1

- 1 **Title:** Hospital saturation and risk of death without receiving mechanical ventilation in
- 2 hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a city-wide analysis
- 3
- 4 Authors:
- 5 Isaac Núñez MD<sup>1</sup>
- 6 Adrián Soto-Mota MD,  $PhD^2$
- 7

### 8 Affiliations:

- 9 1. Department of Medical Education, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y
- 10 Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico.
- 11 2. Metabolic Diseases Research Unit, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y
- 12 Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico.
- 13

14

#### 15 **Corresponding author:**

- 16 Isaac Núñez MD, Department of Medical Education, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias
- 17 Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico. Vasco de Quiroga # 15,
- 18 Belisario Domínguez Sección XVI, Tlalpan, Mexico City, 14080, Mexico. Email:
- 19 isaac.nunezs@incmnsz.mx; Phone: 55 5487 0900

- 21 Word count: 2721
- 22 **Tables: 2**
- 23 **Figures: 3**
- 24

3

## 26 Abstract (249 words, max 250)

27	Background: Pneumonia is the hallmark of severe COVID-19, with supplemental oxygen
28	requirement being the main indication for hospitalization. Refractory hypoxemia in these
29	patients requires invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) otherwise, death is imminent. In
30	places with a high disease burden, availability of critical care experts, beds, or resources is
31	challenged and many patients could die without receiving them.
32	Methods: We performed a retrospective cohort study using open databases from Mexico
33	City about suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients, health system saturation, and deaths
34	between May 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, and January 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2021. After building a directed acyclic graph, we
35	performed a binary logistic regression to identify the association between proposed causal
36	variables and dying without receiving IMV (the outcome).
37	Results: We included 33 805 hospitalized patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-
38	19, of which 19 820 (58.6%) did not require IMV and survived, 5416 (16.1%) required and
39	received IMV, and 8569 (25.3%) required IMV but died without receiving it. Saturation of
40	IMV-capable beds did not increase the odds of the outcome (odds ratio 1.07, 95%
41	confidence interval 0.94-1.22 of 90%vs50% occupancy), while general bed saturation (2,
42	1.86-2.14 of 90%vs50% occupancy) and IMV-capable to general bed ratio (1.64, 1.52-1.77
43	for a ratio of 2vs0.5) did. Private healthcare decreased the odds of the outcome (0.12, 0.08-
44	0.17) and dyspnea increased them (1.33, 1.19-1.9).
45	Conclusions: In Mexico City, increased general hospital bed saturation and IMV-capable
46	to general bed ratio were associated with a higher risk of dying without receiving IMV.
47	Private healthcare was the most protective factor.

48 Keywords: Hospital saturation, Mexico, COVID-19, health inequities, SARS-CoV-2

#### 4

### 49 Key messages:

50	•	Hospital saturation has been a central feature of public health messaging, but it is
51		not known how outcomes relate to hospital saturation or capacity.
52	•	In Mexico City, 90% of COVID-19 patients requiring mechanical ventilation died
53		but less than half received it.
54	•	Higher general bed saturation and an increased ratio of IMV-capable beds to general
55		beds increased the probability of dying without being intubated while receiving
56		private healthcare decreased this probability.
57	٠	Having available beds to intubate patients is possible thanks to the conversion of
58		general beds, however, still yields suboptimal critical care.
59		
60		

5

### 61 Introduction

62	Humanitarian crises compromise the availability of human and clinical resources. <sup>1,2</sup> During
63	the COVID-19 pandemic, the scarcity of hospital beds has been one of the most impactful
64	documented shortages. <sup>3,4</sup> Even in high-income countries, the high demand for
65	hospitalization beds overwhelmed healthcare systems and contributed to the observed
66	excess of deaths. <sup>5,6</sup>
67	
68	Since pneumonia is the hallmark of severe COVID-19 <sup>7</sup> , the main indication for
69	hospitalizing COVID-19 patients is the need for supplemental oxygen or invasive
70	mechanical ventilation (IMV) if they develop refractory hypoxemia. <sup>8,9</sup> Therefore, multiple
71	decisions must be made by health care providers when the demand surpasses the supply.
72	Who should be admitted to an already saturated hospital? Of those admitted, who should be
73	prioritized to receive mechanical ventilation? <sup>10</sup>
74	
75	There is no easy answer for these questions, and their answers should take into account the
76	current hospital's resources, the availability of other hospitals nearby, and of course, the
77	severity of the patient's disease. <sup>6,10,11</sup>
78	
79	What is more, these factors are highly dynamic and can drastically change within a few
80	hours. A patient could arrive at a hospital that had 10 available beds that morning but filled
81	them all by noon or could be clinically stable in the morning and quickly develop refractory
82	hypoxemia due to pulmonary embolism, which would require a critical care bed. <sup>7</sup>

84	Because hospital saturation was deemed inevitable in many regions <sup>3,12</sup> , most countries
85	have made efforts to increase their hospitalization capacity. <sup>13,14</sup> Mexico was not the
86	exception and the government incremented the number of IMV-capable beds from 1800 in
87	February 2020, to 6425 by April 2020. <sup>15,16</sup>
88	
89	However, getting more beds and mechanical ventilators is not enough to provide the
90	complex and specialized care required by critically ill patients. <sup>17,18</sup> Strikingly, there are
91	only ~1900 registered adult or pediatric critical care specialists in Mexico $^{19}$ and,
92	consequently, many patients were cared for by under-trained personnel.
93	
94	Hospital saturation is one of the variables that guide Mexico's "semáforo epidemiológico"
95	(epidemiological traffic light), a four-stage color-coded tool that dictates the intensity of
96	lockdown measures in a given region for the following two weeks. <sup>20</sup> Both general bed and
97	IMV-capable bed capacity are reported in daily national conferences and have never
98	reported a 100% occupancy. <sup>21</sup> It is worth highlighting that reports that aggregate data from
99	large regions in a country make it nearly impossible to reach 100% occupancy, even if most
100	hospitals are completely saturated. Moreover, these regionally aggregated reports
101	misleadingly downplay the contribution of hospital saturation to excess deaths.
102	
103	Accordingly, a recent single-center study documented that of all patients admitted to a
104	COVID-19 hospital in Mexico City that died, 45.6 % did not receive mechanical ventilation
105	for lack of availability and 10.7% for "do not intubate/do not resuscitate" orders, suggesting
106	that the main driver for dying without receiving IMV was indeed, hospital saturation. $^4$
107	

7

108	Estimating the contribution of hospital saturation to mortality and its related factors is
109	essential to guide future efforts for improving the resilience of healthcare systems.
110	However, data from isolated hospitals or brief periods during the pandemic are suboptimal
111	for this purpose.
112	
113	In this study, we aimed to identify the factors associated with dying of COVID-19 in
114	patients who did not receive mechanical ventilation and to estimate the net contribution of
115	hospital saturation at a city-wide level using open data from Mexico City, which has almost
116	10 million habitants and has been one of the most heavily affected areas of the world during
117	the COVID-19 pandemic. <sup>22</sup>
118	

#### 8

### 120 Methods

#### 121 Data sources

122 We used three open data sources: the SINAVE Mexico City COVID-19 patient database

123 (MxCov), the death registry of Mexico City (MxDeath), and the daily reports of health

124 system saturation (MxHcare). <sup>23–25</sup>

125

126 MxCov includes anonymized clinical and socio-demographical information on all 127 suspected COVID-19 cases that came into contact with the healthcare system (it is worth mentioning that Mexico has a complex, multi-institution healthcare system and a very large 128 129 group of different private healthcare institutions). Individual identifiers, intubation status, comorbidities, site of residence, symptoms, and the healthcare system institution in which 130 131 the patient was admitted were obtained from this database. MxDeath includes age, sex, cause of death as disclosed in the death certificate, a binary variable that describes if 132 COVID-19 is mentioned as a contributing cause of death, state of residence, and the 133 134 municipality of residence. Finally, MxHcare discloses information on the number and 135 percentage of available general or IMV-capable hospital beds by healthcare system institutions. 136

137

Few dates had no daily report or had a repeated one, in these cases we used the mean of hospital saturation reported between the last and next available reports. Seven public healthcare systems (of which six are also included in MxCov) and private healthcare as a general category are included in MxHcare.

#### 9

### 143 **Timeframe and patient selection**

144	Since May 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, Mexico City's government has released daily reports about hospital
145	availability, divided by type of bed (general and with IMV capability) and health system.
146	The number of beds has been reported since May 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, and private healthcare
147	occupation was reported until January 5th, 2021. Thus, we included patients that were
148	admitted into healthcare between May 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2020, and January 5 <sup>th,</sup> 2021. The most recent
149	update of the MxCov database at the time of this analysis was on April 16th, 2021.
150	Patients were excluded if they were hospitalized outside the study period, received
151	ambulatory treatment, or were cared for in a healthcare system with no information about
152	occupancy. Among 55 896 suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients included in MxCov
153	33 805 were finally included in the analysis (Figure 1).
154	

### 155 Variable definitions

COVID-19 was considered to be the cause of death in all patients of the MxCov database in 156 157 whom a positive RT-PCR or antigen test was reported (confirmed COVID-19 patients). In 158 patients who died without COVID-19 confirmation, we matched COVID-19 cases from MxCov with cases from MxDeath using: date of death, age, sex, state of residence, 159 municipality of residence, and hospital as the place of death. Since MxDeath has individual 160 identifiers for each registered death, it is possible to identify those patients who had more 161 162 than one matching factor without having other patients with the same characteristics. If a death certificate reported confirmed or suspected COVID-19 as one of the causes of death, 163 we considered it to be the cause of death. 164

10

Finally, three kinds of patients were identified: those who were hospitalized did not require
IMV (and survived), those who required and received IMV, and those who died without
receiving IMV.

168

#### 169 Statistical analysis

170 The MxCov database includes patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 and, during

the first stage, we performed the analysis using all hospitalized patients. We built a directed

acyclic graph (DAG) using the 'DAGitty' online software version 3.0 to identify which

173 variables could be related to patients dying because of not being intubated even though they

174 needed it (the outcome). <sup>26,27</sup> Before building the DAG, we hypothesized that three

variables were the main direct causes of this outcome: lack of bed availability, patient's

desire not to be intubated, and medical futility because of disease severity (**Figure 2**). None

177 of these variables were directly observed.

178

179 Based on our DAG, we can estimate; the effect of the moment of the pandemic (represented 180 by epidemiological week) on the outcome, the effect of hospital saturation (considering 181 IMV-capable beds and general beds saturation in separate models) by controlling for the 182 epidemiological week, the effect age by controlling for patient comorbidities, the effect of patient comorbidities by controlling for age and partially for socioeconomic status using 183 private healthcare as a surrogate variable, the effect of private healthcare (as a surrogate for 184 185 socioeconomic status) by controlling for patient comorbidities, and the effect of dyspnea (as a surrogate for disease severity) by controlling for patient age and partially for 186 187 socioeconomic status using private healthcare as a surrogate variable.

11

188	We calculated the ratio of available IMV-capable beds and general hospital beds as an
189	indicator of increased hospital-bed conversion and placed it in place of hospital saturation
190	in an adjusted model (Figure 2).
191	
192	We used descriptive statistics for analyzing the clinical and socio-demographical variables
193	of patients that were hospitalized and did not require IMV, those that were hospitalized and
194	received IMV, and those that were hospitalized and died without receiving IMV. We
195	assumed those patients that received IMV and those that died without receiving it both
196	required IMV.
197	
198	We performed binary logistic regression models to obtain odds ratio and corresponding
199	95% confidence intervals to evaluate the association of epidemiological week, age,
200	presence of diabetes, obesity, hypertension, hospital saturation, epidemiological week (a
201	surrogate for the moment of the pandemic), and private healthcare (a surrogate for
202	socioeconomic status) with dying of COVID-19 without being intubated against being
203	intubated. Age, hospital saturation, and IMV-general bed ratio were included in the models
204	as continuous variables using cubic splines with three nodes. <sup>28</sup>
205	
206	All analyses were conducted with R software version 4.0.0.

#### 12

#### 208 **Results**

#### 209 Clinical and socio-demographical characteristics

- 210 Patient distribution was as follows: 19 820 (58.6%) did not require IMV and survived, 5416
- 211 (16.1%) required and received IMV, and 8569 (25.3%) required IMV but died without
- receiving it. Of those who required and received IMV 4129 (76.2%) died, resulting in a
- 213 mortality of 90.7% (12 698 people out of the 13 985 patients that required mechanical
- ventilation). Clinical and socio-demographical characteristics are shown in **Table 1**.
- 215

#### 216 **Regression analysis**

Results of the logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 2. Results for continuous 217 218 variables and epidemiological week are also shown in **Figure 3**. Being admitted at a later 219 epidemiological week was associated with increased odds of death without IMV (OR 1.44; 220 95% CI 1.34-1.54 comparing week 54 with week 37) while an early admission conferred 221 decreased odds (0.68, 95% CI 0.64-0.73 comparing week 9 with week 37). A higher 222 percentage of IMV-capable bed occupation was not associated with increased odds of death 223 without IMV (OR 1.07; 95% CI 0.94-1.22 comparing 90% with 50% saturation). Lower saturation of IMV-capable beds was associated with increased odds of dying without IMV 224 225 (OR 1.31; 95% CI 1.23-1.4 comparing 30% with 50% saturation). Conversely, a higher 226 percentage of general bed occupation was associated with increased odds of death without 227 IMV (OR 2; 95% CI 1.86-2.14 comparing 90% with 50% saturation). An elevated ratio of 228 IMV-capable to general beds increased the odds of not receiving IMV (OR 1.64, 95% CI

- 1.52-1.77 comparing 2 with 0.5) but a decreased ratio dropped the odds (OR 0.47, 95% CI
- 230 0.42-0.52 comparing 0.1 with 0.5).

231

- Private healthcare substantially decreased the odds of the outcome (OR 0.12, 95% CI 0.08-
- 233 0.17), while having dyspnea increased the odds (OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.19-1.5). Among
- comorbidities, diabetes and hypertension were associated with higher odds (OR 1.18 and
- 1.09), and obesity with lower odds (OR 0.89).

14

## 237 Discussion

238	To our knowledge, this is the first study trying to estimate the attributable proportion of
239	deaths to hospital saturation in Mexico. Additionally, evaluated which factors are
240	associated with dying of COVID-19 without having received IMV.
241	
242	It is paramount to make a difference between patients who did not desire to receive IMV,
243	from those who did not receive it because their clinicians considered it futile, and, finally,
244	from those who wanted to receive it, were considered eligible by their healthcare providers
245	and had no access to it.
246	
247	A patient's desire to be or not intubated is a complex choice. Literature is scarce, but
248	available studies report that age and pre-existing diseases are associated with this. $^{29,30}$
249	Futility refers to a very low probability of survival and substantial morbidity, thus a lack of
250	benefit from invasive maneuvers. While this is extremely complex in itself, deciding who
251	gets a bed or a ventilator among people in whom critical care normally would not be
252	considered to be futile. <sup>10</sup> Normally, futility would be the thing that a medical team would
253	consider to determine if a patient benefits or not from critical care. <sup>29</sup>
254	
255	Interestingly, a recent survey among physicians put good prognosis, lower age, and having
256	caregiving responsibilities among the main criteria to account for when choosing who gets
257	the last ICU bed. <sup>31</sup> When proposed with several methods to choose who gets the last bed,
258	most chose to use a pre-established set of rules by the health department or chose to defer

259 the decision to the senior physician.  $^{31}$ 

15

260	Medical futility is extremely complex to decide and can only be accounted for by having a
261	direct conversation with the medical team that treated a given patient. Variables that could
262	be related to this are acute disease severity, pre-existing comorbidities, age, and short
263	expected survival with any therapeutic maneuver. We used dyspnea, for example, as a
264	surrogate for disease severity. The increased odds of outcome seen in these patients could
265	be due to increased disease severity (futility) but this is only a possible symptom of severe
266	disease and some patients present with "happy hypoxemia", in which low oxygenation is
267	asymptomatic. <sup>32</sup>
268	
269	To explain the counterintuitive lower odds we observed of dying without being intubated
270	precisely when IMV-capable beds were most saturated, it is worth highlighting that a
271	higher IMV-capable-beds to general-beds-ratio suggest that more general beds were
272	"converted" into IMV-capable beds to deal with the high demand of IMV. Therefore, the
273	number of patients receiving IMV increased, and consequently, the proportion of patients
274	who died without it declined. In summary, the higher demand for IMV saturated the general
275	bed's pool and turned IMV-bed saturation into an inaccurate pandemic severity indicator.
276	
277	This is further supported by the extremely high mortality of 90% among patients that
278	required intubation, as improvised and suboptimal care became more likely because the
279	number of healthcare providers with critical care training remained constant. For context, a
280	recent international study in African high care or intensive care units reported a "high
281	mortality" of 48.9%. <sup>33</sup>

283	The lower odds of dying without receiving mechanical ventilation we observed in those
284	cared for in private healthcare institutions is consistent with the worse general outcomes
285	seen in people with lower socioeconomic status in Mexico and other Latin American
286	countries. <sup>34,35</sup> This underlines the need for increased support for those in a vulnerable
287	position.
288	
289	Furthermore, the outcomes difference between different epidemiological weeks is
290	consistent with a previous analysis in which the place of death (hospital or home) differed
291	according to the date of death, with domiciliary deaths increasing from 8% to 21% by the
292	end of 2020. <sup>36</sup>
293	
294	Strengths and limitations
295	Among the limitations of our study are the use of repurposed data and the lack of individual
296	center data. Even if we matched hospital saturation with their respective health system
297	affiliation, individual hospitals could have many different levels of occupation.
298	
299	We cannot estimate the direct effect of clinical futility and socioeconomic status, which are
300	important variables that could influence the decision of not offering or receiving IMV.
301	However, a previous study conducted in Mexico City showed a low proportion of patients
302	voluntarily refusing IMV, and it is reasonable to expect this was the case in other hospitals.
303	<sup>4</sup> Additionally, the fact that the median age of those who died without IMV was low (66
304	years old) and that their comorbidities frequency and distribution was similar in the other
305	groups, suggests it is unlikely that clinical futility was a frequent reason for not offering
306	critical care or that a large proportion of patients voluntarily refused to receive IMV.

307	On the other hand.	our study a	also has several	strengths.	We used o	pen data	from a large
	,			0		1	0

- metropolitan area that is updated regularly. Additionally, drew a DAG before analyzing or
- 309 determining which were the most probable relationships between variables and what was
- reasonable to expect from our analysis. Finally, because of how our data was curated, we
- 311 could reasonably ascertain the cause of death in most cases.
- 312

313	Conclusion
314	Our study suggests that the higher demand for IMV forced the conversion of general
315	hospital beds and their saturation. The high mortality we found is consistent with this
316	because hospital beds can be quickly increased but specialized personnel takes time to train.
317	While we cannot ascertain the wishes of every patient, it is highly unlikely that most people
318	voluntarily refused to be intubated, our data suggest that hospital saturation was indeed, a
319	cause of death in these patients.
320	
321	Regional aggregates of hospital saturation are inaccurate indicators and should not be
322	emphasized while communicating the pandemic status to the population because they sub
323	estimate the high contribution of hospital saturation to mortality.
324	
325	Ethics approval
326	This study does not require ethics board approval because it used publicly available
327	anonymized data, with no interaction between the researchers and individuals.
328	
329	Funding
330	None.
331	
332	Data availability
333	All utilized data is freely available in respective government webpages and all used code
334	will be openly available with the final version of the article.
335	
336	

# 337 **Conflict of interests**

- 338 The authors declare they do not have conflicts of interest.
- 339

## 340 Acknowledgments

- 341 We would like to thank medical residents, nursery personnel, and other healthcare workers.
- 342 Your work has been invaluable. Also, we thank scientists around the world who have
- 343 devoted themselves to continue working and supporting science communication.

### 345 **References**

1. The Lancet. Humanitarian crises in a global pandemic. Lancet. 2020;396(10249):447. 346 347 2. McCabe R, Kont MD, Schmit N, et al. Modelling intensive care unit capacity under different epidemiological scenarios of the COVID-19 pandemic in three Western 348 European countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2021;dyab034. 349 350 3. Panamerican Health Organization. PAHO calls for boosting hospital capacity to cope 351 with COVID-19 surge. 2020 [cited 25 may 2021]. Available at: https://www.paho.org/en/news/9-12-2020-paho-calls-boosting-hospital-capacity-cope-352 covid-19-surge 353 4. Olivas-Martínez A, Cárdenas-Fragoso JL, Jiménez JV, et al. In-hospital mortality from 354 355 severe COVID-19 in a tertiary care center in Mexico City; causes of death, risk factors and the impact of hospital saturation. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(2):e0245772. 356 357 5. Islam N, Shkolnikov VM, Acosta RJ, et al. Excess deaths associated with covid-19 358 pandemic in 2020: age and sex disaggregated time series analysis in 29 high income countries. BMJ. 2021;n1137. 359 6. Asch DA, Sheils NE, Islam MN, et al. Variation in US Hospital Mortality Rates for 360 Patients Admitted With COVID-19 During the First 6 Months of the Pandemic. JAMA 361 362 Intern Med. 2021;181(4):471. 363 7. Berlin DA, Gulick RM, Martinez FJ. Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 364 2020;383(25):2451-60. 365 8. Gandhi RT, Lynch JB, del Rio C. Mild or Moderate Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 366 2020;383(18):1757-66. 9. Miklowski M, Jansen B, Auron M, Whinney C. The hospitalized patient with COVID-367 368 19 on the medical ward: Cleveland Clinic approach to management. Cleve Clin J Med. 369 2020;ccjom;ccjm.87a.ccc064v1. 370 10. Truog RD, Mitchell C, Daley GQ. The Toughest Triage — Allocating Ventilators in a 371 Pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(21):1973-5. 372 11. Ranney ML, Griffeth V, Jha AK. Critical Supply Shortages — The Need for Ventilators and Personal Protective Equipment during the Covid-19 Pandemic. N Engl 373 J Med. 2020;382(18):e41. 374 375 12. Cavallo JJ, Donoho DA, Forman HP. Hospital Capacity and Operations in the 376 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic—Planning for the Nth Patient. JAMA Health Forum. 2020;1(3):e200345. 377 378 13. McCabe R, Schmit N, Christen P, et al. Adapting hospital capacity to meet changing 379 demands during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Med. 2020;18(1):329.

380 381 382	14.	Lefrant J-Y, Fischer M-O, Potier H, et al. A national healthcare response to intensive care bed requirements during the COVID-19 outbreak in France. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med. 2020;39(6):709-15.
383 384 385	15.	Government of Mexico. March 18th conference. [Internet]. Information about coronavirus, Government of Mexico. 2020 [cited 25 may 2021]. Available at: https://coronavirus.gob.mx/2020/03/18/conferencia-18-de-marzo/
386 387 388 389 390	16.	Antonio Baranda, Claudia Guerrero. Tiene México 6,425 camas para Covid-19. [Internet]. REFORMAR. 2020 [cited 25 may 2021]. Available at: https://www.reforma.com/aplicacioneslibre/preacceso/articulo/default.aspx?rval=1& urlredirect=https://www.reforma.com/tiene-mexico-6-425-camas-para-covid- 19/ar1912899?referer=7d616165662f3a3a6262623b7b706d7a663b767a783b786d3a
391 392	17.	Taylor L. Covid-19: How denialism led Mexico's disastrous pandemic control effort. BMJ. 2020;m4952.
393 394 395	18.	Ñamendys-Silva SA. Case fatality ratio of COVID-19 patients requiring invasive mechanical ventilation in Mexico: an analysis of nationwide data. Crit Care. 2021;25(1):68.
396 397 398	19.	Heinze-Martin G, Olmedo-Canchola VH, Bazán-Miranda G, Bernard-Fuentes NA, Guízar-Sánchez DP. Los médicos especialistas en México. Gac México. 4 de mayo de 2018;154(3):555.
399 400 401	20.	Cortés-Alcalá R. Lineamiento para la estimación de riesgos del semáforo por regiones COVID-19. [Internet]. Government of Mexico; 2020 [cited 25 may 2021]. Available at: https://coronavirus.gob.mx/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/SemaforoCOVID_Metodo.pdf
402 403 404 405	21.	Secretaría de Salud, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Datos Estatales del Sistema de Información de la Red IRAG. [Internet]. Sistema de Información de la Red IRAG. 2021 [cited 25 may 2021]. Available at: https://www.gits.igg.unam.mx/red-irag- dashboard/reviewHome
406 407 408	22.	Palacio Mejía LS, Wheatley Fernández JL, Ordoñez Hernández I, et al. Estimación del exceso de mortalidad por todas las causas durante la pandemia del Covid-19 en México. Salud Pública México. 2021;63(2, Mar-Abr):211-24.
409 410 411	23.	Gobierno de la Ciudad de México. COVID-19 Sistema Nacional de Vigilancia Epidemiológica (SINAVE) Ciudad de México [Internet]. 2021 [cited 28 april 2021]. Available at: https://datos.cdmx.gob.mx/dataset/base-covid-sinave
412 413 414 415	24.	Gobierno de la Ciudad de México. Actas de defunción del registro civil de la Ciudad de México. [Internet]. 2021. Available at: https://datos.cdmx.gob.mx/dataset/actas-de-defuncion-en-el-registro-civil-de-la-ciudad-de-mexico/resource/d683ec6e-171a-4825-a523-2cdbf30f9894

416 417 418 419	25.	Gobierno de la Ciudad de México. Reporte diario sobre COVID-19 en la Ciudad de México. [Internet]. 2020. Available at: https://covid19.cdmx.gob.mx/comunicacion/tipo/Reporte%20diario%20sobre%20COV ID-19
420 421	26.	Hernán M, Robins J. Causal Inference: What If. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2020.
422 423	27.	Textor J, Hardt J, Knüppel S. DAGitty: A Graphical Tool for Analyzing Causal Diagrams. Epidemiology. 2011;22(5):745.
424 425 426	28.	Shepherd BE, Rebeiro PF. Assessing and Interpreting the Association Between Continuous Covariates and Outcomes in Observational Studies of HIV Using Splines. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;74(3):4.
427 428	29.	Wilkinson DJ, Savulescu J. Knowing when to stop: futility in the ICU. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2011;24(2):160-5.
429 430 431	30.	van der Veer T, van der Sar-van der Brugge S, Paats MS, et al. Do-not-intubate status and COVID-19 mortality in patients admitted to Dutch non-ICU wards. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2021; 12:1-3.
432 433 434	31.	Street AE, Street DJ, Flynn GM. Who Gets the Last Bed? A Discrete-Choice Experiment Examining General Population Preferences for Intensive Care Bed Prioritization in a Pandemic. Med Decis Making. 2021;41(4):408-18.
435 436	32.	Dhont S, Derom E, Van Braeckel E, Depuydt P, Lambrecht BN. The pathophysiology of 'happy' hypoxemia in COVID-19. Respir Res. 2020;21(1):198.
437 438 439 440	33.	Biccard BM, Gopalan PD, Miller M, et al. Patient care and clinical outcomes for patients with COVID-19 infection admitted to African high-care or intensive care units (ACCCOS): a multicentre, prospective, observational cohort study. The Lancet. 2021;397(10288):1885-94.
441 442	34.	Najera H, Ortega-Avila AG. Health and Institutional Risk Factors of COVID-19 Mortality in Mexico, 2020. Am J Prev Med. 2021;60(4):471-7.
443 444 445	35.	Mena GE, Martinez PP, Mahmud AS, Marquet PA, Buckee CO, Santillana M. Socioeconomic status determines COVID-19 incidence and related mortality in Santiago, Chile. Science. 2021;eabg5298.
446 447 448 449	36.	Sarahí Aguilar, Arizpe M. Las causas y los lugares de muerte durante la pandemia en la CDMX. [Internet]. Taller de datos. 2021 [cited 27 may 2021]. Available at: https://datos.nexos.com.mx/las-causas-y-los-lugares-de-muerte-durante-la-pandemia-en-la-cdmx/
450		

# 451 TABLE 1. Clinical and sociodemographic characteristics of hospitalized COVID-19

# 452 **patients according to the outcome.**

Variable	Did not require	Received IMV	Died without
	IMV (survived)		receiving IMV
Number of patients	19 820 (58.6)	5416 (16.1)	8569 (25.3)
(n= 33 805)			
Age (median, IQR)	54 (40-65)	61 (51-70)	66 (56-75)
Sex	-	-	-
-Female	8805 (44.4)	1893 (35)	3105 (36.2)
-Male	11 015 (55.6)	3523 (65)	5464 (63.8)
Positive antigen or	9380 (47.3)	3325 (61.4)	5317 (62)
RT-PCR test			
Deaths	0 (0)	4129 (76.2)	8569 (100)
Comorbidities	-	-	-
-Obesity	3632 (18.3)	1239 (22.9)	1491 (17.4)
-Hypertension	5458 (27.5)	2010 (37.1)	3487 (40.7)
-Diabetes	4681 (23.6)	1764 (32.6)	2959 (34.5)
-Heart disease	960 (4.8)	273 (5)	473 (5.5)
Presence of	12 893 (65.1)	4425 (81.7)	6895 (80.5)
dyspnea			
Private healthcare	1712 (8.6)	325 (6)	105 (1.2)

- 453 Continuous variables are expressed as the median and interquartile range (IQR). Counts are
- 454 expressed as the total and percentage. IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation, RT-PCR: real-
- 455 time-polymerase chain reaction.

# 457 TABLE 2. Logistic regression analysis of possible causal variables and the outcome of

Variable	OR (95% CI)
Epidemiological week	-
19	0.68 (0.64-0.73)
27	0.81 (0.78-0.84)
37	Reference
47	1.24 (1.19-1.29)
54	1.44 (1.34-1.54)
Percentage occupation	-
of IMV-capable beds	
30%	1.31 (1.23-1.4)
50%	Reference
70%	0.97 (0.94-1.01)
90%	1.07 (0.94-1.22)
Percentage occupation	-
of general beds	
30%	1.05 (0.89-1.22)
50%	Reference
70%	1.2 (1.13-1.27)
90%	2 (1.86-2.14)
IMV-capable to	-
general bed ratio	

### 458 **death without receiving invasive mechanical ventilation.**

0.1	0.47 (0.42-0.52)
0.3	0.69 (0.65-0.73)
0.5	Reference
0.8	1.4 (1.33-1.48)
1	1.56 (1.45-1.67)
2	1.64 (1.52-1.77)
Private healthcare	0.12 (0.08-0.17)
Age	-
20	0.18 (0.15-0.23)
30	0.33 (0.28-0.37)
50	Reference
70	2.28 (2.15-2.42)
80	3.13 (2.88-3.4)
Diabetes	1.18 (1.06-1.3)
Hypertension	1.09 (0.98-1.2)
Obesity	0.89 (0.79-1)
Dyspnea	1.33 (1.19-1.5)

459 Details of covariate adjustment for individual models are provided in the methods section.

460 OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; IMV: Invasive mechanical ventilation

461



464

465 FIGURE 1. Patient selection flowchart.



466

FIGURE 2. Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) of hypothesized causal variables on death
without receiving invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV). The blue node is the outcome,
green nodes are observed exposures, and gray nodes are unobserved exposures. Hospital
saturation was replaced by IMV-capable to general bed ratio in an additional adjusted
logistic regression model.



### 474 FIGURE 3. Association between proposed causal variables and dying without

- 475 receiving invasive mechanical ventilation. Variables included are A) epidemiologic
- 476 week, B) the percentage of general bed occupation, C) percentage of invasive mechanical
- 477 ventilation-capable bed occupation, D) invasive mechanical ventilation-capable to general
- 478 bed ratio, and E) age. OR: Odds ratio; IMV: invasive mechanical ventilation. Shown are
- 479 adjusted OR (except epidemiological week, which was not adjusted, details on adjustments
- 480 are disclosed in the methods section) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals.