

## Original Article

**Manuscript title: Association between long working hours and psychological distress:**

**The effect of sick leave criteria in the workplace during the COVID-19 pandemic**

Ayako Hino<sup>1</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Akiomi Inoue<sup>2</sup>, Ph.D., Kosuke Mafune<sup>1</sup>, Ph.D., Mayumi Tsuji<sup>3</sup>,

M.D., Ph.D., Seiichiro Tateishi<sup>4</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Akira Ogami<sup>5</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Tomohisa Nagata<sup>6</sup>,

M.D., Ph.D., Keiji Muramatsu<sup>7</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Yoshihisa Fujino<sup>8</sup> M.D., M.P.H., Ph.D. for the

CORoNaWork Project

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of

Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Institutional Research Center, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and

Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and

Environmental Health, Japan

**NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.**

<sup>5</sup>Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,

University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>6</sup>Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial

Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>7</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine, University

of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

<sup>8</sup>Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,

University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Corresponding author:

Ayako Hino, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of

Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahatanishi-ku, Kitakyushu

807-8555, Japan

Phone: +81-93-691-7475

E mail: [ayako-hino@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp](mailto:ayako-hino@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp)

## Funding Sources:

This study was supported and partly funded by the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan; General Incorporated Foundation (Anshin Zaidan), the Development of Educational Materials on Mental Health Measures for Managers at Small-sized Enterprises, Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants, Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002); Research for the Establishment of an Occupational Health System in Times of Disaster (H30-roudou-ippan-007), scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; the Collabo-Health Study Group, and Hitachi Systems, Ltd.

Conflict of Interest: NONE DECLARED.

## Acknowledgements:

The current members of the CORoNaWork Project, in alphabetical order, are as follows: Dr Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr Akira Ogami, Dr Arisa Harada, Dr Ayako Hino, Dr Hajime Ando, Dr Hisashi Eguchi, Dr Kazunori Ikegami, Dr Kei Tokutsu, Dr Keiji Muramatsu, Dr Koji Mori, Dr Kosuke Mafune, Dr Kyoko Kitagawa, Dr Masako

Nagata, Dr Mayumi Tsuji, Ms Ning Liu, Dr Rie Tanaka, Dr Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr Seiichiro

Tateishi, Dr Shinya Matsuda, Dr Tomohiro Ishimaru, and Dr Tomohisa Nagata. All members

are affiliated with the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

Ethical approval: This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of

Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference number. R2-079 and R3-006).

Running head: Effect of criteria on overtime and mental health

### Clinical significance:

Workers working without sick leave criteria had a significantly higher risk of psychological distress due to long working hours than those who worked with the criteria. Our findings contribute to preventing the deterioration of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic.

1 **Abstract**

2 **Objective:** This study investigated the effect of sick leave criteria on the association between  
3 long working hours and psychological distress.

4 **Methods:** We conducted a cross-sectional survey in December 2020, and 27,032 workers  
5 completed the questionnaire. First, after testing the interaction effect of overtime work hours  
6 and sick leave criteria on psychological distress, we conducted stratified analyses using sick  
7 leave criteria.

8 **Results:** A significant interaction effect was found. When we conducted stratified analyses,  
9 the odds ratios increased with longer working hours, both with and without sick leave criteria  
10 groups; however, the risk was greater in the without sick leave criteria group, compared with  
11 the criteria group.

12 **Conclusion:** We revealed that working without sick leave criteria could strengthen the  
13 association between long working hours and psychological distress during the COVID-19  
14 pandemic.

15

16 **Key words:** Long working hours, Psychological distress, COVID-19, Cross-sectional studies,  
17 Japan

## 1 **Introduction**

2 The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), a respiratory disease caused by SARS-COV-2,  
3 has resulted in a global pandemic. In Japan, the first case of infection was reported in early  
4 2020; the infection spread rapidly, thereafter. The first epidemic wave emerged in April 2020,  
5 the second in July 2020, and the third in December 2020; moreover, while ongoing waves  
6 continue up until today. The Japanese government implemented community-based measures,  
7 similar to those applied globally, to control the pandemic, including quarantining,  
8 encouraging people's prevention practices, restricting travel and events, and promoting social  
9 distancing<sup>1</sup>. However, these infection control measures should be implemented by not only  
10 individuals but also companies. Therefore, several workplace measures are implemented to  
11 control COVID-19 infections worldwide.

12 Several previous studies in Japan have reported the association between workplace  
13 infection measures and mental health. A cross-sectional study reported that several workplace  
14 measures result in better psychological distress and job performance<sup>2</sup>. Another cross-sectional  
15 study revealed that companies with few measures had significantly worse mental health  
16 compared with those with sufficient measures<sup>3</sup>. These previous studies included multiple  
17 workplace infection measures such as preventive measures taken including those

1 implemented by individuals (e.g., hand washing, wearing masks), preventive measures to  
2 reduce the risk of infection at the workplace (e.g., refrain from business trips, restricting  
3 outside visitors), and criteria and procedures for waiting at home and clinical contact (e.g.,  
4 request to refrain from going to work when ill, report request for fever). Among others,  
5 Sasaki et al<sup>2</sup> reported that “criteria and procedures for waiting at home and clinical contact”  
6 significantly increased job performance. Another study reported a similar tendency, which  
7 showed that “requesting employees not come to work when they are not feeling well” was  
8 highly associated with decreased psychological distress among workplace infection control  
9 measures<sup>3</sup>. Among other workplace infection control measures, setting the sick leave criteria  
10 (i.e., criteria for deciding whether or not employees come to work when they are unwell) may  
11 be particularly important for mental health.

12 On the other hand, long working hours are considered a problem in Japanese  
13 workplaces. “Karoshi” (death from overwork) and “Karojisatsu” (suicide induced by  
14 overwork) have been prevalent social problems in Japan for the past several decades. The  
15 association between long working hours and physical health has been reported in some  
16 previous studies<sup>4</sup>. Moreover, similar evidence was reported for mental health in recent years<sup>5</sup>.

17 While numerous studies during the COVID-19 pandemic have revealed the association



1 between long working hours and mental health, a majority of such studies have been  
2 conducted on healthcare workers. For example, a cross-sectional study in a Japanese  
3 healthcare institution showed that increasing working hours might be a risk factor for  
4 depression among nurses<sup>6</sup>. Further, a prior cross-sectional study conducted in foreign  
5 countries (i.e., not including Japan) found that job strain, including increased working hours,  
6 was the most significant psychosocial stressor among German healthcare workers<sup>7</sup>. However,  
7 during the COVID-19 pandemic, along with healthcare workers, other general workers may  
8 also be exposed to overworking. The International Labour Organization (ILO) guidelines<sup>8</sup>  
9 lists long working hours as an important psychosocial risk during the COVID-19 pandemic,  
10 indicating that the psychological effects of long working hours are an important issue for  
11 non-healthcare workers.

12 Furthermore, during the COVID-19 pandemic, long working hours may increase the  
13 possibility of infection, resulting in a strong association between long working hours and  
14 psychological distress in workplaces with inadequate infection control measures. However,  
15 the effect of workplace infection control measures on the association between long working  
16 hours and psychological distress has not yet been revealed. In this study, we particularly  
17 focused on sick leave criteria and investigated the effect of the criteria on the association

1 between long working hours and psychological distress. We hypothesized that the association  
2 between long working hours and psychological distress would be stronger for workers in  
3 workplaces without sick leave criteria than those who working with such criteria.

4

5

## 6 **Methods**

### 7 *Participants*

8 We conducted a cross-sectional survey from December 22–26, 2020, among participants who  
9 had previously registered with a Japanese web survey company. At the time of this survey, the  
10 third wave of the COVID-19 pandemic had occurred in Japan, and the number of infected  
11 persons was increasing rapidly. Details of the protocol for this study have been previously  
12 reported<sup>9</sup>. The participants were selected by allocating an equal distribution of numbers by  
13 gender, occupation, and residential region, and 33,302 were eligible to respond. Participants  
14 with exceptionally short response times ( $\leq 6$  minutes), exceptionally low body weight ( $< 30$   
15 kg), exceptionally short height ( $< 140$  cm), and those who provided inconsistent responses to  
16 comparable questions (e.g., inconsistent responses to questions on marital status and area of  
17 residence), as well as incorrect responses to a question (i.e., those who gave fraudulent

1 responses; select the third largest number from among the following five numbers) were  
2 excluded. After excluding these invalid responses, the total number of respondents included in  
3 the final analysis was 27,032. Participants' demographic and occupational characteristics are  
4 presented in Table 1.

5

6 ---Insert Table 1 here---

7

8 The purpose and procedures of the study were explained to the participants, and  
9 informed consent was obtained through an online website. The Ethics Committee of Medical  
10 Research, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, reviewed and  
11 approved the study procedures (approval number: R2-079).

12

### 13 *Measures*

#### 14 1) Overtime work hours

15 We obtained information on usual daily overtime work hours using a self-administered  
16 questionnaire. We explored overtime using the question, "How many hours of overtime do  
17 you work per day?" Following the classification standard used in a previous systematic

1 review of overtime work hours<sup>5</sup>, overtime work hours per day were classified into three  
2 groups: almost none, < 2 hours, and  $\geq 2$  hours, which is equivalent to less than 40 hours, <  
3 50 hours, and  $\geq 50$  hours of working hours per week, respectively.

4

## 5 2) Sick leave criteria

6 A single-item scale was used to investigate the sick leave criteria using the question, “Does  
7 your workplace request employees to refrain from coming in to work when they are not  
8 feeling well?” in the questionnaire. We defined those responding with “yes” as “with sick  
9 leave criteria” and those answering “no” as “without sick leave criteria.”

10

## 11 3) Psychological distress

12 In this survey, psychological distress was measured using the K6 scale<sup>10, 11</sup>. This scale has  
13 been widely used in Japan as well as in foreign countries to measure the symptoms of  
14 psychological distress in the past 30 days. The K6 scale comprises a six-item scale measuring  
15 the extent of psychological distress in the past 30 days with a five-point response option  
16 ranging from 0 = *none of the time* to 4 = *all of the time* (response range, 0–24). In this sample,  
17 Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient was 0.93. Participants were dichotomized into those with

1 psychological distress (total K6 score of five or more) and those without (0–4 score) using a  
2 cutoff point recommended for the Japanese population<sup>12</sup>.  
3  
4 4) Other covariates  
5 Other covariates included demographic characteristics (i.e., gender, age, marital status,  
6 residence status, educational background, present illness, and residence area) and  
7 occupational characteristics (i.e., job type, frequency of remote working, and psychosocial  
8 work characteristics). Marital status was classified into three groups: married, divorced or  
9 widowed, and unmarried. Residence status was classified into two groups: living with family  
10 and living alone. Educational background was classified into six groups: junior high school,  
11 high school, vocational school, junior college or technical college, university, and graduate  
12 school. Present illness was classified into two groups: none and any. Residence areas were  
13 classified into two groups: high infection rate areas (i.e., prefectures with a declaration of a  
14 state of emergency by the Japanese Government due to COVID-19<sup>13</sup>) and low infection rate  
15 areas (i.e., prefectures without a declaration of a state of emergency by the Japanese  
16 Government due to COVID-19). Job type was classified into three groups: mainly desk work,  
17 jobs mainly involving interpersonal communication, and mainly labor. The frequency of

1 remote working was classified into five groups:  $\geq 4$  times/week,  $\geq 2$  times/week,  $\geq 1$   
2 time/week,  $\geq 1$  time/month, and almost none. Psychosocial work characteristics, including  
3 job control, supervisor support, and coworker support, were assessed using the Japanese  
4 version of the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ)<sup>14, 15</sup>. The JCQ includes a nine-item job  
5 control scale (response range 24–96, Cronbach’s alpha in the present sample = 0.74), a  
6 four-item coworker support scale (response range 4–16, Cronbach’s alpha in the present  
7 sample = 0.94), and a four-item supervisor support scale (response range 4–16, Cronbach’s  
8 alpha in the present sample = 0.90).

9

#### 10 *Statistical analysis*

11 We conducted multiple logistic regression analyses to estimate the prevalence of odds ratios  
12 (ORs) and their 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) of psychological distress (defined as having a  
13 score of five or more on the K6 scale). In the analyses, we first tested the interaction effect of  
14 overtime work hours and sick leave criteria on psychological distress. When we observed a  
15 significant interaction effect, we conducted stratified analyses using the sick leave criteria. In  
16 a series of analyses, we first calculated the crude ORs (i.e., without any adjustment) (Model  
17 1). Then, we adjusted for demographic characteristics (i.e., gender, age, marital status,

1 residence status, educational background, present illness, and residence area) (Model 2) and  
2 additionally adjusted for occupational characteristics (i.e., job type, frequency of remote  
3 working, and psychosocial work characteristics) (Model 3). The level of significance was set  
4 at  $p < 0.05$ . Statistical analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social  
5 Sciences (SPSS) Statistics version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

6

7

## 8 **Results**

9 Of all participants, 20,230 (74.8 %) worked with sick leave criteria and 6,806 (25.2%) worked  
10 without sick leave criteria. Compared with the participants with sick leave criteria, those  
11 without sick leave criteria were more likely to have no overtime work and had higher K6  
12 scores. Furthermore, participants without sick leave criteria were more likely to be male,  
13 unmarried, living alone, mainly engaged in labor, and have a lower educational background  
14 than those with sick leave criteria.

15 The interaction effects of overtime work hours and sick leave criteria on psychological  
16 distress were significant in any models ( $p$  for interaction  $< 0.001$ ) (data not shown). When we  
17 conducted stratified analyses by the sick leave criteria, in the “with sick leave criteria” group,

1 the < 2 hours subgroup (ORs = 1.31–1.32; 95 % CIs: 1.24–1.41), and ≥ 2 hours subgroup  
2 (ORs = 1.60–1.74; 95 % CIs: 1.45–1.94) had significantly higher ORs of psychological  
3 distress compared with the almost no overtime subgroup in all models (Table 2). A similar  
4 tendency was observed in the without sick leave criteria group; however, the ORs were  
5 greater in the without sick leave criteria group than the with sick leave criteria group.

6

7

---Insert Table 2 here---

8

9

## 10 **Discussion**

11 This study demonstrated a significant interaction effect of overtime work hours and sick leave  
12 criteria on psychological distress. Workers without sick leave criteria had a significantly  
13 higher risk of psychological distress due to long working hours than those who worked with  
14 the criteria. As mentioned earlier, previous studies reported that long working hours resulted  
15 in deteriorating mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic<sup>6, 7</sup>, and the setting of sick leave  
16 criteria would be particularly important for mental health among other COVID-19 infection  
17 control measures<sup>2, 3</sup>. Our study extends prior findings by revealing that the absence of sick



1 leave criteria in the workplace could result in a stronger association between long working  
2 hours and psychological distress. Workers working long hours experience poor quality and  
3 quantity of sleep, fatigue, and disruption of family and social activities, which results in poor  
4 mental health<sup>16</sup>. Long working hours, during a pandemic, may have an additional impact on  
5 poor mental health due to the increasing possibility of infection because of the prolonged time  
6 spent in the workplace. During the influenza A (H1N1) pdm09 outbreak in 2009, a workplace  
7 policy to allow sick employees to take leave was associated with a lower likelihood of overall  
8 workplace infections<sup>17, 18</sup>. Thus, working with sick leave criteria during a pandemic may have  
9 had a better impact on mental health by decreasing the anxiety of contracting an infection at  
10 work. Therefore, in the absence of sick leave criteria, the association between long working  
11 hours and mental health may become stronger during the COVID-19 pandemic.

12 However, it should be noted that setting sick leave criteria does not completely buffer  
13 psychological distress owing to long working hours. The overtime group (i.e., < 2 hours and  
14  $\geq 2$  hours subgroups) had higher ORs, compared with the group with no overtime, even  
15 among workers with the criteria. Thus, workplaces should not only set criteria but also  
16 promote the reduction of long working hours during the COVID-19 pandemic.

17 Some limitations of this study should be considered. First, this was a cross-sectional

1 study; therefore, causality is unclear. Moreover, those with mental health issues may work  
2 longer hours because of reduced work efficiency. However, in general, in companies in Japan,  
3 occupational health staff is assigned to workplaces to ensure that those with mental health  
4 issues usually do not work long hours. Therefore, while it is unlikely that causality will be  
5 reversed, future longitudinal studies are needed. Second, since we assessed overtime work  
6 hours using self-administered questionnaires, which may have resulted in a bias. However, a  
7 previous study revealed that the correlation between self-reported and company records of  
8 working hours was quite high<sup>19</sup>; thus, the effect may not be large. Third, to assess overtime  
9 work hours, we examined overtime work hours per day; however, previous studies used the  
10 average overtime work hours per month or per week<sup>20, 21</sup>. Thus, the workload evaluation may  
11 not be accurate in the case of occupations with a greater change in daily working hours.  
12 Moreover, we adjusted for the job type, but we did not survey the details of the occupation.  
13 Fourth, we did not survey “whether the workplace compensates sick pay during absence.”  
14 Since sick pay allows employees to take time off from work without worry, a lack of sick pay  
15 could worsen mental health<sup>8</sup>. As the sick leave criteria measured in this study may include  
16 those without sick pay during absence, the amplifying effect of an absence of sick leave  
17 criteria found in this study may be underestimated. Thus, future studies should not only

1 investigate the criteria of sick leave from work but also sick pay for absence from work. Fifth,  
2 there was a lack of information regarding the possible confounding factors affecting mental  
3 health. Workplaces with sick leave criteria are likely to have better workplace factors (e.g.,  
4 good benefits and good job descriptions). Although we adjusted for job type, frequency of  
5 remote working, and psychosocial work characteristics, the effects may not be fully  
6 eliminated. Future research should also investigate additional workplace factors to eliminate  
7 their influence.

8 In conclusion, this study revealed an amplifying effect of without sick leave criteria on  
9 the association between long working hours and psychological distress. We revealed that  
10 working without sick leave criteria could strengthen the association between long working  
11 hours and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. The ILO guideline<sup>8</sup> and guidelines  
12 based on the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Total Worker  
13 Health (TWH) program<sup>22</sup> highlight the importance of informing workers about sick leave  
14 policies, in addition to preventing long working hours. Our findings support the ILO and  
15 NIOSH TWH guidelines and are useful for preventing the deterioration of mental health  
16 during a pandemic.

17

## References

- 1) Sasaki N, Kuroda R, Tsuno K, Kawakami N. Workplace responses to COVID-19 and their association with company size and industry in an early stage of the epidemic in Japan. *Environ Occup Health Practice*. 2020;2.
- 2) Sasaki N, Kuroda R, Tsuno K, Kawakami N. Workplace responses to COVID-19 associated with mental health and work performance of employees in Japan. *J Occup Health*. 2020;62:e12134.
- 3) Yasuda Y, Ishimaru T, Nagata M, et al. A cross-sectional study of infection control measures against COVID-19 and psychological distress among Japanese workers. *J Occup Health*. 2021;63(1):e12259.
- 4) Bannai A, Tamakoshi A. The association between long working hours and health: a systematic review of epidemiological evidence. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2014;40:5–18.
- 5) Virtanen M, Jokela M, Madsen IE, et al. Long working hours and depressive symptoms: systematic review and meta-analysis of published studies and unpublished individual participant data. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2018;44:239–250.
- 6) Inoue Y, Yamamoto S, Fukunaga A, et al. Association between engagement in

- COVID-19-related work and depressive symptoms among hospital workers in a designated COVID-19 hospital in Japan: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11:e049996.
- 7) Zerbini G, Ebigbo A, Reicherts P, Kunz M, Messman H. Psychosocial burden of healthcare professionals in times of COVID-19 - a survey conducted at the University Hospital Augsburg. *Ger Med Sci*. 2020;18:Doc05.
- 8) International Labour Organization. Managing work-related psychosocial risks during the COVID-19 pandemic. June 22, 2020, Available at: [https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/safety-and-health-at-work/resources-library/publications/WCMS\\_748638/lang--en/index.htm](https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/safety-and-health-at-work/resources-library/publications/WCMS_748638/lang--en/index.htm). Accessed November 8, 2021.
- 9) Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a Nationwide Internet-based Health Survey of Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic in 2020. *J UOEH*. 2021;43:217–225.
- 10) Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychol Med*. 2002;32:959–976.
- 11) Furukawa TA, Kawakami N, Saitoh M, et al. The performance of the Japanese version of

the K6 and K10 in the World Mental Health Survey Japan. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.*

2008;17:152–158.

12) Sakurai K, Nishi A, Kondo K, Yanagida K, Kawakami N. Screening performance of

K6/K10 and other screening instruments for mood and anxiety disorders in Japan.

*Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2011;65:434–441.

13) Cabinet Secretariat, Japan. New corona infectious disease emergency declaration. Tokyo,

Japan: Cabinet Secretariat, Japan. January 8, 2021, Available at:

[https://corona.go.jp/news/pdf/kinkyujitaisengen\\_houkoku\\_20210113.pdf](https://corona.go.jp/news/pdf/kinkyujitaisengen_houkoku_20210113.pdf). Accessed

November 8, 2021.

14) Karasek R. *Job Content Questionnaire and User's Guide*. Lowell (MA), University of

Massachusetts at Lowell; 1985.

15) Kawakami N, Kobayashi F, Araki S, Haratani T, Furui H. Assessment of job stress

dimensions based on the job demands- control model of employees of telecommunication

and electric power companies in Japan: reliability and validity of the Japanese version of

the Job Content Questionnaire. *Int J Behav Med.* 1995;2:358–75.

16) J Harrington. Health effects of shift work and extended hours of work. *Occup Environ*

*Med.* 2001;58:68–72.

- 17) Miyaki K, Sakurazawa H, Mikurube H, et al. An effective quarantine measure reduced the total incidence of influenza A H1N1 in the workplace: another way to control the H1N1 flu pandemic. *J Occup Health*. 2011;53:287–292.
- 18) Kumar S, Quinn SC, Kim KH, Daniel LH, Freimuth VS. The impact of workplace policies and other social factors on self-reported influenza-like illness incidence during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102:134–140.
- 19) Imai T, Kuwahara K, Miyamoto T, et al. Validity and reproducibility of self-reported working hours among Japanese male employees. *J Occup Health*. 2016;58:340–346.
- 20) Hino A, Inoue A, Mafune K, Hiro H. The effect of changes in overtime work hours on depressive symptoms among Japanese white-collar workers: A 2-year follow-up study. *J Occup Health*. 2019;61:320–327.
- 21) Virtanen M, Stansfeld SA, Fuhrer R, Ferrie JE, Kivimaki M. Overtime work as a predictor of major depressive episode: a 5-year follow-up of the Whitehall II study. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e30719.
- 22) Dennerlein JT, Burke L, Sabbath EL, et al. An Integrative Total Worker Health Framework for Keeping Workers Safe and Healthy During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Hum Factors*. 2020;62:689–696.

**Table 1** Participants' demographic and occupational characteristics, overtime work hours, and psychological distress by sick leave criteria (n = 27,036)

	With sick leave criteria (n = 20,230)		Without sick leave criteria (n = 6,806)		Cronbach's $\alpha$
	Mean (SD)	n (%)	Mean (SD)	n (%)	
Gender					
Men		10,025 (49.6)		3,789 (55.7)	
Women		10,205 (50.4)		3,017 (44.3)	
Age	46.7 (10.6)		47.9 (10.3)		
Marital status					
Married		11,625 (57.5)		3,406 (50.0)	
Divorce, widowed		2,035 (10.1)		808 (11.9)	
Unmarried		6,572 (32.5)		2,592 (38.1)	
Residence status					
Living with family		15,989 (79.0)		5,240 (77.0)	
Living alone		4,241 (21.0)		1,566 (23.0)	
Educational background					
Junior high school		214 (1.1)		154 (2.3)	
High school		4,805 (23.8)		2,148 (31.6)	
Vocational school		2,643 (13.1)		1,030 (15.1)	
Junior college, technical college		2,188 (10.8)		683 (10.0)	
University		9,187 (45.4)		2,534 (37.2)	
Graduate school		1,193 (5.9)		257 (3.8)	
Present illness					
None		13,057 (64.5)		4,469 (65.7)	
Any		7,173 (35.5)		2,337 (34.3)	
Residence area					
High infection rate areas		8,375 (41.4)		2,827 (41.5)	
Low infection rate areas		11,855 (58.6)		3,979 (58.5)	
Job type					
Mainly desk work		10,303 (50.9)		3,165 (46.5)	
Jobs mainly involving interpersonal communication		5,267 (26.0)		1,660 (24.4)	
Mainly labor		4,660 (23.0)		1,981 (29.1)	
Frequency of remote working					
$\geq 4$ times/week		1,702 (8.4)		1,088 (16.0)	
$\geq 2$ times/week		1,236 (6.1)		241 (3.5)	
$\geq 1$ time/week		745 (3.7)		133 (2.0)	
$\geq 1$ time/month		530 (2.6)		85 (1.2)	
Almost none		16,017 (79.2)		5,259 (77.3)	
Psychosocial work characteristics (JCQ) <sup>†</sup>					
Job Control	63.5 (11.3)		63.0 (10.3)		0.74
Supervisor support	10.4 (2.8)		9.0 (3.3)		0.94
Coworker support	10.8 (2.4)		9.7 (3.0)		0.90
Overtime work hours (daily average)					
Almost none		8,945 (44.2)		3,898 (57.3)	
$< 2$ hours		9,417 (46.5)		2,323 (34.1)	
$\geq 2$ hours		1,868 (9.2)		585 (8.6)	
Psychological distress (K6)	4.4 (5.2)		5.5 (6.0)		0.93
Number of cases <sup>‡</sup>		7,678 (38.0)		3,139 (46.1)	

<sup>†</sup> JCQ, Job Content Questionnaire.

<sup>‡</sup> Psychological distress was defined as having a score of five or more on the K6 scale.



**Table 2** Association between overtime work hours and psychological distress by sick leave criteria: multiple logistic regression analysis

Overtime work hours	<i>n</i>	No. of cases (%)	Odds ratio (95 % confidence interval)		
			Model 1 †	Model 2 ‡	Model 3 §
With sick leave criteria					
Almost none	8,945	3,038 (34.0)	1.00	1.00	1.00
< 2 hours	9,417	3,796 (40.3)	1.31 (1.24–1.39)	1.32 (1.24–1.40)	1.32 (1.24–1.41)
≥ 2hours	1,868	844 (45.2)	1.60 (1.45–1.77)	1.74 (1.56–1.94)	1.73 (1.55–1.93)
			<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001
Without sick leave criteria					
Almost none	3,898	1,547 (39.7)	1.00	1.00	1.00
< 2 hours	2,323	1,266 (54.5)	1.82 (1.64–2.02)	1.75 (1.57–1.95)	1.77 (1.58–1.98)
≥ 2hours	585	326 (55.7)	1.91 (1.61–2.28)	1.95 (1.63–2.35)	1.95 (1.62–2.36)
			<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001

† Crude (i.e., without any adjustment).

‡ Adjusted for demographic characteristics (i.e., gender, age, marital status, residence status, educational background, present illness, and residence area).

§ Additionally adjusted for occupational characteristics (i.e., job type, frequency of remote working, and psychosocial work characteristics).

Psychological distress was defined as having a score of five or more on the K6 scale.

*p* for interaction (overtime work hours \* sick leave criteria) < 0.001 in any models.