Effect of anakinra on mortality in COVID-19: a patient level meta-analysis

Evdoxia Kyriazopoulou¹, Thomas Huet², Giulio Cavalli³, Andrea Gori^{4,5,6},

Miltiades Kyprianou¹, Peter Pickkers⁷, Jesper Eugen-Olsen⁸, Mario Clerici^{9,10},

Francisco Veas¹¹, Gilles Chatellier², Gilles Kaplanski¹², Mihai G. Netea¹³,

Emanuele Pontali¹⁴, Marco Gattorno¹⁵, Raphael Cauchois¹², Emma Kooistra⁷,

Matthijs Kox⁷, Alessandra Bandera^{4,5,6}, Hélène Beaussier², Davide Mangioni^{4,5,6},

Lorenzo Dagna³, Jos W. M. van der Meer¹³, Evangelos J Giamarellos-Bourboulis¹,

Gilles Hayem², for the International collaborative group for Anakinra in COVID-19

¹4th Department of Internal Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Medical School, Athens, Greece.

²Rheumatology Department, Hôpital Paris Saint-Joseph, Paris, France.

³Unit of Immunology, Rheumatology, Allergy, and Rare Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute; Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy.

⁴Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Infectious Disease Unit, Milan, Italy.

⁵Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, Italy. ⁶Centre for Multidisciplinary Research in Health Science, University of Milan, Milan,

Italy.

⁷Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

⁸Department of Clinical Research, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark.

⁹Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation and of Health Sciences,

University of Milan, Milan, Italy.

¹⁰Don C. Gnocchi Foundation, IRCCS, Milan, Italy.

¹¹IRD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France.

¹²Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Marseille, Hôpital Timone, Réanimation des

Urgences et Médicale, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France.

¹³Department of Internal Medicine and Center for Infectious Diseases, Radboud

University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

¹⁴Ente Ospedaliero Galliera, Genova, Italy.

¹⁵Center for Autoinflammatory diseases and Immunodeficiencies, IRCCS G. Gaslini,

Genova, Italy.

Corresponding author: E. J. Giamarellos-Bourboulis, MD, PhD

4th Department of Internal Medicine, ATTIKON University Hospital

1 Rimini Street, 124 62 Athens, Greece

Tel: +30 210 58 31 994, Fax: +30 210 53 26446,

e-mail: egiamarel@med.uoa.gr

Summary

Background Anakinra may represent an important therapy to improve the prognosis of COVID-19 patients. This meta-analysis using individual patient data was designed to assess the efficacy and safety of anakinra treatment in patients with COVID-19.

Methods Based on a pre-specified protocol (PROSPERO: CRD42020221491), a systematic literature search was performed in MEDLINE (PubMed), Cochrane, medRxiv.org, bioRxiv.org and clinicaltrials.gov databases for trials in COVID-19 comparing administration of anakinra with standard-of-care and/or placebo. Individual patient data from eligible trials were requested. The primary endpoint was the mortality rate and the secondary endpoint was safety.

Findings Literature search yielded 209 articles, of which 178 articles fulfilled screening criteria and were full-text assessed. Aggregate data on 1185 patients from 9 studies were analyzed and individual patient data on 895 patients from 6 studies were collected. Most studies used historical controls. Mortality was significantly lower in anakinra-treated patients (38/342 [11·1%]) as compared with 137/553 (24·8%) observed in patients receiving standard-of-care and/or placebo on top of standard-of-care (137/553 [24·8%]); adjusted odds ratio (OR), 0·32; 95% CI, 0·20 to 0·51; p <0·001. The mortality benefit was similar across subgroups regardless of diabetes mellitus, ferritin concentrations, or baseline P/F ratio. The effect was more profound in patients exhibiting CRP levels >100 mg/L (OR 0·28,95%CI 0·27-1·47). Safety issues, such as increase of secondary infections, did not emerge.

Interpretation Anakinra may be a safe anti-inflammatory treatment option in patients hospitalized with moderate-to-severe COVID-19 pneumonia to reduce mortality, especially in the presence of hyperinflammation signs such as CRP>100mg /L.

Funding Sobi.

Research in context

Evidence before this study Since the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous drugs have been tried in an effort to prevent major detrimental consequences, such as respiratory and multiorgan failure and death. Early during the pandemic, it was realized that drugs aiming to regulate the immune host reaction may play an important role in the treatment of COVID-19. Evidence from a small number of patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 treated with anakinra, and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist, has suggested therapeutic efficacy. We systematically searched all available literature and aimed to present cumulative evidence of anakinra treatment in COVID-19 and the related effect on mortality. Added value of this study This is the first patient-level analysis on the effect of anakinra treatment in COVID-19 patients, which, on the one hand, suggests a significant benefit in the reduction of mortality and on the other hand, reassures safety of the treatment. Most importantly, the current study identifies a subgroup of patients with CRP>100mg/L, that may benefit most from treatment with anakinra. Confirmation of these effects in larger randomized clinical trials (RCTs) is urgently needed.

Implications of all the available evidence Anakinra may be an effective and safe immunomodulatory treatment in moderate-to-severe cases of pneumonia due to COVID-19 to prevent unfavorable outcomes. Anakinra may be helpful to avoid adverse events, such as breakthrough infections observed often with dexamethasone use, and may be considered an alternative in specific subgroups of patients e.g. diabetics. Larger trials, summarized in the Table, are ongoing and their results are urgently needed to investigate anakinra's best place in the treatment of COVID-19.

Study	Country	Inclusion criteria of thrombo-inflammation	Arms of treatment	Number of patients	Primary Endpoint
Anakinra in the Management of COVID-19 Infection (NCT04643678)	Qatar	Signs of cytokine release syndrome	AnakinraSOC	80	WHO Clinical Progression score at day 14
Early Treatment of Cytokine Storm Syndrome in Covid-19 (NCT04362111)	Alabama , US	Ferritin >700 ng/ml and fever >38°C and any 3 of: d-dimer>500 ng/ml; plt <130,000/mm³; WBC<3500/mm³; AST or ALT>2x ULN; LDH>2x ULN; CRP>100 mg/L	AnakinraPlacebo	30	Percentage of patients discharged alive and without need for mechanical ventilation at a day 28
suPAR-Guided Anakinra Treatment for Management of Severe Respiratory Failure by COVID-19 (SAVE-MORE) (NCT04680949)	Greece	Plasma suPAR ≥6ng/ml	AnakinraPlacebo	600	WHO Clinical Progression ordinal Scale at day 28
Anakinra, COVID-19, Cytokine Storm (Sobi) (NCT04603742)	New York, US	Cytokine release syndrome	AnakinraPlacebo	100	Number of subjects alived without having required mechanical ventilation at day 28
A Trial Using anakinra, tocilizumab Alone or in Association With ruxolitinib in Severe Stage 2b and 3 of COVID19-associated Disease (INFLAMMACOV) (NCT04424056)	France	Early stage: CRP>150mg/l Advanced stage: ferritin>5000ng/ml	 Anakinra +/- Ruxolitinib Anakinra + ruxolitinib Tocilizumab +/- ruxolitinib Tocilizumab + ruxolitinib SOC 	216	Ventilation free days at day 28
The Immunomodulation-CoV Assessment (ImmCoVA) Study (NCT04412291)	Sweden	CRP >70mg/L and ferritin >500µg/L and at least 2 of: lymphocytes <1x 10 ⁹ /L; D-dimer ≥0.5mg/L; LDH ≥8microkatal/L.	AnakinraTocilizumabSOC	120	Time to recovery at day 29

Clinical Trial of the Use of Anakinra in Cytokine Storm Syndrome Secondary to Covid-19 (ANA-COVID-GEAS) (NCT04443881)	Spain	Suspicion of cytokine release syndrome	•	Anakinra SOC	180	Number of patients not requiring mechanical ventilation at day 15
Treatment of COVID-19 Patients With Anti-interleukin Drugs (COV-AID) (NCT04330638)	Belgium	Ferritin >1000 µg/L; or lymphopenia <800 µl and 2 of the following extra: ferritin > 700µg/L or LDH>300 IU/L or D-Dimers >1000 ng/mL or CRP>70mg/L	•	Anakinra Siltuximab Anakinra + siltuximab Anakinra + tocilizumab SOC	342	Time to clinical improvement at day 15
Trial Evaluating Efficacy Of Anakinra In Patients With Covid-19 Infection (CORIMUNO-ANA-2) (NCT04341584)	France	CRP>25mg/L	•	Anakinra SOC	161	WHO Clinical Progression is the authorogeneous ordinal Scale
Evaluation of Safety and efficacy of anakinra utilization in COVID-19, a randomized controlled clinical trial (IRCT20120703010178N20)	Iran	_	•	Anakinra SOC	30	WHO Clinical Progression a CC-BY-N

Introduction

COVID-19 is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV)-2 and is recognized as a pandemic, affecting more than 200 countries with millions of confirmed cases and deaths worldwide (1). The most severe and lethal forms of COVID-19 have been linked to different and possibly combined physiopathological processes, involving severe acute diffuse alveolar damage and hyaline deposits in the lungs induced by SARS-CoV-2, multiple arterial and venous thromboses resulting from both endothelitis and hypercoagulability, and a hyperinflammatory response with overproduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Although systemically, there may not be a "cytokine storm" (2-4), there are clear indications of pulmonary compartmentalization of hyperinflammation (5-7).

In order to control the SARS-CoV-2-induced hyperinflammatory syndrome, during which the IL-1/IL-6 pathway is mainly involved, various targeted therapies have been tested, predominantly in combination with antibiotics and anticoagulants. Anakinra, a recombinant interleukin (IL)-1 receptor antagonist, early on appeared as a logical candidate, since it had previously been tested with encouraging results in other hyperinflammatory situations, including the macrophage activation syndrome complicating severe bacterial sepsis and the cytokine release syndrome observed during antitumoral chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell therapy (8-10).

Case series of a small number of patients with moderate or severe COVID-19 treated with anakinra have been published early during the pandemic suggesting promising results and paving the way for additional larger studies (11-14). In most studies, anakinra was administered as an off-label use in patients with clinical and/or laboratory signs of hyperinflammation or in a context of clinical trials, in an attempt to dampen the IL-1 driven inflammation which is believed to play a significant role in

COVID-19 pathophysiology. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we aimed to present all available cumulative evidence on anakinra treatment in COVID-19, to investigate the effect of anakinra on mortality rate, and to better characterize the candidate population most likely to benefit from such a tailored immunotherapy.

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (15), based on a pre-specified protocol (PROSPERO: CRD42020221491). Eligibility criteria were defined using the PICO statement as follows; P: hospitalized patients with confirmed COVID-19. I: treatment with anakinra. C: patients receiving standard-of-care and/or placebo comparator on top of standard-of-care, whereas O was the rate of mortality, and the rate of common adverse events such as elevated liver function tests, leukopenia and secondary infections. All randomized trials, comparative studies and observational studies, published as full text in English were included, while editorials, conference abstracts, animal studies, case reports, articles not written in English language or not providing full text were excluded. Systematic reviews were consulted for additional information, but were excluded to avoid duplication.

Search was conducted on December 28, 2020 and repeated on January 22, 2021 by two independent authors (E.K. and E.J.G.B) across MEDLINE (PubMed), Cochrane, medRxiv.org, biorxiv.org and clinicaltrials.gov databases using the following terms: "COVID-19" or "SARS-CoV-2" and "anakinra", "interleukin-1", "interleukin blockade". Detailed strategy is provided in Supplement. Both reviewers assessed all articles first on the title, then on the abstract and finally the full text to

identify those eligible. Any between-reviewer controversies were resolved by discussion. Individual patient data (IPD) were requested from investigators/corresponding authors of all eligible articles. Data from each article was first checked against reported results and queries were resolved with the investigators. Data has been assessed in a consistent manner across all trials with standard definitions and parameters. The following variables were collected: first author name, country of origin, publication time, study design, total number of patients, criteria for enrollment, number of patients treated with anakinra, number of controls, age and comorbidities of participants, serum ferritin and C-reactive protein levels at baseline, respiratory ratio at baseline, route of anakinra administration, intake of steroids, mortality, onset of respiratory failure necessitating invasive mechanical ventilation, onset of adverse events (elevated liver function tests, leukopenia, breakthrough infections). If IPD were not available, all above available data were extracted independently by the two authors from the original publication.

The quality of each study was evaluated by the 2 reviewers with the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (16). Along the entire process, between-reviewer controversies were resolved by discussion.

Statistical methods

The primary endpoint was the mortality rate. Secondary endpoint was safety, assessed by the rate of adverse events. Odds ratios and their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI) were the effect measure used for comparing anakinratreated patients to control groups for each end-point. Pre-defined subgroup analyses were performed for the primary endpoint according to the following variables: baseline respiratory ratio, baseline CRP and serum ferritin levels and Charlson's

comorbidity index. First, a logistic regression analysis was used to detect any interaction effect of anakinra treatment with the different studies and second, to detect if anakinra effect on primary outcome remains independent of factors interfering with mortality (age, Charlson's comorbidity index, CRP and P/F ratio calculated as the ratio of the arterial partial oxygen pressure divided by the fraction of inspired oxygen). Already described cutoffs were used for the characterization of above the subgroups (17-20). Sensitivity analyses were planned on i) time period (before and after implementation of dexamethasone) and ii) RCTs. Aggregate data were meta-analyzed by the Mantel-Haenszel method. The Sidik-Jonkman estimator was used for tau² and the Q-profile method for confidence interval of tau² and tau. Continuity correction of 0.1 was applied in studies with zero cell frequencies. Finally, heterogeneity and inconsistency were assessed in a meta-analysis of aggregate data from all eligible trials using the I2 criterion. For I2 <50% the fixed effects model was used while for $l^2 \ge 50\%$ the random effects model. The corresponding forest plot was produced, while publication bias was assessed with the funnel plot. Trials without available IPD participated only in the aggregate data meta-analysis. No imputation for missing data within the IPD was made. All statistical analyses were done using SPSS (version 23) and Review Manager (version 5.3).

Role of the funding source

Sobi supported this study. The funder had no role in design, study conduct, analysis, interpretation of data or preparation of the manuscript.

Results

Initial search yielded 209 articles; after removal of duplicates and articles with irrelevant titles, 178 were screened full-text by the reviewers. After applying exclusion criteria, 9 studies, for which aggregate data were available, including a total of 1185 patients were finally analyzed, and IPD were available for 6 of them including a total of 895 patients (Figure 1). A total of 103/895 patients were under mechanical ventilation at baseline. Among the three studies for which IPD were not obtained, two favored and one did not favor anakinra treatment. Characteristics of the included trials are presented in Table 1. Three studies were conducted in France (21-23), three in Italy (24-26), one in the Netherlands (27), one in Greece (28), and one in Oman (29). Data published early during the pandemic in an Italian study (30) were integrally included in a larger study of the same group (25): thus, to avoid duplication, the first cohort was not included. Seven studies were conducted before dexamethasone implementation in the standard-of-care treatment of COVID-19 patients: one study recruited anakinra-treated patients after dexamethasone implementation but controls were recruited prior to its implementation, and only one study prospectively recruited both anakinra-treated and control subjects after implementation of dexamethasone. Assessment of individual risk of bias according to Newcastle-Ottawa scale is provided in eTable 1. The overall quality of included studies was high.

Aggregate data from nine studies (1185 patients) support a significant reduction in mortality among anakinra-treated patients compared to controls receiving standard-of-care and/or placebo on top of standard-of-care; the pooled OR for mortality was estimated 0·37 (95% CI, 0·27-0·51; I², 31%), as shown in Figure 2. There was no evidence of publication bias (test for funnel plot asymmetry: t = -

0.9137, p: 0.391; eFigure 1). In IPD analysis (895 patients), a total of 38 (11·1%) anakinra-treated patients died compared to 137 (24·8%) of comparators receiving standard-of-care and/or placebo on top of standard-of-care (OR, 0·38; 95% CI, 0·26 to 0·56; p: <0·001) (Table 2). No interaction effect was observed among the six different studies included and anakinra-treatment regarding the primary outcome (p: 0·147) (Table 2). After adjustment of age, comorbidities, baseline respiratory ratio, lymphopenia and elevated CRP levels, anakinra was proven an independent protective factor from mortality (adjusted OR, 0·32; 95% CI, 0·20 to 0·51; p <0·001) (Table 2). Similar OR were provided after adjustment for ferritin (available for 486 patients) and IL-6 levels (available for 530 patients). The predefined sensitivity analyses on RCTs were not possible to conduct, since only 1 RCT was available.

Anakinra treatment was significantly beneficial in absence of dexamethasone co-administration (n=559) (OR 0·23 95% CI 0·12-0·43); this was no longer the case by co-administration of dexamethasone (n=239) (OR 0·72, 95%CI 0·37-1·41; p_{Breslow} 0·012). Subgroup analysis per CRP and ferritin levels as well as baseline P/F ratio, showed that anakinra was more effective in lowering mortality in those patients presenting with CRP levels above 100 mg/L (OR 0·28,95%CI 0·27-1·47), but therapeutic efficacy appeared not related to ferritin level, or P/F ratio (Figure 3). Anakinra effect on mortality was similar in patients (n=116) with (OR 0·40; 95% CI 0·17-0·91) and without (n=299) diabetes mellitus (OR 0·37, 95% CI 0·19-0·74; p_{Breslow} 0·902).

Safety of anakinra was investigated as a secondary endpoint. The pooled OR of elevation of liver function tests with anakinra treatment was 3.00 (95% CI, 0.26 to 34.66, I², 85%) (eFigure 2A) and the respective OR for onset of leukopenia and secondary infection was 3.71 (95% CI, 0.49 to 27.84, I², 51%) (eFigure 2B) and 1.35

(95% CI, 0.59 to 3.10, I², 79%) (eFigure 2C), respectively. Thromboembolic events were reported in only two studies (23,28); thus, no meta-analysis on this endpoint was performed. Nevertheless, in both studies, anakinra did not increase the thromboembolic risk compared to control.

Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis of the available published studies argues that treatment with anakinra reduces mortality in hospitalized patients with pneumonia due to COVID-19 when compared with patients receiving standard-of-care and/or placebo on top of standard-of-care. This benefit on survival was most profound in hyper-inflamed patients with CRP levels >100mg/L. Anakinra treatment did not raise safety concerns in the study population.

The first two cohort studies dealing with anakinra in severe forms of COVID-19 were not randomized and used patients receiving usual care in the same institution as historical controls (22,30). Although the design clearly has its limitations, as general care for COVID-19 patients improved over time, possibly regardless of the anakinra treatment, the observed magnitude of protective effect of anakinra was convincing enough to continue with additional studies that globally supported a positive effect of anakinra in severe forms of COVID-19. The open-label single-arm phase II prospective SAVE trial concluded not only that suPAR-guided anakinra treatment prevented onset of respiratory failure necessitating mechanical ventilation but also reduced both short (30-day)- and long (90-day)-mortality compared with standard-of-care alone (28). suPAR is an early predictor of some of the most critical COVID-19 outcomes, including respiratory failure, kidney failure and mortality (31,32). The only randomized controlled trial published so far was initiated by the

French consortium CORIMUNO, but was prematurely interrupted following enrolment of 59 anakinra and 55 usual care patients because of assumed futility (23). The results from the CORIMUNO-ANA-1 study suggest that anakinra was not effective in reducing the need for non-invasive or mechanical ventilation or death in patients with COVID-19 and mild-to-moderate pneumonia. However, in the CORIMUNO-ANA-1 study, the day-14 mortality was 15% in the anakinra group compared to 24% in the usual care group and the WHO Clinical Progression Scale (CPS) was also suggestive for a beneficial effect of anakinra at day 14. Unfortunately, stopping for futility increases the risk of imbalance in prognostic factors and leaves the primary research question unanswered. This is why stopping any trial early is controversial, especially when the decision for early stopping does not follow a pre-specified stopping boundary. In addition, the cut-off criterion chosen for CRP was relatively low (>25 mg/L), which suggests that a proportion of patients had not yet entered the hyperinflammatory phase of COVID-19, during which anakinra is probably the most effective (33). Results from the CORIMUNO-ANA-2 study in patients with more severe COVID-19, hospitalized in the ICU, are still awaited.

Predicting unfavorable outcome during COVID-19 is a real challenge.

Algorithms have been constructed and validated, taking into account a number of clinical variables, including older age, male sex, high body mass index and comorbidities (34-36). Marked lymphopenia, decreased CD3, CD4, or CD8 T-lymphocyte counts, thrombocytopenia, and markedly elevated inflammatory biomarkers were associated with severe disease and the risk of developing sepsis and rapid unfavorable disease progression. Accumulating evidence suggests that some patients with severe COVID-19 suffer from a hyperinflammatory phenotype described as "cytokine storm". Cytokine Storm Syndrome (CSS) is a life-threating

condition requiring intensive care and resulting in a quite high mortality. It is characterized by an overwhelming systemic inflammation, with hyperferritinemia, hemodynamic instability, and multi-organ failure. The trigger for CSS is suggested to be extensive cell death (i.e. induced by viral replication), which prompts massive release of pro-inflammatory intracellular mediators and danger signals, notably including IL-1α (37). These in turn induce an uncontrolled immune response resulting in continuous activation and expansion of immune cells, lymphocytes, and macrophages, which produce large amounts of proinflammatory cytokines like IL-1β, IL-6, IL-18, as well as IFN-γ and TNF-α (38). Clearly not all COVID-19 patients experience a cytokine-storm (7), but even in these patients the pulmonary inflammatory response may be exacerbated and they may benefit from immunomodulating therapies (5,6).

While the molecular mechanisms driving disease severity remain unclear, the clinical association of inflammatory mediators such as IL-6 with severe cases suggests that excessive inflammation plays a fundamental role in determining a bad clinical outcome (39-40). The induction of IL-1-dependent inflammatory processes in host cells mainly requires the engagement of inflammasomes, which are protein platforms that aggregate in the cytosol in response to various stimuli (41-42). The presence of cell death and inflammasome-derived products such as IL-1β, IL-18, and LDH in patients' sera suggests the implication of inflammasome (43-45). However, these compounds can also be produced *via* inflammasome-independent pathways.

SARS-CoV-2 was shown to stimulate the NLRP3 pathway, inducing an inflammasome-dependent overproduction of IL-1, a pivotal phenomenon resulting in the already mentioned "cytokine storm", involving various other pro-inflammatory mediators, including IL-6. In the inflammasome-dependent cascade, IL-1 is located

upstream of IL-6, as well other inflammatory mediators (43-48). As a proof, decrease in serum IL-6 concentration classically follows the effective inhibition of IL-1. Such a hierarchical process could explain why targeting IL-1 appears more efficient in hyperinflammatory forms of COVID-19, than IL-6 inhibition.

IL-6 is a potent stimulus of production of CRP by the liver (49). Therefore, CRP levels probably represents the simplest biological test to indirectly evaluate the intensity of the ongoing cytokine storm. Indeed, CRP titers above 100 mg/L have been associated with a worse prognosis in severe forms of COVID-19. It could also represent a signal indicating a window of opportunity for using targeted immuneactive drugs, aiming to inhibit the inflammasome pathway and the resulting overproduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Our results underline a profound benefit of anakinra in the subgroup of patients with CRP levels >100mg/L.

Anakinra did not appear to raise the risk for secondary infection in our study and may therefore represent a safer alternative to dexamethasone with similar, if not better, effect on final outcome. An additional benefit of anakinra may be seen in special populations, such as diabetics, that may be more susceptible for secondary infections (50). Indeed, our study shows similar benefit of anakinra in the subgroup of patients with diabetes mellitus.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first patient-level meta-analysis on the effect of anakinra treatment in COVID-19, which first, outscores a significant benefit in the reduction of mortality and second, characterizes a subgroup of patients with CRP>100mg/L as the best candidate for this treatment. The retrospective observational character of the majority of the studies included, as well as the fact that most of them were performed before the implementation of dexamethasone as standard-of-care are clearly limitations of the current analysis. A randomized

placebo-control trial with the acronym SAVE-MORE (NCT04680949) is ongoing in Greece and Italy comparing anakinra to placebo in patients receiving already standard-of-care in relation to 28-day outcome, as expressed in the 11-point WHO CPS scale and anakinra is also one of the interventions tested in REMAP-CAP. To conclude, anakinra treatment in patients hospitalized with pneumonia due to COVID-19 appears to be an efficient option with favorable safety profile and may reduce mortality in moderate-to-severe cases, especially if patients present with hyperinflammation signs such as CRP>100mg/L. Larger randomized trials are urgently needed to provide definitive conclusions regarding the place of anakinra in the anti-COVID19 armamentarium.

Contribution

E.Ky. performed literature search and study selection, participated in data analysis and drafted the manuscript. E.J.G.B. conceptualized the study, participated in literature search and study selection. M.Ky. performed data analysis. G.H drafted the manuscript. T.H., G.Ca., A. G., P.P., G.Ch., G.K., E.P., M.G., R.C., E.Ko, M.K., H.B., D.M., A.B. and L.D. provided clinical data and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. J.E.O, M.C., F.V., M.G.N and J.W.M. revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors gave approval for the version to be published.

Declaration of interests

E.J.Giamarellos-Bourboulis has received honoraria from AbbVie USA, Abbott CH, Biotest Germany, Brahms GmbH, InflaRx GmbH, MSD Greece, XBiotech Inc. and

Angelini Italy; independent educational grants from AbbVie, Abbott CH, Astellas Pharma Europe, AxisShield, bioMérieux Inc, InflaRx GmbH, the Medicines Company and XBiotech Inc.; and funding from the FrameWork 7 program HemoSpec (granted to the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens), the Horizon2020 Marie-Curie Project European Sepsis Academy (granted to the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens), and the Horizon 2020 European Grant ImmunoSep (granted to the Hellenic Institute for the Study of Sepsis).

M.G.Netea is supported by an ERC Advanced Grant (#833247) and a Spinoza grant of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. He has also received independent educational grants from TTxD, GSK and ViiV HealthCare.

M. Gattorno has received speakers' fees and unrestricted grants from Novartis and Sobi.

Jesper Eugen-Olsen is a co-founder, shareholder and CSO of ViroGates, Denmark, and named inventor on patients on suPAR owned by Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Denmark.

- G. Kaplanski has received fees from Sobi France for scientific presentations.
- G. Cavalli and L. Dagna have received consultation honoraria from Sobi.

The other authors do not have any competing interest to declare.

References

- World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019?gclid=Cj0KCQiAhs79BRD0ARIsAC6XpaXhxHeN64r7-j5rvv0ZDtNGxNkA0e2EWCAUr8QWWj-qi_PPrXOljroaAjXBEALw_wcB. Last access: March 18, 2021.
- Mason RJ. Thoughts on the alveolar phase of COVID-19. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2020;319: L115-L120.
- 3. Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, et al. Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. *Lancet.* 2020; 395: 1417-1418.
- 4. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet*. 2020; 395: 1033-1034.
- 5. Jouan Y, Baranek T, Si-Tahar M, Paget C, Guillon A. Lung compartmentalization of inflammatory biomarkers in COVID-19-related ARDS. *Crit Care*. 2021; 25: 120.
- Bendib I, Beldi-Ferchiou A, Schlemmer F, et al. Alveolar compartmentalization of inflammatory and immune cell biomarkers in pneumonia-related ARDS. *Crit Care*. 2021; 25: 23.
- Kox M, Waalders NJB, Kooistra EJ, Gerretsen J, Pickkers P. Cytokine Levels in Critically III Patients With COVID-19 and Other Conditions. *JAMA*. 2020; 324: 1565–7.
- Shakoory B, Carcillo JA, Chatham WW, et al. Interleukin-1 Receptor Blockade Is
 Associated With Reduced Mortality in Sepsis Patients With Features of
 Macrophage Activation Syndrome: Reanalysis of a Prior Phase III Trial. *Crit Care Med.* 2016; 44: 275-81.

- Strati P, Ahmed S, Kebriaei P, et al. Clinical efficacy of anakinra to mitigate CAR
 T-cell therapy-associated toxicity in large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood Adv.* 2020; 4: 3123-3127.
- 10. Giavridis T, van der Stegen SJC, Eyquem J, Hamieh M, Piersigilli A, Sadelain M.
 CAR T cell-induced cytokine release syndrome is mediated by macrophages and abated by IL-1 blockade. *Nat Med.* 2018; 24: 731-738.
- 11. Navarro-Millán I, Sattui SE, Lakhanpal A, Zisa D, Siegel CH, Crow MK. Use of Anakinra to Prevent Mechanical Ventilation in Severe COVID-19: A Case Series. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020; 72: 1990-1997.
- 12. Pontali E, Volpi S, Antonucci G, et al. Safety and efficacy of early high-dose IV anakinra in severe COVID-19 lung disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020; 146: 213-215.
- 13. Aouba A, Baldolli A, Geffray L, et al. Targeting the inflammatory cascade with anakinra in moderate to severe COVID-19 pneumonia: case series. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2020; 79: 1381-1382.
- 14. Dimopoulos G, de Mast Q, Markou N, et al. Favorable Anakinra Responses in Severe Covid-19 Patients with Secondary Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis. Cell Host Microbe. 2020; 28: 117-123.e1.
- 15. Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses of individual participant data: the PRISMA-IPD Statement. JAMA. 2015; 313: 1657-1665.
- 16. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2010; 25: 603-5.

- 17. Izcovich A, Ragusa MA, Tortosa F, et al. Prognostic factors for severity and mortality in patients infected with COVID-19: A systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15: e0241955.
- 18. Cheng L, Li H, Li L, et al. Ferritin in the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2020; 34: e23618.
- 19. Zhou W, Qin X, Hu X, Lu Y, Pan J. Prognosis models for severe and critical COVID-19 based on the Charlson and Elixhauser comorbidity indices. *Int J Med Sci.* 2020; 17: 2257-2263.
- 20. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, Camporota L, Slutsky AS. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. *JAMA*. 2012; 307: 2526-33.
- 21. Cauchois R, Koubi M, Delarbre D, et al. Early IL-1 receptor blockade in severe inflammatory respiratory failure complicating COVID-19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020; 117: 18951-18953.
- 22. Huet T, Beaussier H, Voisin O, et al. Anakinra for severe forms of COVID-19: a cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol.* 2020; 2: e393-e400.
- 23. CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative group. Effect of anakinra versus usual care in adults in hospital with COVID-19 and mild-to-moderate pneumonia (CORIMUNO-ANA-1): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2021; 9: 295-304.
- 24. Bozzi G, Mangioni D, Minoia F, et al. Anakinra combined with methylprednisolone in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and hyperinflammation: An observational cohort study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2021; 147: 561-566.e4.
- 25. Cavalli G, Larcher A, Tomelleri A, et al. Interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 inhibition compared with standard management in patients with COVID-19 and

- hyperinflammation: a cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol.* 2021; doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00012-6.
- 26. Pontali E, Volpi S, Signori A, et al. Efficacy of early anti-inflammatory treatment with high doses of intravenous anakinra with or without glucocorticoids in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2021: S0091-6749(21)00171-8.
- 27. Kooistra EJ, Waalders NJB, Grondman I, et al. Anakinra treatment in critically ill COVID-19 patients: a prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2020; 24: 688.
- 28. Kyriazopoulou E, Panagopoulos P, Metallidis S, et al. An open label trial of anakinra to prevent respiratory failure in COVID-19. *Elife*. 2021; 10: e66125.
- 29. Balkhair A, Al-Zakwani I, Al Busaidi M, et al. Anakinra in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring oxygen therapy: Results of a prospective, open-label, interventional study. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2021; 103: 288-296.
- 30. Cavalli G, De Luca G, Campochiaro C, et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Rheumatol.* 2020; 2: e325-e331.
- 31. Rovina N, Akinosoglou K, Eugen-Olsen J, Hayek S, Reiser J, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ. Soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (suPAR) as an early predictor of severe respiratory failure in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Crit Care*. 2020; 24: 187.
- 32. Azam TU, Shadid HR, Blakely P, et al. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2020; 31: 2725-2735.
- 33. Cavalli G, Dagna L. The right place for IL-1 inhibition in COVID-19. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2021; 9: 223-224.

- 34. Cavalli G, Colafrancesco S, Emmi G, Imazio M, Lopalco G, Maggio MC, Sota J, Dinarello CA. Interleukin 1α: a comprehensive review on the role of IL-1α in the pathogenesis and treatment of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases.

 Autoimmun Rev. 2021 Mar;20(3):102763.
- 35. Jimenez-Solem E, Petersen TS, Hansen C, et al. Developing and validating COVID-19 adverse outcome risk prediction models from a bi-national European cohort of 5594 patients. *Sci Rep.* 2021; 11: 3246.
- 36. Sperrin M, McMillan B. Prediction models for covid-19 outcomes. *BMJ*. 2020; 371: m3777.
- 37. Cavalli G, Colafrancesco S, Emmi G, et al. Interleukin 1α: a comprehensive review on the role of IL-1α in the pathogenesis and treatment of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2021; 20: 102763.
- 38. Caricchio R, Gallucci M, Dass C, et al. Preliminary predictive criteria for COVID-19 cytokine storm. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2021; 80: 88-95.
- 39. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* 2020; 395: 497-506.
- 40. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. *J Clin Invest.* 2020; doi:10.1172/JCI137244
- 41. Broz P, Dixit VM. Inflammasomes: mechanism of assembly, regulation and signalling. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2016; 16: 407-20.
- 42. Dinarello CA. Interleukin-1 in the pathogenesis and treatment of inflammatory diseases. *Blood*. 2011; 117: 3720-32.
- 43. Campochiaro C, Della-Torre E, Cavalli G, et al. Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in severe COVID-19 patients: a single-centre retrospective cohort study. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2020; 76: 43-49.

- 44. Della-Torre E, Campochiaro C, Cavalli G, et al. Interleukin-6 blockade with sarilumab in severe COVID-19 pneumonia with systemic hyperinflammation: an open-label cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020; 79: 1277-1285.
- 45. Stone JH, Frigault MJ, Serling-Boyd NJ, et al. Efficacy of Tocilizumab in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 383: 2333-2344.
- 46. Hermine O, Mariette X, Tharaux PL, et al. Effect of Tocilizumab vs Usual Care in Adults Hospitalized With COVID-19 and Moderate or Severe Pneumonia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2021; 181: 32-40. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6820
- 47. Salvarani C, Dolci G, Massari M, et al. Effect of Tocilizumab vs Standard Care on Clinical Worsening in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2021; 181: 24-31.
- 48. Sproston NR, Ashworth JJ. Role of C-Reactive Protein at Sites of Inflammation and Infection. *Front Immunol.* 2018; 9: 754.
- 49. Lansbury L, Lim B, Baskaran V, Lim WS. Co-infections in people with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect*. 2020; 81: 266-75.
- 50. Bassetti M, Kollef MH, Timsit JF. Bacterial and fungal superinfections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020; 46: 2071-4.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Study selection.

Abbreviation: IPD, individual patient data.

Figure 2. Forest plot showing mortality from aggregate data meta-analysis

Odds ratios calculated with a fixed-effects Mantel-Haenszel test.

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

Figure 3. Subgroup analysis of mortality between anakinra-treated patients versus comparators. P values of the interaction effect of the treatment on mortality, in each subgroup and among the studies are provided.

Abbreviations: CCI Charlson's comorbidity index; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; OR, odds ratio; SOC, standard of care

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.13.21255411; this version posted April 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies.

ef.	First author, year	Study type	Study country and period	Inflammation criteria for inclusion	N of patients		Administra- tion route	Steroid intake
	•				Anakinra	Control		d by
)	Balkhair,	Prospective	Oman	_	45	24	SC	Some_
	2020		 April 1-June 14, 2020 historical controls 					patients review
			 June 15-July 25, 2020 anakinra group 					is th ava
•	Bozzi,	Retrospective	Italy	CRP>100mg/I	65	55	SC	All lab a
	2020		February 25-March 30, 2020	Ferritin>1000µg/l			IV if on IMV	patients 💆
ļ	Cauchois,	Retrospective	France	CRP>110mg/l	12	10	IV	No gr
	2020		not mentioned					der, a <mark>CC</mark>
}	Cavalli,	Prospective	Italy	CRP>100mg/l	62	275	IV	No ∯a
	2021		 March 10-17, 2020 historical controls 	ferritin>900µg/l				-NC
			 March 17-27, 2020 anakinra group 					-ND ND
;	Huet,	Retrospective	France	_	52	44	SC	Some 5 2
	2020		 March 2020 historical controls 					patients a
			 March 24-April 6, 2020 anakinra group 					natio
)	Kooistra,	Prospective	Netherlands	Ferritin>1800 µg/L,	21	39	IV	Some
	2020	•	March 11-April 27,2020	clinical				patients 8
			•	hyperinflammation				ise to
				signs (persistent				disp
				fever, unexplained				olay i
				progression of MOF)				the p
	Kyriazopoulou,	Prospective	Greece	suPAR>6µg/l	130	130	SC	Some $\frac{3}{9}$
	2021	·	April 16-September 12, 2020	. •				patients in pa

	٤	č
	롨	Ž
	¥	<
	٤	\overline{c}
	as	윤
c	3	Ξ
J	유	Ξ
	õ	≧
	뿔	Ξ
S	₿	Ξ
_	8	- 6
	5	\$
	<	č
	쭚	ċ
=	ğ	ē
쯔.	7	=
⇉	≚	Ξ
ğ	₫	=
de.	3	Ξ
ā	S	2
à.	₽	Ň
<u>a</u>	e O	5
읈	2	4
ċ	₹	5
S	₹	Ñ
ĕ	₫	_
a)	ಠ	ö
Ö	ዋ	ĭ
ဂု	≤	Ξ
啰	Š	=
1	5	₹.
숡	į	≤
¥	ö	2
ŧ	a	č
4	퓿	Ξ
0	ă	č
₫	3	Š
ğ	ec	č
na	큣	≥
₫.	<u>ڄ</u>	2
ă	a	Ξ
≝	☴	ű
죠.	ğ	7
ä	š	Ž
ĕ	=	-
•	0	Ξ
	š	Œ
ľ	읈	<u> </u>
•	~	Ş
	⇟	ē
	0	Ξ
	ă	Ξ
	ġ	ă
	₫	<u>a</u>
	3	5
	5	ĭ
σ σ It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license	ዋ	=
	e	7
	₫	ā
•	₹	Ξ
	•	Ξ

;	Mariette,	RCT	France	CRP>25 mg/l	59	55	IV	Some 👸	
	2021		April 8-26,2020					patients 3	
)	Pontali,	Retrospective	Italy	CRP or ferritin > x3	63	44	IV	Some 🖁	
	2021		February 26-April 29, 2020	normal limits				patients 🍍	
	Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; IV, intravenous; MOF, multiorgan failure; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SC,								

subcutaneous; suPAR, soluble urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor.

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis of variables associated with mortality in the individual patient data analysis of 895 patients.

Variable	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis			
	OR	95% CI	р	OR	95% CI	р	
Anakinra treatment	0.38	(0-26-0-56)	<0.001	0.32	(0-20-0-51)	<0.001	
Age >72 years*	4.97	(3.5-7.06)	<0.001	1.89	(1.12-3.20)	0.018	
Charlson's comorbidity index >2*	6-35	(4.01-10.06)	<0.001	3.75	(1.99-7.07)	<0.001	
Respiratory ratio <100	2.18	(1.50-3.17)	<0.001	2.89	(1.80-4.64)	<0.001	
CRP>100 mg/L	1.76	(1-21-2-55)	0.003	-	-	-	
Lymphocytes <580/mm ^{3*}	3.08	(2-12-4-49)	<0.001	3.05	(1-90-4-89)	<0.001	
Study	-	-	0.147	-	-	-	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; OR, odds ratio.

^{*}For continuous variables, the best cut-off was estimated from the Receiver Operator Curve by using the Youden Index.





