medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.19.22269510; this version posted January 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Microbial GWAS studies revealing combinations of Omicron RBD mutations existed and may contribute to antibody evasion and ACE2 binding

Xumin Ou^{1,2,3}, Zhishuang Yang^{1,3,4}, Dekang Zhu^{1,3}, Sai Mao^{1,3,4}, Mingshu Wang^{1,3,4}, Renyong Jia^{1,3,4}, Shun Chen^{1,3,4}, Mafeng Liu^{1,3,4}, Qiao Yang^{1,3,4}, Ying Wu^{1,3,4}, Xinxin Zhao^{1,3,4}, Shaqiu Zhang^{1,3,4}, Juan Huang^{1,3,4}, Qun Gao^{1,3,4}, Yunya Liu^{1,3,4}, Ling Zhang^{1,3,4}, Maikel Peopplenbosch², Qiuwei Pan², Anchun Cheng^{1,3,4}.

1Institute of Preventive Veterinary Medicine, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

2Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, PO Box 2040, NL-3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands

3Key Laboratory of Animal Disease and Human Health of Sichuan Province, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

4Research Center of Avian Disease, College of Veterinary Medicine, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

* Anchun Cheng.

Email: chenganchun@vip.163.com

Author Contributions: X.O., Q.P. and A.C. devised the project and the main conceptual ideas; X.O., Z.Y., D.Z., S.M. and M.W. acquired data; X.O. and Z.Y. designed and performed the computations; X.O., Z.Y., D.Z., S.M., R.J., S.C., M.L. and Q.Y. analyzed and interpreted data; X.O. and Z.Y. drafted the manuscript; Y.W., X.Z., S.Z., J.H., Q.G., Y.L., L.Z., M.P. and Q.P. proofread the draft; all authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Classification: Virus evolution, geographic transmission.

Keywords: Omicron variant; RBD substitutions; antibody evasion; ACE binding.

This file includes:

Main Text

1

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.19.22269510; this version posted January 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

2 Abstract

3 Since Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 was first detected in South Africa (SA), it has now 4 dominated in United Kingdom (UK) of Europe and United State (USA) of North America. A 5 prominent feature of this variant is the gathering of spike protein mutations, in particularly at the 6 receptor binding domain (RBD). These RBD mutations essentially contribute to antibody 7 resistance of current immune approaches. During global spillover, combinations of RBD 8 mutations may exist and synergistically contribute to antibody resistance in fact. Using three 9 geographic-stratified genome wide association studies (GWAS), we observed that RBD 10 combinations exhibited a geographic pattern and genetical associated, such as five common 11 mutations in both UK and USA Omicron, six or two specific mutations in UK or USA Omicron. 12 Although the UK specific RBD mutations can be further classified into two separated sub-groups 13 of combination based on linkage disequilibrium analysis. Functional analysis indicated that the 14 common RBD combinations (fold change, -11.59) alongside UK or USA specific mutations 15 significantly reduced neutralization (fold change, -38.72, -18.11). As RBD overlaps with 16 angiotensin converting enzyme 2(ACE2) binding motif, protein-protein contact analysis indicated 17 that the common RBD mutations enhanced ACE2 binding accessibility and were further 18 strengthened by UK or USA-specific RBD mutations. Spatiotemporal evolution analysis indicated 19 that UK-specific RBD mutations largely contribute to global spillover. Collectively, we have 20 provided genetic evidence of RBD combinations and estimated their effects on antibody evasion 21 and ACE2 binding accessibility.

22

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.19.22269510; this version posted January 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

23 Main Text

24 Introduction

25 The Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 was recently detected in southern Africa, and has now 26 sparked a new wave of global epidemic, in particular Europe and North America. A notable 27 feature of this variant is the large amount of RBD mutations on spike protein (1). One of 28 fundamental impacts of these mutations is antibody resistance (so called antibody evasion) (2). 29 Because neutralization by clinically used monoclonal antibodies or serum of post COVID-19 30 vaccination is completely or incompletely diminished (3). This has been confirmed by evaluating 31 neutralizing activity of 17 commercial antibodies to spike protein or pseudo-virus with differently 32 individual RBD mutation (3). As a receptor docking domain of spike protein, these mutations may 33 also change viral entry via regulating binding affinity to ACE2 receptor (4). Thus, the RBD 34 mutations not only participate in antibody evasion but also ACE2 binding activity.

However, the RBD mutations may collaboratively contribute to antibody evasion, in a form of RBD combination or an epitope but not individual mutation. To address this question, we aimed to know if combinations of RBD mutations of Omicron variant exist and how they contribute to antibody evasion and ACE2 binding activity. In order to further understand the trend of its current global transmission, spatiotemporal evolution of Omicron harboring different RBD combinations will be studied.

42 Results

43

41

44 Different combinations of RBD mutations existed in United Kingdom and United state 45 Omicron

46 As of 2-Jan-2022, we retrieved 77123 sequences of Omicron variant from GASID database. 47 This variant was highly distributed in Africa, Europe and North America, in particular south Africa 48 (SA) (72.94%, 1383/1896), United Kingdom (UK) (77.91%, 39849/51144) and United State (USA) 49 (95.88%,19534/20374) (Fig.1A). To understand if geographic combinations of RBD mutations 50 existed, three microbial-Genome wide association studies (GWASs) and linkage disequilibrium 51 (LD) analysis were conducted between SA, UK and USA (5). Comparing with the SA Omicron, 52 we observed that S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R and G496S were significantly enriched in both 53 USA and UK Omicron, and defined as common RBD mutations in this context (Fig.1B). However, 54 the percentage of enrichment of these mutations in USA Omicron is much lower than that of UK 55 Omicron. Meta-analysis of three GWAS studies showed that S371L, S373P, S375F, Q498R, 56 N501Y and N505H were specifically enriched in UK Omicron, and G446S and N440K specifically 57 in USA Omicron (Fig.1C-D). In addition, genetic association analysis indicated that common 58 increased RBD mutations alongside with UK or USA specific RBD mutations were genetically 59 linked or concurrently existed in Omicron variants (Fig.1E and Dataset).

60 61

Specific RBD combinations enhanced antibody evasion and ACE2 binding accessibility

62 Supporting antibody evasion, recent evidence showed that the effect of individual RBD 63 mutation to neutralization was largely diminished. However, the position adjacent RBD mutations 64 may in fact synergistically contribute to antibody evasion. Here, we re-evaluate the synergistic 65 effect of common and geographically specific RBD combinations on antibody evasion. Using the 66 data of neutralization by 17 commercial monoclonal antibodies to single RBD mutation, we 67 observed synergistic effects of common RBD combinations on antibody resistance 68 (Mean+95%CI, -11.59 (-7.1, -13.7)) (Fig.1F). In addition, UK-specific mutations plus the common 69 mutations significantly enhanced antibody evasion from -25.89 to -38.72 (p=0.0003). These USA-70 specific mutations plus the common mutations also enhanced antibody evasion from -6.53 to -71 18.11 (p=0.0006).

Another profound impact of RBD combinations is ACE2 binding accessibility. Exploiting the recent released crystallography of spike protein and ACE2 complex, we evaluated RBD-ACE2 contact accessibility by mutagenesis (4) (Fig.1H). We primarily found that common RBD mutations with either UK-specific RBD mutations or USA-specific mutations largely increased ACE2 binding accessibility (Fig. 1I-K). medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.19.22269510; this version posted January 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Spatiotemporal transmission of Omicron variant with different RBD combinations 79

80 In the spike protein of Omicron variant, as mentioned, RBD mutations may concurrently exist 81 and shows a geographical pattern of RBD combination. Therefore, its globally spatiotemporal 82 transmission was tracked according to the pattern of RBD combinations and mutation linkage 83 analysis, linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis indicated that the geographical pattern of RBD 84 combinations correlated with LD data. Of note, the six UK-specific RBD mutations can be further 85 divided into two sub-groups of RBD combination (Fig. 2A). In line with this data, we found that 86 geographical transmission and timely spread of Omicron can be well classified by the combinations of RBD mutations, and mainly dominated in continents of Europe and North 87 88 America (Fig. 2B-C). Time-dependent frequency change suggested that the number of Omicron 89 harboring two sub-combinations of UK-specific RBD mutations increased during the time of 90 spillover, alongside with the decrease of Omicron harboring USA-specific RBD mutations 91 (Fig.2D), especially after 2-Dec-2021 (Fig. 2E). Correlation analysis indicated that change of 92 Omicron harboring the one of two UK RBD sub-combinations was positively related to the 93 number of Omicron harboring another UK specific sub-combination, but was negatively related to 94 the number of Omicron harboring USA specific RBD mutations (Fig. 2F-G). Collectively, 95 combination of UK-specific RBD mutations essentially contribute to global transmission of SARS-96 CoV-2 Omicron variant, including sub-combination of S371L, S373P, and S375F, and sub-97 combination of Q498R, N501Y, and Y505H. 98

99 Discussion

77

100 Currently, a large amount of spike protein mutations have been identified in Omicron 101 variant, in which 15 out of 32 mutations located in RBD. As reported, these RBD mutations 102 extensively escape neutralization of both monoclonal antibody or serum post COVID-19 vaccination. There are two mode-of-action of antibody evasion, either in form of single mutation 103 104 or a combination of RBD mutations. A host of experimental proofs from multiple research centers 105 have confirmed existence of antibody resistance, that is attribute to RBD mutations but in a form of single mutation or unknown combinations. As reported, single RBD mutation like K417N, 106 107 G446S, E484A, S371L, N440K, G446S, and Q493R confers greater antibody resistance to 108 Omicron variant (2, 3). In fact, the most possible mode-of-antibody resistance is combinations of 109 RBD mutations. Supportively, we have identified that 5 out of 15 RBD mutations concurrently 110 exist in both UK and USA Omicron. However, 6 out of 15 RBD mutations specifically exist in UK 111 Omicron, and 2 RBD mutations in USA Omicron. This evidence indicated that RBD mutations 112 existed in a manner of combination and showed geographical pattern. Compared with single RBD 113 mutation, the RBD combinations largely escape antibody neutralization (fold change, -11.59) and 114 even strengthened by UK or USA specific RBD mutations (fold change, -38.72, -18.11).

115 As antibody-binding RBD epitope overlaps with ACE2-binding motif. It is also possible that 116 RBD combinations may change ACE2 binding affinity (4). Directed by this knowledge, structural 117 variations of mutated RBD and ACE2 binding complex were analyzed. We overserved that the 118 common RBD combinations enhanced ACE2 binding accessibility and was further enhanced by UK and USA specific RBD substitutions. Collectively, our data suggested that RBD combinations 119 120 of Omicron existed and showed a patten of geographical combination. Spatiotemporal tracking of 121 Omicron harboring different RBD combinations also showed geographical dominance and crossly 122 transmitted between continents, especially in Europe and North America (6). Importantly, primary 123 evidence from neutralization and ACE2 binding implied that RBD combinations and their 124 geographical combinations largely intensified antibody evasion and enhanced ACE2 binding 125 accessibility. Herein, by exploiting multiple geographical stratified GWAS studies, we have 126 showed genetic evidence of RBD combinations. Despite significance of this knowledge, its 127 biological impacts on antibody evasion and ACE2 binding were evaluated and confirmed by 128 currently available experimental data. This new understanding will pave new avenue to study the 129 exact impact of RBD combinations regarding antibody resistance and ACE2 binding. 130

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.19.22269510; this version posted January 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

131 Materials and Methods

132

GWAS study and LD analysis. The detailed sequencing data and methods are described in SI
 Appendix (6).

Neutralization activity and ACE2 binding accessibility. The raw data of neutralization, data
 processing and statistic are described in SI Appendix.

138

Data availability. Raw data and processed CSV files are available at supplementary dataset or
 with contacts to corresponding author for details.

142 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (32102706 to X. Ou),
Sichuan Veterinary Medicine and Drug Innovation Group of China Agricultural Research System
(SCCXTD-2021-18), Science and Technology Program of Sichuan Province (2020YJ0396 to X.
Ou), China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA.

147 148 **References**

- 149 1. WHO (2021) Classification of Omicron (B.1.1.529): SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern.
- 150 2. L. Liu *et al.*, Striking Antibody Evasion Manifested by the Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-151 2. *Nature* 10.1038/s41586-021-04388-0 (2021).
- 152 3. D. Planas *et al.*, Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody 153 neutralization. *Nature* 10.1038/s41586-021-04389-z (2021).
- 1544.R. H. Yan *et al.*, Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human155ACE2. Science **367**, 1444-+ (2020).
- 1565.X. Ou *et al.*, Tracing two causative SNPs reveals SARS-CoV-2 transmission in North157America population. *BioRvix* <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.092056</u> (2020).
- 1586.X. M. Ou et al., Tracing genetic signatures of bat-to-human coronaviruses and early159transmission of North American SARS-CoV-2. Transbound Emerg Dis16010.1111/tbed.14148 (2021).

161

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.19.22269510; this version posted January 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

162 Figures

163

Figure 1. Combinations of RBD mutations and corresponding effects on antibody 164 165 neutralization and ACE2 binding. (A) The South Africa (SA) isolates, United Kingdom (UK) 166 isolates and USA isolates dominated the global population, as of 2-Jan-2022. (B) Commonly 167 increased but frequency differently RBD mutations identified in UK and USA Omicron variants. Three geographical stratified GWAS studies (SA>UK, SA>USK and SA>USA) and Linkage 168 169 Disequilibrium (LD) analysis were conducted. (C-D) UK and USA-specific RBD mutations. (E) 170 WHO defined RBD substitutions and GWAS studies identified common, and specific 171 combinations of RBD substitution in UK and USA Omicron. (F-G) Antibody resistance to 17 172 commercial mono-antibody was enhanced by combinations of RBD mutations (Mean+95%CI, -173 11.59 (-7.1, -13.7)). UK-specific RBD substitutions (from -25.89 (-14.10, -32.5) to -38.72 (-26.70, -174 49.80)) further strengthened antibody resistance (p< 0.001), as well as USA-specific RBD 175 combinations (from -6.53 (-0.5, -4.6) to -18.11 (-7.6, -18.4)). Data of antibody neutralization to 176 single RBD substitution was archived by liu, L. et al. Nature, 2021. Paired T-test was used for 177 statistical analysis. (H) Wild type of SARS-CoV-2 RBD binds to ACE2 (PDB, 6M17). 15 WHO 178 label RBD substitutions were displayed. (I-K) The common RBD combinations enhanced ACE2 179 binding accessibility and further enhanced by UK and USA specific RBD mutations. This analysis 180 was conducted by Pymol mutagenesis. 181

182 Figure 2. Spatiotemporal tracking of global Omicron variants with different RBD 183 combinations. (A) linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis indicated that the geographical pattern of 184 RBD combinations correlated with LD data represented by R square. Of note, the six UK-specific 185 RBD mutations can be further divided into two sub-groups of RBD combination. (B) Omicron with 186 different RBD combinations has globally spread and can be well stratified by continents, in 187 particular Europe and North America. (C) Time-dependent change suggested that global Omicron 188 can be well classified by different RBD combination during the time of spillover. (D) However, the 189 Omicron harboring the common, and USA-specific RBD mutations decreased along time, while 190 the Omicron harboring either two sub-groups of UK-specific RBD mutations increased at the 191 same period. (E) After 2-Dec-2021, the Omicron harboring USA-specific mutations was 192 significantly decreased, while the Omicron with UK-specific mutations (UK-371-373-375 RBD 193 mutations and UK-498-501-505 RBD mutations) was significantly increased. Student T test was 194 used for statistical analysis. (F-G) Percentage per day of Omicron harboring UK-371-373-375 195 RBD mutations was positively related to the increased Omicron harboring UK-498-501-505 RBD 196 mutations (R²=0.9813), but was negatively related to the decreased Omicron harboring USA-197 specific RBD mutations (R^2 =0.9777).



