

The efficacy of coenzyme Q₁₀ treatment in alleviating the symptoms of primary coenzyme Q₁₀ deficiency: a systematic review

Authors: Ying Wang¹ and Siegfried Hekimi^{1*}

Affiliation:

¹ Department of Biology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: siegfried.hekimi@mcgill.ca

Graphic Abstract



Abstract

Coenzyme Q₁₀ (CoQ₁₀) is necessary for mitochondrial electron transport. Mutations in CoQ₁₀ biosynthetic genes cause primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency (PCoQD) and manifest as mitochondrial disorders. It is often stated that PCoQD patients can be treated by oral CoQ₁₀ supplementation. To test this, we compiled all studies describing PCoQD patients up to May 2022. We excluded studies with no data on CoQ₁₀ treatment, or with insufficient description of effectiveness. Out of 303 PCoQD patients identified, we retained 89 cases, of which 24 reported improvements after CoQ₁₀ treatment (27.0%). In five cases, the patient's condition was reported to deteriorate after halting of CoQ₁₀ treatment. 12 cases reported improvement in the severity of ataxia, and 5 cases in the severity of proteinuria. Only a subjective description of improvement was reported for four patients described as responding. All reported responses were partial improvements of only some symptoms. For PCoQD patients, CoQ₁₀ supplementation is replacement therapy. Yet, there is only very weak evidence for the efficacy of the treatment. Our findings thus suggest a need for caution when seeking to justify the widespread use of CoQ₁₀ for the treatment of any disease or as dietary supplement.

Highlights

- Only 27% of primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency patients benefited from CoQ₁₀ supplementation.
- Studies of the effects of supplementation necessarily lacked controls and blinding.
- All reported positive responses to treatment only partially improved few symptoms.
- CoQ₁₀ supplementation for the treatment of any disease should be questioned.
- Firm evidence of benefits requires randomized, controlled trials of CoQ₁₀ therapy.

Keywords: Coenzyme Q, ubiquinone, CoQ₁₀ supplementation, primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency, CoQ biosynthesis, mitochondrial disorder

1. Introduction

Coenzyme Q₁₀ (CoQ₁₀), also known as ubiquinone (UQ₁₀), is composed of a redox active aromatic ring and a ten-repeat long polyprenyl sidechain. CoQ₁₀ is an essential component of the mitochondrial respiratory chain, where it functions as a mobile carrier for the transfer of electrons from respiratory complexes I and II to complex III, and as cofactor in complex III function. In addition, it feeds electrons into the respiratory chain from other entry points, including the electron transfer flavoprotein, sulfide-quinone reductase, and dihydroorotate dehydrogenase [1-4]. CoQ₁₀ is known to have antioxidant properties and to be involved in several other cellular functions outside of mitochondria [5, 6]. As far as is known, all cells rely exclusively on endogenous CoQ synthesis. So far, 11 *COQ* genes whose products participate in CoQ₁₀ biosynthesis have been identified in humans. Some of them function as enzymes and others as structural components of the CoQ biosynthetic complex (Fig. 1A) [7-11]. Mutations in *COQ* genes cause primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency (PCoQD), a clinically heterogeneous and rare disorder [12, 13]. Symptoms often resemble those of typical inborn mitochondrial respiratory chain disorders (Fig. 1B), including early onset, multi-organ involvement, and with prevalent neurological and muscular manifestations. In some cases the symptoms predominantly affect a particular organ or tissue (e.g., kidney- or cerebellum-limited phenotypes) [8, 14-16]. Secondary CoQ₁₀ deficiency refers to all the conditions in which the etiology of a CoQ₁₀ deficiency is not a molecular lesion in the CoQ₁₀ biosynthetic pathway [17, 18]. In fact, a variety of conditions have been found to be associated with CoQ₁₀ deficiency. Statins were shown to reduce serum and muscle CoQ₁₀ levels [19, 20]. Mutations in the electron transfer flavoprotein dehydrogenase (*ETFDH*), and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) lesions, including low mtDNA copy number, were also shown to lower steady state level of CoQ₁₀ [21-26]. The mechanisms leading to deficiency in these cases are unknown, except for the effect of statins, which inhibit the synthesis of mevalonate, the molecular precursors of the CoQ₁₀ sidechain.

In tissue samples or cultured cells from patients, CoQ₁₀ deficiency can be diagnosed by measuring CoQ₁₀ levels, which can be complemented by the observation of impaired CoQ₁₀-dependent respiratory chain activities (Complex I-III and Complex II-III). In the last few decades, with the increasing availability and affordability of genomic sequencing technology, whole genome or exome sequencing is increasingly becoming the first-line diagnostic test for patients suspected of having genetic disorders, including PCoQD. This has accelerated the discovery of novel PCoQD disease variants [27]. Disease-causing mutations have been reported for 9 out of 11 *COQ* genes required for CoQ biosynthesis. Below we report that at least 303 PCoQD patients have been reported so far. CoQ₁₀ supplementation is frequently initiated immediately after diagnosis (Fig. 1C), and the majority of the literature on CoQ₁₀ deficiency states that CoQ₁₀ deficiency is treatable by supplementation with exogenous CoQ₁₀ [28-33]. However, there is lack of clear evidence for such a claim.

In addition to patients with documented CoQ₁₀ deficiency and/or *COQ* mutations, CoQ₁₀ is frequently recommended to mitochondrial disease patients [34, 35]. In fact, it is a component of the so-called mitochondrial cocktail which is a collection of high-dose nutraceuticals with the potential to support mitochondrial functioning [36]. Moreover, CoQ₁₀ is recommended for treating a wide range of other conditions (e.g., heart failure and neurodegenerative diseases) and it is widely available over the counter as an anti-aging dietary supplement [32]. By estimation, the global market size of CoQ₁₀ amounts to close to 600M USD a year.

This review aims to summarize and evaluate the available evidence for the effectiveness of CoQ₁₀ supplementation for the treatment of PCoQD. Patients with PCoQD should be the most amenable to CoQ₁₀ treatment because their CoQ₁₀ deficiency is the only cause of all their symptoms and therefore CoQ₁₀ treatment is simple replacement therapy. Thus, examining outcomes of CoQ₁₀ treatment for these patients is the first key step to address the effectiveness of any CoQ₁₀ therapy and to promote a rational use of CoQ₁₀ for disease treatment or as a health supplement.




Figure 1 CoQ₁₀ in the mitochondria, pathology of CoQ₁₀ deficiency and oral supplementation. (A) The final steps of CoQ₁₀ biosynthesis are carried out in the inner mitochondrial membrane. The CoQ₁₀ biosynthetic pathway includes both enzymes (in blue) and structural or regulatory components (in purple). Only the numbers in their names are shown for COQ proteins (COQ2-7, COQ8A, COQ8B and COQ9). They are known to form a large complex, the CoQ biosynthetic complex or CoQ-synthome. COQ10A and COQ10B whose functions are uncertain and not known to be part of the complex are not shown. The most essential function of CoQ₁₀ is to transport electrons in the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Although CoQ₁₀ is found in the mitochondrial membrane, in the figure this is not shown for clarity. (B) Primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency predominantly manifests as mitochondrial disorder, with organs with high energy needs being most often affected. (C) Intestinal absorption of CoQ₁₀ is thought to occur through the formation of mixed micelles with other dietary lipids. Once inside the enterocytes, CoQ₁₀ is incorporated into chylomicrons (CM) which are transported via the lymphatics to the blood circulation. Because of its extreme hydrophobicity and its relatively large size, the absorption of orally administered CoQ₁₀ has been reported to be poor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy and selection criteria

A literature search was performed in PubMed for studies that described PCoQD patients, up until May 01, 2022. The PubMed query used is given in Supporting Information. The references cited

in the articles identified were manually screened for any additional relevant study. We imposed no publication status or language restrictions. We considered any type of study regardless of research design.

The following information was sought in each paper: descriptive characteristics of PCoQD patients including sex, age of onset, major symptoms, age at the last reported exam or death, molecular lesions in *COQ* genes or proteins, severity of CoQ₁₀ deficit, respiratory chain complex (RCC) activities, CoQ₁₀ treatment received and clinical outcomes, and laboratory tests known to be relevant to mitochondrial disease. CoQ₁₀ levels and RCC activities are most often reported in patient-derived skin fibroblasts or muscle biopsies. Study data were extracted by one reviewer (YW) and verified by another reviewer (SH) for accuracy, narrative summaries, and interpretation. When data were reported more than once for the same patients, which was exceedingly rare, the data that were included were those from the most recent comprehensive report. If no data on patient treatment with CoQ₁₀ was provided in a study, or if patients were treated but outcome data was not reported, or the reported effects were contradictory or ambiguous, the study was excluded from the final data synthesis (Fig. 2).




Figure 2 Flow diagram for identification and selection of primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency patients.

Data analysis

We synthesized data using tabulations that include narrative summaries. The effect of CoQ₁₀ treatment on clinical outcomes is considered as positive (responding) if one of the following criteria is satisfied: a) a positive effect on a quantifiable clinical measure was reported; b) some improvement was noted after CoQ₁₀ treatment and stopping/halting the treatment resulted in deterioration of a patient's condition; and/or c) no quantifiable clinical evidence was provided but at least two symptoms were described to be improved following CoQ₁₀ treatment. Fulfilling any

one of the first two criteria is defined as responding with an objective description of the response. Whereas if symptom improvement was described without relying on any quantifiable measure, we categorize it as a subjective description of the response to CoQ₁₀ therapy. Patients counted as not-responding include cases where no significant effect was noted after CoQ₁₀ treatment, or the reported effect(s) were minimal, or when, though some clinical improvement was noted, the patient's condition had deteriorated (e.g., developed new symptoms) while on CoQ₁₀ therapy. No restriction on CoQ₁₀ dosage (dose, formulation, dose frequency), time of initial treatment, duration of treatment, or concurrent treatments was made. The two authors independently assigned the patient cases to the categories. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus.

RESULTS

The literature search yielded 78 published studies, from which a total of 303 patients with PCoQD were identified. Their characteristics are summarized in Table 1, and details are available in Table S1. Of the 303 PCoQD patients, 142 [46.7%] were reported to receive oral supplement of CoQ₁₀. The dosage was reported as mg/day in some studies and as mg/kg/day in others. Doses ranged from 60 mg/day to 2,100 mg/day or from 5 mg/kg/day to 100 mg/kg/day, and the reported duration of treatment was from 1 month to 8 years [37-40]. Following the exclusion criteria, 53 treated patients were removed from the final analysis (Table S2). Among the excluded patients, 16 were excluded because the reported follow-up findings were judged to be ambiguous or inadequate to judge treatment efficiency, for example reports that mention symptom stabilization or CoQ₁₀ treatment combined with other simultaneous treatments. All other exclusions were because no treatment outcome was reported.

Table 1 Primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency patients reported in the literature

Gene	No. of pathogenic variants	No. of patients	No. of families	Range of age of onset (years)	CoQ ₁₀ level (% of control)		Common clinical manifestations ^a	No. of CoQ ₁₀ -treated patients ^b
					[No. of patients examined]			
					Skin fibroblasts	Muscle		
<i>PDSS1</i>	1	2	1	1-3	<5% [2]	ND	encephalopathy, deafness	0
<i>PDSS2</i>	5	4	4	infancy-2	12% [1]	14% [1]	NS, encephalopathy	2
<i>COQ2</i>	20	25	17	infancy-68	9-36% [7]	3-38% [5]	NS, encephalopathy	10
<i>COQ4</i>	27	32	25	infancy-9	22-98% [8]	2-63% [6]	encephalopathy, cardiac pathology	21
<i>COQ5</i>	1	3	1	childhood	ND	57% [1]	cerebellar ataxia, encephalopathy	3
<i>COQ6</i>	15	28	20	infancy-6.4	ND	ND	NS, SND	5 ²
<i>COQ7</i>	7	6	5	infancy-childhood	10-70% [4]	10% [1]	spasticity, motor difficulties	3
<i>COQ8A/ADCK3</i>	79	112	88	infancy-42	35-100% [8]	2-100% [13]	cerebellar ataxia, exercise intolerance	59 ²
<i>COQ8B/ADCK4</i>	36	88	51	infancy-32	27% [2]	ND	NS	33
<i>COQ9</i>	3	3	3	infancy	11-18% [2]	15% [1]	encephalopathy	2

ND: not determined; NS: nephrotic syndrome; SND: sensorineural deafness. ^a The most common symptoms among the reported patients are listed. ^b Including treatment with ubiquinol (reduced form of CoQ); in addition, the number of patients treated with the CoQ analogue idebenone are indicated as superscripts.

In the final analysis, we included and assessed a total of 89 patients. The results are shown in Table 2. Details, including total count of patients treated and numbers of exclusions for each gene, can be found in Table S3. We classified 65 out of the 89 patients (73.0%) as not responding to CoQ₁₀ treatment according to the evaluation criteria (Table S4). Among those, there are nine cases in which patients showed infantile onset and multisystem involvement. Such cases may be more challenging to treat, but this is only speculation. Of the 24 cases (27.0%) that were identified as responders, 20 were found to provide objective descriptions of responses and four are considered to be responders because they meet the criterion of having a subjective description of responses to CoQ₁₀ therapy (Table S5). Note, however, that all responses were partial, and responses are frequently only observed with a single symptom. Table 3 highlights the five cases in which a worsening of patient conditions after stopping/halting of CoQ₁₀ treatment or regimen change was reported. Four out of the five also reported recovery to some extent following treatment resumption. These cases potentially provide the most tantalizing evidence for a partial efficacy of CoQ₁₀ treatment for CoQ deficiency. We should note, however, that the possibility of placebo effects cannot be excluded. Furthermore, in one of the cases the patient's condition was reported to worsen after replacement of CoQ₁₀ with idebenone and thus it is impossible to distinguish between the effects of stopping CoQ₁₀ and potential idebenone toxicity. Of the other 15 cases of responses with objective description, four cases reported a decrease of proteinuria after CoQ₁₀ treatment as an indication of kidney function improvement and ten reported a reduction in a severity score of ataxia or another motor performance test at a follow-up. However, five of the patients classified as responders because of an amelioration of proteinuria had only kidney symptoms, and in two cases only proteinuria.

Table 2 Reported partial effects of CoQ₁₀ treatment in primary CoQ deficiency patients

Gene	No. of patients included in the analysis	Responding		Not responding
		Objective description	Subjective description	
<i>PDSS1</i>	0	-	-	-
<i>PDSS2</i>	2	0	0	2
<i>COQ2</i>	7	1	0	6
<i>COQ4</i>	19	3	2	14
<i>COQ5</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>COQ6</i>	3	1	0	2
<i>COQ7</i>	3	0	0	3
<i>COQ8A/ADCK3</i>	41	9	2	30
<i>COQ8B/ADCK4</i>	9	3	0	6
<i>COQ9</i>	2	0	0	2

Treatment effects established by quantitative or semi-quantitative measures to describe the response to CoQ₁₀ treatment were counted as responding with objective description, while descriptions of positive effects but without relying on a quantitative or semi-quantitative measures were counted as responding with subjective description. “Not responding” include the patients

who were reported not to respond to CoQ₁₀ treatment or whose responses we consider lacking a convincing demonstration of a response to CoQ₁₀ supplementation.

Table 3 Therapeutic efficacy of CoQ₁₀ suggested by the effects of treatment interruptions

<i>COQ</i> gene	Mutation [patient ID#] ¹	Effects of CoQ ₁₀ treatment	Strength of Evidence	Ref.
<i>COQ4</i>	monoallelic deletion (HET)	Improvement in physical status and social function. Conditions worsened (weakness and diffuse myalgia) after formulation change and dosage reduction. Remission of symptoms within a week after reverting to the original dosage.	Weak evidence: small benefits and a possible placebo effect and/or observer bias	[41]
<i>COQ6</i>	A353D (HOM) [A1072-22]	The patient was diagnosed with SRNS at the age of 2.5 years and SND at 4 years old. CoQ ₁₀ treatment was started at age 5.5 years when the subject was in partial remission from cyclosporine treatment, which was discontinued at 5.8 years. A decrease of proteinuria was observed (from 117 to 76 mg/day) at 2 months into treatment and remission was maintained at the end of the study period. No hearing improvement was observed. Proteinuria reoccurred at a level of 1,100 mg/day after temporary cessation of CoQ ₁₀ treatment and decreased again to 188 mg/day following reinstatement of CoQ ₁₀ treatment.	Weak evidence: the effect observed after the first 2 months of treatment is confounded by the presence of another intervention; unclear cause for the surge of proteinuria after interruption of CoQ ₁₀	[16]
<i>COQ8A</i>	G272D/Q605GfsX125 (CH)	CoQ ₁₀ and L-carnitine were initiated at age 5, improved exercise tolerance and fewer vomiting episodes were noted after 3 months of therapy. Blood lactate level also decreased but without totally normalizing. The patient continued to develop new neurologic symptoms, e.g., cerebellar syndrome with tremors, with increasing age. CoQ ₁₀ was replaced with idebenone at the age of 9 years, and within the following 4 months, severe exercise intolerance reappeared with numerous episodes of vomiting. The clinical deterioration was accompanied by an elevation of lactatemia. Reverting back to the initial CoQ ₁₀ treatment resulted in returns to the previous clinical status within 3 months.	Weak evidence: partial improvement of only a few symptoms; confounding effects from another intervention; worsening of the patient's condition after stopping CoQ ₁₀ is also consistent with idebenone toxicity	[42]
<i>COQ8A</i>	T584delACC/P502R (CH)	CoQ ₁₀ was initiated at 5 years of age with partial improvement in motor skills, balance, and strength. After 6 years, the patient gradually stopped taking CoQ ₁₀ and her condition deteriorated including severe psychiatric involvement.	Weak evidence: partial improvement; vague description of effects; possible placebo effect and/or observer bias	[43]
<i>COQ8A</i>	S616LfsX114/R301Q (CH)	Self-reported fatigue and exercise tolerance improvement after 2 weeks of therapy. After 2 years of therapy, ataxia and head tremor diminished. SARA total score improved from 13 to 8. When the treatment was stopped for a month, the patient's condition deteriorated, rendering him to resume taking CoQ ₁₀ .	Weak evidence: placebo effect and improvements as a result of the natural course of the illness, could not be ruled out	[44]

¹ Patient IDs are provided when more than one individual was described in the original patient reports. SRNS: steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome; SND: sensorineural deafness; SARA: Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia; HOM: homozygous; HET: heterozygous; CH: compound heterozygous




Figure 3 The violin plots of CoQ₁₀ treatment dose and duration. Two graphs are shown for dosage comparison because CoQ₁₀ treatment dosages were reported in 2 different units. ns: not significant (Student's *t*-test).

As shown in Fig. 3 and S1, there is no significant differences in treatment dosage and duration of treatment between the non-responding and responding patients. The highest reported dosage is 2,100mg/day. No substantial adverse effects have been reported for the CoQ₁₀-treated PCoQD patients. However, an adverse reaction has been reported in one case of treatment with the synthetic CoQ analogue idebenone which has a hydroxydecyl instead of a decaprenyl side chain and higher solubility than CoQ₁₀ [45].

DISCUSSION

In humans, mutations have so far been reported in all the genes required for CoQ₁₀ biosynthesis, except *COQ3*. *COQ3* is an O-methyltransferase and it is the only COQ protein that is required for more than one step in the CoQ biosynthetic pathway [46]. Thus, one possible explanation for the lack of reports of *COQ3* patients is that, because it is required for two enzymatic steps, pathogenic mutations in *COQ3* are more detrimental to CoQ production and thus are more likely to be lethal. Among the reported PCoQD patients, 37.0% (112/303) carry a mutation in the *COQ8A* gene and 29.0% (88/303) carry a mutation in the *COQ8B* gene. The reason for the higher *COQ8A* and *COQ8B* patient counts is most likely because genetic screening studies were performed for *COQ8A* and *COQ8B* on a relative larger scale. Two studies reported screening for *COQ8A* mutations in patients with ataxic symptoms, resulting in the identification of 69 patients carrying rare biallelic variants [15, 39]. Screens for *COQ8B* mutations in patients with renal disorders, including nephrotic syndrome and chronic renal failure, were described in three studies, which in total reported the identification of 63 *COQ8B* patients [47-49]. *COQ8A* and *COQ8B* are orthologs of yeast *Coq8p*, which plays a regulatory role in CoQ biosynthesis [40, 47, 50]. *COQ8A* is expressed in most tissues, but there is a relative enrichment of *COQ8B* in podocytes [47, 51]. Consistently, *COQ8B* patients were described to have a less severe clinical course and manifest largely kidney-limited phenotypes [47, 52]. In mice, the *Coq8a*^{-/-} model was shown to develop

ataxia accompanied by minor neurological and muscle phenotypes [51]. More interestingly, unlike for other *Coq* genes, including *Coq8b*^{-/-}, which are embryonically lethal, *Coq8a*^{-/-} mice are viable and maintain a moderate level of residual CoQ [51, 53-59]. Thus, the mutation frequency observed for a given *COQ* gene is likely influenced by the role it plays in CoQ biosynthesis and its tissue expression pattern. With increasing affordability and accessibility of genome or exome sequencing [60], more and more PCoQD patients are being reported, and a more accurate picture of PCoQD patients' frequency should soon emerge.

Often CoQ₁₀ deficiency patients are started on oral CoQ₁₀ supplementation immediately after diagnosis. Various oral formulations of CoQ₁₀ are available [61]. The scientific literature as well as the general media mostly state that oral CoQ₁₀ supplementation is effective and thus that CoQ₁₀ deficiency is treatable [33]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no other evidence that could support such a belief than the set of studies reviewed here. The final step of our analysis is based on published studies on 89 PCoQD patients for which we consider there to be sufficient information available to estimate the clinical effectiveness of the CoQ₁₀ treatment. Of them, 65 cases fit our criteria for not-responding, including patients with age of onset ranging from neonatal to 42 years of age and that present with multi-system symptoms or primarily one organ-specific manifestation (e.g., cerebellar ataxia or nephrotic syndrome). Among the 24 cases identified as responsive, 12 cases reported improvement of an ataxia rating score and 7 out of them are patients with *COQ8A* mutations for whom ataxia is often the most prominent symptom. Five cases reported proteinuria improvement at a post-treatment follow-up, and in all five of them renal dysfunction was the only manifestation. However, many PCoQD patients with ataxia or kidney symptoms were reported to show no response or the condition continued to deteriorate after CoQ₁₀ treatment (Table S4). Therefore, the observed relative prevalence of positive effects on ataxia or proteinuria does not indicate that the kidneys and cerebellum are more sensitive to supplemental treatment with CoQ₁₀. Of note, none of the studied that reported symptomatic improvement found a profound rescue of the patients' conditions. Furthermore, in patients with multisystem manifestations, effects were reported only for a few symptoms and most of the other symptoms still persisted after CoQ₁₀ treatment. Detrimental effects of treatment interruption were noted in five cases, which potentially constitute the best evidence for some effectiveness of CoQ₁₀ therapy. However, as these are not blinded studies, the possibility of placebo effects remains of concern.

Overall, most descriptions of the effects of CoQ₁₀ treatment have incomplete information and lack a complete clinical picture. Doctors and patients are aware of the treatments (i.e., no blinding). There can of course be no "no-treatment" control group of patients. For these reasons, we consider the cases where a minimal effect only was reported as not responding to treatment. It has been hypothesized that CoQ treatment cannot reverse severe tissue damage due to PCoQD when the disease has already progressed too far before therapy is initiated [30, 62]. However, animal studies with an unnatural CoQ biosynthetic precursor suggest that most phenotypes due to severe CoQ deficiency can be completely rescued by a partial replenishment of CoQ levels [63-65]. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that significant clinical benefits should be possible even in severely impaired PCoQD patients if in fact a significant amount of CoQ₁₀ were absorbed and could reach affected tissues.

The results from our analysis indicate that most PCoQD patients treated with CoQ₁₀ showed little or no response, and, in the cases of positive reports, the overall clinical benefit was only very

limited. This strongly suggests a lack of efficacy of CoQ₁₀ treatment. It is noteworthy that clinical trials have been conducted to assess the potential benefit of CoQ₁₀ in the treatment of patients with secondary CoQ₁₀ deficiency or mitochondrial disease. CoQ₁₀ supplementation was shown to elicit no benefit to the patients with statin-induced myalgia [66]. To date, only few double-blind and randomized clinical trials evaluating CoQ₁₀ in the treatment of mitochondrial disorders have been completed. There were reports of minor effects for improved muscle strength and attenuation of lactate rise post-exercise. However, the overall conclusion remained that CoQ₁₀ is ineffective for the treatment of patients with mitochondrial disorder, or at least there is no solid evidence to suggest otherwise [67, 68]. It is particularly worthy of mention that a recent phase three, randomized, double-blind, clinical trial evaluating CoQ₁₀ in the treatment of children with primary mitochondrial respiratory disease reported no significant improvements in any of the measured clinical outcome variables (<https://clinicaltrials.gov/NCT00432744>).

CoQ₁₀ is extremely lipophilic and practically insoluble in water; therefore, to develop pharmaceutical CoQ preparations, a number of formulation strategies for insoluble compounds have been tried, such as oil solution, emulsion, cyclodextrin complexation, and liposomal nanoencapsulation [69]. Presently, all currently marketed formulations of CoQ₁₀ are for oral administration only. Like all dietary lipids, orally administered CoQ₁₀ is absorbed in the enterocytes, packaged into chylomicrons (large lipoprotein particles) and then transported via the lymphatics to the circulation (Fig. 1C) where CoQ₁₀ is mostly packaged into lipoproteins [70]. In humans, the level of total plasma CoQ₁₀ is less than 2 µg/mL. Increases several-fold above normal plasma level has been reported after CoQ₁₀ treatment [70-72]. However, it is not known how blood CoQ₁₀ concentration is related to effectiveness in relieving symptoms. Moreover, the mechanism of tissue uptake of CoQ₁₀ is still poorly understood. In rodents, after oral CoQ₁₀ supplementation high concentrations of CoQ₁₀ were reported for several tissues including the liver, ovaries, brown adipocytes, and spleen after feeding CoQ₁₀-supplemented food or water, but not for the heart, kidney, muscle and brain, the main affected tissues in PCoQD [63, 73-77]. Key factors that influence the tissue or cellular uptake of CoQ₁₀ await future studies.

There have been discussions on the possible merits of using the reduced form of CoQ₁₀, also known as ubiquinol, to enhance the bioavailability of CoQ₁₀ [78]. However, this is not yet strongly supported by all studies, and ubiquinol's claimed to superior bioavailability is still in question [70]. Out of the 89 cases included in our final analysis, 6 were reported to be treated with ubiquinol (Table S4 and S5). Two met our criteria of responding and 4 did not. Thus, this data also does not point to better bioavailability of ubiquinol over regular CoQ₁₀ in PCoQD patients.

In sum, the results of the present review suggest the need to develop alternative strategies of providing CoQ₁₀ and stresses the need for caution when seeking to justify the widespread use of CoQ₁₀ for disease treatment or as a dietary supplement. Our recent study suggests the possibility of intravenously administering CoQ₁₀ solubilized with the fungicide caspofungin to achieve much higher plasma concentration and thus more effective CoQ₁₀ therapy [79]. Furthermore, modified precursors of the quinone ring of CoQ₁₀, for example, DHB, have been considered as potential alternative treatment option for some types of PCoQD [63-65, 80, 81]. Future work is warranted to further explore these possibilities and unleash the full potential of CoQ₁₀ therapy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

SH and YW designed the study. YW did literature searches and extracted data. SH verified data accuracy, narrative summaries, and interpretations. Both authors contributed to the selection of included studies, evaluation of data quality, and data analyses. SH and YW wrote the manuscript together and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

Research in the laboratory of SH is funded by a Foundation grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research: FDN-159916. SH is Campbell Chair of Developmental Biology.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

SH and YW have received royalty payment from Clarus Therapeutics Holdings. SH also consults for Clarus Therapeutics Holdings.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1: Primary CoQ₁₀ deficiency patients identified by literature search.

Table S2: Cases excluded from the final analysis and reasons for their exclusion.

Table S3: Partial effects reported for CoQ₁₀ treatment of primary CoQ deficiency patients.

Table S4: Patient cases classified as not responding to CoQ₁₀ treatment.

Table S5: Cases with positive outcomes following CoQ₁₀ treatment, classified as responding.

Fig. S1: The violin plot of total CoQ₁₀ amounts taken.

REFERENCE

1. Wang, Y. and S. Hekimi, *Understanding Ubiquinone*. Trends Cell Biol, 2016. **26**(5): p. 367-378.
2. Quinzii, C.M., et al., *The Role of Sulfide Oxidation Impairment in the Pathogenesis of Primary CoQ Deficiency*. Front Physiol, 2017. **8**: p. 525.
3. Lenaz, G. and M.L. Genova, *Structure and organization of mitochondrial respiratory complexes: a new understanding of an old subject*. Antioxidants & redox signaling, 2010. **12**(8): p. 961-1008.
4. Crane, F.L., *Biochemical functions of coenzyme Q10*. J Am Coll Nutr, 2001. **20**(6): p. 591-8.
5. Morre, D.J. and D.M. Morre, *Non-mitochondrial coenzyme Q*. Biofactors, 2011. **37**(5): p. 355-60.
6. Bentinger, M., K. Brismar, and G. Dallner, *The antioxidant role of coenzyme Q*. Mitochondrion, 2007. **7 Suppl**: p. S41-50.
7. Tran, U.C. and C.F. Clarke, *Endogenous synthesis of coenzyme Q in eukaryotes*. Mitochondrion, 2007. **7 Suppl**: p. S62-71.
8. Wang, Y. and S. Hekimi, *Molecular genetics of ubiquinone biosynthesis in animals*. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol, 2013. **48**(1): p. 69-88.
9. Stefely, J.A. and D.J. Pagliarini, *Biochemistry of Mitochondrial Coenzyme Q Biosynthesis*. Trends Biochem Sci, 2017. **42**(10): p. 824-843.
10. Wang, Y. and S. Hekimi, *The Complexity of Making Ubiquinone*. Trends Endocrinol Metab, 2019. **30**(12): p. 929-943.
11. Tsui, H.S. and C.F. Clarke, *Ubiquinone Biosynthetic Complexes in Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes*. Cell Chem Biol, 2019. **26**(4): p. 465-467.

12. Ogasahara, S., et al., *Muscle coenzyme Q deficiency in familial mitochondrial encephalomyopathy*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1989. **86**(7): p. 2379-82.
13. Hughes, B.G., P.M. Harrison, and S. Hekimi, *Estimating the occurrence of primary ubiquinone deficiency by analysis of large-scale sequencing data*. Sci Rep, 2017. **7**(1): p. 17744.
14. Doimo, M., et al., *Genetics of coenzyme q10 deficiency*. Mol Syndromol, 2014. **5**(3-4): p. 156-62.
15. Traschutz, A., et al., *Clinico-Genetic, Imaging and Molecular Delineation of COQ8A-Ataxia: A Multicenter Study of 59 Patients*. Ann Neurol, 2020. **88**(2): p. 251-263.
16. Heeringa, S.F., et al., *COQ6 mutations in human patients produce nephrotic syndrome with sensorineural deafness*. J Clin Invest, 2011. **121**(5): p. 2013-24.
17. Quinzii, C.M. and M. Hirano, *Primary and secondary CoQ(10) deficiencies in humans*. Biofactors, 2011. **37**(5): p. 361-5.
18. Gueguen, N., et al., *Secondary coenzyme Q deficiency in neurological disorders*. Free Radic Biol Med, 2021. **165**: p. 203-218.
19. Deichmann, R., C. Lavie, and S. Andrews, *Coenzyme q10 and statin-induced mitochondrial dysfunction*. Ochsner J, 2010. **10**(1): p. 16-21.
20. Folkers, K., et al., *Lovastatin decreases coenzyme Q levels in humans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1990. **87**(22): p. 8931-4.
21. Montero, R., et al., *Coenzyme Q(1)(0) deficiency in mitochondrial DNA depletion syndromes*. Mitochondrion, 2013. **13**(4): p. 337-41.
22. Gempel, K., et al., *The myopathic form of coenzyme Q10 deficiency is caused by mutations in the electron-transferring-flavoprotein dehydrogenase (ETFDH) gene*. Brain, 2007. **130**(Pt 8): p. 2037-44.
23. Sacconi, S., et al., *Coenzyme Q10 is frequently reduced in muscle of patients with mitochondrial myopathy*. Neuromuscul Disord, 2010. **20**(1): p. 44-8.
24. Woerner, A.C. and J. Vockley, *Mitochondrial Disease and Coenzyme Q10 Deficiency: Commentary*. J Pediatr, 2021. **228**: p. 14-15 e1.
25. Kuhl, I., et al., *Transcriptomic and proteomic landscape of mitochondrial dysfunction reveals secondary coenzyme Q deficiency in mammals*. Elife, 2017. **6**.
26. Yubero, D., et al., *Secondary coenzyme Q10 deficiencies in oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and non-OXPHOS disorders*. Mitochondrion, 2016. **30**: p. 51-8.
27. Berardo, A. and C.M. Quinzii, *Redefining infantile-onset multisystem phenotypes of coenzyme Q10-deficiency in the next-generation sequencing era*. J Transl Genet Genom, 2020. **4**: p. 22-35.
28. Diomedi-Camassei, F., et al., *COQ2 nephropathy: a newly described inherited mitochondriopathy with primary renal involvement*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2007. **18**(10): p. 2773-80.
29. Trevisson, E., et al., *Coenzyme Q deficiency in muscle*. Curr Opin Neurol, 2011. **24**(5): p. 449-56.
30. Emmanuele, V., et al., *Heterogeneity of coenzyme Q10 deficiency: patient study and literature review*. Arch Neurol, 2012. **69**(8): p. 978-83.
31. Duncan, A.J., et al., *A nonsense mutation in COQ9 causes autosomal-recessive neonatal-onset primary coenzyme Q10 deficiency: a potentially treatable form of mitochondrial disease*. Am J Hum Genet, 2009. **84**(5): p. 558-66.
32. Hernandez-Camacho, J.D., et al., *Coenzyme Q10 Supplementation in Aging and Disease*. Front Physiol, 2018. **9**: p. 44.
33. Acosta, M.J., et al., *Coenzyme Q biosynthesis in health and disease*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2016. **1857**(8): p. 1079-1085.
34. Parikh, S., et al., *A modern approach to the treatment of mitochondrial disease*. Curr Treat Options Neurol, 2009. **11**(6): p. 414-30.
35. Hargreaves, I.P., *Coenzyme Q10 as a therapy for mitochondrial disease*. Int J Biochem Cell Biol, 2014. **49**: p. 105-11.

36. Tarnopolsky, M.A., *The mitochondrial cocktail: rationale for combined nutraceutical therapy in mitochondrial cytopathies*. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*, 2008. **60**(13-14): p. 1561-7.
37. Mero, S., et al., *New pathogenic variants in COQ4 cause ataxia and neurodevelopmental disorder without detectable CoQ10 deficiency in muscle or skin fibroblasts*. *J Neurol*, 2021. **268**(9): p. 3381-3389.
38. AbuMaziad, A.S., et al., *The role of novel COQ8B mutations in glomerulopathy and related kidney defects*. *Am J Med Genet A*, 2021. **185**(1): p. 60-67.
39. Mignot, C., et al., *Phenotypic variability in ARCA2 and identification of a core ataxic phenotype with slow progression*. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*, 2013. **8**: p. 173.
40. Lagier-Tourenne, C., et al., *ADCK3, an ancestral kinase, is mutated in a form of recessive ataxia associated with coenzyme Q10 deficiency*. *Am J Hum Genet*, 2008. **82**(3): p. 661-72.
41. Salviati, L., et al., *Haploinsufficiency of COQ4 causes coenzyme Q10 deficiency*. *J Med Genet*, 2012. **49**(3): p. 187-91.
42. Aure, K., et al., *Progression despite replacement of a myopathic form of coenzyme Q10 defect*. *Neurology*, 2004. **63**(4): p. 727-9.
43. Blumkin, L., et al., *Heterozygous Mutations in the ADCK3 Gene in Siblings with Cerebellar Atrophy and Extreme Phenotypic Variability*. *JIMD Rep*, 2014. **12**: p. 103-7.
44. Zhang, L., T. Ashizawa, and D. Peng, *Primary coenzyme Q10 deficiency due to COQ8A gene mutations*. *Mol Genet Genomic Med*, 2020. **8**(10): p. e1420.
45. Mollet, J., et al., *CABC1 gene mutations cause ubiquinone deficiency with cerebellar ataxia and seizures*. *Am J Hum Genet*, 2008. **82**(3): p. 623-30.
46. Clarke, C.F., W. Williams, and J.H. Teruya, *Ubiquinone biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Isolation and sequence of COQ3, the 3,4-dihydroxy-5-hexaprenylbenzoate methyltransferase gene*. *J Biol Chem*, 1991. **266**(25): p. 16636-44.
47. Ashraf, S., et al., *ADCK4 mutations promote steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome through CoQ10 biosynthesis disruption*. *J Clin Invest*, 2013. **123**(12): p. 5179-89.
48. Atmaca, M., et al., *Follow-up results of patients with ADCK4 mutations and the efficacy of CoQ10 treatment*. *Pediatr Nephrol*, 2017. **32**(8): p. 1369-1375.
49. Korkmaz, E., et al., *ADCK4-Associated Glomerulopathy Causes Adolescence-Onset FSGS*. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2016. **27**(1): p. 63-8.
50. Xie, L.X., et al., *Expression of the human atypical kinase ADCK3 rescues coenzyme Q biosynthesis and phosphorylation of Coq polypeptides in yeast coq8 mutants*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 2011. **1811**(5): p. 348-60.
51. Stefely, J.A., et al., *Cerebellar Ataxia and Coenzyme Q Deficiency through Loss of Unorthodox Kinase Activity*. *Mol Cell*, 2016. **63**(4): p. 608-620.
52. Maeoka, Y., et al., *A case report of adult-onset COQ8B nephropathy presenting focal segmental glomerulosclerosis with granular swollen podocytes*. *BMC Nephrol*, 2020. **21**(1): p. 376.
53. Peng, M., et al., *Primary coenzyme Q deficiency in Pdss2 mutant mice causes isolated renal disease*. *PLoS Genet*, 2008. **4**(4): p. e1000061.
54. Lu, S., et al., *Cerebellar defects in Pdss2 conditional knockout mice during embryonic development and in adulthood*. *Neurobiol Dis*, 2012. **45**(1): p. 219-33.
55. Lapointe, J., et al., *The submitochondrial distribution of ubiquinone affects respiration in long-lived Mcl1^{+/-} mice*. *J Cell Biol*, 2012. **199**(2): p. 215-24.
56. Jiang, N., et al., *Mouse CLK-1 is imported into mitochondria by an unusual process that requires a leader sequence but no membrane potential*. *J Biol Chem*, 2001. **276**(31): p. 29218-25.
57. Nakai, D., et al., *Mouse homologue of coq7/clk-1, longevity gene in Caenorhabditis elegans, is essential for coenzyme Q synthesis, maintenance of mitochondrial integrity, and neurogenesis*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 2001. **289**(2): p. 463-71.

58. Garcia-Corzo, L., et al., *Dysfunctional Coq9 protein causes predominant encephalomyopathy associated with CoQ deficiency*. Hum Mol Genet, 2013. **22**(6): p. 1233-48.
59. EUCOMM. *European Conditional Mouse Mutagenesis Program*. Available from: <https://www.mousephenotype.org/about-imp/about-ikmc/eucomm/>.
60. Saneto, R.P., *Mitochondrial diseases: expanding the diagnosis in the era of genetic testing*. J Transl Genet Genom, 2020. **4**: p. 384-428.
61. Lopez-Lluch, G., et al., *Bioavailability of coenzyme Q10 supplements depends on carrier lipids and solubilization*. Nutrition, 2019. **57**: p. 133-140.
62. Chung, W.K., et al., *Mutations in COQ4, an essential component of coenzyme Q biosynthesis, cause lethal neonatal mitochondrial encephalomyopathy*. J Med Genet, 2015. **52**(9): p. 627-35.
63. Wang, Y., D. Oxeer, and S. Hekimi, *Mitochondrial function and lifespan of mice with controlled ubiquinone biosynthesis*. Nat Commun, 2015. **6**: p. 6393.
64. Hidalgo-Gutierrez, A., et al., *beta-RA reduces DMQ/CoQ ratio and rescues the encephalopathic phenotype in Coq9 (R239X) mice*. EMBO Mol Med, 2019. **11**(1).
65. Hidalgo-Gutierrez, A., et al., *beta-RA Targets Mitochondrial Metabolism and Adipogenesis, Leading to Therapeutic Benefits against CoQ Deficiency and Age-Related Overweight*. Biomedicines, 2021. **9**(10).
66. Taylor, B.A., et al., *A randomized trial of coenzyme Q10 in patients with confirmed statin myopathy*. Atherosclerosis, 2015. **238**(2): p. 329-35.
67. Glover, E.I., et al., *A randomized trial of coenzyme Q10 in mitochondrial disorders*. Muscle Nerve, 2010. **42**(5): p. 739-48.
68. *Phase III Trial of Coenzyme Q10 in Mitochondrial Disease*. <https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT00432744>.
69. Zaki, N.M., *Strategies for oral delivery and mitochondrial targeting of CoQ10*. Drug Deliv, 2016. **23**(6): p. 1868-81.
70. Mantle, D. and A. Dybring, *Bioavailability of Coenzyme Q10: An Overview of the Absorption Process and Subsequent Metabolism*. Antioxidants (Basel), 2020. **9**(5).
71. Shults, C.W., et al., *Effects of coenzyme Q10 in early Parkinson disease: evidence of slowing of the functional decline*. Arch Neurol, 2002. **59**(10): p. 1541-50.
72. Bhagavan, H.N. and R.K. Chopra, *Plasma coenzyme Q10 response to oral ingestion of coenzyme Q10 formulations*. Mitochondrion, 2007. **7 Suppl**: p. S78-88.
73. Lass, A., M.J. Forster, and R.S. Sohal, *Effects of coenzyme Q10 and alpha-tocopherol administration on their tissue levels in the mouse: elevation of mitochondrial alpha-tocopherol by coenzyme Q10*. Free Radic Biol Med, 1999. **26**(11-12): p. 1375-82.
74. Ben-Meir, A., et al., *Coenzyme Q10 restores oocyte mitochondrial function and fertility during reproductive aging*. Aging Cell, 2015. **14**(5): p. 887-95.
75. Anderson, C.M., et al., *Dependence of brown adipose tissue function on CD36-mediated coenzyme Q uptake*. Cell Rep, 2015. **10**(4): p. 505-15.
76. Saiki, R., et al., *Coenzyme Q10 supplementation rescues renal disease in Pdss2kd/kd mice with mutations in prenyl diphosphate synthase subunit 2*. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol, 2008. **295**(5): p. F1535-44.
77. Garcia-Corzo, L., et al., *Ubiquinol-10 ameliorates mitochondrial encephalopathy associated with CoQ deficiency*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2014. **1842**(7): p. 893-901.
78. Bhagavan, H.N., et al., *Assessment of coenzyme Q10 absorption using an in vitro digestion-Caco-2 cell model*. Int J Pharm, 2007. **333**(1-2): p. 112-7.
79. Wang, Y. and S. Hekimi, *Micellization of coenzyme Q by the fungicide caspofungin allows for safe intravenous administration to reach extreme supraphysiological concentrations*. Redox Biol, 2020. **36**: p. 101680.

80. Widmeier, E., et al., *Treatment with 2,4-Dihydroxybenzoic Acid Prevents FSGS Progression and Renal Fibrosis in Podocyte-Specific Coq6 Knockout Mice*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2019.
81. Widmeier, E., et al., *ADCK4 Deficiency Destabilizes the Coenzyme Q Complex, Which Is Rescued by 2,4-Dihydroxybenzoic Acid Treatment*. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2020. **31**(6): p. 1191-1211.