

The Risk of Lifting COVID-19 Confinement in

Mexico

Cristy Leonor Azanza Ricardo¹ and Esteban A. Hernandez-Vargas^{2,3,*}

¹Centro de Física Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Boulevard Juriquilla 3001, Santiago de Querétaro, Qro., 76230, México

²Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Boulevard Juriquilla 3001, Santiago de Querétaro, Qro., 76230, México

³Systems Medicine of Infectious Diseases, Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Frankfurt, Germany

*esteban@im.unam.mx

ABSTRACT

The novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 has paralysed our societies, leading to self isolation and quarantine for several days. As the 10th most populated country in the world, Mexico is on a major threat by COVID-19 due to the limitations of intensive care capacities, and a total of about 1.5 hospital beds for every 1000 citizens. In this paper, we projected different scenarios to evaluate sharp or gradual quarantine lifting strategies; however, even in the hypothetical scenario that Mexico would continue with full confinement, hospitals would be reaching the maximum capacity of hospital bed occupancy. Mexican government is planning to relax the strict social distancing regulations on 1 June 2020, however, epidemic rebound risks are latent.

Our results suggest that lifting social confinement needs to be gradually sparse while maintaining a decentralized region strategy among the Mexican states. To substantially lower the number of infections, predictions highlight that the elderly should remain in social confinement (approximately 11.3% of the population); the confined working class (roughly 27% of the population) must gradually return in at least four parts in consecutive months; and to the last the return of students to schools (about 21.7%). As the epidemic progresses, de-confinement strategies need to be continuously re-adjusting with the new pandemic data. Assuming the most optimistic scenario by our predictions, the smallest number of new COVID-19 cases, Mexico would require at least a 3 fold increase in hospital capacities dedicated for COVID-19. Furthermore, to observe the real dimension of the epidemic, Mexico would need to increase to at least 18 samples per 1000 people, currently is only 0.6 per 1000.

All mathematical models, including ours, are only a possibility of many of the future, however, the different scenarios that were developed here highlight that a gradual decentralized region de-confinement with a significant increase in healthcare capacities is paramount to avoid a high death toll in Mexico.

Key-words: COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Modeling; Social Confinement Lifting; Developing Countries

1 Introduction

2 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the virus behind the 2019 coronavirus disease
3 (COVID-19) with alarming levels of spread and death tolls worldwide. With more than 4 millions confirmed cases
4 and 292,046 deaths¹, COVID-19 pandemic has spread 212 countries moving the epicentre from China to Europe and
5 consequently to America¹. While potential vaccines and antiviral drugs are under fast development², epidemiological
6 models have underlined the relevance of social distancing interventions as the main weapon so far to mitigate
7 COVID-19 pandemic³.

8 Epidemiological models have played a central role to advance our understanding in SARS-CoV-2 transmission³⁻⁶.
9 In its early stages, the epidemic can double in size every 7.4 days⁷. The case fatality rate for COVID-19 ranges
10 from 0.3–1%¹ up to 20%⁸. The basic reproductive number has been computed roughly 2.2 (95% CI, 1.4 to 3.9)^{4,7}.
11 The lesson learned from China, Italy, and the United States pointed out that COVID-19 can quickly result in high
12 demands of healthcare capacities deriving in the collapsing of hospitals of well-resourced nations^{9,10}.

13 Developing countries with limitations of intensive care beds are highly jeopardized by COVID-19. Mexico ranks
14 as the 10th most populated country in the world with about 127 million people¹¹. About 60.4% of the population is
15 economically active whereof 56.2% depends on the informal workforce¹¹. Albeit the economic impact and levels
16 of moderate poverty, Mexico adopted on 15 March 2020 social confinement, which was relatively early compared
17 to the confirmed number of cases and deaths¹². By the cut-off date of 25 May 2020, the public health strategy in
18 Mexico has resulted in 71,105 infected cases and 7,633 deaths by COVID-19¹².

19 The public health strategy followed by Mexico for the COVID-19 pandemic is the sentinel model, which was
20 implemented by Mexico in 2009 against the pandemic of influenza H1N1¹³. The sentinel model consists on three
21 phases. In stage 1 of the outbreak the model applies case-by-case monitoring. At phase 2, the model focuses
22 on places where the epidemic is growing, at this stage a community-based surveillance is applied. In Phase 3,
23 which is the peak infection phase, the central problem of pandemic surveillance is not to monitor the growth of
24 the pandemic but to ensure that hospital capacities are not exceeded. Mexico has an extremely limited healthcare
25 capacity, approximately 1.5 bed for every 1000 citizens. Based on an early data of the pandemic and assuming social
26 confinement restrictions, the Sub-secretary of Prevention and Health Promotion of Mexico presented mathematical
27 model predictions with a peak of the pandemic Mexico between 8-10 May and an speculative end of the pandemic
28 on 25 June 2020¹². Mexico is planning to lift the strict social distancing regulations on 1 June 2020. However, an
29 abruptly lifting of social confinement would likely result in new waves of new COVID-19 cases and high death tolls.

30 In this work, we fit a derivation of the SEIR model for COVID-19¹⁴ using data of the COVID-19 epidemic
31 in Mexico¹² as well as its public health capacities and demographic conditions¹¹. Different lifting confinement
32 scenarios are evaluated for the main regions of Mexico in order to inform public makers to tailor decentralized region
33 strategies through the Mexican territory with the ultimate goal to minimize deaths.

34 The Sentinel Model for Tracking COVID-19 in Mexico

35 A generalized SEIR model similar to Peng *et al.*¹⁴ is developed to tailor lifting social confinement scenarios to
36 different regions of Mexico. Different assumptions need to be integrated in order to match the sentinel model used
37 by Mexico against COVID-19¹³. The model writes as follow:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta \frac{SE}{N} + \tau C - qS, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = qS - \tau C, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \beta \frac{SE}{N} - \eta E, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \varepsilon \eta E - \delta I, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = (1 - \varepsilon) \eta E + \delta I. \quad (5)$$

38 The susceptible population is represented by S , from which the total country population (N) is about 127
39 million¹¹. The exposed population to the virus is considered by E . In the sentinel model applied in Mexico, testing
40 is about 10% of the suspected cases while 100% of hospitalized cases and deaths. The infected cases (I) once
41 reported by the Mexican Government are in a hospital or at home under confinement. Therefore, it is a reasonable
42 assumption to consider that new infections are mainly driven by the exposed population with the term $\beta \frac{SE}{N}$. The
43 asymptomatic phase has been reported on average 5-6 days, but it can be up to 14 days^{1,3}. The exposed population
44 will leave this compartment with a rate η . A fraction ε of the exposed population that will present severe symptoms
45 or complications, and consequently counted in the reports of infected cases (I). This is approximately 20%^{1,3,15},
46 that is $\varepsilon = 0.2$. The other fraction $1 - \varepsilon$ will move to the compartment of remove (R), those cases that recover or die.
47 The average infection time is about 3-7 days¹⁶, while the clinical recovery is 2-6 weeks after symptoms^{17,18}. Thus,
48 we assume the recovery rate of infected cases (δ) in 10 days.

49 The first confirmed case of COVID-19 in Mexico was reported on February 28th, 2020¹². At the day of social
50 confinement, 15 March 2020, the number of infected cases (I_0) for the whole country were 12¹². Due to the change
51 in social movement as well as the very low number of infected cases between the first confirmed case till the day of
52 social confinement, we perform our parameter fitting at the first day of social confinement. The initial number of
53 exposed population (E_0) is approximately a factor f of 8 to 12 respect to the confirmed cases¹⁹, that approximates
54 $E_0 = fI_0$.

55 The compartment C is the confined population which entered with a rate q from the susceptible population. τ the
56 effect of population de-confinement, which can be attributed to government policies as well as resistant/forgetting
57 factor by the population to keep adequately the confinement. Estimations by the COVID-19 community mobility
58 report of Google²⁰ suggest a reduction in the mobility of about 50 to 70%. Thus, the ratio between $\tau/q = 2/3$ would
59 represent the 60% confinement percent in the steady state. If we consider a fast confinement rate, then $q = 1$.

Table 1. Parameters of the Sentinel Model (1)-(5) for Tracking COVID-19 in Mexico.

Parameters	Value (Range)	Reference
Exposed population with severe symptoms, ϵ	0.2 (0.15-0.3)	1, 3, 15
Recovery time, δ	2 (1.5–3) weeks	17, 18
Factor for estimate exposed cases, f	10 (8 to 12)	19
Reduction in the mobility C_S	60 (50-70)%	20
Confinement rate, q	1 (0.9-1.1)	Fixed
Infection rate, β	1.25	Estimated
Incubation period, η	0.45	Estimated
Reproductive Number, R	2.8 (2.2-3.2)	Estimated

60 A re-sampling strategy was employed to fit the parameters β and η . The range of parameter values in Table 1
 61 served to generate 3000 sets of random parameters with specific statistical distributions as presented in Figure A.1.
 62 This set of parameters consequently was used to fix parameters and perform 3000 fitting repetitions, which minimize
 63 the root mean square (RMS) difference between the model predictive output (\bar{y}_i), and the reported data of infected
 64 cases (y_i). Among different several stochastic optimization algorithms, the minimization of RMS is performed
 65 using the Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm²¹ with our Python library *PDEparams*²². Using the python library
 66 *st.inteval* and parameter fitting distributions based on 3000 samples, the 95% confidence interval (CI) is computed.
 67 The embedded panel in Fig.1 present the best fit and sampling procedure for the whole country, Mexico City (CMX)
 and the State of Mexico (MEX), while the other regions with major number of infected cases is shown in Fig. A.2.




Figure 1. SEIR model (1)-(5) fit and its respective prediction for the country, CMX and MEX. Model fitting is performed at the initial day of social confinement (15 March 2020). Shadows indicate the range of 3000 fits of infected cases considering the range of parameters in Table 1.

68
 69 Pandemic predictions underlined that while the early social confinement would delay the initial expected peak of
 70 the pandemic on middle may¹² till July-August, the number of infected per day would reach very high numbers,
 71 order of 10^4 - 10^5 . In fact, reproductive numbers for the whole country as well as major regions affected with
 72 COVID-19 were between 2.3 and 3.6 (see Table A.1). This underlines that even social restrictions SARS-CoV-2
 73 was still spreading among the country and major populated cities. The heterogeneity of the infections cases and its
 74 respective public health necessities varied significantly among different geographic regions of Mexico. Considering

75 the reported number of infected cases, Figure 2 presents the percent of the COVID-19 infected cases that require
 76 Intensive Care Units beds (ICU), intubation facilities (INT) and hospital beds (HOS) from 12 April till 25 May
 77 2020²³. Large box plots imply that the requirements of the state was changing a lot in time *e.g* Zacatecas (Zac) and
 78 Colima (Col). On the other hand, small box plots highlight that public health requirements for the state were very
 79 consistent during the reported period *e.g* CMX.

80 Assuming the percents of required hospital beds respect to the reported infected cases are consistent in the future
 81 (about 38% for the whole country, see Figure 2 and Table A.2), the most optimistic peak of the pandemic presented
 82 in Figure 1 could be about 254,174 infected cases, which would result in a saturation of hospitals. That is, the
 83 whole country would require to have about 97,180 hospital beds, while the number available of beds for COVID-19
 84 pandemic reported for the whole country is about 49,083²⁴. This is a major public concern as Mexico would only
 85 be able to provide approximately 50% for the peak of COVID-19 pandemic, otherwise hospitals capacities for
 non-COVID-19 patients would be reduced.




Figure 2. National requirements of Intensive Care Units beds (ICU), intubation facilities (INT) and hospital beds (HOS). These percents are based on the reported data of infected cases that require ICU, INT or HOS. Box plots are composed by data sets from 12 April till 25 May. Therefore, those states with small box plots reveal a very stable needs in public health capacities in time (*e.g* CMX). Data was extracted from²³.

87 Strategies for Social Confinement Lifting

88 Mexico is planning to lift social distancing restrictions on 1 June 2020¹². Based on the nominal values presented in
 89 Table 1, we develop different possible scenarios to gradually lift social confinement. Panels (a), (b) and (c) in Figure
 90 3 present a simulation scenario with a sharp and massive return of activities on 1 June 2020. This would result in a
 91 major increase of infected cases, about two order of magnitude higher than the scenario with social confinement in
 92 Figure 1.




Figure 3. Confinement Lifting Strategies. Panels (a), (b) and (c) present a sharp and massive return of people for social de-confinement on 1 June 2020 for the whole country, CMX, and MEX, respectively. Panels (d), (e) and (f) shows a step-wise social confinement lifting at different time points. This consists on keeping the elderly in confinement, while the confined working force of Mexico (about 27%) returns to activity in four parts (three steps for CMX and MEX), each one in consecutive months, while the students would come back to school one month after the working group. Panels (g), (h) and (i) underlined the best scenario for confinement lifting in the whole country, CMX, and MEX, respectively.

93 Considering that the confinement in Mexico was about 60% of the population²⁰, we evaluate a step-wise social
 94 confinement lifting based on a gradual de-confinement of different age groups. Based on Mexican reports by the

95 INEGI¹¹, Mexican population can be divided into three major age groups: G_1 are those between 0-24 years old
96 (approximately 42.94% of the population), G_2 are those between 25-59 years old (approximately 45.75% of the
97 population), and G_3 are those between 60- years old (approximately 11.32% of the population). Thus, we consider
98 that the elderly, group G_3 , should stay in social confinement. The remaining population in confinement, about 48.7%,
99 is divided into two groups: the first are students from 3 to 17 years old composed approximately 21.7%¹¹, the second
100 is the group of the working force of Mexico that stayed in social confinement, around 27%¹¹.

101 The initiation of activities of the working class and students is tailored to reduce the peak of the pandemic. Figure
102 3(d,e,f) shows a step-wise de-confinement strategy starting at different months (from June till November), returning
103 the working class in four consecutive months (or three for CMX and MEX) and at the last the returning of students
104 to schools. Independently of the initiation time of de-confinement, predictions in Figure 3 highlight that confinement
105 lifting must be avoided before passing the peak of the pandemic. If the initiation of activities is before the first peak,
106 this would result in a much higher number of infected cases, and consequently death tolls. Similar outcomes are
107 obtained for CMX and MEX (Figure 3(e,f)) as well as in major regions of Mexico (Figure A.3). Figure 3(d,e,f)
108 underlines that the best time to initiate de-confinement in CMX and MEX would be between October and November.
109 While this step-wise confinement lifting strategy is the best alternative to mitigate the peak of the pandemic, it
110 would still derive a second wave of the pandemic equivalent to the first one under social restrictions. Estimations of
111 Hospital requirements for de-confinement strategies for different Mexican regions are presented in Table A.2.

112 Discussion

113 The year 2020 has revealed one of the biggest pandemics reported in history, the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2
114 causes a severe and potentially fatal acute respiratory syndrome (COVID-19). Epidemiological reports by countries
115 with strong public health capacities have uncovered that potential of COVID-19 to saturate hospitals in short time¹.
116 Therefore, COVID-19 is a major threat to developing countries because of limitations of intensive care beds. While
117 no vaccine or antiviral drug is likely to be available soon, the only remaining tool against COVID-19 is social
118 confinement. However, a prolonged lock-down will hugely affect societies, education, and economy.

119 In this paper, we take as a case study Mexico, which adopted on March 15 social confinement to avoid the fast
120 spread of the virus and the eventual collapse of the public health services¹². Mexico is a highly populated country
121 with major levels of moderate poverty. A strict social confinement has been extremely difficult to apply in a country
122 with 56.2% of the population working informally¹¹. Mexico will lift the social confinement on 1 June 2020, however,
123 the consequent waves of infections are eminent and an abruptly de-confinement would result in high death tolls in a
124 limited public health system. On March 24, an official communication²⁴ reported that 40% of the available hospital
125 beds in the country were dedicated to the COVID-19 epidemic. That is, a total of 49,083 hospital beds, 256 intensive
126 care units, 5,523 ventilators and 2,446 intensive care beds. Furthermore, a re-conversion program is in process, to

127 increase the health capacity²⁴.

128 In spite of social confinement, our results point out a high threat to Mexico (Figure 1), that is for the most
 129 optimistic scenario, the pandemic peak would be about 338 thousand infected people. If the ratios of hospitalization
 130 observed so far are consistent in the next months (about 38% of infected cases, see Figure 2), Mexico would be almost
 131 at the maximum capacity, approximately 98% hospital bed occupancy, despite the fact that beds of non-COVID-19
 132 patients are considered for COVID-19 patients. Therefore, social confinement lifting would very likely result in a
 hospital system breakdown.




Figure 4. Reported data of most representative coexisting diseases. Data dispersion (left) shows the time percentage variability from April 12. Histogram in the right refers to the amount of individuals on May 25 having pneumonia (PNEU) or three of the chronic diseases with the higher incidence: diabetes, COPD and obesity. Labels 1, 2, and 3 represent the number of infected with one or the combination of 2 or 3 of the chronic diseases described above. Percentages on the top of the histogram columns are the percentage of the infected with the given condition referred to the total infected population and the mortality percentage for each category.

133

134 While keeping the elderly in social confinement until a vaccine is available, the best strategy to contain the
 135 epidemic in Mexico would be to return social activities after the first peak of the pandemic, returning the confined
 136 working class (about 27% of the population) in four equal parts, and at the last the returning of students to schools
 137 (see Figure 3(g)). Considering the best de-confinement strategy, Mexico would need at least a 3 fold increase in
 138 current hospital capacities. Note that this is an upper view of the pandemic at country level, however, the fate
 139 decision of pandemic evolution has shown great differences not only among countries but also among states inside
 140 of countries. Therefore, social confinement lifting should be tailored for each city in Mexico. Our results in Figure 4
 141 highlight that Mexican Government may need to consider in de-confinement strategies not only on the age factor in
 142 COVID-19 patients but also people with chronic diseases such as diabetes, COPD and obesity. This is also a main
 143 concern, as in Mexico 65% of the population is overweight, 30% of the population is obese, and about 10% of the
 144 population had diabetes²⁵.

145 In the same spirit of the epic phrase by Goerge E.P. Box that "All models are wrong, but some are useful", our
146 model as well as others for COVID-19 has several limitations. In particular, mathematical models that are fitted
147 during the emerging phase, exponential growth, of an outbreak that have potential sources of bias²⁶. Furthermore,
148 the data of infected cases in Mexico is very limited because of application of the sentinel model. While sampling is
149 based on 10% of the suspected cases while 100% of hospitalized cases and deaths, mass testing for stage 2 and 3 is
150 considered irrelevant. In fact, reports by the OECD (COVID-19)²⁷ underlines that Mexico is only testing 0.6-0.8 per
151 every thousand, occupying in this way Mexico the last place among countries with high number of infected cases.
152 With 5,623 new tests on 4 May 2020, Mexico reported the highest number of samples per day²⁷. Therefore, with this
153 sample rate Mexico will be unable to register the dimension of the epidemic, actually, it is expected that Mexico will
154 have a flat region as soon as the number of infected cases per day passes over 7,560. In reality, during the peak of the
155 pandemic Mexico would need to test at least 18 per every thousand to provide a better vision of the problem.

156 With the progressing of information of COVID-19 pandemic as well as new data of infected cases in Mexico,
157 our predictions would be more accurate and hopefully less drastic scenarios. Nevertheless, our predictions underline
158 critical scenarios and suggest tailor public health strategies for social confinement lifting in combination with a
159 significant increase in the health care system capacities.

References

- 160 **References**
- 161 **1.** CDC. Coronavirus diseases (COVID-2019) situation reports (2020).
- 162 **2.** Lowe, D. A Close Look at the Frontrunning Coronavirus Vaccines As of May 1 (updated) | In the Pipeline. Tech.
163 Rep. (2020).
- 164 **3.** Anderson, R. M., Heesterbeek, H., Klinkenberg, D. & Hollingsworth, T. D. How will country-based mitigation
165 measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic? *The Lancet* DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30567-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30567-5)
166 (2020).
- 167 **4.** Kucharski, A. J. *et al.* Early dynamics of transmission and control of COVID-19: a mathematical modelling
168 study. *The Lancet Infect. Dis.* **3099**, 1–7, DOI: [10.1016/s1473-3099\(20\)30144-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30144-4) (2020).
- 169 **5.** Weitz, J. S. *et al.* Modeling shield immunity to reduce COVID-19 epidemic spread. *Nat. Medicine* DOI:
170 [10.1038/s41591-020-0895-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0895-3) (2020).
- 171 **6.** Acuña-Zegarra, M. A., Santana-Cibrian, M. & Velasco-Hernandez, J. X. Modeling behavioral change and
172 COVID-19 containment in Mexico: A trade-off between lockdown and compliance. *Math. biosciences* 108370,
173 DOI: [10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108370](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108370) (2020).
- 174 **7.** Li, Q. *et al.* Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *New*
175 *Engl. J. Medicine* NEJMoa2001316, DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa2001316](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316) (2020).
- 176 **8.** Baud, D. *et al.* Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. *The Lancet Infect. Dis.* **3099**, 30195,
177 DOI: [10.1016/s1473-3099\(20\)30195-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30195-x) (2020).
- 178 **9.** Li, R. *et al.* The demand for inpatient and ICU beds for COVID-19 in the US: lessons from Chinese cities.
179 *medRxiv* 2020.03.09.20033241, DOI: [10.1101/2020.03.09.20033241](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033241) (2020).
- 180 **10.** Kissler, S. M., Tedijanto, C., Goldstein, E., Grad, Y. H. & Lipsitch, M. Projecting the transmission dynamics of
181 SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science* DOI: [10.1126/SCIENCE.ABB5793](https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.ABB5793) (2020).
- 182 **11.** INEGI. Encuesta Nacional de Ocupación y Empleo (ENOE), población de 15 años y más de edad. Tech. Rep.
183 (2019).
- 184 **12.** Secretaria de Salud MEXICO. Coronavirus (COVID-19)-Comunicado Técnico Diario | Secretaría de Salud |
185 Gobierno | gob.mx. Tech. Rep. (2020).
- 186 **13.** Secretaría de Salud. Manual para la vigilancia epidemiológica de Influenza. *Dirección Gen. de Epidemiol.* 1–84
187 (2014).
- 188 **14.** Peng, L., Yang, W., Zhang, D., Zhuge, C. & Hong, L. Epidemic analysis of COVID-19 in China by dynamical
189 modeling. *arXiv* (2020). [2002.06563](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.06563).

- 190 **15.** Verity, R. *et al.* Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019 : a model-based analysis. *Lancet Infect.*
191 *Dis.* **3099**, 1–9, DOI: [10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30243-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7) (2020).
- 192 **16.** Wölfel, R. *et al.* Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature* 1–10, DOI:
193 [10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x) (2020).
- 194 **17.** WHO. WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 24 February 2020
195 (2020).
- 196 **18.** Phua, J. *et al.* Intensive care management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): challenges and recommen-
197 dations. *The Lancet Respir. Medicine* **8**, 506–517, DOI: [10.1016/s2213-2600\(20\)30161-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-2600(20)30161-2) (2020).
- 198 **19.** Reporte de la Secretaria de Salud MEXICO (8 de Abril 2020). Versión estenográfica. Conferencia de prensa.
199 Informe diario sobre coronavirus COVID-19 en México (2020).
- 200 **20.** Google. COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports.
- 201 **21.** Storn, R. & Price, K. Differential Evolution - A simple and efficient adaptive scheme for global optimization
202 over continuous spaces. *J. Glob. Optim.* **11**, 341–359, DOI: [10.1023/A:1008202821328](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008202821328) (1997).
- 203 **22.** Parra-Rojas, C. & Hernandez-Vargas, E. A. PDEparams: parameter fitting toolbox for partial differential
204 equations in python. *Bioinformatics* DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btz938](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz938) (2019).
- 205 **23.** Dirección General de Epidemiología. Datos Abiertos para COVID-19 - Dirección General de Epidemiología |
206 Secretaría de Salud | Gobierno | gob.mx.
- 207 **24.** Velázquez Ramírez, M. d. C. Capacidad instalada en México para enfrentar al coronavirus COVID-19 (2020).
- 208 **25.** Levaillant, M., Lièvre, G. & Baert, G. Ending diabetes in Mexico. *Lancet (London, England)* **394**, 467–468,
209 DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31662-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31662-9) (2019).
- 210 **26.** Britton, T. & Tomba, G. S. Estimation in emerging epidemics: biases and remedies. *J. Royal Soc. Interface* **16**,
211 DOI: [10.1098/RSIF.2018.0670](https://doi.org/10.1098/RSIF.2018.0670) (2019).
- 212 **27.** OECD. Testing for COVID-19 : A way to lift confinement restrictions. Tech. Rep. April, OECD (2020).

213 **Author contributions statement**

214 CLAR performed the simulations. EAHV envisaged the project . All the authors discussed and wrote the paper.

215 **Acknowledgements**

216 This research was funded by the Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico (UNAM), CONACYT, and the
217 Alfons und Gertrud Kassel-Stiftung. This work received support from Luis Aguilar of the Laboratorio Nacional de
218 Visualización Científica Avanzada (LAVIS) at UNAM-Juriquilla.

219 **Conflict of Interest**

220 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that
221 could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The results expressed in this report should not be construed to
222 represent the views of any agencies or the Mexican government.