Tobacco Smoking and Associated Factors among In-school Adolescents in

2 Vietnam in 2013, 2019

1

3

7

- 4 Hoang Van Minh¹, Khuong Quynh Long¹, Do Van Vuong¹, Nguyen Manh Hung¹, Kidong
- 5 Park², Momoe Takeuchi², Mina Kashiwabara², Nguyen Tuan Lam², Pham Thi Quynh Nga², Le
- 6 Phuong Anh², Le Van Tuan³, Tran Quoc Bao⁴, Le Duong Minh Anh⁵, Tran Thi Tuyet Hanh¹
- 8 ¹Hanoi University of Public Health, Hanoi, 10000, Vietnam
- 9 ²World Health Organization, Country Office for Viet Nam, Hanoi, 10000, Viet Nam
- ³Vietnam Ministry of Education and Training, Hanoi, 100000, Vietnam
- ⁴General Department of Preventive Medicine, Ministry of Health, Hanoi, 100000, Vietnam
- ⁵High school for Gifted student, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam National University.

Abstract

Tobacco smoking is one of the most dangerous risk behaviors, leading to many adverse human health consequences. The aims of this study is to estimate the prevalence of tobacco smoking and related factor among adolescents aged 13–17 years in Vietnam. The data were from two rounds of the Vietnam Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS) that is the nationally representative survey conducted in 2013 and 2019. The logistic regressions were carried out to identify factors associated with tobacco smoking among study participants. We found the prevalence of current smoking (water pipe and cigarettes) reduced significantly from 5.4% (95% CI: 4.0–7.2) in 2013 to 2.8% (95% CI: 2.2–3.6) in 2019. In 2019, 2.6% of students used electronic cigarette products in the last 30 days. Factors associated with higher odds of current smoking status included study year, gender, parental monitoring, loneliness, suicide attempt, sexual intercourse, truancy, alcohol drinking. Similar patterns were found in ecigarette use. Smoking among adolescents in Vietnam reduced between 2013 and 2019. Further follow-up studies are needed to confirm the causal factors of the reduction and e-cigarettes use.

Introduction

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

Tobacco smoking is one among the most dangerous risk behaviors, leading to many adverse human health consequences, such as cancers, cardiovascular diseases, chronic pulmonary diseases and many other types of health problems [1, 2]. Tobacco smoking is related to more than 8 million cases of death annually and this figure will continue to increase in the coming time [1]. Around 80% of 1.3 billion smokers are living in lower-middle income countries (LMIC), where tobacco-related burden of disease is the most severe [2]. Compelling research evidence showed that the age of first tobacco smoking is in the trend of decreasing [3-5]. More than 50% of current smokers start to smoke since adolescent [2, 6]. In fact, according to the Global Youth Tobacco Survey conducted in 61 countries between 2012 and 2015, the median proportion of current smokers among students aged 13-15 years old was 10.7% [7, 8]. Adolescent smoking before 18 year old tends to form a habit and should be harder to quit than the others [9]. Vietnam is one of the 15 countries with highest proportion of male smokers in the world. The prevalence of current smoking among adults aged 15 years old in Vietnam in 2015 was 22.5% (45.3% among men, 1.1% among women) [10]. Approximately 75,000 people dying each year due to complications caused by smoking [11]. In 2011, the total economic cost related to smoking was around US\$ 1.2 billion, equivalent to 5.76% of the national healthcare budget [12]. Although the prevalence of smoking among adolescents in Vietnam seems to be still not high, i.e. 3.5% (6.3% among boys and 0.9%) in 2014 among the children aged 13-15 years old [13], the issues of youth smoking in Vietnam should not be underestimated because tobacco companies are trying to expand cigarette markets by promoting cigarettes to adolescents [9]. Also, youth smokers are three times more likely than non-smokers to use alcohol, eight times more likely to use marijuana, and 22 times more likely to use cocaine. Smoking is associated with a host of other risky behaviors, such as fighting and engaging in unprotected sex [9].

In 2001, to support the tobacco prevention and control efforts, WHO in collaboration with UNAIDS, UNESCO, UNICEF, and with technical assistance from the U.S. CDC initiated the development of the Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS). GSHS is a collaborative surveillance project designed to help countries measure and assess the behavioral risk factors and protective factors in 10 key areas among young people aged 13 to 17 years. The GSHS is a relatively low-cost school-based survey, which uses a self-administered questionnaire to obtain data on young people's health behavior and protective factors related to the leading causes of morbidity and mortality among children and adults worldwide [14]. In Vietnam, the GSHS was conducted in 2013 and 2019 to provide data on health behaviors and protective factors among students. aiming to help developing priorities, establish programs, and advocate for resources for school health and youth health programs and policies [15, 16]. In this paper, using the data from the Vietnam GSHS 2013 and 2019, we aim to describe the prevalence of tobacco smoking and associated factors among students aged 13-17 years.

Methods

Data source

The Vietnam GSHS 2013 and 2019 used the standardized protocol of WHO with technical support from the US CDC, which was designed to collect data from students in developing countries [17]. The Vietnam GSHS employed a two-stage cluster sampling design to produce a nationally representative sample of school students aged 13-17. In the first stage, schools were selected based on a probability proportional to enrolment size. In the second stage, in each selected school, classes were chosen using the simple random sampling technique. The final sample for GSHS 2013 was 3331 students from 13 cities/provinces (response rate was 96.0%), while the sample size for GSHS 2019 was 7796 students from 20 cities/provinces (response rate was 93.5%).

Questionnaire development

In the Vietnam GSHS 2013 survey, the GSHS standardized questionnaire in English [17] was translated into Vietnamese using the forward and backward translation. The 2013 translated questionnaire was pilot tested with 50 students before official use. In the GSHS 2019, we used the 2013 version with some updates as per the 2019 original English version. We also used relevant indicators from the 25 Global Non-Communicable Diseases and Healthy Vietnam [18] to adapt into the Vietnam GSHS 2019 questionnaire. The 2019 questionnaire was pilot tested with 120 students aged 13–17 years for the comprehension of questions, the appropriateness of language, and checking for any logical issues. The pilot data were reviewed by senior researchers to suggest modifications to the translation to improve clarity.

Data collection

In each selected class, a homeroom teacher supported us to explain to students about the study. We provided information about the Vietnam GSHS and consent forms for the students and requested them to share these documents with parents for signing as an agreement to participate in the study. The following day, we administered GSHS questionnaire among students who had consent from their parents and were willing to participate in the study. Data collectors, who were medical or public health students, were trained on both GSHS questionnaire and data collection procedure, took main responsibilities for the data collection process. Students answered the self-administered questionnaire on separate, computer scanable answer sheets, during one classroom period. All the procedure of data collection was following WHO's guidance and templates.

Measurements

In this paper, the dependent variable was current smoking status (smoking in the past 30 days). The independent variables included demographic characteristics, including gender (male/female) and age (from 13 to 17); parental factors, including parental monitoring (parents most of the time/always checked homework or know what their children do in their free time yes/no), parental understanding (parents most of the time/always understood problems/worries or gave advice/guidance – yes/no), and parental respect (parents most of the time/always respect their children or their personal space – yes/no); have close friend (students who had any close friends – yes/no); mental health, including loneliness (students who most of the time/always felt lonely during the past 12 months – yes/no) and suicide attempt (students who seriously considered attempting suicide in the past 12 months – yes/no); violence (students who were physically attacked in the past 12 months – yes/no); bullying (students who were bullied at school in the past 30 days – yes/no); sexual intercourse (students who have ever had sexual intercourse – yes/no); truancy (students who missed any days without permission during the past 30 days – yes/no); risk behaviors, including drinking alcohol (students who drank at least 1 drink in the past 30 days – yes/no), sedentary behaviors (student who spent three or more hours a day to do these activities – yes/no), and low fruit/vegetable intake (students who ate less than 5 time fruits/vegetables per day in the past 30 days – yes/no). We also assessed the smoking environment of students defined as students who had seen/contacted with smokers in the past 7 days (yes/no). The questions used to identify smoking status and other classification of statuses are described in Table1.

(Insert Table 1 here)

Data processing and analysis

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

Data entry was conducted using a scanning device and then transformed into the data frame using Stata software. The data set collected were cleaned and edited for inconsistencies, following the guideline for data users published at the CDC website. A weighting factor was

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

applied to each student record to reflect the likelihood of sampling each student and to reduce bias by compensating for different patterns of nonresponse. The weight used for estimation was given by. W = W1 * W2 * W3 * f1 * f2 * f3In which: W1 is the inverse of the probability of selecting the province W2 is the inverse of the probability of selecting the school W3 is the inverse of the probability of selecting the classroom within the school f1 is a school level nonresponse adjustment factor calculated by school. f2 is a student level nonresponse adjustment factor calculated by class size category. The factor was calculated in terms of class enrolment instead of number of classes. f3 is a post-stratification adjustment factor calculated by rural/urban and grade. In GHSH 2019, among 7796 students, 106 self-identified as other-gender. Due to the small sample size of the other-gender group, and to be consistent with GSHS 2013, we included male and female students (n = 7690) in the analysis. Logistic regressions were used to identify factors related to current cigarettes and e-cigarettes smoking. All analyses were conducted using Stata v16 with survey package "svy" to take into account the complex sample design (strata, cluster and weights). Consent and ethical consideration This survey was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hanoi University of Public Health (IRB No 421/2019/YTCC-HD3 dated 06th August 2019). The study also received supports from Ministry of Health, Ministry of Education and Training, Provincial Department of Education and Training, and selected schools. All students participating in this survey were explained the objectives of the study. Survey procedures were designed to protect student privacy by

allowing for anonymous and voluntary participation. Specifically, their participations were completely voluntary, which was clearly indicated in the Consent Form for Participation in the Research. The students had the right to withdraw from the study or refuse to answer any specific questions in the questionnaire without any consequences. All personal information of school students was kept confidential, and all information was encrypted.

Results

Characteristics of the study students

The characteristics of the study students are presented in **Table 2**. The patterns of parental monitoring, having close friends, reporting loneliness, suicide attempt, sexual intercourse and sedentary behaviors were quite similar in both study years. The percentages of students who reported having parental understanding parental respect were higher in the GSHS 2019. The proportions of student who experienced violence, bully, truancy and drinking alcohol in the past 30 days were lower in GSHS 2019.

(Insert Table 2 here)

Pattern of current tobacco smoking among the study students

As shown in **Table 3**, the prevalence of current tobacco smoking (both water pipe and cigarettes) among the study students in 2013 and 2019 were 5.4% (95% CI: 4.0–7.2) and 2.8% (95% CI: 2.2–3.6). The difference was statistically significant. Significant decreases in the prevalence of smoking among the study students from 2013 to 2019 were found for both cigarette smoking and water pipe. In 2019, 2.6% of students reported that they used electronic cigarette products in the last 30 days.

(Insert Table 3 here)

The prevalence of current traditional tobacco smoking and e-cigarettes smoking (and 95% CI) among the study students by their characteristics (among all study students and the male students) are shown in **Supplemental Table 1** and **Supplemental Table 2**.

Factors associated with traditional tobacco smoking among the study students

Table 4 shows the results of logistic regression analyses of factors associated with traditional tobacco smoking status among the study students. Among all students, after controlling for other independent variables in the model, the statistically significant factors associated with current smoking status were: 1) study year: lower in 2019 (OR = 0.66); 2) gender: higher among male student (OR = 4.20); 3) parental monitoring: lower in the group with high level of parental monitoring (OR = 0.57); 4) loneliness: higher among those who felt loneliness (OR = 1.57); 5) suicide attempt: higher among those who had suicide attempt (OR = 1.71); 6) sexual intercourse: higher among those who had sexual intercourse (OR = 4.56); 7) truancy: higher among those who reported truancy (OR = 2.23); 8) alcohol drinking: higher among those who drank alcohol in the past 30 days (OR = 4.17). Among male students, after controlling for other independent variables in the model, statistically significant association was found with age, with higher odds of tobacco smoking among older students (OR = 2.0-2.3). All associated factors found in the model for all students remained significant in the model for males, excepting for study year, loneliness, and suicide attempt.

(Insert Table 4 here)

Factors associated with e- cigarettes smoking among the study students

Factors associated with e-cigarette use among students in 2019 are presented in **Table 5**. We found that being male, attempting suicide in the past 12 months, having sexual intercourse, being bullied, truancy, drinking alcohol, and spending three or more hours on sedentary behaviors were significant factors increasing the odds of using e-cigarettes among students.

Especially, students who reported drinking alcohol in the past 30 days were five times more likely to be an e-cigarette user (OR = 5.38, 95% CI: 3.75-7.71). However, having high parental monitoring and respect decreased the odds of being e-cigarette users by 44% and 36% among students, respectively. All these associated factors remained significant in the regression model for male students, excepting parental respect, and truancy. Age, parental understanding, loneliness, violence suffering, and fruit/vegetable intake were found to be not significantly associated with e-cigarette use among students.

(Insert Table 5 here)

Discussion

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

Tobacco smoking is one of the most dangerous risk behaviors. In this study, we used the data from the Vietnam GSHS 2013 and 2019 to describe the prevalence of tobacco smoking and associated factors among school students aged 13-17 years old. We found that the prevalence of traditional tobacco smoking decreased significantly from 2013 to 2019. The prevalence of students using e-cigarettes in the last 30 days was 2.6% in 2019. Factors associated with higher odds of traditional smoking included gender, mental health problems, sexual intercourse, truancy, and alcohol consumption. Risk factors of e-cigarettes smoking included gender, mental health problems, bullying, sexual intercourse, truancy, alcohol consumption, and sedentary behaviors. In contrast, the protective factor for traditional smoking was parental monitoring; for e-cigarettes smoking were parental monitoring and parental respect. We found a significant decrease in the prevalence of traditional tobacco smoking among the students aged 13-17 years old in Vietnam from 2013 to 2019. This tendency can be explained by positive and effective activities of Vietnam steering committee on smoking and health and other partner organizations. The communicating and educating activities about tobacco harms were performed with many methods which was attracted attention and increasing knowledge of community. The Global Adult Tobacco Use Survey (GATS 2015) in Vietnam also indicated

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

a decreasing trend in adult smoking rates, from 23.8% in 2010 to 22.5% in 2015 [19]. In the literature, the prevalence of tobacco smoking varies across countries and the time surveyed. According to a multi-country analysis of tobacco use among adolescents aged 13-15 years old (data of GSHS from 44 countries, 110 sites) [20], the overall prevalence of tobacco use ranged from 0.9% in Tajikistan (2006) to 32.8% in Chile (2005). In South-East Asia region, the prevalence of cigarette smoking ranged from 1.2% in India (2007) to 11.7% in Indonesia (2007). Within the Western Pacific region, the prevalence of tobacco use ranged from 3.5% in China (2003) to 12.3% in Philippines, Luzon (2003) [20]. Regarding the trend of tobacco use among the adolescents, several studies have also shown the declining trend. Study of Choi et al. using data from the Health and Nutrition Examination Survey from 1998 to 2013 and the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey from 2005 to 2013 indicated a clear decline trend among girls [21]. The global report on the trends of tobacco use from 2000 and projected to 2025 showed that the smoking prevalence among people aged 15 to 25 years will decrease from 22.6% in 2010 to 14.2% in 2025 [22]. In line with previous studies, our study confirmed that the risk factors of tobacco smoking included male gender [21, 23, 24], mental health problems [25, 26], having sexual intercourse [27], truancy [28, 29], and alcohol consumption [23, 24, 28]. The prevalence of e-cigarette use among youth widely varies across countries. For example, the prevalence of daily use of e-cigarette among New Zealander youth aged 14-15 in 2019 was 3.1% [30], 4.4% of adolescents aged 15-17 years in Taiwan reported ever having used ecigarettes in 2015 [31], and very high in the US with 27.5% of high school students and 10.5% of middle school students reported current e-cigarette use (in the past 30 days) in 2019 [32]. In addition, the prevalence of e-cigarette use in youth increased rapidly over a short period of time in some countries [33]. Current e-cigarette use among US high school students, for instance, rose from 1.5% to 16% during the 2011-2015 period [34] before reaching the current level. The

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

prevalence of e-cigarette uses among Vietnamese students in our study (2.6%) seemed to be lower than the above-mentioned countries. This can be explained that currently e-cigarettes are not allowed to be imported to Viet Nam, all the e-cigarette products in the markets are illegal/smuggled products. Most e-cigarettes contain nicotine that can lead to respiratory ailments, negative impacts on attention, learning, and memory among adolescents and young adults, while long-term health effects of e-cigarette use remain unknown [35-37]. Moreover, some evidence indicates that ecigarette use in adolescents can be a gateway to the initiation of drugs and other tobacco products use [37, 38], thereby causing more harmful effects. The design of e-cigarette devices and its variety of liquid solutions flavors (i.e., menthol, fruit, coffee, candy, etc.) that hide the harsh taste of nicotine can be an appeal to adolescents. Another concern is that many adolescents and young adults may perceive that vaping is cool, socially acceptable, or no harmful effects [39, 40], and adolescents are susceptible to peer influences, which can make ecigarette use quickly become popular among adolescents. Therefore, despite the low prevalence of e-cigarette smoking in adolescents in Vietnam, it should be given adequate attention from experts working on tobacco control. Also, we found that e-cigarette use was associated with other unhealthy behaviors among Vietnamese students, such as alcohol drinking, sexual intercourse, truancy, and sedentary behaviors, suggesting that health education programs for adolescents should comprehensively target on this compound risk factors rather than individual factor. However, longitudinal studies are needed to determine causal factors associated with e-cigarette use among Vietnamese adolescents. It is noticed that a high level of parental monitoring was associated with lower odds of smoking among the students. Vietnam is a country with a traditional family-oriented culture, parental factors always play a crucial role in children's behaviors. Some studies have also shown the protective effects of parental factors on children's behaviors, including smoking status.

Castrucci's research about the association between parenting style and US adolescent smoking indicated that parenting style was associated with a 26% reduction in adolescent smoking [32]. A study in Cook Islands, Curacao, and East Timor also found that parental monitoring, which was measured in four aspects: understanding, knowing free time activities of children, (went over things without permission, and checking homework), related to the lower odds of smoking of adolescents aged 13 to 17 years old [41]. Together the results highlighted the need to incorporate parental involvement in programs that are designed to reduce tobacco smoking among adolescents.

Limitations

There are several limitations of this study. First, despite a national representative school-based sampling frame, results from this study cannot be generalized to all Vietnamese adolescent as those who were not attending schools were not included in this study. In addition, due to the cross-sectional design, we were unable to determine causal factors of a decreased trend in cigarette smoking as well as the emerging of e-cigarette use among Vietnamese students. Third, data were self-reported and might be subject to recall and response bias.

References

- 293 1. World Health Organization Tobacco 2020 [Available from:
- 294 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco.
- 295 2. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases 2020 [Available from:
- 296 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases.
- 3. Bilgiç N, Günay T. Evaluation of effectiveness of peer education on smoking behavior
- among high school students. Saudi Med J. 2018;39(1):74-80.
- 299 4. Vasilopoulos A, Gourgoulianis K, Hatzoglou C, Roupa Z. Juvenile (age 13-18)
- smoking incidence determinants in Greece. International journal of nursing practice. 2014;21.
- Wang M, Zhong J-M, Fang L, Wang H. Prevalence and associated factors of smoking
- in middle and high school students: a school-based cross-sectional study in Zhejiang Province,
- 303 China. BMJ Open. 2016;6(1):e010379.
- 304 6. Sarmento D, Yehadji D. An analysis of global youth tobacco survey for developing a
- comprehensive national smoking policy in Timor-Leste. BMC Public Health. 2015;16.
- 306 7. Karadoğan D, Önal Ö, Kanbay Y. Prevalence and determinants of smoking status
- 307 among university students: Artvin Coruh University sample. PLoS One.
- 308 2018;13(12):e0200671-e.
- 309 8. Arrazola RA, Ahluwalia IB, Pun E, Garcia de Ouevedo I, Babb S, Armour BS. Current
- 310 Tobacco Smoking and Desire to Quit Smoking Among Students Aged 13-15 Years Global
- 311 Youth Tobacco Survey, 61 Countries, 2012-2015. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly
- 312 report. 2017;66(20):533-7.
- 313 9. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Preventing
- Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta:
- 315 CDC; 2012.
- 316 10. Vietnam Ministry of Health. Vietnam Global Adults Tobacco Use Survey 2015. 2016.

- 317 11. Tobacco Free Kids. Vietnam Factsheet on tobacco burden 2015. 2016.
- Hoang Anh PT, Thu LT, Ross H, Quynh Anh N, Linh BN, Minh NT. Direct and indirect
- costs of smoking in Vietnam. Tobacco Control. 2016;25(1):96.
- 320 13. Huong le T, Vu NT, Dung NN, Xuan le TT, Giang KB, Hai PT, et al. Cigarette Smoking
- among Adolescents aged 13-15 in Viet Nam and Correlates of Current Cigarette Smoking:
- Results from GYTS 2014 Data. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP.
- 323 2016;17(S1):17-23.
- 324 14. World Health Organization. Global school-based student health survey (GSHS)
- 325 implementation: World Health Organization; 2019 [Available from:
- 326 https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/gshs/country/en/.
- 327 15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, World Health Organization. Vietnam
- 328 Global School-Based Student Health Survey 2012-2013. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health
- 329 Organization (WHO); 2013.
- 330 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS)
- 331 2007. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2007.
- 332 17. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global School-based Student Health
- 333 Survey (GSHS) [12 July 2020]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/gshs/index.htm.
- 334 18. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable Diseases Global Monitoring
- 335 Framework [cited 2020 12 July 2020]. Available from:
- 336 https://www.who.int/nmh/global_monitoring_framework/en/.
- 337 19. GATS Vietnam. Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) Viet Nam 2015. 2016.
- 20. Page RM, Danielson M. Multi-country, cross-national comparison of youth tobacco
- 339 use: Findings from Global School-based Health Surveys. Addictive Behaviors.
- 340 2011;36(5):470-8.

- 21. Choi S, Park S, Jihye L, Kim Y, Oh K. Trends in cigarette smoking among adolescents
- and adults in South Korea. Epidemiology and health. 2014;36.
- World Health Organization. WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco use
- 344 2000-2025, third edition. 2019.
- 345 23. Algorinees R, Alreshidi I, Alateeq M, Alghuraymi A, Alfayez A, Almuzaini F, et al.
- 346 Prevalence of Cigarette Smoking Usage among Adolescent Students in Northern Saudi Arabia.
- Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP. 2016;17:3837-41.
- 348 24. Duc DM, Vui LT, Son HN, Minh HV. Smoking Initiation and Cessation among Youths
- 349 in Vietnam: A Longitudinal Study Using the Chi Linh Demographic-Epidemiological
- 350 Surveillance System (CHILILAB DESS). AIMS Public Health. 2016;4(1):1-18.
- 351 25. Hockenberry JM, Timmons EJ, Weg MWV. Adolescent mental health as a risk factor
- for adolescent smoking onset. Adolesc Health Med Ther. 2011;2:27-35.
- 353 26. Weiss JW, Spruijt-Metz D, Palmer PH, Chou C-P, Johnson CA. Smoking among
- 354 Adolescents in China: An Analysis Based upon the Meanings of Smoking Theory. American
- 355 Journal of Health Promotion. 2006;20(3):171-8.
- 356 27. Tyas SL, Pederson LL. Psychosocial factors related to adolescent smoking: a critical
- review of the literature. Tobacco Control. 1998;7(4):409.
- 358 28. Mat Hassan N, Abdul Aziz A, Husain R, Daud N, Juhari SN. Association of prosocial
- behavior with ever smoking and alcohol drinking among school-going adolescents. Heliyon.
- 360 2020;6(7).
- Perelman J, Leão T, Kunst AE. Smoking and school absenteeism among 15- to 16-year-
- old adolescents: a cross-section analysis on 36 European countries. Eur J Public Health.
- 363 2019;29(4):778-84.

- 364 30. Walker N, Parag V, Wong SF, Youdan B, Broughton B, Bullen C, et al. Use of e-
- 365 cigarettes and smoked tobacco in youth aged 14-15 years in New Zealand: findings from
- repeated cross-sectional studies (2014-19). The Lancet Public health. 2020;5(4):e204-e12.
- 367 31. Chang H-C, Tsai Y-W, Shiu M-N, Wang Y-T, Chang P-Y. Elucidating challenges that
- 368 electronic cigarettes pose to tobacco control in Asia: a population-based national survey in
- 369 Taiwan. BMJ open. 2017;7(3):e014263-e.
- 370 32. Cullen KA, Gentzke AS, Sawdey MD, Chang JT, Anic GM, Wang TW, et al. e-
- Cigarette Use Among Youth in the United States, 2019. JAMA. 2019;322(21):2095-103.
- 372 33. Hammond D, Reid JL, Rynard VL, Fong GT, Cummings KM, McNeill A, et al.
- 373 Prevalence of vaping and smoking among adolescents in Canada, England, and the United
- 374 States: repeat national cross sectional surveys. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2019;365:12219.
- 375 34. Wang TW, Gentzke A, Sharapova S, Cullen KA, Ambrose BK, Jamal A. Tobacco
- 376 Product Use Among Middle and High School Students United States, 2011-2017. MMWR
- Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2018;67(22):629-33.
- 378 35. Abreu-Villaça Y, Seidler FJ, Tate CA, Slotkin TA. Nicotine is a neurotoxin in the
- adolescent brain: critical periods, patterns of exposure, regional selectivity, and dose thresholds
- for macromolecular alterations. Brain research. 2003;979(1-2):114-28.
- 381 36. Schochet TL, Kelley AE, Landry CF. Differential expression of arc mRNA and other
- 382 plasticity-related genes induced by nicotine in adolescent rat forebrain. Neuroscience.
- 383 2005;135(1):285-97.
- 384 37. Office of the Surgeon General. E-cigarette Use among Youth and Young Adults: A
- Report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human
- 386 Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2016.
- 387 38. Barrington-Trimis JL, Urman R, Berhane K, Unger JB, Cruz TB, Pentz MA, et al. E-
- 388 Cigarettes and Future Cigarette Use. Pediatrics. 2016;138(1).

- 389 39. Sanders-Jackson AN, Tan AS, Bigman CA, Henriksen L. Knowledge About E-
- 390 Cigarette Constituents and Regulation: Results From a National Survey of U.S. Young Adults.
- 391 Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and
- 392 Tobacco. 2015;17(10):1247-54.
- 393 40. Choi K, Fabian L, Mottey N, Corbett A, Forster J. Young adults' favorable perceptions
- of snus, dissolvable tobacco products, and electronic cigarettes: findings from a focus group
- 395 study. American journal of public health. 2012;102(11):2088-93.
- 396 41. Shaikh MA, Zare Z, Ng KW, Celedonia KL, Lowery Wilson M. Tobacco Use and
- 397 Parental Monitoring—Observations from Three Diverse Island Nations—Cook Islands,
- 398 Curação, and East Timor. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.
- 399 2020;17(20).

Table 1: Definitions of dependent and independent variables analysed

Variables	Question	Definition used in this paper
Dependent varia	bles	
Smoked traditional tobacco	 - During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke cigarettes? - During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use "thuoc lao" (Vietnamese water pipe)? 1 "0 days" to 7 "All 30 days" 	Students who smoked at least 1 time (cigarettes or Vietnamese water pipe) in the past 30 days (Yes vs. No)
Smoked e- cigarettes	During past 30 days, on how many days did you use electronic cigarettes? 1 "0 days" to 7 "All 30 days"	Students who smoked e-cigarettes at least 1 time in the past 30 days (Yes vs. No)
Independent vari	ables	
Gender Age	How old are you? 1 "13 year-old" to 5 "17 year-old or older"	Male vs. Female From 13 to 17
Parental monitoring	 - During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians check to see if your homework was done? - During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians really know what you were doing with your free time? 1 "Never"; 2 "Rarely"; 3 "Sometimes"; 4 "Most of the time"; 5 "Always" 	Students whose parents most of the time/always checked homework or know what their children do in their free time (High vs. Low)
Parental understanding	 - During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians understand your problems and worries? - During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians give you advice and guidance? 1 "Never"; 2 "Rarely"; 3 "Sometimes"; 4 "Most of the time"; 5 "Always" - During the past 30 days, how often did your parents 	Students whose parents most of the time/always understood problems/worries or gave advice/guidance (High vs. Low)
Parental respect	or guardians go through your things without your approval? - During the past 30 days, how often did your parents or guardians not respect you as a person (for example, not let you talk or favor someone else more than you)? 1 "Never"; 2 "Rarely"; 3 "Sometimes"; 4 "Most of the time"; 5 "Always"	Students whose parents most of the time/always respect their children or their personal space (reverse scale) (Yes vs. No)
Have close friends	How many close friends do you have? 1 "0" to 3 "3 or more"	Students who had any close friends (Yes vs. No)
Loneliness	During the past 12 months, how often have you felt lonely? 1 "Never"; 2 "Rarely"; 3 "Sometimes"; 4 "Most of the time"; 5 "Always"	Students who most of the time/always felt lonely during the past 12 months (Yes vs. No)
Suicide attempt	During the past 12 months, did you ever seriously consider attempting suicide? <i>I "No"; 2 "Yes"</i>	Students who seriously considered attempting suicide in the past 12 months (Yes vs. No)
Violence	During the past 12 months, how many times were you physically attacked? 1 "0 time"; 2 "1 time" to 8 "12 or more time"	Students who were physically attacked in the past 12 months (Yes vs. No)
Bullied	During the past 30 days, on how many days were you bullied at school (or near school or on the way to and from school)? I "0 day" to 7 "all 30 days"	Students who were bullied at school in the past 30 days (Yes vs. No)
Sexual intercourse	Have you ever had sexual intercourse? 0 "No"; 1 "Yes"	Students who have ever had sexual intercourse (Yes vs. No)
Truancy	During the past 30 days, on how many days did you miss classes or school without permission? 1 "0 day" to 5 "10 days or more"	Students who missed any days without permission during the past 30 days

Variables	Question	Definition used in this paper
		(Yes vs. No)
Drinking alcohol	During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have at least one drink containing alcohol? 1 "0 days" to 7 "All 30 days"	Students who drank at least 1 drink in the past 30 days (Yes vs. No)
Sedentary behaviours	How much time do you spend during a typical or usual day sitting and watching television, playing computer games, relaxing with iPad, mobile phone, talking with friends, or doing other sitting activities, such as book reading or using Facebook? 1 "Less than 1 hour per day"; 2 "1 to 2 hours per day"; 3 "3 to 4 hours per day" to 6 "More than 8 hours per day"	Student who spent three or more hours a day to do these activities (Yes vs. No)
Low fruit/vegetable intake	 - During the past 30 days, on average how many times per day did you usually eat fruit, such as a banana, apple, orange, guava, rambutan, watermelon, papaya, or mango etc.? - During the past 30 days, on average how many times per day did you usually eat vegetables, such as morning glory, cabbage, or mustard green etc.? 1 "I did not eat" to 7 "5 or more times per day" 	Students who ate less than 5-time fruits/vegetables per day in the past 30 days (Yes vs. No)
People smoked in presence in the past 7 days	During the past 7 days, on how many days have people smoked in your presence (at least one time per day)? 1 "0 days" to 5 "All 7 days"	Students who had seen/contacted with smokers in the past 7 days (Yes vs. No)
Smoked Shisha in the past 30 days	During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use Shisha? 1 "0 days" to 7 "All 30 days"	Students who smoked shisha at least 1 time in the past 30 days (Yes vs. No)

Table 2: Participants' characteristics

	,	2013	2	2019	
Characteristics	n	Weighted	n	Weighted	
NI	2221	%	7.00	%	
N Gender	3331		7690		
Male	1,557	46.9	3,572	46.0	
Female	1,765	53.1	4,118	54.0	
	1,703	33.1	4,110	34.0	
Age 13	897	21.7	1,024	16.7	
14	858	22.5	1,523	30.4	
15	542	19.6	1,672	19.9	
16	769	23.9	1,646	15.9	
17	264	12.3	1,825	17.1	
Parental monitoring	204	12.3	1,023	17.1	
Low	1,664	52.2	4,252	52.3	
High	1,662	47.8	3,410	47.7	
Parental understanding	1,002	47.0	5,410	71.7	
Low	2,283	69.5	3,586	44.2	
High	1,038	30.5	4,102	55.8	
Parental respect	1,050	30.3	7,102	33.0	
Low	1,209	36.8	1,176	14.3	
High	2,070	63.2	6,451	85.7	
Have close friends	2,070	03.2	0,731	03.7	
No	173	5.5	778	8.8	
Yes	3,138	94.5	6,816	91.2	
Loneliness	3,136	94.3	0,010	91.2	
No	2,910	88.5	6,589	87.7	
Yes	367	11.5	1,098	12.3	
Suicide attempt	307	11.5	1,070	12.3	
No	2,750	83.1	6,333	84.6	
Yes	539	16.9	1,347	15.4	
Violence	337	10.7	1,547	13.4	
No	2,582	79.0	6,885	89.6	
Yes	734	21.0	802	10.4	
Bullied	754	21.0	002	10.4	
No	2,456	77.3	7,258	94.5	
Yes	744	22.7	427	5.5	
Sexual intercourse	, , ,	22.7	127	5.5	
No	3,002	93.5	7,188	94.9	
Yes	187	6.5	459	5.1	
Truancy	107	0.0	107	3.1	
No	2,725	80.6	6,307	84.9	
Yes	598	19.4	1,191	15.1	
Drinking alcohol in the past 30 days	370	17.7	1,1/1	13.1	
No	2,476	75.1	5,781	77.9	
Yes	722	24.9	1,864	22.1	
Sedentary behaviors	122	27.7	1,007	22.1	
No	1,968	58.0	3,942	57.1	
Yes	1,349	42.0	3,683	42.9	
Low fruit/vegetables intake	1,577	72.0	3,003	72.9	
No	693	20.5	370	4.6	
Yes	2,603	79.5	7,295	95.4	

Table 3: Smoking prevalence and trend from 2013 to 2019

Characteristics		2013	2019		
Characteristics	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	
Have ever smoked	12.1	9.8-15.0	8.2	7.0–9.6	
Smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days	4.7	3.5-6.3	2.6	2.0-3.4	
Smoked water pipe in the past 30 days	2.4	1.5-3.8	1.0	0.7 - 1.4	
Traditional tobacco smoking (cigarettes and					
water pipe) in the past 30 days	5.4	4.0 - 7.2	2.8	2.2 - 3.6	
People smoked in presence in the past 7 days	75.8	73.4–78.0	66.0	63.8-68.2	
Smoked Shisha in the past 30 days	n/a	n/a	1.3	0.93 - 1.72	
Smoked e-cigarettes in the past 30 days	n/a	n/a	2.6	1.9-3.3	

Table 4: Factors related to traditional tobacco smoking among students aged 13–17 in Vietnam

France	All	students	Male students		
Factor	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Year, (Ref: 2013)				_	
2019	0.66^{*}	0.44-0.99	0.63	0.39-1.00	
Gender, (Ref: Female)					
Male	4.25***	2.56-7.04			
Age , (<i>Ref</i> : 13)					
14	1.19	0.71-2.00	1.40	0.63-3.11	
15	1.27	0.85-1.92	2.00^{*}	1.16-3.44	
16	1.41	0.86-2.30	2.30**	1.24-4.27	
17	1.45	0.93-2.25	2.30*	1.18-4.48	
Parental monitoring, (Ref: Low)					
High	0.57^{*}	0.37-0.88	0.58^{*}	0.34-0.97	
Parental understanding, (Ref: Low)					
High	0.90	0.63-1.30	0.97	0.62-1.51	
Parental respect, (Ref: Low)					
High	0.73	0.51-1.04	0.84	0.57-1.24	
Have close friends, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.28	0.73-2.23	1.19	0.61-2.31	
Loneliness, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.57*	1.10-2.25	1.37	0.82-2.26	
Suicide attempt, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.71 *	1.10-2.67	1.61	0.84-3.06	
Violence, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.31	0.80-2.17	1.36	0.77-2.38	
Bullied, (Ref: No)					
Yes	0.82	0.50-1.33	0.89	0.50-1.59	
Sexual intercourse, (Ref: No)	A	.	***		
Yes	4.56***	3.17-6.57	4.57***	3.13-6.66	
Truancy, (Ref: No)	**		**		
Yes	2.23**	1.38-3.61	2.31**	1.29-4.14	
Drinking alcohol in the past 30 days, (Ref: No)	4 4 ***	A =0 < A4	a =0***		
Yes	4.17***	2.78-6.24	3.79***	2.31-6.22	
Sedentary behaviors, (Ref: No)	0.00	0.71.1.26	0.06	0.61.1.63	
Yes	0.98	0.71-1.36	0.86	0.61-1.22	
Low fruit/vegetables intake, (Ref: No)	1 11	0.72 1.67	1.20	0.71.2.21	
Yes	1.11	0.73-1.67	1.28	0.71-2.31	

^{*} p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

Table 5: Factors related to e-cigarettes smoking among students aged 13–17 in Vietnam

П. 4	All	students	Male students		
Factor	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Gender, (Ref: Female)					
Male	2.09**	1.28-3.42			
Age , (<i>Ref</i> : 13)					
14	0.56	0.22-1.44	0.57	0.19-1.72	
15	0.46	0.17-1.25	0.67	0.21-2.13	
16	0.42	0.14-1.23	0.56	0.18-1.73	
17	0.64	0.21-2.00	1.08	0.33-3.50	
Parental monitoring, (Ref: Low)					
High	0.56**	0.40-0.78	0.54**	0.35-0.84	
Parental understanding, (Ref: Low)					
High	1.22	0.82-1.81	1.16	0.75-1.82	
Parental respect, (Ref: Low)					
High	0.64^{*}	0.43-0.97	0.67	0.36-1.24	
Have close friends, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.20	0.68-2.12	1.08	0.48-2.40	
Loneliness, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.08	0.70-1.68	0.93	0.48-1.83	
Suicide attempt, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.75*	1.08-2.83	1.49	0.83-2.68	
Violence, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.20	0.55-2.59	1.06	0.48-2.34	
Bullied , (Ref: No)					
Yes	2.67**	1.35-5.27	3.38**	1.69-6.79	
Sexual intercourse, (Ref: No)					
Yes	3.05***	1.71-5.44	2.97**	1.46-6.03	
Truancy, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.68^{*}	1.11-2.54	1.01	0.64-1.59	
Drinking alcohol in the past 30 days, (Ref: No)					
Yes	5.38***	3.75-7.71	3.37***	2.27-5.00	
Sedentary behaviors, (Ref: No)					
Yes	1.81**	1.25-2.60	1.54*	1.05-2.27	
Low fruit/vegetables intake, (Ref: No)					
Yes	0.66	0.30-1.47	1.01	0.33-3.08	

^{*} p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

Supplemental Table 1: Prevalence of traditional tobacco smoking by students'

423 characteristics

422

425

Factor	All students				Male students			
_	2013 2019				2013 2019			
	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	%	95% CI
Gender								
Male	9.6	6.8 - 13.3	4.9	3.6–6.5				
Female	1.7	0.9 – 2.9	1.0	0.7 - 1.5				
Age								
13	2.7	1.9–3.8	1.9	1.2-2.9	4.0	2.6-6.0	2.8	1.5-5
14	3.3	2.4-4.5	2.8	1.8 – 4.5	5.0	3.5-6.9	5.0	2.6-9
15	6.2	4.7 - 8.2	2.0	1.1 - 3.5	11.0	8.2-14.5	3.8	2.2-6
16	7.0	4.0 - 12.2	2.6	1.7 - 3.9	13.3	8.0-21.3	4.3	2.9-6
17	9.2	5.0-16.5	4.6	3.3-6.5	18.4	9.2-33.4	8.4	5.7-12
Parental monitoring								
Low	7.2	4.9 - 10.4	3.3	2.4-4.5	13.1	8.3-20.0	6.0	4.1-8
High	3.3	2.3-4.9	2.1	1.4-3.2	6.1	4.1-9.1	3.6	2.5-5
Parental understanding								
Low	5.9	4.1-8.3	3.1	2.3-4.3	10.6	7.1-15.5	5.1	3.3-7
High	4.1	2.9–5.9	2.5	1.7–3.7	7.4	5.1-10.7	4.7	3.2-7
Parental respect	-7.1	2.7-5.7	2.5	1.7-5.7	/ · -	5.1-10.7	7./	3.4-1
Low	7.0	4.6–10.4	5.8	4.1-8.2	11.2	6.8-18.0	8.8	5.9-13
High	4.2	3.0–5.8	2.2	1.6-3.0	8.0	5.7-11.2	4.1	2.8-5
	4.2	3.0-3.8	2.2	1.0-3.0	8.0	3.7-11.2	4.1	2.6-3
Have close friends	ο 0	4.4.12.0	2.0	10.40	10.2	((21 0	5 5	250
No	8.0	4.4–13.9	3.0	1.9–4.8	12.3	6.6-21.9	5.5	3.5-8
Yes	5.1	3.7 - 7.1	2.7	2.1 - 3.5	9.2	6.3-13.4	4.7	3.4-6
Loneliness								
No	4.6	3.2–6.6	2.4	1.9–3.2	8.5	5.8-12.5	4.3	3.2-5
Yes	11.2	7.9–15.6	5.2	3.6–7.4	18.5	12.5-26.6	9.0	6.2-13
Suicide attempt								
No	4.4	2.8-6.8	2.4	1.8 - 3.1	7.8	4.9-12.4	4.4	3.2-5
Yes	9.4	6.8 - 12.7	4.7	3.3-6.7	19.9	13.4-28.5	8.3	5.3-12
Violence								
No	4.7	3.1 - 7.1	2.1	1.6-2.8	8.8	5.6-13.6	3.9	2.9-5
Yes	7.8	6.1–9.9	8.4	5.8-11.9	12.0	9.2-15.5	10.1	7.1-14
Bullied								
No	5.0	3.3-7.7	2.5	1.9-3.3	8.6	5.3-13.7	4.5	3.3-6
Yes	6.0	4.5 - 8.0	7.7	4.7 - 12.4	12.0	8.9-16.0	10.3	6.2-16
Sexual intercourse								
No	3.6	2.7-4.7	2.2	1.7-2.9	6.3	4.5-8.8	3.9	2.8-5
Yes	25.4	17.0–36.3	12.2	8.4–17.6	36.7	25.6-49.5	17.1	11.5-24
Truancy		17.0 00.0	1-1-	01. 17.0	2017	2010 1910	27.12	11.0 2
No	3.4	2.5-4.6	2.0	1.4-2.7	5.9	4.2-8.4	3.7	2.5-5
Yes	13.4	9.3–18.7	6.9	5.5-8.8	21.0	14.2-29.8	9.5	7.0-12
Drinking alcohol in the	13.4	7.5-10.7	0.9	5.5-6.6	21.0	17.2-27.0	9.3	7.0-12
past 30 days								
No No	2.0	1.3-3.0	1.2	0.8-1.7	3.8	2.3-6.3	2.2	1.5-3
Yes		11.8–20.1						
	15.5	11.6-20.1	8.3	6.4–10.9	21.3	15.9-27.9	13.0	9.3-17
Sedentary behaviors	4.2	21 (1	2.5	1727	7.0	52116	4.0	216
No	4.3	3.1–6.1	2.5	1.7–3.7	7.9	5.3-11.6	4.6	3.1-6
Yes	6.4	4.7 - 8.8	3.2	2.4–4.3	11.2	8.3-15.0	5.4	3.7-7
Low fruit/vegetables intake			, -	• • • •	400	. 0 10 0		
No	5.5	3.1–9.4	4.2	2.0–8.6	10.0	5.0-18.9	4.7	2.3-9
Yes	5.3	3.9-7.2	2.7	2.1-3.5	9.5	6.9-13.0	4.9	3.6-6

Supplemental Table 2: Prevalence of e-cigarettes smoking by students' characteristics

Factor	All s	tudents	Male students		
	%	95% CI	%	95% CI	
Gender					
Male	3.6	2.7-4.8			
Female	1.5	0.9-2.5			
Age					
13	3.1	1.5-6.2	3.8	1.5-9.	
14	1.8	1.0-3.0	2.1	1.2-3.	
15	1.9	1.3-3.0	3.0	1.8-4.	
16	2.5	1.6-3.8	3.6	2.3-5	
17	3.9	2.4-6.2	6.7	4.1-10.	
Parental monitoring					
Low	3.2	2.4-4.3	4.9	3.4-6.9	
High	1.7	1.2-2.4	2.5	1.8-3.	
Parental understanding					
Low	2.8	2.1-3.8	4.1	2.9-5.	
High	2.2	1.5-3.2	3.3	2.3-4.	
Parental respect					
Low	5.1	3.4-7.7	6.5	3.9-10.	
High	2.1	1.5-2.8	3.1	2.2-4.	
Have close friends					
No	3.4	2.1-5.5	4.8	2.6-8.	
Yes	2.4	1.8-3.3	3.5	2.6-4.	
Loneliness					
No	2.1	1.5-3.0	3.2	2.3-4.	
Yes	5.0	3.5-7.1	6.7	4.4-10.	
Suicide attempt					
No	2.0	1.4-2.7	3.1	2.2-4.	
Yes	5.3	3.6-7.7	7.4	4.7-11.	
Violence					
No	2.1	1.4-3.0	3.2	2.3-4.	
Yes	6.2	4.5-8.5	6.2	4.2-9.	
Bullied					
No	2.1	1.5-2.9	2.9	2.1-4.	
Yes	10.1	6.7-14.9	13.3	8.7-19.	
Sexual intercourse					
No	2.1	1.5-2.8	2.9	2.2-4.	
Yes	10.6	6.6-16.7	13.2	7.6-21.	
Truancy					
No	1.9	1.4-2.6	3.1	2.3-4.	
Yes	5.5	3.6-8.2	5.4	3.4-8.	
Drinking alcohol in the past 30 days					
No	1.0	0.7-1.5	1.9	1.3-2.	
Yes	7.5	5.5-10.2	8.9	6.5-12.	
Sedentary behaviors					
No	1.6	1.2-2.2	2.5	1.9-3.	
Yes	3.7	2.7-5.0	5.4	3.8-7.	
Low fruit/vegetables intake					
No	3.7	1.8-7.1	3.1	1.1-8.	
Yes	2.4	1.8-3.3	3.7	2.7-4.	