

Steroid Treatment Balance According To The Lymphocyte / White Blood Cells Ratio In COVID-19 Patients, A Retrospective Cohort Analysis

Running Title: Steroid treatment In COVID-19 Patients

Ferhat Arslan¹, Ali Mert², Mehmet Bayram³, Handan Ankaralı⁴, Haluk Vahaboglu¹,

1 Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Istanbul Medeniyet University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

2 Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Istanbul Medipol University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

3 Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Istanbul Medipol University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

4 Department of Biostatistics, Istanbul Medeniyet University Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding Author

Assoc. Prof. Ferhat Arslan, M.D.

Istanbul Medeniyet University

Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology

Eğitim Mah. Dr. Erkin Cad. Kadıköy/İstanbul 34722

Phone : +90 (216) 566 40 00

Fax : +90 216 566 66 14 E-mail: ferhatarslandr@hotmail.com

Abstract

Objectives: Progressive respiratory failure is the main cause of clinical worsening in Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) patients. The decision to intubate during the follow-up of COVID-19 patients is critical because of high mortality rates.

Methods:

We analyzed the COVID-19 related intubation and in-hospital mortality risk factors of patients admitted to two tertiary hospitals.

Results:

Of the 275 patients included in the study, 44 (16%) were intubated, while 30 of them were patients (53%, 30/56) who had previously received steroid therapy. In 23 patients (77%) who received steroid therapy and were intubated, antiviral therapy was started in the first 6 days and Lymphocyte / White blood cells (LYM/WBC) ratios were lower than 0.18. The LYM/WBC ratio was found to be less than 0.12 in 14 patients who were intubated but did not receive steroid treatment before. 30(11%) of the patients included in the study died. While the number of deaths among those who did not receive steroid treatment was 10 (5%), it was 20 (36%) among the patients who did. Among in these 20 patients, it was observed that all 9 people who started antiviral treatment before 3 days, who were over 57 years old and whose oxygen saturation result was moderate or severe, died.

Conclusions:

We think that the use of steroids in early period may be detrimental in rapidly progressive patients with lymphopenia that may be an independent marker of immune dysregulation.

Key Words: [Steroids](#); [Lymphopenia](#) ; [Antiviral Agents](#); [COVID-19](#); [Coronavirus](#)

Box-ED Section

What is already known on the study topic?

Progressive respiratory failure is the main cause of clinical worsening in COVID-19 patients.

Corticosteroid treatment has remarkable favourable effect on the prognosis.

What is the conflict on the issue? Has it importance for readers?

Corticosteroids is immunosuppressive drug that have also nonspecific anti-inflammatory effect.

Clinicians must consider multiple parameters such as patient status, disease period and existence of bacterial superinfection when to start corticosteroid treatment at bedside.

How is this study structured?

We conducted a retrospective study to analyze risk factors COVID-19 related intubation two cohorts. This study included patients diagnosed with COVID-19 induced pneumonia from March 21 to Apr 23, 2020, at two university hospitals located on distinct sides of Istanbul province in Turkey.

What does this study tell us?

We think that the use of steroids in early period may be detrimental in rapidly progressive patients with lymphopenia that may be an independent marker of immune dysregulation

Introduction

Despite the vaccination campaigns all over the world, the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic due to the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) continues to be a serious public health problem with its new variants¹.

Progressive respiratory failure is the main cause of clinical worsening in COVID-19 patients. This clinical worsening is usually the result of viral pneumonia in the first week of the disease and immunological pneumonitis added in the second week². In a large registry, it has been claimed that dexamethasone treatment reduces 28-day mortality, especially in COVID-19 cases who need oxygen support³. Subsequent prospective meta-analysis studies presented findings that contributed to the widespread use of steroids in clinical settings at worldwide⁴. However, a recent meta-analysis emphasized that especially high-dose steroid therapy may have a negative effect on viral clearance and may cause clinical deterioration as well⁵.

This clinical deterioration can be caused by parenchymal diffuse infiltration, pulmonary thromboembolism and cardiac involvement alone or together, which will cause severe deterioration of oxygen exchange. Decision of intubation during patient follow-up has critical importance in terms of prognosis. Despite mortality rates decline after implementing more rationale approaches in intensive care units (ICUs), all-cause mortality rate is still seen nearly 30% in intubated COVID-19 cases^{6,7}. It is necessary to determine the clinical and treatment related risk factors for intubation, especially in patients apart from ICUs.

In this study, we emphasize the probable subgroup of covid-19 patients that may have not respond the corticosteroid treatment effectively in our retrospective cohort. Additionally, comparing clinical outcomes of various anti-viral regimens is of scientific interest in these patients' group.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a retrospective study to analyze risk factors for COVID-19 related intubation two cohorts. This study included patients diagnosed with COVID-19 induced pneumonia from March 21 to Apr, 23,2020, at two university hospitals located on distinct side of the Istanbul province in Turkey.

Turkish Health Ministry had been recommended hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) plus azithromycin or favipiravir (FVP) in the treatment of COVID-19 patients in the first surge.

While our center exclusively uses lopinavir-ritonavir (LPV/r) plus doxycycline (Dox) in in the management of moderate to severe COVID-19 cases, the other center had applied the recommendations of scientific committee of the government.

The ethics committee of the Istanbul Medipol University approved this study (No: 10840098-604.01.01-E.14713). Ethics committee waived obtaining informed consent.

Centers, Patients and Definitions

We hereafter will describe centers according to the treatment protocol instead of the center name. Specifically, the center administering LPV/r plus Dox is referred to as “Center 1,” and that administering a range of treatment protocols approved by the Health Ministry is referred to as “Center 2.”

Cases were patients >18 years old who were hospitalized and found positive for the novel SARS-CoV-2 RNA following nasopharyngeal swab testing using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The LPV/r plus Dox cohort consisted of moderate to severe patients, since mild patients were home isolated with a different treatment protocol.

It was determined that a mild case had no respiratory symptoms, a moderate case had any signs of respiratory dysfunction, and a severe case was acute respiratory failure and required intensive care unit support by invasive or non-invasive means.

Study covariates included age (years), gender, lymphocyte count, white blood cell count, body temperature (°C) at admission, O₂ saturation (%) at admission, respiration rate per minute at admission, the elapsed time between the onset of symptoms and hospitalization, history of hypertension, and Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors usage. The study outcome measures are described below. We extracted all data from medical records.

FVP 600 mg (10 days), HCQ 200 mg (10 days), LPV/r 200 mg and Dox 100 mg (7 days), all were administered twice daily via the oral route. Azithromycin was administered 250 mg (5 days) perioral once daily. For FVP, HCQ and azithromycin loading doses were given on the first day.

We analyzed the need for intubation as the primary outcome and in-hospital mortality as a secondary outcome. The need for intubation seems to be a more sensitive measure to compare the efficacy of the two different antiviral drugs and steroids in this settings. Mortality is not a reliable primary outcome especially after ICU admission in Covid-19 patients due to several ICU related events. Therefore, we give mortality prediction CT as supplementary files.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics of the measurements were calculated as mean, standard deviation, and count and percent frequencies depending on the types of variables. In the study, two outcome measures were evaluated, namely the intubation status and the death status of the patients. First of all, the relationships between each outcome and demographic, clinical, and laboratory findings were examined with univariate tests as Pearson chi-square test and independent samples t-test. The results of these analyzes were used as preliminary information in the multivariate models established to examine risk factors. After univariate tests, risk factors were taken together in multivariate models and their adjusted effects on primary and secondary outcome variables were evaluated.

In this evaluation, multiple binary logistic regression model (LR), Support Vector Machine (SVM), Classification Tree (CT), and Random Forest (RF) algorithms were used. 10-fold cross-validation method of estimating prediction error was used. For comparing performances of these models and algorithms in predicting intubation and ex status was used with the area under the curve (AUC), accuracy, F1 ratio, precision, and recall statistics. In addition, according to the logistic regression model, nomograms were created for the risk of intubation and ex status.

According to statistical tests results, P values are accepted as statistically significant if less than 0,05.

SPSS (ver. 23), WEKA (ver. 3.8.5), and R language and environment (R Core Team, 2021) were used for all statistical computations.

Results

During the study period, 161 and 114 patients were followed in the Group 1 cohort and the Group 2 cohort, respectively. **Table 1** and **Table 2** show univariate analysis of categorical and continuous variables according to the intubation and 28 days mortality as primary and secondary outcomes, respectively. Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) variables used in multivariate models.

The factors presented in **Table 3** were determined as risk factors according to univariate tests ($P < 0.05$). A total of 9 risk factors were found associated with intubation of the patient, and 7 risk factors were found associated with mortality.

We did multiple logistic regression model (LR), support vector machines (SVMs), Classification Tree (CT) and Random Forest (RF) methods in predicting intubation status. The lowest error in classifying non-intubated individuals belongs to the SVM model, and the lowest error in estimating intubated individuals belongs to the CT model. The fact that the number of intubated patients is 44 in total may adversely affect the classification success. The overall classification success (Accuracy) of the four algorithms were similar.

The nomogram for the intubation risk obtained according to the logistic regression model is given in **Figure 1**. The risk of intubation increases as a person's risk score increases. The most contributing risk factors to this score were steroid treatment, increased respiratory rate, initiation of antiviral treatment between 4-6 days, receiving HCQ and Tocilizumab treatment even with a low contribution or no treatment, low LYM/WBC ratio, advanced age, severe O₂ saturation, and presence of HT.

Classification tree results for intubation is given in **Figure 2**. Receiving steroid therapy was the most significant risk factor for being intubated. Of the 275 patients included in the study, 44 (16%) were intubated, while 30 of them were patients (53%, 30/56) who had previously received steroid therapy. None of the antiviral regimes have impact on the prognosis that make decision point in CT.

In 23 patients (77%) who received steroid therapy and were intubated, antiviral therapy was started in the first 6 days and LYM/WBC ratios were lower than 0.18. The LYM/WBC ratio was found to be less than 0.12 in 14 patients who were intubated but did not receive steroid treatment before. Decision points in the CT that a very small number of patients participated do not have the ability to indicate a specific trend.

30 (11%) of the patients included in the study died. While the number of deaths among those who did not receive steroid treatment was 10 (5%), it was 20 (36%) among the patients who did. Among in these 20 patients, it was observed that all 9 people who started antiviral treatment before 3 days, who were over 57 years old and whose oxygen saturation result was moderate or severe, died. In other cases who received steroid treatment and died, being over 68 years seems to be a relatively important factor. According to the CT method, steroid use, age (>68), low oxygen saturation and LYM/WBC ratio (<0.09) are seen as risk factors for mortality. (s. **Figure 1**. Classification Tree for mortality risk).

Discussion

This retrospective clinical study confers patients who were followed up in the first surge of the COVID-19 pandemic, with low lymphocyte ratio and steroid intervention in early period at admission had poor prognosis. Other observational and randomized clinical studies support this finding as well⁸. The lack of widespread use of steroid therapy in early-stage COVID-19 patients may provide data on the outcomes of steroid administration in those with and without severe lymphopenia at baseline. In our study, it is noteworthy that the risk of intubation was increased in patients with severe lymphopenia and steroid treatment in early period. The definition of lymphopenia varies in studies⁹. Therefore, we thought that it would be a more accurate approach to evaluate the dynamic variability of the bone marrow with a ratio. While the risk of intubation increased significantly in those with severe lymphopenia (LYM/WBC ratio <0.06) in steroid non-received group, this rate increased to 0.18 in those who started antiviral therapy within 7 days of symptoms started, in steroid received group. Despite early initiation of antiviral therapy, clinical rapid progression may be thought to be mediated by specific immune dysregulation in some patients¹⁰. It is not possible to define these and similar immune deficiencies in clinical practice. Here, we would like to draw attention to steroid therapy which is widely used in COVID-19 disease, may affect prognosis negatively in some patients with lymphopenia.

Lu et al. claimed in their observational studies that steroid treatment may contribute positively to the prognosis in patients with lymphopenia¹¹. Interestingly, in the same study, it was emphasized that early and high-dose steroid therapy is poor prognostic. In their observational clinical studies, Cai et al, found that steroid treatment have no positive effect on COVID-19 prognosis in patients with a lymphocyte-neutrophil ratio less than 6.11¹². In particular, CD8+ T cells have been shown to be an independent variable in the immune response and recovery

of COVID-19¹³. The reducing effect of prednisone on the number of peripheral T cells has been known for many years¹⁴. Clinicians may use high-dose and long-term steroids to suppress severe inflammation, which they define as cytokine storm, in rapidly progressing cases especially presented with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). If patients with rapidly progressing COVID-19 also have severe lymphopenia, the limited effect of steroid therapy on prognosis may not be as expected in ARDS due to other causes¹⁵.

Limitations

Our study has limitations, including its retrospective nature and some violations of comparability at the two hospital settings. The compliance of outpatient treatments could not be followed up in patients discharged early. Unrecorded data about the patients who remained hospitalized, especially at the ICU, after the study was complete may cause the results to be biased. The adverse effect of steroids on prognosis may be an overestimated variable, especially in rapidly progressing patients.

Conclusion

We think that the use of steroids in early period may be detrimental in rapidly progressive patients with lymphopenia that may be an independent marker of immune dysregulation.

Acknowledgement

We are thankfull to study group (Sacit Icten, Pinar Ergen, Ozlem Aydin, Ayse Canan Ucisik, Fatma Yilmaz-Karadag, Hulya Caskurlu, Bahadır Ceylan , Selda Aydın, Muhammed Emin Akkoyunlu, Mustafa Düger, Okan Derin , Abdullah Kansu, Sedef Başgönül , Tulin Akarsu-Ayazoglu, Hasan Kocoglu, Yasemin Cag) for data acquisition.

References

1. Tuite AR, Fisman DN, Odutayo A, et al. *COVID-19 Hospitalizations, ICU Admissions and Deaths Associated with the New Variants of Concern*. Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table; 2021.
2. Grant RA, Morales-Nebreda L, Markov NS, et al. Circuits between infected macrophages and T cells in SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. *Nature*. 2021;590(7847):635-641.
3. RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(8):693-704.
4. WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group, Sterne JAC, Murthy S, et al. Association Between Administration of Systemic Corticosteroids and Mortality Among Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2020;324(13):1330-1341.
5. Li J, Liao X, Zhou Y, et al. Association between glucocorticoids treatment and viral clearance delay in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2021;21(1):1063.
6. COVID-ICU Group on behalf of the REVA Network and the COVID-ICU Investigators. Clinical characteristics and day-90 outcomes of 4244 critically ill adults with COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2021;47(1):60-73.
7. Kurtz P, Bastos LSL, Dantas LF, et al. Evolving changes in mortality of 13,301 critically ill adult patients with COVID-19 over 8 months. *Intensive Care Med*. 2021;47(5):538-548.
8. Huang I, Pranata R. Lymphopenia in severe coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Intensive Care*. 2020;8(1):36.
9. Terpos E, Ntanasios-Stathopoulos I, Elalamy I, et al. Hematological findings and complications of COVID-19. *Am J Hematol*. 2020;95(7):834-847.
10. Zhang Q, Bastard P, Liu Z, et al. Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science*. 2020;370(6515):eabd4570.
11. Lu C, Liu Y, Chen B, et al. Prognostic value of lymphocyte count in severe COVID-19 patients with corticosteroid treatment. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2021;6(1):1-3.
12. Cai J, Li H, Zhang C, et al. The Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Determines Clinical Efficacy of Corticosteroid Therapy in Patients with COVID-19. *Cell Metab*. 2021;33(2):258-269.e3.
13. Wang F, Nie J, Wang H, et al. Characteristics of Peripheral Lymphocyte Subset Alteration in COVID-19 Pneumonia. *J Infect Dis*. 2020;221(11):1762-1769.

14. Slade JD, Hepburn B. Prednisone-induced alterations of circulating human lymphocyte subsets. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1983;101(3):479-487.
15. Peter JV, John P, Graham PL, Moran JL, George IA, Bersten A. Corticosteroids in the prevention and treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in adults: meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7651):1006-1009.

Table 1 Categorical variables according to the intubation and mortality.

1. Risk Factors		Code	Intubation				P*	Status				P*
			No		Yes			Survive		Ex		
			n	%	n	%		n	%	n	%	
Steroid	No	0	205	93,6	14	6,4	<0,001	209	95,4	10	4,6	<0,001
	Yes	1	26	46,4	30	53,6		36	64,3	20	35,7	
Center	Center 1	1	136	84,5	25	15,5	0,800	141	87,6	20	12,4	0,339
	Center 2	2	95	83,3	19	16,7		104	91,2	10	8,8	
Gender	Male	1	125	83,9	24	16,1	0,958	134	89,9	15	10,1	0,626
	Female	2	106	84,1	20	15,9		111	88,1	15	11,9	
O2 Saturation	Mild	1	141	90,4	15	9,6	<0,001	148	94,9	8	5,1	<0,001
	Moderate	2	64	87,7	9	12,3		68	93,2	5	6,8	
	Severe	3	25	56,8	19	43,2		28	63,6	16	36,4	
Favipravir	Center 1	0	136	84,5	25	15,5	0,883	141	87,6	20	12,4	0,464
	Yes	1	40	81,6	9	18,4		46	93,9	3	6,1	
	No	2	55	84,6	10	15,4		58	89,2	7	10,8	
Azitromycin	Center 1	0	136	84,5	25	15,5	0,197	141	87,6	20	12,4	0,185
	Yes	1	65	87,8	9	12,2		70	94,6	4	5,4	
	No	2	30	75,0	10	25,0		34	85,0	6	15,0	
Tocilizumab	Center 1	0	136	84,5	25	15,5	0,021	141	87,6	20	12,4	0,435
	Yes	1	21	67,7	10	32,3		27	87,1	4	12,9	
	No	2	74	89,2	9	10,8		77	92,8	6	7,2	
Hidroxychloroquin	Center 1	0	136	84,5	25	15,5	0,007	141	87,6	20	12,4	0,010
	Yes	1	80	88,9	10	11,1		86	95,6	4	4,4	
	No	2	15	62,5	9	37,5		18	75,0	6	25,0	
Hipertension	No	0	153	87,9	21	12,1	0,018	164	94,3	10	5,7	<0,001
	Yes	1	77	77,0	23	23,0		80	80,0	20	20,0	
ACE inhibitors	No	0	194	84,0	37	16,0	0,986	208	90,0	23	10,0	0,246
	Yes	1	37	84,1	7	15,9		37	84,1	7	15,9	
ATIT	0-3 day	1	41	69,5	18	30,5	0,002	45	76,3	14	23,7	0,002
	4-6 day	2	84	85,7	14	14,3		91	92,9	7	7,1	
	>=7 day	3	106	89,8	12	10,2		109	92,4	9	7,6	

ATIT: Antiviral treatment initiation time (after symptoms started)

Table 2 Categorical variables according to the intubation and mortality

	Intubation								Mortality							
	No			Yes					Survived			Died				
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	P*	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	P*		
Age	231	56,95	15,94	44	67,16	14,57	<0,001	245	56,84	15,68	30	72,87	12,57	<0,001		
Lymphocyte	228	1,25	,52	44	1,25	1,00	0,984	242	1,25	,53	30	1,23	1,12	0,928		
Neutrophil	133	4,66	3,51	25	7,58	5,37	0,014	138	4,88	3,96	20	6,82	3,85	0,041		
WBC	228	6,23	3,26	44	9,60	5,09	<0,001	242	6,46	3,65	30	9,31	4,20	0,001		
Fever	230	34,17	10,40	42	34,88	9,56	0,681	244	34,06	10,56	28	36,20	6,96	0,156		
Respiratory rate	222	21,16	4,30	41	23,71	6,55	0,021	236	21,42	4,53	27	22,74	6,71	0,328		
LYM / WBC ratio	228	,23	,10	44	,15	,11	<0,001	242	,22	,10	30	,14	,11	<0,001		

WBC: White blood cells, LYM: Lymphocyte

Table 3 Risk factors included in multivariate models to investigate their relationship with intubation and mortality

Intubation (0=No, 1=Yes)	Mortality (0=Survived, 1=Died)
Steroid treatment (0=No, 1=Yes)	Steroid treatment (0=No, 1=Yes)
PaO2 (1=Mild, 2=Moderate, 3=Severe)	PaO2 (1=Mild, 2=Moderate, 3=Severe)
Tocilizumab (0=Kaletra, 1=Tosilizumab, 2=No)	---
HCQ (0=Kaletra, 1=HCQ, 2=No)	HCQ (0=Kaletra, 1=HCQ, 2=No)
HT (0=No, 1=Yes)	HT (0=No, 1=Yes)
ATIT (1; 0-3 day, 2; 4-6 day, 3; 7 day and more)	ATIT (1;0-3 day, 2;4-6 day, 3;7 day and more)
Age	Age
Respiratory rate	---
LYM /WBC ratio	LYM /WBC ratio

Figures

Figure 1. The nomogram for the intubation risk

Figure 2. Classification Tree for intubation

Supp.Figure 1. Classification Tree for mortality risk



