- 1 Durability of three types of dual active ingredient long-lasting insecticidal net compared
- 2 to a pyrethroid-only LLIN in Tanzania: protocol for a prospective cohort study nested
- 3 in a cluster randomized controlled trial.
- 4
- 5 Jackline L Martin<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, Louisa A. Messenger<sup>3</sup>, Franklin W Mosha<sup>1</sup>, Eliud Lukole<sup>2</sup>, Jacklin F
- 6 Mosha<sup>2</sup>, Manisha Kulkarni<sup>4</sup>, Thomas S Churcher<sup>5</sup>, Ellie Sherrard-Smith<sup>5</sup>, Alphaxard
- 7 Manjurano<sup>2</sup>, Natacha Protopopoff <sup>3‡</sup>, Mark Rowland<sup>3‡</sup>
- 8 <sup>1</sup>Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College, Moshi, United Republic of Tanzania
- 9 <sup>2</sup>National Institute for Medical Research- Mwanza Center, United Republic of Tanzania
- <sup>3</sup>London School of Hygiene and Tropical, London, United Kingdom
- <sup>4</sup> University of Ottawa, Canada
- <sup>5</sup> Imperial College, London
- 13 \*Corresponding author
- 14 *‡* These authors contributed equally.
- 15 Email: \*JLM lyimojaqueen@gmail.com, LAM- louisa.messenger@lshtm.ac.uk, FWM -
- 16 fwmosha@gmail.com, EL- Eliud.Lukole@lshtm.ac.uk, JFM jfmosha@yahoo.com, TSC-
- 17 thomas.churcher@imperial.ac.uk, ESS e.sherrard-smith@imperial.ac.uk, MK-
- 18 <u>Manisha.Kulkarni@uottawa.ca, AM amanjurano@yahoo.com, NP -</u>
- 19 <u>natacha.protopopoff@lshtm.ac.uk</u> and MR mark.rowland@lshtm.ac.uk

- 21
- 22 Funding.
- 23 This project is funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation through the Innovative
- 24 Vector Control Consortium and under the global health trial, a jointly funded initiative from
- 25 The Department of Health and Social Care, the Department for International Development,
- the Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant Ref: MR/R006040/1).
- 27

# 28 ABSTRACT

Introduction: Progress achieved by long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) against malaria is threatened by the widespread selection of pyrethroid resistance among vector populations. LLINs with non-pyrethroid insecticides are urgently needed. This study aims to assess the durability of three novel dual active ingredient LLINs and to parameterise a mathematical model to predict epidemiological outcomes of these products for malaria vector control.

34 **Methods:** A WHO Phase 3 active ingredients and textile durability study will be carried out 35 within a cluster randomised controlled trial in Misungwi district in 40 clusters. The following 36 treatments will be evaluated; 1/ Interceptor G2® combining chlorfenapyr and a pyrethroid 37 alpha-cypermethrin, 2/ Royal Guard® treated with pyriproxyfen and alpha-cypermethrin and 3/ Olvset<sup>TM</sup> Plus which incorporates a synergist piperonyl butoxide and the pyrethroid 38 39 permethrin, and 4/ a reference standard pyrethroid-only LLIN (Interceptor®). 750 nets will 40 be followed in 5 clusters per intervention arm at 6, 12, 24 and 36 months post distribution for survivorship and hole index assessment. A second cohort of 1950 nets per each type will be 41 identified in 10 clusters, of which 30 LLINs will be withdrawn for bio-efficacy and chemical 42 43 analysis every 6 months up to 36 months and another 30 collected for an experimental hut 44 trial study every year. Bio-efficacy will be assessed using cone bioassays and tunnel tests against susceptible and resistant laboratory strains of Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto. 45 46 Efficacy of field collected nets will be compared in six experimental huts. The main 47 outcomes will be Anopheles mortality up to 72 hours post exposure, blood feeding and egg 48 maturation using ovary dissection to assess impact on fecundity.

49 Ethics and dissemination: Ethical approval was received from the Tanzanian ethics review 50 committee as well as from each institution. Study findings will be disseminated via reports 51 and presentations to national and international stakeholders, conferences, and peer-reviewed 52 publications.

#### 53 Trial registration number: NCT03554616

54 **Total word counts 6160** (excluding abstract, tables, figures, acknowledgment and reference)

#### 56 Article summary

#### 57 Strengths of this study

- This is the first study assessing the durability of these novel dual-A.I. LLINs over three years alongside a cluster randomised controlled trial (cRCT).
- The study will support the development of bio-efficacy and physical durability criteria of
   performance for the partner A.I. in relation to the cRCT efficacy outcomes and refine
- 62 preferred product characteristics developed by the WHO.
- An experimental hut trial done in the vicinity of the cRCT, with similar vector population
   characteristics and using nets sampled from the main trial will allow us to understand the
   impact of field conditions, wear-and-tear and insecticidal deterioration on the efficacy of
- the dual-A.I. LLINs on entomological outcomes and relate these to the cRCT
- 67 epidemiological and entomological outcomes.
- All other products falling under these novel dual-A.I. LLINs classes will be assessed
   according to those criteria alongside non-inferiority studies in experimental huts and
   modelling of these data.

#### 71 Limitations of this study

Potential limitations include 1/ the net loss of follow up used to calculate sample size, which was taken from another study site and might differ in Misungwi, and 2/ the experimental hut site is situated in the northern section of the cRCT study area and may not represent vector species composition of the southern section of the cRCT.

76

#### 77 Keywords

Bio-efficacy, textile durability, malaria vectors, insecticide resistance, Royal Guard,
Interceptor G2, Olyset Plus, Interceptor, Tanzania, experimental hut trial, long-lasting
insecticidal net.

81

82

# 84 INTRODUCTION

85 Long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) are the primary method of malaria control in sub-86 Saharan Africa. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that over 50% of the population now sleeps under LLINs. This has helped to reduce malaria incidence by 42% and 87 mortality by 66% in Africa over the last 15 years.<sup>1</sup> Until recently, pyrethroids were the only 88 type of insecticide used routinely on LLINs. The rapid spread of pyrethroid resistance in 89 vector populations threatens to reverse the success achieved so far.<sup>2</sup> Several studies have 90 91 demonstrated that LLINs are becoming less effective at killing mosquitoes in areas of high 92 resistance compared to before.<sup>34</sup>

93 The first new type of LLIN developed to control resistant mosquitoes is a combination LLIN 94 containing permethrin and the synergist piperonyl butoxide (PBO), which inhibits 95 cytochrome P450 oxidases responsible for metabolic resistance. A community-based cluster randomized controlled trial (cRCT) conducted in Muleba demonstrated a reduction in the 96 prevalence of malaria by 44% in the pyrethroid-PBO LLIN arm (Olyset <sup>TM</sup> Plus) compared to 97 the standard pyrethroid LLIN after one year and by 33% after two years.<sup>5</sup> Based on this 98 study, WHO recognized the improved public health value of the pyrethroid-PBO LLIN in 99 100 areas of high resistance and provided it an interim recommendation as a new class of vector control product.<sup>6</sup> Since then, two other dual-active ingredient (dual-A.I.) LLINs (Royal 101 Guard® and Interceptor G2®) have been evaluated in WHO Phase I and II trials and have 102 103 shown promise compared to standard LLINs against pyrethroid resistant vectors. Each of 104 these putative first-in-class LLINs are required by WHO to undergo cRCTs versus standard LLINs to demonstrate, unequivocally, evidence of improved malaria control effect.<sup>7</sup> Two 105 such community cRCTs are currently underway in Misungwi, Tanzania<sup>8</sup> and in Cove, Benin.<sup>9</sup> 106

Assessment of the quality, efficacy and safety is also required for each vector control product
submitted to WHO. Whether the LLIN product is a novel first-in-class LLIN, or a generic

- 109 next-in-class LLIN, the LLIN should be evaluated in three different phases (Table 1).<sup>10</sup>
- 110
- 111
- 112
- 113
- 114
- 115

Phase	Type of study	Parameters measured
Ι	Laboratory	1. Regeneration of insecticidal activity
		2. Efficacy and wash-resistance
II	Small-scale field trial	1. Wash-resistance
		2. Efficacy as measured by vector mortality and blood-
		feeding inhibition
III	Large-scale field trial	1. Long-lasting insecticidal efficacy
		2. Rate of loss or attrition of nets
		3. Physical durability of netting material
		4. Community acceptance
		5. Safety

#### 116 Table 1: Main parameters assessed in Phase I, II and III studies of LLINs.

117

118 In large-scale field trials, physical durability is affected by net care and repair, frequency of

use, and maintenance practices, duration of transmission season, as well as textile physical

120 features such as fibre material, knitting or weaving pattern.<sup>11</sup> The WHO assumes a good

121 LLIN will demonstrate a physical life span of 3 years but this duration can vary between

122 product, endemic regions and condition of use.<sup>1213</sup> In the WHO guidelines, LLIN

survivorship and fabric integrity are monitored in the community at 6, 12, 24 and 36

124 months.<sup>10</sup> The pyrethroid component is expected to remain effective for 3 years,<sup>10</sup> while the

residual efficacy of other active ingredients are not yet known.

126

12 13

127 After a LLIN has been assessed for its durability (insecticidal and physical) in the field, the bio-efficacy of these nets can be estimated in experimental hut trials.<sup>14 15</sup> Experimental huts 128 129 are designed to mimic commonly used houses in the local area but modified to restrict the 130 escape of mosquitoes and exclude scavenging insects; live and dead mosquitoes can be collected in the morning to assess insecticide-induced exiting, mortality and blood feeding 131 inhibition. Currently, there are three designs of experimental hut recommended to evaluate 132 LLINs and Indoor Residual Spraving (IRS) formulations.<sup>10</sup> These are East African-style huts, 133 West African-style huts and Asian style huts. During standard, prospective WHO Phase II 134 135 evaluations of LLIN efficacy, each net is expected to retain insecticidal activity for at least 20 136 standardized washes with respect to vector knock-down, mortality and blood feeding 137 inhibition.

138 Although community cRCTs provide definitive evidence for the establishment of new 139 product classes of LLIN, questions remain whether cRCTs should be the sole mechanism to generate this evidence.<sup>16</sup> It has been proposed that entomological evidence generated by 140 experimental hut trials may be adequate to contribute to this judgement.<sup>2</sup> The cost of cRCTs 141 can be comparatively high, making returns on investment more challenging to achieve and 142 143 this may act to disincentivise investments in new active ingredients and the criteria set out by the WHO, requiring two community-based cRCTs in two locations,<sup>17</sup> may not be feasible for 144 every new LLIN intervention class in a timely manner.<sup>18</sup> Such factors may limit the number 145 of available, approved malaria vector control 2<sup>nd</sup> in class products for resistance management, 146 allowing transmission to continue at present in areas of insecticide resistance.<sup>18</sup> 147

Some malaria transmission models make use of LLIN Phase II experimental hut trial data to estimate the impact of these nets on malaria incidence/prevalence over time.<sup>2</sup> The WHO and advisory committees have ruled that the evidence base is presently insufficient to judge new classes of LLINs solely on the basis of entomological evidence.<sup>19</sup>

152 Malaria transmission dynamics mathematical models can be used to predict the public health impact of different vector control interventions.<sup>20</sup> These models simulate infection in 153 populations of humans and mosquitoes and could be used to extrapolate the results of cRCTs 154 to other sites with different epidemiology, entomology or mixtures of control interventions. It 155 156 is unclear whether models parameterised with local hut trial data capturing the behaviour of 157 the local mosquito vector would be more accurate at predicting local epidemiology than this 158 meta-analysis approach which uses data from disparate locations (with different mosquito 159 populations). These models have typically used data from LLINs that have been subjected to 160 standardised washing to simulate the aging process. As a result, their predicted ability may be 161 limited by the accuracy of standardised washing to reflect real life wear-and-tear and 162 insecticidal deterioration under field conditions.

163

The aims of this study are to assess the insecticidal and physical durability of new dual-A.I. LLINs, Interceptor G2®, Olyset<sup>TM</sup> Plus and Royal Guard® compared to a standard LLIN (Interceptor®) in the community in order to set durability criteria for these first in class dual-A.I. LLINs and to establish whether entomological outcomes generated during the WHO product evaluation process (adapted experimental hut trials and supporting bio-efficacy testing) can provide a proxy for epidemiological outcomes of cRCTs.

#### 170 STUDY SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

#### 171 Net durability

172 To assess the A.I. activity and durability of each of the dual-A.I. LLINs compared to • 173 standard LLINs against susceptible and resistant An. gambiae colonies, over 3 years 174 of continuous use by households (HHs) under field conditions. 175 • To determine and compare physical durability (survivorship and fabric integrity) of 176 the dual-A.I. LLINs and standard LLINs over the 3 year period via a prospective 177 cohort study. • Obtain detailed information on factors influencing the development of holes in 178 179 the nets such as handling, washing, and the environment. 180 0 Assess net user's perception of net condition and usefulness. 181 Correlate user perception and physical condition to determine physical net 0 182 status at which a net is considered "useless" or discarded. To assess A.I. content in each of the 4 LLINs under evaluation over 3 years of use by 183 • HHs. 184 To report adverse events that may be associated with each of the LLINs. 185 ٠ 186 **Efficacy and parameterization of models** 187 To assess entomological efficacy of each of the dual-A.I. LLINs compared to standard 188 LLINs sampled from cRCT households annually over 3 years, in experimental huts 189 against free flying An. gambiae sensu lato (s.l.) and An. funestus s.l. to generate more 190 realistic entomological outcomes than those achieved using standardized washing. 191 To incorporate outcomes generated by the experimental hut trials in a mathematical ٠ 192 model of malaria transmission to establish whether these entomological outcomes can 193 predict the epidemiological effect of the cRCT.

# 194METHODS AND ANALYSIS

# 195 Study area

The WHO Phase III durability study is part of a four-arm cRCT carried out in Misungwi district (2°51'00.0"S, 33°04'60.0"E), on the Southern border of Lake Victoria, Tanzania. The cRCT study area includes 72 villages, 42,314 households and a population of 251,155 based on a census done in 2018 as part of the study. A detailed description has been provided elsewhere.<sup>8</sup>

- 201 Six experimental huts have been constructed in Magu district, Mwanza region, Tanzania
- 202 (2°34.673'S, 33°07.170'E). The hut study site is situated north of the cRCT study area (Figure
- 203 1). The main vectors in this area are Anopheles funestus sensu stricto (s.s.), Anopheles
- 204 *arabiensis* and *Anopheles gambiae* s.s.
- 205 *Figure 1: Map showing cRCT site and experimental hut site.*

# 206 Intervention

207 The four LLINs under evaluation are 1/ Royal Guard®, a net combining pyriproxyfen (PPF),

- which is known to disrupt female reproduction and fertility of eggs, and the pyrethroid alpha-
- 209 cypermethrin; 2/ Interceptor G2<sup>®</sup>, a mixture net incorporating two adulticides with differing
- 210 modes of action; chlorfenapyr and a pyrethroid (alpha-cypermethrin); 3/ Olyset<sup>TM</sup> Plus an
- 211 LLIN which incorporates a synergist, piperonyl butoxide (PBO), to enhance the potency of
- 212 pyrethroid insecticides and; 4/ Interceptor® an alpha-cypermethrin only LLIN and the
- 213 reference intervention (Table 2).

214

		Net specificity			
Intervention arm	LLIN brand	Dose AI/m <sup>2</sup> of netting fabric	Deni er	Fibre	Manufacturer
Intervention 1	Royal Guard®	<ol> <li>Alpha-cypermethrin 216 mg</li> <li>Pyriproxyfen 225 mg</li> </ol>	120	Polyethylene	Disease Control Technologies, LLC
Intervention 2	Interceptor G2®	<ol> <li>Alpha-cypermethrin 100 mg</li> <li>Chlorfenapyr 200 mg</li> </ol>	100	Polyester	BASF
Intervention 3	Olyset <sup>TM</sup> Plus	<ol> <li>Permethrin 800 mg</li> <li><b>PBO 400 mg</b></li> </ol>	150	Polyethylene	Sumitomo Chemicals
Control	Interceptor®	Alpha-cypermethrin 200 mg	100	Polyester	BASF

### 215 *Table 2: Characteristics of the different type of LLINs under evaluation.*

216

In February 2019, each of the four study LLIN types under evaluation were distributed to 21 clusters (overall number of clusters in the study area which received LLIN were 84) based on

219 restricted randomisation allocation. Each household received one net for every two people.

- All nets distributed were blue and rectangular (180 cm length×160 cm wide×180 cm high). In
- each intervention arm, net survivorship and fabric integrity (cohort 1) are followed in 250
- 222 households, selected nearby each other in 5 clusters, and nets for bio-efficacy, chemical
- analysis and experimental hut trials (cohort 2) sampled from 650 households in 10 clusters
- 224 (Figure 2).
- 225 Figure 2: Study design flow chart
- 226

# 227 Study Outcomes

228 Study outcomes are summarized in Table 3.

# 229 Table 3: Study outcomes measurements.

Outcomes	Measurements	Collection	Frequency
Bio-efficacy with susceptible and resistant <i>Anopheles</i> strains.	<ol> <li>Mortality recorded immediately (60 minutes) and after 24, 48 and 72 h post exposure.</li> <li>Blood feeding inhibition recorded in tunnel test.</li> <li>Fecundity/fertility for Royal Guard® compared to standard LLIN and untreated net: proportion of abnormal ovaries.</li> <li>Blood feeding inhibition (%): the reduction in blood feeding of mosquitoes in the treatment compared with % feeding in the control tunnel.</li> </ol>	Cone or tunnel test on 4/5 pieces per net from 30 nets per type per time point and 50 nets at 36 months destructively sampled from cohort 2.	At 0, 6*, 12, 18*, 24, 30*, 36 months post distribution. *test only performed when dual A.I. does not show superior efficacy compared to standard LLIN against resistant <i>Anopheles</i> at the yearly time point.
Net attrition rate	Household visit and observation of study LLIN presence: % of study nets that are	Prospective cohort study	At 6, 12, 24 and 36 months.
(survival).	lost (no longer in use for sleeping under) in the receiving household at each time point.	cohort 1.	
Fabric	Number of holes and hole size in study	Prospective cohort study	At 6, 12, 24 and 36 months.

integrity.	LLIN to calculate HI.	cohort 1.	
Chemical	HPLC of pyrethroid and partner A.I.:	bio-efficacy	At 0, 12, 24 and
content.	amount of active ingredients in fiber.		36 months.
Adverse	Household visit and questionnaire to	Prospective	At one month
effect.	report: skin itching, facial burning,	cohort study	post distribution.
	sneezing, nose running, headache, nausea,	cohort 1 and 2	
	eye irritation, other.	nets.	
Efficacy	1. Immediate mortality (%) recorded and	1. Adapted	1. At, 0, 12, 24
against free	after 24, 48 and 72 h.	experimental	and 36 months.
flying	2. Blood feeding inhibition (%): the	hut at each	
mosquitoes.	reduction in blood feeding of	time point.	
	mosquitoes in the treatment compared		2. Once during
	with % feeding in the control huts.		the course of
	3. Deterrency (%): reduction in hut entry	2. Standard	the trial.
	relative to the control huts with	wash	
	untreated nets.	resistance	
	4. Exophily (%): The proportion of	experimental	
	mosquitoes that exit early and are	hut (0 and 20	
	found in exit traps compared with the	wash nets).	
	untreated control.		
	5. Personal protection (%): the reduction		
	in the number of mosquitoes blood-fed		
	compared to number of mosquitoes		
	blood-fed in the untreated control.		
	6. Fecundity reduction (%): the reduction		
	in fecundity per blood-fed female alive		
	at 72h after exposure (using dissection		
	methods) in Royal Guard® compared		
	to standard LLIN and untreated net.		

# 231 Study design: Net durability

The efficacy and physical durability of the nets will be evaluated by means of a prospective cohort study (Figure 2). A census/enumeration of the household in the hamlet has been complete as part of the cRCT and for each houses name and GPS coordinate are available.

#### 235 *Net attrition and fabric integrity (cohort 1)*

A total of 250 HHs in 5 clusters per arm will be followed for LLIN physical integrity and

attrition. Assuming an average of 3 LLINs per HH based on the average number of sleeping

places, this will yield 750 LLINs. All 750 LLINs will be followed for attrition and for hole

239 index. In each cluster HHs will be selected close to each other. During the first visit one

240 month post distribution, in all the households willing to participate used LLIN will be

241 identified with a unique identification number. Household information on quality of housing

and other socio-demographic characteristics will be collected (supplementary file 1). The

physical presence of all LLINs in the 250 HHs will be recorded at each visit; 6, 12, 24 and 36

244 months. If the net is still present in the HH, the investigator will record whether the net is

being used for its intended purpose. Nets that are not used anymore will also be recorded. If

the net is no longer in the house, the investigator will determine how it was lost by asking

247 probing questions. All LLINs followed for attrition and in use will be inspected for, number

of holes (including tears in the netting and split seams) by location on the net and size will be

classified into four categories: smaller than a thumb (0.5–2 cm), larger than a thumb but

smaller than a fist (2-10 cm), larger than a fist but smaller than a head (10-25 cm) and larger

than a head (> 25 cm).

Evidence of repairs to the net fabric and the type of repair will be recorded (supplementary

file 1). Hole counts will be made by removing each net and arranging it over a frame and

returning the nets after measuring physical integrity in the field.

# 255 <u>Net withdrawal for insecticidal residual activity assessment and experimental hut trials</u> 256 (cohort 2)

257 LLINs will be withdrawn at different time intervals to be used for bio-efficacy testing and

experimental hut trials. To reduce the impact of this withdrawal on the cRCT outcomes, 10

clusters (5 clusters used for attrition and integrity and 5 additional ones) per arm will be

selected at random. A total of 650 HHs will be selected at random to give approximately

261 1950 LLINs per type to follow (Figure 2). The unit of observation will be the individual net.

- As for cohort 1 selected nets for cohort 2 will be labelled and household information
- 263 collected.
- From each of the 30 LLINs selected for bio-efficacy, one net piece of 30 cm x 30 cm will be
- cut from each side at baseline (0 month); in subsequent follow ups only position 2 to 5 will be
- cut, as position 1 situated at the bottom of the net may be exposed to extreme abrasion when
- tucked under the bed (Figure 3). At each position, 3 samples adjacent to each other will be
- removed. The first one will be used for chemical assay (High-Performance Liquid
- 269 Chromatography; HPLC) and other two for bio-efficacy (one for exposure to An. gambiae s.s.
- 270 strain (Kisumu) and the other for exposure to a resistant strain).
- 271
- Figure 3: LLIN sampling pattern taken from WHO Guidelines for laboratory and fieldtesting of long-lasting insecticidal nets.

# 274 <u>Adverse events</u>

For 200 HHs per intervention (20 HHs per clusters), we will also record perceived adverse

- effects from users or guardian of users of one study LLIN. The HH will be selected at randomfrom all enrolled in cohort 1 and 2.
- 278

# 279 Study design: Net bio-efficacy

# 280 <u>Bio-efficacy: mosquito strains</u>

- In the context of bioassay testing of dual-A.I. LLINs, we will follow the WHO (2013) and
- 282 (2017) guidelines on modifications to testing, where the evidence suggests this is justified to

improve data value or to generate new evidence to inform testing policy.<sup>10,21</sup>

A susceptible An. gambiae s.s. strain (Kisumu) will be used to assess the bio-efficacy of the

pyrethroid in each of the dual-A.I. LLIN. To assess the durability (bioavailability) of the

- 286 partner insecticide, it will be necessary to use a pyrethroid resistant strain or species ideally
- with resistance intensity great enough to withstand the effect of the pyrethroid. We will use
- the *An. gambiae* s.s. Muleba-kis pyrethroid resistant strain (characterized by both *kdr*-East
- L1014S and mixed function oxidases-based resistance) to assess the partner A.I. The strain
- 290 will be kept under constant pyrethroid selection pressure and phenotypic and genotypic
- resistance will be monitored regularly to assess changes in resistance frequency and intensity.

- The selection will be done once per each generation at larval stage using  $0.08 \,\mu$ g/ml of alpha
- 293 cypermethrin. Control larval bowls will be treated with 1ml of ethanol.

#### 294 <u>Bio-efficacy: testing methods</u>

#### 295 *Rationale*

296 In the dual-A.I. LLIN Interceptor G2<sup>®</sup>, alpha-cypermethrin is fast-acting, and following a 297 short exposure in contact bioassays, susceptible mosquitoes are knocked down within 1h and 298 killed within 24h. The pyrrole chlorfenapyr requires a longer exposure, is slow-acting and 299 takes up to 72h to kill following a contact bioassay; for delayed mortality, the WHO has 300 proposed that mosquitoes may be held up to 72h with mortality reported every 24h. There is clear evidence that cone bioassays with 3 min exposures fail to predict field efficacy of 301 Interceptor G2<sup>®</sup>.<sup>22</sup> WHO has stated that tunnel tests may be more appropriate to estimate the 302 field durability of chlorfenapyr.<sup>23</sup> 303

304 In the dual-A.I. LLIN Royal Guard®, PPF impacts egg development, while alpha-305 cypermethrin will induce mortality. The reproductive effects of PPF on blood-fed female 306 mosquitoes are 3-fold. The first effect of PPF exposure is to disrupt the maturation of eggs 307 and oviposition by females 2-3 days after blood-feeding. The second observable effect is 308 reduction in the mean number of eggs per ovipositing female. The third effect is reduction in 309 the hatch rate of laid eggs or production of viable larvae. Conventionally, the effects on reproductive outcomes are assessed by observation of oviposition rate and hatch rate in 310 mosquitoes exposed to PPF compared to unexposed mosquitoes.<sup>24 25</sup> The problem with 311 312 oviposition as an indicator of fertility/sterility is the low oviposition rate in control, 313 unexposed mosquitoes. Furthermore, direct observations require a long follow-up, 314 appropriate infrastructure and can be laborious. An alternative approach is to dissect 315 mosquitoes after exposure when eggs should normally have become fully mature (2-3 days 316 post-blood meal). During the normal gonotrophic cycle, after taking a blood meal, the mosquito's oocytes change in size and shape, and finally reach Christopher's stage V which 317 are a distinctive crescent shape (Supplementary file 2).<sup>26</sup> Previous work on PPF-treated 318 females has shown morphological defects on oocyte maturation in the ovaries following 319 320 exposure, with development of PPF-affected oocytes arrested before reaching stage V.<sup>27</sup>

321 In the dual-A.I. LLIN Olyset <sup>TM</sup> Plus, PBO inhibits the cytochrome P450 oxidases

322 responsible for metabolic pyrethroid resistance while permethrin will induce mortality. Cone

tests have been used effectively to assess the performance of Olyset <sup>TM</sup> Plus<sup>28</sup> however, there

is a need to use a resistant strain with oxidase-based mechanisms for these bioassays to assessfield durability of PBO.

# 326 *Cone test*

327 WHO cone tests will be performed on each of the pieces of the 30 (t0-t30) and 50 LLINs (t36) collected for the following product Royal Guard® and Olyset TM Plus (both with 328 329 susceptible and resistant mosquito strain) and Interceptor G2® (only against susceptible strain).<sup>10</sup> For each net, all pieces cut will be tested. Four replicates will be done per net piece 330 using 5 mosquitoes per cone for a total of 20 mosquitoes per net piece and 80 mosquitoes per 331 332 net (100 for the baseline). An untreated net will be run in parallel during each testing as well 333 as 2 pieces of the standard LLIN collected at the same time point. The estimated number of 334 mosquitoes to be tested per time point is detailed in Table 4.

Per bioassay, five unfed 2-5 days old *An. gambiae* s.s. will be introduced into each cone. After 3 min exposure, mosquitoes will be transferred into labelled paper cups covered with untreated netting with access to 10% sugar solution. The bioassays will be carried out at  $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C and  $75\pm10\%$  RH and at  $27\pm2^{\circ}$ C.

#### 339 *Tunnel test*

LLIN pieces (Interceptor G2<sup>®</sup>, Royal Guard<sup>®</sup> and Olyset <sup>TM</sup> Plus.) that do not meet the 340 341 criteria of  $\geq$ 95% knockdown (kd) rate after 60 minutes and/or a mortality of  $\geq$ 80% after 24 342 hours in cone bioassays (all pieces and replicates for the same net pooled together), will be 343 evaluated in a tunnel test to determine the efficacy (mortality and blood feeding inhibition) 344 using a guinea pig as the bait. One netting piece for each failing net will be tested in the 345 tunnel in two replicates. The net piece that produces mortality closest to the mean mortality 346 during the cone test will be used in the tunnel test. Because the cone is not suitable for initial 347 testing of Interceptor G2® using the resistant strain, the piece in position 2 of each of the 30 348 nets will be systematically tested in the tunnel (Table 4).

# *Table 4: Summary of the bioassay testing plan and outcomes per net type and mosquito strain.*

		Interceptor G2®	<b>Royal Guard</b> ®	<b>Olyset</b> <sup>TM</sup> <b>Plus</b> ,		
	Susceptible strain: Assessment of pyrethroid					
		1/Untreated,	1/Untreated,	1/Untreated,		
		2/Interceptor,	2/Interceptor,	2/Interceptor,		
	Treatment	3/Interceptor G2®	3/Royal Guard®	3/Olyset <sup>TM</sup> Plus		
		Kd*, mortality 24, 48	Kd, mortality 24, 48	Kd, mortality 24, 48 and 72		
	Outcomes	and 72 h**	and 72 h	h		
	Exposure time	3 minutes	3 minutes	3 minutes		
		30 nets (t0 to t30), 50	30 nets (t0 to t30), 50	30 nets (t0 to t30), 50 nets		
	Total nets	nets (t36)	nets (t36)	(t36)		
		5 (t0) and 4 subsequent	5 (t0) and 4 subsequent			
Cono		follow ups (position 2	follow ups (position 2	5 (t0) and 4 subsequent		
Cone	Total pieces	to 5)	to 5)	follow ups (position 2 to 5)		
	Total replicates /					
	piece	4	4	4		
	Total Mosquito					
	per test	5	5	5		
	Total	Total between 4800 to	Total between 4800 to	Total between 4800 to 6000		
	mosquitoes	6000 per time point	6000 per time point	per time point		
			Kd, mortality 24, 48			
		Kd, mortality 24, 48	and 72 h, blood	Kd, mortality 24, 48 and 72		
	Outcomes	and 72 h, blood feeding	feeding	h, blood feeding		
	Exposure time	12 to 15 h	12 to 15 h	12 to 15 h		
Tunnel	Total nets	All failed net with cone	All failed net with cone	All failed net with cone		
	Total pieces	1 per net	1 per net	1 per net		
	Total replicates /					
	piece	2 replicates	2 replicates	2 replicates		
	Total Mosquito					
	per test	50	50	50		
	Total	Determined by number	Determined by number	Determined by number of		
	mosquitoes	of failing nets	of failing nets	failing nets		
	Resistant strain: Assessment of partner A.I. or synergist					

			Kd, mortality 24, 48	
			and 72 h, ovarial	Kd, mortality 24, 48 and 72
	Outcomes		development	h
	Exposure time		3 minutes	3 minutes
			30 nets (t0 to t30), 50	30 nets (t0 to t30), 50 nets
	Total nets		nets (t36)	(t36)
Cone	Total pieces		5 (t0) and 4 (t6 to t36)	5 (t0) and 4 (t6 to t36)
	Total replicates /			
	piece		4	4
	Total Mosquito			
	per test		5	5
	Total		Total between 4800 to	Total between 4800 to 6000
	mosquitoes		6000 per time point	per time point
			Kd, mortality 24, 48	
		Kd, mortality 24, 48	and 72 h, Blood	Kd, mortality 24, 48 and 72
		and 72 h, blood feeding	feeding, ovarial	h, blood feeding
	Outcomes		development	
	Exposure time	12 to 15 h	12 to 15 h	12 to 15 h
		30 nets (t0 to t30), 50		
	Total nets	nets (t36)	All failed net with cone	All failed net with cone
Tunnel	Total pieces	1 per net (position 2)	1 per net	1 per net
1 uniter	Total replicates /			
	piece	2 replicates	2 replicates	2 replicates
	Total Mosquito			
	per test	50	50	50
		30 (50) nets x 1-piece x		
	Total	2 replicates x 50	Determined by number	Determined by number of
	mosquitoes	mosquitoes x 3	of failing nets	failing nets
		treatments = 9000		
		slow killing effect 72	Ovarial development	Colony mosquito's
	Net Specificity	hours; mortality main	by dissection at 72 h	resistance strain (kdr***
		outcome	post exposure	and kdr+MFO****)

350 \* knockdown, \*\* hours, \*\*\*knockdown resistance, \*\*\*\* cytochrome P450 mono-oxygenase mechanisms

#### 351

352 The procedure for use of guinea pigs will be compliant with criteria laid down in EC 353 Directive 86/609/ECC concerning protection of animals used for experimental purposes. The animal ethics approval has been sought from LSHTM. The glass tunnel is 25 cm<sup>2</sup> and 60 cm 354 355 long, divided at one third of the length by a disposable cardboard frame to which the LLIN netting piece is attached. The surface of netting "available" to mosquitoes is  $400 \text{ cm}^2$  (20 cm 356 357 x 20 cm). Nine holes, each 1 cm in diameter, (one at the center of the square and the other 358 eight equidistant at 5 cm from the border) will be made in the netting to allow for passage of 359 mosquitoes. Netting-covered cages at both ends provide easy access to add and remove 360 mosquitoes. In one cage, a guinea pig will be restrained. Fifty mosquitoes will be introduced 361 at the opposite end of the tunnel from where the guinea pig is restrained. The experiment will 362 begin at 18:00 and end at 08:00 the following morning, mosquitoes will be scored according 363 to whether they passed through the netting, whether they successfully blood fed and whether 364 they survived the exposure period.

#### 365 *Cylinder test*

366 The cone and tunnel tests may prove inadequate for evaluating the durability of partner A.I.s 367 over 1-3 years once the insecticidal content starts to decrease. The standard cone test exposes 368 mosquitoes for 3 min only, which has been shown to underestimate contact time and the 369 exposure time and mortality attained in experimental huts using resistant mosquitoes; 30 min exposure is probably more realistic when using resistant mosquitoes.<sup>29</sup> Mortality generated 370 371 for free flying resistant mosquitoes in experimental huts correlates well with mortality 372 attained in 30 min bioassay for some insecticides tested, e.g., chlorfenapyr and Interceptor 373 G2® (Rowland and Kirby unpublished data). While the contact time may differ for other 374 insecticides or when the concentration decreases during 3 years in the field; now that the 375 precedent is established for one type of dual-A.I. LLIN, the average contact time of free 376 flying mosquitoes is likely to be longer than 3 min for other nets too. The WHO cylinder test will be performed for Olyset<sup>TM</sup> Plus, Royal Guard<sup>®</sup> and Interceptor G2<sup>®</sup> on a sub-sample of 377 378 nets at each time point and compared to tunnel and cone results.

The netting will be stapled to WHO control test papers measuring 15cm x 12cm to facilitate rolling and fitting into the test cylinder in the same way as an insecticide test paper would be fitted. Holding rings are inserted to hold back the netting.<sup>30</sup> Bioassays will follow the same procedure as insecticide susceptibility testing except that exposure time will be 3, 15, 30 and

60 min as necessary. Before exposure, 10 mosquitoes will be aspirated into the holding cylinder of the kit and then blown into the exposure cylinder according to standard procedures. After exposure, the test insects are blown back into the holding cylinder and 10% sugar solution provided. Ten mosquitoes per cylinder test would ensure a density per unit area of netting similar to that of five mosquitoes per cone.

#### 388 Experimental hut design

The experimental huts are a modified version of the standard East African hut,<sup>31</sup> featuring four brick walls, a wooden ceiling lined with hessian sackcloth, an iron sheeting roof, two baffled eave gaps above each wall, and a window trap on each wall. The huts are built on concrete plinths and surrounded by a water-filled moat to deter entry of scavenging ants. In the modified design, the four verandas are open; the baffled eave gaps above all four sides allow unimpeded entry of mosquitoes and minimal mosquito exiting. Mosquitoes are restricted to exiting through the window traps on the four walls of the hut.

In each hut, cloth sheets are laid on the floor each night to ease the collection of knockeddown mosquitoes in the morning. Sugar solution is provided at night in the window traps to reduce mosquito mortality.

The nets will be evaluated using experimental huts for their effects on free-flying, wild *An. gambiae* s.l., *An. funestus* s.l. and *Culex quinquefaciatus* mosquitoes for their ability to deter entry, repel mosquitoes, induce mortality and inhibit blood-feeding.

#### 402 <u>Adapted experimental hut study</u>

Each of the 30 individual nets per product type collected from community at t12, 24 and 36
months will be tested in experimental hut. The following treatment shall be assessed at each
time point:

- 406 1. Control: untreated polyethylene net with 6 holes
- 407 2. Standard LLIN: new interceptor washed one time with 6 holes
- 408 3. Interceptor at t12/t24/t36
- 409 4. Interceptor G2® at t12/t24/t36
- 410 5. Royal Guard® at t12/t24/t36
- 411 6. Olyset<sup>TM</sup> Plus at t12/t24/t36

413 The study will be done over a 6 week periods. Sleepers will be rotated between huts on 414 successive nights to account for individual attractiveness and net treatment every week 415 following a random Latin square design (supplementary file 3). Every week, collections shall 416 be performed over 6 days and the last day will be used for cleaning and airing before the 417 treatment rotation. Six replicates of untreated net and new standard LLIN will be tested per 418 hut treatment and will be swapped every day within each week of the trial. Field collected 419 nets will be changed every day and tested for one night only per trial. Because there are 36 420 day/night collection per treatment for a complete Latin square rotation (sleepers and 421 treatments) and only 30 field collected individual nets per product type an additional 6 new 422 LLIN from each treatment will be evaluated (treatment 3 to 6). Six holes of 4 x 4 cm will be cut in the untreated and new LLINs used in each treatment arm following WHO guidelines.<sup>10</sup> 423 424 Hole size will be counted for the field collected nets as for cohort 1 nets followed for fabric 425 integrity. A hut trial study for each time point will be repeated 2 to 4 times to account for 426 vector composition seasonality.

#### 427 <u>Mosquito processing</u>

All mosquitoes collected in experimental huts will be monitored for three days and mortality
recorded after 24, 48 and 72h post collection. Blood fed mosquitoes collected from Royal
Guard®, Interceptor® and untreated nets, will be dissected after 72h for observation of
fertility/sterility. Mosquitoes will be recorded as fertile if the eggs are fully developed into
Christopher's stage five.

433 A subset of alive and dead mosquitoes from each hut will immediately be killed (if still alive)

and stored in RNAlater® at -80°C for species identification and resistance gene expression

435 analysis. Following molecular species identification, presence/absence of resistance alleles

436 will be compared between individuals of assumed resistant (alive) and susceptible (dead)

437 phenotypes and changes in allele frequency will be compared between hut conditions and

438 between baseline characterization and post-intervention.

# 439 <u>Modeling of experimental hut trial entomological surrogates</u>

While experimental hut trials are the gold standard for assessing LLIN efficacy against mosquitoes, mathematical modelling<sup>2 32</sup> can be used to predict the public health impact of factors such as pyrethroid resistance on LLIN efficacy and malaria transmission.<sup>2</sup> The models are calibrated to the local area using site specific entomological and epidemiological data

444 collected from the cRCT site (such as baseline mosquito bionomics, history of LLIN use and 445 baseline malaria prevalence). Two sets of parameters are used to characterise the efficacy of 446 trial dual-A.I. LLINs. The first uses estimates of the proportions of mosquitoes dying, blood-447 feeding and outcomes such as deterrence, exiting and repellence estimated using 448 experimental hut trials conducted in the region of the cRCT. The second uses estimates for 449 the same metrics derived from a meta-analysis of all currently available experimental hut trial 450 data for the same dual-A.I. LLINs from across Africa. Models parameterised with these two 451 sources of data are used to predict changes in malaria prevalence over time. These two 452 models are statistically compared to the observed results of the cRCT at different time points 453 following the mass campaign to investigate the benefit of local LLIN efficacy information. 454 WHO discriminating dose bioassays are used to quantify the frequency of resistance in the 455 mosquito populations in the vicinity of the experimental hut site to provide a link between the 456 outcomes of the trial and widely used assays for assessing the frequency of resistance.

457 There is considerable uncertainty in how the efficacy of nets changes over time. It can be 458 estimated using the WHO proxy of standardised washing, as described by experimental hut 459 outcomes from trials evaluating LLINs washed 0 and 20 times. The number of washes taken 460 to halve the killing activity of the LLIN can be estimated and converted into predictions of 461 the insecticidal half-life in years considering 20 washes to represent the decay expected in an 462 LLIN over three years of use in the field. Estimates of the actual duration of insecticidal 463 activity in the field is one of the more uncertain features of experimental hut trials and 464 consequently for models evaluating the public health impact of novel LLINs. This is because of the uncertainty in the relationship between the number of washes and durability of 465 466 insecticide in the field over time for non-pyrethroid A.I.s. This uncertainty is important to 467 include as the average age of LLINs in Africa is over one year old and small changes in 468 LLIN-induced mortality over time can have a large epidemiological impact as population 469 LLIN coverage falls two or three years after the last mass distribution campaign. This problem seems particularly acute for Interceptor G2® as chlorfenapyr cannot be evaluated in 470 simple cone bioassays and nets washed 20 times.<sup>33</sup> Moreover, LLINs used in experimental 471 472 hut trials sometimes appear indistinguishable from unwashed nets whereas under field 473 condition nets are subject to many environmental factors affecting durability in addition to 474 washing, such as friction, wood smoke, and everyday wear and tear. Evaluation of naturally 475 aged LLINs collected from the field in experimental hut trials may allow more precise 476 predictions of the longevity of a LLIN (i.e. half-life), allowing the decay in insecticidal

activity to be directly estimated instead of having to rely on proxy measures. This is
anticipated to improve the accuracy of epidemiological predictions made from entomological
data which can be evaluated by comparing model predictions to the results of the main cRCT.
The utility of incorporating other entomological data collected as part of the trial into the
modelling framework shall be investigated.

#### 482 SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION

483 Sample size calculations for prospective LLIN study of net survivorship were performed

- using the power log rank command in Stata v.15.1. A total of 750 LLINs per type from 5
- clusters per arm (i.e. 150 per cluster) will allow detection of a 9.4% absolute difference

(hazard ratio = 0.8651) in LLIN attrition rate if we assume an attrition rate in the control arm

- 487 of 70% over the 3 years. This is assuming an intra-cluster correlation coefficient (ICC) of
- 488 0.03. We can detect a hazard ratio=0.7951 (i.e. 14.3% absolute difference) if we assume an
- 489 ICC=0.01, and a hazard ratio=0.7478 (i.e. 17.7% absolute difference) for an ICC=0.02.

#### 490 DATA MANAGEMENT & ANALYSIS

#### 491 **Data management**

492 All data on LLIN physical conditions, washing and household characteristics will be

493 collected using Open Data Kit (ODK) forms. Bioassay data will be recorded on standardised

494 forms and double entered into an Access file and linked to the database via the net

identification number and time interval. Consistency checking will be done by running

algorithms especially designed to identify sources of error. This database will be sent by the

497 data manager to the project manager after each time-interval. The project manager will keep

498 an updated master list of the location and status of each net. Data from bioassay and chemical

499 residue analysis will be entered separately.

500

Hut data will be entered directly in electronic forms prepared in ODK. Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for data collection will be developed and field staff will be appropriately trained to ensure rigorous data collection. This will include quality control (QC) of their own performance by checking for missing data or implausible responses. Further QC will be conducted by a supervisor who monitors performance of field staff by checking for completeness and internal consistency of responses within hours of data collection. To maintain participant confidentiality, all consents forms will be kept in a locked cabinet only

accessible by an authorized staff. Statistical analysis will be performed using Stata softwarev.15.1.

#### 510 Data analysis

#### 511 *Fabric integrity (hole index)*

- 512 Each of the hole categories will be weighted according to WHO guidelines.<sup>10</sup> HI will be
- 513 calculated using the formula HI $\square$  = $\square(1 \square \times \square$  no. of size-1 holes) $\square$  + $\square(23 \square \times \square$  no. of size-2
- 514 holes)  $\square + \square (196 \square \times \square \text{ no. of size-3 holes}) \square + \square (576 \square \times \square \text{ no. size-4 holes})$ . Based on the HI the
- nets will be divided into 3 categories 1/ Good: HI <64, 2/ Acceptable: pHI between
- $>64 \square < \square = 642$ , 3/ too torn; pHI >642. Good and acceptable nets will be combined into a
- 517 serviceable condition category.

#### 518 <u>Attrition/survival</u>

- 519 The rate of attrition will be first calculated as the proportion of study nets lost among all
- study nets originally received and further divided into reasons of net loss. To estimate
- 521 functional survival only the attrition due to destruction, discarding or use for other purpose
- will be included (MPAC recommendation).<sup>34</sup> The functional survival rate (pX) of each type
- 523 of study net at each time point will be calculated as:
- 524 pX=% surviving to time X = (number of study net present and "serviceable" at time X /
- number of study net originally received and not given away at time X) \* 100
- 526 Descriptive statistics will be used to present the proportion surviving for each study net at
- 527 each time point and compare the dual-A.I. LLINs to the standard LLIN. Cluster effect will be
- 528 accounted for to estimate the 95% confidence interval.

# 529 <u>Median survival time</u>

- 530 Median survival time is the time point at which 50% of the study nets received are still
- present and in serviceable condition. First the functional survival rate to time X will be
- 532 compared against a reference survival curve provided by WHO in the VCTEG report.<sup>34</sup> The
- 533 functional survival rate to time X will also be compared between the dual-A.I. LLINs against
- the standard LLIN. A Kaplan–Meier survival analysis will be used to estimate the median
- survival time of each study net and will consider the design effect.

# 536 *Efficacy and long-lasting effect of the pyrethroid*

537 The dual-A.I. net will meet WHO criteria<sup>10</sup> for efficacy and long-lasting effect of the

- 538 pyrethroid if, after 36 months of use, at least 80% of sampled nets tested against a pyrethroid
- susceptible mosquito strain are effective in WHO cone tests ( $\geq 95\%$  knockdown or  $\geq 80\%$
- 540 mortality after 24 hours) or tunnel tests ( $\geq 80\%$  mortality or  $\geq 90\%$  inhibition of blood-
- 541 feeding). Thresholds for the second A.I. of each dual-A.I. LLIN are not known yet and would
- need to be established in relation to the cRCT findings. However, dual A.I. LLIN efficacy
- outcomes against resistant Anopheles strain will be compared to those of standard LLIN to
- state assess superior effect at each time point.

#### 545 *Experimental hut trial analysis*

Proportional outcomes (blood-feeding, exiting and mortality, oviposition) related to each experimental hut treatment will be assessed using binomial generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with a logit link function. A separate model will be fitted for each outcome. In addition to the fixed effect of each treatment, each model will include random effects to account for the following sources of variation: between the huts; between the sleepers; between the weeks of the trial; between trial rounds; and finally, an observation-level random effect to account for variation not explained by the other terms in the model (over dispersion).

553 Differences in deterrence, proportions of adult females killed, proportions blood-fed, overall 554 killing effect, personal protection and number of females laying eggs between the treatments 555 will be analysed using negative binomial regression based on numbers entering, numbers 556 blood-fed, killed and numbers observed for oviposition, respectively, with adjustment for the 557 above-mentioned covariates.

558 Entomological data from the entomological surrogate studies (experimental hut trials and 559 supporting efficacy bioassays) will be integrated into a meta-analysis and modelling of 560 disease outcomes to investigate possible relationships between epidemiological and 561 entomological data from the cRCT.

- 562 Comparisons of the changes in levels of gene expression will be performed between live
- 563 mosquitoes collected from experimental huts containing treated interventions and
- sequence of the sequence of th
- control colony). The assumptions are that comparing between these two experimental groups
- will allow us to differentiate between metabolic genes which are constitutively over-

567 expressed in our field populations and may contribute to resistance (i.e. expression levels

observed in the control hut which contains a mixture of 'resistant' and 'susceptible' vectors

- of unknown phenotype) and those genes which are over-expressed in our field populations in
- 570 response to intervention/insecticide exposure (i.e. expression levels observed in the treated
- 571 huts compared to the control huts).

#### 572 *Frequency of Analyses*

573 Analysis of entomological outcomes from the hut trials will be done at the end of each hut 574 trial and the data shared with the modellers to generate predicted outcomes ahead of the 575 cRCT analysis.

#### 576 ETHICS APPROVAL

This protocol has received ethical approval from the Medical Research Coordinating Committee (MRCC) of the National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR), Kilimanjaro Christian Medical University College (KCMUCO), and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM).

581 For the community phase III durability activity written informed consent will be obtained 582 from an adult in the household (Supplementary file 4). Written consent forms will also be 583 obtained from volunteers (sleepers) who agree to participate in the hut study (supplementary file 5). Only adults of 18 years or older will be recruited, excluding pregnant women. 584 585 Volunteers will be offered daily chemoprophylaxis and the risks of malaria explained 586 (willingness to take will be an inclusion criterion). By sleeping under a mosquito net, they 587 will obtain protection not dissimilar to exposure they would normally obtain against mosquitoes in their own home should they use a pyrethroid LLIN. Because an untreated net is 588 589 used in one arm, all volunteers will be monitored each day for signs of fever. Confirmed 590 falciparum parasitaemia will be treated with Coartem (artemether 20 mg/lumefantrine 120 591 mg) by a local physician. No side effects are expected from the LLIN except possibly some 592 irritation to mucous membranes; these will be monitored and reported.

593 Guinea pigs will be used in the partner Institutions in Tanzania to feed the colony

594 mosquitoes. Restrained guinea pigs are also used in WHO tunnel test as bait. KCMUCo and

595 NIMR laboratories in Tanzania have obtained approval from the Animal Welfare and Ethical

596 Review Board of LSHTM for the use of animals for this study.

#### 597 **DISSEMINATION**

- 598 Study findings will be shared in national and international stakeholder meetings. Results will
- so be shared through presentation to the relevant WHO programmes and through peer-
- reviewed publications, at scientific conferences and will be reported through clinicaltials.gov.

# 601 COMPETING INTEREST

602 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### 603 AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

604 JLM contributed to selection of the study area, implemented and supervised the experimental 605 hut construction and drafted the manuscript; LAM contributed to selection of the study area 606 and was a major contributor to the revision of the manuscript; FWM contributed to study 607 design and supported the field activities and revised the manuscript; JFM & EL & AM 608 contributed to the overall management of the project, supported field activities, developed 609 household and net questionnaires for the phase III and revised the manuscript; MK 610 contributed to study design, provided sample size calculation and statistics support; TSC & 611 ESS contributed to the design of the experimental hut study, conception of the model and 612 drafting of the manuscript; NP is the trial principal investigator, designed the study, wrote the 613 study protocol, supported implementation of field activities and revised the manuscript; MR 614 designed the study, wrote the protocol, contributed to selection of the study area, and revised 615 the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# 616 AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

617 Not applicable.

# 618 CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

619 Not applicable.

#### 620 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

621 Special thanks to the community for allowing us to construct our experimental hut in their

area, to the project technicians for collection, processing, and identification of mosquitoes,

- and to the volunteers for agreeing to participate in the study. We would like to thank Jackie
- 624 Cook and David Macleod to have prepared the random latin square stata do file.
- 625
- 626
- 627

628	
629	
630	
631	
632	
633	REFERENCE
63/	1 WHO World Malaria Report World Health organization: Geneva Switzerland 2018
635	2 Churcher TS Lissenden N Griffin IT et al. The impact of pyrethroid resistance on the
636	efficacy and effectiveness of bednets for malaria control in Africa. <i>Elife</i> 2016:5 doi:
637	10.7554/aL ifa 16000 [published Online First: 2016/08/23]
638	3 Asidi A N'Guessan R Akogbeto M et al. Loss of household protection from use of
630	insecticide_treated nets against pyrethroid_resistant mosquitoes benin <i>Emerg Infact</i>
640	Dis 2012:18(7):1101_6 doi: 10.3201/eid1807.120218
6/1	A Ochomo EO Bayoh NM Walker ED et al. The efficacy of long-lasting nets with
642	declining physical integrity may be compromised in areas with high levels of
643	nyrethroid resistance Malar I 2013:12:368 doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-12-368
644	5 Protopopoff N Mosha IF Lukole E et al Effectiveness of a long-lasting piperonyl
645	butoxide-treated insecticidal net and indoor residual spray interventions, separately
646	and together against malaria transmitted by pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes: a cluster
647	randomised controlled, two-by-two factorial design trial. <i>Lancet</i>
648	2018;391(10130):1577-88. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30427-6
649	6. WHO. Conditions for deployment of mosquito nets treated with a pyrethroid and piperonyl
650	butoxide. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017.
651	7. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. In: WHO, ed. Geneva,
652	Switzerland: World Health organization, 2017.
653	8. Mosha JF, Kulkarni MA, Messenger LA, et al. Protocol for a four parallel-arm, single-
654	blind, cluster-randomised trial to assess the effectiveness of three types of dual active
655	ingredient treated nets compared to pyrethroid-only long-lasting insecticidal nets to
656	prevent malaria transmitted by pyrethroid insecticide-resistant vector mosquitoes in
657	Tanzania. BMJ Open 2021;11(3):e046664. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046664
658	9. Accrombessi M, Cook J, Ngufor C, et al. Assessing the efficacy of two dual-active
659	ingredients long-lasting insecticidal nets for the control of malaria transmitted by
660	pyrethroid-resistant vectors in Benin: study protocol for a three-arm, single-blinded,
661	parallel, cluster-randomized controlled trial. BMC Infect Dis 2021;21(1):194. doi:
662	10.1186/s12879-021-05879-1 [published Online First: 2021/02/21]
663	10. WHO. Guidelines for laboratory and field-testing of long-lasting insecticidal nets: World
664	Health Organization, 2013.
665	11. Patrick Tungu LAM, Matthew J. Kirby, Wema Sudi William Kisinza and Mark Rowland.
666	Phase III evaluation of the insecticidal efficacy and durability of a deltamethrintreated
667	polypropylene long-lasting net LifeNet®, in comparison with long-lasting nets made
668	trom polyester and polyethylene: study protocol. 2016 doi: 10.1186/s13690-016-
669	
670 671	12. Briet O, Koenker H, Norris L, et al. Attrition, physical integrity and insecticidal activity of long-lasting insecticidal nets in sub-Saharan Africa and modelling of their impact

<ol> <li>Aliban A, Obi E, Mansiangi P, et al. Variation of physical durability between LLIN products and net use environments: summary of findings from four African countries. <i>Malar J</i> 201;20(1):26. doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03549-2 [published Online First: 2021/01/09]</li> <li>Malima RC, Magesa SM, Tungu PK, et al. An experimental hut evaluation of Olyset® nets against anopheline mosquitoes after seven years use in Tanzanian villages. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2008;7(1):38. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-7-38</li> <li>N'Guessan R, Darriet F, Doannio JM, et al. Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid- resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C te d'Ivoire. <i>Med Vet Entomol</i> 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365- 2915.2001.0024x k [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net - Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>Rowland M, All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1054/v31_feb_01</li> <li>WHO, The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva apdf. 2017</li> <li>Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacey and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1368/41467-018-0737-w</li> <li>WHO, Hoe vot design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>NGuessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.138/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>Duo(R), a perm</li></ol>	672 673	on vectorial capacity. <i>Malar J</i> 2020;19(1):310. doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03383-6 [published Online First: 2020/08/30]
<ul> <li>15. Knim Kolfa, model and variation of paylock anomaly of curve African countries. <i>Malar J</i> 2021;20(1):26. doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03549-2 [published Online First: 2021/01/09]</li> <li>14. Malima RC, Magesa SM, Tungu PK, et al. An experimental hut evaluation of Olyset@ nets against anopheline mosquitoes after seven years use in Tanzanian villages. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2008;7(1):38. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-7-38</li> <li>15. N'Guessan R, Darriet F, Doannio JM, et al. Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid-resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years field use in C e d'Ivoire. <i>Med Vet Entomol</i> 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>16. Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>17. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dcc_01</li> <li>18. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2003;11:24. doi: 10.1564/v31_dbp_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium Indicjarum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Inte</li></ul>	674	13 Kilian A Obi F Mansiangi P et al Variation of physical durability between LUN
<ul> <li>Malar J 2021;20(1):26. doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03549-2 [published Online First: 2021/01/09]</li> <li>Malima RC, Magesa SM, Tungu PK, et al. An experimental hut evaluation of Olyset® nets against anopheline mosquitoes after seven years use in Tanzanian villages. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2008;7(1):38. doi: 10.1186/s12937-738</li> <li>N'Guessan R, Darriet F, Doamnio JM, et al. Olyset Net fefficacy against pyrethroid-resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C te d'Ivoire. <i>Med Vet Entomol</i> 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dcc_01</li> <li>Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1031/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G 2 LN. Geneva. Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and resistant strains of Anopheles gambiae s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huus. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051</li></ul>	675	products and net use environments: summary of findings from four African countries
<ul> <li>Johnson J. 2021 (1):00 doi: 10.1106/JDJ 000 000 00000 000000000000000000000</li></ul>	676	Malar I 2021:20(1):26 doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03549-2 [published Online First:
<ol> <li>Malina RC, Magesa SM, Tungu PK, et al. An experimental hut evaluation of Olyset® nets against anopheline mosquitoes after seven years use in Tanzanian villages. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2008;7(1):38. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-7-38</li> <li>N'Guessan R, Darriet F, Doannio JM, et al. Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid- resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C te d'Ivoire. <i>Med Vet Entomol</i> 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365- 2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>Rovland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control products. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>NGuessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-re</li></ol>	677	2021/01/09]
<ul> <li>In Marin Techniques and Provide Safter seven years use in Tanzanian villages. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2008;7(1):38. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-7-38</li> <li>N. Guessan R, Darriet F, Doannio JM, et al. Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid- resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C te d'Ivoire. <i>Med Vet Entomol</i> 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365- 2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Newland M. Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_fbb_01</li> <li>Newland M. All Nets are tequal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>NGuessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twenieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>Djeontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfon mixtur</li></ul>	678	14 Malima RC Magesa SM Tungu PK et al. An experimental but evaluation of Olyset®
<ul> <li><i>Malaria Journal</i> 2008;7(1):38. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-7-38</li> <li>I5. N'Guessan R, Darriet F, Doannio JM, et al. Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid- resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C te d'Ivoire. <i>Med Vet Entomol</i> 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365- 2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130): 1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29::242-44. doi: 10.1564/v32_dcc_01</li> <li>Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>NGuessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017;4-46.</li> <li>Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>Nyufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J,</li></ul>	679	nets against anopheline mosquitoes after seven years use in Tanzanian villages
<ol> <li>I5. NGuessan R, Darriet F, Doannio JM, et al. Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid-resistant Anopheles gambiae and Culex quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C te d'Ivoire. Med Vet Entomol 2001;15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. Lancet 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. Outlooks on Pest Management 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v32_dec_01</li> <li>Rowland M, Il Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. Outlooks on Pest Management 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>Nerrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. Nature Communications 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s1467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. PLoS One 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva &amp; Switzerland: WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva &amp; Switzerland: WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva &amp; Switzerland: WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva &amp; Switzerland: WO1/12127. doi: 10.1038/s41459-020-69109-5</li> <li>Ipublished Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;</li></ol>	680	Malaria Journal 2008:7(1):38 doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-7-38
<ul> <li>Provide and the product of product product of product</li></ul>	681	15 N'Guessan R Darriet F Doannio IM et al Olyset Net efficacy against pyrethroid-
<ul> <li>Prostant in Protocol and Control (15(1):97-104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>NethO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva, pdf. 2017</li> <li>Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>NGuessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: WrOPES gambiae s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parastile</i> 2015;22:7. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha-cypermethrin and pryiproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>Corristophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73-8</li> <li>Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The steril</li></ul>	682	resistant Anonheles gambiae and Culey quinquefasciatus after 3 years' field use in C
<ul> <li>2915.2001.00284.x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]</li> <li>16. Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>17. Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dcc_01</li> <li>18. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_efb_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha-cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2</li></ul>	683	te d'Ivoire Med Vet Entomol 2001:15(1):97-104 doi: 10.1046/i.1365-
<ul> <li>16. Killeen GF, Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled. <i>Lancet</i> 2018;391(10130):1551-52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>17. Rowland M, Protopopoff N, Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>18. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks on Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva, pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/jarasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alphaccypermethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christop</li></ul>	684	2915 2001 00284 x [published Online First: 2001/04/12]
<ul> <li>10. Entropy of the entr</li></ul>	685	16 Killeen GF Ranson H. Insecticide-resistant malaria vectors must be tackled <i>Lancet</i>
<ul> <li>First: 2018/04/16]</li> <li>17. Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. Outlooks on Pest Management 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dcc_01</li> <li>18. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. Outlooks on Pest Management 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. Nature Communications 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. PLoS One 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of Anopheles gambiae s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. Parasite 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. Sci Rep 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73- 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing ef</li></ul>	686	2018:391(10130):1551-52 doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30844-4 [published Online
<ul> <li>17. Rowland M, Protopopoff N. Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at Last. Outlooks on Pest Management 2018;29:242-44. doi: 10.1564/v29_dec_01</li> <li>18. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. Outlooks on Pest Management 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. Nature <i>Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. PLoS One 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of Anopheles gambiae s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. Parasite 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. Sci Rep 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73- 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological im</li></ul>	687	First: 2018/04/16]
<ul> <li>In Roman M, Horspor M, Darman MC, 1997 (1997). Particular Laming L</li></ul>	688	17 Rowland M Protononoff N Dawn of the PBO-Pyrethroid Long Lasting Net – Light at
<ul> <li>18. Rowland M. All Nets are Equal, But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others. <i>Outlooks</i> on <i>Pest Management</i> 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/v31_feb_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature</i> <i>Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. NGuessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73- 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yov</li></ul>	689	Last Outlooks on Pest Management 2018:29:242-44 doi: 10.1564/y29 dec. 01
<ul> <li>16. Roman Marker Management 2020;31:2-4. doi: 10.1564/31_feb_01</li> <li>19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alphacypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73-8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of clas</li></ul>	690	18 Rowland M All Nets are Equal But Some Nets are More Equal Than Others <i>Outlooks</i>
<ul> <li>9. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017</li> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenottin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohou J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha-cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73–8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Ganaguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resi</li></ul>	691	on Pest Management 2020:31:2-4 doi: 10.1564/v31 feb. 01
<ol> <li>20. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, et al. Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature</i> <i>Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73- 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Ganaguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i></li></ol>	692	19. WHO. The evaluation process for vector control products. Geneva.pdf. 2017
<ul> <li>spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature</i> <i>Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>Z. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73- 8</li> <li>Xoama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	693	20. Sherrard-Smith E. Griffin JT. Winskill P. et al. Systematic review of indoor residual
<ul> <li><i>Communications</i> 2018;9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w</li> <li>WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>Z2. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malari</i> 2 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	694	spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. <i>Nature</i>
<ol> <li>21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO, ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ol>	695	<i>Communications</i> 2018:9(1):4982. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07357-w
<ul> <li>WHO, 2017.</li> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	696	21. WHO. How to design vector control efficacy trials. In: WHO. ed. Geneva, Switzerland:
<ol> <li>22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2 Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ol>	697	WHO. 2017.
<ul> <li>Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>Jjenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	698	22. N'Guessan R, Odjo A, Ngufor C, et al. A Chlorfenapyr Mixture Net Interceptor(R) G2
<ul> <li>Africa. <i>PLoS One</i> 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925</li> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	699	Shows High Efficacy and Wash Durability against Resistant Mosquitoes in West
<ul> <li>23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	700	Africa. PLoS One 2016;11(11):e0165925. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165925
<ul> <li>G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.</li> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	701	23. WHO. Report of the twentieth WHOPES working group meeting: Review of Interceptor
<ul> <li>24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	702	G2 LN. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2017:4-46.
<ul> <li>Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and -resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>S. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5 [published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>C. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	703	24. Djenontin A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi A, et al. Insecticidal and sterilizing effect of Olyset
<ul> <li>resistant strains of <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	704	Duo(R), a permethrin and pyriproxyfen mixture net against pyrethroid-susceptible and
<ul> <li>huts. <i>Parasite</i> 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027</li> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	705	-resistant strains of Anopheles gambiae s.s.: a release-recapture assay in experimental
<ul> <li>25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha- cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	706	huts. Parasite 2015;22:27. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2015027
<ul> <li>cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant</li> <li>malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73–</li> <li>8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the</li> <li>malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development.</li> <li><i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First:</li> <li>2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and</li> <li>new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting</li> <li>the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi:</li> <li>10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	707	25. Ngufor C, Agbevo A, Fagbohoun J, et al. Efficacy of Royal Guard, a new alpha-
<ul> <li>malaria vectors. <i>Sci Rep</i> 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5</li> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73–</li> <li>8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	708	cypermethrin and pyriproxyfen treated mosquito net, against pyrethroid-resistant
<ul> <li>[published Online First: 2020/07/24]</li> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73– 8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	709	malaria vectors. Sci Rep 2020;10(1):12227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-69109-5
<ul> <li>26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73–</li> <li>8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development.</li> <li><i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	710	[published Online First: 2020/07/24]
<ul> <li>8</li> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development.</li> <li><i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	711	26. Christophers S. The development of the egg follicle in anophelines. Paludism. 1911;2:73–
<ul> <li>27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development. <i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First: 2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	712	8
<ul> <li>malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development.</li> <li><i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First:</li> <li>2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and</li> <li>new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting</li> <li>the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi:</li> <li>10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	713	27. Koama B, Namountougou M, Sanou R, et al. The sterilizing effect of pyriproxyfen on the
<ul> <li><i>Malar J</i> 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First:</li> <li>2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and</li> <li>new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting</li> <li>the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi:</li> <li>10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	714	malaria vector Anopheles gambiae: physiological impact on ovaries development.
<ul> <li>2015/04/17]</li> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	715	Malar J 2015;14:101. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0609-3 [published Online First:
<ul> <li>28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and</li> <li>new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting</li> <li>the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi:</li> <li>10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	716	2015/04/17]
<ul> <li>new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting</li> <li>the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 2017;16(1) doi:</li> <li>10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x</li> </ul>	717	28. Allossogbe M, Gnanguenon V, Yovogan B, et al. WHO cone bio-assays of classical and
719the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. Malaria Journal 2017;16(1) doi:72010.1186/s12936-017-1727-x	718	new-generation long-lasting insecticidal nets call for innovative insecticides targeting
720 10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x	719	the knock-down resistance mechanism in Benin. Malaria Journal 2017;16(1) doi:
	720	10.1186/s12936-017-1727-x

721	29. Oxborough RM, N'Guessan R, Jones R, et al. The activity of the pyrrole insecticide
722	chlorfenapyr in mosquito bioassay: towards a more rational testing and screening of
723	non-neurotoxic insecticides for malaria vector control. Malaria Journal
724	2015;14(1):124. doi: 10.1186/s12936-015-0639-x
725	30. WHO. Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector
726	mosquitoes. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health organisation, 2016.
727	31. Smith A, Chabeda PI. A verandah-trap hut for studying the house-frequenting habits of
728	mosquitoes and for assessing insecticides. IV. The effect of tetramethrin on the
729	behaviour and mortality of Anopheles gambiae Giles. Bull Entomol Res
730	1969;59(3):457-63. doi: 10.1017/s0007485300003424 [published Online First:
731	1969/12/01]
732	32. Briet OJ, Penny MA, Hardy D, et al. Effects of pyrethroid resistance on the cost
733	effectiveness of a mass distribution of long-lasting insecticidal nets: a modelling
734	study. Malar J 2013;12:77. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-12-77
735	33. Bayili K, N'do S, Namountougou M, et al. Evaluation of efficacy of Interceptor((R)) G2,
736	a long-lasting insecticide net coated with a mixture of chlorfenapyr and alpha-
737	cypermethrin, against pyrethroid resistant Anopheles gambiae s.l. in Burkina Faso.
738	Malar J 2017;16(1):190. doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1846-4 [published Online First:
739	2017/05/10]
740	34. WHO. Vector Control Technical Export Group report to MPAC September 2013:
741	Estimating functional survival of long-lasting insecticidal nets from field data: World
742	Health Organisation, 2013.
743	





