Associations between white matter hyperintensity burden, cerebral blood flow and transit time in small vessel disease: an updated meta-analysis

Authors: Catriona R Stewart¹, Michael S Stringer^{1,2}, Yulu Shi^{1,2,3}, Michael J Thrippleton^{1,2}, Joanna M Wardlaw^{1,2}

- 1 Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- 2 UK Dementia Research Institute at the University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh Medical School, Edinburgh, UK
- 3 Beijing Tiantan Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University, China

Correspondence: Prof J M Wardlaw, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, UK Dementia Research Institute Centre, University of Edinburgh, 49 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh, EH16 4SB

Email: joanna.wardlaw@ed.ac.uk Telephone: +44 (0)131 465 9570

Running headline: WMH associated with abnormal CBF distribution

Abstract

Cerebral small vessel disease is a major contributor to stroke and dementia, characterised by white matter hyperintensities (WMH) on neuroimaging. WMH are associated with reduced cerebral blood flow (CBF) cross-sectionally, though longitudinal associations remain unclear. We updated a 2016 meta-analysis, identifying 30 studies, 27 cross-sectional (n=2956) and 3 longitudinal (n=440), published since 2016. Cross-sectionally, we meta-analysed 10 new studies with 24 previously reported studies, total 34 (n=2180), finding lower CBF to be associated with worse WMH burden (mean global CBF: standardised mean difference: -0.45, 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.64,-0.27). Longitudinally, the association of baseline CBF with WMH progression varied: the largest study (5 years, n=252) found no associations, while another small study (4.5 years, n=52) found that low CBF in the periventricular WMH penumbra predicted WMH progression. We could not meta-analyse longitudinal studies due to different statistical and methodological approaches. We found lower CBF within WMH compared to normal appearing white matter (novel meta-analysis; 5 cross-sectional studies; n=295; SMD: -1.51, 95% Cl: -1.94,-1.07). These findings highlight that relationships between resting CBF and WMH are complex and that more longitudinal studies analysing regional CBF and subsequent WMH change are required to determine the role of CBF in small vessel disease progression.

Key words

Cerebral small vessel disease, cerebral blood flow, white matter hyperintensities, systematic review, meta-analysis

Introduction

Cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) develops from pathological changes in the blood vessels supplying the brain and is an underlying cause of about 20% of all strokes and the most substantial contributor to vascular dementia.1 Advances in neuroimaging have been key in identifying and elucidating common features of SVD, including white matter hyperintensities (WMH), although much remains unknown about the underlying pathological mechanisms and there are currently no effective treatments. Vascular changes may occur before WMH are detectable, and are thought to contribute to WMH development.2 However, whether CBF reductions precede or follow WMH progression remains controversial, as hypoperfusion could be a consequence of WMH rather than the cause.3 WMH can be reversible, therefore establishing initial processes involved in WMH development may help identify targets to prevent SVD progression and related pathology.4, 5

Our previous systematic review and meta-analysis of WMH and CBF found that more severe WMH burden was associated with lower CBF, although this association weakened when studies of patients with dementia and poor age matching of controls were excluded.3 Age, dementia and other vascular risk factors contribute to CBF reductions and can confound the relationship between WMH burden and CBF.3 Additionally, there were few data on sub-regional brain tissue CBF and longitudinal WMH changes, as most studies only examined whole brain and/or cortical CBF.

Since 2016, several studies have been published that provide data on regional or tissue-specific CBF in WMH and normal appearing white matter (NAWM) cross-sectionally, 6-10 as well as longitudinal studies. Therefore, we updated our previous systematic review and meta-analysis³ to identify whether these gaps in the literature and previous inconsistencies have been resolved.

Materials and methods

We updated the systematic review by Shi et al. (2016),3 covering from 1946 until December 2015, by conducting a literature search of MEDLINE and EMBASE from 1st January 2016 up to 1st February 2020, using OVID. The search strategy and methodological approach including quality assessment and data extraction were as published by Shi et al. (2016),3 combining exploded search terms relating to small vessel disease and cerebral blood flow with AND (Supplementary Figure 1). We identified additional records by hand-searching, from January 2016 to February 2020, the Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism and within the subject of

Eligibility Criteria

Cerebrovascular Disease and Stroke in Stroke.

We included longitudinal and cross-sectional studies investigating associations between CBF or transit time metrics, including arterial transit time (ATT) and mean transit time (MTT), and WMH in patients with SVD, as well as studies measuring CBF velocity (CBFv) using ultrasound Doppler. We included studies using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), including with phase contrast, arterial spin labelling (ASL) or dynamic susceptibility contrast, positron emission tomography (PET), single-photon computed tomography and computed tomography (CT) perfusion assessment. We excluded studies in paediatric or animal populations, duplicates, conference abstracts and cross-sectional studies lacking analysable data on CBF.

Data Extraction and Analysis

We screened all potentially eligible publications and extracted standardised data from studies meeting the eligibility criteria. We extracted data on the study population cohort, the type of study, SVD characteristics, measurements, and units of CBF reported. Where available, we extracted data on separate regional CBF measurements for WMH, defined using MRI or CT, and NAWM,

as this was previously identified as a key gap in the literature.3 Where reported we also extracted data on associations between ATT or MTT and WMH. We assessed the quality of each included study using a checklist based on the Strengthening the Reporting of the Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) criteria.¹¹

We extracted mean and standard deviation (SD) CBF values from disease and control groups or according to WMH burden, where available from text, tables, graphs or, if necessary, supplemental material for cross-sectional studies. We recorded associations with WMH burden either alone or as a component of total SVD burden, such as the ordinal SVD score which includes a point for central or cerebral atrophy, lacunes and white matter hyperintensities when rated from CT scans, 12 with cerebral microbleeds also included for MRI. 13 We included cross-sectional studies with only qualitative data or association analysis on CBF and SVD in the review but not the meta-analysis. We also extracted association analysis data from studies which performed association analysis in addition to providing quantitative data. We extracted information from longitudinal studies on time to follow-up, baseline and follow-up measurements of CBF and WMH, and their associations. Where unavailable in the published materials, we contacted authors to request unpublished data on baseline and follow-up CBF and/or WMH volume.

Meta-analysis

We included all studies reporting mean and SD values for CBF in patient groups by WMH burden in the cross-sectional meta-analysis. In studies with more than two patient groups of WMH severity, we took mean values to allow for a comparison between patients with negative-to-mild and moderate-to-severe WMH burden following a previously described procedure. We extracted CBF values for grey and white matter in various brain regions, as reported by individual studies. We calculated standardised mean differences (SMDs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) with a

random effects model and analysed new data along with the data reported in our previous review.3

We also extracted CBF values where available from WMH and NAWM to perform a novel meta-

analysis on regional variability in CBF in different white matter tissues. Where studies reported

CBF values separately for periventricular and deep WMH, we took mean values to give a

measurement of CBF in WMH. We used Cochrane Collaboration's Review Manager (Revman

version 5.4) to perform the meta-analyses.

Results

We identified 783 articles published between January 2016 and February 2020 from the literature

search, after removing duplicates (Figure 1). Of these, we identified 149 of potential relevance

and screened full texts to assess eligibility, 119 were excluded primarily due to lack of analysable

data (45), no data on the association between WMH and CBF (38) and conference abstracts (33).

Overall, we included 30 studies for qualitative analysis, of which 15 studies provided data for

meta-analysis (Figure 1). Of these 15, 10 cross-sectional studies were meta-analysed with data

previously extracted from 24 cross-sectional studies published between 1946 and 2015,³ while 5

studies were included in the novel meta-analysis of regional variability in CBF within WMH and

NAWM.

Some publications used the same study population cohort: Promjunyakul et al.14, 15 from the

Layton Ageing and Alzheimer's Disease Centre longitudinal ageing study and Turk et al. 16, 17 with

the same cohort of patients with ischaemic leukoaraiosis (ILA) and age, sex and risk-factor

matched controls without ILA. We ensured that each participant was only included once in any

single analysis.

[Insert Figure 1]

Characteristics of included studies

We extracted data from 27 new cross-sectional and 3 new longitudinal studies. All the new studies investigated patients or controls with varying degrees of WMH burden. The characteristics of these 30 studies are described in Table 1. The average study quality for all identified studies according to the STROBE criteria was 6.5/9 (Supplementary Figure 2). Main reasons for lower overall study quality scores included studies not reporting the number of participants who dropped-out (11/30), number and expertise of WMH assessors (13/30) and a lack of blinding to

imaging or clinical data (14/30).

Seven of the 27 newly identified cross-sectional studies investigated patients with cognitive impairment or dementia; including Alzheimer's disease (AD), 18, 19 mild cognitive impairment (MCI)^{8, 10, 20} and vascular cognitive impairment (VCI).^{9, 21}

Thirteen of the 27 added cross-sectional studies investigated patients without cognitive impairment including patients with varying degrees of WMH burden, 7, 16, 22, 23 hypertensive patients with and without SVD, 6, 24, 25 individuals with Cerebral Autosomal Dominant Arteriopathy with Subcortical Infarcts and Leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL),²⁶ cognitively normal older subjects^{14, 27} and patients with ischaemic stroke. 28 Two of these 13 studies investigated the effect of blood pressure reduction on CBF in SVD, from which only baseline data on CBF and WMH burden, prior to any treatment, were extracted. 29, 30

Seven of the 27 new cross-sectional studies reported only the results of association analyses, and did not provide quantitative data for CBF or WMH measurements. Patient groups in these studies included patients with acute stroke, 12, 31 MCI, 32 vascular depression 33, individuals at varying risk of cerebrovascular disease34 and older individuals with WMH.17,35

The three newly identified longitudinal studies (n=440) investigated baseline CBF and WMH progression in older individuals with WMH (Table 1) over follow-up periods ranging from two³⁶ to five³⁷ years. While 2 studies investigated associations between baseline WMH volume and change in either subregional¹⁵ or global³⁷ CBF, one study considered associations between change in WMH volume and change in cortical grey matter CBF.36

Fifteen studies used ASL^{6-8, 10, 14, 15, 19, 20, 25, 27, 30, 32, 34-36}, 3 used CT perfusion^{18, 28, 29}, 2 used dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC) MRI^{9, 37}, one study each used SPECT²¹, phase contrast MRI²², CT angiography/digital subtraction angiography,³¹ PET,²³ and a combination of CT and DSC MRI¹². Five studies used transcranial Doppler ultrasound^{16, 17, 24, 26, 33}.

Table 1. Characteristics of all studies included in this updated systematic review and metaanalysis.

Type of Study (n=30)	Sample Size	Participants	(Baseline) Age (years, mean ± S.D.)	Methods of CBF Measurement	CBF units
Longitudinal (3)					
Staffaroni et	136	Ageing adults	69.9 ± 8.3	ASL	ml/100 g/min
<i>al.</i> 2019 ³⁶ Promjunyakul <i>et al.</i> 2018 ¹⁵	52	Cognitively healthy older	82.8 ± 7.6	ASL	ml/100 g/min
Nylander et al. 2017 ³⁷	252	participants Older population	75	DSC MRI	Relative units
Cross- sectional: Cognitive impairment/ dementia (7)					
Wong <i>et al.</i> 2019 ⁹	27	SVD patients	69± 12	DSC/ DCE MRI	ml/min/100g
Li <i>et al.</i> 2019 ¹⁸	203	AD Controls without AD	70.49±10. 01 69.39±8.10	CT perfusion	ml/100 g/ min
Wu et al.	73	Subcortical	65.71 ± 8.2	ASL	ml/100 g/min
2019 ¹⁰ Ishibashi <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2018 ²¹	75	vascular MCI VCI with WMH VCI without WMH	79.1 ± 5.8 76.5 ± 7.7	SPECT	ml/100 g/min
Rane <i>et al.</i> 2018 ⁸	28	MCI Cognitively	76.4± 7.1	ASL	ml/100 g/ min
Shokouhi <i>et al.</i> 2018 ²⁰	265	normal MCI (higher WMH vol)	64.4 ± 7.5	ASL	ml/100 g/ min
		Controls (lower WMH vol)	63.1 ± 7.2		
Benedictus et al. 2017 ¹⁹ No cognitive impairment (13)	88	AD (median Fazekas Score 1)	65±7	ASL	ml/100 g/ min
Shi <i>et al.</i> 2020 ²²	56	WMH grade 1-2 WMH grade 3-4 WMH grade 5-6	64.25 ± 7.76 69.11 ± 7.11 74.26 ± 8.39	Phase- contrast MRI	ml/min/100ml

		I =	T		,
Muller <i>et al.</i> 2019 ²⁴	107	Patients with high BP: with SVD	64 ± 13	TCD	cm/s
		Without SVD	45 ± 16		
Kate <i>et al.</i> 2019 ²⁹	71	Leukoaraiosis	71 ± 11.2	CT perfusion	ml/ 100g/ min
201923		present Leukoaraiosis	65 ± 11.6		
		absent			
Dolui <i>et al.</i>	497	CARDIA cohort	50.4 ± 3.5	ASL	ml/ 100g/ min
2019 ²⁷		Cognitively normal older	73.3 ± 6.9		
		participants*			
Jokumsen-	47	CADASIL	57 ± 13	TCD	cm/s
Cabral <i>et al.</i> 2019 ²⁶		Age-matched	59 ± 16		
Parfenov et	73	controls EAH patients	50.2 ± 6.2	ASL	ml/ 100g/ min
<i>al.</i> 2018 ²⁵		Healthy controls	49.1 ± 4.4		
Croall <i>et al.</i> 2018 ³⁰	62	Severe SVD	69.3	ASL	ml/min/100g
Zhong <i>et al.</i>	75	Leukoaraiosis	67.05 ± 9.62	ASL	ml/100 g/ min
2017 ⁷	000		00	OT manfinalism	
Bivard <i>et al.</i> 2016 ²⁸	229	Ischaemic stroke	68	CT perfusion	ml/100g/min
van Dalen et al. 2016 ⁶	185	Older	77 ± 2	ASL	ml/100g/min
ai. 2010		hypertensive patients	11 ± 2	AGE	1111/1009/111111
Promjunyakul et al. 2016 ¹⁴	82	Cognitively	84.13 ± 8.3	ASL	ml/ 100g-
et al. 2016		healthy older participants			tissue/ min/age
Hashimoto et	22	SVD patients:			(years)
al. 2016 ²³		Lower volume	69± 7	PET	ml/100g/min
		WMH Larger volume	68± 8		
Tool of		WMH			,
Turk <i>et</i> al.2016a ¹⁶	93	ILA	54.5 ± 8.1 52.30 ± 6.05	TCD	cm/s
		Sex and risk factor matched	02.00 ± 0.00		
		controls			

Studies only					
performing association					
analysis (7)					
Mark et al.	178	Acute stroke:			
2020 ³¹		Good collateral	63.9 ± 14.7	CT	Not shown
		grade		angiography	
		Moderate	73.1 ± 12.3	and DSA	
		collateral grade			
		Poor collateral	70.1 ± 15.5		
		grade			
Kim <i>et al.</i>	38	MCI	68.4 ± 6.28	ASL	ml/ 100g/ min
2019 ³²		Cognitively	68.25±5.84		
Described and order	70	healthy			
Puglisi <i>et al.</i> 2018 ³³	76	Vascular			
2018		depression:	71.95 ± 4.55	TCD (MBFv)	Not shown
		WML group 1 WML group 2	71.95 ± 4.55 71.81 ± 4.71	I CD (IVIDEV)	NOT SHOWIT
		WML group 3	73.96 ± 6.71		
Bahrani <i>et al.</i>	26	High-risk CVD	83 ± 4.6	ASL	Relative units
2017 ³⁴	20	Low-risk CVD	72.7 + 4.2	/\OL	redative dritts
Shi <i>et al.</i>	69	Older participants	70.78 ± 3.94	ASL	Relative units
2017 ³⁵		with WMH			
Arba et al.	115	Acute ischaemic	81	CT perfusion/	Not shown
201712		stroke		DSĊ MRI	
Turk et al.	96	ILA	54.90±8.27	Ultrasound	mm³/s
2016b ¹⁷		Age, sex and risk-	52.39±7.34	doppler	
		factor matched			
		controls			

S.D: standard deviation; CBF: cerebral blood flow; ASL: arterial spin labelling; DSC MRI: dynamic susceptibility contrast magnetic resonance imaging; SVD: small vessel disease; DCE: dynamic contrast enhanced; CT: computed tomography; AD: Alzheimer's disease; MCI: mild cognitive impairment; VCI: vascular cognitive impairment; SPECT: single Photon Emission Computed Tomography; BP: blood pressure; TCD: transcranial doppler; CARDIA: Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults study; *cognitively normal older individuals: this group have significantly higher normalised WMH volumes compared to the CARDIA cohort; CADASIL: cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy;

EAH: essential arterial hypertension; PET: Positron Emission Tomography; ILA: ischaemic

leukoaraiosis; DSA: digital subtraction angiography; MBFv: mean blood flow velocity; WML: white

matter lesion; CVD: cerebrovascular disease.

Cross-sectional CBF and WMH association

We extracted association analysis data from 11 of the new cross-sectional studies overall,

including the 7 studies which reported association analyses without providing quantitative data

and 4 studies which performed association analyses in addition to providing quantitative data.⁶

^{18, 27, 28} Only 5 of these 11 studies adjusted for key vascular risk factors, including hypertension

and smoking. 12, 17, 31, 33, 34

Cross-sectional analysis of associations between global or regional CBF and WMH. Four of the

new studies analysed associations between global or regional CBF and WMH burden (Table 2).

All of these studies found that patients with a worse WMH burden had lower CBF than those with

a less severe WMH burden; 18, 28, 31, 32 one study showed that worse PVWMH, DWMH and whole-

brain WMH each showed a trend to be associated with lower CBF both in patients with AD and

controls without AD (n=203).18 Worse WMH were associated with poorer cerebral collateral

circulation, defined as cortical CBF at the outer surface of the brain, in one study which

investigated patients with acute stroke (*n*=178).³¹

Two of the new studies showed that lower mean blood flow velocity (MBFv) in the internal carotid

artery and middle cerebral artery were associated with worse WMH burden in patients with

ischaemic leukoaraiosis (n=96)¹⁷ and vascular depression (n=76)³³ (Table 2).

Cross-sectional association analysis comparing SVD burden and transit time. Arba et al. (2017)12

assessed SVD burden on CT or MRI, using an SVD score, 12 and four transit time metrics (MTT,

time to maximum flow, time to peak and arrival time fitted) derived from perfusion CT or DSC MRI,

in the asymptomatic cerebral hemisphere of patients with acute ischaemic stroke (*n*=115). Worse SVD scores were associated with more prolonged transit times in white matter of the asymptomatic cerebral hemisphere (i.e. contralateral to the acute ischaemic stroke, Table 2). SVD burden was also associated with reduced CBF in the contralateral hemisphere. 12

Table 2. Association analysis of a.) global or regional CBF with WMH; b.) mean blood flow velocity with WMH and c.) transit time with SVD burden.

Study	Sample size	Statistical Method	Results Variables	Coefficients	P values	Adjusted for other variables
a.) Glob	al or regic	onal CBF asso	ciation with WMH			
Bivard et al. ²⁸	229	Linear regression model	Hypoperfusion and likelihood of WMH	OR = 7.61	<0.001	No
Kim et al. ³²	38	Linear regression analysis	Mean parietal lobe CBF and WMH volume	t(15) = -3	0.009	Gender
		·	Mean temporal lobe CBF and WMH volume	t(15)= -3.89	0.001	
			Mean occipital lobe CBF and WMH volume	t(15)= -4.71	0.001	
			Mean frontal lobe CBF and WMH volume	t(15)= -2.58	0.021	
Li et al. ¹⁸	203	Spearman correlation analysis	Whole-brain WMH severity and CBF (AD	r = -0.162	0.068	Not shown
			patients) PVWMH severity and CBF (AD	r = -0.219	0.013	
			patients) DWMH severity and CBF (AD	r = -0.099	0.268	
			patients) Whole-brain WMH severity and CBF	r = -0.034	0.071	
			(controls) PVWMH severity and CBF (controls)	r = -0.071	0.546	
			DWMH severity and CBF (controls)	r = - 0.003	0.978	

		•		•	•	
Mark et al. ³¹	178	Multivariate logistic regression analysis	PVWM Fazekas score and collateral flow DWM Fazekas score and collateral flow Total Fazekas score and collateral flow	NA NA	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	Age, gender, hypertension, hyperlipidemia coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure and smoking
						history
b.) Mear	n blood flo	w velocity ass	ociation with WMF	1		
Turk <i>et al.</i> 2016b ¹⁷	96	Spearman's correlation coefficient	Correlation of average mean blood flow in ICA (mm3/s) with ILA	Spearman's p = -0.232	0.025	Age, gender and CVD risk factors
		Logistic regression	Association of average mean blood flow in ICA (mm3/s) with ILA	OR = 0.577 (0.368- 0.902)	0.016	
Puglisi et al. ³³	76	Spearman correlation	Correlation between MBFv in MCA and WMH severity	r = -0.34	0.002	Demographic variables and clinical variables (including vascular risk factors)
c.) Trans	sit time as	sociation with	SVD burden	1		,
A als s	445	Lasiatia	0\/D	OD 0.00	0.05	A === NIII 100
Arba et al. ¹²	115	Logistic regression model	SVD score and mean transit time SVD score and time to	OR = 2.80 (1.56-5.03) OR = 2.36 (1.37-4.09)	<0.05 <0.05	Age, NIHSS, sex, hypertension and diabetes
			maximum flow SVD score and time to peak	OR = 1.52 (0.93-1.05)	N.S.	
			SVD score and arrival time fitted	OR = 3.59 (1.92-6.75)	<0.01	

WMH: white matter hyperintensity; OR: odds ratio; CBF: cerebral blood flow; AD: Alzheimer's disease; PVWMH: periventricular white matter hyperintensity; DWMH: deep white matter hyperintensity; PVWM: periventricular white matter; DWM: deep white matter; NA: not available; ICA: internal carotid artery; ILA: ischaemic leukoaraiosis; OR: odds ratio (95% confidence interval); CVD: cerebrovascular disease; MBFv: mean blood flow velocity; MCA: middle cerebral artery; SVD: small vessel disease; N.S: not significant; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

Cross-sectional analysis of CBF in WMH and NAWM. Three recently published studies found that CBF was lower within WMH than in NAWM (Table 3). Higher WMH volume in older patients with hypertension (n=185)⁶ was associated with lower CBF within WMH. However, no clear relationship was seen between WMH volume and CBF in NAWM or grey matter (Table 3). Cognitively healthy older participants and healthy middle-aged participants (n=497) showed significantly lower CBF within WMH compared to normal appearing white matter.²⁷ Similarly, in one small study (n=26), CBF was lower within DWMH and PVWMH compared to NAWM.³⁴ In contrast, one exploratory study³⁵ in healthy older participants (n=69) found in white matter regions where most patients had WMH; higher WMH burden correlated with higher grey matter perfusion in the opposite cerebral hemisphere.

Table 3. Association analysis comparing CBF within WMH and NAWM.

Study	Sample size	Statistical Method	Results Variables Coefficients		P values	Adjusted for other variables
Bahrani et al. ³⁴	26	Post-hoc paired comparisons	CBF in DWMH compared to NAWM CBF in PVWMH compared to NAWM	t = 5.7 t = 11	<0.001	Age, vascular risk group, total intracranial volume
			CBF in PVWMH compared to DWMH	t = 3.1	0.003	
van Dalen <i>et al.</i> ⁶	181	Linear regression	WMH volume and WMH CBF	β =-0.201	0.029	Total brain volume, age, antihypertensive use, brain
			WMH volume and NAWM CBF	β = 0.175	0.098	parenchymal fraction, transit time
			WMH volume and GM CBF	β = 0.175	0.133	
Dolui et al. ²⁷	497	Two-way repeated measures ANOVA	Mean CBF inside WML compared to outside (ADC cohort)	partial η2 = 0.75	<0.0001	No
			Mean CBF inside WML compared to outside (CARDIA cohort)	partial η2 = 0.59	<0.0001	
Shi et al. ³⁵	69	Pearson correlation	WMH ROI (R hemisphere)	r = 0.42	0.00045	Age, sex, education-
			and CBF ROI (L hemisphere) WMH ROI (L hemisphere and CBF ROI	r = 0.43	0.00037	adjusted MoCA score, total WMH volume

(R hemisphere)			
----------------	--	--	--

CBF: cerebral blood flow; WMH: white matter hyperintensity; NAWM: normal appearing white matter; DWMH: deep white matter hyperintensity; PVWMH: periventricular white matter hyperintensity; GM: grey matter; β: standardised estimate in linear regression; WML: white matter lesion; ADC: Alzheimer's Disease Centre (this is a longitudinal, prospective cohort and the individuals are cognitively healthy); CARDIA: Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults study; ROI: region of interest; R: right; L: left MoCA: Montreal cognitive assessment.

Sensitivity analyses of age, dementia and key vascular risk factors. We further refined the crosssectional association analyses by removing studies investigating patients with dementia, studies without age-matching and studies which did not adjust for key vascular risk factors. The direction of effect in the remaining studies did not change: lower blood flow velocity^{17, 33} and prolonged perfusion CT/DSC transit time metrics¹² remained associated with WMH, as well as lower CBF within WMH compared to NAWM.34 Only one cross-sectional study investigated WMH in association with global or regional CBF while adjusting for key vascular risk factors, showing worse WMH was associated with poorer collateral blood flow.31

Longitudinal analyses of WMH and CBF

The largest of the three new longitudinal studies (Nylander et al. 2017)37 in a cohort of 406 randomly selected 75 year old participants at baseline and 252 at follow-up, found no significant association between baseline CBF with WMH progression at a five year follow-up when adjusting for baseline WMH and sex (Table 4). In 136 functionally normal older individuals, Staffaroni et al. (2019)36 found that longitudinal reductions in global CBF were associated with increasing WMH burden, adjusting for age, sex and education. Promjunyakul et al. (2018) in 52 cognitively healthy older participants, found that lower baseline CBF within the PVWMH penumbra was associated with WMH growth at follow-up, while reduced white matter perfusion remained predictive of PVWMH but not DWMH progression, adjusting for age and sex. These 440 patients from the three recent longitudinal studies add to the 1079 patients in 4 studies included in the 2016 review.³ Summarising all 7 longitudinal studies (n=1519), the two largest studies (total n=827, 575 + 252) found low baseline CBF did not predict worsening WMH; one previous and one new study (n=390 + 136) found low CBF predicted increased PVWMH but not deep WMH progression or predicted global WMH; the two smallest studies (n=40 and 52) found low CBF in NAWM/penumbral tissue predated WMH growth at follow-up; and one prior study (n=77) found CBF increased in some regions and decreased in other regions as WMH progressed.

Longitudinal meta-analysis was not possible due to insufficient data and different statistical and methodological approaches between studies. The two studies with follow-up CBF measures^{36, 38} carried out their statistics differently and therefore could not be combined.

Table 4. Results from longitudinal studies.

		Nylander et al. ³⁷	Staffaroni et al. ³⁶	Promjunyakul et al. ¹⁵
Sample size		252	136	52
Follow-up time (• /	5	2.3	4.5
CBF	At baseline	NA	27.07± 6.38*	WM CBF: 27.0 ± 6.6 GM CBF: 59.3 ± 10.0 PVWMH CBF: 14.09 ± 6.05 DWMH CBF: 16.12 ± 6.85
CBF	At follow-up	NA	24.47±5.61*	NA
WMH (ml)	At baseline	10.5 ± 5.2 (n=406)	3.4 ± 3.8*	Mean PVWMH vol. = 10.3 ± 11.7 Mean DWMH vol. = 1.63
WMH (ml)	At follow-up	11.9 ± 5.7 (n=229)	4.6 ± 4.8 *	Mean PVWMH vol. growth =3.7±6ml Mean DWMH vol. growth = 0.4± 1 ml
Baseline CBF and baseline WMH volume	Coefficient	NA	$\beta = -0.08,$ b = -0.01	NA
	P-value	N.S.	0.358	
Baseline CBF and ΔWMH volume	Coefficient	NA	NA	NA
	P-value	N.S.		
Baseline WMH volume and ΔCBF	Coefficient	NA	NA	NA
	P-value			
ΔWMH volume and ΔCBF	Coefficient	NA	b = -0.02	NA
	P-value		0.007	
Adjusted for other variables		Baseline value, sex	Age, sex, education	Age, sex

CBF: cerebral blood flow; WM: white matter; GM: grey matter; PVWMH: periventricular white matter hyperintensity; DWMH: deep white matter hyperintensity; WMH: white matter hyperintensity; vol.: volume; standardized beta (ß) and unstandardized (b): estimates given for

linear regression models; bCBF: baseline CBF; bWMH: baseline WMH; ΔWMH: change in WMH;

ΔCBF: change in CBF; NA: not available. *Unpublished data received from author upon request.

Cross-sectional meta-analysis of CBF and WMH burden

We included 34 cross-sectional studies (n=2180) in this updated meta-analysis, of which 24 had been previously identified. The 10 newly identified studies covered 4 brain regions, including mean global CBF, 18, 21, 22, 25 mean basal ganglia blood flow, 23 total grey matter 6, 20 and centrum semiovale²³ (Figure 2). We extracted data on CBF velocity, as measured from the middle cerebral artery (vMCA) 16, 24, 26 and included this under a new subgroup in the meta-analysis. We found no additional published data for 7 brain regions included in our previous review: four in grey matter

(frontal, temporal, parietal and occipital) and three in white matter (total, frontal and occipital).

Of the four regions where novel data was identified, patients with moderate-to-severe WMH burden had lower CBF than those with negative-to-mild WMH burden in three regions: global CBF (SMD: -0.45, 95% CI: -0.64, -0.27), total grey matter (SMD: -0.37, 95% CI: -0.69, -0.05) and centrum semiovale (SMD: -1.25, 95% CI: -1.96, -0.54) (Figure 2). In the basal ganglia there was no difference in CBF between patients with moderate-to-severe and negative-to-mild WMH burden (SMD: -0.86, 95% CI: -1.97, 0.25). Participants with worse WMH burden had lower blood flow velocities in the middle cerebral artery (SMD: -0.34, 95% CI: -0.64, -0.05).

Sensitivity analyses of age and dementia. As with the previous review,3 the difference in CBF between patients with moderate-to-severe and negative-to-mild WMH burden attenuated and became non-significant upon removing studies investigating patients with dementia and further removing non-age matched studies from the updated meta-analysis, apart from mean global CBF and centrum semiovale CBF (Supplementary Figures 3 and 4). Mean middle cerebral artery blood flow velocity was not significantly different on comparing individuals with moderate-to-severe and

negative-to-mild WMH burden after excluding non-age matched studies. Seven of the ten

additional studies were included in the meta-analysis after removing studies which investigated

patients with dementia and/or without age-matching; and the studies included in the mean basal

ganglia and centrum semiovale categories did not differ, i.e. none of these studies included

patients with dementia/without age-matching.

Cross-sectional meta-analysis of CBF difference between WMH and NAWM

Additional to the analyses that were possible in 2016, we found sufficient data for meta-analysis

in five cross-sectional studies reporting CBF values for both WMH and NAWM in subjects with

moderate to severe WMH burden (n = 295). CBF was lower in WMH compared to NAWM (SMD:-

1.51, 95% CI: -1.94, -1.07) (Figure 3).

[Insert Figure 2]

[Insert Figure 3]

Discussion

We identified 30 new relevant publications since 2016, providing a total additional sample of

3,396. The newly identified cross-sectional studies reported lower CBF in most patients with

higher WMH burden, supporting previous findings.3 In novel meta-analysis, we confirmed that

CBF was also lower in WMH than in NAWM. Longitudinal findings were mixed, the largest studies

(n=575+252) found baseline global CBF was not associated with WMH progression.³⁷ as such

there is no definite association between low CBF and increasing WMH long term. However,

regional associations between lower CBF and WMH progression, notably in normal-appearing

tissue surrounding PVWMH (n=390+52),15,38 may exist.

Higher WMH burden was also associated with a lower blood flow velocity in the middle cerebral artery, which could be an important contributor to hypoperfusion. 17, 33 One cross-sectional study

showed that higher SVD burden was associated with prolonged MTT on CT and DSC.12

Only a minority (5/11) of cross-sectional studies and none of the longitudinal studies adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors. Cardiovascular risk factors can influence the development and rate of progression of SVD, while also affecting CBF via several mechanisms.³⁹ Hypertension is an established risk factor for WMH that may affect CBF through vascular morphological changes and lumen narrowing, which can contribute to hypoperfusion.⁴⁰ One cross-sectional study (n=73) found cerebral perfusion was lower in patients with hypertension, with or without WMH, than in healthy controls, though sample size was limited.²⁵ Meanwhile diabetes has been linked to increased blood viscosity and a reduction in deformability of erythrocytes proportional to the degree of microvascular complications;41 white matter CBF is also lower in patients with type 2 diabetes than in controls. 42 As such, controlling for cardiovascular risk factors is crucial in avoiding potential confounds.

Strengths and weaknesses

Strengths of this work include the thorough meta-analysis of regional CBF variability by WMH burden, obtained through updating previous work.3 The sample size for studies included in the cross-sectional meta-analysis was enhanced (n = 2180, 64/study). We also screened non-English language publications, including a paper published in Russian.²⁵ Limitations of this work include the lack of additional data in some brain subregions, though attempts were made to contact several authors to acquire unpublished data. However, this may also reflect a narrowing focus to key regions based on experimental hypotheses, excluding regions which are less reproducible. The meta-analysis of CBF variability in WMH and NAWM was limited by the small number of

studies available (5), although the average sample size was reasonable (n = 295, mean 59/study). Several studies lacked control participants with little to no WMH burden and so could not be included. Longitudinal studies remain very limited and used heterogeneous methods, preventing direct comparison and longitudinal meta-analysis. Follow-up CBF measurements were only reported in 2 longitudinal studies; $^{36, 38}$ thus interpretation of CBF changes over time in association with WMH progression is limited. However, an increasing use of non-contrast perfusion methods, particularly ASL, should make longitudinal imaging more viable. The role of other SVD markers, including lacunes and enlarged perivascular spaces, is also underexplored. Lastly, the accuracy of white matter and WMH perfusion measurements using ASL remains unclear, due to the lower perfusion level and signal-to-noise ratio relative to grey matter. However, there are on-going efforts to improve sensitivity of acquisition and analysis techniques. Additionally, none of the included ASL studies measured ATT, perhaps because ATT quantification requires a longer

Interpretation in relation to CBF and WMH pathology

acquisition. 44

WMH and NAWM CBF variability remains underexplored and more detailed longitudinal studies evaluating subregional changes in tissue CBF and WMH progression are needed. CBF reductions were more severe within WMH compared to NAWM in patients with moderate-to-severe WMH burden, suggesting WMH represent areas of focal perfusion deficits which are more severe than global hypoperfusion. However, associations with CBF may also be affected by other mechanisms implicated in WMH evolution. Two cross-sectional studies suggested that perfusion may be higher with higher WMH burden in normal-appearing white and some grey matter regions, the following section of the following se

occur, and could instead indicate dysfunctional blood flow regulation with worse WMH burden.

Replication and more detailed subregional analyses of tissue changes in larger cohorts over time

are required. Subtle regional differences in perfusion may also be masked within larger regions. 15

The selection of regions of interest should therefore be considered carefully, and may favour finer

grained regions, such as stratifying tissue by proximity to existing WMH⁴⁷ or other pathological

features.

Implications for future research

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis showed that CBF was lower in most grey

and white matter regions of the brain with higher WMH burden and lower in WMH than normal

appearing white matter. Further studies investigating CBF along with ATT may help give a more

comprehensive understanding of causal and consequential effects in WMH development and

progression. Longitudinal studies exploring the effect of CBF changes on WMH burden remain

limited. Further longitudinal studies are required to better understand the role of perfusion in WMH

development and evolution. Future studies should also adjust for key vascular risk factors known

to influence CBF, particularly hypertension, and, where relevant, baseline WMH burden.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Tetiana Poliakova for translating the Russian article included in this review

and Wenwen Li and Junfang Zhang for screening a Mandarin paper which did not satisfy the

inclusion criteria. We thank Dr Francesca Chappell for providing advice on statistical analysis.

Author Contributions

M.S.S. and J.M.W. conceived the idea of the study. Y.S. and J.M.W. designed the search strategy

and provided data files from the original literature review. C.R.S. did the data search, extracted

data and conducted the statistical analyses. M.S.S. cross-checked the data. C.R.S. drafted the report and designed the tables and figures. J.M.W. obtained funding and managed the project. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

Disclosure/ conflict of interest

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: NHS Lothian R+D Department (MJT); the UK Dementia Research Institute which receives its funding from DRI Ltd, funded by the UK MRC (MSS, MJT, JMW); the Fondation Leducq Transatlantic Network of Excellence for the Study of Perivascular Spaces in Small Vessel Disease [reference number 16 CVD 05] (MSS); and European Union Horizon 2020 [project number 666881, SVDs@Target] (MSS).

Supplemental Information

Please find all supplementary figures in the Supplemental Material document.

References

- Wardlaw JM, Smith C and Dichgans M. Small vessel disease: mechanisms and clinical 1. implications. The Lancet Neurology 2019; 18: 684-696.
- Nasel C, Boubela R, Kalcher K, et al. Normalised time-to-peak-distribution curves correlate with cerebral white matter hyperintensities - Could this improve early diagnosis? J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2017; 37: 444-455.
- Shi Y, Thrippleton MJ, Makin SD, et al. Cerebral blood flow in small vessel disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2016; 36: 1653-1667. DOI: 10.1177/0271678X16662891.
- Del CVHM, Ritchie S, Glatz A, et al. Brain iron deposits and lifespan cognitive ability. Age (Dordr) 2015; 37: 100. 2015/09/18. DOI: 10.1007/s11357-015-9837-2.
- Wardlaw JM, Chappell FM, Valdes Hernandez MDC, et al. White matter hyperintensity reduction and outcomes after minor stroke. *Neurology* 2017; 89: 1003-1010.

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 6. van Dalen JW, Mutsaerts H, Nederveen AJ, et al. White Matter Hyperintensity Volume and Cerebral Perfusion in Older Individuals with Hypertension Using Arterial Spin-Labeling. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016; 37: 1824-1830.
- 7. Zhong G, Zhang R, Jiaerken Y, et al. Better Correlation of Cognitive Function to White Matter Integrity than to Blood Supply in Subjects with Leukoaraiosis. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2017; 9: 185.
- 8. Rane S, Koh N, Boord P, et al. Quantitative cerebrovascular pathology in a community-based cohort of older adults. *Neurobiol Aging* 2018; 65: 77-85.
- 9. Wong SM, Jansen JFA, Zhang CE, et al. Blood-brain barrier impairment and hypoperfusion are linked in cerebral small vessel disease. *Neurology* 2019; 92: e1669-e1677.
- 10. Wu X, Ge X, Du J, et al. Characterizing the Penumbras of White Matter Hyperintensities and Their Associations With Cognitive Function in Patients With Subcortical Vascular Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Front Neurol* 2019; 10: 348.
- 11. Bailey EL, Smith C, Sudlow CL, et al. Pathology of lacunar ischemic stroke in humans--a systematic review. *Brain Pathol* 2012; 22: 583-591.
- 12. Arba F, Mair G, Carpenter T, et al. Cerebral White Matter Hypoperfusion Increases with Small-Vessel Disease Burden. Data From the Third International Stroke Trial. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2017; 26: 1506-1513. DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.03.002.
- 13. Staals J, Makin SD, Doubal FN, et al. Stroke subtype, vascular risk factors, and total MRI brain small-vessel disease burden. *Neurology* 2014; 83: 1228-1234. 2014/08/29. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000837.
- 14. Promjunyakul NO, Lahna DL, Kaye JA, et al. Comparison of cerebral blood flow and structural penumbras in relation to white matter hyperintensities: A multi-modal magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2016; 36: 1528-1536.
- 15. Promjunyakul NO, Dodge HH, Lahna D, et al. Baseline NAWM structural integrity and CBF predict periventricular WMH expansion over time. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e2119-e2126.
- 16. Turk M, Zaletel M and Pretnar Oblak J. Characteristics of Cerebral Hemodynamics in Patients with Ischemic Leukoaraiosis and New Ultrasound Indices of Ischemic Leukoaraiosis. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2016a; 25: 977-984.
- 17. Turk M, Zaletel M and Pretnar-Oblak J. Ratio between carotid artery stiffness and blood flow a new ultrasound index of ischemic leukoaraiosis. *Clin Interv Aging* 2016b; 11: 65-71.
- 18. Li RR, He YS, Liu M, et al. Analysis of correlation between cerebral perfusion and KIM score of white matter lesions in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2019; 15: 2705-2714.
- 19. Benedictus MR, Leeuwis AE, Binnewijzend MA, et al. Lower cerebral blood flow is associated with faster cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease. *Eur Radiol* 2017; 27: 1169-1175.
- 20. Shokouhi M, Qiu D, Samman Tahhan A, et al. Differential Associations of Diastolic and Systolic Pressures With Cerebral Measures in Older Individuals With Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Am J Hypertens* 2018; 31: 1268-1277.
- 21. Ishibashi M, Kimura N, Aso Y, et al. Effects of white matter lesions on brain perfusion in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2018; 168: 7-11.

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 22. Shi Y, Thrippleton MJ, Blair GW, et al. Small vessel disease is associated with altered cerebrovascular pulsatility but not resting cerebral blood flow. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2020; 40: 85-99.
- 23. Hashimoto T, Yokota C, Koshino K, et al. Cerebral blood flow and metabolism associated with cerebral microbleeds in small vessel disease. *Ann Nucl Med* 2016; 30: 494-500.
- 24. Muller M, Osterreich M, von Hessling A, et al. Incomplete recovery of cerebral blood flow dynamics in sufficiently treated high blood pressure. *J Hypertens* 2019; 37: 372-379.
- 25. Parfenov VA, Ostroumova TM, Perepelova EM, et al. Brain Perfusion, Cognitive Functions, and Vascular Age in Middle Aged Patients With Essential Arterial Hypertension. *Kardiologiia* 2018; 17: 23-31.
- 26. Jokumsen-Cabral A, Aires A, Ferreira S, et al. Primary involvement of neurovascular coupling in cerebral autosomal-dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy. *J Neurol* 2019; 266: 1782-1788.
- 27. Dolui S, Tisdall D, Vidorreta M, et al. Characterizing a perfusion-based periventricular small vessel region of interest. *Neuroimage Clin* 2019; 23: 101897.
- 28. Bivard A, Cheng X, Lin L-T, et al. Global White Matter Hypoperfusion on CT Predicts Larger Infarcts and Hemorrhagic Transformation after Acute Ischemia. *CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics* 2016; 22: 238-243.
- 29. Kate M, Gioia L, Jeerakathil T, et al. Aggressive blood pressure reduction is not associated with decreased perfusion in leukoaraiosis regions in acute intracerebral hemorrhage patients. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0213645.
- 30. Croall ID, Tozer DJ, Moynihan B, et al. Effect of Standard vs Intensive Blood Pressure Control on Cerebral Blood Flow in Small Vessel Disease The PRESERVE Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Neurology* 2018; 75: 720-727.
- 31. Mark I, Seyedsaadat SM, Benson JC, et al. Leukoaraiosis and collateral blood flow in stroke patients with anterior circulation large vessel occlusion. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2020.
- 32. Kim CM, Alvarado RL, Stephens K, et al. Associations between cerebral blood flow and structural and functional brain imaging measures in individuals with neuropsychologically defined mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol Aging* 2020; 86: 64-74.
- 33. Puglisi V, Bramanti A, Lanza G, et al. Impaired Cerebral Haemodynamics in Vascular Depression: Insights From Transcranial Doppler Ultrasonography. *Front Psychiatry* 2018; 9: 316.
- 34. Bahrani AA, Powell DK, Yu G, et al. White Matter Hyperintensity Associations with Cerebral Blood Flow in Elderly Subjects Stratified by Cerebrovascular Risk. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2017; 26: 779-786.
- 35. Shi L, Miao X, Lou W, et al. The Spatial Associations of Cerebral Blood Flow and Spontaneous Brain Activities with White Matter Hyperintensities-An Exploratory Study Using Multimodal Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Front Neurol* 2017; 8: 593.
- 36. Staffaroni AM, Cobigo Y, Elahi FM, et al. A longitudinal characterization of perfusion in the aging brain and associations with cognition and neural structure. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2019; 40: 3522-3533.

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 37. Nylander R, Fahlstrom M, Rostrup E, et al. Quantitative and qualitative MRI evaluation of cerebral small vessel disease in an elderly population: a longitudinal study. *Acta Radiol* 2018; 59: 612-618.
- 38. ten Dam VH, van den Heuvel DM, de Craen AJ, et al. Decline in total cerebral blood flow is linked with increase in periventricular but not deep white matter hyperintensities. *Radiology* 2007; 243: 198-203. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2431052111.
- 39. Ostergaard L, Engedal TS, Moreton F, et al. Cerebral small vessel disease: Capillary pathways to stroke and cognitive decline. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2016; 36: 302-325.
- 40. Glodzik L, Rusinek H, Tsui W, et al. Different Relationship Between Systolic Blood Pressure and Cerebral Perfusion in Subjects With and Without Hypertension. *Hypertension* 2019; 73: 197-205.
- 41. Iadecola C. Neurovascular regulation in the normal brain and in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2004; 5: 347-360. 2004/04/22. DOI: 10.1038/nrn1387.
- 42. Shen Y, Zhao B, Yan L, et al. Cerebral Hemodynamic and White Matter Changes of Type 2 Diabetes Revealed by Multi-TI Arterial Spin Labeling and Double Inversion Recovery Sequence. *Front Neurol* 2017; 8: 717. 2018/01/10. DOI: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00717.
- 43. Wu WC, Lin SC, Wang DJ, et al. Measurement of cerebral white matter perfusion using pseudocontinuous arterial spin labeling 3T magnetic resonance imaging--an experimental and theoretical investigation of feasibility. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e82679.
- 44. Alsop DC, Detre JA, Golay X, et al. Recommended implementation of arterial spinlabeled perfusion MRI for clinical applications: A consensus of the ISMRM perfusion study group and the European consortium for ASL in dementia. *Magn Reson Med* 2015; 73: 102-116. 2014/04/10. DOI: 10.1002/mrm.25197.
- 45. Munoz Maniega S, Chappell FM, Valdes Hernandez MC, et al. Integrity of normal-appearing white matter: Influence of age, visible lesion burden and hypertension in patients with small-vessel disease. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2017; 37: 644-656. DOI: 10.1177/0271678X16635657.
- 46. Reuter-Lorenz PA and Cappell KA. Neurocognitive Aging and the Compensation Hypothesis. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 2008; 17.
- 47. Maniega SM, Valdes Hernandez MC, Clayden JD, et al. White matter hyperintensities and normal-appearing white matter integrity in the aging brain. *Neurobiol Aging* 2015; 36: 909-918. 2014/12/03. DOI: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.07.048.

FIGURES




Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of literature search.

39.7 41.5	4.4 2.7	12	42.7	3.1	11	1.3%	-0.75 [-1.61, 0.10] 1988	
				F 0	4.4			
369.1	41.6	9 20	47.5 394.2	5.6 36.5	11 15	1.1%	-1.26 [-2.25, -0.28] 2003 -0.62 [-1.31, 0.07] 2006	-
37.6	3	57	38.6	3.5	41	1.9%	-0.31 [-0.71, 0.10] 2012	
38.2 38.85	3.1 6.3	46 17	39.7 39.2	5 4.7	16	1.5%	-0.38 [-0.85, 0.09] 2018 -0.06 [-0.74, 0.62] 2018	7
26.98 58.35	10.48 8.44	128 32	31.1 62.62	9.48 10.65	75 24	2.0% 1.7%	-0.41 [-0.69, -0.12] 2019 -0.45 [-0.98, 0.09] 2020	7
	P = 0.38); I ²	328 = 6%			236	13.8%	-0.45 [-0.64, -0.27]	•
44.9	6.9	15	70.1	12	8	0.9%	-2.72 [-3.94, -1.50] 1997	
44.57 47.1	11.12 9.8	8	52.11 54.6	16.4 11.3	9	1.1%	-0.50 [-1.48, 0.47] 2000 -0.68 [-1.63, 0.28] 2012	#
31.5	4.9	12 44	30.3	6	10 36	1.3% 4.4%	0.21 [-0.63, 1.05] 2016 -0.86 [-1.97, 0.25]	•
	(P = 0.002);	I ² = 80%						
.10)								
66.1	16.9	28	66.6	13.8	218	1.9%	-0.04 [-0.43, 0.36] 1991	+
39.76	8.38	8	40.7	10.92	9	1.5%	-0.09 [-1.04, 0.86] 2000	+
40 27.7	15.1 6.4	21 9	48.1 33.9	17.1 6.9	15 26	1.5%		7
57.9	8.6 21.85	7 18	69.9 48.43	12.6 12.87	14	1.1%	-1.00 [-1.97, -0.04] 2008	
42	15	92	47	14	93	2.0%	-0.34 [-0.63, -0.05] 2016	1
		398		0.0	488	14.1%	-0.37 [-0.69, -0.05]	•
	(P = 0.0007)	i; i* = 70;	%					
36.97 38.89	6.97 6.67	16 23	43.03 52.59	15.15 8.15	15 16	1.4% 1.4%	-0.51 [-1.22, 0.21] 1990 -1.84 [-2.61, -1.07] 1993	- T
38.6 48.7	5.9 8.4	5 16	37.1 52	3.7 6.5	13 16	1.0%	0.33 [-0.71, 1.37] 1996	+
22.5	3.06	6	32.5	5.64	9	0.8%	-1.96 [-3.27, -0.64] 2000	
38.9	16.4	21	48.1	15.9	15	1.5%	-0.56 [-1.23, 0.12] 2002	_+
36.1	7.2	9	40.2	7.3	9	1.2%	-0.54 [-1.48, 0.41] 2012	
46.5	12.6	33 142	50.1	10.7	23 139	1.7% 12.5%	-0.30 [-0.83, 0.24] 2014 -0.73 [-1.16, -0.31]	→
	(P = 0.006);	I ² = 61%						
36.97	6.97	16 16	41.21 50.37	10.91	15 23	1.4%	-0.45 [-1.17, 0.26] 1990 -2.30 [-3.14, -1.47] 1993	_
39.5	6.4	5	38.1	4	13	1.0%	0.28 [-0.75, 1.32] 1996	
23.75	4.61	6	33.75	5.25	9	0.8%	-1.88 [-3.17, -0.58] 2000	<u></u>]
37.6 48.1	12.6 13	33	52.7 54.7	19.1 9.2	23	1.7%	-0.56 [-1.10, -0.02] 2014	굿
.00. df = 6	(P = 0.002):	100 I ² = 71%			117	9.0%	-0.89 [-1.46, -0.31]	•
42 22	8 52	22	51 11	0 62	16	1.5%	-0.97 [-1.65 -0.201 1002	_
40.2	9.5	5	39.5	3.7	13	1.1%	0.12 [-0.92, 1.15] 1996	+
22.8	6.58	6	33.25	4.68	9	0.8%	-1.79 [-3.07, -0.52] 2000	
41.8 35.5	16.2 11.7	21 8	50.1 58.7	19.2 9.8	15 18	1.5% 1.0%	-0.46 [-1.14, 0.21] 2002 -2.16 [-3.21, -1.11] 2009	
39.7 46.63	4.8 12.53	9	45.7 50.53	10.5 12.79	9 23	1.1% 1.7%	-0.70 [-1.66, 0.26] 2012 -0.30 [-0.84, 0.23] 2014	7
		121			119	10.2%	-0.72 [-1.17, -0.26]	•
22.04	6.06	16	40.61	12.42	15	1.49/	0.67 [1.40, 0.05] 1000	_
36.3	7.41	23	46.67	6.67	16	1.4%	-1.43 [-2.15, -0.71] 1993	
59.8	3.6 10.7	5 16	69.8	5 10.8	13 16	1.1% 1.4%	-0.91 [-1.64, -0.17] 1997	7
38.1 44.1	7.1 8.3	9 33	45.7 47.1	11.5 6.8	9 23	1.1% 1.7%	-0.76 [-1.72, 0.21] 2012 -0.38 [-0.92, 0.15] 2014	7
		102 = 21%			92	8.2%	-0.74 [-1.08, -0.39]	•
	//							
25.4	4 1P	15	28.4	41	15	1 4%	-0.68 [-1.42 0.061 1000	
13.4	4.87	8	21.74	3.53	9	0.9%	-1.88 [-3.08, -0.69] 2000	_
		32	10.5	3.3	26 50	1.3% 3.6%	-1.28 [-2.10, -0.46] 2008 -1.16 [-1.80, -0.53]	•
	P = 0.22); I ²	= 35%						
16.18 16.3	3.64 2.96	16 23	16.67 20.74	3.33 4.44	15 16	1.5% 1.5%	-0.14 [-0.84, 0.57] 1990 -1.20 [-1.89, -0.50] 1993	
22.7	6.4	5	26.1	4.1	13	1.0%	-0.68 [-1.74, 0.38] 1996	#
41.85	10.67	8	45.59	12.23	9	1.1%	-0.31 [-1.27, 0.65] 2000	
	P = 0.30); I ²				58	J.376	-0.00 [-1.00, -0.10]	V
.007)								
16.97	3.64	16	18.18	4.55	15	1.5%	-0.29 [-1.00, 0.42] 1990	+
17.04 23.6	3.7 5.9	23 5	20.74 27.1	4.44 3.7	16 13	1.5%	-0.90 [-1.57, -0.23] 1993	=
36.81	7.08	8 52	34.38	9.47	9	1.1%	0.27 [-0.68, 1.23] 2000	+
	P = 0.22); I ²				- 55	0		*
.00)								
20.7	5.3	16	24.1	3.7	16	1.4%	-0.73 [-1.44, -0.01] 1997	_
20.3 13.6	3.9 3.5	15 60	23.5 22.3	2.6 3.6	8 75	1.2% 1.8%	-0.88 [-1.78, 0.03] 1997 -2.43 [-2.88, -1.98] 1997	÷
21.2	6.8 4.1	21 9	26.8 29.8	5.3	15 9	1.5%	-0.88 [-1.58, -0.18] 2002	
12.6	2.6	12 133	15.6	3.3	10 133	1.2%	-0.98 [-1.88, -0.08] 2016	-
	(P < 0.0001)		%		.00	J. 2. 70		•
.0005)								
51	11	53	56	9	40	1.9%	-0.49 [-0.90, -0.07] 2016	-
51 50	15 16	27 23	51 56	17 10	20 17	1.6% 1.6%	0.00 [-0.58, 0.58] 2019 -0.43 [-1.06, 0.21] 2019	#
		103			77	5.1%	-0.34 [-0.64, -0.05]	•
0.02)	3.00), 1	- /0						
	38.2 38.5 39.5 39.5 39.5 39.5 39.5 39.5 39.5 39	37.8 3.3 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3	37.6 3 57 38.2 3.1 46 38.85 6.3 17 28.98 10.48 128 58.35 8.44 32 58.67 8.87 9.89 15 44.57 11.12 8 44.79 8.9 15 44.57 11.12 8 47.1 9.8 9 31.5 4.9 12 5.23, df = 3 (P = 0.002); F = 80% 5.13) 66.1 16.9 28 46.6 8.17 30 39.76 8.38 8 46 8.17 30 39.76 8.38 8 40 15.1 21 27.7 6.4 9 57.9 8.6 7 53.06 21.85 18 42 15 92 46.4 8.4 185 57.9 46.7 18 42 15 92 46.4 8.4 185 87.01, df = 8 (P = 0.0007); F = 70 10.02) 38.97 6.97 16 38.89 6.67 23 38.8 6.67 23 38.8 8 6.01, df = 8 (P = 0.0007); F = 70 10.02) 36.97 6.97 16 38.89 6.67 23 38.8 5.9 5 48.7 8.4 16 22.7 4.1 5 38.9 16.4 21 38.9 14.4 8 36.1 7.2 9 46.5 12.6 33 48.2 12.6 13.3 40.0007) 36.97 6.97 16 37.04 6.3 16 39.5 6.4 16 22.7 4.1 5 38.9 14.4 8 36.1 7.2 9 46.5 12.6 33 48.1 13 33 100 100, df = 6 (P = 0.006); F = 61% 10007) 36.97 6.97 16 37.04 6.3 16 37.04 6.3 16 22.8 6.5 6.4 5 41.8 16.2 21 35.5 11.7 8 48.1 13 33 100 10.0, df = 6 (P = 0.002); F = 71% 10.002) 33.94 6.06 16 36.3 7.41 23 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 37.04 6.3 16 22.8 6.59 6.3 16 22.8 6.59 6.3 16 22.8 6.59 6.3 16 33.7 4.1 23 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 37.04 6.3 16 22.8 6.59 6.3 16 32.5 11.7 8 39.7 4.8 13 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 37.04 6.3 16 22.8 6.59 6.3 16 32.5 4.61 3 33.7 4.1 23 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 39.7 4.1 3 39.7 4.1 3 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 39.7 4.1 23 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 39.7 4.1 3 37.3 3.6 5 59.8 10.7 16 38.1 7.1 8 39.7 4.1 3 39.7 4.1 3 30.001) 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 80% 30.001) 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001); P = 18% 30.0001 30.01 = 5(P = 0.001)	37.6 3 57 38.6 38.2 3.1 46 39.7 38.85 6.3 17 39.2 26.98 6.1048 128 31.1 58.35 8.44 32 62.62 58. df = 8 (P = 0.38); P = 6% W 44.9 6.9 15 70.1 44.57 11.12 8 51.1 31.5 4.9 12 30.3 47.1 9.8 9 54.6 31.5 4.9 12 30.3 45.23, df = 3 (P = 0.002); P = 80% 66.1 16.9 28 66.6 46 8.17 30 57.9 39.76 8.38 8 40.7 40 15.1 21 48.1 27.7 6.4 9 33.9 57.9 8.6 7 69.9 53.06 21.85 18 48.43 42 15 92 47 46.4 8.4 185 45.7 38.8 701, df = 8 (P = 0.0007); P = 70% 38.89 6.67 23 52.59 38.6 5.9 5 37.1 48.7 8.4 16 52. 42.7 4.1 5 44 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 38.9 17.0 6.3 16 6.3 16 30.0007) 36.97 6.97 16 41.21 40.2 9.5 5 33 50.1 41.0 0.007; P = 61% 100007 36.97 6.97 16 41.21 41.3 4.7 16 46.1 22.75 4.61 6 33.7 57.6 6.3 16 40.7 41.3 3.9 14.4 8 56.4 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 38.9 17.0 6.3 16 6.3 3.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.0 3.3 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.2 9 40.2 42.2 8.52 23 51.11 40.2 9.5 5 3 39.5 41.4 13 3.3 50.1 41.3 4.7 16 46.1 22.75 4.61 6 33.75 37.3 3.6 5 38.5 37.4 22.8 6.58 6 33.25 41.7 4.8 9 45.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 34 55.9 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 33 54.7 41.1 3 3.8 41.7 1 9 45.7 41.1 3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 15.5 41.1 1.3 2.9 15.5 41.1 1.3	38.6 3.5 7. 38.6 3.5 38.8 1.4 6.3 3.7 5 38.85 6.3 1.7 30.2 4.7 26.98 10.48 128 31.1 9.48 58.35 8.44 32 62.62 10.65 58. 61.8 (P = 0.38); P = 6% W W 44.9 6.9 15 70.1 12 44.57 11.12; P = 50% 5.13 31.5 4.9 12 30.3 6 44.71 9.8 9 54.6 13.3 31.5 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.3 4.8 9.7 12 30.3 6 5.3 4.8 9.7 12 30.3 6 5.3 4.8 9.7 12 30.3 6 5.3 4.8 9.7 12 30.3 6 5.13 13 14.8 12.87 5.13 15 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.13 15 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.13 15 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.13 16 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.13 16 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.13 16 4.9 12 30.3 6 5.14 16.9 28 66.6 13.8 16.2 14.1 17.1 17.1 17.7 6.4 9 30.9 6.9 12.6 15.0 17.1 17.2 17.7 6.4 9 33.9 6.9 15.9 8.6 7 69.9 12.6 15.0 15.0 12.1 14.1 17.1 17.7 17.7 6.4 9 33.9 6.9 15.9 8.6 7 69.9 12.6 15.0 15.0 15.1 18.4 14.1 17.1 27.7 6.4 9 33.9 6.9 75.9 8.6 7 69.9 12.6 15.0 15.0 15.1 18.4 18.5 45.7 8.6 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0	37.6 3 57 38.6 3.5 41 38.2 3.1 46 39.7 5 29 38.85 6.3 17 39.2 4.7 16 2.93 10.48 12.8 31.1 9.48 75 58.35 8.44 32 62.62 10.65 24 58.67 8.49 12.8 11.9 48 75 58.35 8.44 32 62.62 10.65 24 58.67 8.49 15 70.1 12 8 44.49 6.9 15 70.1 12 8 44.457 11.12 8 52.41 16.4 9 31.5 4.9 12 30.3 6 10 66.1 16.9 28 66.6 13.8 218 66.1 16.9 28 66.6 13.8 218 66.1 16.9 28 66.6 13.8 16 52.3 df = 3 (P = 0.002); P = 80% 5.13) 66.1 16.9 12 48.1 17.1 15 57.7 6.4 9 33.9 6.9 26 57.9 8.6 7 69.9 12.6 14 55.06 21.85 18 48.43 12.87 18 42 15 92 47 14 93 46.4 8.4 195 45.7 8.6 80 7.01, df = 8 (P = 0.0007); P = 70% 5.002) 36.97 6.97 16 43.03 15.15 16 38.6 5.9 5 37.1 3.7 13.7 38.8 6.5 9 5 37.1 3.7 13.7 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 17.9 9 46.7 12.6 33.9 14.4 8.5 66.4 7.9 18 36.1 7.2 9 40.2 7.3 9 46.5 12.6 33 50.1 10.7 23 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 15 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 15 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 13 46.1 7.2 9 40.2 7.3 9 46.5 12.6 33 50.1 10.7 23 42.2 8.5 2 33 50.1 10.7 23 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 18 36.1 7.2 9 40.2 7.3 9 36.9 7 6.97 16 43.03 15.15 16 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 13 36.1 7.2 9 40.2 7.3 9 46.5 12.6 33 50.1 10.7 23 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 15 37.0 4 6.3 16 50.37 5.19 23 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 13 48.1 13 33 54.7 9.2 13 39.5 6.4 5 38.1 4 13 41.3 4.7 16 46.1 8 16 22.5 3.06 6.3 2.5 5.64 9 37.6 12.6 8 52.7 18.1 18 48.1 13 33 54.7 9.2 23 37.6 12.6 8 52.7 18.1 18 48.1 13 33 54.7 9.2 23 37.6 12.6 8 52.7 18.1 19.6 3 38.9 16.4 21 48.1 15.9 15 37.6 12.6 8 52.7 18.1 19.6 3 39.7 4.8 9 45.7 10.5 9 37.6 12.6 8 52.7 18.1 18 39.7 4.8 9 45.7 10.5 9 39.7 4.8 9 45.7 10.5 9 39.7 4.8 9 45.7 10.5 9 30.0007) 22.4 4.1 5 4.6 4.1 8 16 22.7 6.4 5 26.1 3.3 50.3 10.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	33.6 3 57 38.6 3.5 41 1.9% 38.65 6.3 17 39.7 5 29 1.8% 38.65 6.3 17 39.2 4.7 16 1.5% 26.99 10.48 128 31.1 9.48 75 2.0% 58.35 8.44 32 62.62 10.65 24 1.7% 58.65 8 1.44 32 62.62 10.65 24 1.7% 58.67 8 (P = 0.38); P = 6%	37.6 3 57 38.8 35 44 11.9% -0.31 [0.71 0.10] 2019 38.2 3.1 46 39.7 5 20 11.9% -0.33 [0.71 0.10] 2019 38.8 5 6.3 17 39.2 4.7 19 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.8 5 6.3 17 39.2 4.7 19 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.8 5 6.3 17 39.2 4.7 19 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.8 6 6.3 17 39.2 18.7 19 10.5 24 17 19 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.8 6 6.3 17 39.2 18.7 19 10.5 24 17 19 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.8 6 6.3 17 39.2 18 18 19 10.5 11 19 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.9 6 6 7 50 70.1 12 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.9 6 6 7 50 70.1 12 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.9 6 6 7 50 70.5 15 10.5 -0.00 [0.74 0.02] 2019 38.9 6 6 1 16.9 28 66.6 13.8 218 19% -0.04 [0.48 0.02] 2019 39.7 6 3.8 8 40.7 10.2 9 11.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.5 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.5 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.5 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 15 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 15 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 15 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 15 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 15 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 15 1 21 48.1 17.1 19 10.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 16 0.00 2.1 18.5 18 18 48.3 12.87 18 18.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 16 0.00 2.1 18.5 18 18 48.3 12.87 18 18.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 16 0.00 2.1 18.5 18 18 48.3 12.87 18 18.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 16 0.00 2.1 18.5 18 18 48.3 12.87 18 18.5 -0.00 [1.40 0.02] 2011 31.0 1 16 0.00 2.1 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18

Figure 2. Forest plot showing standard mean differences in global, grey matter and white matter cerebral blood flow and in blood flow velocity in the middle cerebral artery. Cerebral blood flow was analysed in subgroups according to brain regions. Additional studies identified since our previous metaanalysis are outlined, all other data was originally published by Shi et al. (2016). CBF: cerebral blood flow; WMH: white matter hyperintensity; vMCA: blood flow velocity in middle cerebral artery.




Figure 3. Forest plot of novel meta-analysis, showing standard mean differences in CBF in WMH compared to NAWM in subjects with moderate to severe WMH burden. WMH: white matter hyperintensity; CBF: cerebral blood flow; NAWM: normal appearing white matter.