. Stuebe AM, Forman MR, Michels KB. Maternal-recalled gestational weight gain, pre-500 pregnancy body mass index, and obesity in the daughter. International journal of obesity. 501 2009;33(7):743-752.
- 502 64. Schoppa I, Lyass A, Heard-Costa N, et al. Association of Maternal Prepregnancy Weight with 503 Offspring Adiposity Throughout Adulthood over 37 Years of Follow - up. Obesity. 504 2019;27(1):137-144.
- 505 65. Organization WH. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. 2000.
- 506 66. Reynolds RM, Osmond C, Phillips DI, Godfrey KM. Maternal BMI, parity, and pregnancy weight 507 gain: influences on offspring adiposity in young adulthood. The Journal of clinical 508 endocrinology and metabolism. 2010;95(12):5365-5369.
- 509 67. Subramanian S, Ackerson LK, Smith GD. Parental BMI and childhood undernutrition in India: 510 an assessment of intrauterine influence. Pediatrics. 2010;126(3):e663-e671.
- 511 Fleten C, Nystad W, Stigum H, et al. Parent-offspring body mass index associations in the 68. 512 Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study: a family-based approach to studying the role of 513 the intrauterine environment in childhood adiposity. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176(2):83-92.
- 514 69. Corsi DJ, Subramanian S, Ackerson LK, Smith GD. Is there a greater maternal than paternal 515 influence on offspring adiposity in India? Archives of disease in childhood. 2015;100(10):973-516 979.
- Grant JF, Chittleborough CR, Taylor AW. Parental midlife body shape and association with 517 70. 518 multiple adult offspring obesity measures: North West Adelaide Health Study. PloS one. 519 2015;10(9):e0137534.
- 520 Perez-Pastor EM, Metcalf BS, Hosking J, Jeffery AN, Voss LD, Wilkin TJ. Assortative weight gain 71. 521 in mother-daughter and father-son pairs: an emerging source of childhood obesity. 522 Longitudinal study of trios (EarlyBird 43). International journal of obesity. 2009;33(7):727-735.
- 523 72. Næss M, Sund ER, Vie GÅ, et al. Intergenerational polygenic obesity risk throughout 524 adolescence in a cross - sectional study design: The HUNT study, Norway. Obesity. 525 2021;29(11):1916-1924.
- 526 73. Kaseva N, Vääräsmäki M, Matinolli H, et al. Pre-pregnancy overweight or obesity and 527 gestational diabetes as predictors of body composition in offspring twenty years later: 528 evidence from two birth cohort studies. International Journal of Obesity. 2018;42(4):872-879. 529 74. Kazumi T, Kawaguchi A, Yoshino G. Associations of middle-aged mother's but not father's body 530 mass index with 18-year-old son's waist circumferences, birth weight, and serum hepatic
- enzyme levels. Metabolism. 2005;54(4):466-470. 531

532 75. Bond TA, Richmond RC, Karhunen V, et al. Exploring the causal effect of maternal pregnancy
533 adiposity on offspring adiposity: Mendelian randomisation using polygenic risk scores. *BMC*534 *medicine*. 2022;20(1):1-16.

535

537 Figures

subgroup and study	Designoffs	pringage	% Effect (95% CI) Weigh
Mother-offspring			
Kvaavik.2003	Cohort	18-30	0.07 (-0.01, 0.15) 6.33
Cooper,2010	Cohort	41-55	0.18 (0.15, 0.20) 11.14
Carrillo-Larco.2018	Cross-sectional	18-30	0.19 (0.12, 0.27) 7.01
Tequeanes,2009	Cohort	18-30	0.19 (0.01, 0.38) 2.31
Laitinen,2001	Cohort	18-30	0.20 (0.17, 0.24) 10.53
Hu,2013	Cross-sectional	18-30	0.23 (0.21, 0.25) 11.68
Hochner,2012	Cohort	31-40	0.26 (0.15, 0.36) 5.10
Han,2015	Cross-sectional	41-55	0.27 (0.23, 0.32) 9.78
Kivimäki,2007	Cohort	24-39	0.28 (0.23, 0.33) 9.25
Zalbahar,2016	Cohort	18-30	0.29 (0.23, 0.34) 8.80
Swanton,2017	Cohort	18-30	0.29 (0.08, 0.49) 1.91
Burke,2001	Cross-sectional	18-30 -	0.30 (0.21, 0.39) 6.02
Johnson,2012	Cohort	30-59	0.30 (0.26, 0.34) 10.14
Subgroup, DL ($I^2 = 78$.	9%, p = 0.000)	<	0.23 (0.20, 0.26)100.00
Father-offspring			
Kvaavik,2003	Cohort	18-30	0.12 (0.03, 0.21) 7.48
Cooper,2010	Cohort	41-55	0.17 (0.13, 0.20) 15.85
Kivimäki,2007	Cohort	24-39	0.22 (0.16, 0.28) 11.28
Zalbahar,2016	Cohort	18-30	• 0.23 (0.16, 0.29) 10.54
Johnson,2012	Cohort	30-59	0.23 (0.19, 0.27) 14.57
Han,2015	Cross-sectional	41-55	0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 11.87
Hu,2013	Cross-sectional	18-30	0.25 (0.22, 0.28) 16.69
Carrillo-Larco,2018	Cross-sectional	18-30 -	• 0.28 (0.20, 0.37) 8.02
Burke,2001	Cross-sectional	18-30	0.31 (0.16, 0.46) 3.69
Subgroup, DL ($I^2 = 68$.	1%, p = 0.002)	<	0.22 (0.19, 0.25)100.00
Heterogeneity between	n groups: p = 0.613		
			1
		2 0 .2	.6

Figure 1. Meta-analysis of the association between parent and offspring BMI (standardized
mean difference)

543 The effect size estimate is the standardized mean difference with 95% confidence intervals (per SD of parental 544 BMI)

545 The size of the squares estimates is proportional to the weight assigned to each study. Diamonds represent

546 pooled estimates from a random effects meta-analysis. The P and P values for heterogeneity are shown.

- 547 BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval

Subgroup and study	Study Design	age	Effect (95% CI) Weigh
Mother-daughter Carrillo-Larco,2018 Cooper,2010 Tequeanes,2009 Hu,2013 Laitinen,2001 Han,2015 Swanton,2017 Zaibahar,2016 Burke,2001 Subgroup, DL (1 ² = 70.	Cross-sectional Cohort Cross-sectional Cohort Cross-sectional Cohort Cross-sectional 5%, p = 0.000)	18-30 41-55 18-30 18-30 14-55 18-30 14-55 18-30 18-30 18-30 18-30 18-30	0.14 (0.03, 0.25) 7.44 0.18 (0.14, 0.23) 14 7 0.20 (-0.08, 0.48) 1.7 0.21 (0.19, 0.23) 17.1 0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 14 7 0.28 (0.21, 0.23) 17.1 0.28 (0.21, 0.34) 12.1 0.30 (0.00, 0.60) 1.5 0.31 (0.27, 0.35) 14 7 0.32 (0.24, 0.40) 10.3 0.34 (0.21, 0.47) 6.0 0.25 (0.21, 0.27) 0.05 0.34 (0.21, 0.47) 6.0 0.35 (
Mother-son Laitinen,2001 Cooper,2010 Tequeanes,2009 Zalbahar,2016 Carrillo-Larco,2018 Hu,2013 Burke,2001 Han,2015 Koupil,2007 Swanton,2017 Subgroup, DL (I ² = 61.	Cohort Cohort Cohort Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Cohort Cohort Cohort Cohort 8%, p = 0.003)	18-30	0.15 (0.10, 0.20) 12.9 0.17 (0.14, 0.21) 15.2 0.19 (0.05, 0.43) 17 0.23 (0.15, 0.30) 9.4 0.23 (0.15, 0.30) 9.4 0.25 (0.22, 0.28) 16.0 0.26 (0.14, 0.38) 5.1 0.27 (0.21, 0.33) 11.4 0.27 (0.21, 0.33) 11.4 0.28 (0.23, 0.36) 8.22 0.28 (0.00, 0.56) 12.9 0.28 (0.20, 0.26)100.0
Father-daughter Cooper,2010 Han,2015 Johnson,2012 Hu,2013 Zalbahar,2016 Carrillo-Larco,2018 Burke,2001 Subgroup, DL (I ² = 68.	Cohort Cross-sectional Cohort Cross-sectional Cross-sectional S%, p = 0.004)	41-55 41-55 30-59 18-30 18-30 18-30 18-30	0.11 (0.06, 0.17) 18.5 0.19 (0.10, 0.27) 14.2 0.19 (0.14, 0.24) 18.5 0.23 (0.19, 0.27) 20.3 0.24 (0.15, 0.34) 12.9 0.30 (0.18, 0.42) 10.2 0.30 (0.18, 0.42) 10.2 0.30 (0.18, 0.60) 5.00 0.21 (0.16, 0.26)100.0
Father-son Cooper,2010 Burke,2001 Zalbahar,2016 Hu,2013 Carrillo-Larco,2018 Johnson,2012 Han,2015 Subgroup, DL (I ² = 39.	Cohort Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Cohort Cross-sectional 9%, p = 0.125)	41-55 18-30 18-30 18-30 30-59 41-55	0.19 (0.15, 0.23) 24.4 0.20 (-0.02, 0.42) 1.9 0.22 (0.14, 0.31) 102 0.26 (0.23, 0.30) 26.9 0.27 (0.15, 0.39) 5.9 0.27 (0.15, 0.39) 5.9 0.27 (0.22, 0.32) 18.1 0.29 (0.21, 0.28) 100.0
Heterogeneity between	n groups: p = 0.625		

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of the association between parent and offspring BMI at sex-specific level (standardized mean difference)

575 The effect size estimate is the standardized mean difference with 95% confidence intervals (per SD of parental BMI)

The size of the squares estimates is proportional to the weight assigned to each study. Diamonds represent pooled estimates from a random effects meta-analysis. The \hat{P} and \hat{P} values for heterogeneity are shown.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval

597



598 599

600

601 Figure 3. Difference in standardized mean difference between mother-offspring and fatheroffspring BMI associations 602

603

604 Left plot: SMD (95% CI) in each subgroup of each study. Right plot: Difference of SMD comparing effects for 605 mother-offspring and father-offspring in each study with a fixed-effect meta-analysis of these differences of SMD. 606 The size of the squares and circles is proportional to the weight assigned to each study. Open circles represent 607 pooled estimates.

608 SMD, Standardized mean difference; CI, confidence interval