Convolutional Neural Network Model to Detect COVID-19 Patients Utilizing Chest X-ray Images

Md. Shahriare Satu^a, Khair Ahammed^b, Mohammad Zoynul Abedin^c, Md. Auhidur Rahman^b, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam^d, AKM Azad^e, Salem A. Alyami^f, Mohammad Ali Moni^{g,*}

^aDepartment of Management Information Systems, Noakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh

^bInstitute of Information Technology, Noakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh

^cDepartment of Finance and Banking, Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Bangladesh

^dInstitute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, Deakin University, Australia

^eiThree Institute, Faculty of Science, University Technology of Sydney, Australia

^fDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Saudi Arabia

^gWHO Collaborating Centre on eHealth, UNSW Digital Health, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Sydney, Australia.

Abstract

This study aims to propose a deep learning model to detect COVID-19 positive cases more precisely utilizing chest X-ray images. We have collected and merged all the publicly available chest X-ray datasets of COVID-19 infected patients from Kaggle and Github, and pre-processed it using random sampling approach. Then, we proposed and applied an enhanced convolutional neural network (CNN) model to this dataset and obtained a 94.03% accuracy, 95.52% AUC and 94.03% f-measure for detecting COVID-19 positive patients. We have also performed a comparative performance between our proposed CNN model with several state-of-the-art machine learning classifiers including support vector machine, random forest, k-nearest neighbor, logistic regression, gaussian naïve bayes, bernoulli naïve bayes, decision tree, Xgboost, multilayer perceptron, nearest centroid and perceptron as well as

Preprint submitted to Machine Learning with Applications

March 13, 2021

^{*}Corresponding Author

Email address: m.moni@unsw.edu.au (Mohammad Ali Moni)

> deep learning and pre-trained models such as deep neural network, residual neural network, visual geometry group network 16, and inception network V3 were employed, where our model yielded outperforming results compared to all other models. While evaluating the performance of our models, we have emphasized on specificity along with accuracy to identify non-COVID-19 individuals more accurately, which may potentially facilitate the early detection of COVID-19 patients for their preliminary screening, especially in under-resourced health infrastructure with insufficient PCR testing systems and testing facilities. Moreover, this model could also be applicable to the cases of other lung infections.

Keywords: COVID-19, Chest-Xray Images, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Convolutional Neural Network

1 1. Introduction

Novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an ongoing pandemic caused by 2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Stoecklin 3 et al., 2020). The first case of COVID-19 was believed to be detected at Wuhan, China in December 2019 and it had been spread rapidly throughout 5 the world (Holshue et al., 2020). In has been reported that viruses from the 6 Coronaviridae family were discovered in 1960s from the nasal pits of patients (Lippi & Plebani, 2020). It is a massive infectious group that enveloped ri-8 bonucleic acid (RNA) viruses and generated different types of respiratory, 9 hepatic and neurological diseases among humans and other mammals (Zhu 10 et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2020). It contains a large family of viruses where 11 some of them induced community transmissions, such as the middle east 12 respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome 13 (SARS-CoV). The SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 were reported 14 to be originated from bats (Lu et al., 2020), but, however, SARS-CoV-2 15 has been found to have phylogenetic similarity with SARS-CoV (Zhu et al., 16 2020). It causes COVID-19 that makes the third coronavirus emergent condi-17 tion after the past two decades, preceded by the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV 18 outbreak in 2002 and 2012, respectively. The World Health Organization 19 (WHO) has announced this situation as a public health emergency of inter-20 national concern on 30^{th} January and declared the situation as a *pandemic* 21 on 11th March, 2020 (Dutta et al., 2020). Moreover, WHO issued public 22 health advises to maintain different precautions like keep social distancing, 23

wash hand with soap and sanitizer, avoid touching nose, mouth, and eve etc. 24 However, most of the affected countries underwent a completed locked-down 25 to prevent the local transmission of COVID-19 infection in their regions. 26 However, SARS-CoV-2 infected patients were commonly identified primarily 27 with some common symptoms such as fever, cough, fatigue, loss of appetite, 28 muscle pain etc. Hence, they were needed to identify, isolate and ensure the 29 treatment policy at early stages. There are existing two types of procedures, 30 namely i) molecular diagnostic tests, and ii) serologic tests (Organization 31 et al., 2020). The reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) 32 test is a molecular diagnostic test, which is currently considered as the gold 33 standard (Butt et al., 2020) that detects the viral RNA of SARS-CoV-2 34 from sputum or nasopharyngeal swab. Nevertheless, it is relatively associ-35 ated with true positive rate and required specific equipment (Butt et al., 36 2020). Another technique is currently under development that are explored 37 virus proteins to identify COVID-19 called viral antigen detection. When 38 a patient has been recovered and needed to test again, the molecular tests 30 cannot detect this disease for longer periods as the growth of the antibody 40 may be shown in its reaction to the host. Serologic test is another primary 41 tool to verify antibodies in blood and diagnose patients. Due to lack of anal-42 vsis and skilled human resources, these procedures are time consuming and 43 sometimes unavailable for many people especially in low and middle income 44 countries, which, therefore, demands an alternate but cheaper solution for 45 early diagnostics of COVID-19 inflections fast as possible. 46

Recently, medical images such as chest X-ray and computed tomography 47 (CT) scan images have been used to determine COVID-19 positive cases (Ng 48 et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). But, CT scan imaging is a costly procedure and 49 not available in every hospital or medical centers. Alternatively, chest X-ray 50 scanning machines are found in almost all the nearest clinic, medical lab or 51 hospitals rather than biomolecular laboratory test. So, it is cheaper, faster 52 and widely-used way to generate 2D images of the patients (Kroft et al., 53 2019), that can potentially be used for COVID-19 patients as well. More-54 over, radiologists have used these images to explore its pathology and detect 55 relevant diseases. Most of the existing works were implemented machine 56 learning algorithms into medical images for detecting COVID-19 patients 57 and focused on how classifiers were adopted to identify positive cases, but 58 failed to detect false negative cases that cause more community transmission 59 of COVID-19, requiring more stringent attention on the specificity measure 60

of those model predictions. In this study, we proposed a convolutional neural network (CNN) to investigate chest X-ray images and identify COVID-19
patients in early stage more precisely with higher specificity, that may aid
public health systems to reduce the local community transmission rate.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides some related works about chest X-ray image analysis. Then, section 3 describes about working dataset and step-by-step procedure of how we can analyze it using machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models. Section 4 shows the experimental outcomes and Section 5 explains the performance of this work. Finally, Section 6 concludes this work by providing some future research directions.

72 2. Literature Review

Several studies have reported the use of medical images of COVID-19 73 infection for further investigation using various machine and deep learning 74 Wang et al. (2020) generated a large benchmark dataset with methods. 75 13,975 chest X-ray images called COVIDx and investigated them using deep 76 learning model that showed 93.30% accuracy. Abbas et al. (2020) proposed 77 DeTraC deep CNN model that gave solution by transferring knowledge from 78 generic object recognition to domain-specific tasks. Their algorithm showed 70 95.55% accuracy (specificity of 91.87%, and a precision of 93.36%). Apos-80 tolopoulos & Mpesiana (2020) implemented transfer learning using CNNs 81 into a small medical image dataset (1427 X-ray images), which provided high-82 est 96.78% accuracy, 98.66% sensitivity, and 96.46% specificity respectively. 83 Karar et al. (2020) proposed a deep CNN architecture called COVIDX-Net 84 that investigated 50 chest X-ray images with 25 COVID-19 cases and pro-85 vided 90% accuracy and 91% F-score. Minaee et al. (2020) proposed a deep 86 learning framework based on 5000 images named COVID-Xray-5k where they 87 applied ResNet18, ResNet50, SqueezeNet and Densenet-121 into them and 88 produced sensitivity 97.5% and specificity 90% on average. Heidari et al. 89 (2020) used transfer learning based VGG16 model into chest x-ray images 90 which showed 94.5% accuracy, 98.4% sensitivity and 98% specificity. Again, 91 Khan et al. (2020) represented a deep neural network based on Xception 92 named CoroNet that provided 89.6% accuracy for four class and 95% accu-93 racy for three class images. Chandra et al. (2021) used two-phase classifi-94 cation approach that extracted majority vote based ensemble classifier and 95



Figure 1: A schematic diagram of our overall workflow, where (A) chest X-Ray data has been prepossessed (B) Build a machine learning model where proposed CNN has been implemented into input images along with baseline and pre-trained models (C) Analyze the performance of proposed CNN with baseline and pre-trained models and identify the best model

showed 91.03% accuracy to detect COVID-19 from pneumonia. Also, Is-96 mael & Şengür (2021) used several deep learning approaches, namely deep 97 feature extraction with SVM, fine tuning pre-trained CNN, and end-to-end 98 trained CNN model, which classified COVID-19 and normal chest X-ray im-99 ages. Karthik et al. (2020) proposed a customized CNN with distinctive 100 filter learning module that shows 97.94% accuracy and 96.90% F1-score for 101 predicting four classes respectively. Ohata et al. (2021) proposed an auto-102 matic detection model where MobileNet with the SVM (linear kernel) pro-103 vides 98.5% accuracy and an F1-score and DenseNet201 with MLP shows 104 95.6% accuracy and an F1-score for COVID-19 infection based on chest X-105 ray images. Moura et al. (2020) investigated 1616 chest X-ray images using 106 DenseNet161 where it shows 79.89% accuracy to classify normal, pathologi-107 cal and COVID-19 patients. Duran-Lopez et al. (2020) represented a custom 108 CNN based model named COVID-XNet that shows 94.43% average accu-100 racy, 98.8% AUC, 96.33% sensitivity, and 93.76% specificity respectively. 110 Shorfuzzaman & Hossain (2020) provided a siamese neural network called 111 MetaCOVID to integrate contrastive learning with a fine-tuned pre-trained 112 ConvNet encoder and capture unbiased feature representations using 10-shot 113 learning scores and compared among the meta learning algorithm with Incep-114 tionV3, Xception, Inception, ResNetV2, and VGG16. Shankar & Perumal 115 (2020) proposed fusion model hand-crafted with deep learning features (FM-116 HCF-DLF) that used multi-layer perceptron (MLP) and InceptionV3 where 117 MLP generated 94.08% accuracy. 118

¹¹⁹ 3. Materials and Methods



Figure 2: Chest X-ray images of (a) COVID-19 (b) normal and (c) viral pneumonia patients

The working methodology has been used to detect COVID-19 patients from the publicly available datasets. Figure 1 illustrates these steps that can be split into different sections such as data collection, pre-processing, classification and evaluation respectively. This approach is described briefly as follows:

125 3.1. Data Collection

The primary chest X-ray images have been obtained from the COVID-19 126 Radiography Database (Chowdhury et al., 2020). It contained 1,341 normal, 127 1,345 viral pneumonia, and 219 COVID-19 patient's images, which have been 128 taken as primary dataset. However, the distribution of different types of im-129 ages was not the same. To balance this dataset, we collected 66 images 130 from Cohen et al. (2020) and added them with COVID-19 images of pri-131 mary dataset. For other classes (normal and pneumonia), a random under-132 sampling method has been used and generated balanced instances of each 133 class. Finally, this experimental dataset had been contained 285 normal, 134 viral pneumonia and COVID-19 images respectively. For instance, Figure 2 135 illustrates the three types of chest X-ray images, respectively. 136

137 3.2. Data pre-processing

In this step, we normalized training set into grayscale images. Then, all baseline classifiers have been implemented with transformed dataset respectively. But, pre-trained CNN models such as VGG16, ResNet50, InceptionV3 cannot support grayscale images, hence we directly employed them into primary dataset.

143 3.3. Proposed Convolutional Neural Networks

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) is a special class of artificial neural 144 network (ANN) that manipulates an input layer along with the sequence of 145 hidden and output layers. It maintains a sparse connection between layers 146 and weights that shares them with output neurons in the hidden layers. Like 147 regular ANN, CNN contains a sequence of hidden layers, which are denoted 148 as convolutional and polling layer. In addition, the operations of these layers 149 are called convolutional and polling operation, respectively. Alternatively, 150 they are stacked to lead a series of fully connected layers followed by an 151 output layer. In many research fields including image recognition, object 152 detection, semantic segmentation and medical image analysis, CNN models 153 yield considerably higher performances compared to the state-of-the-arts. 154

155 3.3.1. Convolutional Layer

Convolution layer is the core structure of a CNN that manipulates convo-156 lution operation (represented by *) instead of general matrix multiplication. 157 This layer accomplishes most of the computations of CNN model. The count 158 of filters, size of local region, stride, and padding are mentioned as hyper-159 parameters of this layer. Convolution layers extract and learn about features 160 using these filters. Hence, it is known as the feature extraction layer. These 161 parameters are necessary where the similar filter is traversed across the whole 162 image for a single feature. The main objective of this layer is to identify com-163 mon features of input images and map their appearance to the feature map. 164 The convolution operation is given as: 165

$$F(i,j) = (I * K)(i,j) = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} I(i+m,j+n)K(m,n)$$
(1)

To introduce non-linearity, the output of each convolutional layer is fed to an activation function. Numerous activation functions are available but Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) is widely used in the deep learning field. It is mathematically calculated as follows:

$$f(x) = \max(0, x) \tag{2}$$

In this model, we have used fewer layers and filters, which consists of two 170 convolutional layers and gradually increased the number of filters from 32 to 171 64, respectively, where an image of size 100×100 and the *pixel* values of them 172 are either 0 or 1. In the first convolutional layer, this image is convoluted 173 with 3×3 kernel for 32 filters and produces the feature map $100 \times 100 \times 32$. 174 Subsequently, this output has been forwarded to the second convolutional 175 layer where we consider 3×3 sized kernel for 64 filters that is also convoluted 176 with $100 \times 100 \times 32$ extracted features and produced $100 \times 100 \times 64$ sized 177 output feature map is produced in this layer. 178

179 3.3.2. Pooling Layer

In CNN, the sequence of convolution layer is followed by an optional pooling or down sampling layer to lessen the volume of input images and number of parameters. This layer computes fast and precludes over-fitting. The most common pooling technique is called *Max Pooling*, which merely generates the highest result of the input region. Other pooling options are *average pooling* and *sum pooling*. Two hyper-parameters are essential for the

¹⁸⁶ pooling layer, namely filter and stride. In this model, we implement 2×2 ¹⁸⁷ filter into $100 \times 100 \times 64$ sized output feature map and create $50 \times 50 \times 64$ ¹⁸⁸ reduced feature map.

189 3.3.3. Flatten layer

After implementing the pooling layer, a flatten layer has been employed to flat the entire network. It converts the entire pooled feature map matrix into a single column.

¹⁹³ 3.3.4. Dense layer

Then, we have implemented three dense layers which are also known as a 194 fully connected layer. In this layer, the input of previous layers is flattened 195 from a matrix into a vector and forwarded it to this layer like a neural 196 network. This layer viewed the output of past layers and decided which 197 features are mostly matched with the individual class. Therefore, a fully 198 connected layer can yield accurate probabilities for the different classes. The 199 outputs are classified by using the activation function at the output layer, 200 which in our case was the *Softmax function* to calculate the probability of 201 particular classes defined by the following this equation: 202

$$Z^{k} = \frac{e^{x^{k}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x^{n}}}$$
(3)

203 3.3.5. Dropout layer

When a large *feed-forward* neural network is investigated with a small training set, it usually shows poor performance on held-out test data, and *dropout* is a useful procedure to mitigate this problem. In our model, we used dropout layer after each dense layer and to reduce over-fitting by preventing complex co-adaptations on the training data.

209 3.4. Baseline classifiers

Several machine learning classifiers have been used to perform comparative performance assessements, such as support vector machine (SVM), random forest (RF), k-nearest neighbor (k-NN), logistic regression (LR), gaussian naïve bayes (GNB), Bernoulli naïve bayes (BNB), decision tree (DT), Xgboost (XGB), multilayer perceptron (MLP), nearest centroid (NC) and



Figure 3: Proposed Convolution Neural Network

perceptron. While training with pre-processed dataset, several model hyperparameters were fine-tuned (i.e. changed and optimized) to get better predictive accuracy. These parameters of baseline classifiers are represented in
Table 2.

219 3.5. Pre-trained transfer learning models

Moreover, several deep learning classifiers were employed such as deep 220 neural network (DNN) and several pre-trained CNNs like residual neural 221 network (ResNet50), visual geometry group network 16 (VGG16), and in-222 ception network V3 (InceptionV3) for transfer learning. These models have 223 been widely used to investigate images in various domains (Ahammed et al., 224 2020; Satu et al., 2020, 2019). Like general classifiers, various parameters 225 were tuned to get more accurate result for detecting COVID-19. For DNN, 226 we considered the batch-size as 32, number of epochs as 50, the 'adam' op-227 timizer, and the learning rate as 0.0001 with weight decay. Again, some 228

Layer(Type)	Output Shape	Param #
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(100, 100, 32)	320
$conv2d_2$ (Conv2D)	(100, 100, 64)	18496
max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPooling2D)	(50, 50, 64)	0
flatten_1 (Flatten)	160000	0
dense_1 (Dense)	120	19200120
dropout_1 (Dropout)	120	0
dense_2 (Dense)	60	7260
dropout_2 (Dropout)	60	0
dense_3 (Dense)	3	183
Total params: 19,226,379 Trainable params: 19,226,379 Non-trainable params: 0		

Table 1: A summary of proposed 9 layers model

regularization terms have been employed for reducing overfitting in the deep 229 learning models. When pre-trained models have been loaded, it was down-230 loaded requiring packages to manipulate input images. Then, the flatten 231 layer was added into these pre-trained models, which flattens the input to 232 one dimension. Then, we implemented a dense layer with 64 neurons, the 233 'relu' activation function and the regularizer as 0.001, respectively. Before 234 and after employing the dense layer, dropout layer has been used to reduce 235 the over-fitting issues. Finally, three classes have been assigned with the 236 'softmax' activation function. To compile the model, categorical_crossentopy 237 loss function and adam optimizer were taken with 0.00001 learning rate. 238 Therefore, we used last 1 trainable layer for ResNet50 and considered the 239 last 62 trainable layers for InceptionV3. 240

241 3.6. Evaluation

The performance of individual classifiers was assessed by different evaluation metrics such as *accuracy*, *AUC*, *F-measure*, *sensitivity*, and *specificity*, respectively. The brief description of them is given in Table 3.

Classifier	Parameters	
SVM	linear kernel, gamma $= 0.0001$	
KNN	k=5, euclidean distance	
GNB	priors = None, var_smoothing = $1e^{-09}$	
BNB	alpha = 1.0, binarize = 0.0	
DT	gini criterion, best splitter	
LR	liblinear solver, max_iter = 1000	
RT	$max_depth = None, random_state = 0$	
GB	$\max_{\text{features}} = 2, \max_{\text{depth}} = 2,$	
	$random_state = 0$	
XGB	$learning_rate = 0.1, max_depth = 3$	
MLP	adam solver,	
	$alpha = 1e^{-5}, random_state = 1$	
NC	manhattan metric	
Perceptron	$tol = 1e^{-3}$, random_state = 0	

Table 2: Different model parameters of classical machine learning classifiers

245 4. Experiment Results

In this work, proposed CNN was used to investigate chest X-ray images of 246 normal, pneumonia and COVID-19 patients. We have used various classifiers, 247 e.g. SVM, RF, KNN, LR, GNB, BNB, XGB, MLP, NC and perceptron using 248 scikit learn library in python. Again, deep learning model such as DNN and 240 pre-trained CNN models (VGG16, MobileNet, ResNet50) were implemented 250 using keras library. Therefore, these classifiers were employed 10 fold cross 251 validation procedure using python programming language. All applications 252 have been implemented on a laptop with Asus VivoBook S, Core i5-8250U 253 Processor 8th generation - (8 GB/1 TB HDD/Windows 10 Home/) Intel 254 UHD Graphics 620. 255

The performance of all classifiers was assessed by different evaluation metrics: accuracy, AUC, F-measure, sensitivity, and specificity respectively. The results of these classifiers are shown in Table 4. Most of the models yielded substantially good predictive performances to classify chest X-ray images, where seven of them such as Proposed CNN, XGB, LR, SVM, MLP, RF and GB show the results greater than 90%, six classifiers like perceptron, KNN, GNB, NC, DT and DNN represent their performance greater

 Table 3: Evaluation Metrics

Metrics	Definition	Formula
Accuracy	Accuracy is the summation of TP and TN divided by the total instance values of the confusion matrix.	Accuracy $= \frac{\text{TP}+\text{TN}}{\text{TP}+\text{FP}+\text{TN}+\text{FN}}$
AUC	It measures the capability of the model to distinguish between classes is called AUC.	$AUC = \int_{x=0}^{1} TPR \left(FPR^{-1}(x) \right) dx$
F-Measure	The harmonic mean of precision and recall is called F-Measure / F1-score.	F-Measure $=\frac{2\text{TP}}{2\text{TP}+\text{FP}+\text{FN}}$
Sensitivity	The ratio of true negatives that are correctly identified is called as sensitivity.	Sensitivity $= \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
Specificity	The ratio of true negatives that are correctly identified is called as specificity.	Specificity $=\frac{TN}{TN+FP}$

than 70% and less than 90%. Consequently, the rest of the classifiers for 263 instances, BNB and pre-trained CNNs such as ResNet50, VGG16, Incep-264 tion V3 are provide less than 70% outcomes. The characteristics of these 265 neural network/regression-based classifiers are more realistic to investigate 266 COVID-19 dataset. The details of average results of different classifiers are 267 shown in Figure 4. Among all of these classifiers, proposed CNN shows the 268 highest accuracy (94.03%), AUROC (95.53%), F-measure (94.03%), sensi-269 tivity (94.03%), and specificity (97.01%), where it classifies 272 COVID-19 270 instances from 285 instances accurately (see Table 5). Then, XGB, LR, SVM, 271 MLP, RF and GB demonstrate better results than other algorithms except 272 for CNN. Moreover, the average performance of all classifiers is satisfactory 273 for all evaluation metrics. We believed, due to the small number of COVID-274 19 cases, these deep classifiers cannot show more accurate results like CNN 275 and others. Therefore, our proposed CNN model is found the best classifier to 276 identify both COVID-19 positive and negative cases with high performance 277 and can assist physician and policy maker to identify them quickly and take 278 necessary steps. 279

In the proposed CNN, some metrics like accuracy, f-measure and sensitivity are indicated how COVID-19 positive (target) cases can be determined.

Classifier	Accuracy	AUC	F-Measure	Sensitivity	Specificity
XGB	0.9274	0.9456	0.9274	0.9274	0.9637
LR	0.9251	0.9438	0.9253	0.9251	0.9625
SVM	0.9228	0.9421	0.923	0.9228	0.9614
MLP	0.9157	0.9368	0.9163	0.9157	0.9578
RF	0.9064	0.9298	0.906	0.9064	0.9532
GB	0.9052	0.9289	0.9049	0.9052	0.9526
Perceptron	0.8935	0.9201	0.8938	0.8935	0.9467
KNN	0.8538	0.8903	0.8558	0.8538	0.9269
GNB	0.8187	0.864	0.8155	0.8187	0.9093
NC	0.7988	0.8491	0.8009	0.7988	0.8994
DT	0.7883	0.8412	0.7889	0.7883	0.8941
DNN	0.7029	0.7772	0.7035	0.7029	0.8514
ResNet50	0.6070	0.7052	0.5948	0.6070	0.8035
VGG16	0.6035	0.7026	0.5816	0.6035	0.8017
BNB	0.5625	0.6719	0.5180	0.5625	0.7812
InceptionV3	0.5298	0.6473	0.5314	0.5298	0.7649
Proposed CNN	0.9403	0.9552	0.9403	0.9403	0.9701

Table 4: Performance Analysis of Classification Methods

Table 5:	Confusion	Matrix	of (CNN
	0 0 0 0			

		Actual Class		
	G :1.10	Covid-19	Normal	Viral Pneumonia
Predicted Class	Covid-19	272	5	8
	Normal	4	269	12
	Viral Pneumonia	8	14	263

Besides, they have been effective to explore and identify the target cases. This model shows 94.03% accuracy, f-measure and sensitivity and 95.52% AUC correspondingly. Besides, specificity is one of the most important terms because it shows how COVID-19 negative patients can be explored more accurately. The incremental result of specificity is denoted more appropriate identification of COVID-19 negative cases. Hence, the community transmission has been reduced by these infectious persons whose were not detected



Figure 4: Average results of individual classifier

as positive cases precisely. Note, our proposed CNN has yielded the highest
specificity (97.01%) among all the models evaluated in this work by outperforming them (Table 4). Thus, the proposed CNN indicate its potentiality
to avoid false positive cases.

293 4.1. Comparison with Existing Works

In this work, several machine and deep learning classifiers were used to 294 investigate chest X-ray images and detect COVID-19 positive cases rapidly. 295 Most of the classifiers used in our work were widely implemented in earlier 296 works with good predictive performances. Recently, many studies have been 297 conducted related to COVID-19 chest X-ray image analysis, where some limi-298 tations were identified. Most of the works were focused on high sensitivity, i.e. 299 how the classifiers can identify COVID-19 positive cases frequently (Karar 300 et al., 2020; Karthik et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2020; Ismael & Sengür, 2021; 301 Ohata et al., 2021). But, nowadays the community transmission is a great 302 issue to prevent the spread of COVID-19 and the growth of false negative 303 rates are accelerated, which is a great concern. So, in this work, we particu-304 larly focused on specificity (i.g. reduced false negative rates) along with other 305 metrics. Our proposed CNN model shows better specificity (97.01%) than 306 many existing works (Abbas et al., 2020; Apostolopoulos & Mpesiana, 2020; 307 Minaee et al., 2020; Chandra et al., 2021; Duran-Lopez et al., 2020; Pandit 308 & Banday, 2020). At the application level, the usage of our model may pre-309 vent COVID-19 community transmission by detecting false negative cases 310

more accurately. In this work, we verified experimental results using various 311 evaluation metrics like accuracy, AUC, F-measure, sensitivity, and specificity 312 respectively. Several works have analyzed a few number of COVID-19 sam-313 ples along with other cases where the experimental dataset was remained 314 imbalanced (Karar et al., 2020; Sekeroglu & Ozsahin, 2020; Shankar & Pe-315 rumal, 2020; Zebin & Rezvy, 2020). Sometimes, they were conducted with 316 a separate dataset where the scarcity of samples was found in both of these 317 datasets (Apostolopoulos & Mpesiana, 2020; Shankar & Perumal, 2020). In 318 the proposed model, we integrated COVID-19 samples of related datasets in 310 addition to balance the target classes named normal and viral pneumonia 320 using random *under-sampling* in view of these samples. Some works have 321 improved their results, specially, accuracy by merging non-COVID classes 322 (e.g., class 3 to 2) (Apostolopoulos & Mpesiana, 2020). It is realized that 323 normal and viral pneumonia are hardly associated with COVID-19. In our 324 current study, we considered these conditions and analyze them to justify 325 this epidemic situation. Many state-of-the-arts of CNN, such as pre-trained 326 transfer learning models are available, which investigated chest X-ray images 327 to classify COVID-19 (Apostolopoulos & Mpesiana, 2020; Abbas et al., 2020; 328 Pandit & Banday, 2020). But, they were not shown better results for only 329 855 samples. If we will increase the number of images in another condition, 330 it decreases the risk of false positive rate. In recent works, none justify their 331 work with machine learning based classifier along with deep learning (Apos-332 tolopoulos & Mpesiana, 2020; Karar et al., 2020). Besides, our approach 333 provides a solution for gathering small and a large number of chest X-images 334 to investigate COVID-19 cases. 335

336 5. Discussions

Many techniques have been using the RT-PCR test and viral antigen 337 detection techniques to identify COVID-19 cases, but none reported to claim 338 the 100% accuracy in this case. Besides, most of this existing process are cost-339 heavy, time-consuming and requires specific instructions to implement them. 340 However, sample collection is from a large population is a slow process and 341 hence, the infection may remain undetected. Instead, chest-X-ray images 342 are more accessible than other diagnostic measures. To tackle community 343 transmission, in addition to better predictability, the testings should also 344 be vigilant against false negative prediction as that undetected cases will 345 further trigger more infections. Physicians and healthcare workers cannot 346

take proper steps when many patients are admitted to the hospital. If these 347 cases are detected at an early stage, they can isolate themselves from the 348 community and give possible treatment rapidly that reduces the transmission 349 rate of COVID-19. Therefore, we needed a suitable tool to detect COVID-19 350 positive and negative cases more feasible way. Our proposed CNN model can 351 automatically detect these cases more accurately with high specificity (see 352 Section 4.1). In this work, we focused on COVID-19 negative cases so that 353 community transmission is unchained as strongly as possible. Besides, due to 354 social lock-down, collection of large-scale medical images along with chest-355 X-ray images and investigate them using machine learning models in this 356 pandemic situation may not be feasible. But, our proposed CNN is capable 357 of yielding higher predictive performance even though being trained with a 358 small number of samples compared to the existing works. 359

The pandemic condition getting severe day-by-day in recent times. Differ-360 ent sectors such as agriculture, business, finance are impeded rapidly during 361 this period. Many people lose their jobs and do not manage new oppor-362 tunities due to the lock-down situation. SARS-CoV-2 transmission rate is 363 extremely high, and hence, any undetected COVID-19 case may potentially 364 spread out this disease throughout their community rapidly. Therefore, early 365 detection via cost-effective tools with high predictive power is highly required 366 to recognize cases and take proper steps as soon as possible. High specificity 367 from our proposed CNN model could successfully reduce false negative rates 368 by detecting subtle cases, that can significantly affect not only the public 369 health but consequently re-induce the social and economic normality. 370

³⁷¹ 6. Conclusion and Future Work

The study proposed a CNN model, which analysed chest X-ray images 372 of COVID-19, healthy and other viral pneumonia patients to classify and di-373 agnosis COVID-19 patients automatically in a short period of time. Again, 374 various machine and deep learning-based approach are used to compare the 375 performance and the outcomes of our proposed CNN model. Hence, proposed 376 CNN yielded the highest 94.01% accuracy and 97.01% specificity, while most 377 of the deep learning methods did not provided good results like general clas-378 sifiers. Despite taking all the measures of avoiding over-fitting, the results of 379 our proposed CNN model performed surprisingly well with small datasets, 380 however, it would be interesting to see its performance with larger training 381 dataset. Hence, in future, we will collect a large number of images from 382

various sources and analyze them to get more feasible outcomes. This approach may be helpful for clinical practices and detection of COVID-19 cases
to prevent future community transmission.

386 References

Abbas, A., Abdelsamea, M. M., & Gaber, M. M. (2020). Clas-387 sification of COVID-19 in chest X-ray images using DeTraC 388 deep convolutional neural network. Applied Intelligence, URL: . 389 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-020-01829-7. doi:10.1007/s10489-390 020-01829-7. 391

Ahammed, K., Satu, M. S., Khan, M. I., & Whaiduzzaman, M. (2020).
Predicting infectious state of hepatitis c virus affected patient's applying
machine learning methods. In 2020 IEEE Region 10 Symposium (TEN-SYMP) (pp. 1371–1374). IEEE.

Apostolopoulos, I. D., & Mpesiana, T. A. (2020). Covid-19: automatic detection from x-ray images utilizing transfer learning with convolutional neural networks. *Physical and Engineering Sciences in Medicine*, (p. 1).

³⁹⁹ Butt, C., Gill, J., Chun, D., & Babu, B. A. (2020). Deep learning system to ⁴⁰⁰ screen coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia. *Applied Intelligence*, (p. 1).

T. B., Verma, K., Singh, B. K., Jain, D., & Netam, Chandra, 401 S. S. (2021).Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) detection in 402 X-Ray images using majority voting based classifier Chest en-403 semble. Expert Systems with Applications, 165, 113909. URL: 404 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417420307041. 405 doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113909. 406

⁴⁰⁷ Chowdhury, M. E., Rahman, T., Khandakar, A., Mazhar, R., Kadir, M. A.,
⁴⁰⁸ Mahbub, Z. B., Islam, K. R., Khan, M. S., Iqbal, A., Al-Emadi, N. et al.
⁴⁰⁹ (2020). Can ai help in screening viral and covid-19 pneumonia? arXiv
⁴¹⁰ preprint arXiv:2003.13145, .

Cohen, Morrison, Р., & Dao, (2020).Covid-J. Ρ., L. 411 data collection. arXiv 2003.11597. URL: 19image 412 https://github.com/ieee8023/covid-chestxray-dataset. 413

L., Dominguez-Morales, J. P., Duran-Lopez, Corral-Jaime, J., 414 & Linares-Barranco, (2020).Vicente-Diaz, S., А. COVID-415 A Custom Deep Learning System to Diagnose and Lo-XNet: 416 COVID-19 in Chest X-ray Images. Applied Sciences, 10, cate 417 5683. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/16/5683. 418 doi:10.3390/app10165683. Number: 16 Publisher: Multidisciplinary 419 Digital Publishing Institute. 420

⁴²¹ Dutta, S., Bandyopadhyay, S. K., & Kim, T.-H. (2020). CNN⁴²² LSTM Model for Verifying Predictions of Covid-19 Cases. Asian
⁴²³ Journal of Research in Computer Science, (pp. 25-32). URL:
⁴²⁴ https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/article/view/30141.
⁴²⁵ doi:10.9734/ajrcos/2020/v5i430141.

Guan, W.-j., Ni, Z.-y., Hu, Y., Liang, W.-h., Ou, C.-q., He, J.-x., Liu, L.,
Shan, H., Lei, C.-l., Hui, D. S. et al. (2020). Clinical characteristics of
coronavirus disease 2019 in china. New England journal of medicine, 382,
1708–1720.

Heidari, M., Mirniaharikandehei, S., Khuzani, A. Z., Danala, G., Qiu, Y.,
& Zheng, B. (2020). Improving the performance of CNN to predict the
likelihood of COVID-19 using chest X-ray images with preprocessing algo-

rithms. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 144, 104284. URL:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S138650562030959X.

doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104284.

Holshue, M. L., DeBolt, C., Lindquist, S., Lofy, K. H., Wiesman, J., Bruce,
H., Spitters, C., Ericson, K., Wilkerson, S., Tural, A. et al. (2020). First
case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the united states. New England Journal
of Medicine, .

М., Şengür, (2021).Ismael, А. & А. Deep learning ap-440 COVID-19 detection based chest X-ray proaches for on im-441 Expert Systems with Applications, 164.114054. URL: ages. 442 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417420308198. 443 doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114054. 444

Karar, M. E., Hemdan, E. E.-D., & Shouman, M. A. (2020). Cascaded deep learning classifiers for computer-aided diagnosis of COVID19 and pneumonia diseases in X-ray scans. Complex & Intelli-

gent Systems, . URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-020-00199-4.
 doi:10.1007/s40747-020-00199-4.

Karthik, R., Menaka, R., & M., H. (2020). Learning distinctive filters for COVID-19 detection from chest X-ray using shuffled residual CNN. Applied Soft Computing, (p. 106744). URL:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568494620306827.
doi:10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106744.

Khan, A. I., Shah, J. L., & Bhat, M. M. (2020). CoroNet: A deep neural
network for detection and diagnosis of COVID-19 from chest x-ray images. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 196, 105581. URL:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169260720314140.
doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105581.

⁴⁶⁰ Kroft, L. J., van der Velden, L., Girón, I. H., Roelofs, J. J., de Roos, A., &
⁴⁶¹ Geleijns, J. (2019). Added value of ultra-low-dose computed tomography,
⁴⁶² dose equivalent to chest x-ray radiography, for diagnosing chest pathology.
⁴⁶³ Journal of thoracic imaging, 34, 179.

Lippi, G., & Plebani, M. (2020). Procalcitonin in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19): a meta-analysis. *Clinica chimica acta; international journal of clinical chemistry*, 505, 190.

Liu, H., Liu, F., Li, J., Zhang, T., Wang, D., & Lan, W. (2020). Clinical and
ct imaging features of the covid-19 pneumonia: Focus on pregnant women
and children. *Journal of infection*, .

Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., Wang, W., Song, H.,
Huang, B., Zhu, N. et al. (2020). Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor
binding. *The Lancet*, 395, 565–574.

⁴⁷⁴ Minaee, S., Kafieh, R., Sonka, M., Yazdani, S., & Jamalipour Soufi, G.
⁴⁷⁵ (2020). Deep-COVID: Predicting COVID-19 from chest X-ray images
⁴⁷⁶ using deep transfer learning. *Medical Image Analysis*, 65, 101794. URL:
⁴⁷⁷ http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1361841520301584.
⁴⁷⁸ doi:10.1016/j.media.2020.101794.

Moura, J. D., García, L. R., Vidal, P. F. L., Cruz, M., López,
L. A., Lopez, E. C., Novo, J., & Ortega, M. (2020). Deep Convolutional Approaches for the Analysis of COVID-19 Using Chest XRay Images From Portable Devices. *IEEE Access*, 8, 195594–195607.
doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3033762. Conference Name: IEEE Access.

Ng, M.-Y., Lee, E. Y., Yang, J., Yang, F., Li, X., Wang, H., Lui, M. M.-s.,
Lo, C. S.-Y., Leung, B., Khong, P.-L. et al. (2020). Imaging profile of the
covid-19 infection: radiologic findings and literature review. *Radiology: Cardiothoracic Imaging*, 2, e200034.

Ohata, E. F., Bezerra, G. M., Chagas, J. V. S. d., Neto, A. V. L., Albuquerque, A. B., Albuquerque, V. H. C. d., & Filho, P. P. R. (2021). Automatic detection of COVID-19 infection using chest X-ray images through transfer learning. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 8, 239–248. doi:10.1109/JAS.2020.1003393. Conference Name: IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica.

Organization, W. H. et al. (2020). Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease
2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases: interim guidance, 2 March
2020. Technical Report World Health Organization.

Pandit, M. K., & Banday, S. A. (2020). SARS n-CoV2-19 detection from chest x-ray images using deep neural networks. *In- ternational Journal of Pervasive Computing and Communications*,
16, 419–427. URL: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPCC-06-2020-0060.
doi:10.1108/IJPCC-06-2020-0060. Publisher: Emerald Publishing Limited.

Satu, M. S., Atik, S. T., & Moni, M. A. (2019). A novel hybrid machine learn ing model to predict diabetes mellitus. In *International Joint Conference on Computational Intelligence* (pp. 453–465). Springer.

Satu, M. S., Rahman, S., Khan, M. I., Abedin, M. Z., Kaiser, M. S., &
 Mahmud, M. (2020). Towards improved detection of cognitive performance
 using bidirectional multilayer long-short term memory neural network. In
 International Conference on Brain Informatics (pp. 297–306). Springer.

Sekeroglu, В., & Ozsahin, I. (2020).Detection of COVID-509 Chest X-Ray Images Using Convolutional Neural Net-19from 510 SLAS TECHNOLOGY: Translating Life Sciences Innovaworks. 511

tion, 25, 553–565. URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/2472630320958376.
 doi:10.1177/2472630320958376. Publisher: SAGE Publications Inc.

Shankar, K., & Perumal, E. (2020). A novel hand-crafted with
deep learning features based fusion model for COVID-19 diagnosis and classification using chest X-ray images. Complex & Intelligent Systems, . URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40747-020-00216-6.
doi:10.1007/s40747-020-00216-6.

Shorfuzzaman, M., & Hossain, M. S. (2020). MetaCOVID: A Siamese
neural network framework with contrastive loss for n-shot diagnosis of COVID-19 patients. *Pattern Recognition*, (p. 107700). URL:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031320320305033.
doi:10.1016/j.patcog.2020.107700.

Stoecklin, S. B., Rolland, P., Silue, Y., Mailles, A., Campese, C., Simondon, A., Mechain, M., Meurice, L., Nguyen, M., Bassi, C. et al. (2020).
First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (covid-19) in france: surveillance,
investigations and control measures, january 2020. *Eurosurveillance*, 25,
2000094.

& Wong, A. (2020). Wang, L., Lin, Z. Q., COVID-Net: а 529 tailored deep convolutional neural network design for detection of 530 COVID-19 cases from chest X-ray images. Scientific Reports, 10, 531 19549. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-76550-z. 532 doi:10.1038/s41598-020-76550-z. Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing 533 Group. 534

Zebin, T., & Rezvy, S. (2020). COVID-19 detection and disease
progression visualization: Deep learning on chest X-rays for classification and coarse localization. *Applied Intelligence*, . URL:
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-020-01867-1. doi:10.1007/s10489-020-01867-1.

⁵⁴⁰ Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang,
⁵⁴¹ B., Shi, W., Lu, R. et al. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with
⁵⁴² pneumonia in china, 2019. New England Journal of Medicine, .