Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

1	
2	
3	Who is wearing a mask? Gender-, age-, and location-related
4	differences during the COVID-19 pandemic
5	
6	Michael H. Haischer ^{1,2} , Rachel Beilfuss ^{1,2} , Meggie Rose Hart ¹ , Lauren Opielinski ¹ , David
7	Wrucke ³ , Gretchen Zirgaitis ¹ , Toni D. Uhrich ^{1,2} , Sandra K. Hunter ^{1,2*}
8	
9 10	¹ Department of Physical Therapy, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States of America
11 12	² Athletic and Human Performance Research Center, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States of America
13 14 15	³ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States of America.
	*O and a set the set of the set

^{*}Corresponding author

17 E-mail: <u>sandra.hunter@marquette.edu</u>

18

19 Abstract

20 Masks are an effective tool in combatting the spread of COVID-19 but wearing them remains

21 controversial and has not been studied in the United States. To understand the demographics of

mask wearers in the US, we observed shoppers (n = 5517) entering retail stores. 41.5% of the

- 23 observed sample wore a mask. The odds of an individual wearing a mask increased
- significantly with age, and was also 1.5x greater for females than males. Additionally, the odds
- of observing a mask on an urban or suburban shopper were ~4x that for rural areas. Thus,
- 26 gender, age, and location factor into whether American shoppers wear a mask or face covering.
- 27 Regardless, all demographics wore masks at substantially lesser rates than required to stop the
- spread of COVID-19.

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

29 Introduction

30 Wearing a mask in public is currently a controversial and politicized issue in the United States, even with case evidence from other countries that face coverings help to control the spread of 31 32 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [1]. As Taiwan, Hong Kong, South Korea, and other 33 countries with almost universal masking have been some of the most successful at reducing 34 daily case and death rates [2.3], the United States is trending in the other direction, setting 35 national and state records for daily new cases of COVID-19 at the end of June 2020. Despite 36 the controversy, COVID-19 is a virus that can be transmitted through respiratory droplets from 37 infected individuals and even asymptomatic cases can be contagious [4]. Thus, without a 38 vaccine in distribution, masks are one of the few control measures available for protection 39 against the virus because they serve as a physical barrier between people [5,6]. Not only do 40 masks protect the wearer from aerosolized droplets, but they also provide source control, stopping large droplets coming from a wearer before they become airborne. Study of the 41 42 filtration efficiency of different fabrics has shown that even cotton weaves and blends can block viral transmission [7], so masks can be made virtually cost-free with household materials. 43 44 Though the evidence of the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of masks is clear, store policies and 45 public mandates requiring masks in America have been met with protests [8] and, in rare cases, violence [9,10]. Public health research shows these measures may already have reduced cases 46 in the United States by 450,000 through May 22nd [11], but the messaging from government 47 48 officials has been inconsistent [12] and polling suggests that a sizeable portion of the general population are still going out in public without masks [13,14]. Learning what demographic 49 50 groups are wearing masks will help officials to better target public health messaging that promotes the intervention among groups with lower usage, and self-reported behavior is not 51 52 always reliable. To facilitate greater understanding and reliable data on whether gender, age,

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

and location influence mask wearing in the United States, we conducted a direct observational
study at retail stores in southeastern Wisconsin.

Thus, the aim of our study was to determine mask use by gender expression, estimated age, and location. We hypothesized that mask use among females would be greater than males across all age groups and locations. Additionally, that mask use would be greater among older adults (>65 years old) than middle-age (30-65 years old) and young individuals (2-30 years old) and would be less in rural than in urban or suburban areas.

60 Materials and Methods

We answered our research question by visiting 36 different retail locations across five different counties in southeastern Wisconsin. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the impact of gender, age, location, and their interactions on mask wearing.

64 Retail stores selected for observation were based largely on geographical convenience to 65 researchers but spread across Milwaukee and the surrounding area was also considered. In the interest of exploring mask wearing among urban, suburban, and rural stores, we designated a 66 67 center point of the city (345 W St. Paul Ave, Milwaukee, WI, USA) and recorded the linear 68 distance of each store to the city center. Based on distance, stores were then placed into urban 69 (<6.1 km from city center; n = 15, 3.3 ± 1.8 km to city center), suburban (11.5-32.1 km; n = 12, 20.5 ± 7.2 km), and rural (>36.9 km; $n = 9, 55.8 \pm 21.4$ km) store groups. Visits to stores (n =70 36) occurred at various times between 9am and 8pm (June 3rd-9th, 2020) and typically lasted 71 72 less than an hour, with observers recording data with writing utensil and paper or mobile device. 73 Stores consisted of grocery and other large big-box retail stores and shoppers were not aware 74 they were being observed. Children under the estimated age of two were not recorded. 75 Additionally, 60 individuals who were wearing their mask or face covering improperly (not over 76 nose and mouth) were recorded but excluded from further analysis as they could not be

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

77 grouped into a dichotomous outcome (mask/no mask). 5517 observations remained, and data summary sheets were crosschecked by other observers prior to analyzing differences in the 78 79 odds of wearing a mask between gender and across age and location. All procedures involved 80 public observation or accessed public information and did not require review by an Institutional Review Board. 81 82 Mask wearing was treated as a binary dependent variable in this study, so a multiple logistic 83 regression analysis was used to evaluate adjusted odds ratios of wearing a mask in different sample subgroups. Gender expression, age, and location independent variables were dummy 84 85 coded and entered into a backward elimination procedure with their associated interactions 86 (gender-age, gender-location, age-location, and gender-age-location). Limit for variable removal and test classification cutoff were set at 0.025 and 0.5, respectively. Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) 87 88 are expressed with respect to reference groups (gender: male, age: young, location: rural) with 89 95% confidence intervals and significance was determined at p < 0.05. All analyses were 90 performed with IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 26 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). 91

92 **Results and Discussion**

Of the 5517 individuals we observed (Fig 1), 41.5% were wearing a mask or face covering with the general trend across gender, age, and location largely aligning with our original hypotheses (Table 1). Females wore masks 7.6% more than males (Fig 2A). Additionally, masks were seen at a higher percentage in older than middle-age (+16.1%) and young (+19.8%) individuals (Fig 2B). Urban and suburban mask wearing was similar but was much lower at rural stores (Suburban: -28.7%; Urban: -26.4%; 55.81 ± 21.37 km) (Fig 2C).

Regression analysis revealed that gender, age, and location all significantly impact the odds of
an individual being observed to wear a mask (p < 0.001; Fig 3). Results indicate the odds of a

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

101 female wearing a mask are significantly greater than males (aOR = 1.470, 95% CI = 1.313-102 1.646). Odds are also greater for middle age (aOR = 1.597, 95% CI = 1.359-1.877) and older 103 adults (aOR = 3.434, 95% CI = 2.811-4.195) than younger individuals. The odds of observing 104 someone in urban (aOR = 3.847, 95% CI = 3.157-4.689) or suburban (aOR = 4.124, 95% CI = 105 3.418-4.975) areas wearing a mask is also much higher than in rural locations, reflecting the 106 much lower prevalence of masks seen at rural stores. The significant age-location interaction 107 effect (p < 0.001) is largely driven by differential changes in mask-wearing behavior between 108 older adults and the other age groups (Fig 2D).

109 Though no peer reviewed, and direct, observational studies of mask wearing are available for 110 comparison, our data can be discussed in relation to national polls and surveys that address 111 mask wearing. A recent survey of adults in Wisconsin and four other surrounding states 112 indicated 45.1% always wear a mask when they leave home [13], and data in our study lends credibility to this number. Notably, other regions of the United States surveyed reported different 113 114 habits (33.5-64% mask usage) so direct observational studies in other parts of the country 115 would be beneficial to confirm national variability. Another poll conducted in mid-April indicated 116 that only 36% of adults always wear a mask or face covering when outside the home [14], so 117 perhaps usage nation-wide is gradually improving. Women also reported wearing a mask more 118 often than men. So why are men wearing masks in public places less than women? Perhaps 119 masks are viewed as a sign of fragility or weakness among some men in the USA, as 120 suggested in previous preprint work [15]. Public health messaging that focuses on aligning 121 masks with masculinity would likely be beneficial to improve usage among males in the United 122 States.

123 It is not surprising that our data show older individuals wear masks more than middle-age and
124 young people because older adults are at higher risk for more severe cases of COVID-19.
125 However, the low percentage of young individuals wearing a mask combined with their potential

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

126	to be asymptomatic [16] creates problems for case containment. A key and underreported
127	benefit of masks is source control, so mask wearing is important across all age groups. Lower-
128	risk individuals put older adults and those with preexisting conditions of all ages at risk of severe
129	illness by not wearing a mask due to the potential for asymptomatic viral transmission.
130	One of the most interesting findings of the current study is the evidence of drastically different
131	mask-wearing behavior in rural areas compared with urban and suburban shoppers. The odds
132	of urban and suburban shoppers wearing a mask is about 4x greater than for rural store-goers,
133	possibly reflecting the fact that individuals shopping in rural areas perceive lower risk. However,
134	it has been previously reported that mask-wearing habits do not appreciably differ between
135	counties with low and high numbers of COVID-related deaths [14]. Further, while living
136	population density may be lower in rural regions, the size of retail stores is relatively uniform.
137	Thus, differences in the density of shoppers in stores across regions is likely far less than the
138	actual population density differences reflect. Understanding why rural shoppers are wearing
139	masks less across all age groups is an important issue because the health care system in these
140	areas of the United States may be less equipped to handle large spikes in cases. Rural
141	residents may also need to travel further for emergency care, putting them at greater risk for
142	severe consequences of infection. For these reasons, public health messaging that promotes
143	masks in rural communities is very important.

144 **Conclusions**

Masks are an essential public health intervention for combatting the pandemic, and averting cases of COVID-19 will save lives. To that end, masks should not symbolize frailty or fragility of the individual, but a public health service. Wearing a mask is not about stopping transmission of all cases but minimizing case rates and lessening the public health burden. Importantly, the long-term effects of COVID-19 are still unknown so mask wearing is also vital to reduce burden

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

150	from future comorbidities that may result within individuals who were infected. Modeling studies
151	suggest mask usage needs to be to nearly universal (>80%) to have a significant effect on the
152	epidemiological curve [17,18]. Based on our data, we conclude that public health messaging
153	and mask designers may benefit from marketing to males, younger individuals, and rural
154	communities as these populations tend to wear masks less. Less than 50% of individuals
155	observed in our sample were wearing a mask, however, indicating stronger messaging that
156	advocates mask wearing is desperately needed across all demographic groups. As officials
157	around the United States work to take control of the COVID-19 pandemic by putting mask
158	mandates in place at local and state levels, information that about half of population needed to
159	control the virus are currently wearing masks serves as useful baseline knowledge and confirms
160	that major improvements can be made. Individuals may also consider this information in
161	conjunction with store policies to evaluate the potential risks of in-person shopping based on
162	location and the age and gender of the store's clientele.

163

164 **References**

- Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. The
 species severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV
 and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol. 2020;5: 536–544.
- Prather KA, Wang CC, Schooley RT. Reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Science.
 2020;368(6498): 1422-1424.
- Stutt ROJH, Retkute R, Bradley M, Gilligan CA, Colvin J. A modelling framework to
 assess the likely effectiveness of facemasks in combination with 'lock-down' in
 managing the COVID-19 pandemic. Proc R Soc A. 2020;476: 20200376.
- He X, Lau EHY, Wu P, Deng W, Wang J, Hao X, et al. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med. 2020;26(5): 672-675.
- Greenhalgh T. Face coverings for the public: Laying straw men to rest. J Eval Clin Pract.
 2020; e13415.
- Greenhalgh T, Schmid MB, Czypionka T, Bassler D, Gruer L. Face masks for the public during the COVID-19 crisis. BMJ (Clinical research ed.). 2020;369: m1435.

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ. Physical distancing,
 face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV 2 and COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2020;395(10242):
 1973-1987.
- B. Gutierrez M. Anti-vaccine activists, mask opponents target public health officials at their homes. Los Angeles Times. 2020 June 18 [Cited 2020 July 2]. Available from: <u>https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-06-18/anti-mask-protesters-target-county-</u> health-officers
- 187 9. Kesslen B. Black mayor of Kansas city says he was called N-word, received death threat 188 over mask rule. NBC News. 2020 June 30 [Cited 2020 July 2]. Available from: 189 <u>https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/black-mayor-kansas-city-says-he-was-called-</u> 190 <u>n-word-n1232514</u>
- 19110.Rubenstein S. No mask, no service. McDonald's customer attacks drive-through worker192over safety rule. San Francisco Chronicle. 2020 July 2 [Cited 2020 July 3]. Available193from: https://www.sfchronicle.com/crime/article/No-mask-no-service-McDonald-s-194customer-15383603.php
- 19511.Lyu W, Wehby GL. Community use of face masks and COVID-19: Evidence from a196natural experiment of state mandates in the US. Health Affairs, 2020;8: 1-7.
- Breslow J. Fauci: Mixed messaging on masks set U.S. public health response back.
 NPR. 2020 July 1 [Cited 2020 July 2]. Available from: <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2020/07/01/886299190/it-does-not-have-to-</u> <u>be-100-000-cases-a-day-fauci-urges-u-s-to-follow-guidelines</u>
- 20113.Enten H. The Northeast leads the country in mask-wearing. CNN. 2020 June 26 [Cited2022020 July 2]. Available from: https://www.cnn.com/2020/06/26/politics/maskwearing-203coronavirus-analysis/index.html
- Ritter Z, Brenan M. New April guidelines boost perceived efficacy of face masks. Gallup.
 205 2020 May 13 [Cited 2020 July 2]. Available from: <u>https://news.gallup.com/poll/310400/new-april-guidelines-boost-perceived-efficacy-face-</u> <u>masks.aspx</u>
- Capraro V, Barcelo H, The effect of messaging and gender on intentions to wear a face covering to slow down COVID-19 transmission. PsyarXiv [Preprint]. 2020 psyarXiv tg7vz
 [posted 2020 May 11; revised 2020 May 16; cited 2020 July 2]: [19 p.]. Available from: https://psyarxiv.com/tg7vz doi:10.31234/osf.io/tg7vz
- Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, CMMID COVID-19 working group, Eggo RM.
 Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. Nat
 Med. 2020; s41591-020-0962-9.
- Fisman DN, Greer AL, Tuite AR. Bidirectional impact of imperfect mask use on
 reproduction number of COVID-19: A next generation matrix approach. Infectious
 Disease Modeling. 2020 July 4. doi: 10.1016/j.idm.2020.06.004.
- 18. Vadiveloo J. This simple model shows the importance of wearing masks and social
 distancing. The Conversation. 2020 June 26 [Cited 2020 July 2]. Available from:
 <u>https://theconversation.com/this-simple-model-shows-the-importance-of-wearing-masks-</u>
 and-social-distancing-140423
- 222

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

223 Fig 1. Mask-wearing percentages by Wisconsin county. Data shown indicates the number of

224 observations collected (percentage of people wearing a mask) in each county where retail

stores were visited. I: City center location used for identifying urban, suburban, and ruralstores.



Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

228 Fig 2. Mask-wearing percentages across gender, age, and location. A. Females wear

229 masks more than males. **B**. Older adults wear masks more than other individuals. **C**. Mask-

230 wearing habits are similar in urban and suburban areas, but usage drops off considerably at

rural stores. **D**. Mask wearing plotted by location highlights how the mask-wearing behavior of

older adults (O) is less impacted by shopping in a rural area than the behavior of young (Y) and

233 middle-age (MA) individuals.



Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

Fig 3. Odds of wearing a mask. Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and 95% confidence interval plots of mask usage for gender expression, age, and location. Odds ratios are expressed in relation to reference groups (gender: male; age: young; location: rural).

		1	11		Fav	Favors Mask-Wearing -					
	aOR	95% Cl	95% Cl	Sig. 1	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0		
Female	1.470	1.313	1.646	<0.001	-						
Middle Age	1.597	1.359	1.877	<0.001	_	-					
Older	3.434	2.811	4.195	<0.001			_	_			
Urban	3.847	3.157	4.689	<0.001			-		-		
Suburban	4.124	3.418	4.975	<0.001	_						

Short Title: Who is wearing a mask?

	You	ng	Middle	Age	Older		
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	
Urban	0.879	0.639	1.022	0.863	2.115	1.049	
Suburban	0.886	0.567	1.257	0.763	2.113	1.468	
 Rural	0.076	0.117	0.287	0.172	1.094	0.600	

Table 1. Observed odds $\left(\frac{mask}{n_0 mask}\right)$ of wearing a mask by age and location*

* Odds > 1 show odds of wearing a mask are greater than not wearing a mask