

SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among public school staff in Metro Vancouver after the first Omicron wave in British Columbia, Canada

Allison W Watts, Louise C Mâsse, David M Goldfarb, Michael A Irvine, Sarah M Hutchison, Lauren Muttucomaroe, Bethany Poon, Vilte E Barakauskas, Collette O'Reilly, Else S Bosman, Frederic Reicherz, Daniel Coombs, Mark Pitblado, Sheila F O'Brien, Pascal M Lavoie

Affiliations:

Allison W Watts, Research Manager, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Louise C Mâsse, Professor, School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, V6T 1Z3

David M Goldfarb, Clinical Associate Professor, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, V5Z 4H4

Michael A Irvine, Senior Scientist, British Columbia Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, Canada, V5Z 4R4

Sarah Hutchison, Postdoctoral Fellow, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Lauren Muttucomaroe, Research Coordinator, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Bethany Poon, Research Assistant, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Vilte E Barakauskas, Clinical Associate Professor, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, V5Z 4H4

Collette O'Reilly, Director of Risk Management, Vancouver School District, Vancouver, Canada, V6J 5K8

Else S Bosman, Database Manager, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Frederic Reicherz, Post-doctoral Fellow, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Daniel Coombs, Professor, Department of Mathematics and Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, V6T 1Z2

Mark Pitblado, Undergraduate student, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Sheila F O'Brien, Associate Director, Epidemiology & Surveillance, Canadian Blood Services, Ottawa, Canada, K1J 4J5

Pascal M Lavoie, Professor, BC Children's Hospital Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada V5Z 4H4

Corresponding author:

Dr. Pascal M. Lavoie,
BC Children's Hospital Research Institute,
4th Floor, Translational Research Building,
950 West 28th Avenue,
Vancouver, British Columbia, V5Z 4H4, Canada
Email: plavoie@bcchr.ca
Fax: +1-604-875-3106

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence, COVID-19, schools, occupational risk, Omicron

Abstract

Objective: To determine the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among school workers in the setting of full in-person schooling and the highly transmissible Omicron variants of concern.

Design: Cross-sectional study among school staff, comparing to period-, age-, sex- and postal code-weighted data from Canadian blood donors from the same community.

Setting: Three large school districts in the greater Vancouver metropolitan area, British Columbia, Canada, with serology sampling done between January 26, 2022 and April 8, 2022.

Participants: School staff actively working in the Vancouver, Richmond and Delta School Districts.

Main outcome measure: SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence based on nucleocapsid (N)-protein testing, adjusted for the sensitivity and specificity of the assay.

Results: A majority (65.8%) of the 1845 school staff enrolled reported close contact with a COVID-19 case outside the household. Of those, about half reported close contact with a COVID-19 case at school either in a student (51.5%) or co-worker (54.9%). In a representative sample of 1620 (87.8%) school staff, the adjusted seroprevalence was 26.5% [95%CrI: 23.9 – 29.3%]. This compared to an age, sex and residency area-weighted seroprevalence of 32.4% [95%CrI: 30.6 – 34.5%] among 7164 blood donors.

Conclusion: Despite frequent COVID-19 exposures, the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infections among the staff of three main school districts in the Vancouver metropolitan area was no greater than a reference group of blood donors, even after the emergence of the more transmissible Omicron variant.

What is already known on this subject?

- Earlier studies indicate that COVID-19 infection rates are not increased among school staff at previous stages of the pandemic compared to the community, yet controversy remains whether this will remain true after the emergence of the highly transmissible Omicron variant.

What this study adds?

- Despite frequent COVID-19 exposures, this study identified no detectable increase in SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among school staff working in three metro Vancouver public school districts after the first Omicron wave in British Columbia, compared to a reference group of blood donors from the same age, sex and community area.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has had significant impact on the school system, causing major stress and disruptions with mental and physical consequence on students and staff¹. The risk of secondary viral transmission within the school setting has been intensely debated. While SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks have occurred, contact tracing studies while mitigation measures were in place (e.g., masking, symptoms checks) have generally shown that the majority of student and staff cases originate from the community rather than within schools²⁻¹², and that the overall risk of infection among school staff remains very low¹³⁻¹⁶. Supporting these data, SARS-CoV-2 infections within schools in Canada^{11 17 18} as well as the UK and Europe^{13 19 20} have also been reported to be low overall during the 2020-2021 school year. However, most of these studies were conducted before the emergence of highly transmissible variants.

At the end of 2021, a new SARS-CoV-2 BA.1 (Omicron) variant, that was first reported in South Africa, began to emerge across the world^{21 22}, rapidly replacing other strains and causing a massive wave due to its highly transmissible nature and ability to evade vaccine protection against re-infection^{23 24}.

In British Columbia (Canada), relatively low rates of COVID-19 had been reported in the earlier phases of the pandemic compared to other areas. By the end of the spring 2020, a very low proportion (~1%) of people living in Vancouver, BC had been infected with SARS-CoV-2²⁵⁻²⁷. By March 2021, about 2-5% of school staff among the Vancouver public school system showed evidence of a prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, based on antibody testing¹⁷. At the end of 2021, the world experienced a massive increase in cases, with the emergence of the highly transmissible Omicron variant^{28 29}. This rise in COVID-19 cases dramatically heightened levels of stress expressed in the public space, particularly among school workers^{30 31}. As new cases also exceeded the testing capacity of health systems, BC re-prioritized viral testing towards selected groups around January 17, 2022. Given this change in wide access to testing, it became nearly impossible to publicly and accurately track the total number of cases in the community during and subsequent to the Omicron wave, and thus, the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 within schools.

The main objective of this study was to determine the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infections among the school staff of three of the largest school districts within the greater Vancouver area, after the first Omicron wave in British Columbia and Canada. The second objective was to compare infection rates among school workers to the community rate, based on blood donor data collected over the same period, age, sex and residential area.

Materials and Methods

Study design and participants: This study is a cross-sectional analysis of data collected from staff working in three school districts (Vancouver, Richmond and Delta) within the greater Vancouver Area. The study included current, full or part-time district staff members recruited from a prospective longitudinal study conducted from February to June 2021¹⁷. Informed consent was obtained. The study excluded staff who were: temporary, on-leave, or on-call with no classroom time, or working exclusively in adult education.

For this study, staff who participated in the 2021 seroprevalence study (N=2538)¹⁷ were emailed again on January 26, 2022, with subsequent reminder emails in the following weeks. Recruitment ended on March 31, 2022. Blood samples were collected for serology between January 27 and April 8, 2022, shortly after the first Omicron wave in BC, (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Comparative community data were obtained from Canadian blood donors who did not have COVID-19 at the time of serology, between January 1 and March 31, 2022. All study procedures were approved by the University of British Columbia Children's and Women's Research Ethics Board (H20-03593).

Study setting: The Vancouver, Richmond and Delta school districts include 151 elementary and 18 secondary schools distributed in the greater metropolitan area of Vancouver, BC, Canada. Together, they serve a population of ~935,000 (2.6 million people live in the greater urban area). During the 2021-2022 school year, schools remained open as usual. COVID-19 mitigations measures implemented in district schools and indications for viral testing are detailed in **Appendix 1**.

Data collection: Data on demographic factors, occupation, health status and history of COVID-19 exposure (e.g., testing, vaccination, masking behaviors) were collected from the school staff via an online questionnaire³². An additional questionnaire which asked about mental health is not reported in this paper. Blood donors were asked their age, sex and postal code of residence at the time of blood donation using questionnaires administered by Canadian Blood Services as part of the routine donation process.

Serology testing: Blood samples were collected at clinics set-up in participating Vancouver schools, at the BC Children's Hospital or outpatient clinical laboratories in the greater Vancouver area. Testing for anti-nucleocapsid (N) protein SARS-CoV-2 antibodies was performed using the Health Canada and FDA-licensed qualitative total antibody Roche ElecsysTM Anti-SARS-CoV-2 anti-nucleocapsid assay (Roche, USA). Testing was performed on a Cobas e601 analyzer at Canadian Blood Services national lab in Ottawa, Canada. Specimens were considered reactive at a cut-off index ≥ 1.00 . N antibodies have been shown to persist in blood with assay sensitivity maintained until at least a year post-infection³³.

Bias minimization strategies: To ensure recruitment bias was minimized, we developed an active recruitment and facilitation strategy where district leaders, Teacher and Student Support Worker, and parent Associations were engaged right from the study design stage. Weekly meetings occurred from study launching until publication with a Vancouver school district leadership representative and a district liaison. For serology sampling, blood collection sites were set-up in a variety of geographically dispersed schools over lunch and after work or participants could attend one of over a hundred private community clinics open on weekends or the British Columbia Children's Hospital (for collection both within and outside normal working hours). A full-time study coordinator was hired to maintain contact with participants 7 days per week, facilitate blood collection with flexible hours, including driving around the city to meet the few participants who were unable to attend the blood clinics. Participants received a \$20 incentive and their serology results. Serology testing among school staff was evenly distributed across Vancouver district schools with high and low numbers of reported COVID-19 cases (data not shown).

Statistical analyses: Descriptive statistics were run using STATA version 17.0. To examine differences in seroprevalence across school type and occupation, logistic regression models using the margins command were run to obtain the seroprevalence and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) for each subgroup. A Bayesian analysis was conducted incorporating a hierarchical design to account for variation in prevalence between school districts. For this analysis, 182 out of 205 positive controls (based on our own unpublished data in a fully vaccinated adult population), and 10432 out of 10453 negative controls (based on data from the manufacturer, at: <https://diagnostics.roche.com/global/en/products/params/electsys-anti-sars-cov-2.html>) were incorporated using a binomial likelihood to account for the true evidence of test sensitivity and specificity. Weakly informative priors were selected for the baseline prevalence, logit sensitivity, logit specificity, and scale of the regional variance. 2000 samples were generated from the posterior with 1000 warm-up iterations and no thinning across 4 chains using the NUTS sampling algorithm. Effective sample size, Gelman-Rubin statistic, and visual inspection of posterior sample chains were used to determine convergence, mixing, and adequate sample size. Results were reported using the expectation under the posterior with 95% credible intervals (95% CrI). Bayesian analyses were conducted in R version 4.1.0 and Stan version 2.21.1. All analyses were done on cases with complete data.

Data statement: De-identified data will be made available through the COVID-19 Immunity Task Force.

Results

Characteristics of school staff sample: In total, 1845 school staff were enrolled in the study (**Figure 1**). About 80.7% were classroom workers, for a median contact time with students of 18.0 [IQR: 6.0 to 30.0] hours per week. Almost all staff (98.9%) had received at least two doses of a Health Canada-approved COVID-19 vaccine. The baseline characteristics of the enrolled school staff were found to be representative of the corresponding school district populations in terms of age, sex, and residence area distribution, except for a higher cumulative incidence of COVID-19 and higher proportion of classroom and elementary staff in the school sample compared to the district populations (**Supplemental Table 1**).

COVID-19 exposures among school staff: The potential sources of contact with COVID-19 cases among school staff are shown in **Table 1**. About a third reported living with an essential worker, 41.1% had children and 20.7% reported ever having a COVID-19 case in the household (**Table 1**).

Most school staff reported that co-workers and students wore masks often or always at school in the past 3 months (**Table 1**). A majority (65.8%) of the school staff reported close contact with a COVID-19 case outside the household during the pandemic, of which about half of these reported close contacts were with a COVID-19 case at school either in a student (51.5%) or co-worker (54.9%) (**Table 1**). Just over half (52.2%) of school staff reported no close contact with a school case.

About 16.3% of school staff felt they had ever had COVID-19 (**Table 2**). The cumulative incidence of COVID-19 diagnosed by nucleic acid or rapid antigen testing, self-reported among the 1845 school staff was 15.8% (**Table 2**). One school staff required hospitalization for COVID-19 (**Table 2**).

SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence: In total, 1620 (87.8%) school staff underwent serology testing with a median date of testing of February 14, 2022. The characteristics of the 1620 school staff that completed serology testing were representative of all 1845 school staff in the study (**Table 3**).

Of the 1620 school staff who underwent serology testing, 381 (23.5%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid antibodies. Of those who tested positive, 272 (71.4%) believed they had a prior COVID-19 infection and 194 (50.9%) reported a previous positive viral test. The unadjusted seroprevalence was 23.7% [95% CrI: 21.7 - 26.0%] among all school district staff. The unadjusted seroprevalence among all staff was also similar to the unadjusted seroprevalence in staff working in a classroom setting, and between staff working in elementary and secondary schools (**Table 4**).

The adjusted seroprevalence was 26.5% [95%CrI: 23.9 to 29.3%] among the staff of all school districts. In comparison, the adjusted period-, sex-, age- and residency location-weighted seroprevalence was 32.4% [95%CrI: 30.6 – 34.5%] among 7164 blood donors (**Supplemental Table 2**). There were no significant differences in seroprevalence between all three school districts (**Supplemental Table 3**).

Discussion

This study found, first, that one quarter of school workers showed evidence of a past SARS-CoV-2 infection among three of the largest school districts in the greater Vancouver area, serving approximately 83,000 students, and altogether, representing a large proportion of school staff in BC. Second, this study found that the seroprevalence among staff was not higher compared to the community, represented by a demographically similar group of blood donors. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to report seroprevalence estimates among school staff after the emergence of the highly transmissible Omicron variants. Findings are in keeping with data from Canada^{17 18}, and other areas of the world²⁰ before the emergence of more transmissible variants. A major strength of this study is that it utilized sensitive antibody testing that detects asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections that may not have come to clinical attention, using an N-based serology assay that identifies cases for up to a year¹⁹.

About one quarter of all COVID-19 cases reported in BC during this period occurred in the regional health authority where the participating school districts are located. Despite a ten-fold increase in seroprevalence compared to the previous school year (2021-2022)¹⁷, these findings support that the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection among school staff remains low, even in the context of full in-person schooling, Omicron, and when mitigation measures were applied, with high vaccine uptake. These findings contrast with the abundant COVID-19 cases reported within schools throughout Canada, Europe and the USA, and expressed in the media throughout the pandemic, that fuelled a high level of stress among the staff and students attending those schools

and their families. A potential explanation for these apparent discrepancies is to consider that most school cases are acquired from social interactions occurring outside the school setting, rather than within the school setting as supported by other Canadian data^{11 34 35}.

The finding that school staff had lower seroprevalence compared to the reference group suggests that the former may be at lower risk. This may be explained by factors including potential differences in vaccination rates or timing between groups. Moreover, the credible intervals used to determine statistical significance on the seroprevalence depends on the sensitivity of the serology test used for which there is relatively little data in the context of a vaccinated population after infection with Omicron. At the very least, the lower seroprevalence observed among school staff provides strong reassurance that school staff are at similar risk of contracting COVID-19 in schools compared to the risk in the community.

This study has limitations. First, the non-random participation implies a potential volunteer bias. Supporting that this bias was negligible, the demographics of the staff sample was representative of the study population, and this is not surprising given the substantial means that were deployed to facilitate easy participation and blood sampling among school staff right where and when they work in schools. Second, blood donors may not be a reliable estimate of community seroprevalence though based on previous data they are likely more representative of school staff compared to other socioeconomic groups at higher risk of COVID-19^{36 37}. Based on this assumption, a lower SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among blood donors could only reinforce our conclusions. Third, this study was conducted before mask mandates were lifted. Ongoing monitoring is warranted to determine if these conclusions will hold true as schools continue to relax mitigation measures and with the emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variants.

In conclusion, this study confirmed that a substantial proportion (26.5%) of school staff working in three metro Vancouver public school districts showed evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infections after a major, and first Omicron wave in BC. However, the study identified no detectable increase in seroprevalence compared to a reference group of blood donors from the same age, sex and residency area. The potential waning of immunity among school staff and loosening of mitigation measures, including the lifting of mask mandates, requires ongoing evaluation of COVID-19 infections within the school community.

Authors' contributions: LCM and PML obtained funding for this study; AW, DMG, SH, MAI, DC, PML and LCM designed the study; FR reviewed the literature; LM set-up and coordinated the recruitment of participants; ESB constructed and managed the data collection database; BP processed blood samples, under the supervision of PML and VEB; MAI performed statistical analyses; SO provided matched data from Canadian blood donors; AW and MP performed data analyses; CO facilitated communications within the Vancouver District; AW & PML wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All other authors revised the manuscript and approved its final version.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the school staff who participated in the study and have been working tirelessly during this pandemic, and the District leadership, particularly Suzanne Hoffman and David Nelson for providing full support during this study; Kathy O'Sullivan for ongoing work reviewing District communications, documents and liaising with school partners throughout the study; Esther Alonso-Prieto for help with the human resource and financial management of this study; Brandon Bates, John Bhullar and Chemistry Laboratory staff at Children's and Women's Hospitals and the BC Children's Hospital Biobank staff for help with sample collection and processing; the District and BC Children's Hospital communication teams, VCH's Office of the Chief Medical Health Officer, the BC Centre for Disease Control; Steven Drews and Qi-Long Yi for consultation with data from Canadian Blood Services. We thank LifeLabs for partnering with us commercially to collect a portion of the blood samples.

Conflicts of interest: CO is an employee of the Vancouver School District, but the latter was not involved in the design, analysis, interpretation of the data, or the drafting of this manuscript. LifeLabs played no role in the study other than providing a service for the collection of blood samples. Authors declare no relevant conflicts of interest.

Funding: The study was funded by the Government of Canada via its COVID-19 Immunity Task Force (to PML and LCM as co-principal applicant; award # AWD-016994). PML and LCM receive a salary from the British Columbia Children's Hospital (BCCCH) Foundation through the Investigator Grant Award Program (award number is not applicable). The BC Children's Hospital Healthy Starts Theme provided some seed funding at the beginning of the study (award number is not applicable).

References

1. Levinson M, Cevik M, Lipsitch M. Reopening primary schools during the pandemic. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**383**:981-85. doi: 10.1056/NEJMms2024920
2. Macartney K, Quinn HE, Pillsbury AJ, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Australian educational settings: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2020;**4**:807-16. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30251-0
3. Heavey L, Casey G, Kelly C, et al. No evidence of secondary transmission of COVID-19 from children attending school in Ireland, 2020. *Euro surveill* 2020;**25**. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.21.2000903
4. Yung CF, Kam KQ, Nadua KD, et al. Novel coronavirus 2019 transmission risk in educational settings. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;**72**(6):1055-58. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa794
5. Falk A, Benda A, Falk P, et al. COVID-19 Cases and transmission in 17 K-12 Schools - Wood County, Wisconsin, August 31-November 29, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;**70**(4):136-40. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7004e3
6. Brandal LT, Ofitserova TS, Meijerink H, et al. Minimal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from paediatric COVID-19 cases in primary schools, Norway, August to November 2020. *Euro surveill* 2021;**26**(1) doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.26.1.2002011
7. Ismail SA, Saliba V, Lopez Bernal J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission in educational settings: a prospective, cross-sectional analysis of infection clusters and outbreaks in England. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021;**21**(3):344-53. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30882-3
8. Hershow RB, Wu K, Lewis NM, et al. Low SARS-CoV-2 Transmission in elementary schools - Salt Lake County, Utah, December 3, 2020-January 31, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;**70**(12):442-48. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7012e3
9. Zimmerman KO, Akinboyo IC, Brookhart MA, et al. Incidence and secondary transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infections in schools. *Pediatrics* 2021;**147**(4) doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-048090
10. Varma JK, Thamkittikasem J, Whittemore K, et al. COVID-19 infections among students and staff in New York City public schools. *Pediatrics* 2021;**147**(5) doi: 10.1542/peds.2021-050605
11. Bark D, Dhillon N, St-Jean M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 transmission in kindergarten to grade 12 schools in the Vancouver Coastal Health region: a descriptive epidemiologic study. *CMAJ Open* 2021;**9**(3):E810-E17. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20210106
12. Bullard J, Funk D, Dust K, et al. Infectivity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in children compared with adults. *CMAJ* 2021;193(17):E601-E06. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.210263
13. Ladhani SN, Baawuah F, Beckmann J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission in primary schools in England in June-December, 2020 (sKIDs): an active, prospective surveillance study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2021;**5**(6):417-27. doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(21)00061-4
14. Armann JP, Kirsten C, Galow L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 transmissions in students and teachers: seroprevalence follow-up study in a German secondary school in November and December 2020. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2021;**5**(1):e001036. doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001036

15. Szepefalusi Z, Schmidthaler K, Sieber J, et al. Lessons from low seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in schoolchildren: A cross-sectional study. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2021;**32**(4):762-70. doi: 10.1111/pai.13459
16. Torres JP, Pinera C, De La Maza V, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 antibody prevalence in blood in a large school community subject to a coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak: A cross-sectional study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;**73**(2):e458-e65. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa955
17. Goldfarb DM, Masse LC, Watts AW, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among Vancouver public school staff in British Columbia, Canada: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**(4):e057846. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057846
18. Coleman BL, Fischer K, Maunder R, et al. Study of the epidemiology of COVID-19 in Ontario elementary and secondary school education workers: an interim analysis following the first school year. *Can J Public Health* 2022;**113**(2):185-95. doi: 10.17269/s41997-022-00613-z
19. Grunau B, O'Brien SF, Kirkham TL, et al. A prospective observational cohort comparison of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence between paramedics and matched blood donors in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ann Emerg Med* 2022;**80**:38-45 doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2022.03.009
20. Caini S, Martinoli C, La Vecchia C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 circulation in the school setting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;**19**(9) doi: 10.3390/ijerph19095384
21. Karim SSA, Karim QA. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2021;**398**(10317):2126-28. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02758-6
22. Wang L, Cheng G. Sequence analysis of the emerging SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron in South Africa. *J Med Virol* 2022;**94**(4):1728-33. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27516
23. Lu L, Mok BW, Chen LL, et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant by sera from BNT162b2 or Coronavac vaccine recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021 doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab1041
24. Feikin DR, Higdon MM, Abu-Raddad LJ, et al. Duration of effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease: results of a systematic review and meta-regression. *Lancet* 2022;**399**(10328):924-44. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00152-0
25. Majdoubi A, Michalski C, O'Connell SE, et al. A majority of uninfected adults show preexisting antibody reactivity against SARS-CoV-2. *JCI Insight* 2021;**6**(8) doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.146316
26. Skowronski D, Sekirov I, Sabaiduc S, et al. Low SARS-CoV-2 sero-prevalence based on anonymized residual sero-survey before and after first wave measures in British Columbia, Canada, March-May 2020. *medRxiv* 2020:1-26. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.13.20153148> [published Online First: July 15, 2020]
27. Saeed S, Drews SJ, Pambrun C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among blood donors after the first COVID-19 wave in Canada. *Transfusion* 2021;**61**(3):862-72. doi: 10.1111/trf.16296 [published Online First: 2021/02/03]
28. Reedman CN, Drews SJ, Yi QL, et al. Changing patterns of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among Canadian blood donors during the vaccine era. *Microbiol Spectr* 2022;**10**(2):e0033922. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.00339-22

29. Canadian Blood Services. COVID-19 seroprevalence brief report. Available from: <https://www.covid19immunitytaskforce.ca/results-blood-donation-organizations/>. [Accessed July 4, 2022].
30. Hutchison SM, Watts A, Gadermann A, et al. School staff and teachers during the second year of COVID-19: Higher anxiety symptoms, higher psychological distress, and poorer mental health compared to the general population. *J Affect Disord Rep* 2022;**8**:100335. doi: 10.1016/j.jadr.2022.100335
31. Watts AW, Hutchison SM, Bettinger JA, et al. COVID-19 vaccine intentions and perceptions among public school staff of the Greater Vancouver Metropolitan Area, British Columbia, Canada. *Front Public Health* 2022;**10**:832444. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.832444
32. COVID-19 Immunity Task Force. Field studies working groups core data elements (individual). Available from: https://www.covid19immunitytaskforce.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Core-Elements_English-New.pdf [Accessed July 4, 2022].
33. Favresse J, Douxfils J. Evaluations of SARS-CoV-2 serological assay performance need inclusion of long-term samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 2021;**59**(6) doi: 10.1128/JCM.00487-21
34. Fitzpatrick T, Wilton A, Cohen E, et al. School reopening and COVID-19 in the community: evidence from a natural experiment in Ontario, Canada. *Health affairs* 2022;**41**(6):864-72. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01676
35. Choi A, Mâsse LC, Bardwell S, et al. Symptomatic and asymptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 schools, British Columbia, April to June 2021. *Microbiol Spect* [in press]
36. Castro Dopico X, Muschiol S, Christian M, et al. Seropositivity in blood donors and pregnant women during the first year of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in Stockholm, Sweden. *Journal of internal medicine* 2021;**290**(3):666-76. doi: 10.1111/joim.13304
37. Grant R, Dub T, Andrianou X, et al. SARS-CoV-2 population-based seroprevalence studies in Europe: a scoping review. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**(4):e045425. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045425

Figure Legend:

Figure 1. Flow diagram for enrollment of school staff study sample.

13497 eligible school staff
(**7071** Vancouver, ~**3500** Richmond,
2926 Delta school districts)

Enrolled
Feb-June 2021

2538 consented and provided
contact information

Follow-up
Feb-April 2022

1845 enrolled in T2
seroprevalence datapoint

1620 completed serology

3 serology only

Outcome
results

Positive
N = **381**

Negative
N = **1239**




Table 1: COVID-19 exposures among school staff sample

Variable	n [#]	School staff (n = 1845)
COVID-19 case among household members ^δ , % Yes, n (%)	1845	382 (20.7%)
Type of occupation for essential worker living in household, n (%)	1845	662 (35.9%)
Agriculture & food production		11 (1.7%)
Community services (waste disposal, emergency response, postal)		45 (6.8%)
Construction, maintenance, skilled trades		89 (13.4%)
Consumer products (hardware, safety, vehicle, sales, garden centres)		11 (1.7%)
Financial services (banking, real estate, insurance)		20 (3.0%)
Food (grocery, convenience, liquor, restaurant)		68 (16.8%)
Health care		111 (16.6%)
Social services, education, research		287 (43.4%)
Manufacturing, resources, energy, utilities		19 (2.9%)
Services (pharmacy, gas station, delivery, funeral, vet, etc.)		22 (3.3%)
Sports (professional)		5 (0.8%)
Supply chain & transportation		37 (5.6%)
Telecommunications & IT (including the media)		11 (1.7%)
Other		1 (0.2%)
Close contact [*] with a COVID-19 case outside household, n (%)	1845	1214 (65.8%)
Another school staff member / work colleague		666 (54.9%)
Student in classroom setting		625 (51.5%)
Friend		553 (45.6%)
Family (non-household member)		417 (34.4%)
Other		93 (7.7%)
Wear a mask in public places ^τ , % Always or Often, n (%)	1839	1824 (99.2%)
Co-workers wear masks ^τ , % Always or Usually, n (%)	1826	1776 (97.3%)
Students wear masks ^τ , % Always or Usually, n (%)	1702	1502 (88.3%)
Elementary	1050	897 (85.4%)
Secondary	569	536 (94.2%)

[#]N with data available.

^{*}Reported contact with a known COVID-19 case within 2 meters and for >2 minutes.

^δ“Since the last survey, has anyone in your household (not counting yourself) ever tested positive for COVID-19? ([Yes], [Not applicable, I live alone], [No one has been tested], [No, they tested negative], [Not sure, waiting for the result])”.

^τQuestions about masking were as follows: “How often have you worn a mask in public places in the past three months? (Never, Rarely, Occasionally, Often, Always)”; “To the best of your knowledge, how often do your co-workers wear a mask in your presence? (Never, Occasionally, Usually, Always)”; “To the best of your knowledge, how often do students in your school wear a mask in your presence? (Never, Occasionally, Usually, Always)”.

Table 2: Cumulative incidence of COVID-19 among school staff

Variable	n[#]	School staff (n = 1845)
Reported having COVID-19 symptoms [*] , n (%)	1845	301 (16.3%)
Number tested for COVID-19 (PCR), n (%)		766 (41.5%)
At least one positive COVID-19 PCR test		120 (6.5%)
More than one positive COVID-19 PCR test		4 (0.2%)
All negative COVID-19 PCR tests		639 (35.0%)
Did not know/could not remember test result		33 (1.8%)
Number tested +ve by Rapid Test, n (%)	1842	206 (11.8%)
Number tested +ve by any test, n (%)	1845	291 (15.8%)
Hospitalized for COVID-19, n (%)		1 (0.01%)

[#]N with data available.

^{*}Participants who responded that they had COVID-19 symptoms, in response to: “Do you think you’ve had covid? (Yes/No) If yes, why?”

Table 3: Characteristics of school staff sample

Variable	n [#]	Completed questionnaire (n = 1845)	n [#]	Completed serology testing (n = 1620)
Age (mean ± SD)	1845	46.7 ± 10.2	1620	47.5 ± 10.1
Sex, % female, n (%)	1837	1508 (82.1%)	1616	1331 (82.4%)
Canadians of indigenous origin, n (%)	1840	33 (1.8%)	1617	27 (1.7%)
Ethnicity, n (%)	1845		1620	
White, Caucasian		1299 (70.4%)		1118 (69.2%)
South Asian		68 (3.7%)		59 (3.7%)
Chinese		309 (16.8%)		288 (17.8%)
Black		10 (0.5%)		8 (0.5%)
Filipino		31 (1.7%)		28 (1.7%)
Latin American		27 (1.5%)		22 (1.4%)
Arab		5 (0.3%)		3 (0.2%)
Southeast Asian		31 (1.7%)		28 (1.7%)
West Asian		1 (0.2%)		3 (0.2%)
Korean		14 (0.8%)		13 (0.8%)
Japanese		47 (2.6%)		42 (2.6%)
Other/no answer		55 (3.0%)		45 (2.8%)
Classroom workers*, n (%)	1841	1485 (80.7%)	1611	1292 (80.2%)
Contact time with students (hrs/wk), median (IQR)	1839	18.0 [6.0-30.0]	1609	17.9 [6.0-30.0]
Vaccination status, n (%)	1830		1613	
Not vaccinated		15 (0.8%)		13 (0.8%)
One dose		6 (0.3%)		6 (0.4%)
Two doses		249 (13.6%)		213 (13.2%)
Three or more doses		1560 (85.3%)		1382 (85.6%)
School level, n (%)	1845		1620	
Elementary		1083 (58.7%)		954 (58.9%)
Secondary		588 (31.9%)		510 (31.5%)
Work at multiple levels		59 (3.2%)		51 (3.2%)
School district office/other		115 (6.2%)		105 (6.5%)
Live with children <18 years, n (%)	1845	758 (41.1%)	1620	666 (41.1%)
Live with essential worker, n (%)	1845	662 (35.9%)	1610	572 (35.5%)
At least one co-morbidity [†] , n (%)	1845	491 (26.6%)	1620	434 (26.8%)
Smoker, n (%)	1836	26 (1.4%)	1608	22 (1.4%)

[#] N with data available.

* Those who reported being a Teacher, Teacher Librarian, Resource Teacher, Student Support Worker, or Family and Youth Worker in response to the question: “What is your job title? (Teacher, Teacher Librarian, Resource Teacher, Student Support Worker, Family and Youth Worker, Administrator [Principal, Vice Principal], Administrative Assistant, Maintenance Staff, School Board Office Staff, Other). And those who responded “Other” and listed student facing positions such as student aid, counsellor, speech language pathologist, etc.

[¶]any the following: hypertension, diabetes, asthma, chronic lung disease, chronic heart disease, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cancer, chronic blood disorder, immunosuppressed, chronic neurological disorder.

Table 4: COVID-19 seroprevalence by school education level and occupation¹

	Frequency	Percent cases [95%CI]
School Level		
Elementary	222	23.3 [20.7-26.1]
Secondary	123	24.1 [21.0-28.4]
Multiple / mixed levels	11	21.6 [7.8-27.9]
School board office	25	23.8 [13.2-30.5]
Occupation		
Classroom Worker	306	23.7 [21.4-26.0]
Other	73	22.9 [18.3-27.5]
Total	381	23.5

¹Logistic regression models examining group differences by school level and occupation were non-significant (p>0.05)