medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title Page

Running Title: Intravenous Immunoglobulin of COVID-19

Effectiveness of Intravenous Immunoglobulin for Children with Severe COVID-19: A Rapid Review

Jingyi Zhang[1,2*], Yinmei Yang[3*], Nan Yang[2*], Yanfang Ma[2], Qi Zhou[4], Weiguo Li[5,6,7], Xia Wang[3], Liping Huang[3], Xufei Luo[1,2], Toshio Fukuoka[8,9], Hyeong Sik Ahn[10,11], Myeong Soo Lee[12,13], Zhengxiu Luo[5,6,7], Yaolong Chen[2,14,15,16], Enmei Liu[5,6,7], Kehu Yang[1,2,16#], Zhou Fu[5,6,7#]; on behalf of COVID-19 evidence and recommendations working group

1. School of Public Health, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
2. Evidence-based Medicine Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
3. Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400014, China
4. The First School of Clinical Medicine, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
5. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400014, China
6. National Clinical Research Center for Child Health and Diseases, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Child Development and Disorders, China International Science and Technology Cooperation Base of Child Development and Critical Disorders, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400014, China
7. Chongqing Key Laboratory of Pediatrics, Chongqing 400014, China
8. Emergency and Critical Care Center, the Department of General Medicine, Department of Research and Medical Education at Kurashiki Central Hospital, Japan
9. Advisory Committee in Cochrane Japan, Japan
10. Department of Preventive Medicine, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea
11. Korea Cochrane Centre, Korea
12. Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine, Daejeon, Korea
13. University of Science and Technology, Daejeon, Korea
14. Lanzhou University, an Affiliate of the Cochrane China Network, Lanzhou 730000, China
15. Chinese GRADE Center, Lanzhou 730000, China
16. Key Laboratory of Evidence Based Medicine and Knowledge Translation of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, China

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Zhou Fu. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing 400014, China. E-mail: fu_zhou79@aliyun.com; Kehu Yang. School of Public Health, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China. E-mail: kehuyangebm2006@126.com.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background: Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) is usually used as supportive therapy, but the treatment of COVID-19 by IVIG is controversial. This rapid review aims to explore the clinical effectiveness and safety of IVIG in the treatment of children with severe COVID-19.

Methods: We systematically searched the literature on the use of IVIG in patients with COVID-19, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), including both adults and children. We assessed the risk of bias and quality of evidence and reported the main findings descriptively.

Results: A total of 1519 articles were identified by initial literature search, and finally six studies, included one randomized controlled trial (RCT), four case series and one case report involving 198 patients. One case series showed the survival of COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) was not improved by IVIG. One case report showed high-dose IVIG could improve the outcome of COVID-19 adults. Three observational studies showed inconsistent results of the effect of IVIG on SARS patients. One RCT showed that IVIG did not reduce mortality or the incidence of nosocomial infection in adults with severe SARS. The quality of evidence was between low and very low.

Conclusions: The existing evidence is insufficient to support the efficacy or safety of IVIG in the treatment of COVID-19.

Keywords: COVID-19; children; intravenous immunoglobulin; rapid review.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), first reported in China on December, 2019, is an infectious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1). SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the family of coronaviruses, which are enveloped viruses that can cause illnesses ranging from common cold to severe diseases such as SARS and MERS (2,3). The COVID-19 epidemic massively influences the public health and people's daily lives, and the disease was declared a pandemic on 11 March 2020 (4). All populations are susceptible to infection and there is a research shows children are as likely to be infected as adults (5). On February 11, 2020, there were 44672 confirmed cases in mainland China, of whom 416 were under the age of 10 years and 549 between the ages of 10 to 19 years (6). The main symptoms in children are fever and cough, and the disease is on average less severe in children than adults (7). However, severe cases have been reported also in children (8). So far, there has been no specific treatment for COVID-19, antiviral therapy and vaccination are currently under development (9,10).

IVIG is prepared from the plasma of healthy humans and usually used as supportive therapy. Its main component is immunoglobulin (Ig) G, which has dual therapeutic effects of immune-modulation effects and immune substitution (11). IVIG is one of the alternative treatments for children with agammaglobulinemia, and an effective treatment of Kawasaki disease (12,13). IVIG was used to treat SARS patients during the SARS outbreak in 2003 (14,15), but there is no convincing evidence of its effectiveness.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

According to recent reports, about 33% of patients with severe COVID-19 received IVIG in China (16). Some published guidelines of COVID-19 have indicated that IVIG could be used to treat children with severe or critical disease (17).

The purpose of this study is to perform a comprehensive rapid review to explore whether it is beneficial to treat children with severe COVID-19 with IVIG and provide supporting evidence support for COVID-19 guidelines. Because of the urgent situation, the review was not registered (18).

Methods

Search strategy

We carried out a comprehensive search in the following electronic databases: the Cochrane library, MEDLINE (via PubMed), EMBASE, Web of Science, China Biology Medicine disc (CBM), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wanfang Data, by using the terms "COVID-19", "SARS-CoV-2", "Novel coronavirus", "2019-novel coronavirus", "2019-nCoV", "SARS", "MERS", "IVIG", "intravenous immunoglobulin" and their derivatives. The search covered the time from each database's inception to March 31, 2020. The search strategies are determined by multiple pre-searches and will be discussed with the clinicians about the appellation of disease and IVIG. We also searched the World Health Organization Clinical Trials Registry Platform, ISRCTN Registry, ClinicalTrials, Google Scholar, three preprint services, including medRxiv (https://www.medrxiv.org/), bioRxiv (https://www.biorxiv.org/) and SSRN

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

(https://www.ssrn.com/index.cfm/en/) and references of included studies. The details of the search strategy can be found in the ***Supplementary Material 1***.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included RCTs that compared IVIG treatment (standard intravenous immunoglobulin preparations, excluding IgM-enriched immunoglobulin, hyperimmune immunoglobulin and specific immunoglobulin from convalecent plasma) with a control group (placebo or no treatment with IVIG), and cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, case-control studies, case series and cases report that can distinguish the corresponding outcomes caused by IVIG. The inclusion of COVID-19 adult patients and patients with SARS or MERS helps to provide indirect evidence, if studies on COVID-19 in children are scarce. Studies with all patients diagnosed with COVID-19, SARS or MERS were included, without restrictions on age, race, gender, or geographical location or setting. The primary outcomes were the risk of death and severity of the disease. Secondary outcomes included the incidence of nosocomial infection, the duration of hospitalization, clinical symptoms, absorption of lung lesions, improvement in abnormal biochemical indicators, and adverse effects. We excluded duplicates, conference abstracts, comments and letters, studies published in languages other than English or Chinese, and studies where we could not access the full text.

Study selection

After eliminating duplicates by EndNote software and manual check, two reviewers (J Zhang and Y Yang) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of records retrieved

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

from the search and selected all potentially relevant studies according to the pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. After this, the same reviewers screened the full texts and made the final selection. A pilot search was conducted before the full screening of the literature to ensure that each researcher understood the screening criteria and process. Disagreements about selection of studies were resolved by consulting a third party (N Yang). The process of study selection was documented using a PRISMA flow diagram (19).

Data extraction

Two reviewers (J Zhang and Y Yang) independently extracted the following data from included trials using a standardized extraction sheet: 1) basic information (year of publication, first author and affiliation, journal, funding, conflict of interest); 2) study details (type of study, sample size, research purpose, research population characteristics, interventions; and 3) outcome data. A pre-test was conducted before formal extraction to ensure that each researcher agreed with the extraction criteria and process. Disagreements were solved through discussion with a third researcher (N Yang).

Risk of bias assessment

Two reviewers (J Zhang and Y Ma) assessed the quality of the included studies independently. We used the Cochrane bias risk assessment tool (Risk of bias) to assess the randomized controlled trials and clinical controlled trials (20), the criteria recommended by the National Institute of Health and Clinical Optimization (NICE) for case series to assess the risk of bias (21), the Joanna Briggs Institute'(JBI) case report

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

quality appraisal tool for case reports(22) Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) for the quality of cohort studies and case-control studies (23), and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) tool for cross-sectional studies (24).

Data synthesis

For dichotomous outcomes we calculated the risk ratio (RR) and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) and *P* value. For continuous outcomes, we calculated the mean difference (MD) and its corresponding 95% CI when means and standard deviations (SD) were reported. If sufficient data were available, we considered examined the robustness of meta-analyses in a sensitivity analysis.When effect sizes could not be pooled, we reported the study effectives narratively.

Quality of the evidence assessment

The quality of the body of evidence was graded using the GRADE method (25,26). Evidence from randomized trials could be downgraded by the following five factors: risk of bias, inconsistency of results, indirectness of evidence, imprecision of results, and publication bias. The quality of evidence for each outcome was graded as high, medium, low, or very low. The results of the grading were presented in "GRADE evidence profile" (27-30).

Results

We identified 1519 articles in the initial literature search (*Figure 1*). After removing duplicates, we screened the titles and abstracts of 1405 records. Thirty-one articles were retrieved for full-text reviewing. Finally, one RCT, four case series and one case report involving a total of 198 patients were included for rapid review (31-36).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The studies were published between 2004 and 2020, and all studies were from China (*Table 1 and Table 3*). We found one case series on IVIG in COVID-19 adults with ARDS, one case report in COVID-19 adults, one randomized controlled trial of 44 adults with severe SARS, which included 25 adults with acute lung injury (ALI) and 19 adults with ARDS), one case series involving children with SARS, and two case series also involving adults with SARS. The outcomes of the studies were the duration of fever, total peripheral blood WBC, time of the lung lesions subsided obviously, adverse effects, WBC counts, platelet counts, serum globulin, the incidence of nosocomial infection, the risk of death, survival probability and the progression of disease cascade. In all studies IVIG was used before or in combination with other drugs and treatment (such as antibiotics, glucocorticoids, antivirals, oxygen therapy). The IVIG dose and duration of use differed across studies.

Risk of bias for included studies

We found a high risk of bias in random sequencing, allocation concealment and blinding in the only included RCT. All case series had a moderate risk (score 4 to 5 out of 8), one case report meeting 8 of the 8 items of the JBI quality appraisal tool (*Table 1*).

Quality of the evidence

The quality of evidence for all outcomes assessed in the only included RCT was graded low (*Table 2*), primarily due to serious risk of bias and imprecision. As we included four case series, we judged that reporting a 'GRADE evidence profile' would not be meaningful. Overall, the quality of evidence was very low for most outcomes and cannot thus provide a reliable indication of any likely effect across outcomes.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

COVID-19

A case series of 109 adults with COVID-19 reported that most patients used antibiotics and antiviral treatment, and over half of the patients were given glucocorticoid therapy and IVIG. The survival probability of patients with ARDS could not be improved by antiviral, glucocorticoid, or immunoglobulin treatment. The risk of death was not associated with the use of IVIG in the patients with ARDS (31).A case report of three adults with COVID-19 reported that a high dose IVIG (25 g/d for 5 days) administered at the appropriate point could successfully block the progression of disease cascade (result of the clinical symptoms, laboratory inspection indicators and chest CT scan), and finally improve the outcome of COVID 19 (32).

SARS

The incidence of nosocomial infection and the risk of death

The randomized controlled trial of 44 adults with severe SARS found no significant difference in the risk of death (18.1% vs. 23.8%) or the incidence of nosocomial infection (65.2% vs. 52.4%) between adults treated either with IVIG or with conventional treatment. And there was no significant difference in the incidence of nosocomial infection between ALI (50.0% vs. 38.5%) and ARDS (81.8% vs. 75.0%) patients (36).

Laboratory inspection

One case series reported the patients with SARS who did not receive steroids for severe hemocytopenia had increased WBC counts and platelet counts after undergoing IVIG (34). Another case series reported the children with persistent fever who were given

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

IVIG had significantly improved total peripheral blood WBC after undergoing IVIG (33). The included RCT showed the serum globulin increased slightly in the IVIG group, but decreased in the conventional treatment group, the difference was not significant (36).

The duration of fever

One case series included ten children with persistent fever who were given IVIG. The body temperature ranged between 38.4℃ and 40℃ at baseline and the duration of fever was 1 to 4 days after IVIG (33).

Imaging testing

One case series reported that chest radiographs in children who were given IVIG showed more patchy focal asymmetric infiltrative shadows, more rapid time of the lung lesions subsided obviously than in a randomly selected, age- and sex-matched control group of 20 children without IVIG (33).

Adverse effects

One case series reported no adverse effects associated with IVIG , when IVIG was used in the patients who had high fever or other obvious poisoning symptoms, who were in the early stage of the disease, who were treated with glucocorticoids, or whose white blood cell counts below 3.0×10^9 /L (35).

Discussion

We only found limited evidence about the use of IVIG to treat children or adults with severe COVID-19. Since SARS and COVID-19 belong to the same family of viruses,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

we used IVIG treatment of SARS as indirect evidence, even though the quality of the included studies was generally low. The results were also inconsistent, and no benefit was found in the only identified randomized controlled trial.

An earlier systematic review of treatment effects with SARS concluded that although four studies suggested an improvement in the patients' condition after IVIG treatment, more controlled trials are needed to provide evidence of the potential benefits on IVIG against SARS. The results of the review are roughly in line with our findings, more high-quality evidence about the benefits and disadvantages of IVIG for COVID-19 and SARS are needed (37).

There was no apparent benefit from IVIG, despite it being used to treat other respiratory infections. A meta-analysis of seven RCTs in children aged less than three years with respiratory syncytial virus infection found no evidence of differences between children treated with immunoglobulin or with placebo in the risk of death (RR=0.87, 95% CI: 0.14,5.27) or serious adverse events (RR=1.08, 95% CI: 0.65,1.79), or in the duration of hospitalization (MD=-0.70, 95% CI: -1.83,0.42) (38). SARS belongs to the category of systemic inflammatory response syndromes (SIRS), and severe SARS often manifests as ALI, ARDS and progresses to severe sepsis (39,40). The course of COVID-19 may also be similar. A meta-analysis of nine RCTs showed that IVIG did not reduce the mortality (OR=0.95, 95% CI: 0.80,1.13), length of hospital stay (MD=-4.08, 95% CI: -6.47,-1.69]), or the risk of death or major disability before two years of age (RR=0.98,95% CI: 0.88,1.09]) in infants with suspected or confirmed

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

infection, compared with placebo or no intervention (41).

IVIG is prepared from pools of plasma obtained from several thousand healthy blood donors. Unlike convalescent plasma from patients with COVID-19, IVIG does not contain SARS-Cov-2 neutralizing antibody (42,43). The review showed that there was no evidence that IVIG has an effect on anti-MERS-CoV, or that IVIG would cause kidney failure or thrombosis in patients with MERS (44). IVIG could increase the risk of vaccination delay. A study by National Advisory Committee on Immunization and the American Advisory Committee on Immunization showed a delay of five months of varicella vaccine in patients who received IVIG and varicella immune globulin (VZIG) (45). IVIG may increase the risk of infections transmitted by transfusion (42). Some adverse effects, such as thrombosis, aseptic meningitis, hemolysis, and renal failure, are mainly associated with the use of high-dose IVIG (46).

The condition, dose and duration of IVIG were inconsistent between studies, the efficacy, and the associated adverse effects remain unclear. The first severe case of COVID-19 in children in China took IVIG with a dose of 400 mg/kg for a duration of five days (8). The recommended dosage of IVIG for children with severe COVID-19 was also inconsistent in different guidelines, including 1.0g/kg/d for 2 days, or 400mg/kg/d for 5 days, 0.2g/kg/d for 3~5 days, or 1~2g/kg for 2~3 days (47-49). Therefore, it is particularly important and urgent to study the benefits and disadvantages of IVIG treatment in children with COVID-19. It is promising that a trial addressing efficacy and safety of IVIG therapy in patients with severe or critical COVID-19

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

disease has been registered on (50). Randomized, double-blinded, large sample, multicenter clinical trials on children are urgently needed for getting scientific evidence to support clinical decision-making.

Strength and limitations

This is the first systematic review of IVIG treatment for children with COVID-19. There are several limitations in this systematic review. First, the use of glucocorticoids or a combination of a variety of broad-spectrum antibiotics before IVIG may lead to changes in the microecology of the body, affect the immune regulation function, and thus also affect the effect of IVIG. Second, the total sample size of this study was insufficient to make strong conclusions, and the quality of the methodology was generally low which affect the certainty of the results. Finally, we may have missed some studies as we only included studies published in Chinese and English.

Conclusion

There is no direct evidence for IVIG in children with COVID-19, current evidence is insufficient to assess the effectiveness and safety of IVIG for children with severe COVID-19. Therefore, we cannot suggest use of IVIG for the treatment of COVID-19 in children. More clinical studies to address this topic are needed.

Author Contributions

(I) Conception and design: Y Chen, and E Liu; (II) Administrative support: K Yang and Z Fu; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: J Zhang, Y Yang; (IV) Collection

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

and assembly of data: Y Yang and N Yang; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: Y Ma and J Zhang; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Acknowledgments

We thank Janne Estill, Institute of Global Health of University of Geneva for providing guidance and comments for our review. We thank all the authors for their wonderful collaboration.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from National Clinical Research Center for Child Health and Disorders (Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China) (grant number NCRCCHD-2020-EP-01); Special Fund for Key Research and Development Projects in Gansu Province in 2020; The fourth batch of "Special Project of Science and Technology for Emergency Response to COVID-19" of Chongqing Science and Technology Bureau. Special funding for prevention and control of emergency of COVID-19 from Key Laboratory of Evidence Based Medicine and Knowledge Translation of Gansu Province (grant number No. GSEBMKT-2020YJ01).

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Reference

1. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020; 382:727-33.
2. Chan JFW, Kok KH, Zhu Z, et al. Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting Wuhan. Emerg Microbes Infect 2020;9:221-36.
3. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 2020;395:565-74.
4. The World Health Organization, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic[Internet]. World Health Organization; c2020 [cited 2020 Apr 13]. Available online: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019
5. Bi Q, Wu Y, Mei S, et al. Epidemiology and Transmission of COVID-19 in Shenzhen China: Analysis of 391 cases and 1,286 of their close contacts. MedRxiv 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 13]. Available online: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.03.20028423v3
6. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA 2020;323:1239-1242.
7. Wang X, Yuan J, Zheng Y, et al. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of 34 children with 2019 novel coronavirus infection in Shenzhen. Chin J Pediatr 2020;58:E008.
8. Chen F, Liu Z, Zhang F, et al. The first case of children with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia in China. Chin J Pediatr 2020;58.
9. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and corona virus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020: 105924.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

10. Han Q, Lin Q, Jin S, et al. Recent insights into 2019-nCoV: a brief but comprehensive review. J Infect , 2020.
11. Ferrara G, Zumla A, Maeurer M. Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) for refractory and difficult-to-treat infections. Am J Med 2012;125(10):1036.e1-1036.e8.
12. Björkander J, Nikoskelainen J, Leibl H, et al. Prospective open□label study of pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety of a new 10% liquid intravenous immunoglobulin in patients with hypo□or agammaglobulinemia. Vox sanguinis 2006; 90: 286-93.
13. Crayne CB, Mitchell C, Beukelman T. Comparison of second-line therapy in IVIg-refractory Kawasaki disease: a systematic review. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J 2019;17:77.
14. Hui DSC. An overview on severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2005; 63(3).
15. Zeng H. Diagnosis and treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome in children. J Appl Clin Pediatr 2003;12:940-3.
16. Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in China. MedRxiv 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 13]. Available online: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.06.20020974v1
17. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Diagnosis and treatments of pneumonia infected by novel coronavirus (trial version 7). 2020. [cited 2020 Mar 26]. Available online: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/s7653p/202003/46c9294a7dfe4cef80dc7f5912eb1989.shtml
18. Ge L, Tian J, Li Y, et al. Association between prospective registration and overall reporting and methodological quality of systematic reviews: a meta epidemiological study. J Clin Epidemiol 2018;93:45-55.
19. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009;339: b2535.
20. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.0 (updated July 2019). Cochrane, 2019. Available online: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
21. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2003 [cited 2020 Mar 26]. Available online: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg3/documents/appendix-4-quality-of-case-series-form2.
22. The Joanna Briggs Institute. The Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2016.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Adelaide, SA Australia: The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2016.

23. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 2010;25:603-5.
24. Nieva VF, Sorra J. Safety Culture Assessment: A Tool for Improving Patient Safety in Healthcare Organizations. Quality and Safety in Health Care 2003;12:ii17-23.
25. Norris SL, Meerpohl JJ, Akl EA, et al. The skills and experience of GRADE methodologists can be assessed with a simple tool. J Clin Epidemiol 2016;79:150-8.
26. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924-6.
27. Guyatt G H, Oxman A D, Montori V, et al. GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence—publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64: 1277-82.
28. Guyatt G H, Oxman A D, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence—imprecision. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64;1283-93.
29. Guyatt G H, Oxman A D, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence—inconsistency. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64: 1294-302.
30. Guyatt G H, Oxman A D, Kunz R, et al. GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence—indirectness. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64: 1303-10.
31. Liu Y, Sun W, Li J, et al. Clinical features and progression of acute respiratory distress syndrome in coronavirus disease 2019. MedRxiv 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 13]. Available online: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.17.20024166v3
32. Cao W, Liu X, Bai T, et al. High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin as a therapeutic option for deteriorating patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7:ofaa102.
33. Zeng H, Liu L, Wang B, et al. Clinical analysis on treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome in children with intravenous Immunoglobulin. J Clin Pediatr 2003;21:533-534,585.
34. Wang J, Sheng W, Fang C, et al. Clinical Manifestations, Laboratory Findings, and Treatment Outcomes of SARS Patients. Emerg Infect 2004;10:818-24.
35. Wu W, Wang J, Liu P, et al. Clinical features of 96 patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome from a hospital outbreak. Chin J of intern med 2003;42:453-7.
36. Wu L, Xiao Z, Li Y. Intravenous Immunoglobulin was assisted treatment of 23 cases of severe infectious atypical pneumonia. J Jinan Univ (Natural Science & Medicine Edition) 2005;26:826-8.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

37. Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: Systematic Review of Treatment Effects. PLoS Med 2006;3:e343.
38. Sanders SL, Agwan S, Hassan M, et al. Imunoglobulin treatment for hospitalised infants and young children with respiratory syncytial virus infection. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2019;8:CD009417.
39. Levy MM, Baylor MS, Bernard GR, et al. Clinical Issues and Research in Respiratory Failure from Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome[J]. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;171:518-26.
40. Booth CM, Stewart TE. Severe acute respiratory syndrome and critical care medicine: The Toronto experience. Crit Care Med 2005;33:S53-60.
41. Ohlsson A, Lacy JB. Intravenous immunoglobulin for suspected or proven infection in neonates. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2015;3:CD001239.
42. Desborough MJ, Miller J, Thorpe SJ,et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin-induced haemolysis: a case report and review of the literature. Transfus Med 2014;24:219-26.
43. Kazatchkine MD, Kaveri SV. Immunomodulation of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases with intravenous immune globulin. N Engl J Med 2001;345:747-55.
44. Mustafa S, Balkhy H, Gabere MN. Current treatment options and the role of peptides as potential therapeutic components for Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS): A review. J Infect Public Health 2017;11:9-17.
45. Cabral D. A Guide to Contraindications to Childhood Vaccinations. Can J Infect Dis 2000;11:13-4.
46. Guo Y, Tian X, Wang X, et al. Adverse Effects of Immunoglobulin Therapy. Front Immunol 2018;9:1299.
47. Chen Z, Fu J, Shu Q, et al. Diagnosis and treatment recommendation for pediatric coronavirus disease-19. J Zhejiang Univ (Med Sci) 2020;49:0-0.
48. Expert consensus on diagnosis and treatment of new coronavirus pneumonia in paediatrics of guangdong province. Guangdong Med 2020;41:217-21.
49. Chinese society of pediatrics. Recommendations for diagnosis and prevention of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in children (1 edition). Chin J Pediatr 2020;58:169-174.
50. The Efficacy of Intravenous Immunoglobulin Therapy for Severe 2019-nCoV Infected Pneumonia[Internet]. c2020 [cited 2020 Apr 13]. Available online: Available online: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04261426?cond=2019-nCoV&draw=2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Supplementary Material 1-Search strategy

EMBASE

#1 'middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus'/exp
#2 'severe acute respiratory syndrome'/exp
#3 'sars coronavirus'/exp
#4 'COVID-19':ab,ti
#5 'SARS-COV-2':ab,ti
#6 'novel coronavirus':ab,ti
#7 '2019-novel coronavirus':ab,ti
#8 'coronavirus disease-19':ab,ti
#9 'coronavirus disease 2019':ab,ti
#10 'COVID 19':ab,ti
#11 'novel cov':ab,ti
#12 '2019-ncov':ab,ti
#13 '2019-cov':ab,ti
#14 'wuhan-cov':ab,ti
#15 'wuhan coronavirus':ab,ti
#16 'wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus':ab,ti
#17 'middle east respiratory syndrome':ab,ti
#18 'middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus':ab,ti
#19 'mers':ab,ti
#20 'mers-cov':ab,ti
#21 'severe acute respiratory syndrome':ab,ti
#22 'sars':ab,ti
#23 'sars-cov':ab,ti
#24 'sars-related':ab,ti
#25 'sars-associated':ab,ti
#26 #1-#25 / OR
#27 'Immunoglobulins'/exp
#28 'Intravenous Immunoglobulin*':ab,ti
#29 'Intravenous IG':ab,ti
#30 'immune globulin*':ab,ti
#31 'IVIG':ab,ti
#32 'IV Immunoglobulin*':ab,ti
#33 'Intravenous Antibodies':ab,ti
#34 'gamma globulin*':ab,ti
#35 'gamma-globulin*':ab,ti
#36 'Flebogamma DIF':ab,ti
#37 'Gamunex':ab,ti
#38 'Globulin-N':ab,ti
#39 'Globulin N':ab,ti
#40 'Intraglobin':ab,ti
#41 'Gammagard':ab,ti

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#42 'Gamimune':ab,ti
#43 'Gamimmune':ab,ti
#44 'Privigen':ab,ti
#45 'Sandoglobulin':ab,ti
#46 'Venoglobulin':ab,ti
#47 'Iveegam':ab,ti
#48 'Endobulin':ab,ti
#49 'Gammonativ':ab,ti
#50 27-49/OR
#51 #26 AND #50

PubMed

#1 "COVID-19" [Supplementary Concept]
#2 "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2" [Supplementary Concept]
#3 "Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus" [Mesh]
#4 "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome" [Mesh]
#5 "SARS Virus" [Mesh]
#6 "COVID-19" [Title/Abstract]
#7 "SARS-COV-2" [Title/Abstract]
#8 "Novel coronavirus" [Title/Abstract]
#9 “2019-novel coronavirus” [Title/Abstract]
#10 “coronavirus disease-19” [Title/Abstract]
#11 “coronavirus disease 2019” [Title/Abstract]
#12 “COVID 19” [Title/Abstract]
#13 “Novel CoV” [Title/Abstract]
#14 “2019-nCoV” [Title/Abstract]
#15 “2019-CoV” [Title/Abstract]
#16 “Wuhan-Cov” [Title/Abstract]
#17 “Wuhan Coronavirus” [Title/Abstract]
#18 “Wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus” [Title/Abstract]
#19 “Middle East Respiratory Syndrome” [Title/Abstract]
#20 “MERS" [Title/Abstract]
#21 “MERS-CoV" [Title/Abstract]
#22 "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome" [Title/Abstract]
#23 "SARS" [Title/Abstract]
#24 "SARS-CoV" [Title/Abstract]
#25 "SARS-Related" [Title/Abstract]
#26 "SARS-Associated" [Title/Abstract]
#27 #1-#26/ OR
#28 "Immunoglobulins, Intravenous" [Mesh]
#29 "gamma-Globulins" [Mesh]
#30 "Intravenous Immunoglobulin*" [Title/Abstract]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#31 "Intravenous IG" [Title/Abstract]
#32 "immune globulin*" [Title/Abstract]
#33 IVIG [Title/Abstract]
#34 "IV Immunoglobulin*" [Title/Abstract]
#35 "Intravenous Antibodies" [Title/Abstract]
#36 "gamma globulin*" [Title/Abstract]
#37 "gamma-globulin*" [Title/Abstract]
#38 "Flebogamma DIF" [Title/Abstract]
#39 Gamunex [Title/Abstract]
#40 "Globulin-N" [Title/Abstract]
#41 "Globulin N" [Title/Abstract]
#42 Intraglobin [Title/Abstract]
#43 Gammagard [Title/Abstract]
#44 Gamimune [Title/Abstract]
#45 Gamimmune [Title/Abstract]
#46 Privigen [Title/Abstract]
#47 Sandoglobulin [Title/Abstract]
#48 Venoglobulin [Title/Abstract]
#49 Iveegam [Title/Abstract]
#50 Endobulin [Title/Abstract]
#51 Gammonativ [Title/Abstract]
#52 28-#51/ OR
#53 #27 AND #52

Cochrane library

#1 MeSH descriptor: [Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus] explode all trees
#2 MeSH descriptor: [Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome] explode all trees
#3 MeSH descriptor: [SARS Virus] explode all trees
#4 "COVID-19":ti,ab,kw
#5 "SARS-COV-2":ti,ab,kw
#6 "Novel coronavirus":ti,ab,kw
#7 "2019-novel coronavirus":ti,ab,kw
#8 "Novel CoV":ti,ab,kw
#9 "2019-nCoV":ti,ab,kw
#10 "2019-CoV":ti,ab,kw
#11 "coronavirus disease-19":ti,ab,kw
#12 "coronavirus disease 2019":ti,ab,kw
#13 "COVID 19":ti,ab,kw
#14 "Wuhan-Cov":ti,ab,kw
#15 "Wuhan Coronavirus":ti,ab,kw
#16 "Wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus":ti,ab,kw
#17 "Middle East Respiratory Syndrome":ti,ab,kw

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#18 "MERS":ti,ab,kw
#19 "MERS-CoV":ti,ab,kw
#20 "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome":ti,ab,kw
#21 "SARS":ti,ab,kw
#22 "SARS-CoV":ti,ab,kw
#23 "SARS-Related":ti,ab,kw
#24 "SARS-Associated":ti,ab,kw
#25 #1-#24/ OR
#26 MeSH descriptor: [Immunoglobulins, Intravenous] explode all trees
#27 MeSH descriptor: [gamma-Globulins] explode all trees
#28 "Intravenous Immunoglobulin*" :ti,ab,kw
#29 "Intravenous IG":ti,ab,kw
#30 "immune globulin*":ti,ab,kw
#31 "IVIG":ti,ab,kw
#32 "IV Immunoglobulin*":ti,ab,kw
#33 "Intravenous Antibodies":ti,ab,kw
#34 "gamma globulin*":ti,ab,kw
#35 "gamma-globulin*":ti,ab,kw
#36 "Flebogamma DIF":ti,ab,kw
#37 "Gamunex":ti,ab,kw
#38 "Globulin-N":ti,ab,kw
#39 "Globulin N":ti,ab,kw
#40 "Intraglobin":ti,ab,kw
#41 "Gammagard":ti,ab,kw
#42 "Gamimune":ti,ab,kw
#43 "Gamimmune":ti,ab,kw
#44 "Privigen":ti,ab,kw
#45 "Sandoglobulin":ti,ab,kw
#46 "Venoglobulin":ti,ab,kw
#47 "Iveegam":ti,ab,kw
#48 "Endobulin":ti,ab,kw
#49 "Gammonativ":ti,ab,kw
#50 26-#49/ OR
#51 #25 AND #50

Web of Science

#1 TOPIC: "COVID-19"
#2 TOPIC: "SARS-COV-2"
#3 TOPIC: "Novel coronavirus"
#4 TOPIC: "2019-novel coronavirus"
#5 TOPIC: "coronavirus disease-19" [Title/Abstract]
#6 TOPIC: "coronavirus disease 2019" [Title/Abstract]
#7 TOPIC: "COVID 19" [Title/Abstract]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#8 TOPIC: "Novel CoV"
#9 TOPIC: "2019-nCoV"
#10 TOPIC: "2019-CoV"
#11 TOPIC: "Wuhan-Cov"
#12 TOPIC: "Wuhan Coronavirus"
#13 TOPIC: " Wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus"
#14 TOPIC: " Middle East Respiratory Syndrome"
#15 TOPIC: " MERS"
#16 TOPIC: " MERS-CoV"
#17 TOPIC: "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome"
#18 TOPIC: "SARS"
#19 TOPIC: "SARS-CoV"
#20 TOPIC: "SARS-Related"
#21 TOPIC: "SARS-Associated"
#22 #1-#21 /OR
#23 TOPIC: "Intravenous Immunoglobulin*"
#24 TOPIC: "Intravenous IG"
#25 TOPIC: "immune globulin*"
#26 TOPIC: "IVIG"
#27 TOPIC: "IV Immunoglobulin*"
#28 TOPIC: "Intravenous Antibodies"
#29 TOPIC: "gamma globulin*"
#30 TOPIC: "gamma-globulin*"
#31 TOPIC: "Flebogamma DIF"
#32 TOPIC: "Gamune"
#33 TOPIC: "Globulin-N"
#34 TOPIC: "Globulin N"
#35 TOPIC: "Intraglobin"
#36 TOPIC: "Gammagard"
#37 TOPIC: "Gamimune"
#38 TOPIC: "Gamimmune"
#39 TOPIC: "Privigen"
#40 TOPIC: "Sandoglobulin"
#41 TOPIC: "Venoglobulin"
#42 TOPIC: "Iveegam"
#43 TOPIC: "Endobulin"
#44 TOPIC: "Gammonativ"
#45 #23-#44 /OR
#46 #22 AND #45

CBM

#1 "新型冠状病毒"[常用字段:智能]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#2 "COVID-19"[常用字段:智能]

#3 "COVID 19"[常用字段:智能]

#4 "2019-nCoV"[常用字段:智能]

#5 "2019-CoV"[常用字段:智能]

#6 "SARS-CoV-2"[常用字段:智能]

#7 "武汉冠状病毒"[常用字段:智能]

#8 "中东呼吸综合征冠状病毒"[不加权:扩展]

#9 "中东呼吸综合征"[常用字段:智能]

#10 "MERS"[常用字段:智能]

#11 "MERS-CoV"[常用字段:智能]

#12 "严重急性呼吸综合征"[不加权:扩展]

#13 "SARS 病毒"[不加权:扩展]

#14 "严重急性呼吸综合征"[常用字段:智能]

#15 "SARS"[常用字段:智能]

#16 #1-#15/ OR

#17 丙种球蛋白[常用字段:智能]

#18 静脉丙球[常用字段:智能]

#19 免疫球蛋白[常用字段:智能]

#20 IVIG[常用字段:智能]

#21 "免疫球蛋白类"[不加权:扩展]

#22 #17-#21/ OR

#23 #16 AND #22

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

万方

#1 新型冠状病毒[主题]

#2 COVID-19[主题]

#3 COVID 19[主题]

#4 2019-nCoV[主题]

#5 2019-CoV[主题]

#6 SARS-CoV-2[主题]

#7 武汉冠状病毒[主题]

#8 中东呼吸综合征[主题]

#9 MERS[主题]

#10 MERS-CoV[主题]

#11 严重急性呼吸综合征[主题]

#12 SARS[主题]

#13 #1-#12/ OR

#14 丙种球蛋白[主题]

#15 静脉丙球[主题]

#16 IVIG[主题]

#17 免疫球蛋白[主题]

#18 #14-#17/OR

#19 #13 AND #18

CNKI

#1 "新型冠状病毒"[主题]

#2 "COVID-19"[主题]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#3 "COVID 19"[主题]

#4 "2019-nCoV"[主题]

#5 "2019-CoV"[主题]

#6 "SARS-CoV-2"[主题]

#7 "武汉冠状病毒"[主题]

#8 "中东呼吸综合征"[主题]

#9 "MERS"[主题]

#10 "MERS-CoV"[主题]

#11 "严重急性呼吸综合征"[主题]

#12 "SARS"[主题]

#13 #1-#12/ OR

#14 "丙种球蛋白"[主题]

#15 "静脉丙球"[主题]

#16 "免疫球蛋白"[主题]

#17 "IVIG"[主题]

#18 #13-#17/ OR

#19 #13 AND #18

Supplementary Material 2.

Table 1 Basic characteristics of the included studies

Author	Study Location	Study design	Number (M/F)	Disease	Age(range or mean±SD)	Intervention		Outcome	Risk of bias[#]
						Other treatment[*]	IVIG		
Zeng 2003 (33)	Guangzhou	Case series	5/5	SARS	7.3±5.1	Antibiotics, oxygen inhalation, symptomatic，Comprehensive treatment, etc.	200-400mg/kg/d for 3 days	Ⅰ, Ⅱ, Ⅲ	4/8
Wu 2003 (35)	Guangzhou	Case series	66	SARS	16~62	Antibiotics, glucocorticoids, interferons, antivirals and oxygen therapy.	5~10 g/d for 3 to 6 days	Ⅳ	4/8
Jann-Tay 2004 (34)	Taiwan	Case series	22	SARS	24~87	Methylprednisolone	1g/kg/d for 2 days	Ⅴ, Ⅵ	5/8
Wu 2005* (36)	Guangzhou	RCT	15/29	SARS	Mean:42/43	Antibiotics, glucocorticoids	2.5mg/d for 2 days~10mg/d for 13 days	Ⅶ, Ⅷ, Ⅸ	NA
Liu 2020 (31)	Wuhan	Case series	29/24	COVID-19	Mean:55	Antibiotics, glucocorticoids, interferons, antivirals and oxygen therapy.	NR	Ⅹ	5/8
Cao 2020 (32)	Wuhan	Case report	3	COVID-19	34~56	NR	25 g/d for 5 days	XI	NA

* Prior to IVIG treatment, the patient received other treatment.

[#] Risk of bias in case series.

Outcome: Ⅰ: The duration of fever; Ⅱ: Total peripheral blood WBC (10^9/L); Ⅲ: Time of the lung lesions subsided obviously; Ⅳ: Adverse effects; Ⅴ: WBC counts (10^9/L); Ⅵ: Platelet counts (10^9/L); Ⅶ: Serum globulin (g/L); Ⅷ: The incidence of nosocomial infection; Ⅸ: The risk of death;Ⅹ: Survival probability; XI: The progression of disease cascade;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

NR: Not Report; NA: Not Applicable.

Table 2 GRADE evidence profile

№ of studies	Sample size	Certainty assessment					Effect Value (95% CI)	Certainty
		Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations		
Serum globulin								
RCT (31)	44	serious [1]	-	serious [2]	-	none	-	⊕⊕◯◯ LOW
Nosocomial infection rate								
RCT (31)	44	serious [1]	serious [3]	not serious	not serious	none	OR 1.25 (0.47 to 3.31)	⊕⊕◯◯ LOW
the risk of death								
RCT (31)	44	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	-	⊕⊕◯◯ LOW

CI: Confidence Interval; **OR:** Odds Ratio;

Explanations

1.Unclear risk of bias in allocation concealment, random sequence generation and blinding.

2.Using other drugs (such as interferon, hormone, etc.) before intervention

3.The value of square I above 50%.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3 The characteristics of excluded studies

No.	Title	Country	Journal	Year	Study type	Cause
1	Five cases of infant hematuria caused by human immunoglubulin.	China	Chinese Journal of Rural Medicine and Pharmacy	2005	Case report	Intramuscular injection of IVIG
2	SARS: Systematic review of treatment effects	US	PLoS Medicine	2006	Review	review
3	A hospital outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Guangzhou, China	China	Chinese medical journal	2003	Case series	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
4	Comparison of clinical course of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome among the multiple generations of nosocomial transmission	China	Chinese Medical Journal	2004	Case series	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
5	Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of corticosteroid in the treatment of severe SARS in Guangdong province with multi-factor regression analysis	China	Chinese Critical Care Medicine	2008	regression analysis	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
6	The therapeutic effect of high flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy for the first imported case of Middle East respiratory syndrome to China	China	Chinese Critical Care Medicine	2015	Case report	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
7	Clinical analysis of pediatric SARS cases in Beijing	China	Chinese Journal of Pediatrics	2003	Case series	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
8	Clinical analysis of the first patient with imported Middle East respiratory syndrome in China	China	Chinese Critical Care Medicine	2015	Case report	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
9	Clinical characteristice and therapy of severe acute respiratory syndrom	China	Chinese Famous Doctor Forum	2004	Case series	full-text unavailable
10	Multivariable Analysis of Factors Affecting Clinical Course in Patients with SARS	China	Journal of Sun Yat-sen University(Medical Sciences)	2004	regression analysis	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
11	Clinical analysis of 136 cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome	China	Chinese Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine	2003	Case series	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

12	Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of corticosteroid in the treatment of severe SARS in Guangdong province with multi-factor regression analysis	China	Chinese Critical Care Medicine	2008	regression analysis	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
13	Study of the clinical diagnosis and treatment of the severe acute respiratory syndromes	China	Jiangsu Medical Journal	2003	Case report	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
14	Can immunoglobulin, thymosin, and interferon protect against SARS?	China	Hohhot TechnologyJounal	2003	Science & Technology Daily	review
15	The search for therapeutic options for Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS)	Saudi Arabia	Journal of Infection and Public Health	2016	Editorial	review
16	Clinical findings, treatment and prognosis in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)	China	Journal of the Chinese Medical Association	2005	Editorial	review
17	Intravenous immunoglobulin G is remarkably beneficial in chronic immune dysschwannian/dysneuronal polyneuropathy, diabetes-2 neuropathy, and potentially in severe acute respiratory syndrome	United States	Acta Myologica	2003	Review	full-text unavailable
18	Severe acute respiratory syndrome: Public health response and clinical practice update for an emerging disease	United States	Current Opinion in Pediatrics	2004	Review	IVIG not mentioned
19	Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome	Hong Kong	European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases	2005	Review	IVIG not mentioned
20	Current treatment options and the role of peptides as potential therapeutic components for Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS): A review	Saudi Arabia	Journal of Infection and Public Health	2018	Review	review
21	Management of hospital-acquired severe acute respiratory syndrome with different disease spectrum	China	Journal of the Chinese Medical Association: JCMA	2003	Case report	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

22	Neurological manifestations in severe acute respiratory syndrome	China	Acta Neurologica Taiwanica	2005	Review	review
23	Diagnosis and treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome in children	China	Journal of Applied Clinical Pediatrics	2003	Medical advice	review
24	Experience of INF-2 for treating severe acute respiratory distress syndrome	China	Journal of Modern Medicine & Health	2004	Case series	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG
25	Study of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in Shantou	China	Journal of Shantou University Medical College	2003	Case report	without the outcomes of efficacy and safety of IVIG

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.17.20064444; this version posted April 22, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.




Figure 1 The flow chart of the literature search