

Title Page

Manuscript title: Inadequate preparedness for response to COVID-19 is associated with stress and burnout among healthcare workers in Ghana

Authors and affiliations

Patience A. Afulani, MBChB, PhD^{1,2}, Akua O. Gyamerah, DrPH³, Jerry John Nutor, RN, PhD⁴, Amos Laar, PhD⁵, Raymond Aborigo, PhD⁶, Hawa Malechi, MBChB⁷, Mona Sterling², John Koku Awoonor-Williams, MD, PhD⁸

1. Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States
2. Institute for Global Health Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States
3. Center for AIDS Prevention Studies, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States
4. Department of Family Health Care Nursing, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States
5. Department of Population, Family and Reproductive Health, School of Public Health, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana
6. Navrongo Health Research Centre, Navrongo, Ghana
7. Tamale Teaching Hospital, Tamale, Ghana

8. Policy Planning Monitoring and Evaluation Division, Ghana Health Service, Accra, Ghana

Corresponding author

Akua O. Gyamerah, Center for AIDS Prevention Studies, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, United States, 550 16th Street, Mission Hall, 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA, USA 94158; Phone: 415-476-6224; Email: akua.gyamerah@ucsf.edu

Running head: HCW preparedness for COVID-19 and stress and burnout

Word count abstract: 236 Word count text w/o references: 3,702 Tables: 6 References: 55

Title: Inadequate preparedness for response to COVID-19 is associated with stress and burnout among healthcare workers in Ghana

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The COVID-19 pandemic has compounded the global crisis of stress and burnout among healthcare workers (HCWs). But few studies have empirically examined the factors driving these outcomes. We examined the association between perceived preparedness to respond to the pandemic and HCW stress and burnout and identified potential mediating factors among HCWs in Ghana.

Methods: Data are from HCWs in Ghana who completed a cross-sectional self-administered online survey; 414 and 409 HCWs completed stress and burnout questions, respectively. Perceived preparedness, stress, and burnout were measured using validated psychosocial measures. We assessed associations using linear regressions with robust standard errors.

Results: The average score for preparedness was 24 (SD=8.8), 16.3 (SD=5.9) for stress, and 37.4 (SD=15.5) for burnout. In multivariate analysis, HCWs who felt somewhat prepared and prepared had lower stress ($\beta=-1.89$, 95%CI:-3.49 to -0.30 and $\beta=-2.66$, 95%CI:-4.48 to -0.84) and burnout ($\beta=-7.74$, 95%CI:-11.8 to -3.64 and $\beta=-9.25$, 95%CI:-14.1 to -4.41) scores than those who did not feel prepared. Appreciation from management and family support were associated with lower stress and burnout, while fear of infection was associated with higher

stress and burnout. Fear of infection partially mediated the relationship between perceived preparedness and stress/burnout, accounting for about 16 to 17% of the effect.

Conclusion: Low perceived preparedness to respond to COVID-19 increases stress and burnout, and this is partly through fear of infection. Interventions to increase HCWs' morale and capacity to respond to the pandemic are needed.

Key Words: COVID-19; Pandemic preparedness; Healthcare workers; Burnout; Stress; Ghana

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our study is among the first to report data on COVID-19 related stress and burnout among HCWs in Africa and to identify contributing factors, including HCWs' perceived preparedness to respond to the pandemic.
- We used validated psychosocial measures of stress and burnout, as well as a perceived preparedness for COVID-19 scale developed by our team, which has high validity and reliability; this scale can facilitate similar research in other settings.
- Our study shows that among healthcare workers in Ghana, low perceived preparedness to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic is associated with higher levels of stress and burnout and this association is partially mediated by fear of infection.
- Perceived appreciation from management and family support on the other hand are associated with lower stress and burnout.
- Findings are based on self-reported data from a cross-sectional online survey, thus, there may be social desirability bias; associations described are not causal; and the online survey may limit generalizability.

INTRODUCTION

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has become a major health crisis of our generation. The pandemic had affected over 19.8 million people and claimed the lives of over 733,000 people as of August 10th, 2020.¹ Healthcare workers (HCWs) in particular have been disproportionately impacted by COVID-19, accounting for over 10% of global infections according to the World Health Organization (WHO).² In Africa, over 10,000 HCWs across 40 countries contracted COVID-19 as of July 23rd, 2020.³ Underlying the epidemic among these frontline workers are various factors that may be shaping HCWs' risk of COVID-19, including preparedness indicators such as inadequate training, protocols, knowledge, personal protection equipment (PPE), as well as weak health systems, slow national responses, and poor political leadership.^{2,4,5} Yet, emerging data indicate that providers across the globe are inadequately prepared to respond to the pandemic.^{5,6}

The scale and rapid spread of COVID-19, combined with inadequate preparedness, may be contributing to HCW stress and burnout—two psychological indicators that reached crisis levels among HCWs globally prior to COVID-19.^{7,8} Chronic work-related stress, when not adequately managed, leads to burnout, which manifests as physical, cognitive, and emotional exhaustion and depersonalization (feelings of negativism, cynicism, or detachment from one's job), and reduced professional efficacy.⁹ Burnout leads to lower productivity and effectiveness, decreased job satisfaction and commitment, and poor quality care, with risks to patient safety.^{10,11} Stress and burnout is also associated with poor health outcomes such as depression, cardiovascular disease, and premature mortality.^{12,13} Moreover, HCW burnout is expensive for the health system given

its associations with care quality, absenteeism, and workforce turnover, and is, thus, critical to examine.^{14,15}

Since the WHO declared COVID-19 a global pandemic, a growing number of studies have examined its psychological impact on frontline workers.^{16–19} A qualitative study among HCWs in China found that challenges experienced in responding to COVID-19 included exhaustion from prolonged use of protective gear and heavy workloads, and fear of infection and infecting others, while social support and self-management strategies helped HCWs cope with distress.²⁰ Additionally, a systematic review found that HCWs are experiencing psychological distresses due to COVID-19, with the following pooled estimates for anxiety (26%), depression (25%), distress (35%), stress (40%), insomnia (32%), and PTSD (3% to 16%).¹⁹ None of these studies were in Africa.

Inadequate preparedness has been linked to various psychological outcomes among HCWs in prior epidemics outbreaks.²¹ But few studies have empirically examined this in the context of COVID-19 and no studies, to our knowledge, have specifically examined the psychological impact of *perceived preparedness* among HCWs to respond to the COVID-19. A nationwide survey examining psychological distress among the general population in China during the COVID-19 epidemic found that preparedness indicators (e.g., having effective prevention and control measures and a highly efficient health system) were protective against psychological distress.²² In Africa, where health systems are constrained and underfunded,²³ no empirical studies in the context of COVID-19 have reported on this issue to date for HCWs. However, a prior systematic review found that, generally, burnout is high among physicians and even higher

among nurses in Africa and that drivers of burnout include lack of social support, long work hours and understaffing, and professional and interpersonal conflicts.^{24,25}

Due to shortage of staff and limited resources, HCWs in African settings have been working under excessive workloads and psychologically charged environments where demand outweighs capacity.^{26,27} In Ghana, which has the third highest number of COVID-19 cases in Africa and over 2,000 HCWs infected,^{28,29} stress and burnout may be even higher among HCWs. Previous studies assessing HCWs' preparedness for the Ebola outbreak in Ghana found that providers felt inadequately prepared to respond, and reported issues such as inadequate PPE and staff.³⁰⁻³³ Our study contributes to addressing the gap in the literature on the psychological impact of COVID-19 on African HCWs by examining HCW stress and burnout and associations with perceived preparedness to respond to COVID-19 and other factors in Ghana.

METHODS

Context

Ghana recorded its first two cases of COVID-19 on March 12th, 2020. Since then, the epidemic in Ghana has grown exponentially, with 41,212 cases and 215 deaths as of August 10th, 2020, making it the country with the third-highest number of cases in Africa and 51st globally.^{1,29}

Ghana has a constrained health system, with a population of approximately 30 million, an estimated 1.8 medical doctors and 42 nurses and midwives per 10,000 population, and less than one hospital bed per 1,000 people.^{23,34-36} The increasing number of cases within an overburdened healthcare infrastructure is, therefore, a major source of concern for many HCWs. In addition, HCWs have expressed fear of coronavirus infection due to concerns about inadequate PPE and

testing, sparking threats of industrial strike actions by nurses and doctors in Ghana.^{37,38} New data on the high number of COVID-19 cases among HCWs, including six deaths, has elevated this fear and, raised renewed concerns about the potential catastrophic effects of a weak health system and lack of HCW preparedness.^{39,40}

Data collection

This is a cross-sectional study conducted with HCWs in Ghana (i.e., nurses, physicians, and allied health workers) from April 17th, 2020 to May 31st, 2020. We used a convenience sampling approach to recruit HCWs virtually through advertising on diverse online and social media platforms (WhatsApp, Facebook, and direct messaging), and invited them to complete a self-administered online survey through a link in the ad. To maximize representativeness in our sample, we disseminated survey links to Facebook and WhatsApp pages of different professional groups, graduation year groups, and regional groups of HCWs, as well as to leaders of professional organizations and Ghana Health Service directors to share with members of their groups. No incentives were provided, and respondents had the option of skipping questions. The survey included questions on demographics, perceived preparedness, stress, burnout, and other questions relevant to the pandemic response. Providers consented to the study by completing the survey. Additional study methods can be found elsewhere.⁴¹

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of California, San Francisco (#20-30656) and the Navrongo Health Research Centre (#NHRCIRB374).

Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. HCWs however contributed to the development of the survey questions.

Measures

Dependent variables: stress and burnout

The two outcome variables—stress and burnout—were measured using validated psychosocial measures. Stress was assessed using the 10-item Cohen perceived stress scale, which captures people’s feelings and thoughts in the past month.⁴² Questions relate to how nervous or stressed, unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overloaded respondents find their lives (appendix 1a). Each question is on a scale of 0 (never) to 4 (very often). Burnout was assessed using the 14-item Shirom-Melamed Burnout measure (SMBM), which assesses feelings at work in the past month.⁴³ Questions capture three domains of burnout: physical fatigue, emotional exhaustion, and cognitive weariness, with responses options ranging from 1 (never or almost never) to 7 (always or almost always) (appendix 1b).

Independent variables

The key predictor in this analysis is *perceived preparedness to respond to COVID-19*, which was assessed using a 15-item scale developed by our team. The questions capture personal, facility, and psychological preparedness for prevention, diagnoses, management, and education regarding COVID-19. Each question has response options from 0 (not prepared at all) to 3 (very prepared), with options for “I don’t know about this (4), and “Not applicable to my role” (5) (appendix 1c). The scale development process is described elsewhere.⁴¹

Other independent variables included *feeling of appreciation, support, and communication from management; family support, ability to isolate at home without exposing family, fear of contracting COVID-19, confidence in being cared for if infected, COVID-19 training; availability of PPE, isolation ward, and protocols for COVID-19; perceived knowledge of how to manage COVID-19* (appendix 1d), and provider and facility characteristics.

Analysis

The analytic sample is 414 and 409 for the stress and burnout analysis, respectively, representing respondents who answered all questions on stress and burnout and relevant predictors. We examined the distribution of variables using descriptive statistics and created summative scores for stress, burnout, and preparedness. Factor analysis showed all three scales had good construct validity with all items in each scale loading on one dominant factor with eigen-values greater than three. The scales also had good internal consistency with Cronbach alpha of 0.79 for stress, 0.94 for burnout, and 0.91 for preparedness. Before creating summative scores, items were recoded such that higher scores indicate higher stress, burnout, and preparedness. For the preparedness score, we coded response options to range from 0 to 3 by recoding 4(I don't know about this) to 0(not at all prepared) and 5(not applicable to my role) to 2(prepared). Stress scores range from 0 to 40. Scores of 0-13 are considered low stress, 14-26 moderate stress, and 27-40 high stress.⁴² Burnout scores range from 14-98—rescaled to 1-7 by dividing by total number of items for ease of comparison with sub-domains. Scores of ≤ 2.0 are considered no burnout, 2-3.74 moderate burnout, and ≥ 3.75 as high burnout.⁴⁴ We used the same cutoffs for burnout domains. Preparedness scores range from 0-45. We categorized scores less than 15 as “not at all prepared”; scores 15 to 29 as “somewhat prepared,” and ≥ 30 as “prepared”.⁴¹

We used the continuous scores for the outcomes in linear regressions with robust standard errors to examine the associations with various predictors. The burnout score was slightly skewed to the right, which was corrected with a log transformation. For ease of interpretation, we used the untransformed variable for the main analysis and conducted sensitivity analysis with the log transformed variable. We built multivariate models by gradually adding demographic and other independent variables that were significant in the bivariate analysis and testing for model fit and collinearity. Finally, we examined if the relationships between perceived preparedness and both stress and burnout were mediated by fear of infection using the difference of coefficients ($c-c'$) method. The mediated or indirect effect is the difference in the coefficients in the model without the mediator (total effect: c) and that in the model with the mediator (direct effect: c'). The proportion mediated is $((c-c')/c)$.^{45,46} We also examined if the associations were moderated by type of health provider, appreciation from management, and family support. In additional analysis, we ran the models with preparedness as a continuous variable and with the outcomes as binary variables.

RESULTS

Descriptive results

About 20% were doctors, 62% nurses (including midwives and medical/physician assistants) and 18% other professionals, including medical laboratory professionals, disease control officers, nutritionists and other allied health care workers (Table 1a). About 26% worked in teaching hospitals, 59% in other public hospitals (e.g., regional and district hospitals and health centers), and 15% in private facilities. Approximately 23% work in the Greater Accra and Ashanti regions (the initial epicenters), 23% from Northern region, and the rest from other regions. There were at

least 10 respondents from each the 16 regions of the country, except for the Bono and Ahafo regions, which had less than five respondents. The average age of respondents was 34.2 years (SD=6.0), with 8.2 years of professional experience (SD=5.6). About half were female.

The average stress score was 16.3 (SD=5.9) (Table 1b), with 64% having moderate stress and 4% high stress. Average burnout score was 37.4 (SD=15.5), with 47% having low burnout and 20% high burnout. About 33%, 15%, and 23%, respectively, had high values for physical exhaustion, emotional exhaustion, and cognitive weariness. Average preparedness score was 24 (SD=8.8), with 56.9% somewhat prepared and 27.5% prepared (Table 1). About 44% perceived management was appreciative or very appreciative of their efforts and 55% perceived communication from management was good or very good. Additionally, 46% were fearful or very fearful of contracting COVID-19 and only 20% were confident or very confident that they would be adequately cared for in their facility if they got infected. About 67% felt their families were supportive or very supportive of their work, and 33% were certain of a place to isolate at home without exposing their family if they were infected. Distribution of other variables shown in Table 1.

Bivariate results

In the bivariate analysis (Table 2), higher perceived preparedness was associated with lower perceived stress and burnout. The average stress and burnout scores among those who felt prepared was 14 (SD=5.1) and 33 (SD=13.5), respectively, compared to 19 (SD=6.1) and 47 (SD=15.3), respectively, for those who did not feel at all prepared. Burnout scores among other HCWs were lower than that of doctors and nurses. HCWs in Northern region had lower stress

than those in Greater Accra and Ashanti regions and HCWs in other southern regions had lower burnout than those in Greater Accra and Ashanti regions. Other factors significantly associated with lower stress and burnout included appreciation, support, and communication from management; family support; confidence in being cared for if infected; training on COVID-19; availability of PPE, isolation ward, and COVID-19 guidelines; and confidence in being able to manage COVID-19 patients. Fear of infection and being female were associated with higher stress and burnout.

Multivariate analysis

In the multivariate analysis (Tables 3a and 3b), the associations between perceived preparedness with both stress and burnout were still significant. When accounting for only the demographic variables (model 1 of Table 3a), providers who felt somewhat prepared and prepared had about 3- and 5-points lower stress scores respectively compared to those who did not feel at all prepared. This decreased to about 2 and 3 points, respectively, with the addition of appreciation from management and family support in model 2. In model 3, which includes fear of infection, the coefficients for somewhat prepared and prepared decreased further ($\beta=-1.89$, 95%CI:-3.49 to -0.30 and $\beta=-2.66$, 95%CI:-4.48 to -0.84) by 17% and 16% from model 2, suggesting fear of infection partially mediates the relationship between perceived preparedness and stress.

For burnout, when accounting for only the demographic variables (Table 3b, model 1), providers who felt somewhat prepared and prepared had about 10 points and 14 points lower burnout scores, respectively, compared to those who did not feel at all prepared. This decreased to about 9 and 10 points, respectively, with the addition of appreciation from management and family

support in model 2. In model 3, which includes fear of infection, the coefficients for somewhat prepared and prepared decreased to about 8 and 9 points ($\beta = -7.74$, 95% CI: -11.8 to -3.64 and $\beta = -9.25$, 95% CI: -14.1 to -4.41)—a 10% decrease from model 2, suggesting potential partial mediation by fear of infection. The mediated effect with the categorical preparedness variable was not significant, but it was significant with the continuous preparedness variable with the proportion of the mediated effect at 16% (table 4).

Providers in the Northern region had about 3 points lower stress scores than those in Greater Accra and Ashanti regions (the COVID-19 epicenters). Females also had about 3 points higher burnout scores than males, and married providers had about 5 points lower burnout scores than unmarried providers. Perceived appreciation from management and family support were associated with about 2 points lower stress scores and about 5 points lower burnout scores, while fear of infection was associated with about 2 points higher stress scores and 4 points higher burnout scores.

Sensitivity results

The interactions between preparedness with type of provider, appreciation from management and family support were not significant for neither stress nor burnout, suggesting the absence of conditional effects. The results obtained from using the log of burnout as the outcome, as well as that from using preparedness as a continuous variable, were consistent with the results of the untransformed burnout variable and the categorical preparedness variables respectively in their significance, direction, and magnitude of the associations. Results from the binary logistic regression based on the dichotomized stress and burnout scores were also generally consistent

with the results of the continuous variables, with minor variations depending on how the variable was dichotomized (Appendix 2).

DISCUSSION

We found evidence of high stress and burnout and low perceived preparedness to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic among HCWs in Ghana. Low perceived preparedness was associated with increased stress and burnout. Our findings suggest that increased fear of infection partly accounts for the effect of perceived preparedness on stress and burnout—i.e., inadequate preparation leads to fear of infection, which leads to high stress and burnout. This is, however, a small indirect effect (<20%), which is likely because other factors, including fear of poor outcomes for patients, may also be mediating the effect of preparedness on stress and burnout. In contrast, increased appreciation from management and family support decreases stress and burnout. Inadequate preparedness may, therefore, have multiplicative effects through its association with stress and burnout, which may negatively affect HCW job satisfaction, productivity, quality of care, and workforce turnover^{14,15}—outcomes that would impede Ghana’s progress in containing COVID-19.

High stress and burnout among health workers in Ghana is not surprising given global evidence prior to the pandemic of provider stress and burnout—including in Ghana and other African countries.^{25,47,48} Our prevalence of moderate (64%) and high (4%) stress among HCWs is comparable to that reported in a recent systematic review of the psychological impact of COVID-19 on HCWs and general public, which found stress to be at 40% (20%-60%).¹⁹ Also, compared to our findings of low (47%) and high (20 %) burnout, a study of HCWs in Ghana

reported burnout scores ranging from good (71.5%), alarming (12.6%), acute crisis (6.0%), and burnout (9.9%) among Accra-based HCWs; however this was prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.⁴⁸ Additionally, a study among frontline nurses caring for COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China reported that about half of the nurses studied experienced moderate and high burnout—characterized by emotional exhaustion (60.5%) and depersonalization (42.3%).⁴⁹ We found lower levels of moderate to emotional exhaustion (39%) and higher levels physical exhaustion (73%), although the estimates are not directly comparable given the use of different measures in the different studies.

Burnout among HCWs during COVID-19 pandemic has thus been characterized as an infection of the mind,⁵⁰ with calls for interventions to fight the two afflictions: COVID-19 and the psychological strain experienced by medical professionals at the frontline of the response.⁵¹ Extant studies show that factors associated with preparedness include availability of PPE, clear protocols, and isolation wards, training, and good communication from management.^{5,41} Improving these would increase perceived preparedness, decrease fear of infection, and decrease stress and burnout. Recommended steps related to preparedness include development of national and regional disaster mitigation plans to shorten the time needed to provide necessary equipment and testing; provision of adequate test kits and PPE; training on disaster management and response for HCWs; and creating a medical reserve corps of licensed individuals.⁵¹ Such initiatives would help improve HCW preparedness to respond to COVID-19.

Similar to findings from other studies,^{18,19,52} our results suggest that feeling appreciated by management and having family support is important for HCWs' psychological wellbeing, while

being unmarried, female, and working in the most impacted areas negatively affected wellbeing. Efforts are therefore needed to ensure providers feel appreciated for their role in the pandemic response and to provide additional support to HCWs who are female, unmarried, and based at the epicenters of Ghana's epidemic. Additionally, interventions are needed to increase workplace awareness of stress and burnout, self-care, availability of and access to mental health services, and to implement organizational policies and practices that prioritize HCW wellbeing.⁵³ In some jurisdictions, support programs such as peer-support video conferencing sessions are being offered for peer groups to discuss various issues affecting them.⁵⁴ Additionally, categorizing COVID-19 as an occupational disease, like healthcare organizations have demanded, may help improve worker protections and government accountability.²⁶ Interventions, like mindfulness exercises, changes to institutional culture, and workplace incentives could also improve psychological outcomes among HCWs. Family support is also critical and may help lower stress and burnout.¹⁸

Limitations and strengths

There are some limitations to the study. First, the use of an online survey with a volunteer sample limits the generalizability of findings to all HCWs in Ghana. This was, however, the best option available for rapid data collection as the country was in partial lock-down due to the COVID-19 pandemic. To address this limitation, we recruited from diverse platforms such as Facebook and WhatsApp pages of different professional groups, graduation year groups, and regional groups of HCWs. Survey links were also emailed to leaders of professional organizations and Ghana Health Service directors to share with members of their groups. Thus, our sample is diverse in terms of gender, age, years of experience, region, and facility type as

shown in the sample distribution—which increases the representativeness of the findings. Moreover, our study sets the stage for future research to examine these issues in a more representative sample under circumstances that allow for probability sampling. Additionally, as with all self-reported data, social desirability and recall bias are potential limitations. The use of composite scores from validated psychosocial measures, however, helps to address this limitation. Finally, this was a cross-sectional study, thus, associations described are not causal. Despite these limitations, this is the first study to our knowledge assessing perceived preparedness for COVID-19 and psychological well-being among HCWs in Africa and contributes critical findings that can help address emerging issues and challenges in the current pandemic response. It also provides a baseline for future studies in Ghana, Africa, and globally.

Conclusions

HCWs in Ghana reported low perceived preparedness to respond to COVID-19, which was associated with increased stress and burnout. The effect of inadequate preparation on both stress and burnout is partially mediated by fear of infection. This finding is likely replicable in other low-resource settings, and potentially globally, and highlights the need for interventions to increase providers' preparedness. The government of Ghana has demonstrated commitment to addressing the needs of HCWs; however, more efforts are needed. Government and other stakeholders must institute necessary trainings, protections, and incentives to improve HCWs' psychological wellbeing and ability to respond to the pandemic. With HCW shortage in Africa, a high number of cases among these frontline workers, inadequate PPE and preparedness, and growing work demands, such interventions are critically needed to retain them and maintain the quality of care in already strained health systems. Studies in different settings examining the

impact of these factors on health care quality and outcomes in the context of the pandemic are also needed. For Africa, stress and burnout have far reaching implications for the COVID-19 response. Given warnings that the continent could witness the loss of millions of lives, immediate actions are needed to strengthen health systems, train HCWs, and provide support and encouragement to boost morale.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank all healthcare providers who participated in the study and who helped in the survey dissemination.

Contributors

PA conceptualized study, developed data collection instruments, conducted data analysis, led manuscript writing; AG helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript; RA helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript; JJN helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript; HM helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript; AL helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript; MS helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript; JA helped develop data collection instruments and write manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the University of California, San Francisco COVID-19 Related Rapid Research Pilot Initiative.

Competing interests: None declared

Patient and public involvement: Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. HCWs however contributed to the development of the survey questions.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Ethics approval: The proposal and materials for the study that provides data for this manuscript were approved by the ethical review units of the University of California, San Francisco and Navrongo Health Research Center in Ghana.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement: Study data are available on request. All relevant data are included in the article or enclosed as supplementary information.

References

- 1 Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19 Map. 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> (accessed June 9, 2020).
- 2 World Health Organization. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19. World Health Organ. 2020; published online July 17.
- 3 World Health Organization. Over 10 000 health workers in Africa infected with COVID-19. WHO Reg. Off. Afr. 2020; published online July 23. <https://www.afro.who.int/news/over-10-000-health-workers-africa-infected-covid-19> (accessed Aug 10, 2020).
- 4 Guest JL, del Rio C, Sanchez T. The Three Steps Needed to End the COVID-19 Pandemic: Bold Public Health Leadership, Rapid Innovations, and Courageous Political Will. *JMIR Public Health Surveill* 2020; **6**: e19043.
- 5 Suleiman A, Bsisu I, Guzu H, *et al.* Preparedness of Frontline Doctors in Jordan Healthcare Facilities to COVID-19 Outbreak. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; **17**: 3181.
- 6 Raina S, Kumar R, Galwankar S, *et al.* Are we prepared? Lessons from Covid-19 and OMAG position paper on epidemic preparedness. *J Fam Med Prim Care* 2020; **9**: 2161.
- 7 The Lancet. Physician burnout: a global crisis. *The Lancet* 2019; **394**: 93.
- 8 Kopacz MS, Ames D, Koenig HG. It's time to talk about physician burnout and moral injury. *The Lancet* 2019; **6**: e28.
- 9 Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. Job burnout. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2001; **52**: 397–422.
- 10 Klein J, Frie KG, Blum K, Knesebeck O von dem. Burnout and perceived quality of care among German clinicians in surgery. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2010; **22**: 525–30.
- 11 Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry Off J World Psychiatr Assoc WPA* 2016; **15**: 103–11.
- 12 Wallace JE, Lemaire JB, Ghali WA. Physician wellness: a missing quality indicator. *Lancet Lond Engl* 2009; **374**: 1714–21.
- 13 Melamed S, Kushnir T, Shirom A. Burnout and risk factors for cardiovascular diseases. *Behav Med Wash DC* 1992; **18**: 53–60.
- 14 Toh SG, Ang E, Devi MK. Systematic review on the relationship between the nursing shortage and job satisfaction, stress and burnout levels among nurses in oncology/haematology settings. *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2012; **10**: 126–41.
- 15 Leodoro J. Labrague, Janet De los Santos. Fear of COVID-19, psychological distress, work satisfaction and turnover intention among front line nurses. *Res Sq* 2020.

- 16Du J, Dong L, Wang T, *et al.* Psychological symptoms among frontline healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2020; published online April. DOI:10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2020.03.011.
- 17Muller AE, Hafstad EV, Himmels JPW, *et al.* The mental health impact of the covid-19 pandemic on healthcare workers, and interventions to help them: a rapid systematic review Authors: The mental health impact of the covid-19 pandemic on healthcare workers, and interventions to help them: a rapid systematic review. *medRxiv* 2020; : 2020.07.03.20145607.
- 18Kisely S, Warren N, McMahon L, Dalais C, Henry I, Siskind D. Occurrence, prevention, and management of the psychological effects of emerging virus outbreaks on healthcare workers: rapid review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020; **369**: m1642.
- 19Luo M, Guo L, Yu M, Wang H. The psychological and mental impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on medical staff and general public – A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res.* 2020; **291**. DOI:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113190.
- 20Liu Q, Luo D, Haase JE, *et al.* The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020; **8**: e790–8.
- 21Belfroid E, van Steenbergen J, Timen A, Ellerbroek P, Huis A, Hulscher M. Preparedness and the importance of meeting the needs of healthcare workers: a qualitative study on Ebola. In: *Journal of Hospital Infection*. W.B. Saunders Ltd, 2018: 212–8.
- 22Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *Gen Psychiatry* 2020; **33**. DOI:10.1136/gpsych-2020-100213.
- 23Craig J, Kalanxhi E, Hauck S. National estimates of critical care capacity in 54 African countries. *medRxiv* 2020; : 727.
- 24Selamu M, Thornicroft G, Fekadu A, Hanlon C. Conceptualisation of job-related wellbeing, stress and burnout among healthcare workers in rural Ethiopia: a qualitative study. DOI:10.1186/s12913-017-2370-5.
- 25Dubale BW, Friedman LE, Chemali Z, *et al.* Systematic review of burnout among healthcare providers in sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Public Health* 2019; **19**: 1247.
- 26Amnesty International. Global: Health workers silenced, exposed and attacked. Amnesty Int. 2020; : 1–61.
- 27Schwikowski M. COVID-19: Africa's health workers at risk. DW Akad. 2020; published online June 5. <https://www.dw.com/en/covid-19-africas-health-workers-at-risk/a-53352778> (accessed June 25, 2020).
- 28Adamu Z. Ghana coronavirus: Over 2,000 health care workers have been infected. CNN. 2020; published online July 18. <https://www.cnn.com/2020/07/18/africa/ghana-healthcare-workers-coronavirus/index.html> (accessed July 20, 2020).

- 29 World Health Organization. COVID-19 in the WHO African Region. 2020.
<https://who.maps.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/0c9b3a8b68d0437a8cf28581e9c063a9> (accessed Aug 10, 2020).
- 30 Annan AA, Yar DD, Owusu M, *et al.* Health care workers indicate ill preparedness for Ebola Virus Disease outbreak in Ashanti Region of Ghana. *BMC Public Health* 2017; **17**: 546.
- 31 Adokiya MN, Awoonor-Williams JK. Ebola virus disease surveillance and response preparedness in northern Ghana. *Glob Health Action* 2016; **9**: 29763.
- 32 Nyarko Y, Goldfrank L, Ogedegbe G, *et al.* Preparing for Ebola Virus Disease in West African countries not yet affected: Perspectives from Ghanaian health professionals. *Glob Health* 2015; **11**: 1–6.
- 33 Adongo PB, Tabong PTN, Asampong E, Ansong J, Robalo M, Adanu RM. Health workers perceptions and attitude about Ghana’s preparedness towards preventing, containing, and managing Ebola Virus Disease. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017; **17**: 266.
- 34 United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. COVID-19 in Africa: Protecting Lives and Economies. 2020.
- 35 World Health Organization. Density of physicians (total number per 10 000 population, latest available year). World Health Organ. 2019.
http://www.who.int/gho/health_workforce/physicians_density/en/ (accessed July 2, 2020).
- 36 World Health Organization. Nursing and midwifery personnel (per 10 000 population). World Health Organ. 2020. [https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-details/GHO/nursing-and-midwifery-personnel-\(per-10-000-population\)](https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators/indicator-details/GHO/nursing-and-midwifery-personnel-(per-10-000-population)) (accessed July 2, 2020).
- 37 GhanaWeb. Korle-Bu doctors threaten to strike over poor coronavirus preparedness. GhanaWeb.com. 2020; published online April 2.
<https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/NewsArchive/Korle-Bu-doctors-threaten-to-strike-over-poor-coronavirus-preparedness-912370> (accessed June 25, 2020).
- 38 GhanaWeb. Korle-Bu nurses stage sit-home strike after one tested positive for coronavirus. GhanaWeb. 2020; published online April 4.
<https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/NewsArchive/Korle-Bu-nurses-stage-sit-home-strike-after-one-tested-positive-for-coronavirus-914248> (accessed June 25, 2020).
- 39 Alessandra Prentice. Ghana health workers warn of potential COVID-19 calamity - Reuters. Reuters. 2020; published online July 9. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-ghana/ghana-health-workers-warn-of-potential-covid-19-calamity-idUSKBN24A2I3?utm_source=Global+Health+NOW+Main+List&utm_campaign=80f34739d8-EMAIL_CAMPAIGN_2020_07_09_03_01&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_8d0d062dbd-80f34739d8-861567 (accessed July 10, 2020).

- 40 Joint health sector unions and professional associations. Joint health sector unions and professional associations press release. 2020; published online July 9.
- 41 Afulani PA, Gyamerah AO, Aborigo R, *et al.* Perceived preparedness to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic: A study with healthcare workers in Ghana. *J Glob Health Sci* 2020; **In press**: 2020.07.10.20151142.
- 42 Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure on perceived stress. *Glob Meas Perceived Stress* 1983; : 385–96.
- 43 Shirom A, Melamed S. A comparison of the construct validity of two burnout measures in two groups of professionals. *Int J Stress Manag* 2006; **13**: 176–200.
- 44 Grossi G, Perski A, Osika W, Savic I. Stress-related exhaustion disorder – clinical manifestation of burnout? A review of assessment methods, sleep impairments, cognitive disturbances, and neuro-biological and physiological changes in clinical burnout. *Scand J Psychol* 2015; **56**: 626–36.
- 45 Aneshensel CS. Theory-based data analysis for the social sciences. Sage Publications, 2012.
- 46 VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis: a practitioner’s guide. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2016; **37**: 17–32.
- 47 Chersich MF, Gray G, Fairlie L, *et al.* COVID-19 in Africa: care and protection for frontline healthcare workers. *Glob. Health*. 2020; **16**: 46.
- 48 Odonkor ST, Frimpong K. Burnout among Healthcare Professionals in Ghana: A Critical Assessment. *BioMed Res Int* 2020; **2020**: 1–8.
- 49 Hu D, Kong Y, Li W, *et al.* Frontline nurses’ burnout, anxiety, depression, and fear statuses and their associated factors during the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, China: A large-scale cross-sectional study. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020; **24**. DOI:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100424.
- 50 Texas A&M University. Infecting the mind: Burnout in health care workers during COVID-19. ScienceDaily. <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/05/200513143749.htm> (accessed Aug 4, 2020).
- 51 Sasangohar F, Jones SL, Masud FN, Vahidy FS, Kash BA. Provider Burnout and Fatigue During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Lessons Learned From a High-Volume Intensive Care Unit. *Anesth Analg* 2020; **131**: 106–11.
- 52 Chew NWS, Lee GKH, Tan BYQ, *et al.* A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020; **88**: 559–65.
- 53 Abida Sultana, Rachit Sharma, Mahbub Hossain, Sudip Bhattacharya, Neetu Purohit. Burnout among healthcare providers during COVID-19: Challenges and evidence-based interventions. *Indian J Med Ethics* 2020; : 1–4.

54 Ross J. The Exacerbation of Burnout During COVID-19: A Major Concern for Nurse Safety. *J Perianesth Nurs* 2020; **35**: 439–40.

55 West CP, Dyrbye LN, Erwin PJ, Shanafelt TD. Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet* 2016; **388**: 2272–81.

Tables

Table 1a: Univariate distributions				
Variables	Stress sample		Burnout sample	
	No.	%	No.	%
Total	414	100	409	100.0
Provider type				
Doctor	82	19.8	81	19.8
Nurse/related	259	62.6	256	62.6
Other	73	17.6	72	17.6
Facility type				
Teaching hospital	109	26.3	108	26.4
Regional/district hospital	119	28.7	117	28.6
Health center/Other govt facility	125	30.2	124	30.3
Private/mission facility	61	14.7	60	14.7
Region				
Greater Accra/Ashanti	94	22.7	93	22.7
Northern region	94	22.7	92	22.5
Other Northern	96	23.2	95	23.2
Other Southern	130	31.4	129	31.5
Years of experience				
5 or less years	134	32.4	133	32.5
6 to 10 years	173	41.8	169	41.3
More than 10 years	107	25.8	107	26.2
Ages				
Less than 30	113	27.5	111	27.3
30 to 39	234	56.9	232	57.1
40 to 73	64	15.6	63	15.5
Gender				
Male	210	50.7	208	50.9
Female	204	49.3	201	49.1
No. of children				
No children	124	30.6	121	30.2
1 or 2 children	189	46.7	189	47.2
3 to 6 children	92	22.7	90	22.5
Marital status				
Single	120	29	119	29.1
Married	294	71	290	70.9
Perceived stress				
Low stress	130	31.4		
Moderate stress	266	64.3		
High stress	18	4.3		
Burnout				
No burnout			135	33.0
Low burnout			192	46.9
High burnout			82	20.0
Physical fatigue				
No fatigue			110	26.9
Low fatigue			163	39.9
High fatigue			136	33.3
Emotional exhaustion				
No exhaustion			251	61.4
Low exhaustion			97	23.7
High exhaustion			61	14.9
Cognitive weariness				
No weariness			196	47.9
Low weariness			118	28.9
High weariness			95	23.2
Preparedness				
Not at all prepared	65	15.7	63	15.4
Somewhat prepared	235	56.8	233	57.0
Prepared	114	27.5	113	27.6
Appreciation from management				
Not at all appreciative	61	14.7	58	14.2
Somewhat appreciative	173	41.8	172	42.1
Appreciative	146	35.3	145	35.5
Very appreciative	34	8.2	34	8.3
Support from management				
Not at all supportive	51	12.3	49	12.0

A little supportive	218	52.7	215	52.6
Supportive	123	29.7	123	30.1
Very supportive	22	5.3	22	5.4
Communication from management				
Very poor communication	49	11.9	47	11.5
Poor communication	136	32.9	137	33.6
Good communication	192	46.5	188	46.1
Very good communication	36	8.7	36	8.8
Fearful of contracting COVID-19				
Not fearful	53	12.8	52	12.7
A little fearful	170	41.1	169	41.3
Fearful	102	24.6	102	24.9
Very fearful	89	21.5	86	21.0
Confidence in being cared for if infected				
Not confident	181	43.7	178	43.5
A little confident	151	36.5	151	36.9
Confident	70	16.9	68	16.6
Very confident	12	2.9	12	2.9
Support from family				
Not at all supportive	24	5.8	23	5.6
A little supportive	111	26.8	108	26.4
Supportive	182	44	181	44.3
Very supportive	97	23.4	97	23.7
Ability to isolate at home if infected				
No	229	55.3	226	55.3
Somewhat	51	12.3	52	12.7
Yes	134	32.4	131	32.0
Training on COVID-19				
No	187	45.2	184	45
Yes	227	54.8	225	55
Facility has adequate PPEs				
No	312	75.4	308	75.3
Yes	28	6.8	27	6.6
I don't know	74	17.9	74	18.1
Facility has COVID-19 isolation ward				
No	125	30.3	124	30.4
Yes	275	66.6	271	66.4
I don't know	13	3.1	13	3.2
Facility has protocol for screening for COVID-19				
No	66	15.9	65	15.9
Yes	333	80.4	330	80.7
I don't know	15	3.6	14	3.4
Facility has protocol for managing COVID-19				
No	147	35.5	145	35.5
Yes	202	48.8	200	48.9
I don't know	65	15.7	64	15.6
Guidelines to report suspected COVID-19				
No	78	18.8	76	18.6
Yes	318	76.8	315	77
I don't know	18	4.3	18	4.4
Know what to do if COVID-19 suspected				
No	21	5.1	21	5.1
Somewhat	118	28.5	118	28.9
Yes	275	66.4	270	66
Know how to manage a confirmed case of COVID-19				
No	145	35.1	142	34.8
Somewhat	137	33.2	137	33.6
Yes	87	21.1	86	21.1
Not applicable to my role	44	10.7	43	10.5

Table 1b: Distribution of continuous scores for key variables

	N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Perceived stress	414	16.29	5.86	2	34
Burnout	409	2.67	1.11	1	7
Physical fatigue	409	3.11	1.27	1	7
Emotional exhaustion	409	2.16	1.25	1	7
Cognitive weariness	409	2.44	1.31	1	7
Perceived preparedness	414	24.07	8.85	3	44
Perceived preparedness	409	24.12	8.82	3	44

Table 2: Bivariate distributions

	Stress Scores					Burnout scores				
	N	Mean	Sd	β	[95% CI]	N	Mean	Sd	β	[95% CI]
Total	414	16.3	5.9			409	37.4	15.5		
Preparedness										
Not at all prepared	65	19.2	6.1	0	[0 0]	63	46.9	15.3	0	[0 0]
A little prepared	235	16.4	5.8	-2.82***	[-4.38 -1.26]	233	37.2	15.3	-9.13***	[-13.2 -5.07]
Prepared	114	14.4	5.1	-4.84***	[-6.58 -3.11]	113	32.5	13.5	-14.0***	[-18.5 -9.47]
Provider type										
Doctor	82	16.0	6.4	0	[0 0]	81	39.9	16.8	0	[0 0]
Nurse/related	259	16.4	5.7	0.43	[-1.03 1.89]	256	37.4	15.1	-2.03	[-5.88 1.82]
Other	73	16.3	5.7	0.43	[-1.42 2.28]	72	34.4	14.9	-5.37*	[-10.3 -0.49]
Facility type										
Teaching hospital	109	15.5	5.6	0	[0 0]	108	38.3	14.6	0	[0 0]
Regional/district hospital	119	16.7	5.8	1.2	[-0.33 2.72]	117	36.8	14.9	-1.05	[-5.13 3.03]
Health center/Other govt facility	125	16.5	6.1	1.1	[-0.41 2.60]	124	36.7	15.7	-1.11	[-5.11 2.89]
Private/mission facility	61	16.5	6.0	1.04	[-0.80 2.88]	60	38.2	17.7	0.32	[-4.59 5.23]
Region										
Greater Accra/Ashanti	94	17.1	5.8	0	[0 0]	93	40.1	15.3	0	[0 0]
Northern region	94	14.6	6.0	-2.46**	[-4.11 -0.80]	92	36.8	15.0	-3.69	[-8.17 0.79]
Other Northern	96	17.6	5.8	0.52	[-1.13 2.17]	95	38.2	17.1	-1.9	[-6.32 2.52]
Other Southern	130	16.0	5.6	-1.05	[-2.58 0.49]	129	35.3	14.4	-4.36*	[-8.48 -0.24]
Years of experience										
5 or less years	134	15.7	5.8	0	[0 0]	133	36.4	15.5	0	[0 0]
6 to 10 years	173	17.2	6.1	1.46*	[0.14 2.77]	169	38.5	15.7	2.72	[-0.79 6.23]
More than 10 years	107	15.6	5.4	-0.018	[-1.50 1.46]	107	36.7	15.1	0.32	[-3.63 4.26]
Ages										
Less than 30	113	15.7	5.7	0	[0 0]	111	37.7	15.1	0	[0 0]
30 to 39	234	16.8	5.9	1.06	[-0.25 2.37]	232	37.2	16.0	-0.43	[-3.94 3.08]
40 to 73	64	15.4	5.7	-0.3	[-2.09 1.50]	63	37.1	14.4	-0.78	[-5.55 3.99]
Gender										
Male	210	15.5	5.6	0	[0 0]	208	34.4	15.4	0	[0 0]
Female	204	17.1	6.0	1.52**	[0.40 2.64]	201	40.4	15.0	6.25***	[3.31 9.19]
No. of children										
No children	124	16.0	5.5	0	[0 0]	121	37.9	15.9	0	[0 0]
1 or 2 children	189	17.0	5.8	1.02	[-0.31 2.36]	189	37.5	15.4	-0.53	[-4.09 3.04]
3 to 6 children	92	15.4	6.4	-0.57	[-2.16 1.01]	90	36.3	15.6	-1.67	[-5.92 2.58]
Marital status										
Single	120	16.4	5.3	0	[0 0]	119	39.0	15.8	0	[0 0]
Married	294	16.2	6.1	-0.13	[-1.38 1.11]	290	36.7	15.3	-2.05	[-5.34 1.24]
Appreciation from management										
Not at all appreciative	61	18.3	6.2	0	[0 0]	58	46.7	19.1	0	[0 0]
Somewhat appreciative	173	17.2	5.6	-1.06	[-2.72 0.60]	172	39.1	14.4	-7.41**	[-11.8 -3.01]
Appreciative	146	15.0	5.5	-3.23***	[-4.93 -1.53]	145	33.7	13.5	-12.8***	[-17.3 -8.36]
Very appreciative	34	13.1	5.6	-5.22***	[-7.61 -2.84]	34	28.7	12.6	-18.0***	[-24.3 -11.7]
Support from management										
Not at all supportive	51	18.1	7.1	0	[0 0]	49	45.6	18.8	0	[0 0]
A little supportive	218	16.5	5.5	-1.57	[-3.35 0.20]	215	37.7	14.8	-7.41**	[-12.1 -2.72]
Supportive	123	15.5	5.7	-2.61**	[-4.51 -0.71]	123	34.2	14.0	-10.9***	[-15.9 -5.89]
Very supportive	22	14.0	6.1	-4.07**	[-6.98 -1.16]	22	33.8	16.5	-11.4**	[-19.1 -3.78]

Communication from management												
Very poor communication	49	19.3	6.7	0	[0	0]	47	45.8	15.8	0	[0	0]
Poor communication	136	16.9	5.5	-2.34*	[-4.21	-0.46]	137	39.9	15.5	-5.97*	[-11.0	-0.96]
Good communication	192	15.3	5.6	-3.97***	[-5.77	-2.17]	188	34.6	14.8	-11.3***	[-16.1	-6.45]
Very good communication	36	15.0	5.9	-4.29***	[-6.76	-1.81]	36	31.6	12.8	-13.1***	[-19.6	-6.61]
Fearful of contracting COVID-19												
Not fearful	53	13.5	5.7	0	[0	0]	52	30.2	14.4	0	[0	0]
A little fearful	170	15.6	5.6	2.22*	[0.46	3.98]	169	35.6	13.6	5.32*	[0.69	9.95]
Fearful	102	17.1	5.4	3.69***	[1.80	5.59]	102	38.5	15.5	8.32**	[3.33	13.3]
Very fearful	89	18.2	6.1	4.76***	[2.82	6.70]	86	43.8	17.1	13.5***	[8.36	18.6]
Confidence in being cared for if infected												
Not confident	181	17.4	6.3	0	[0	0]	178	40.9	16.7	0	[0	0]
A little confident	151	15.9	5.3	-1.48*	[-2.72	-0.23]	151	35.5	13.6	-4.66**	[-7.93	-1.39]
Confident	70	15.0	5.4	-2.38**	[-3.98	-0.79]	68	33.6	14.2	-7.50***	[-11.7	-3.29]
Very confident	12	12.8	5.4	-4.54**	[-7.92	-1.15]	12	30.7	16.6	-10.1*	[-19.0	-1.12]
Support from family												
Not at all supportive	24	23.5	5.9	0	[0	0]	23	54.9	17.4	0	[0	0]
A little supportive	111	16.9	5.9	-6.62***	[-9.08	-4.17]	108	39.1	15.4	-15.2***	[-21.9	-8.53]
Supportive	182	15.6	5.2	-7.98***	[-10.3	-5.61]	181	35.2	14.3	-19.4***	[-25.9	-13.0]
Very supportive	97	15.2	5.8	-8.39***	[-10.9	-5.90]	97	35.4	14.5	-19.9***	[-26.6	-13.1]
Ability to isolate at home if infected												
No	229	16.4	6.0	0	[0	0]	226	37.9	15.7	0	[0	0]
Somewhat	51	16.7	5.0	0.27	[-1.52	2.05]	52	38.9	14.7	0.46	[-4.23	5.14]
Yes	134	15.9	5.9	-0.53	[-1.78	0.72]	131	35.9	15.3	-2.07	[-5.41	1.26]
Training on COVID-19												
No	187	17.8	5.9	0	[0	0]	184	41.6	15.5	0	[0	0]
Yes	227	15.1	5.6	-2.68***	[-3.79	-1.58]	225	33.9	14.6	-7.38***	[-10.3	-4.44]
Facility has adequate PPEs												
No	312	16.3	5.7	0	[0	0]	308	37.9	15.9	0	[0	0]
Yes	28	12.9	5.2	-3.49**	[-5.74	-1.25]	27	31.7	13.6	-6.45*	[-12.6	-0.29]
I don't know	74	17.4	6.2	1.01	[-0.46	2.48]	74	37.1	14.0	-1.09	[-5.01	2.83]
Facility has COVID-19 isolation ward												
No	125	16.5	6.4	0	[0	0]	124	37.1	16.4	0	[0	0]
Yes	275	16.0	5.5	-0.51	[-1.74	0.72]	271	37.0	14.9	0.5	[-2.77	3.76]
I don't know	13	21.5	5.6	4.97**	[1.65	8.28]	13	48.9	16.3	12.1**	[3.14	21.0]
Facility has protocol for screening for COVID-19												
No	66	16.5	6.5	0	[0	0]	65	37.8	17.3	0	[0	0]
Yes	333	16.1	5.8	-0.40	[-1.94	1.15]	330	36.9	15.2	-1.75	[-5.82	2.32]
I don't know	15	19.3	2.9	2.82	[-0.46	6.10]	14	47.5	10.3	9.38*	[0.65	18.1]
Facility has protocol for managing COVID-19												
No	147	16.9	6.2	0	[0	0]	145	39.2	17.4	0	[0	0]
Yes	202	15.9	5.7	-1.00	[-2.25	0.25]	200	35.8	14.5	-3.22	[-6.51	0.073]
I don't know	65	16.3	5.4	-0.46	[-2.17	1.24]	64	38.2	13.3	-0.73	[-5.29	3.83]
Guidelines to report suspected COVID-19												
No	78	18.3	6.1	0	[0	0]	76	43.0	16.3	0	[0	0]
Yes	318	15.8	5.7	-2.42**	[-3.86	-0.98]	315	36.0	15.0	-6.80***	[-10.6	-2.98]
I don't know	18	16.0	6.2	-2.26	[-5.23	0.72]	18	36.9	15.4	-6.19	[-14.1	1.77]
Know what to do if COVID-19 suspected												
No	21	18.8	6.1	0	[0	0]	21	41.3	14.7	0	[0	0]
Somewhat	118	18.1	5.4	-0.69	[-3.35	1.96]	118	42.4	15.6	1.26	[-5.80	8.32]

Yes	275	15.3	5.8	-3.49**	[-6.03	-0.95]	270	34.9	14.9	-6.25	[-13.0	0.50]
Know how to manage a confirmed case of COVID-19												
No	145	17.1	6.0	0	[0	0]	142	39.8	16.6	0	[0	0]
Somewhat	137	16.4	5.5	-0.69	[-2.05	0.67]	137	37.4	14.8	-2.51	[-6.10	1.09]
Yes	87	14.8	5.8	-2.27**	[-3.82	-0.72]	86	34.2	14.3	-5.13*	[-9.22	-1.05]
Not applicable to my role	44	16.3	6.0	-0.80	[-2.77	1.17]	43	35.7	15.3	-4.14	[-9.32	1.04]

95% confidence intervals in brackets * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001

Table 3a: Multivariable linear regression of potential predictors on Stress

	<i>Perceived stress scores</i>								
	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	β	[95% CI]		β	[95% CI]		β	[95% CI]	
Perceived preparedness									
Not at all prepared	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Somewhat prepared	-2.95***	[-4.59	-1.31]	-2.29**	[-3.90	-0.68]	-1.89*	[-3.49	-0.30]
Prepared	-4.60***	[-6.38	-2.83]	-3.18***	[-4.99	-1.37]	-2.66**	[-4.48	-0.84]
Provider type									
Doctor	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Nurse/related	0.09	[-1.66	1.85]	0.04	[-1.66	1.74]	0.13	[-1.54	1.80]
Other	0.30	[-1.81	2.41]	0.23	[-1.81	2.26]	0.33	[-1.69	2.35]
Region									
Greater Accra/Ashanti	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Northern region	-2.67**	[-4.45	-0.89]	-2.80**	[-4.54	-1.07]	-3.04***	[-4.78	-1.30]
Other Northern	0.56	[-1.17	2.30]	0.34	[-1.38	2.07]	-0.08	[-1.81	1.66]
Other Southern	-0.75	[-2.34	0.84]	-0.87	[-2.41	0.66]	-1.18	[-2.73	0.37]
Facility type									
Teaching hospital	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Regional/district hospital	-0.10	[-1.76	1.56]	0.14	[-1.45	1.73]	0.41	[-1.17	2.00]
Health center/Other govt facility	-0.47	[-2.41	1.47]	0.08	[-1.82	1.98]	0.30	[-1.60	2.19]
Private/mission facility	-0.11	[-2.19	1.97]	0.24	[-1.80	2.28]	0.26	[-1.79	2.31]
Years of experience									
5 or less years	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
6 to 10 years	1.59*	[0.26	2.92]	1.45*	[0.12	2.77]	1.45*	[0.14	2.77]
More than 10 years	0.35	[-1.20	1.90]	0.50	[-1.04	2.04]	0.59	[-0.94	2.12]
Gender									
Male	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Female	1.16	[-0.040	2.35]	1.06	[-0.11	2.22]	0.71	[-0.45	1.87]
Marital status									
Single	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Married	-0.66	[-1.92	0.61]	-0.78	[-2.02	0.46]	-0.94	[-2.17	0.28]
Appreciation from management									
Not /somewhat appreciative				0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Appreciative/Very appreciative				-1.94**	[-3.10	-0.78]	-1.89**	[-3.05	-0.72]
Support from family									
Not/a little supportive				0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Supportive/Very Supportive				-1.88**	[-3.13	-0.64]	-1.86**	[-3.10	-0.62]
Fearful of contracting COVID-19									
Not/a little fearful							0.00	[0	0]
Fearful/Very fearful							1.89**	[0.77	3.02]
Constant	19.2***	[16.6	21.7]	20.5***	[17.9	23.1]	19.5***	[16.9	22.2]
Observations	414.00			414.00			414.00		
R-squared	0.13			0.18			0.20		

95% confidence intervals in brackets * p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001

Table 3b: Multivariable linear regression of potential predictors on burnout

	Burnout scores								
	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	β	[95% CI]		β	[95% CI]		β	[95% CI]	
Perceived preparedness									
Not at all prepared	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Somewhat prepared	-10.3***	[-14.4	-6.13]	-8.57***	[-12.7	-4.44]	-7.74***	[-11.8	-3.64]
Prepared	-14.0***	[-18.5	-9.54]	-10.3***	[-15.1	-5.52]	-9.25***	[-14.1	-4.41]
Provider type									
Doctor	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Nurse/related	-2.07	[-6.39	2.24]	-2.17	[-6.26	1.93]	-1.98	[-6.05	2.10]
Other	-4.00	[-9.11	1.12]	-4.13	[-9.05	0.80]	-3.89	[-8.80	1.02]
Region									
Greater Accra/Ashanti	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Northern region	-3.29	[-7.84	1.25]	-3.47	[-7.96	1.02]	-4.01	[-8.47	0.45]
Other Northern	0.02	[-5.09	5.13]	-0.53	[-5.57	4.51]	-1.53	[-6.49	3.43]
Other Southern	-2.68	[-6.97	1.61]	-2.97	[-7.19	1.26]	-3.69	[-7.89	0.51]
Facility type									
Teaching hospital	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Regional/district hospital	-1.58	[-5.81	2.65]	-0.80	[-4.96	3.37]	-0.20	[-4.30	3.90]
Health center/Other govt facility	-1.50	[-6.08	3.08]	0.11	[-4.48	4.70]	0.59	[-3.94	5.13]
Private/mission facility	-0.31	[-5.92	5.30]	0.79	[-4.70	6.28]	0.78	[-4.68	6.24]
Years of experience									
5 or less years	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
6 to 10 years	3.25	[-0.19	6.69]	2.92	[-0.49	6.32]	2.94	[-0.41	6.30]
More than 10 years	3.35	[-0.75	7.44]	3.77	[-0.24	7.78]	4.01*	[0.027	7.98]
Gender									
Male	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Female	4.29**	[1.22	7.35]	4.07**	[1.10	7.04]	3.28*	[0.33	6.23]
Marital status									
Single	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Married	-4.68**	[-8.07	-1.29]	-5.00**	[-8.34	-1.65]	-5.35**	[-8.60	-2.10]
Appreciation from management									
Not /somewhat appreciative				0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Appreciative/Very appreciative				-5.11**	[-8.25	-1.96]	-4.95**	[-8.07	-1.83]
Support from family									
Not/a little supportive				0.00	[0	0]	0.00	[0	0]
Supportive/Very Supportive				-4.99**	[-8.20	-1.77]	-4.90**	[-8.10	-1.71]
Fearful of contracting COVID-19									
Not/a little fearful							0.00	[0	0]
Fearful/Very fearful							4.27**	[1.40	7.13]
Constant	36.6***	[30.1	43.2]	40.1***	[33.4	46.8]	37.9***	[31.0	44.8]
Observations	409.00			409.00			409.00		
R-squared	0.15			0.19			0.21		

95% confidence intervals in brackets * p<0.05 ** p<0.01 *** p<0.001

Table 4: Mediation by fear of infection						
	Perceived stress score			Burnout score		
	β	[95% CI]		β	[95% CI]	
Preparedness score						
¹ Total effect: c	-0.12***	[-0.18	-0.054]	-0.29***	[-0.46	-0.12]
² Direct effect: c'	-0.097**	[-0.16	-0.032]	-0.24**	[-0.42	-0.070]
Mediated (Indirect) effect: c-c'	-0.020*	[-0.036	-0.0035]	-0.046*	[-0.086	-0.0065]
% of total effect mediated: [(c-c')/c] *100	17.01			15.81		
¹ Includes all variables from Model 2 in tables 3a and b, with categorical perceived preparedness variable replaced by the continuous preparedness variables						
² Includes all variables from Model 3 in tables 3a and b, with categorical perceived preparedness variable replaced by the continuous preparedness variables						