

**Title of manuscript:**

“Catechol-O-methyltransferase gene Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer susceptibility”

**Name of authors and affiliation:**

Pradeep Kumar, Vandana Rai

Human Molecular Genetics Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, VBS Purvanchal University, Jaunpur-222003, India

**Corresponding Author:**

Dr. Vandana Rai

Human Molecular Genetics Laboratory

Department of Biotechnology

VBS Purvanchal University

Jaunpur-222 003

India

**E-mail:** [pradipk14@yahoo.co.in](mailto:pradipk14@yahoo.co.in)

[raivandana@rediffmail.com](mailto:raivandana@rediffmail.com)

**Running Title:**

“ COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer”

**Keywords:** Prostate cancer, COMT, Val158Met, meta-analysis, polymorphism

**Title:** Catechol-O-methyltransferase gene Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer susceptibility

Pradeep Kumar , Vandana Rai

**Abstract:**

Prostate cancer is one of the most common and a serious malignancy of males and it is well reported that estrogen plays a pivotal role in prostate carcinogenesis. Catechol-O -methyltransferase (COMT) catalyzes the inactivation of estrogens. Several studies have investigated the association of COMT gene Val158Met polymorphism with prostate cancer, but results were inconsistent and inconclusive. Hence, to assess this association, we performed a meta-analysis of all published case-control studies. Pubmed, Springer link, Google Scholar, Elsevier and Springer link databases were searched for case-control studies. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) was used as association measure. Statistical analysis was performed with the software program MIX and MetaAnalyst. In the current meta-analysis, 11 case control studies with 3381 prostate cancer cases and 3,276 healthy controls were considered. The results indicated no significant association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer risk using allele contrast, co-dominant and homozygote models (allele contrast: OR= 0.92; 95% CI 0.85 to 0.98; p= 0.02; co-dominant: OR=0.81; 95% CI= 0.85 to 1.07; p= 0.46; homozygote: OR= 0.81; 95% CI= 0.70 to 0.95, p= 0.008), but showed significant association with dominant and recessive models (dominant: OR 1.18; 95% CI= 1.03 to 1.34; p= 0.01; recessive: OR= 1.54; 95% CI= 1.1 to 2.07; p = 0.003). In subgroup analysis meta-analysis using recessive genetic model showed significant association between COMT Val 158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer risk in both Asian and Caucasian populations. In conclusion, results of present meta-analysis supports that the COMT Val158Met polymorphism is risk factor for prostate cancer.

**Keywords:** Prostate Cancer, Catechol-O-methyltransferase, COMT, Val158Met, polymorphism, meta-analysis

**Introduction:**

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in men worldwide (Center et al., 2012). It is epithelial neoplasia, adenocarcinoma androgen-dependent and adenocarcinoma

androgen-independent or castration-resistant are three developmental stages of prostate cancer. Although the etiology of prostate cancer remains unknown, but age, ethnicity, family history and steroid hormones appear to play a role (Bosland,2000; Bostwick et al., 2004 ).Its prevalence is disproportionately high in African population, and is less common in Caucasian and Asian populations (Hsing and Chokkalingam, 2006).There is ample evidence supporting the notion that genetics plays a key role (Kolonel ,1997; Parkin et al., 1997; Schaid, 2004). Estrogens, and/or catechol metabolites, have been identified as potential carcinogens for prostate cancer (Yuen et al.,2005).Various studies have investigated the associations between polymorphisms of genes encoding enzymes involved in estrogen metabolism and the risk of prostate cancer(Cancel-Tassin and Cussenot,2005; Cussenot et al.,2007).

Three major estrogens exist in vivo: estrone (E1), estradiol (E2), and estriol (E3) and these estrogens are metabolized by phase I metabolizing enzymes like cytochrome 450. These enzymes metabolically activate procarcinogens to reactive electrophilic forms, reactive oxygen species (ROS), which can damage DNA if they are not detoxified by phase II enzymes.catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT)is a critical phase II enzyme, which methylate catechol estrogens. If the methylation reaction is incomplete, these catechol estrogens will be oxidized to semiquinones and quinines, produce reactive oxygen species, which causes DNA damage and tumor initiation (Chakravarti et al., 2001).

COMT gene is present on chromosome 22q11.2, contains six exons, and expresses at high levels in many tissues including the liver, kidney, breast and endometrium.The COMT Val158Met (rs4680, G--> A) polymorphism has been identified in coding region of protein (Armando et al.,2012), leads to the substitution of valine (Val) with methionine (Met) at codon 158. This substitution reduced the enzyme activity, individuals with the Met/Met genotype have a 3 to 4 folds lower enzyme activity than those with wild-type Val/Val genotype (Lotta et al., 1995). The frequency of the mutant Met (A) allele vary greatly among the different populations studied, frequency of Met allele is reported as 0.56 in American, 0.5 in European, and 0.27 in Asian populations (Kumar et al.,2017). Since COMT Val158Met polymorphism can reduce the enzymatic activity and may consequently increase the concentration of circulating carcinogenic catechol estrogens, COMT Val158Met polymorphism is reported a risk factor for prostate cancer initiation which is estrogen dependent. Val158Met has long been the focus of hormone-related

cancers such as breast (Lajjin et al., 2013), endometrial (Ashton et al., 2006) and ovary (Delort et al., 2008) cancer etc.

An association between the functional Val158/108Met polymorphism of the COMT gene and prostate cancer has been investigated in a number of studies, but with contradictory results due to small sample size and different background of included subjects. The aim of the present study was to find out association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer risk by meta-analysis.

### **Method:**

Meta-analysis was carried out according to meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines (Stroup et al., 2000).

### **Retrieval strategy and selection criteria:**

Published studies were retrieved through Pubmed, ScienceDirect, Springer Link and Google Scholar databases up to December 31, 2019, using following key words: 'Catecho- O-methyltransferase' or 'COMT' or 'Val158Met' and 'Prostate Cancer' or 'Cancer. References of retrieved articles were searched for other eligible articles.

### **Inclusion and exclusion criteria:**

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) investigate the association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer risk, (2) included studies investigated cases of all types of prostate cancers i.e. adenoma, squamous and transitional etc. (3) studies with complete information of COMT Val158Met genotype/ allele numbers in prostate cancer cases and controls and (4) sufficient information for calculating the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Major reasons for studies exclusion were as follows: (1) no prostate cancer cases analyzed, (2) the Val158Met polymorphism distribution information missing, and (3) duplicate article.

### **Data extraction:**

The following information was extracted for each eligible study using standard protocols: (i) first author's family name, (ii) country name, (iii) ethnicity, (iv) year of publication, (v) journal name, (vi) number of cases and controls, (vii) number of genotypes/alleles in cases and controls and (viii) genotyping method etc. Number of alleles or genotypes in both cases and controls were extracted or calculated from published data to recalculate ORs.

### **Statistical Analysis:**

Pooled ORs with 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using five genetic models: the allele model (A vs. G), the dominant model (AA+AG vs. GG), the homozygote model (AA vs. GG), co-dominant/heterozygote model (AG vs. GG) and the recessive model (AA vs. AG+GG). Heterogeneity was investigated using Q test and quantified by  $I^2$  statistic (Higgins and Thompson 2002). Both fixed effect and random effect models were used to calculate ORs with their 95 % CIs (Mantel and Haenszel, 1959; DerSimonian and Laird 1986), but model adopted on the basis of heterogeneity in this meta-analysis. The distribution of the genotypes in the control groups were examined for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using the Chi-square test. Sensitivity analysis was performed by removing studies not in HWE from the meta-analysis. Subgroup analysis was conducted by ethnicity. Funnel plots, Begg's and Egger's test were used to assess possible publication bias (Begg and Mazumdar, 1994; Egger et al. 1997). All the P values were two sided, and P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were done using MIX (Bax et al., 2006) and MetaAnalyst (Wallace et al., 2013) program.

### **Results:**

Fifty-three articles have been extracted from the databases described above. According to inclusion and exclusion criteria, 42 articles were excluded, not suitable for inclusion in present meta-analysis (review, comments, meta-analysis, other disease analysed, unrelated to prostate cancer etc.). Selection of study details is shown in Figure 1. Total 10 individual case-control studies were found suitable for inclusion into meta-analysis (Suzuki et al., 2003; Nock et al., 2006; Low et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2006; Suzuki et al., 2007; Cussenot et al., 2007; Omrani et al., 2009; Pazarbasi et al., 2013; Brureau et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2017). One author (Brureau et al., 2016) investigated prostate cancer patients from two populations (African and Caucasian), we considered both the samples as separate study. Hence total eleven studies were included in the present meta-analysis.

In eleven included studies, the smallest case sample size was 34 (Pazarbasi et al., 2013) and largest sample size was 1034 (Cussenot et al., 2007). Total cases and controls were 3,381 and 3,276 respectively. In controls genotypes, percentage of GG, AG and AA were 31.75%, 49.60%, and 18.65% respectively. In total cases, genotype percentage of GG, AG and AA was 34.13%, 49.01% and 16.86% respectively (Table 1). These studies were performed in different countries- France (Cussenot et al., 2007), Iran (Omrani et al., 2009), Japan (Suzuki et al., 2003, 2007;

Tanaka et al., 2006), Turkey (Pazarbasi et al., 2013), UK (Low et al., 2006), USA (Nock et al., 2006; Brureau et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2017)

### **Meta-analysis:**

Table 2 summarizes the odds ratio with corresponding 95% Confidence intervals for association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and risk of prostate cancer in allele contrast, dominant, recessive, homozygote and co-dominant models. Meta-analysis with allele contrast did not show significant association between 158Met allele and prostate cancer with both fixed effect ( $OR_{AvsG} = 0.92$ ; 95% CI 0.85-0.98;  $p = 0.02$ ) and random effect model ( $OR_{AvsG} = 0.93$ ; 95% CI = 0.84-1.02;  $p =$ ) (Table 1). Co-dominant ( $OR_{AGvs.GG} = 0.81$ ; 95% CI = 0.85-1.07;  $p = 0.46$ ) and homozygote ( $OR_{AAvs.GG} = 0.81$ ; 95% CI = 0.70-0.95,  $p = 0.008$ ) genetic models also did not show any association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer (Table 2). An increased significant association was found between prostate cancer and dominant model (AA+AG vs. GG) with fixed effect model ( $OR_{AA+AGvs.GG} = 1.18$ ; 95% CI = 1.03-1.34;  $p = 0.01$ ) (Table 2; Figure 2). Association of COMT Val158Met recessive genotype (AA vs. AG+ GG) was observed significant with prostate cancer using fixed ( $OR_{AAvsAG+GG} = 1.5$ ; 95% CI = 1.31-1.68;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and random ( $OR_{AAvsAG+GG} = 1.54$ ; 95% CI = 1.15-2.07;  $p = 0.003$ ) effect models (Table 2; Figure 3).

### **Heterogeneity and Sensitivity analysis:**

A true heterogeneity existed between studies for allele contrast ( $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.13$ ,  $Q = 14.91$ ,  $I^2 = 32.96\%$ ,  $t^2 = 0.007$ ), homozygote ( $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.10$ ,  $Q = 15.79$ ,  $I^2 = 36.69\%$ ,  $t^2 = 0.044$ ), co-dominant ( $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.86$ ,  $Q = 5.34$ ,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $t^2 = 0$ ), dominant ( $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.12$ ,  $Q = 15.30$ ,  $I^2 = 34.65\%$ ,  $t^2 = 0.03$ ) and recessive ( $P_{heterogeneity} < 0.0001$ ,  $Q = 35.73$ ,  $I^2 = 72.02\%$ ,  $t^2 = 0.13$ ) models. Heterogeneity was observed higher only in recessive model.

Sensitivity analysis was performed by eliminating studies with control population deviating from HWE. Control population of one study was not in HWE (Omrani et al., 2009), hence meta-analysis using recessive genetic model was performed after eliminating this study, but heterogeneity did not decrease after exclusion of this study.

### **Subgroup analysis:**

Sub-group analysis based on ethnicity was performed. Out of 11 included studies, 4 studies were from Asian population, 6 studies were from Caucasian population and 1 study was from African

population. In Asian population (number of studies= 4; 739 cases/ 694 controls), allele contrast ( $OR_{A \text{ vs } G} = 1.1$ ; 95% CI= 0.93-1.28;  $p = 0.26$ ), co-dominant ( $OR_{AG \text{ vs } GG} = 1.11$ ; 95% CI= 0.88-1.39;  $p = 0.38$ ), homozygote ( $OR_{AA \text{ vs } GG} = 1.22$ ; 95% CI= 0.82-1.81;  $p = 0.22$ ) and dominant models ( $OR_{AA+AG \text{ vs } GG} = 0.86$ ; 95% CI=0.69-1.24;  $p = 0.42$ ) did not show any association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer using both fixed and random effect models (Table 2). Recessive model meta-analysis showed strong statistical association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer risk using both fixed effect ( $OR_{AA \text{ vs } AG+GG} = 2.58$ ; 95% CI= 1.79-3.71;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and random effect ( $OR_{AA \text{ vs } AG+GG} = 2.4$ ; 95% CI= 1.04-5.52;  $p = 0.03$ ) models (Table 2; Figure 4). In Caucasian population (number of studies= 6; 2,186 cases/2,034 controls), allele contrast, homozygote and co-dominant meta-analysis did not show association between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer risk (Table 2). Meta-analysis using dominant model showed significant association with both the fixed effect ( $OR_{AA+AG \text{ vs } GG} = 1.18$ ; 95% CI=1.02-1.37;  $p = 0.02$ ) and random effect ( $OR_{AA+AG \text{ vs } GG} = 1.18$ ; 95% CI=1.01-1.37;  $p = 0.02$ ) models. The recessive model meta-analysis also showed statistically significant association with fixed effect ( $OR_{AA \text{ vs } AG+GG} = 1.44$ ; 95% CI= 1.25-1.65;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and random effect ( $OR_{AA \text{ vs } AG+GG} = 1.37$ ; 95% CI= 1.01-1.85;  $p = 0.04$ ) models (Table 2; Figure 5).

### **Publication Bias:**

Funnel plot observation and p value of Egger's test showed absence of publication bias in meta-analysis using five genetic models (A vs G,  $p = 0.38$ ; AA+AG vs GG,  $p = 0.59$ ; AA vs GG,  $p = 0.55$ ; AG vs GG,  $p = 0.98$ ; AA vs. AG+GG,  $p = 0.71$ .) (Table 2; Figures 6,7). Funnel plots of Asian and Caucasian studies also showed absence of publication bias (Table 2)

### **Discussion:**

COMT is a phase II enzyme that is involved in the inactivation of catechol estrogens (Axelrod et al., 1958). COMT catalyzes the methylation of catechol estrogens to less polar monomethyl ethers. O-Methylation increases the concentrations of 4-methoxyestradiol (4-MeOE2) and 2-methoxyestradiol (2-MeO-E2) (Dawling et al., 2001). Allelic variation in COMT (Val158Met) is likely related to decrease enzymatic activity and consequently increases the risk of carcinogenesis due to accumulation of estrogen metabolites. Hence, COMT Val158Met polymorphism has been extensively investigated for correlation with different cancer risk like esophageal cancer (Huang et al., 2011), colorectal cancer (Zhou, 2009), hepatocellular carcinoma

(Yuan et al.,2008), lung cancer (Zienolddiny et al.,2008), breast cancer (Lajin et al.,2013), ovary cancer (Delort et al.,2008), endometrial cancer (Ashton et al.,2010), testicular germ cell tumor (Ferlin et al., 2010) and bladder cancer (Wolpert et al.,2013) etc.

In presentmeta-analysis, we tried to find out the exact associations between COMT Val158Met polymorphism and prostate cancer susceptibility. Our results indicated that the Val158Met polymorphism is not risk factor for prostate cancer and ORis statistically significant (OR= 1.54; 95% CI= 1.15-2.07; p = 0.003).

Meta-analysis is an acceptable powerful statistical tool, suitable for the dealing with genetic-association data. Mea-analysis overcome deficiencies of small studies/small sample analysis by combining data from several studies and increasing the statistical power (lower type II error rate) (Ioannidis et al., 2003). Several meta-analysis were published which evaluated risk of small effect genes on different disease and disorders- like MTHFR frequency (Yadav et al., 2017), MTRR frequency (Yadav et al., 2019), Down syndrome (Rai,2011; Rai et al., 2017; Rai and Kumar 2018), recurrent pregnancy loss (Rai,2016), cleft lip and palate (Rai,2014,2017), male infertility (Rai and Kumar,2017), obsessive compulsive disorder (Kumar and Rai, 2020), autism (Rai, 2016; Rai and Kumar,2018), epilepsy (Rai and Kumar,2018), schizophrenia (Yadav et al., 2016; Rai et al., 2017), depression (Rai,2014, 2017), Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (Kumar et al., 2016), Alzheimers disease (Rai,2016), hyperurecemia (Rai,2016), esophageal cancer (Kumar and Rai, 2018),breast cancer (Rai,2014; Rai et al.,2017), digestive tract cancer (Yadav et al.,2018), colorectal cancer (Rai, 2016), prostate cancer (Yadav et al., 2016), endometrial cancer (Kumar et al., 2020) and ovary cancer (Rai,2016) etc.

Strengths of present meta-analysis include the large sample size and higher statistical power based on large number of cases and controls from differential individual studies. We also performed sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis. Similar to other meta-analyses, present meta-analysis has also few limitations, which should be acknowledged like- (i) unadjusted OR was used , (ii)control sources were not uniformed, (iii)only four databases were searched for study retrieval, it may be possible that few relevant studies were missed, (iv) single gene polymorphism was considered, (v)other confounding factors such as age, diet, lifestyle, physical activity and environment etc were not considered.

### **Conclusions:**



These results indicate that the COMT Val158Met polymorphism is risk factor for prostate cancer (OR= 1.54; p = 0.003). Subgroup analysis based on ethnicity also confirmed the results that COMT Val158Met polymorphism is risk factor for prostate cancer using recessive genetic model. In future, studies with larger sample sizes from different ethnic population are required to reach a definitive conclusion regarding this association. Also, it is necessary to take into consideration different inheritance patterns and the interaction of the COMT gene with the environment.

**Abbreviations:** COMT- Catechol-O-methyltransferase; Val158Met- Valine158Methionine; OR- Odd ratio; CI- Confidence interval

**Ethics Approval and consent to participants:** In present study, we did not use any human sample, so there is no need of ethics approval and consent of participants.

**Consent for Publication:** Yes

**Conflict of interest:** None

**Competing Interest:** No competing interest

**Funding:** No funding

**Authors Contributions:** VR and PK designed and wrote this manuscript. VR performed the meta-analysis and PK collected data.

## **References:**

Armando M, Papaleo F, Vicari S (2012) COMT implication in cognitive and psychiatric symptoms in chromosome 22q11 microdeletion syndrome: a selective review. *CNS NeurolDisord Drug Targets* 11:273–281.

Ashton KA, Meldrum CJ, McPhillips ML, Suchy J, Kurzawski G, Lubinski J, Scott RJ (2006) The Association of the COMT V158M Polymorphism with Endometrial/Ovarian Cancer in HNPCC Families Adhering to the Amsterdam Criteria. *Hered Cancer ClinPract* 15;4(2):94-102.

Axelrod J, Tomchick R (1958). Enzymatic O-methylation of epinephrine and other catechols. *J Biol Chem* 233, 702-5.

Bax L, Yu LM, Ikeda N, Tsuruta H, Moons KG (2006) Development and validation of MIX: comprehensive free software for meta-analysis of causal research data. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 6:50.

Begg CB, Mazumdar M (1994) Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 50(4):1088–1101.

Bosland, M.C. (2000) The role of steroid hormones in prostate carcinogenesis. *J. Natl Cancer Inst. Monogr.*, 27, 39–66.

Bostwick DG, Burke HB, Djekiev D, Euling S, Ho SM, Landolph J, Morrison H, Sonawane B, Shifflett T, Waters DJ, Timms B (2004) Human prostate cancer risk factors. *Cancer*, 101, 2371–2490.

Brureau L, Moningo D, Emeville E, Ferdinand S, Punga A, Lufuma S, Blanchet P, Romana M, Multigner L (2016) Polymorphisms of Estrogen Metabolism-Related Genes and Prostate Cancer Risk in Two Populations of African Ancestry. *PLoS One* 11(4):e0153609.

Cancel-Tassin G, Cussenot O. (2005) Genetic susceptibility to prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 96: 1380–1385.

Center MM, Jemmal A, Lortet-Tieulent J, Ward E, Ferlay J, Brawley O, et al. (2012) International variation in prostate cancer incidence and mortality rates. *Eur Urol* 61: 1079–1092.

Chakravarti D, Mailander PC, Li KM, Higginbotham S, Zhang HL, Gross ML, Meza JL, Cavalieri EL, Rogan EG (2001) Evidence that a burst of DNA depurination in SENCAR mouse skin induces error-prone repair and forms mutations in the H-ras gene. *Oncogene* 20:7945–7953.

Cussenot O, Azzouzi AR, Nicolaiew N, Fromont G, Mangin P, Cormier L, et al. (2007) Combination of polymorphisms from genes related to estrogen metabolism and risk of prostate cancers: the hidden face of estrogens. *J Clin Oncol* 25: 3596–3602.

Dawling S, Roodi N, Mernaugh RL, Wang X, Parl FF (2001) Catechol-Omethyltransferase (COMT)-mediated metabolism of catechol estrogens: comparison of wild-type and variant COMT isoforms. *Cancer Research* 61(18):6716–6722.

Delort L, Chalabi N, Satih S, Rabiau N, Kwiatkowski F, Bignon YJ, et al. (2008) Association between genetic polymorphisms and ovarian cancer risk. *Anticancer Research* 28(5B):3079–3081.

DerSimonian R, Laird N (1968) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 7: 177-188.

Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 315(7109): 629–634.

Ferlin A, Ganz F, Pengo M, Selice R, Frigo AC, Foresta C (2010) Association of testicular germ cell tumor with polymorphisms in estrogen receptor and steroid metabolism genes. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* 17(1):17–25.

Higgins JP, Thompson SG (2002) Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat. Med* 21: 1539-1558.

Hsing AW, Chokkalingam AP (2006) Prostate cancer epidemiology. *Front Biosci* 11: 1388–1413.

Huang CG, Iv GD, Liu T, Liu Q, Feng JG, Lu XM (2011) Polymorphisms of COMT and XPD and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a population of Yili Prefecture, in Xinjiang, China. *Biomarkers: Biochemical Indicators of Exposure, Response, and Susceptibility to Chemicals* 16(1):37–41.

Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA, Ntzani EE, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG (2003) Genetic associations in large versus small studies: an empirical assessment. *Lancet* 361:567–571.

Kolonel L (1997) Racial and geographic variations in prostate cancer and the effects of migration. In: Fortner J, Sharp P, editors. *Accomplishments in Cancer Research*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven :221 – 30.

Kumar P, Yadav U, Rai V (2016) Prevalence of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in India: An updated meta-analysis. *Egyptian Journal of Medical Human Genetics* 17 (3), 295-302.

Kumar P, Yadav U, Rai V. Prevalence of COMT Val158Met polymorphism in Eastern UP population. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand)*. 2017;63(6):21-24.

Kumar P, Rai V. 2018. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T polymorphism and risk of esophageal cancer: An updated meta-analysis. *Egypt J Med Hum Genet*; 19(4): 273-284.

Kumar P, Rai V. Catechol-O-methyltransferase gene Val158Met polymorphism and obsessive compulsive disorder susceptibility: a meta-analysis. *Metab. Brain Dis.* 2020; 35:241-25.

Kumar P, Singh G, Rai V. Evaluation of COMT Gene rs4680 Polymorphism as a Risk Factor for Endometrial Cancer. 2018. *Ind J Clin Biochem* 2020; 35: 63-71.

Lajin B, Hamzeh AR, Ghabreau L, Mohamed A, AlMoustafa AE, Alachkar A (2013) Catechol-O-methyltransferase Val 108/158 Met polymorphism and breast cancer risk: a case control study in Syria. *Breast Cancer* 20(1): 62-6.

Lotta T, Vidgren J, Tilgmann C, Ulmanen I, Melen K, Julkunen I, Taskinen J (1995) Kinetics of human soluble and membrane-bound catechol O-methyltransferase: a revised mechanism and description of the thermolabile variant of the enzyme. *Biochemistry* 34:4202–4210.

Low YL, Taylor JI, Grace PB, Mulligan AA, Welch AA, Scollen S, Dunning AM, Luben RN, Khaw KT, Day NE, Wareham NJ, Bingham SA (2006) Phytoestrogen exposure, polymorphisms

in COMT, CYP19, ESR1, and SHBG genes, and their associations with prostate cancer risk. *Nutr Cancer* 56(1):31-9.

Mantel N, Haenszel W (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 22:719–748.

Nock NL, Cicek MS, Li L, Liu X, Rybicki BA, Moreira A, Plummer SJ, Casey G, Witte JS (2006) Polymorphisms in estrogen bioactivation, detoxification and oxidative DNA base excision repair genes and prostate cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 27:1842–1848.

Omrani MD, Bazargani S, Bagheri M, Yazdan-Nejad H (2009) Association of catechol-o-methyl transferase gene polymorphism with prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia. *J Res Med Sci* 14:217–222.

Parkin D, Whelan S, Ferlay J, Raymond L, Young J (1997) Cancer incidence in five continents. Lyon: IARC; 1997.

Pazarbasi A, Yilmaz MB, Alptekin D, Luleyap U, Tansug Z, Ozpak L (2013) Genetic polymorphisms of estrogen receptor alpha and catechol-O-methyltransferase genes in Turkish patients with familial prostate carcinoma. *Indian J Hum Genet.* 19(4): 408–411.

Rai V (2011) Polymorphism in folate metabolic pathway gene as maternal risk factor for Down syndrome. *Int J Biol Med Res* 2(4): 1055-1060.

Rai V (2014) Maternal methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene A1298C polymorphism and risk of nonsyndromic Cleft lip and/or Palate (NSCL/P) in offspring: A meta-analysis. *Asian J Med Sci* 6 (1): 16- 21.

Rai V (2014) Genetic polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene and susceptibility to depression in Asian population: a systematic meta-analysis. *Cell Mol Biol* 60 (3): 29-36.

Rai V (2014) Methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase A1298C polymorphism and breast cancer risk: A meta-analysis of 33 studies. *Ann Med Health SciRes* 4(6): 841-851.

Rai V (2016)Methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase C677T polymorphism and recurrent pregnancy loss risk in Asian population: a meta-analysis.*Ind J ClinBiochem* 31: 402-13.

Rai V (2016) Association of methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase (MTHFR) gene C677T polymorphism with autism: evidence of genetic susceptibility. *Metab Brain Disease* 31: 727-735.

Rai V (2016) The MTHFR C677T polymorphism and hyperuricemia risk: A meta-analysis of 558 cases and 912 controls. *Metabolomics* 6 (166): 2153-0769.

RaiV(2016) Folate pathway gene methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase C677T polymorphism and Alzheimer disease risk in Asian population.*Ind J ClinBiochem* 31 (3): 245-252.

Rai V (2016) Evaluation of the MTHFR C677T Polymorphism as a Risk Factor for Colorectal Cancer in Asian Populations. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*16 (18): 8093-8100.

Rai V (2016) MethylenetetrahydrofolateReductase Gene C677T Polymorphism and Its Association with Ovary Cancer. *J Health Med Informat* 7: 3-9.

Rai V, Kumar P (2017)Methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase C677T polymorphism and risk of male infertility in Asian population.*Ind J ClinBiochem*32(3): 253-260.

Rai V, Yadav U, Kumar P (2017)Impact of Catechol-O-Methyltransferase Val 158Met (rs4680) Polymorphism on Breast Cancer Susceptibility in Asian Population.*Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 18 (5): 1243-1250.

Rai V. 2017.Association of C677T polymorphism (rs1801133) in MTHFR gene with depression. *Cell Mol Biol.* 63(6):60-67.

Rai V, Yadvu U, Kumar P. 2017. Null association of maternal MTHFR A1298C polymorphism with Down syndrome pregnancy: An updated meta-analysis. *Egypt J Med Hum Genet.* 18:9-18.

Rai V, Yadav U, Kumar P, Yadav SK, Gupta S. 2017. MethylenetetrahydrofolateReductase A1298C Genetic Variant and Risk of Schizophrenia: an updated meta-analysis. *Indian J Med Res.* 145(4):437-447.

Rai V. 2018. Strong association of C677T polymorphism of methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase gene with nosyndromic cleft lip/palate (nsCL/P). *Ind J Clin Biochem*, 33(1):5-15.

Rai V, Kumar P. 2018. Methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase A1298C Polymorphism and Autism susceptibility. *Austin J Autism & Related Disabilities*, 4: 1048-1053.

Rai V, Kumar P. Methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase C677T polymorphism and susceptibility to epilepsy. *Neurol Sci*. 2018; 39(12):2033-2041.

Rai V, Kumar P. 2018. Methylenetetrahydrofolatereductase A1298C Polymorphism and Autism susceptibility. *Austin J Autism & Related Disabilities*, 4: 1048-1053.

Rai V, Kumar P. 2018. Fetal MTHFR C677T polymorphism confers no susceptibility to Down Syndrome: evidence from meta-analysis. *Egyptian J Med Hum Genet*.19: 53-58.

Russo J, HasanLareef M, Balogh G, Guo S, Russo IH (2003) Estrogen and its metabolites are carcinogenic agents in human breast epithelial cells. *J Steroid BiochemMolBiol* 87:1–25.

Schaid DJ (2004)The complex genetic epidemiology of prostate cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 13 :103 – 21.

Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. (2000) Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. *Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group*. *JAMA* 283(15) 2008-2012.

Suzuki K, Nakazato H, Matsui H, Koike H, Okugi H, Kashiwagi B et al (2003) Genetic polymorphisms of estrogen receptor alpha, CYP19, catechol-O-methyltransferase are associated with familial prostate carcinoma risk in a Japanese population. *Cancer* 98(7):1411–1416.

Suzuki M, Kurosaki T, Arai T et al (2007) The Val158Met polymorphism of the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene is not associated with the risk of sporadic or latent prostate cancer in Japanese men. *Int J Urol* 14:800–804.

Tanaka Y, Sasaki M, Shiina H et al (2006) Catechol-O-methyltransferase gene polymorphisms in benign prostatic hyperplasia and sporadic prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15:238–244.

Tang L, Platek ME, Yao S, Till C, Goodman PJ, Tangen CM et al. (2018) Associations between polymorphisms in genes related to estrogen metabolism and function and prostate cancer risk: results from the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial. *Carcinogenesis* 39(2):125-133.

Wallace BC, Dahabreh IJ, Trikalinos TA, Lau J, Paul Trow P, Schmid CH (2013) Closing the Gap Between Methodologists and End-Users: R as a Computational Back-end. *J Stat Software* 49: 1-15.

Wolpert BJ, Amr S, Saleh DA, Ezzat S, Gouda I, Loay I et al. (2013) Associations differ by sex for catechol-O-methyltransferase genotypes and bladder cancer risk in South Egypt. *Urologic Oncology*30(6):841–847.

Yadav U, Kumar P, Gupta S, Rai V (2016) Role of MTHFR C677T gene polymorphism in the susceptibility of schizophrenia: An updated meta-analysis. *Asian J Psychiatry*20: 41–51

Yadav U, Kumar P, Rai V (2016) Role of MTHFR A1298C gene polymorphism in the etiology of prostate cancer: A systematic review and updated meta-analysis. *Egyptian J Med Hum Genet* 17:141-148.

Yadav U, Kumar P, Gupta S, Rai V. 2017. Distribution of MTHFR C677T Gene Polymorphism in Healthy North Indian Population and an Updated Meta-analysis. *Ind J ClinBiochem.* 32(4):399-410.



Yadav U, Kumar P, Rai V. 2018. NQO1 Gene C609T Polymorphism (dbSNP: rs1800566) and Digestive Tract Cancer Risk: A Meta-Analysis. *Nutr Cancer*. 70(4): 557-568.

Yadav U, Kumar P, Rai V. Distribution of Methionine Synthase Reductase (MTRR) Gene A66G Polymorphism in Indian Population. 2019. *Ind J ClinBiochem*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-019-00862-9>

Yuan X, Zhou G, Zhai Y, Xie W, Cui Y, Cao J, Zhi L, Zhang H, et al. (2008) Lack of association between the functional polymorphisms in the estrogen-metabolizing genes and risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Can EpidemiolBiomark&Preven* 17(12):3621–3627.

Yuen MT, Leung LK, Wang J, Wong YC, Chan FL (2005) Enhanced induction of prostatic dysplasia and carcinoma in Noble rat model by combination of neonatal estrogen exposure and hormonal treatments at adulthood. *Int J Oncol* 27: 1685–1695.

Zhou YH (2009) Association Between Polymorphisms of Estrogen Receptors and Estrogen-Motabolic Enzymes and Susceptibility to Colorectal Cancer China: Third Military Medical University.

Zienolddiny S, Campa D, Lind H, Ryberg D, Skaug V, Stangeland LB, Canzian F, Haugen A (2008) A comprehensive analysis of phase I and phase II metabolism gene polymorphisms and risk of non-small cell lung cancer in smokers. *Carcinogenesis* 29(6):1164–1169.

**Table 1. Details of eleven studies included in meta-analysis**

Study	Country	Ethnicity	Case No.	Control No.	Case Genotype			Control Genotype			P value of HWE
					Val/Val	Val/Met	Met/Met	Val/Val	Val/Met	Met/Met	
Suzuki et al.,2003	Japan	Asian	101	114	38	55	8	52	51	11	0.76
Nock et al.,2006	USA	Caucasian	439	479	116	214	109	124	238	117	0.89
Low et al.,2006	UK	Caucasian	75	157	19	40	16	34	89	34	0.09
Tanaka et al.,2006	Japan	Asian	178	131	80	74	24	70	54	7	0.4
Suzuki et al.,2007	Japan	Asian	419	342	187	193	39	151	157	34	0.46
Cussenot et al.,2007	France	Caucasian	1039	828	287	531	221	208	415	205	0.94
Omrani et al.,2009	Iran	Asian	41	107	2	38	1	5	100	2	0
Pazarbasi et al.,2013	Turkey	Caucasian	34	14	18	7	9	7	4	3	0.15
Brureau et al.,2016	USA	Caucasian	150	139	91	54	5	69	58	12	0.96
Brureau et al.,2016	USA	African	456	548	203	220	33	229	254	65	0.67
Tang et al.,2017	USA	Caucasian	449	417	113	231	105	91	205	121	0.81

**Table 2. Summary estimates for the odds ratio (OR) in various allele/genotype contrasts, the significance level (p value) of heterogeneity test (Q test), and the I<sup>2</sup> metric: overall analysis**

Genetic Models	Fixed effect OR (95% CI), p	Random effect OR (95% CI), p	Heterogeneity p-value (Q test)	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	Publication Bias (p of Egger's test)
<b>Total studies</b>					
Allele Contrast (A vs. G)	0.92(0.85-0.98),0.02	0.93(0.84-1.02),0.13	0.13	32.96	0.38
Co-dominant (AG vs. GG)	0.96(0.85-1.07),0.46	0.96(0.85-1.07),0.74	0.86	0	0.98
Homozygote (AA vs. GG)	0.81(0.70-0.95),0.008	0.83(0.66-1.03),0.10	0.10	36.69	0.55
Dominant (AA+AG vs. GG)	1.18(1.03-1.34),0.01	1.17(0.96-1.41),0.11	0.12	34.65	0.59
Recessive (AA vs. GG+AG)	1.5(1.31-1.68),<0.0001	1.54(1.15-2.07),0.003	<0.0001	72.02	0.71
<b>Asian studies</b>					
Allele Contrast (A vs. G)	1.1(0.93-1.28),0.26	1.12(0.91-1.36),0.27	0.24	28.21	0.54

Co-dominant (AG vs. GG)	1.11(0.88-1.39),0.38	1.16(0.87-1.39),0.38	0.65	0	0.61
Homozygote (AA vs. GG)	1.22(0.82-1.81),0.22	1.311(0.70-2.45),0.39	0.16	41.5	0.64
Dominant (AA+AG vs. GG)	0.86(0.69-1.24),0.42	0.81(0.45-1.48),0.50	0.16	41.82	0.68
Recessive ( AA vs. GG+AG)	2.58(1.79-3.71),<0.0001	2.4(1.04-5.52),0.03	0.02	68.6	0.82
<b>Caucasian studies</b>					
Allele Contrast (A vs. G)	0.88(0.81-0.96),0.007	0.88(0.81-0.96),0.007	0.44	0	0.72
Co-dominant (AG vs. GG)	0.89(0.77-1.04),0.15	0.90(0.77-1.04),0.15	0.91	0	0.12
Homozygote (AA vs. GG)	0.79(0.66-0.94),0.01	0.79(0.66-0.94),0.01	0.42	0	0.65
Dominant (AA+AG vs. GG)	1.18(1.02-1.37),0.02	1.18(1.01-1.37),0.02	0.39	2.76	0.84
Recessive ( AA vs. GG+AG)	1.44(1.25-1.65),<0.0001	1.37(1.01-1.85),0.04	0.01	66.46	0.93




Figure 1. Flow diagram of study search and selection




Figure 2. Random effect Forest plot of dominant model (AA +AG vs. GG) of total 11 studies of COMT Val158Met(G472A )polymorphism.




Figure 3. Random effect Forest plot of recessive model (AA vs. AG + GG) of total 11 studies of COMT Val158Met(G472A) polymorphism




Figure 4. Random effect Forest plot of recessive model (AA vs. AG + GG) of 4 Asian studies of COMT Val158Met(G472A) polymorphism.




Figure 5. Random effect Forest plot of recessive model (AA vs. AG + GG) of 6 Caucasian studies of COMT Val158Met(G472A) polymorphism.




Figure 6. Funnel plot- Precision by log odds ratio for dominant model (AA +AG vs. GG) of total 11 studies of COMT Val158Met(G472A) polymorphism.




Figure 7. Funnel plot- Standard error by log odds ratio allele contrast model (AA +AG vs. GG) of total 11 studies of COMT Val158Met(G472A) polymorphism.