Population risk factors for severe disease and mortality in COVID-19: A global systematic review and meta-analysis

Adam Booth ^{1¶} & Angus Bruno Reed ^{1¶}, Sonia Ponzo ¹, Arrash Yassaee ¹, Mert Aral ¹, David Plans ^{1*}, Alain Labrique ², Diwakar Mohan ²

- 1. Huma Therapeutics Limited, London, England.
- 2. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, United States.
- * Corresponding Author: David Plans (david.plans@huma.com)

Disclosure statement

A.B., A.B.R., S.P., D.P., A.Y., M.A., are employees of Huma Therapeutics Ltd.

D.M. & A.L. declare that they have no conflict of interests to report.

Funding

This research was funded by Huma Therapeutics Ltd.

Keywords

COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; severe; risk factors; mortality; meta-analysis; clinical characteristics

These authors contributed equally.

Abstract

Aim: COVID-19 clinical presentation is heterogeneous, ranging from asymptomatic to severe cases. While there are a number of early publications relating to risk factors for COVID-19 infection, low sample size and heterogeneity in study design impacted consolidation of early findings. There is a pressing need to identify the factors which predispose patients to severe cases of COVID-19. For rapid and widespread risk stratification, these factors should be easily obtainable, inexpensive, and avoid invasive clinical procedures. The aim of our study is to fill this knowledge gap by systematically mapping all the available evidence on the association of various clinical, demographic, and lifestyle variables with the risk of specific adverse outcomes in patients with COVID-19.

Methods: The systematic review was conducted using standardized methodology, searching three electronic databases (PubMed, Embase, and Web of Science) for relevant literature published between 1st January 2020 and 9th July 2020. Included studies reported characteristics of patients with COVID-19 while reporting outcomes relating to disease severity. In the case of sufficient comparable data, meta-analyses were conducted to estimate risk of each variable.

Results: Seventy-six studies were identified, with a total of 17,860,001 patients across 14 countries. The studies were highly heterogeneous in terms of the sample under study, outcomes, and risk measures reported. A large number of risk factors were presented for COVID-19. Commonly reported variables for adverse outcome from COVID-19 comprised patient characteristics, including age >75 (OR = 2.65 (1.81-3.90)), male sex (OR = 2.05(1.39-3.04)) and severe obesity (OR = 2.57 (1.31-5.05)). Active cancer (OR = 1.46 (1.04-2.04)) was associated with increased risk of severe outcome. A number of common symptoms and vital measures (respiratory rate and SpO2) also suggested elevated risk profiles.

Conclusions: Based on the findings of this study, a range of easily assessed parameters are valuable to predict elevated risk of severe illness and mortality as a result of COVID-19, including patient characteristics and detailed comorbidities, alongside the novel inclusion of real-time symptoms and vital measurements.

Introduction

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42.

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57

58

59

60

SARS-CoV-2, first reported to the WHO on 31 December 2019, has subsequently exponentially spread with cases now officially reported in 215 countries and territories [1]. Following infection, individuals may develop COVID-19, an influenza-like illness targeting, primarily, the respiratory system. The clinical pathophysiology of COVID-19 is still the subject of ongoing research. It is clear, however, that clinical presentation is heterogeneous, ranging from asymptomatic to severe disease. Evidence suggests most patients move through two phases: (a) viral replication over several days with relatively mild symptoms; (b) adaptive immune response stage, which may cause sudden clinical deterioration [2]. Severe symptoms are thought to be the consequence of the SARS-CoV-2 virus invading type II alveolar epithelial cells, causing the release of cytokines and inflammatory markers. This 'cytokine storm' attracts neutrophils and T cells, which in turn cause significant lung injury and inflammation, eventually leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome [3]. There are a number of different classifications of COVID-19, with recent attempts to sub-divide intensive care patients into different clinical phenotypes [4]. Guidelines for the classification of COVID-19 disease severity in adults were first reported in February 2020 and have since been widely adopted internationally [5]. Reported complications and long-term sequelae in survivors are varied and include neurologic, hematologic, musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, and GI-related issues [6]. While most patients recover quickly, a growing number are suffering from so-called 'long COVID', a multisystem, post-viral condition with symptoms including fatigue, anxiety, low mood, cognitive problems, and atypical chest pain, stretching over a period of weeks or months without recovery [7]. In addition, mental health conditions (e.g. PTSD, depression, and anxiety) are also known to result from extended ICU admission [8].

COVID-19 has posed unprecedented care and logistic challenges, with resource-intense care settings such as critical care having to increase capacity by up to 300% [9]. This has significant downstream effects on wider healthcare capacity, including the delivery of elective surgical care and mental health services [10]. For example, DATA-CAN estimates that the impact of reducing access to cancer screening, triage, and treatment will result in a further 7,165-17,910 excess deaths amongst the UK population within one year [11]. It is for this reason that many national strategies have focused, from the outset, on preventing health systems becoming overloaded by clinical demand [12].

The ability to predict the likelihood of severe health outcomes in patients affected by COVID-19 has the potential to inform decision-making at the individual, provider, and government level. At the patient level, accurate prognostication could facilitate evidence-based decisions around shielding. At a provider level, predictors of severity, if coupled with epidemiological models, could enable accurate scenario planning and inform resource allocation decisions. At a governmental level, population-wide risk assessments could help inform the targeted use of non-pharmacological interventions, potentially minimising the economic and population health impact of wide-sweeping social distancing measures. Furthermore, with news that national governments have begun procuring COVID-19 vaccines, an evidence-based risk stratification tool could help policymakers decide which segments of the population to prioritise in national vaccination programmes [13].

Although serologic biomarkers are useful in grading the severity of a COVID-19 case upon admission to the hospital, patients are often experiencing severe disease by the time they present clinically. The ability to stratify cases earlier in the disease process (based on demographics and lifestyle factors) could prove invaluable to initiating earlier referrals and possibly improving patient outcomes. To allow rapid and widespread risk stratification, these factors should be easily obtainable, inexpensive, and avoid invasive clinical procedures. These factors should also help shape decision-making at an individual, provider, and system level. To this end, we included symptom information in our analysis on the grounds that individuals isolating at home with COVID-19, along with their clinical team, can be informed about their risk of deterioration as and when new symptoms develop. Retrospective cohort data suggest that many patients present to hospital more than seven days after onset of symptoms, potentially offering providers some, albeit short, notice to prioritise resources if necessary [14]. In contrast, blood tests are only likely to be of value in stratifying disease severity amongst those patients already severe enough to require hospitalisation. Blood test data would, therefore, provide limited use for individuals' behaviour modification or remote monitoring, and is unlikely to help providers anticipate increased clinical demand.

However, there are challenges in creating such a prognostic tool based on individual or small numbers of studies. While the volume of academic reporting on clinical features of COVID-19 has been unprecedented, low sample size and heterogeneity in study design impacted the consolidation of early findings. Early reports on clinical features were limited to Wuhan, China [15], and the lack of geographical, cultural, and ethnic diversity has restricted the generalisability of findings. As such, the aim of our study is to fill this knowledge gap by systematically mapping all the available evidence on the association of various clinical, demographic, and lifestyle variables with the risk of specific adverse outcomes in patients with COVID-19.

Methods

72.

This protocol is in line with the recommendations outlined in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.

Eligibility criteria

Peer-reviewed observational studies published between 1st January 2020 and 9th July 2020 in the English language were included. Only papers reporting original data on adult (>16 years old) patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 were selected. The minimum sample size for inclusion was 100 patients. Narrative reviews, case reports, papers only reporting laboratory or imaging data, and papers not reporting original data were not included. Studies including homogeneous populations with exclusion criteria (e.g. female patients pregnant at the time the study was conducted) were also excluded.

Information sources and search strategy

A systematic review using PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science was conducted. Additionally, a thorough hand search of the literature and review of the references of included papers in the systematic review

was carried out to minimize the likelihood that the used search terms did not identify all relevant papers. The following search terms were included: ncov* OR coronavirus OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "covid-19" OR covid, AND ventilator OR ICU OR "intensive care" OR mortality OR prognosis OR ARDS OR severity OR prognosis OR hospitalis* OR hospitaliz* OR "respiratory failure" OR intubation OR ventilation OR admission* OR admitted OR "critical care" OR "critical cases", AND clinical OR symptom* OR characteristic* OR comorbidit* OR co morbidit* OR risk OR predict* and "PUBYEAR > 2019". Comprehensive search terms can be found in supplementary material (S1 Table).

Study selection

Two authors (A.B.R. and A.B.) independently reviewed titles and abstracts to ascertain that all included articles were in line with the inclusion criteria (Fig. 1). Studies with missing, unclear, duplicated, or incomplete data were excluded from the review. Observational studies including original data on at least 100 adult patients with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2, whether hospitalised or in outpatient settings, were included in the meta-analysis.

Data collection process and data items

The following information was extracted from each selected article: author, publication year, article title, location of study, SARS-CoV-2 case identification, study type (e.g. primary research, review, etc), peer-review status, quality assessment, and total sample size. Extracted data included sample demographics (age, sex, ethnicity), obesity/BMI status, smoking status, blood type, any existing comorbidities, symptoms, basic clinical variables (e.g. heart rate, respiration rate, and oxygen saturation), and their clinical outcomes of severe (severe case definition, admission to ICU, invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), and death) versus non-severe comparator event (e.g. no ICU admission, survival/recovery). Data extraction was carried out using software specifically developed for systematic review (Covidence, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia).

Fig 1. PRISMA diagram.

Assessment of methodological quality and risk of bias

An adapted version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale [16] was used during full-text screening to assess the methodological quality of each article. Two authors reviewed the quality of included studies (A.B.R. and A.B.), with conflicts resolved in consensus. Studies were judged on three criteria: selection of participants; comparability of groups; and ascertainment of the exposure and outcome of interest.

Statistical approach

Reported measures relating to patient characteristics, comorbidities, symptoms, and vital signs were extracted from included articles. We analysed similar risk metrics for each outcome and pooled extracted values. Where possible, a meta-analysis was carried out to assess the strength of association between reported risk factors and two outcomes: severe and mortality. Severe outcome was defined as the clinical definition of severe,

ICU admission, or IMV, while excluding hospitalisation. If a study reported multiple outcomes, then the clinical definition of severe [17,18] was taken to avoid duplication of data. Meta-analysis regression of reported multivariate Odd Ratios (ORs) were pooled with estimated effect size calculated using a random-effects model.

To accommodate for heterogeneity across the studies, we estimated risk weighting for each reported variable across two endpoints: severe COVID-19 (comprising severe case definition, ICU admission, and IMV) and mortality from COVID-19. If at least two studies reported ORs (multivariate or univariate) for the same clinical variable, pooled weighted estimates were calculated on the basis of sample size and standard error. Data were analysed using the R statistical software [19]. The meta-analysis and plots were created using the R package *meta* [20].

Results

The comprehensive search of databases and cross-referencing hand search identified 2122 articles meeting the search criteria, following removal of duplicates. During screening of title and abstract, 1991 articles were excluded. Consequently, 131 articles were selected for full text review. Of these, 76 articles were deemed to meet the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Articles were excluded for the following primary reasons: repeated data (n=19); wrong design/outcome of interest (n=18); insufficient sample size (n=7); homogenous population (n=6); and editorial or commentary (n=5) (full reasoning is noted in Fig. 1). A summary of all included studies' characteristics and quality assessment is given in Table 1. Inter-rater reliability of article inclusion was substantial (κ =0.74).

Research was pooled from 14 geographies with China the most commonly reported (n=43) [14,21–33,33–62], followed by USA (n=15) [63–77], Italy (n=4) [78–81], and UK (N=3) [82–84]. The remaining papers were from Mexico [85,86], South Korea [87,88], Turkey [89], Brazil [90], Denmark [91], France [92], Israel [93], Iran [94], and Poland [95]. A total of 17,860,001 subjects are described in the included studies; however, after excluding two large national cohort studies which involved non-COVID-19 subjects [83,84], the final sample included data on 153,115 reported individuals with COVID-19.

Reported outcomes across studies varied and were categorised into five grouped endpoints: severe, hospitalisation, ICU admission, IMV, composite endpoint (considered as ICU, IMV, or mortality), and mortality.

The literature reported a wide variety of variables that may provide insight to estimate risk of adverse outcomes in COVID-19. These variables were grouped into four categories: patient characteristics, comorbidities, presenting symptoms, and vital signs (Table 1). Univariate ORs were reported, or calculated where sufficient data was presented, in 65 articles. Multivariate ORs were reported in 45 studies, while 17 reported Hazard Ratios and two reported Risk Ratios.

Table 1. Summary of studies

Dyspnoea,

_	
Q	
フ	

10

Table 1. Summ	ary of studies									
Hou 2020 [87]	23/06/2020	South Korea	Retrospective single-centre, case series	211	Progression to severe stage COVID-19	Asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic patients who were discharged	Male, Age	Hypertension, Diabetes	Fever, Myalgia, Cough, Sputum, Dyspnoea, Chest pain, Diarrhoea, Pharyngalgia, Headache, Chills, Rhinorrhoea	10
Huang 2020 [30]	08/05/2020	China	Retrospective multi-centre, case series	202	Severe disease (5th ed. COVID-19 guidelines NHC)	Non-severe disease (5th ed. COVID-19 guidelines NHC)	Male, Age, BMI, Smoking	Any comorbidity, Hypertension, Chronic Heart Disease, Diabetes	Fever, Fatigue, Cough, Dyspnoea, Pharyngalgia	9
Huang 2020 [31]	01/06/2020	China	Retrospective multi-centre, case series	310	1. Severe (5th ed. COVID-19 guidelines NHC) 2. Mortality	1. Non-severe 2. Survival	Male, Age	Hypertension	Nausea	10
Imam 2020 [68]	04/06/2020	United States	Retrospective multi-centre, case series	1305	Mortality	Survived/Recovered	Male, Age, Smoking	Hypertension, CVD, Diabetes, Cerebrovascular Disease, Chronic Liver Disease, Asthma, COPD, Sleep Apnoea, Active Cancer, Immunosuppression, CKD, Dementia		10
Israelsen 2020 [91]	15/05/2020	Denmark	Retrospective single-centre, case series	175	ICU	General ward treatment				6
Itelman 2020 [93]	01/05/2020	Israel	Retrospective single-centre, case series	162	Severe - defined as requiring intensive help for proper oxygenation (high- flow oxygen delivery device or artificial ventilation, either non-invasive or invasive)	Mild or Moderate disease (flu-like without clinical and imaging signs of pneumonia; pneumonia and hypoxemia)	Male	Hypertension, Chronic Heart Disease, Diabetes		4
Jin 2020 [32]	01/06/2020	China	Retrospective multi-centre, case series	651	Severe/Critical disease (6th ed. COVID-19 guidelines NHC)	Mild/Moderate disease (6th ed. COVID-19 guidelines NHC)			Sputum, GI	9
Kalligeros 2020 [69]	02/06/2020	United States	Retrospective multi-centre,	103	1. ICU admission within the first 10	1. No ICU admission within the first 10 days	Male, Age, BMI, Smoking,	Hypertension, Chronic Heart		10

13

Survived/Recovered

Male, Age,

Hypertension,

Williamson

08/07/2020

UK

Retrospective

17,278,392

Mortality

8

saturation %

Table 1. Summary of studies included in quantitative synthesis. Abbreviations: ARDS, Acute respiratory distress syndrome; BMI, Body Mass Index; COPD, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CKD, Chronic Kidney Disease; CVD, Cardiovascular Disease; GI, Gastrointestinal; HIV, Human Immunodeficiency Virus; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; IMV, invasive mechanical intubation; mNOS, modified Newcastle Ottawa Scale; NHC, National Health Commission

160

161162

Meta-analysis regression was carried out to investigate the pooled risk estimates of selected factors for severe outcome. Analysed patient characteristics included age >75, male sex, and severe obesity (BMI>40) (Fig. 2). Age >75 years old was an important factor contributing to severe outcomes in COVID-19 (OR = 2.65 (1.81–3.90), $I^2 = 51\%$). Males had higher risk compared to females (OR = 2.05 (1.39–3.04), $I^2 = 75\%$). Severely obese individuals were at higher risk compared to non-severely obese individuals (OR = 2.57 (1.31–5.05), $I^2 = 39\%$). When considering mortality as the outcome, the risk associated with age >75 is elevated further (OR = 5.57 (3.10–10.00), $I^2 = 28\%$) (S1 Fig.).

Fig. 2. Forest plot for the association of patient characteristics (age, sex, and severe obesity) with severe outcomes from COVID-19 using a random-effects model.

The risk associated with pre-existing conditions including hypertension, diabetes, active cancer, and chronic kidney disease (CKD) was also investigated using meta-analysis (Fig. 3). Active cancer (OR = 1.46 (1.04–2.04), $I^2 = 0\%$) was associated with increased risk of severe outcome. Diabetes (OR = 1.99 (0.92–4.29), $I^2 = 43\%$), Hypertension (OR = 1.33 (0.99–1.80), $I^2 = 63\%$), and CKD (OR = 1.27 (0.70–2.29), $I^2 = 88\%$) showed no significant elevated risk. Forest plots showing meta-analysis regression for the relative risk of mortality conferred by hypertension, diabetes, and active cancer are reported in S2 Fig. To highlight the heterogeneity of reported outcomes in included studies, all reported risk estimates for male sex, diabetes and hypertension as presented as an example in the supplementary material (S3 Fig., S4 Fig. and S5 Fig. respectively).

Fig. 3. Forest plot for the association of comorbidities (diabetes, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, and active cancer) with severe outcomes from COVID-19 using a random-effects model.

Due to the heterogeneity of studies and insufficient comparable data, it was not possible to conduct metaregression on all reported variables, including symptoms and vitals measurements. As such, pooled weighted estimates were extracted where possible (Table 2). Further patient characteristics such as blood type A and smoking history shows trends towards elevated risk for severe outcome (OR = 1.45, OR = 1.42, respectively). A number of symptoms suggested elevated risk of severe outcome including myalgia (4.82), sputum production (11.40), dyspnoea (8.68), nausea (15.55), and chills (6.32). Fever showed low estimated risk for both severity and mortality (1.06, 0.69 respectively). There was insufficient comparable data to estimate risk for cough as an independent factor, however, pooling univariate analysis also found low estimated risk for both severity and mortality (1.01, 1.08 respectively). There was limited evidence on loss of smell as a risk factor for severe outcomes [88].

	Multiv	ariate	Univariate		
	Severe	Mortality	Severe Mortality		
Patient characteristics		•			
Male	1.17	1.87	1.62	1.94	
Age					
>60	1.69	3.15	3.75	3.04	
>65	1.76	3.79	2.14	1.89	
>75	1.93	5.82	-	2.41	
BMI					
Obesity	1.69	1.45	2.02	_	
Severe Obesity	2.07	1.51	1.80	_	
Smoking					
Active	1.01	1.21	1.22	2.13	
Former	1.31	-	1.26	0.56	
History	1.42	0.83	0.79	2.06	
Blood group	1.12	0.03	0.77	2.00	
O	0.68	_	1.14	_	
A	1.45		1.32		
Comorbidities	1.43		1.52		
Any condition	17.48		2.92	3.24	
Hypertension	1.03	1.09	3.73	2.44	
Cardiovascular Disease	1.09	1.53	3.37	4.04	
Chronic arterial disease	0.94	2.14	2.71	2.85	
Heart Failure	1.93	1.43	2.23	1.91	
Chronic Heart Disease	1.52	-	2.24	5.75	
Chronic Lung Disease	1.52	1.39	3.54	5.35	
Asthma	0.75	-	0.97	0.85	
COPD	1.01	2.05	2.47	2.68	
Active Cancer	1.48	2.15	3.19	2.40	
Immunosuppression	1.20	-	1.17	2.31	
Chronic Kidney disease	1.39	1.15	3.50	2.79	
Symptoms					
Fever	1.06	0.69	1.98	0.83	
Fatigue	-	0.86	1.74	1.33	
Myalgia	4.82	-	0.82	1.17	
Cough	-	-	1.58	0.90	
Sputum production	11.40	-	1.19	1.33	
Dyspnoea	8.68	-	7.32	3.21	
Chest pain	-	-	2.41	2.23	
Nausea	15.55	-	1.37	0.72	
Diarrhoea	-	-	1.20	0.89	
Pharyngalgia	-	-	1.25	0.70	
Headache	-	-	0.96	0.95	
Dizziness	-	-	6.15	1.32	
GI Symptoms	-	-	3.36	1.38	
Chills	6.32	-	1.01	2.08	
Loss of smell/taste	-	-	1.71	-	
Rhinorrhoea	-	-	1.15	-	
Anorexia	-	-	3.13	1.13	
Symptoms					

Table 2. Pooled risk estimates for patient characteristics, comorbidities, and symptoms with adverse outcomes of patients with COVID-19.

Respiratory rate \geq 24 breaths/min was reported as a risk in five studies [23,44,48,65,72]. However, it was not possible to combine data and provide estimates for risk due to heterogeneous outcomes and risk measures reported, with a wide range in the effect estimates (OR = 1.74 (0.95-3.18) vs OR = 11.60 (3.34-40.27)). The only study carrying out multivariable analysis for respiratory rate \geq 24 breaths/min found increased risk with reported OR of 2.00 (1.34-2.99) [65]. Similarly, there was insufficient data to report pooled estimates for oxygen saturation. Two studies reported multivariate analysis for mortality as outcome, showing increased risk with decreasing oxygen saturation, SpO2 88-92% (OR = 1.46 (1.18-1.79)) and SpO2 <88% (OR = 2.00 (1.61-2.48)) [74]. Xie et al. report that SpO2 \leq 90% was strongly associated with death, independently of age and sex (Hazard Ratio, 47.41 (6.29 - 357.48) [51]. Univariate analysis also showed increased risk of severe outcome

with SpO2 on admission to hospital <90% (OR = 3.83 (1.05-14.01)) [94] and <93% (OR = 13.12 (7.11-24.24)) [23].

Quality assessment

205

206

207

208

209

210211

212

220

Methodological structure and reporting of studies varied in quality. Quality scores were evaluated using an adapted version of the NOS [16], with an average quality score of 8.4 (SD = 1.7), ranging between 4 and 10 (scale out of 10) (Table 1). All studies reported data collection from health records. Subject inclusion in reported literature was widely reported as hospital admission with positive RT-PCR test and, therefore, most studies show bias towards inclusion of hospitalised, thus more severe, patients. Few studies reported handling of missing data and bias reporting in findings.

Publication bias

- Due to the high volume of published literature, we did not include publications in grey literature such as medRxiv and bioRxiv. Due to high heterogeneity and spread of data, we estimate risk of bias based on the most commonly reported variable: male sex (Fig. 4).
- Figure 4. Funnel plot highlighting publication bias for male sex as risk factor for severe COVID-19 outcome. 1
- 217 = Petrilli et al., 2020; 2 = Lassale et al., 2020; 3 = Price-Haywood et al., 2020; 4 = Huang et al., 2020b; 5 =
- 218 Suleyman et al., 2020; 6 = Kalligeros et al., 2020; 7 = Simonnet et al., 2020; 8 = Palaiodimos et al., 2020; 9 =
- 219 Chen et al. 2020; 10 = Shi et al., 2020; 11 = Zhang et al, 2020

Discussion

- The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis add to the growing body of evidence supporting the hypothesis that a large number of patient characteristics, comorbidities, symptoms, and vital signs parameters
- relate to increased risk of a severe outcome or death due to COVID-19.
- Presented results align well with recent systematic reviews investigating risk factors in COVID-19,
- highlighting that age, sex, obesity, and multiple comorbidities increase the risk of adverse outcomes [33,61,98–
- 226 100]. This study, however, goes further than previously available literature through our mapping of a wider
- variety of risk variables, including symptoms and vital signs.
- 228 Prior reported literature has made it clear that certain individuals are at higher risk than others. Hence, there
- has been a concerted effort to profile these high-risk individuals which has resulted in the development of a
- variety of diagnostic and prognostic models for COVID-19, with many reporting moderate to excellent
- discrimination [36,85]. Interpretation of early models, however, should be treated with caution as a result of the
- 232 high risk of bias due to overfitting, lack of external validation, low representativeness of targeted populations,
- and subjective/proxy outcomes in criteria for hospitalisation and treatment [100,101]. These performance
- estimates may be misleading and, potentially, even harmful [100]. Efforts for future development of risk

profiling should follow standardised approaches such as the TRIPOD (Transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis) reporting guideline [102].

The identified risk factors align with current understanding of clinical pathophysiology for severe COVID-19. There are several theories as to why age is a significant risk factor for severe COVID-19. These include the role of comorbidities, as well as decreased efficiency of the immune system related to normal ageing [103]. Male sex as a risk factor for severe disease is thought to result from a combination of the effect of health behaviours, sex hormone-mediated immune responses, and differential expression of ACE2 between sexes [104]. Obesity is a risk factor for development of comorbidities such as hypertension, CVD, and diabetes. However, there may be further involvement of obesity through metabolic consequences, which include increased circulating cytokine levels [105].

One study included in this review stands out due to its scale, investigating the primary care records of over 17 million UK citizens [84]. Using a database of overwhelmingly unexposed individuals, the study can be differentiated from ours in that the risk associated with each variable confounds propensity for infection with the relative likelihood of death once infected. The resulting net risk weighting makes it unclear which of these two discrete probabilities is being affected by each variable. The limitation of this approach can be seen best with smoking status whereby the combined approach outputs a protective weighting, potentially due to the reported reduced infection risk conferred by active smoking, contrasting with our analysis which suggests increased prognostic risk (0.91 vs 1.21) [106]. Moreover, as the increased mortality risk of comorbidities was public knowledge before the first wave in the UK, it could be assumed that this demographic behaved more cautiously, resulting in the risk weightings being underestimated in the combined approach. Weightings for hypertension (0.88 [0.84-0.92] vs 1.08 [0.90-1.30]) and non-haematological cancer (using OpenSAFELY's highest risk group; diagnosed <1-year ago 1.68 [1.46–1.94] vs our any-timeframe 2.15 [1.41–3.28]) seem to conform to this expectation. Both approaches, however, are uniquely useful in their application and, nevertheless, are largely in alignment in their outputs. Combining the discrete risks presents the foundation for the development of a risk model which can aid with the strategic planning required for health systems and the allocation of their resources. Our approach presents the foundation for a prognostic model which could support healthcare triage and be used on an individual level for comprehension of personal risk should one get infected.

Limitations

While our study presents pooled findings across 14 geographies and may be considered broadly representative of the pandemic, a number of limitations should be highlighted. The primary limitation is the high heterogeneity of the included studies. Notation of patients' highest level of care may be complex to interpret because such an endpoint is dependent on local policy and resources, which have been evolving in strategy and capacity since the onset of the pandemic. Thus, a recommendation of our study is for the development of standardised protocols for reporting of COVID-19 case series and retrospective analysis. Definition of the non-severe or comparator group is often poorly defined and is likely to result in sample selection bias towards more severe cases. Recent evidence from nationwide blanket testing suggests that 86.1% of individuals who tested positive for COVID-19 had none of the three main indicative symptoms of the illness, such as cough, fever, or a

loss of taste or smell [107]. In the majority of papers presented within this analysis, the individuals were already admitted to hospital, hence there is a strong selection bias towards those more severely affected and, as such, our results may underestimate the degree of risk. To facilitate rapid and widespread implementation of risk stratification, this investigation focused on risk factors that were easily obtainable. As such, we did not consider haematological risk factors within our review. These factors are known to be significant and may be valuable to include as part of risk stratification upon admission to hospital [108].

Confounding factors are highly likely in reported literature and, therefore, multivariate analysis is essential to determine causal risk factors. One such example of this is ethnicity. In our analysis of results, we chose to exclude estimates for risk relating to ethnicity and race due to the complex association of socio-economic factors and comorbidities which may be entangled with ethnicity. In early reports from the UK, there was significant disparity in outcomes for BAME (Black, Asian, and Minority Ethnic) communities [109]. However, in more recent analysis, it was found that the great majority of the increased risk of infection and death from COVID-19 among people from ethnic minorities can be explained by factors such as occupation, postcode, living situation, and pre-existing health conditions [110].

A further limitation of our study is the method used to pool risk estimates. We aimed to maximise the data collected by pulling all available estimates for risk of an associated variable. This method is flawed in that these outcomes are not directly comparable in a rigorous meta-analysis. Thus, caution is advised in interpretation of absolute risk for each variable of interest.

Implications for future practice

A key finding of the global analysis is the difficulty in combining data reported in the literature. Healthcare systems and researchers are, at present, not providing standardised recording and reporting of health data and outcomes. This heterogeneity in reporting limits the efficacy and impact of broad meta-analysis, as highlighted by the spread of data (Fig. 4). The use of standard case report forms, such as those outlined by the WHO may support this endeavour [111]. At a global level, if such data, anonymised and aggregated at patient level, is made more widely available, this could support the development of robust data-driven risk prediction models [112,113].

At regional and provider levels, evidence-based risk stratification could help plan resources and identify trends that predict areas with increased demand. Hospital admission of severe COVID-19 cases can be expected up to two weeks following onset of symptoms [14,114]. Hence, if risk stratification can be carried out in real-time and incorporate dynamic factors, including symptoms and vital signs, resources such as increased ICU capacity can be allocated strategically. Furthermore, through implementation of remote patient monitoring, patients can remain at home on a 'virtual ward' while under clinical observation. Early signs of clinical deterioration can be managed and, as a result, reduce hospital burden [115].

At the patient level, based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that individuals undergo comprehensive screening for risk factors including patient characteristics, detailed comorbidities, and reporting of real-time symptoms and vital sign measurements as part of a COVID-19 risk assessment. While some of the

variables identified in this review are well-known risk factors within the clinical or research domain, it is essential that this information is disseminated to the general public in an easily consumable format with supporting evidence and information. The pandemic has brought about significant social and economic disruption. Due to the lack of a prior evidence-base, current guidelines for individual risk management are blunt, broad generalisations. These may be sensitive to the majority of at-risk individuals, but simultaneously have low specificity, erroneously profiling large sections of the population. Thus, the concern is that many may lose confidence in these measures, including those correctly labelled 'at-risk'. Providing individual patients with a comprehensive and individualised risk profile may empower individuals and increase engagement with public health messaging. This may facilitate efforts by national governments to encourage behaviour modification at a population level, in a manner which reduces the spread of the virus, thereby limiting socio-economic impact.

Conclusion

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

The findings of this paper highlight the range of factors associated with adverse outcomes in COVID-19, across severe disease, ICU admission, IMV, and death. The determination of critical risk factors may support risk stratification of individuals at multiple levels, from government policy, to clinical profiling at hospital admission, to individual behaviour change. This would enable both a more streamlined allocation of resources and provision of support to individuals who require them most. Future studies aimed at developing and validating robust prognostic models should look to follow a standardised approach to allow for comparability and sharing of knowledge. In this respect, a continuation of open data sharing is essential to facilitate improvement of these models.

References

- 328 1. WHO. Weekly epidemiological update 17 November 2020. World Health Organization; 2020 Nov.
- 329 Available: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---17-november-2020
- 2. Young BE, Ong SWX, Kalimuddin S, Low JG, Tan SY, Loh J, et al. Epidemiologic Features and Clinical
- 331 Course of Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. JAMA. 2020;323: 1488-1494.
- 332 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.3204
- 333 3. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated
- with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8: 420-422. doi:10.1016/S2213-
- 335 2600(20)30076-X
- 4. Lu X, Wang Y, Chen T, Wang J, Yan F. Classification of COVID-19 in intensive care patients. Crit Care.
- 337 2020;24: 399. doi:10.1186/s13054-020-03127-7
- 5. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, et al. Estimates of the severity of
- 339 coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20: 669-677. doi:10.1016/S1473-
- 340 3099(20)30243-7

- 6. Lopez M, Bell K, Annaswamy T, Juengst S, Ifejika N. COVID-19 Guide for the Rehabilitation Clinician:
- A Review of Nonpulmonary Manifestations and Complications. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2020;99: 669–673.
- 343 doi:10.1097/phm.000000000001479
- 7. Maxwell E. Living with Covid19. National Institute for Health Research; 2020 Oct.
- 345 doi:10.3310/themedreview_41169
- 8. Sheehy LM. Considerations for Postacute Rehabilitation for Survivors of COVID-19. JMIR Public Health
- 347 Surveill. 2020;6: e19462. doi:10.2196/19462
- 9. Ferrer R. COVID-19 Pandemic: the greatest challenge in the history of critical care. Med Intensiva Engl
- 349 Ed. 2020;44: 323–324. doi:10.1016/j.medine.2020.04.005
- 350 10. Iacobucci G. Covid-19: all non-urgent elective surgery is suspended for at least three months in England.
- 351 BMJ. 2020; m1106. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1106
- 352 11. Lai AG, Pasea L, Banerjee A, Hall G, Denaxas S, Chang WH, et al. Estimated impact of the COVID-19
- pandemic on cancer services and excess 1-year mortality in people with cancer and multimorbidity: near real-
- time data on cancer care, cancer deaths and a population-based cohort study. BMJ Open. 2020;10: e043828.
- 355 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043828
- 356 12. Ferguson N, Laydon D, Nedjati Gilani G, Imai N, Ainslie K, Baguelin M, et al. Report 9: Impact of non-
- 357 pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID19 mortality and healthcare demand. Imperial College
- 358 London; 2020 Mar. doi:10.25561/77482
- 359 13. UK GOV. Government secures 5 million doses of Moderna vaccine. In: GOV.UK [Internet]. 16 Nov
- 2020 [cited 18 Nov 2020]. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-secures-5-million-
- 361 doses-of-moderna-vaccine
- 362 14. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult
- 363 inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395: 1054-1062.
- 364 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
- 365 15. Xu X-W, Wu X-X, Jiang X-G, Xu K-J, Ying L-J, Ma C-L, et al. Clinical findings in a group of patients
- 366 infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) outside of Wuhan, China: retrospective case series.
- 367 BMJ. 2020; m606. doi:10.1136/bmj.m606
- 368 16. Modesti PA, Reboldi G, Cappuccio FP, Agyemang C, Remuzzi G, Rapi S, et al. Panethnic Differences in
- 369 Blood Pressure in Europe: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE. 2016;11: e0147601.
- 370 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147601
- 371 17. Metlay JP, Waterer GW, Long AC, Anzueto A, Brozek J, Crothers K, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of
- 372 Adults with Community-acquired Pneumonia. An Official Clinical Practice Guideline of the American Thoracic
- 373 Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;200: e45-e67.
- 374 doi:10.1164/rccm.201908-1581ST

- 375 18. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Chinese Clinical Guidance for COVID-
- 376 19 Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment (7th edition). [cited 13 Dec 2020]. Available:
- 377 http://kjfy.meetingchina.org/msite/news/show/cn/3337.html
- 378 19. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019. Available: http://www.R-project.org/
- 380 20. Balduzzi S, Rücker G, Schwarzer G. How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial. Evid
- 381 Based Ment Health. 2019;22: 153–160. doi:10.1136/ebmental-2019-300117
- 382 21. Cao J, Tu W-J, Cheng W, Yu L, Liu Y-K, Hu X, et al. Clinical Features and Short-term Outcomes of 102
- Patients with Corona Virus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 2020.
- 384 doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa243
- 385 22. Chen L, Yu J, He W, Chen L, Yuan G, Dong F, et al. Risk factors for death in 1859 subjects with
- 386 COVID-19. Leukemia. 2020;34: 2173–2183. doi:10.1038/s41375-020-0911-0
- 387 23. Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, Yan W, Yang D, Chen G, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients
- with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. BMJ. 2020;368: m1091. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1091
- 389 24. Chen J, Qi T, Liu L, Ling Y, Qian Z, Li T, et al. Clinical progression of patients with COVID-19 in
- 390 Shanghai, China. J Infect. 2020;80: e1–e6. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.004
- 391 25. Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, Zhou F, Li G, Chen Z, et al. Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to
- 392 SARS-CoV-2: A multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. Cancer Discov. 2020;10: 783.
- 393 doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0422
- 394 26. Deng Y, Liu W, Liu K, Fang Y-Y, Shang J, Zhou L, et al. Clinical characteristics of fatal and recovered
- 395 cases of coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective study. Chin Med J (Engl). 2020;133: 1261-
- 396 1267. doi:10.1097/CM9.0000000000000824
- 397 27. Du RH, Liang LR, Yang CQ, Wang W, Cao TZ, Li M, et al. Predictors of mortality for patients with
- 398 COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2020;55.
- 399 doi:10.1183/13993003.00524-2020
- 400 28. Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, Xie Y, Huang J, Li J, et al. COVID-19 with Different Severities: A Multicenter
- 401 Study of Clinical Features. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;201: 1380-1388. doi:10.1164/rccm.202002-
- 402 0445OC
- 403 29. Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, Liang HR, Chen ZS, Li YM, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590
- 404 patients with COVID-19 in China: a nationwide analysis. Eur Respir J. 2020;55. doi:10.1183/13993003.00547-
- 405 2020

- 406 30. Huang S, Wang J, Liu F, Liu J, Cao G, Yang C, et al. COVID-19 patients with hypertension have more
- 407 severe disease: a multicenter retrospective observational study. Hypertens Res. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41440-020-
- 408 0485-2
- 409 31. Huang R, Zhu L, Xue L, Liu L, Yan X, Wang J, et al. Clinical findings of patients with coronavirus
- 410 disease 2019 in Jiangsu province, China: A retrospective, multi-center study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14:
- 411 e0008280. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008280
- 412 32. Jin X, Lian JS, Hu JH, Gao J, Zheng L, Zhang YM, et al. Epidemiological, clinical and virological
- 413 characteristics of 74 cases of coronavirus-infected disease 2019 (COVID-19) with gastrointestinal symptoms.
- 414 Gut. 2020;69: 1002–1009. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-320926
- 415 33. Li J, Huang DQ, Zou B, Yang H, Hui WZ, Rui F, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19: A systematic
- 416 review and meta-analysis of clinical characteristics, risk factors, and outcomes. J Med Virol. 2020;n/a.
- 417 doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26424
- 418 34. Li H, Tian S, Chen T, Cui Z, Shi N, Zhong X, et al. Newly diagnosed diabetes is associated with a higher
- 419 risk of mortality than known diabetes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2020.
- 420 doi:10.1111/dom.14099
- 421 35. Li H, Xiang X, Ren H, Xu L, Zhao L, Chen X, et al. Serum Amyloid A is a biomarker of severe
- 422 Coronavirus Disease and poor prognosis. J Infect. 2020;80: 646–655. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.035
- 423 36. Liang W, Liang H, Ou L, Chen B, Chen A, Li C, et al. Development and Validation of a Clinical Risk
- 424 Score to Predict the Occurrence of Critical Illness in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. JAMA Intern Med.
- 425 2020. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2033
- 426 37. Liu F, Li L, Xu M, Wu J, Luo D, Zhu Y, et al. Prognostic value of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and
- 427 procalcitonin in patients with COVID-19. J Clin Virol. 2020;127: 104370. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104370
- 428 38. Liu F, Zhang Q, Huang C, Shi C, Wang L, Shi N, et al. CT quantification of pneumonia lesions in early
- days predicts progression to severe illness in a cohort of COVID-19 patients. Theranostics. 2020;10: 5613-
- 430 5622. doi:10.7150/thno.45985
- 431 39. Pei G, Zhang Z, Peng J, Liu L, Zhang C, Yu C, et al. Renal Involvement and Early Prognosis in Patients
- 432 with COVID-19 Pneumonia. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2020;31: 1157–1165. doi:10.1681/ASN.2020030276
- 433 40. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Yang S, Zhang S, Chen M, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of
- 434 COVID-19 Patients with a History of Stroke in Wuhan, China. Stroke. 2020; 2219-2223.
- 435 doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.030365
- 41. Ren H, Yang Y, Wang F, Yan Y, Shi X, Dong K, et al. Association of the insulin resistance marker TyG
- 437 index with the severity and mortality of COVID-19. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2020;19: 58. doi:10.1186/s12933-
- 438 020-01035-2

- 439 42. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on
- 440 an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. Intensive Care Med. 2020;46: 846-848.
- 441 doi:10.1007/s00134-020-05991-x
- 442 43. Shi Q, Zhang X, Jiang F, Hu N, Bimu C, Feng J, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Risk Factors for
- 443 Mortality of COVID-19 Patients With Diabetes in Wuhan, China: A Two-Center, Retrospective Study. Diabetes
- 444 Care. 2020;43: 1382–1391. doi:10.2337/dc20-0598
- 44. Shi Y, Yu X, Zhao H, Wang H, Zhao R, Sheng J. Host susceptibility to severe COVID-19 and
- 446 establishment of a host risk score: findings of 487 cases outside Wuhan. Crit Care. 2020;24: 108.
- 447 doi:10.1186/s13054-020-2833-7
- 448 45. Wang D, Yin Y, Hu C, Liu X, Zhang X, Zhou S, et al. Clinical course and outcome of 107 patients
- 449 infected with the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, discharged from two hospitals in Wuhan, China. Crit Care.
- 450 2020;24: 188. doi:10.1186/s13054-020-02895-6
- 451 46. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients
- 452 with 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA J Am Med Assoc. 2020;323:
- 453 1061–1069. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1585
- 454 47. Wang R, Pan M, Zhang X, Han M, Fan X, Zhao F, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 125
- 455 Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 in Fuyang, Anhui, China. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;95: 421-428.
- 456 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.070
- 457 48. Wang L, He W, Yu X, Hu D, Bao M, Liu H, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in elderly patients:
- 458 Characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. J Infect. 2020;80: 639-645.
- 459 doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.019
- 460 49. Wang Y, Lu X, Li Y, Chen H, Chen T, Su N, et al. Clinical Course and Outcomes of 344 Intensive Care
- 461 Patients with COVID-19. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;201: 1430-1434. doi:10.1164/rccm.202003-
- 462 0736LE
- 463 50. Wu F, Zhou Y, Wang Z, Xie M, Shi Z, Tang Z, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 infection in
- 464 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A multicenter, retrospective, observational study. J Thorac Dis. 2020;12:
- 465 1811–1823. doi:10.21037/jtd-20-1914
- 466 51. Xie J, Covassin N, Fan Z, Singh P, Gao W, Li G, et al. Association Between Hypoxemia and Mortality
- 467 in Patients With COVID-19. Mayo Clin Proc. 2020;95: 1138–1147. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.04.006
- 468 52. Yan Y, Yang Y, Wang F, Ren H, Zhang S, Shi X, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients
- with severe covid-19 with diabetes. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care. 2020;8. doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-001343
- 470 53. Yang L, Liu J, Zhang R, Li M, Li Z, Zhou X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 200
- 471 hospitalized patients with corona virus disease 2019 outside Wuhan, China: A descriptive study. J Clin Virol.
- 472 2020;129. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104475

- 473 54. Yao Q, Wang P, Wang X, Qie G, Meng M, Tong X, et al. A retrospective study of risk factors for severe
- 474 acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infections in hospitalized adult patients. Pol Arch Intern Med.
- 475 2020;130: 390–399. doi:10.20452/pamw.15312
- 476 55. Ye C, Zhang S, Zhang X, Cai H, Gu J, Lian J, et al. Impact of comorbidities on patients with COVID-19:
- 477 A large retrospective study in Zhejiang, China. J Med Virol. 2020. doi:10.1002/jmv.26183
- 478 56. Yu Q, Wang Y, Huang S, Liu S, Zhou Z, Zhang S, et al. Multicenter cohort study demonstrates more
- 479 consolidation in upper lungs on initial CT increases the risk of adverse clinical outcome in COVID-19 patients.
- 480 Theranostics. 2020;10: 5641–5648. doi:10.7150/thno.46465
- 481 57. Zhang G, Hu C, Luo L, Fang F, Chen Y, Li J, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 221
- 482 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. J Clin Virol. 2020;127: 104364. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104364
- 58. Zhang J, Wang X, Jia X, Li J, Hu K, Chen G, et al. Risk factors for disease severity, unimprovement, and
- 484 mortality in COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;26: 767-772.
- 485 doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.012
- 486 59. Zhang J, Yu M, Tong S, Liu LY, Tang LV. Predictive factors for disease progression in hospitalized
- 487 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. J Clin Virol. 2020;127: 104392.
- 488 doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104392
- 489 60. Zhang X, Cai H, Hu J, Lian J, Gu J, Zhang S, et al. Epidemiological, clinical characteristics of cases of
- 490 SARS-CoV-2 infection with abnormal imaging findings. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;94: 81-87.
- 491 doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.040
- 492 61. Zheng Z, Peng F, Xu B, Zhao J, Liu H, Peng J, et al. Risk factors of critical & mortal COVID-19 cases:
- 493 A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. J Infect. 2020;81: e16–e25. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.021
- 494 62. Zhou Y, He Y, Yang H, Yu H, Wang T, Chen Z, et al. Development and validation a nomogram for
- 495 predicting the risk of severe COVID-19: A multi-center study in Sichuan, China. PLoS One. 2020;15:
- 496 e0233328. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0233328
- 497 63. Argenziano MG, Bruce SL, Slater CL, Tiao JR, Baldwin MR, Barr RG, et al. Characterization and
- 498 clinical course of 1000 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York: retrospective case series. BMJ.
- 499 2020;369: m1996. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1996
- 500 64. Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, Jacobson SD, Meyer BJ, Balough EM, et al. Epidemiology,
- 501 clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort
- 502 study. Lancet. 2020;395: 1763–1770. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31189-2
- 503 65. D'Silva KM, Serling-Boyd N, Wallwork R, Hsu T, Fu X, Gravallese EM, et al. Clinical characteristics
- and outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and rheumatic disease: A comparative
- 505 cohort study from a US "hot spot." Ann Rheum Dis. 2020. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217888

- 506 66. Gupta S, Hayek SS, Wang W, Chan L, Mathews KS, Melamed ML, et al. Factors Associated With Death
- 507 in Critically Ill Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in the US. JAMA Intern Med. 2020.
- 508 doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3596
- 67. Hajifathalian K, Kumar S, Newberry C, Shah S, Fortune B, Krisko T, et al. Obesity is associated with
- 510 worse outcomes in COVID-19: Analysis of Early Data From New York City. Obesity. 2020;n/a.
- 511 doi:10.1002/oby.22923
- 512 68. Imam Z, Odish F, Gill I, O'Connor D, Armstrong J, Vanood A, et al. Older age and comorbidity are
- 513 independent mortality predictors in a large cohort of 1305 COVID-19 patients in Michigan, United States. J
- 514 Intern Med. 2020;n/a. doi:10.1111/joim.13119
- 69. Kalligeros M, Shehadeh F, Mylona EK, Benitez G, Beckwith CG, Chan PA, et al. Association of Obesity
- 516 with Disease Severity Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019. Obesity. 2020;28: 1200-1204.
- 517 doi:10.1002/oby.22859
- 518 70. Kim L, Garg S, O'Halloran A, Whitaker M, Pham H, Anderson EJ, et al. Risk Factors for Intensive Care
- 519 Unit Admission and In-hospital Mortality among Hospitalized Adults Identified through the U.S. Coronavirus
- 520 Disease 2019 (COVID-19)-Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network (COVID-NET). Clin Infect Dis.
- 521 2020. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1012
- 522 71. Latz CA, DeCarlo C, Boitano L, Png CYM, Patell R, Conrad MF, et al. Blood type and outcomes in
- 523 patients with COVID-19. Ann Hematol. 2020. doi:10.1007/s00277-020-04169-1
- 524 72. Okoh AK, Sossou C, Dangayach NS, Meledathu S, Phillips O, Raczek C, et al. Coronavirus disease 19 in
- 525 minority populations of Newark, New Jersey. Int J Equity Health. 2020;19: 93. doi:10.1186/s12939-020-01208-
- 526
- 527 73. Palaiodimos L, Kokkinidis DG, Li W, Karamanis D, Ognibene J, Arora S, et al. Severe obesity is
- 528 associated with higher in-hospital mortality in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 in the Bronx, New York.
- 529 Metabolism. 2020;108. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154262
- 530 74. Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, Rajagopalan H, O'Donnell L, Chernyak Y, et al. Factors associated with
- 531 hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York City:
- 532 prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2020;369: m1966. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1966
- 533 75. Price-Haywood EG, Burton J, Fort D, Seoane L. Hospitalization and Mortality among Black Patients and
- 534 White Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382: 2534–2543. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa2011686
- 535 76. Ramlall V, Thangaraj PM, Meydan C, Foox J, Butler D, Kim J, et al. Immune complement and
- 536 coagulation dysfunction in adverse outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Med. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41591-
- 537 020-1021-2

- 538 77. Suleyman G, Fadel RA, Malette KM, Hammond C, Abdulla H, Entz A, et al. Clinical Characteristics and
- 539 Morbidity Associated With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in a Series of Patients in Metropolitan Detroit. JAMA
- 540 Netw Open. 2020;3: e2012270. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.12270
- 78. Giacomelli A, Ridolfo AL, Milazzo L, Oreni L, Bernacchia D, Siano M, et al. 30-day mortality in
- 542 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 during the first wave of the Italian epidemic: A prospective cohort study.
- 543 Pharmacol Res. 2020;158. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2020.104931
- 79. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, et al. Baseline Characteristics
- and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected with SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy.
- 546 JAMA J Am Med Assoc. 2020;323: 1574–1581. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.5394
- 547 80. Masetti C, Generali E, Colapietro F, Voza A, Cecconi M, Messina A, et al. High mortality in COVID-19
- patients with mild respiratory disease. Eur J Clin Invest. 2020. doi:10.1111/eci.13314
- 549 81. Ellinghaus D, Degenhardt F, Bujanda L, Buti M, Albillos A, Invernizzi P, et al. Genomewide
- 550 Association Study of Severe Covid-19 with Respiratory Failure. N Engl J Med. 2020.
- 551 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2020283
- 552 82. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, et al. Features of 20 □ 133 UK
- 553 patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: prospective
- observational cohort study. BMJ. 2020;369: m1985. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1985
- 555 83. Lassale C, Gaye B, Hamer M, Gale CR, Batty GD. Ethnic disparities in hospitalisation for COVID-19 in
- England: The role of socioeconomic factors, mental health, and inflammatory and pro-inflammatory factors in a
- 557 community-based cohort study. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;88: 44–49. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.074
- 558 84. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, Bacon S, Bates C, Morton CE, et al. Factors associated with
- 559 COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. Nature. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4
- 560 85. Bello-Chavolla OY, Bahena-López JP, Antonio-Villa NE, Vargas-Vázquez A, González-Díaz A,
- Márquez-Salinas A, et al. Predicting Mortality Due to SARS-CoV-2: A Mechanistic Score Relating Obesity and
- 562 Diabetes to COVID-19 Outcomes in Mexico. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020;105. doi:10.1210/clinem/dgaa346
- 563 86. Kammar-García A, Vidal-Mayo JJ, Vera-Zertuche JM, Lazcano-Hernández M, Vera-López O, Segura-
- Badilla O, et al. IMPACT OF COMORBIDITIES IN MEXICAN SARS-COV-2-POSITIVE PATIENTS: A
- 565 RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS IN A NATIONAL COHORT. Rev Investig Clin Organo Hosp Enfermedades
- 566 Nutr. 2020;72: 151–158. doi:10.24875/RIC.20000207
- 567 87. Hou W, Zhang W, Jin R, Liang L, Xu B, Hu Z. Risk factors for disease progression in hospitalized
- 568 patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Infect Lond. 2020;52: 498-505.
- 569 doi:10.1080/23744235.2020.1759817
- 570 88. Lee Y, Min P, Lee S, Kim SW. Prevalence and Duration of Acute Loss of Smell or Taste in COVID-19
- 571 Patients. J Korean Med Sci. 2020;35: e174. doi:10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e174

- 572 89. Göker H, Aladağ-Karakulak E, Demiroğlu H, Ayaz CM, Büyükaşik Y, İnkaya AC, et al. The effects of
- 573 blood group types on the risk of COVID-19 infection and its clinical outcome. Turk J Med Sci. 2020;50: 679–
- 574 683. doi:10.3906/sag-2005-395
- 575 90. Baqui P, Bica I, Marra V, Ercole A, van der Schaar M. Ethnic and regional variations in hospital
- 576 mortality from COVID-19 in Brazil: a cross-sectional observational study. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8: e1018–
- 577 e1026. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30285-0
- 578 91. Israelsen SB, Kristiansen KT, Hindsberger B, Ulrik CS, Andersen O, Jensen M, et al. Characteristics of
- patients with COVID-19 pneumonia at Hvidovre Hospital, March-April 2020. Dan Med J. 2020;67.
- 580 92. Simonnet A, Chetboun M, Poissy J, Raverdy V, Noulette J, Duhamel A, et al. High Prevalence of
- 581 Obesity in Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) Requiring Invasive Mechanical
- Ventilation. Obesity. 2020;28: 1195–1199. doi:10.1002/oby.22831
- 583 93. Itelman E, Wasserstrum Y, Segev A, Avaky C, Negru L, Cohen D, et al. Clinical Characterization of 162
- 584 COVID-19 patients in Israel: Preliminary Report from a Large Tertiary Center. Isr Med Assoc J. 2020;22: 271–
- 585 274.
- 586 94. Shahriarirad R, Khodamoradi Z, Erfani A, Hosseinpour H, Ranjbar K, Emami Y, et al. Epidemiological
- and clinical features of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in the South of Iran. BMC Infect Dis.
- 588 2020;20. doi:10.1186/s12879-020-05128-x
- 589 95. Nowak B, Szymański P, Pańkowski I, Szarowska A, Życińska K, Rogowski W, et al. Clinical
- 590 characteristics and short-term outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a retrospective single-center
- 591 experience of a designated hospital in Poland. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020;130: 407-411.
- 592 doi:10.20452/pamw.15361
- 593 96. Li Q, Cao Y, Chen L, Wu D, Yu J, Wang H, et al. Hematological features of persons with COVID-19.
- 594 Leukemia. 2020;34: 2163–2172. doi:10.1038/s41375-020-0910-1
- 595 97. Zheng F, Tang W, Li H, Huang YX, Xie YL, Zhou ZG. Clinical characteristics of 161 cases of corona
- 596 virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Changsha. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2020;24: 3404–3410.
- 597 doi:10.26355/eurrev_202003_20711
- 598 98. Gold MS, Sehayek D, Gabrielli S, Zhang X, McCusker C, Ben-Shoshan M. COVID-19 and
- 599 comorbidities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Postgrad Med. 2020;0: 1-7.
- 600 doi:10.1080/00325481.2020.1786964
- 601 99. Wolff D, Nee S, Hickey NS, Marschollek M. Risk factors for Covid-19 severity and fatality: a structured
- 602 literature review. Infection. 2020; 1–14. doi:10.1007/s15010-020-01509-1
- 603 100. Wynants L, Calster BV, Collins GS, Riley RD, Heinze G, Schuit E, et al. Prediction models for
- 604 diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19: systematic review and critical appraisal. BMJ. 2020;369.
- 605 doi:10.1136/bmj.m1328

- 606 101. Al Hassan H, Cocks E, Jesani L, Lewis S, Szakmany T. Clinical Risk Prediction Scores in Coronavirus
- 607 Disease 2019: Beware of Low Validity and Clinical Utility. Crit Care Explor. 2020;2.
- 608 doi:10.1097/CCE.0000000000000253
- 609 102. Moons KGM, Altman DG, Reitsma JB, Ioannidis JPA, Macaskill P, Steyerberg EW, et al. Transparent
- 610 Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): explanation and
- elaboration. Ann Intern Med. 2015;162: W1-73. doi:10.7326/M14-0698
- 612 103. Mahase E. Covid-19: What do we know about "long covid"? BMJ. 2020;370. doi:10.1136/bmj.m2815
- 613 104. Kelada M, Anto A, Dave K, Saleh SN. The Role of Sex in the Risk of Mortality From COVID-19
- Amongst Adult Patients: A Systematic Review. Cureus. 2020;12. doi:10.7759/cureus.10114
- 615 105. Mahase E. Covid-19: Why are age and obesity risk factors for serious disease? BMJ. 2020;371.
- 616 doi:10.1136/bmj.m4130
- 617 106. Farsalinos K, Barbouni A, Niaura R. Systematic review of the prevalence of current smoking among
- hospitalized COVID-19 patients in China: could nicotine be a therapeutic option? Intern Emerg Med. 2020; 1–8.
- 619 doi:10.1007/s11739-020-02355-7
- 620 107. Petersen I, Phillips A. Three Quarters of People with SARS-CoV-2 Infection are Asymptomatic:
- 621 Analysis of English Household Survey Data. Clin Epidemiol. 2020; Volume 12: 1039–1043.
- 622 doi:10.2147/CLEP.S276825
- 623 108. Liao D, Zhou F, Luo L, Xu M, Wang H, Xia J, et al. Haematological characteristics and risk factors in
- the classification and prognosis evaluation of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Haematol.
- 625 2020;7: e671–e678. doi:10.1016/S2352-3026(20)30217-9
- 626 109. Public Health England. Beyond the Data: Understanding the Impact of COVID-19 on BAME
- 627 Communities. 2020 Jun.
- 110. UK GOV. Quarterly report on progress to address COVID-19 health inequalities. Race Disparity Unit,
- 629 Cabinet Office; 2020 Oct. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/quarterly-report-on-
- progress-to-address-covid-19-health-inequalities
- 631 111. WHO. Global COVID-19 Clinical Platform: Rapid core case report form (CRF). World Health
- Organization; 2020 Aug. Report No.: WHO/2019-nCoV/Clinical_CRF/2020.4. Available:
- 633 https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-Clinical_CRF-2020.4
- 634 112. Clift AK, Coupland CAC, Keogh RH, Diaz-Ordaz K, Williamson E, Harrison EM, et al. Living risk
- 635 prediction algorithm (QCOVID) for risk of hospital admission and mortality from coronavirus 19 in adults:
- national derivation and validation cohort study. BMJ. 2020;371. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3731

637 113. Knight SR, Ho A, Pius R, Buchan I, Carson G, Drake TM, et al. Risk stratification of patients admitted 638 to hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: development and validation of the 4C Mortality Score. BMJ. 2020;370. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3339 639 640 114. CO-CIN. COVID-19 - Time from symptom onset until death in UK hospitalised patients, 7 October 641 2020. Group for Emergencies; 2020 Oct. Scientific Advisory Available: 642 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/co-cin-covid-19-time-from-symptom-onset-until-death-in-uk-643 hospitalised-patients-7-october-2020 644 115. Thornton J. The "virtual wards" supporting patients with covid-19 in the community. BMJ. 2020;369. 645 doi:10.1136/bmj.m2119

Supporting information

- 647 S1 Fig. Forest plot for the association of patient characteristics between age and sex, and mortality in COVID-
- 648 19 using a random-effects model.

646

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

- 649 S2 Fig. Forest plot for the association of comorbidities, between diabetes, hypertension and active cancer, and
- mortality in COVID-19 using a random-effects model.
- 651 S3 Fig. Reported risk estimates for male sex. Size of the circle indicates sample size represented.
- 652 **S4 Fig.** Reported risk estimates for any diabetes. Size of the circle indicates sample size represented.
- 653 **S5 Fig.** Reported risk estimates for any hypertension. Size of the circle indicates sample size represented.

S1 Table. Search terms

Search strategy (PICO)

- 1. COVID-19 [Supplementary Concept]
- 2. (ventilator OR ICU OR intensive care OR MODSOR ARDS OR severity OR prognosis OR hospitalis* OR hospitaliz* OR intubation OR ventilation OR admission* OR admitted OR "critical care" OR "critical cases" OR severe) [Title/Abstract]
- 3.(clinical OR symptom* OR characteristic* OR comorbidit* OR "co morbidit*" OR "risk factors" OR predict*) [Title/Abstract]
- 4 (pediatric* OR paediatric* OR child*)[Title/Abstract]
- 5. ("2020/01/01"[Date Publication]: "3000"[Date Publication])
- 1 AND 2 AND 3 AND 5 NOT 4

Search terms for PubMed

((((COVID-19[Supplementary Concept]) AND ((ventilator[Title/Abstract] OR ICU[Title/Abstract] OR intensive care[Title/Abstract] OR mortality[Title/Abstract] OR prognosis[Title/Abstract] "MODS"[Title/Abstract] OR ARDS[Title/Abstract] OR severity[Title/Abstract] OR prognosis[Title/Abstract] OR hospitalis*[Title/Abstract] OR hospitaliz*[Title/Abstract] OR "respiratory failure"[Title/Abstract] OR intubation[Title/Abstract] OR ventilation[Title/Abstract] OR admission*[Title/Abstract] OR admisted[Title/Abstract] OR "critical care"[Title/Abstract] OR severe)[Title/Abstract] OR "critical care"[Title/Abstract] OR comorbidit*[Title/Abstract] OR "comorbidit*"[Title/Abstract] OR "comorbidit*"[Title/Abstract] OR risk[Title/Abstract] OR predict*)[Title/Abstract] OR predict**[Title/Abstract] OR predict**

Search terms for Scopus

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (ncov* OR coronavirus OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR covid-19 OR covid) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (ventilator OR icu OR intensive AND care OR mortality OR prognosis "MODS" OR ards OR severity OR prognosis OR hospitalis* OR hospitaliz* OR "respiratory failure" OR intubation OR ventilation OR admission* OR admitted OR "critical care" OR "critical cases") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (clinical OR symptom* OR characteristic* OR comorbidit* OR "co morbidit*OR risk OR predict*) AND NOT TITLE-ABS-KEY (pediatric* OR paediatric* OR child*)) AND DOCTYPE (ar OR re) AND PUBYEAR > 2019

S1 Table. Systematic literature review search terms and strategy.

END OF MANUSCRIPT







