# Knowledge, attitude, and perceptions towards the 2019 Coronavirus Pandemic: A binational survey in Africa

- 3
- 4
- Elnadi Hager<sup>1</sup>, Ismail A. Odetokun<sup>2</sup>, Obasanjo Bolarinwa<sup>3</sup>, Ahmed Zainab<sup>4</sup>, Ochulor
   Okechukwu<sup>5</sup>, Al-Mustapha A. Ibrahim<sup>6,7\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Infectiologie et Santé Publique, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Nouzilly,
   France.
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary
   Medicine, University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.
- <sup>3</sup>School of Nursing & Public Health Medicine, College of Health Sciences, University of
   KwaZulu Natal, Durban, South Africa.
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University,
   Egypt.
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Global Health, Unit of Functional Genetics of Infectious Diseases, Institute
   Pasteur, France.
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Food Hygiene and Environmental Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
   University of Helsinki, Finland.
- <sup>7</sup>Department of Veterinary Services, Kwara State Ministry of Agriculture and Rural
  Development, Ilorin, Nigeria.
- 21
- 22 \*Corresponding author:
- 23 Al-Mustapha, Ahmad Ibrahim
- 24 <u>ahmad.al-mustapha@helsinki.fi (AAI)</u>
- 25

26

#### 27 Abstract

The current Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has changed and impacted lives on a global scale since its emergence and spread from China in late 2019. It has caused millions of infections, and thousands of deaths worldwide. However, the control of this pandemic still remains unachievable in many African countries including Egypt and Nigeria, despite the application of some strict preventive and control measures. Therefore, this study assessed the knowledge, attitude and perceptions of Egyptians and Nigerians towards COVID-19 pandemic.

A total of 1437 respondents were included in this preliminary cross-sectional survey. The 35 mean knowledge score was  $14.7\pm2.3$ . The majority of the respondents (61.6%) had a 36 satisfactory knowledge of the disease. Age (18-39 years), education (College/bachelors) and 37 background of respondents were factors influencing knowledge levels. The attitude of most 38 respondents (68.9%) towards the preventive measures was satisfactory with an average 39 attitude score of  $6.9 \pm 1.2$ . The majority of the respondents (96%) practiced self-isolation and 40 social-distancing but only 36% follow all health recommendations. The perception of most 41 respondents (62.1%) on the global efforts at controlling the virus and preventing further 42 spread was satisfactory with an average score of  $10.9 \pm 2.7$ . A satisfactory knowledge of 43 COVID-19 was significantly associated with good attitude and perceptions (p < 0.001) of 44 respondents. Only 22% of the respondents were satisfied with their country's handling of the 45 pandemic. 46

It is imperative that to avoid Africa being the next epicenter of the pandemic. Governments
need to strengthen health systems, improve their surveillance activities in detecting cases, and
effectively apply standard infection prevention and control measures.

50 Keywords: Knowledge; attitude; perception; COVID-19; Nigeria; Egypt.

2

## 51 Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO), on December 31, 2019, received a report of the 52 53 presence of unknown causes of pneumonia disease in Wuhan, China (1). Later, this disease was defined as a novel Coronavirus disease and further declared as a public health emergency 54 of international concern by January 30, 2020 (2). The novel virus was renamed by the 55 56 International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that causes the 2019 Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) (3; 4). 57 COVID-19 is caused by a single stranded RNA virus belonging to the Coronaviridae family 58 (5). This disease is similar to the previously emerged SARS-CoV and the Middle East 59 respiratory syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (6). Still, unlike these, its outbreaks have 60 taken a global pandemic course. Since the first report of the confirmed cases of the COVID-19 61 in Wuhan, China (1;7), the world has witnessed severe unprecedented mortality and morbidity 62 due to this disease resulting in serious public health emergencies. Infection by SARS-CoV-2 63 64 in humans occurs mainly through air droplets, close contact with infected persons, especially mucus membranes secretions from nose, mouth, or eyes, contaminated surfaces and some 65 studies suggest digestive tract transmission (8; 9). 66

Despite the level of advancement in health systems in developed countries like the United 67 States, the United Kingdom, France, Italy, and Spain, they appeared to be the worst hit with 68 69 the epidemic curves still rising (10). No proven treatments or vaccines are available to control COVID-19 and thus pose a significant threat to health care delivery. To flatten the curves, most 70 nations, including African countries, have applied strict prevention and control measurements 71 72 to curb the disease including regulations such as general lockdown, obligatory home quarantine, ban on public gatherings, international flights restrictions and raising awareness on 73 74 proper hand wash, hygiene and sanitation as well as social distancing (11).

The rate of infection due to COVID-19 on the African continent is on the increase, especially 75 in Egypt in the north and Nigeria in the west. As of May 14, there were 72,336 confirmed cases, 76 77 2475 deaths, and 25,270 recoveries due to COVID-19 in Africa (12), with approximately 22% of these cases from Egypt and Nigeria alone. To stop this pandemic, it is imperative to institute 78 effective infection prevention and control practices globally, nationally, and at the community 79 level. Consequently, it is urgent to understand the public knowledge, reactions, adherence to, 80 81 and acceptance of such measures that affect their daily life in several ways, especially psychologically, socially, and physically. This could be achieved through knowledge, attitude, 82 83 and practice (KAP) studies. The information generated from such studies, in addition to comprehensive reviews and recommendations, could help in the fight against COVID-19 and 84 similar future threats (13; 14). 85

In this study, we investigated the public response from two African countries (Egypt and Nigeria), towards the COVID-19 outbreak. This is a first report on the knowledge, attitude, and perceptions of participants with a scope covering more than one African country. Findings from this study would contribute to the global efforts to control the COVID-19 pandemic.

## 90 Materials and Methods

91 *Study design* 

This study was conducted in April 2020 using an online cross - sectional survey of respondents
from two African countries – Egypt and Nigeria. Egypt and Nigeria currently rank high in the
number of confirmed cases for COVID-19 from the northern and western regions of Africa
respectively.

96 Study participants, sample size and sampling

97 The targeted respondents from both countries include adults >17 years of all educational

98 levels, including both medical and non-medical backgrounds. To calculate the sample size for

this survey, we hypothesized that the percentage frequency of outcome factor in the 99 population (p) is 50% with a design effect of 1 at a confidence level of 99.9%. A sample size 100 of 1083 was calculated using the Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health 101 (OpenEpi), v.3.01 (updated 2013/04/06). To make up for non-response, 30% non-102 contingency was added. Thus, a minimum of 1,408 were targeted to be obtained from both 103 countries. Since, Nigeria has a population more than twice of Egypt, the respondents were 104 105 sampled in at least a ratio of 1 (Egypt) : 3 (Nigeria). A preliminary analysis of 1,437 respondents (Nigeria - 1,132; Egypt - 305), recruited using a convenient sampling method, 106 107 was conducted to assess their knowledge, attitude, and perceptions towards the pandemic. The online survey was carried out between April and May 2020. Due to the spread of the 108 COVID-19 pandemic and the lockdown policy enforced in both countries, respondents were 109 reached via emails and social media platforms such as WhatsApp and Facebook messenger 110 simultenously in both countries. Initially, respondents from major cities, Lagos/Ilorin 111 (Nigeria) and Cairo/Alexandria (Egypt) were recruited before the questionnaire 112 administration spread to participants from other major cities and towns across the two 113 countries. The online web-based survey was anonymous and administered in the official 114 languages (Arabic and English) of both countries. 115

#### 116 *Ethical considerations*

Ministry of Education, 117 The Kwara State Ilorin. Nigeria (reference number: 118 DE/PRIM/96/VOL.1/130) granted approval for the conduct of this study. This approval suffices for the surveys in both countries. Participation was anonymous and voluntary. 119 Informed consent was sought from the respondents and participants could withdraw from the 120 121 survey at any time in line with stipulations of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki Ethical principles (15). 122

#### 123 Questionnaire design

We designed a structured questionnaire using google forms (Alphabet Inc., California, USA). 124 125 The survey tool is available online (https://forms.gle/h649kakEzLAXcpYo7). The questionnaire was pre-validated by three independent reviewers, and a pre-test study was 126 conducted with 20 respondents from Nigeria. The responses from the pre-test were not included 127 128 in the analyzed data but used to improve upon the quality of the questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of 5 parts: a). Demography of respondents, b). Knowledge of 129 Coronavirus (COVID-19), c). Attitude towards preventive measures, d). Perception of global 130 response, and e). Community response to the pandemic. The survey was designed as a quiz. 131 We provided the correct answers to all questions wrongly answered by the respondents as a 132 feedback. All questions and responses were based on the latest recommendations by the WHO 133 (1; 3). Section B tested their knowledge of/focused on disease spread, symptoms, incubation 134 period, and how to limit infection. Section C evaluated their attitude towards preventive 135 136 measures by focusing on questions related to hand hygiene, wearing face masks, and social distancing. Sections D and E assessed their perception of global and community response 137 efforts to the pandemic with particular emphasis on ways to prevent future occurrence of such 138 outbreaks. 139

#### 140 Data analysis

Data were summarized using Microsoft Excel 2019 and analyzed utilizing the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, v.22, and the OpenEpi. To summarize the obtained data, the demographic characteristics of respondents were subjected to descriptive statistics (frequency and proportions). To assess knowledge, attitude, and perception levels of respondents, a numeric scoring pattern was used, and outcome (dependent) variables – knowledge, attitude, and perception – were computed (16). These outcome variables were further categorized as binary (satisfactory or unsatisfactory) based on cut-off (mean scores) marks (Table 1). Respondents receiving scores greater than the mean scores for knowledge (14.7 $\pm$ 2.3), attitude (6.9  $\pm$  1.2), and perception (10.9  $\pm$  2.7) were deemed to be satisfactory responses and vice versa. Chi-square test was used to test for association between independent variables (demographics) and outcome variables (knowledge, attitude and perception) at a 95% confidence interval with significant variables (p < 0.05) subjected to a logistic regression model.

154 **Results** 

# 155 Respondent demographics

156 A total of 1437 respondents were included in this preliminary survey. Most respondents

157 (83.3%, n = 1197/1437) were between the ages of 18 - 39 years. Similarly, the majority of the

respondents (84.9%, n = 1220/1437) has a bachelor/master's degree (Table 2). Respondents

159 with a scientific/medical background accounted for 59.3% of the responses (n = 852/1437).

160 Table 1: Description of scores obtained by respondents (n = 1437)

Outcome variables	Maximum obtainable scores	Scores received by respondents		Mean $\pm$ SD	Satisfactory n (%)	Unsatisfactory n (%)
		Minimu	Maximu			
		m score	m score			
Knowledge	20	5	18	14.7±2.3	885 (61.6)	552 (38.4)
Attitude	9	2	9	$6.9 \pm 1.2$	990 (68.9)	447 (31.1)
Perception	17	1	16	$10.9 \pm 2.7$	892 (62.1)	545 (37.9)

161 Cut-off marks = mean scores; Satisfactory scores = scores > mean score obtained by

162 respondents; SD - standard deviation.

163

164

#### 165 Table 2: Demographics of respondents from Nigeria and Egypt used in this preliminary study

166 (n = 1437).

Variable	Number of respondents (%)
Age (years)	
18-29	706 (49.1)
30 - 39	491 (34.2)
40 - 49	168 (11.7)
50 - 59	51 (3.5)
60 - 69	20 (1.4)
>69	1 (0.1)
Gender	
Male	754 (52.5)
Female	677 (47.1)
Prefer not to say	6 (0.4))
Education	
No formal education	2 (0.1)
High School	60 (4.2)
College (Bachelor)	897 (62.4)
Masters	323 (22.5)
Ph.D.	91 (6.3)
Others	64 (4.5)
Background	
Non-Scientific/Non-Medical	585 (40.7)
Scientific/Medical	852 (59.3)
Nationality	
Nigeria	1132 (78.8)
Egypt	305 (21.2)

167 % - percentage.

#### 168 Knowledge, attitude and perception of respondents towards COVID-19

169 Knowledge

170 The mean knowledge score was 14.7±2.3, from a maximum obtainable score of 20 (Table 1).

171 Most respondents (61.6%, n = 885/1437) had satisfactory knowledge of the disease, and the

internet was the main source of information for most respondents (83.7%, n = 1204/1437).

173 Moreover, most (78%, n = 1127/1437) of the respondents knew that COVID-19 was different

174 from common cold. The majority of the respondents knew that it is possible to have

asymptomatic COVID-19 positive patients. Most respondents also knew that most symptoms

appear between 1-14 days. Most respondents also correctly identified several symptoms of

177 COVID-19, knew how to kill (inactivate) the virus, and recognized the importance of handwash 178 in reducing the chances of contracting the disease (Table s1). All of the independent variables 179 (age, gender, level of education, background, and nationality) were significantly (p < 0.05) 180 associated with the knowledge of respondents about COVID-19.

181 Attitude

182 The participants attitude towards COVID-19 was satisfactory as the mean attitude score was  $6.9 \pm 1.2$ , with a range of 2 to 9 (Table1). Most of the respondents (68.9%, n = 990/1437) had 183 a positive attitude towards protective measures being advised by the WHO or their local health 184 authorities (Table 1). Most respondents (>80%) valued the importance of proper hygiene, self 185 - isolation, the use of face mask when going out, and the ideal distance between two people in 186 curbing the spread of the virus (Table s2). Some of the respondents were bored, fearful, and 187 anxious to return to the "new normal." Due to the compulsory lockdown, which has psycho -188 socially affected the lifestyle of most Nigerians and Egyptians, people have adapted by 189 following the social media platforms (84%, n = 1207/1437), among other means of changing. 190

191 Perception

Respondents (62.1%, n = 892/1437) had a positive perception of global efforts to control the pandemic (Table 1). Although most of the respondents (81%, n = 1163/1437) agreed with the compulsory lockdown to prevent the further spread of the disease, only 38.6% (n = 554/1437) believe that the government had done enough to protect its citizens. Most respondents (77%, n = 1110/1437) rated their country's national COVID-19 response plan below average (1-3 on a scale of 5) (Table s3).

The satisfactory knowledge of the respondents had a positive impact (p <0.001) on their attitudes towards preventive measures and their perception of a community response to curb the spread of the virus (Table 3). Most respondents (>81%, n = 1163/1437) agreed that improved personal hygiene, reducing social contacts, and following their countries health recommendations are necessary to reduce disease burden and reduce person to person transmission. The majority of the respondents (66%, n = 945/1437) believed that we can prevent a future pandemic by reducing international travels (33%, n = 472/1437); establishing improved early alerts and global warning systems for infectious diseases (82%, n = 1175/1437) and improving disease surveillance in both human and animal health sectors (73%, n = 1044/1437) (Table s4).

Table 3: Test of association (Fischer's exact test) between knowledge, attitude, and perception

		Attitude				
		Good (%)	Poor (%)	χ2	DF	p - value
	Satisfactory	658 (45.8)	227 (15.8)	31.98	1	< 0.001
	Unsatisfactory	332 (23.1)	220 (15.3)			
		Percep	tion			
Knowledge	Satisfactory	605 (42.1)	280 (19.5)	38.66	1	< 0.001
	Unsatisfactory	287 (19.9)	265 (18.4)			

209 of respondents from Nigeria and Egypt (n = 1437).

210  $\chi^2$ - chi-square; DF- degree of freedom.

211 Demographic factors influence Knowledge, attitude and perception of respondents on COVID-

212 *19* 

Respondents within the 18 - 29 years age range were  $1.4 \times (95\%$  CI: 0.55 - 0.89; p = 0.004) 213 more likely to be knowledgeable than other age groups. Respondents with a high school 214 215 education were at least  $4.7 \times (95\% \text{ CI: } 0.15 - 144.7; \text{ p} = 0.73)$  more likely to have satisfactory 216 knowledge about COVID-19 than those with no formal education. As expected, respondents with scientific or medical backgrounds were  $1.4 \times (95\% \text{ CI}: 0.56 - 0.86; \text{ p} < 0.001)$  more likely 217 to be knowledgeable than those with non-scientific/non-medical background. Egyptians were 218 219  $1.8 \times (95\%$  CI: 0.43 - 0.74; p < 0.001) more likely to have more satisfactory knowledge than Nigerians (Table 4). 220

221	The age, gender, level of education, background, and nationality had a significant impact on
222	the attitude towards COVID-19. The older the respondents, the better their attitude towards the
223	disease with an odds ratio ranging from 1.34 (95% CI: $1.06 - 1.74$ ; p = 0.019) to 6.65 (95%
224	CI: $0.17 - 206.9$ ; p = 0.692). Female respondents were $1.59 \times (95\%$ CI: $1.27 - 1.99$ ; p < 0.001),
225	more likely to have a positive attitude towards COVID-19 than males. Respondents of
226	scientific/medical background were $1.6 \times (95\% \text{ CI: } 0.49 - 0.78; p < 0.001)$ more likely to have
227	better attitude than those with non-scientific/non-medical background. Nigerians were $11 \times$
228	(95% CI: 7.57 - 13.47; p <0.001) more likely to have a positive attitude than Egyptians (Table
229	5).

The level of education, background, and nationality greatly affected the perception of global and community response to curbing the spread of COVID-19 and preventing the occurrence of any future pandemic. Educated respondents were 2.58 (95% CI: 0.09 -77.55; p > 0.999) to 6.54 (95% CI: 0.21 - 202.40; p = 0.543), more likely to have positive perceptions of the global responses than non-educated respondents. Similar to the attitude, scientific/medical respondents were  $1.6 \times (95\%$  CI: 0.56 - 0.87; p < 0.001) more likely to have better perceptions of the global responses than those with non-scientific/non-medical background (Table 6).

237

238

239

- 240
- 241
- 242

243

244

245

Variables		Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	p- value $(\chi 2)$	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age (years	)						
	18 - 29	406 (45.87)	300 (54.34)	0.021	1.00	-	-
	30 - 39	324 (36.61)	167 (30.25)		0.69	0.55 - 0.89	0.004
	40 - 49	107 (12.09)	61 (11.05)		0.77	0.54 - 1.09	0.168
	50 - 59	33 (3.72)	18 (3.26)		0.74	0.41 - 1.34	0.392
	60 - 69	15 (1.69)	5 (0.90)		0.45	0.16 - 1.26	0.178
	>69	0 (0.00)	1 (0.18)		3.38	0.09 - 132.70	>0.999
Gender							
	Male	445 (50.29)	309 (55.97)	0.032	1	-	-
	Female	438 (49.49)	239 (42.90)		0.79	0.63 - 0.97	0.031
	Prefer not to say	2 (0.22)	4 (0.73)		2.88	0.52 - 15.82	0.390
Education							
	No formal	2 (0.22)	0 (0.00)	0.028	1.00	-	-
	education						
	High School	31 (3.50)	29 (5.25)		4.68	0.15 - 144.70	0.727
	College (Bachelor)	537 (60.67)	360 (62.51)		5.04	0.17 - 150.70	0.659
	Masters	219 (24.74)	104 (18.84)		2.37	0.08 - 71.33	>0.999
	Ph.D.	61 (6.89)	30 (5.43)		2.46	0.08 - 75.36	>0.999
	Others	35 (3.95)	29 (5.25)		4.14	0.13 - 127.90	0.796
Backgroun	d						
	Non - Scientific/	330 (37.28)	255 (46.19)	0.001	1.00	-	-
	Non - Medical						
	Scientific/Medical	555 (62.72)	297 (53.81)		0.69	0.56 - 0.86	0.001
Nationality	1						
	Egypt	219 (24.74)	86 (15.57)		1.00	-	-
	Nigeria	666 (75.26)	466 (84.43)		1.78	1.35, 2.35	< 0.001

Table 4. Analysis of demographic characteristics as factors influencing the knowledge levels of poultry farmers on antimicrobial in Kwara state.

 $\chi^2$  - chi square; DF - degree of freedom; OR - odds ratio; CI: confidence interval;

249 Table 5. Analysis of demographic characteristics as factors influencing the attitude of respondents from Nigeria and Egypt towards COVID-19

250 pandemic.

Independent variables		Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	P - value ( $\chi 2$ )	OR	95% CI	P - value
Age							
	18 - 29	513 (51.81)	193 (43.17)	0.002	1.00	-	-
	30 - 39	325 (32.82)	166 (37.13)		1.34	1.06 - 1.74	0.019
	40 - 49	99 (10)	69 (15.43)		1.85	1.31 - 2.63	< 0.001
	50 - 59	40 (4.04)	11 (2.46)		0.73	0.368 - 1.45	0.470
	60 - 69	13 (1.31)	7 (1.56)		3.48	1.66 - 7.29	0.002
	>69	0 (0.00)	1 (0.22)		6.65	0.17 - 260.90	0.692
Gender							
	Male	555 (56.06)	199 (44.52)	< 0.001	1.00	-	-
	Female	431 (43.53)	246 (55.03)		1.59	1.27 - 1.99	< 0.001
	*Prefer not to say	4 (0.40)	2 (0.45)		-	-	-
Education							
	No formal education	0 (0.00)	2 (0.44)	0.045	1.00	-	-
	High School	36 (3.63)	24 (5.37)		0.13	0.004 - 4.13	0.2504
	College (Bachelor)	637 (64.34)	260 (58.16)		0.08	0.003 - 2.44	0.1186
	Masters	219 (22.12)	104 (23.26)		0.09	0.003 - 2.85	0.1501
	Ph.D.	56 (5.65)	35 (7.82)		0.13	0.004 - 3.82	0.2265
	Others	42 (4.24)	22 (4.92)		0.10	0.003 - 3.25	0.1813
Background							
	Non - Scientific/	367 (37.07)	218 (48.76)	< 0.001	1.00	-	-
	Non - Medical						
	Scientific/Medical	623 (62.92)	229 (51.24)		0.62	0.49 - 0.78	< 0.001
Nationality							
	Egypt	86 (8.68)	219 (48.99)	< 0.001	1.00	-	-
	Nigeria	904 (91.32)	228 (51.11)		0.09	0.07, 0.13	< 0.001

251  $\chi^2$  - chi square; DF - degree of freedom; OR - odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; \* - excluded from the multivariable logistic regression analysis

252 Table 6. Analysis of demographic characteristics as factors influencing the perceptions of respondents from Nigeria and Egypt towards COVID-

253 19 pandemic.

Independent		Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	p -value ( $\chi 2$ )	OR	95%CI	p-value
variables							
Age							
	18 - 29	439 (49.21)	267 (48.99)	0.888	-	-	-
	30 - 39	301 (33.74)	190 (34.86)		-	-	-
	40 - 49	104 (11.65)	64 (11.74)		-	-	-
	50 - 59	35 (3.92)	16 (2.93)		-	-	-
	60 - 69	12 (1.31)	8 (1.47)		-	-	-
	>69	1 (0.11)	0 (0.00)		-	-	-
Gender							
	Male	473 (53.02)	281 (51.55)	0.732	-	-	-
	Female	416 (46.63)	261 (47.88)		-	-	-
	Prefer not to say	3 (0.33)	3 (0.55)		-	-	-
Education							
	No formal	2 (0.22)	0 (0.00)	0.03	1.00	-	-
	education						
	High School	26 (2.91)	34 (6.23)		6.54	0.21 - 202.40	0.543
	College (Bachelor)	553 (61.99)	344 (63.11)		3.11	0.10 - 92.94	0.95
	Masters	213 (23.87)	110 (20.18)		2.58	0.09 - 77.55	>0.9999
	Ph.D.	57 (6.39)	34 (6.23)		2.98	0.09 - 91.26	0.984
	Others	41(4.59)	23 (4.22)		2.81	0.09 - 86.84	>0.999
Background							
	Non - Scientific/	334 (37.44)	251(46.05)	0.001	1.00	-	_
	Non - Medical						
	Scientific/Medical	558 (62.56)	294 (53.95)		0.69	0.56 - 0.87	0.001
Nationality							
	Egypt	165 (18.49)	140 (25.68)	0.001	1.00	-	-
	Nigeria	727 (81.51)	405 (74.32)		0.66	0.51 - 0.85	0.002

254  $\chi^2$  - chi square; DF - degree of freedom; OR - odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

#### 255 **Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this research is one of the first studies examining the knowledge, attitude, and perceptions (KAP) toward COVID-19 in two of the most populated countries in Africa, Nigeria, and Egypt. Both countries announced the occurrence of their first COVID-19 cases in February, 2020 (12; 17; 18). Since then, the number of cases has increased with over 22,000 confirmed cases and over 900 deaths (10; 12).

Most of the respondents (62%) had a satisfactory knowledge level of the disease and the 261 262 preventive measures against it. This is because both countries have a well - educated population (bachelor/master's degree holders), mostly between 18 to 39 years (83%), and 263 an average knowledge score of 74% indicated that most respondents were knowledgeable 264 on COVID-19. It is also possible that the seriousness of the global pandemic in addition to 265 daily updated from public health agencies in respective countries would have prompted the 266 need to learn and acquire knowledge on COVID-19. However, this score is lower to the 267 previous KAP studies on COVID-19 in China and Iran in which participants had an overall 268 knowledge score of 90% (13; 19) 269

The internet (social media platforms- 84%) and TV (44%) were the main source of 270 information for the participants. This is similar to the report by Abdelhafiz AS, et al. (20) 271 where Facebook was the main source of information for young adults in their survey in 272 Egypt. The internet (social media platforms) and TV had proved helpful for respondents to 273 adapt with the physical social restraints during the COVID-19 compulsory lockdown in 274 Nigeria and Egypt. In addition, almost half of our respondents (49%) were very satisfied 275 with the social media coverage of the pandemic. This is lower than the 67% satisfaction 276 rating of the social media coverage reported in Egypt (20). On the contrary, Roy et al. (21) 277

278 reported 67% of Indians felt worried after receiving social media updates on the global279 burden of COVID-19.

The significant associations (p < 0.05) observed in this study between age, education, nationality, and background and the knowledge score of COVID-19 (Table 4) were similar to reports from other KAP studies from China, Egypt, and India in which participants who were well educated, young age or with high socioeconomic level had better knowledge of COVID-19 than the others (13; 20; 21).

285 Although this study was conducted during the compulsory lockdown in both countries, the optimistic attitude of Egyptians and Nigerian could be seen in a mean attitude score of 6.9 286  $\pm$  1.2. Most (67%) of the respondents had generally satisfactory attitudes, recognizing the 287 importance of social distancing (96%), and following the health recommendations (92.5%). 288 However, only 36% followed all the recommendations. This might be due to the severe 289 economic hardship faced by the citizens of both countries associated with workers who need 290 to earn their daily wages, and poor government palliative plans for the citizens. This is 291 further buttressed by the fact that only 39% of the respondents were convinced that their 292 governments have done enough to curb the spread of the SARS-CoV-2. This distrust in the 293 management of the pandemic might also be due to the low testing capability, and lack of 294 strict enforcement of the compulsory lockdown. More so, in many African countries, reports 295 of porous borders, congested cities, increased hunger and poverty, poor health literacy, and 296 expensive face masks and hand sanitizers have all been obstacles against control measures 297 (Lucero - Prisno DE, et al., 2020). 298

All of the respondents agreed on the importance of handwashing and other preventive measures in reducing the chances of being infected. A similar positive attitude towards most preventive measures were earlier reported in India (21) and Egypt (20) but the later noted

302 some reluctancy in following some recommendations such as the use of a face mask. In another study conducted in China, most of the participants followed the health 303 recommendations and less than 4% went to crowded places or went outside without a 304 facemask. Chinese were also optimistic about the success of their COVID-19 control 305 program (13). In our study, 96% of respondents considered self-isolation essential and 306 effective, hence avoiding places with confirmed COVID-19 cases. This finding may support 307 the lower number of recorded cases initially observed in Egypt and Nigeria. Comparably, 308 in a KAP study conducted by Chan et al. (2015) on the H7N9 influenza pandemic, most 309 respondents did not take the seasonal influenza as serious as 42.3% of the respondents did 310 not avoid going to places that had the H7N9 confirmed cases. 311

While some participants were bored (52%), nervous/anxious (47%), afraid (44%) and stressed (30%). Others felt optimistic (18%) and happy (1.4%). Sixty - six (66%) of Nigerians and Egyptians were highly optimistic that collectively, the world can reduce the impact or prevent the occurrence of a similar future pandemic. This attitude is encouraging as it would facilitate eventual control of the pandemic.

Only 25.4% of the respondents were not satisfied with the WHO's handling of the global pandemic. This high rating of the WHO's efforts at coordinating global health might be attributed to the daily disease burden updates, press conferences, provision of authentic information, travel advise, and support for the health authorities of both countries.

It was remarkable that most of the participants acknowledged the importance of in-depth scientific research in areas of vaccines and diagnostics; and the need for increased multisectoral collaborations (on human, animal and environmental health) using the one health approach as measures that can help prevent the occurrence of a future pandemic.

The major limitations of this study were the low internet penetration rate in Nigeria (42%)325 and Egypt (54%); in which a significant proportion of the population could not gain access 326 to this online survey. This, coupled with the lockdown limited the sample size of this 327 preliminary study to 1437 (Nigeria - 1132 and Egypt - 305). A more encompassing global 328 survey is currently being undertaken. Also, the data was skewed in favor of young 329 respondents (18 - 39 years) due to their profound interest in social media. Our results cannot 330 be generalized for Africa as a whole as each country had specific measures and peculiarities 331 with regards to controlling the pandemic. For example, in Nigeria and Egypt, not all states 332 have closed their borders, permitting the free movement of people across states. 333

## 334 Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has profound medical, economic, and psycho-social effects, with over 300,000 lives lost globally. Assessing the KAP of respondents and further education of the general public has proved effective in changing risk perception of the populace and resulted in attitudinal changes that were necessary to reduce the epidemic disease burden (23). Adequate monitoring of social media platforms to confirm and improve the quality of information delivered to the people is of prime importance (24).

Both Nigerians and Egyptians have a good knowledge of the pandemic and have a satisfactory 341 attitude and perceptions towards the global response. However, we recommend increased 342 adherence to the health regulations of both countries. Similarly, mental health support should be 343 made more readily available to the populace. Both governments need to strengthen their health 344 systems, and improve their surveillance activities, to be able to estimate and detect cases, trace 345 contacts, properly isolate infected patients, and effectively apply standard infection prevention 346 and control measures. In addition, they should continuously provide accurate and timely 347 information to their masses. 348

tion

350	Table s1: Descri	ptive statistics (	Correct answer rate	) of knowledge of	COVID-19
-----	------------------	--------------------	---------------------	-------------------	----------

- 351 pandemic in Nigeria and Egypt (n = 1437)
- **Table s2:** Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of attitude towards preventive
- measures to COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria and Egypt (n = 1437).
- **Table s3:** Table s3: Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of perception of the
- 355 global response to COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria and Egypt
- **Table s4:** Table s4: Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of respondents to
- 357 community response associated with the prevention of a future pandemic.
- 358 **Declarations**
- Availability of data and materials
- 360 The survey instrument and dataset are available as supplementary data.
- Competing interests
- The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- Funding
- 364 Not Applicable
- Acknowledgments
- We acknowledge Stephanie Germon for validating the survey instrument. We equally
- acknowledge the dedication of our friends in sharing the questionnaire on social media.
- Authors' contributions

369		EH, AZ and AIA planned the study. EH, OI and AIA contributed equally to the study.
370		All co - authors participated in data collection. EH, OI, OO, and AIA drafted the
371		manuscript. EH, OI, OB, AZ, OO, and AIA did the overall review of the manuscript.
372		All authors read and approved the final study.
373	Refe	rences
374	1.	World Health Organization. COVID-2019 situation report [Internet]. [cited 11 May
375		2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-
376		reports/20200215-sitrep-26-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=a4cc6787_2
377	2.	World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media
378		briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020 [Internet]. Who.int. 2020 [cited 1 May
379		2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-
380		s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-1911-march-2020
381	3.	World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease technical guidance [Internet]. 2020
382		[cited 14 May 2020]. Available from:
383		https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-
384		guidance.
385	4.	Lu H, Stratton C, Tang Y. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China:
386		The mystery and the miracle. Journal of Medical Virology. 2020;92(4):401 - 402.
387	5.	Hassan S, Sheikh F, Jamal S, Ezeh J, Akhtar A. Coronavirus (COVID-19): A Review of
388		Clinical Features, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Cureus. 2020.
389	6.	Lin H, Liu W, Gao H, Nie J, Fan Q. Trends in Transmissibility of 2019 Novel
390		Coronavirus - Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan and 29 Provinces in China. SSRN Electronic
391		Journal. 2020.

- 392 7. Huang C, Wang Y, Li ×, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y et al. Clinical features of patients infected
- with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet. 2020;395(10223):497 506.
- 8. Carlos W, Dela Cruz C, Cao B, Pasnick S, Jamil S. Novel Wuhan (2019 nCoV)
- 395 Coronavirus. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine.
- 396 2020;201(4):P7 P8.
- 9. Zhang H, Kang Z, Gong H, ×u D, Wang J, Li Z et al. Digestive system is a potential route
- of COVID-19: an analysis of single cell coe×pression pattern of key proteins in viral
- entry process. Gut. 2020;69(6):1010 1018.
- 400 10. Worldometers. Coronavirus Update (Live).
- 401 <u>https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/?utm\_campaign =</u>
- 402 <u>homeAdvegas1?%22%20%5Cl%20%22countries</u>. Accessed 12 May 2020.
- 11. Bruinen de Bruin Y, Lequarre A, McCourt J, Clevestig P, Pigazzani F, Zare Jeddi M et al.
- 404 Initial impacts of global risk mitigation measures taken during the combatting of the
- 405 COVID-19 pandemic. Safety Science. 2020;128:104773.
- 406 12. Africa CDC. COVID-19 dashboard. <u>https://africacdc.org/COVID-19/</u>. Accessed 12 May
  407 2020.
- 13. Zhong B, Luo W, Li H, Zhang Q, Liu X, Li W et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices
  towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID19 outbreak: a quick online cross sectional survey. International Journal of Biological
  Sciences. 2020;16(10):1745 1752.
- 412 14. Gupta N, Singhai M, Garg S, Shah D, Sood V, Singh S. The missing pieces in the jigsaw
  413 and need for cohesive research amidst COVID 19 global response. Medical Journal
  414 Armed Forces India. 2020.

415	15.	WMA. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki ethical principles for medical
416		research involving human subjects. JAMA, 2013, 310, 2191–2194.

- 16. Odetokun I, Akpabio U, Alhaji N, Biobaku K, Oloso N, Ghali Mohammed I et al.
- 418 knowledge of antimicrobial resistance among veterinary students and their personal
- 419 antibiotic use practices: A National cross sectional urvey. Antibiotics. 2019;8(4):243.
- 420 17. Ohia C, Bakarey A, Ahmad T. COVID-19 and Nigeria: putting the realities in context.
- 421 International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020;95:279 281.
- 422 18. World Health Organization. COVID-2019 situation report—26 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 14
  423 May 2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default -
- source/coronaviruse/situation reports/20200215 sitrep 26 COVID-19.pdf?sfvrsn =
  a4cc6787\_2.
- 426 19. Erfani A, Shahriarirad R, Ranjbar K, Mirahmadizadeh A & Moghadami M. Knowledge,
- 427 Attitude and Practice toward the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Outbreak: A Population
- Based Survey in Iran. [Preprint]. Bull World Health Organ. E pub: 30 March 2020. doi:
- 429 <u>http://d×.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.256651</u>
- Abdelhafiz AS, Mohammed Z, Ibrahim ME, et al. Knowledge, Perceptions, and Attitude
  of Egyptians Towards the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) [published online
  ahead of print, 2020 Apr 21]. *J Community Health*. 2020;1-10. doi:10.1007/s10900-02000827-7.
- Roy D, Tripathy S, Kar S, Sharma N, Verma S, Kaushal V. Study of knowledge, attitude,
  an×iety & perceived mental healthcare need in Indian population during COVID-19
  pandemic. Asian Journal of Psychiatry. 2020; 51:102083.

- 437 22. Lucero Prisno D, Adebisi Y, Lin X. Current efforts and challenges facing responses to
- 438 2019 nCoV in Africa. Global Health Research and Policy. 2020;5(1).
- 439 23. Koenraadt C, Tuiten W, Scott T, Jones J, Kijchalao U, Sithiprasasna R. Dengue
- 440 knowledge and practices and their impact an aedes aegypti populations in kamphaeng
- 441 phet, Thailand. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2006;74(4):692
- 442 700.
- 443 24. Finset A, Bosworth H, Butow P, Gulbrandsen P, Hulsman R, Pieterse A et al. Effective
- health communication a key factor in fighting the COVID-19 pandemic. Patient
- Education and Counseling. 2020;103(5):873 876.
- 446

# 447

## Supplementary data

- Table s1: Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of knowledge of COVID-19
- 449

pandemic in Nigeria and Egypt.

1. Have you heard of the COVID-19	No. of respondents (%)
Maybe	1 (0.07)
No	3 (0.21)
Yes	1433 (99.72)
Total	1437 (100%)
2. Source of Information	
Friends/family	350(24.3)
Internet (Social media)	1204 (83.7)
Newspapers	303 (38.2)
Other sources	52 (3.6)
TV	631 (43.9)
3. Is COVID-19 the same as the common flu?	
I don't know	94 (6.54)
No	1127 (77.87)
Yes	216 (15.03)
Total	1437 (100)
4. Is it possible to asymptomatic COVID-19	
infections?	
I don't know	32 (2.23)
No	210 (14.61)

Ves	1195 (83 16)
Total	1437 (100)
5 what is the incubation period?	1107 (100)
1 - 14 days	1365 (94 99)
1 - 3 months	5 (0 35)
2 - 21 days	56 (3.9)
Ldon't know	11 (0 77)
Total	1/27 (100)
6 Who can get infected with COVID 102	1437 (100)
0. who can get infected with COVID-19?	1 100 (00 0 0)
Anyone can be infected	1422 (98.96)
Older people only	8 (0.56)
People with chronic diseases only	6 (0.42)
Teenagers and children only	1 (0.07)
	1437 (100)
/. Symptoms of COVID-19	(0, (4, 0))
Bleeding	<u> </u>
Dry cougn	1334 (92.8)
	999 (61.9)
Faligue	17 (1 1)
Musele pein	<u> </u>
Muscle pain	438 (31.8)
High lever	$\frac{1349(93.8)}{605(42.1)}$
Runny nose	605 (42.1)
8. Mode of transmission	1261 (047)
Close contect with people who have the view	1301 (94.7)
Close contact with people who have the virus	1301 (90.3)
Mongapitos/flips bitos	<u> </u>
	5 (0.5)
<u> </u>	1220 (02 5)
Clean surfaces with diluted chloring	785 (54.6)
	783 (34.0)
I doll t kllow	1162 (80.0)
	52 (2.6)
10. Is hendweeh important?	33 (3.0)
10. Is nandwash important?	2(0.14)
No	$\frac{2(0.14)}{1(0.07)}$
	1(0.07)
Total	1/37 (100)
11 For how long should you wash your hands	1437 (100)
S 5 5 5 minutes	73 (5.08)
1 minute to 3 minutes	112 (7 79)
20 seconds to 1 minute	1037 (72.16)
3 minutes to 5 minutes	80 (5.57)
I don't know	52 (3.62)
Less than 20 seconds	83 (5.78)
Total	1437 (100)
	- · (- • • /

#### 450

# 451 Table s2 Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of attitude towards preventive measures to

the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria and Egypt.

1.	Which is Protective against COVID-	
	19?	No. of respondents (%)
Pro	per hygiene (handwash/cover mouth and nose during coughing or sneezing)	1396 (97.1)
	Self - Isolation/ Social distancing	1129 (78.56)
	Face masks/gloves	1161 (80.8)
	Garlic, Onions, and Ginger	265 (18.4)
	2. Who can get infected?	
	Everyone	861 (59.9)
	People in contact with the ill	635 (44.2)
	Only sick people	473 (32.9)
	Health workers	622 (43.3)
3.	Does Social distancing can help control COVID-19	
	I don't know	2 (0.14)
	No	22 (1.53)
	Yes	1381 (96.1)
	Maybe	32 (2.23)
	Total	1437 (100)
4.	The ideal distance between people	
	>5 meters	122 (8.49)
	1 - 2 meters	923 (64.23)
	3 - 5 meters	338 (23.52)
	I don't know	32 (2.23)
	Less than 1 meter	22 (1.53)
	Total	1437 (100)
	5. Do you follow the COVID-19 recommendations?	
	No	17 (1.18)
	Sometimes	91 (6.33)
	Yes	1329 (92.48)
	Total	1437 (100)
	6. If yes, to what extent?	
I do	not follow any of the recommendations	1 (0.07)
	I follow all the recommendations	519 (36.12)
	I follow most of them	688 (47.88)
	I follow some but not all	221 (15.38)
	Not at all	8 (0.56)
	Total	1437 (100)
	7. Frequency of face touching	1438
	Always	97 (6.75)

Never	62 (4.31)
Often	294 (20.46)
Rarely	478 (33.26)
Sometimes	506 (35.21)
Total	1437 (100)
8. How do you feel?	
Angry	217 (15.1)
Bored	749 (52.1)
Fear	634 (44.1)
Нарру	20 (1.39)
Having sleep problems	141 (9.8)
Just fine	186 (12.9)
Lonely	260 (18)
Nervous/Anxious	681 (47.4)
Relaxed/optimistic	257 (17.8)
Stressed	316 (22)
<b>9.</b> How are you adapting?	
Watching TV/movies	971 (67.57)
Following Social media	1207 (83.99)
(Facebook/WhatsApp/Instagram)	
Volunteering	184 (12.8)
Working from home	502 (34.93)
Practicing indoor sports	372 (25.88)
Reading books/magazines	793 (50.8)
Playing Video Games	167 (11.62)
Sleeping all the time	166 (11.55)
Spending time with family	940 (65.41)
Fighting with everyone around	7 (0.49)
Talking to myself	155 (10.78)
<b>10.</b> Stress/worry rating	
1	181 (12.6)
2	269 (18.72)
3	552 (38.41)
4	248 (17.26)
5	187 (13.01)
Total	1437 (100)

453

- 454 Table s3: Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of perception of the global response to the
- 455 COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria and Egypt.

1. Do you think that your government	
has done enough?	No. of respondents (%)
I don't know	9 (0.63)
Maybe	288 (20.04)
No	586 (40.78)

Yes	554 (38.55)
Total	1437 (100)
2. Do you agree with compulsory	
lockdown?	
Maybe	136 (9.46)
No	138 (9.6)
Yes	1163 (80.93)
Total	1437 (100)
3. Has WHO done enough?	
Maybe	367 (25.54)
No	365 (25.4)
Yes	705 (49.06)
Total	1437 (100)
4. Your countries response to the	
pandemic	
1	246 (17.12)
2	341 (23.73)
3	523 (36.4)
4	226 (15.73)
5	101 (7.03)
Total	1437 (100)
5. Rating of the social media coverage	
of the COVID-19 pandemic	
Very satisfied/keeps me updated	702 (48.85)
Makes me worry/more stressed	207 (14.4)
Not enough information	194 (13.5)
There are more lies than truth	308 (21.4)
I don't follow any media updates	33 (2.29)
No comments	99 (6.89)

456

457 Table s4: Descriptive statistics (Correct answer rate) of respondents to community response

458 associated with the prevention of a future pandemic.

1. What can a community do to reduce	
the spread?	No. of respondents (%)
Follow/respect the Health	1340 (93.24)
recommendations of my country	
Eat Healthy/ Practice sports	823 (57.27)
Social distancing/Avoid the crowd	1277 (88.86)
Volunteer to support whenever possible	731 (50.86)
Avoid handshakes and face kissing	1170 (81.41)
Attending religious gatherings	21 (1.46)
I don't know	7 (0.49)
2. Can we prevent future pandemics?	

I don't know	22 (1.53)
Maybe	259 (18.02)
No	211 (14.68)
Yes	945 (65.76)
Total	1437 (100)
3. Preventing future global pandemic	
Reduce international travels	472 (32.8)
Establish early alerts and global warning	1175 (81.8)
systems for infectious diseases	
Collaboration between environmental,	912 (63.5)
animal and human health workers	
Intensify research on preventive measures	1156 (80.4)
such as vaccines/diagnosis	
Improve surveillance in the human and	1044 (72.65)
animal health sectors	
Raise public awareness of proper	1030 (71.6)
hygiene/healthy habits	
Prioritize human life/health welfare over	340 (23.6)
the animal or environmental ones	
4. Willingness to share info	
Maybe	86 (5.98)
No	49 (3.41)
Yes	1302 (90.61)
Total	1437 (100)