

Health Condition and Test Availability as Predictors of Adults' Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic

Huiyang Dai

Doctoral Student, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Stephen X. Zhang*

Associate Professor, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

Kim Hoe Looi

Assistant Professor, Xiamen University Malaysia, Malaysia

Rui Su

Bachelor Student, Xiamen University Malaysia, Malaysia

Jizhen Li

Associate Professor, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

***Corresponding author:** Stephen X. Zhang, stephen.x.zhang@gmail.com; Phone: +61 8831

39310; Address: 9-28 Nexus10 Tower, 10 Pulteney St, Adelaide SA 5000, Australia

Health Condition and Test Availability as Predictors of Adults' Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic

ABSTRACT

Background:

Research identifying adults' mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic relies solely on demographic predictors without examining adults' health status during the COVID-19 pandemic as a potential predictor.

Methods:

An online survey of 669 adults in Malaysia was conducted during May 2–8, 2020, six weeks after a Movement Control Order (MCO) was issued.

Findings:

Adults' health condition had curvilinear relationships (horizontally reversed J-shaped) with insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress. Reported test availability for COVID-19 (from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree”) also had curvilinear relationships (horizontally reversed J-shaped) with anxiety and depression. Younger adults reported worse mental health, but people from various religions and ethnic groups did not differ significantly in reported mental health.

Interpretation:

Adults with worse health conditions had more mental health problems, especially adults at the lower end of the health spectrum. Test availability negatively predicted anxiety and depression, especially for adults experiencing poor COVID-19 test availability. The significant predictions of health condition and COVID-19 test

availability suggest a new direction for the literature to identify psychiatric risk factors directly from health related variables during a pandemic.

Funding:

Tsinghua University-INDITEX Sustainable Development Fund (Project No. TISD201904).

Keywords: psychiatric screening; health condition; COVID-19 test availability; risk factors; 2019-nCoV; mental health

1. Introduction

In May 2020, the UN Secretary-General issued a message that the COVID-19 pandemic had resulted in massive mental suffering and called for actions.¹ A number of studies have predicted the mental health of adults by means of demographic variables,²⁻⁵ but little research has predicted mental health based on adults' health status during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study is the first, to the best of our knowledge, to identify such predictors, specifically adults' health condition and availability of testing for COVID-19.

First, good health condition can lower individuals' chance of COVID-19 infection, and healthcare workers (e.g., general practitioners) may already have some knowledge of the health status of people under their care. Second, as individuals have heterogeneous access to COVID-19 testing due to limited testing capacity in many countries, individuals who have poorer access to COVID-19 testing may be more concerned or anxious about the COVID-19 pandemic. Test availability for COVID-19 is potentially a unique predictor of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2. Methods

The first case of COVID-19 in Malaysia was confirmed on February 4, 2020, and on March 18, 2020, Malaysia implemented a Movement Control Order (MCO) to ban citizens from non-essential travel and mass gatherings. The data for this study was collected by an online survey from May 2 to 8, 2020, six weeks after the implementation of the MCO. On May 8, 2020, there were a total of 6,535 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 108 deaths.⁶

Even though we were not aiming for a fully representative sample, we applied two-stage stratified sampling in terms of ethnicity, gender, age, and geographical area⁷ to ensure coverage of all regions and ethnic groups in Malaysia.⁸ Participation in this survey was voluntary and participants could opt-out at any time. Moreover, participants were assured anonymity and confidentiality of their responses. The survey was granted ethical approval by Tsinghua University (20200322). The online survey was issued in Malay, Mandarin and English, the major languages used in Malaysia.

The participants reported their demographic characteristics, including gender, age, education level, number of children under 18 years old in the household, religion, and ethnic group. We assessed health condition using the global health measure SF-1⁹ with a five-point scale from 1 to 5 (poor, fair, good, very good, excellent). To capture availability of testing for COVID-19 in Malaysia, we asked participants to rate the statement “I can get a test for COVID-19 rapidly if I need it” from 1 to 7 (strongly disagree, disagree, somewhat disagree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat agree, agree, strongly agree). We used four dimensions for mental health: insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress.

Insomnia. Adults’ insomnia was measured with the five-item Athens Insomnia Scale (AIS-5)¹⁰, including “I have trouble falling asleep” and “I feel tired and worn-out after my usual amount of sleep”. The items were scored from 1 (to a very small extent) to 5 (to a very large extent). The Cronbach’s alpha was 0.82.

Anxiety. Adults’ anxiety was measured by the seven-item generalized anxiety

disorder (GAD-7)¹¹ scale. The seven items were scored from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The Cronbach's alpha was 0.92.

Depression. Adults' depression was measured with the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire depression module (PHQ-9),¹² with items scored from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The Cronbach's alpha was 0.90.

Distress. Adults' psychological distress was measured with the six-item K6 screening scale,¹³ with items scored from 1 (all of the time) to 5 (none of the time). The Cronbach's alpha was 0.95.

We applied ordinary least squares (OLS) regression for the four dependent variables. Stata 16.0 was used to identify the predictors for insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress.

3. Results

3.1 Descriptive findings

Overall, 669 adults from all the states and federal territories of Malaysia participated in this survey. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of the sample. Participation by both genders was almost equal. The youngest participant was 21 years old and the oldest was 71 years old. Malaysia is a diverse country in terms of ethnicity and religion. Religion and ethnicity were reported in Table 1. Overall, our sample captured all the major ethnic and religious groups in Malaysia, but the sample is not taken as representative.

The average levels of mental health of adults in Malaysia were different from

adults in other countries. The mean scores of insomnia (AIS-5), anxiety (GAD-7), depression (PHQ-9) and distress (K6) were 1.76 (SD = 0.84), 4.50 (SD = 4.90), 4.67 (SD = 5.12), and 5.95 (SD = 6.51), respectively. The mean scores of depression and anxiety in Malaysia were significantly lower than those in a sample of 300 adults collected on January 31 to February 7, 2020 in China¹⁴ of 8.3 (difference = -3.63, se = 0.39, 95% CI: -2.95 to -4.45, $p < 0.001$) and 7.7 (difference = -3.20, se = 0.37, 95% CI: -2.47 to -3.93, $p < 0.001$), and also lower than scores in a sample of 1,009 adults on April 10–20, 2020 in Austria¹⁵ of 6.20 (difference = -1.53, se = 0.26, 95% CI: -0.98 to -2.02, $p < 0.001$) and 5.85 (difference = -1.35, se = 0.26, 95% CI: -0.98 to -2.02, $p < 0.001$). The average degree of distress in a sample of 369 working adults (mean = 8.46) in China¹⁶ was significantly higher than in our sample (difference = 3.36, se = 0.32, 95% CI: 3.98 to 2.74, $p < 0.001$). The proportion of insomnia disorder in our sample (38.9%, $n = 669$) was similar to that in a sample collected during April 10–13, 2020 in Greece (37.6%, $n = 2,427$, difference = 1.3%, $p = 0.540$) during the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁷

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of Malaysian participants

Variables	Description		Insomnia	Anxiety	Depression	Distress
	N (%)		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Total	669 (100%)		1.76 (0.84)	4.36 (4.89)	4.49 (5.03)	5.10 (5.73)
Gender						
	Male	324 (48.43%)	1.69 (0.76)	4.04 (4.68)	4.02 (4.54)	5.25 (6.00)
	Female	345 (51.57%)	1.84 (0.91)	4.66 (5.06)	4.93 (5.43)	4.96 (5.48)
Age (years old)						
	20–29	100 (14.95%)	1.89 (0.79)	5.29 (5.00)	5.95 (4.72)	5.78 (5.83)
	30–39	197 (29.45%)	1.99 (0.91)	5.12 (5.10)	5.64 (5.67)	5.54 (5.89)
	40–49	192 (28.70%)	1.65 (0.81)	4.37 (4.78)	4.16 (4.85)	5.02 (5.73)
	50–59	148 (22.12%)	1.58 (0.76)	3.07 (4.32)	3.03 (4.19)	4.62 (5.77)
	60–71	32 (4.78%)	1.52 (0.74)	2.69 (4.80)	1.56 (2.84)	2.88 (3.27)
Education level						
	Secondary school	49 (7.32%)	1.71 (0.72)	4.63 (4.72)	4.08 (5.24)	6.08 (5.77)
	College or university	406 (60.69%)	1.80 (0.86)	4.42 (4.81)	4.69 (4.97)	5.17 (5.71)
	Graduate school	214 (31.99%)	1.70 (0.83)	4.18 (5.08)	4.20 (5.11)	4.73 (5.75)
Number of children in household						
	0	322 (48.13%)	1.78 (0.85)	4.30 (4.72)	4.77 (5.02)	4.79 (5.10)
	1	114 (17.04%)	1.69 (0.82)	4.31 (5.18)	4.18 (5.02)	5.44 (6.63)
	2	101 (15.10%)	1.68 (0.73)	4.21 (4.28)	3.89 (4.20)	5.61 (5.63)
	≥ 3	132 (19.73%)	1.77 (0.92)	4.48 (5.47)	4.25 (5.63)	5.36 (6.41)
Religion						
	Islam	352 (52.62%)	1.80 (0.88)	4.53 (5.06)	4.64 (5.24)	5.12 (5.80)
	Buddhism	112 (16.74%)	1.66 (0.74)	4.38 (4.62)	4.39 (4.62)	4.97 (5.37)
	Hinduism	24 (3.59%)	1.93 (0.83)	5.00 (6.47)	4.67 (5.47)	6.17 (6.03)
	Traditional Chinese religion	26 (3.89%)	1.63 (0.78)	3.62 (3.61)	4.31 (4.92)	4.58 (5.93)
	Sikhism	6 (0.90%)	2.08 (1.06)	9.83 (7.91)	7.17 (5.38)	5.83 (4.12)
	Christianity/Catholic	124 (18.54%)	1.73 (0.82)	3.44 (3.76)	3.74 (4.42)	4.99 (5.98)
	Others	3 (0.45%)	1.33 (0.58)	0.67 (1.15)	0.67 (1.15)	0.67 (1.15)
	None	22 (3.29%)	1.91 (0.94)	6.05 (6.21)	6.68 (6.19)	5.82 (5.42)
Ethnic group						
	Malay	328 (49.03%)	1.78 (0.87)	4.41 (5.02)	4.59 (5.23)	5.07 (5.80)
	Chinese	221 (33.03%)	1.65 (0.76)	3.91 (4.32)	4.18 (4.58)	4.77 (5.44)
	Indian	36 (5.38%)	1.94 (0.87)	5.86 (6.70)	5.00 (5.17)	7.22 (6.61)
	Bumiputra of Sabah and Sarawak	75 (11.21%)	1.93 (0.90)	4.84 (4.82)	4.56 (5.18)	5.09 (5.47)
	Others	9 (1.35%)	1.78 (0.74)	3.67 (4.50)	5.67 (6.98)	5.44 (8.03)
COVID-19 test availability						
	Strongly disagree	33 (4.93%)	1.98 (1.02)	7.97 (7.65)	8.24 (7.32)	7.55 (6.84)
	Disagree	34 (5.08%)	1.92 (1.01)	5.26 (5.12)	4.79 (5.12)	6.06 (6.83)
	Somewhat disagree	42 (6.28%)	1.79 (0.69)	3.98 (4.26)	4.05 (4.25)	6.98 (7.43)

Neither agree nor disagree	150 (22.42%)	1.80 (0.89)	4.49 (4.40)	5.00 (5.50)	5.33 (5.65)
Somewhat agree	94 (14.05%)	1.73 (0.71)	3.77 (4.04)	4.02 (3.79)	4.85 (5.69)
Agree	227 (33.93%)	1.63 (0.76)	3.69 (4.42)	3.54 (4.16)	4.34 (4.86)
Strongly agree	89 (13.30%)	1.93 (0.99)	4.98 (5.85)	5.25 (5.90)	4.72 (5.83)
Health condition					
Poor	7 (1.05%)	3.00 (1.41)	13.29 (9.84)	13.57 (7.46)	9.71 (7.54)
Fair	74 (11.06%)	2.15 (1.00)	7.41 (5.78)	7.09 (5.80)	6.85 (5.52)
Good	209 (31.24%)	1.80 (0.82)	4.46 (4.63)	4.63 (5.09)	4.89 (5.15)
Very good	250 (37.37%)	1.63 (0.74)	3.47 (3.91)	3.65 (4.12)	4.93 (5.98)
Excellent	129 (19.28%)	1.66 (0.80)	3.68 (4.96)	3.91 (5.01)	4.51 (5.94)

3.2 Predictors of insomnia, anxiety, depression, and distress

Table 2 presents the results of the regression models. The quadratic terms of health condition (health condition – square in Table 2) were significant across the regressions for all four dimensions of mental health: insomnia ($\beta = 0.10$, 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.17, $p = 0.003$), anxiety ($\beta = 0.79$, 95% CI: 0.36 to 1.22, $p < 0.001$), depression ($\beta = 0.74$, 95% CI: 0.38 to 1.11, $p < 0.001$), and distress ($\beta = 0.45$, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.88, $p = 0.045$), demonstrating curvilinear relationships between health condition and mental health dimensions. The margin analysis of the slope of insomnia by health condition was -0.71 ($p < 0.001$) at “poor”, -0.51 ($p < 0.001$) at “fair”, -0.31 ($p < 0.001$) at “good”, -0.10 ($p = 0.017$) at “very good”, and 0.10 ($p = 0.328$) at “excellent” health condition, showing a horizontally reversed J-shaped curve across the scoring range of health condition. Similar curvilinear relationships were also observed from “poor” to “excellent” health condition for anxiety ($d = -5.34$, $p < 0.001$; $d = -3.76$, $p < 0.001$; $d = -2.18$, $p < 0.001$; $d = -0.60$, $p = 0.019$; $d = 0.98$, $p = 0.114$), depression ($d = -5.04$, $p < 0.001$; $d = -3.55$, $p < 0.001$; $d = -2.06$, $p < 0.001$; $d = -0.57$, $p = 0.024$; $d = 0.91$, $p = 0.104$) and distress ($d = -3.11$, $p = 0.006$; $d = -2.21$, $p = 0.002$; $d = -1.32$, $p < 0.001$; d

= -0.43, $p = 0.188$; $d = 0.46$, $p = 0.511$).

The quadratic term of test availability (test availability – square in Table 2) was positively associated with anxiety ($\beta = 0.17$, 95% CI: 0.03 to 0.31, $p = 0.017$) and depression ($\beta = 0.16$, 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.29, $p = 0.027$). The margin analysis of the slope of anxiety by test availability was -1.49 ($p < 0.004$) at “strongly disagree”, -1.15 ($p = 0.002$) at “disagree”, -0.81 ($p = 0.001$) at “somewhat disagree”, -0.48 ($p = 0.001$) at “neither disagree nor agree”, -0.14 ($p = 0.311$) at “somewhat agree”, 0.19 ($p = 0.423$) at “agree”, and 0.53 ($p = 0.152$) at “strongly agree”, showing a horizontally reversed J-shaped curve across the scoring range of test availability. The margin analysis of the slope of depression by test availability demonstrated a similar pattern at the 7-point anchor from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree” ($d = -1.41$, $p = 0.005$; $d = -1.10$, $p = 0.003$; $d = -0.79$, $p = 0.001$; $d = -0.48$, $p = 0.001$; $d = -0.17$, $p = 0.263$; $d = 0.16$, $p = 0.562$; $d = 0.46$, $p = 0.227$).

In addition, age negatively predicted insomnia ($\beta = -0.02$, 95% CI: -0.02 to -0.01, $p < 0.001$), anxiety ($\beta = -0.08$, 95% CI: -0.11 to -0.05, $p < 0.001$), depression ($\beta = -0.11$, 95% CI: -0.14 to -0.08, $p < 0.001$) and distress ($\beta = -0.06$, 95% CI: -0.10 to -0.02, $p = 0.005$). Although we included religion and ethnicity in the regression model, insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress did not vary significantly by them. Significant results are shown in Figure 1.

Table 2 Predictors of adults' insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress by regression analyses (N = 669)

Variables	Insomnia		Anxiety		Depression		Distress	
	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Health condition–square	0.10 (0.03 to 0.17)	0.003	0.79 (0.36 to 1.22)	0.000	0.74 (0.38 to 1.11)	0.000	0.45 (0.01 to 0.88)	0.045
Health condition	-0.91 (-1.41 to -0.42)	0.000	-6.91 (-10.06 to -3.77)	0.000	-6.53 (-9.18 to -3.88)	0.000	-4.00 (-7.08 to -0.91)	0.011
Test availability–square	0.01 (-0.01 to 0.03)	0.404	0.17 (0.03 to 0.31)	0.017	0.16 (0.02 to 0.29)	0.027	0.02 (-0.14 to 0.18)	0.790
Test availability	-0.11 (-0.30 to 0.08)	0.253	-1.82 (-3.10 to -0.55)	0.005	-1.73 (-2.99 to -0.46)	0.007	-0.67 (-2.08 to 0.75)	0.355
Gender (Female)	0.08 (-0.05 to 0.21)	0.214	0.22 (-0.48 to 0.93)	0.535	0.49 (-0.24 to 1.22)	0.190	-0.54 (-1.40 to 0.32)	0.217
Age	-0.02 (-0.02 to -0.01)	0.000	-0.08 (-0.11 to -0.05)	0.000	-0.11 (-0.14 to -0.08)	0.000	-0.06 (-0.10 to -0.02)	0.005
Education level	-0.05 (0.36 to -0.15)	0.056	-0.25 (-0.86 to 0.36)	0.414	-0.10 (-0.74 to 0.55)	0.770	-0.64 (-1.40 to 0.12)	0.100
Number of children	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.07)	0.331	0.16 (-0.09 to 0.41)	0.209	0.02 (-0.27 to 0.30)	0.912	0.25 (-0.07 to 0.56)	0.131
Religion								
Islam	Reference group		Reference group		Reference group		Reference group	
Buddhism	-0.12 (-0.54 to 0.31)	0.593	-0.02 (-2.39 to 2.35)	0.986	0.61 (-1.75 to 2.96)	0.613	0.15 (-2.66 to 2.97)	0.916
Hinduism	0.08 (-0.62 to 0.79)	0.814	-0.02 (-4.10 to 4.07)	0.994	1.13 (-2.77 to 5.04)	0.569	-3.34 (-10.00 to 3.32)	0.325
Traditional Chinese religion	-0.15 (-0.64 to 0.35)	0.561	-0.80 (-3.29 to 1.69)	0.529	0.71 (-2.09 to 3.51)	0.618	-0.37 (-3.89 to 3.16)	0.837
Sikhism	0.11 (-0.8 to 1.03)	0.807	3.78 (-2.57 to 10.13)	0.243	3.31 (-1.23 to 7.84)	0.153	-4.90 (-11.86 to 2.07)	0.168
Christianity/Catholic	-0.12 (-0.51 to 0.27)	0.546	-1.56 (-3.71 to 0.58)	0.154	-0.22 (-2.39 to 1.95)	0.840	-0.16 (-2.65 to 2.33)	0.900
Others	-0.77 (-1.89 to 0.34)	0.175	-6.10 (-11.52 to -0.69)	0.027	-5.64 (-10.54 to -0.74)	0.024	-6.22 (-10.94 to -1.50)	0.010
None	0.12 (-0.40 to 0.64)	0.642	1.40 (-1.90 to 4.69)	0.406	2.70 (-0.46 to 5.85)	0.094	0.42 (-2.98 to 3.83)	0.807
Ethnic group								
Malay	Reference group		Reference group		Reference group		Reference group	
Chinese	-0.03 (-0.45 to 0.39)	0.873	-0.03 (-2.30 to 2.23)	0.977	-1.08 (-3.33 to 1.16)	0.343	-0.31 (-2.98 to 2.37)	0.823
Indian	0.17 (-0.49 to 0.82)	0.622	1.24 (-2.30 to 4.78)	0.491	-0.78 (-4.17 to 2.61)	0.651	5.30 (-1.23 to 11.84)	0.111
Bumiputra of Sabah and Sarawak	0.19 (-0.19 to 0.58)	0.329	1.25 (-0.91 to 3.41)	0.256	-0.38 (-2.52 to 1.77)	0.731	0.07 (-2.22 to 2.36)	0.951
Others	0.24 (-0.32 to 0.80)	0.405	0.80 (-2.59 to 4.20)	0.642	1.59 (-2.34 to 5.52)	0.427	2.16 (-4.09 to 8.42)	0.497




Figure 1 Predicted value and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress by health condition, COVID-19 test availability and age.

4. Discussion

This study identifies several predictors of insomnia, anxiety, depression, and distress among adults in Malaysia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Consistent with past studies,^{5,18} age was found to be a predictor of mental health problems for the general population in Malaysia. This is also consistent with studies of healthcare workers, where older healthcare workers were less likely to have mental health problems.^{19,20} However, other predictors found in the literature, such as education¹⁶ and gender,¹⁷ failed to predict mental health among adults in Malaysia, similar to a study in the UK.⁵ Our results suggest that future research should identify the effect of education and gender across more countries and future meta-analysis should identify contingent factors. Religion and ethnic group did not predict Malaysian adults' mental health, consistent with another Malaysian study²¹ which found that ethnicity is not correlated with mental health disorder. In line with previous research, our findings highlight the need to identify specific predictors of mental health under various contexts of the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁹

More importantly, this study uncovers two unique risk factors for mental health. The first risk factor is existing health condition, which had significant curvilinear relationships with insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress. The results indicated that adults with worse reported health condition had worse mental health, especially for those at the lower end of the health spectrum. In other words, individuals' existing health condition is a useful screener of insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress for less healthy adults.

The second risk factor is test availability for COVID-19 having curvilinear

relationships with anxiety and depression. Test unavailability predicted worse anxiety and depression, especially for people who disagreed that they could get tested for COVID-19 when needed. There was also no significant difference in mental health among people who “somewhat agreed”, “agreed” and “strongly agreed” that they could get a COVID-19 test. Test availability could be a predictor of mental health, especially for adults who reported they lack access to COVID-19 tests.

Our findings suggest that healthcare service providers could use adults’ general health condition and COVID-19 test availability to identify mentally vulnerable adults. The curvilinear relationships highlight the need to pay more attention to adults with poor health condition and adults who lack access to a COVID-19 test. Healthcare service providers such as hospitals may be able to use the health records of their patients and COVID-19 testing coverage to help identify those who need more mental health assistance.

4.1 Limitations and future work

Firstly, we used a two-stage stratified sampling method to aim for a broader coverage of Malaysian adults, but our study did not aim for a representative sample of adults in Malaysia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Secondly, we used SF-1, a brief one-item measure of general health condition, and future research may use the lengthier form of SF-12 or SF-36. Thirdly, instead of general health condition, future research may explore specific medical issues, such as heart disease, diabetes, or cancer, as predictors of mental health. Fourthly, we measured adults’ perceived test availability for COVID-19 because we were interested in their mental health and

future research may use alternative indicators of COVID-19 test availability.

4.2 Conclusion

This study identified two unique predictors of health condition and test availability for COVID-19 for Malaysian adults' mental health, operationalized as insomnia, anxiety, depression and distress. Unlike the demographic predictors identified in prior research, these two risk factors suggest new risk factors to predict mental health. Moreover, these predictors carried quadratic associations with various mental health dimensions, implying a need to focus on curvilinear predictors of mental health.

Contribution Statement

H. D.: Visualization, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing

S. X. Z.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – Original, Writing - Review & Editing

K. H. L.: Investigation (data collection), Writing - Review & Editing

R. S.: Investigation (data collection)

J. L.: Resources, Funding acquisition

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that each author has no conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the support of Tsinghua University-INDITEX Sustainable

Development Fund (Project No. TISD201904).

References

- 1 Gomes R. Covid-19: UN urges actions on mental health. 2020; published online May 14. <https://www.vaticannews.va/en/world/news/2020-05/united-nations-guterres-kestel-mental-health-action-covid19.html> (accessed June 12, 2020).
- 2 Kang L, Ma S, Chen M, *et al*. Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020; **87**: 11–7.
- 3 Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, *et al*. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020; **3**: e203976-e203976.
- 4 Steel Z, Silove D, Phan T, Bauman A. Long-term effect of psychological trauma on the mental health of Vietnamese refugees resettled in Australia: a population-based study. *The Lancet* 2002; **360**: 1056–62.
- 5 Shevlin M, Nolan E, Owczarek M, *et al*. COVID-19-related anxiety predicts somatic symptoms in the UK population. *Br J Health Psychol* 2020; : 10.1111/bjhp.12430.
- 6 COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic. Worldometer. <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/malaysia/> (accessed June 20, 2020).
- 7 Goodman LA. Statistical methods for the mover-stayer model. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1961; **56**: 841–68.
- 8 Demographics of Malaysia. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Demographics_of_Malaysia (accessed June 21, 2020).
- 9 Levy DE, Chang Y, Regan S, Tindle HA, Singer DE, Rigotti NA. Improvements in health-related quality of life among smokers who quit after hospitalization. *Prev Med* 2018; **110**: 38–46.
- 10 Soldatos CR, Dikeos DG, Paparrigopoulos TJ. Athens Insomnia Scale: validation of an instrument based on ICD-10 criteria. *J Psychosom Res* 2000; **48**: 555-560.
- 11 Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A Brief Measure for Assessing Generalized Anxiety Disorder: The GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; **166**: 1092–7.
- 12 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9 validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001; **16**: 606–13.

- 13 Kessler RC, Green JG, Gruber MJ, *et al.* Screening for serious mental illness in the general population with the K6 screening scale: results from the WHO World Mental Health (WMH) survey initiative. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2010; **19**: 4–22.
- 14 Yao H. The more exposure to media information about COVID-19, the more distressed you will feel. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020; : S0889159120308783.
- 15 Pieh C, Budimir S, Probst T. Mental health during COVID-19 lockdown: A comparison of Austria and the UK (May 4, 2020). ; : Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3592372> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3592372>.
- 16 Zhang SX, Wang Y, Rauch A, Wei F. Unprecedented disruption of lives and work: Health, distress and life satisfaction of working adults in China one month into the COVID-19 outbreak. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **288**: 112958.
- 17 Voitsidis P, Gliatas I, Bairachtari V, *et al.* Insomnia during the COVID-19 pandemic in a Greek population. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **289**: 113076.
- 18 Zhang C, Yang L, Liu S, *et al.* Survey of insomnia and related social psychological factors among medical staff involved in the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak. *Front Psychiatry* 2020; **11**: 306.
- 19 Zhang SX, Liu J, Jahanshahi AA, *et al.* At the height of the storm: Healthcare staff's health conditions and job satisfaction and their associated predictors during the epidemic peak of COVID-19. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020; : S0889159120307832.
- 20 Zhang SX, Sun S, Jahanshahi AA, *et al.* Developing and testing a measure of COVID-19 Organizational Support of Healthcare Workers – Results from Peru, Ecuador, and Bolivia. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; : 113174.
- 21 Raaj S, Tharmaselan M, Navanathan S. An overview of the mental health burden in Malaysia. *Jr Dr Netw* 2020; : 28–30.