

1 **Early Intervention in preterm infants modulates LINE-1 promoter methylation and**
2 **neurodevelopment**

3
4 Camilla Fontana ¹, Federica Marasca ³, Livia Provitera ², Sara Mancinelli ⁴, Nicola Pesenti ^{2,6}, Shruti
5 Sinha ³, Sofia Passera ², Sergio Abrignani ^{1,3}, Fabio Mosca ^{1,2}, Simona Lodato ^{4,5}, Beatrice Bodega ³,
6 Monica Fumagalli ^{1,2}.

7
8 1) University of Milan, Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Milan, Italy

9 2) Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, NICU, Milan, Italy

10 3) Istituto Nazionale di Genetica Molecolare “Enrica e Romeo Invernizzi” (INGM), Milan, Italy.

11 4) IRCCS Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Rozzano - Milan, Italy.

12 5) Humanitas University, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Pieve Emanuele-Milan, Italy.

13 6) Department of Statistics and Quantitative Methods, Division of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Public
14 Health, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy.

15
16 **Address correspondence to:** Monica Fumagalli, NICU, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale
17 Maggiore Policlinico, University of Milan Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Via
18 della Commenda 12, 20122 Milano, Italy. Phone: +390255032951; E-mail: monica.fumagalli@unimi.it.
19 Beatrice Bodega, Istituto Nazionale di Genetica Molecolare “Enrica e Romeo Invernizzi” (INGM), Via
20 Francesco Sforza 35, 20122, Milan, Italy. Phone: +390200660302; E-mail: bodega@ingm.org.

21
22 **Authorship note:** C. Fontana and F. Marasca contributed equally as first author to this work; B. Bodega
23 and M. Fumagalli contributed equally as senior author to this work.

24
25 **Conflict of interest statement:** the authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.
26

27 **ABSTRACT:** (max 250 words)

28 **Background:**

29 Early life adversity exposure impacts preterm infants' neurodevelopment and early intervention
30 protocols may modulate neurodevelopmental outcomes.

31 Neuronal genomes are plastic in response to environment and mobile genetic elements, including LINE-
32 1 (L1), are source of brain genomic mosaicism. Maternal care during early life regulates L1 methylation
33 and copy number variations (CNVs) in mice. Here, we sought to identify the effects of maternal care
34 and positive multisensory stimulation (Early Intervention) on L1 methylation and neurodevelopment in
35 preterm infants.

36 **Methods:**

37 Very preterm infants were randomized to receive Standard Care or Early Intervention. L1 methylation
38 was measured at birth and at hospital discharge. At 12 months infants' neurodevelopment was
39 evaluated with the Griffiths Scales. L1 methylation and CNVs were measured in mouse brain areas at
40 embryonic and postnatal stages.

41 **Results:**

42 We demonstrated that L1 is hypomethylated in preterm versus term infants at birth. Early Intervention
43 contributes to restore L1 methylation and positively modulates neurodevelopment. We showed that L1
44 methylation is developmentally-regulated in mice, decreasing in early postnatal life stages, which turns
45 into an increased L1 CNVs specifically in hippocampus and cortex.

46 **Conclusions:**

47 Here we demonstrated that L1 dynamics can be modulated by Early Intervention, in parallel with
48 ameliorated neurodevelopmental outcomes. We further identified a specific developmental window of
49 the fetal mouse brain, sensitive to early life experience, in which L1 dynamics are fine-tuned contributing
50 to shape the brain genomic landscape.

51 **Trail Registration:**

52 [clinicalTrial.gov \(NCT02983513\)](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02983513)

53 **Funding:**

54 Italian Ministry of Health (RC 780/03 2017), University of Milan (DISCCO 2015) and INGM internal
55 funding.

56 **INTRODUCTION**

57 Prematurity, which is defined as birth before 37 weeks of gestation, affects 11% of neonates globally,
58 it is the second leading cause of death in children below 5 years of age and the most important in the
59 first month of life (1). Among preterm infants, about 16% are born very preterm (<32 weeks of gestation)
60 (2). This condition is associated with a considerable risk to develop acute and chronic postnatal
61 morbidities and long-term neurodevelopmental disabilities (3). Indeed, up to 15% of very preterm infants
62 suffer from severe neurologic disorders, mainly related to the occurrence of acquired brain lesions (4)
63 and up to 50% of preterms experience other neurocognitive impairments in different areas of
64 development (e.g. language, behavior, visual processing, academic performances and executive
65 functions) (5, 6) or neuropsychological problems, including Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
66 (ADHD) or Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD) (7-10).

67 Neurodevelopmental delays may occur even in absence of overt acquired brain lesions and are most
68 likely caused by prematurity - related impairment in brain microstructural maturation and connectivity
69 (11-13). Besides the documented role played by gestational age (GA) at birth and by the occurrence
70 and severity of postnatal morbidities, the impact of early exposure to the hazards of extrauterine life
71 has been recently emphasized. Indeed, during their stay in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU)
72 preterm infants face early environmental stress, mainly represented by the excessive neurosensory
73 stimulation and the prolonged separation from their parents (14, 15). Overall, these environmental
74 stressors act in a critical window of the preterm brain development (corresponding to the last trimester
75 of pregnancy and early postnatal life), in which multiple biological processes are taking place, including
76 the earliest myelination phase, neuronal circuit assembly, and synaptogenesis (16). Notably, there is a
77 4-fold increase in cerebral cortical volume during the last trimester, which is accompanied by a
78 significant increase in brain surface area, resulting in the formation of sulci and gyri of the cerebral
79 cortex (17). Cognitive and emotional processing relies on the proper development of the cerebral cortex
80 (which includes both the neocortex and the hippocampus) (18, 19). Alteration of the finely orchestrated
81 and precisely timed development of cortical and hippocampal neural circuits has been associated to
82 prominent long-term consequences in childhood and adulthood (20-22).

83 Interestingly, recent findings have highlighted the crucial role of parental care on modulating the
84 detrimental effects of early life exposure (23). Therefore, Developmental Care has been conceived as
85 a strategy to reduce NICU stressful factors and promote maternal engagement; it has been

86 demonstrated to improve brain maturation, as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and
87 neurodevelopmental outcomes (24-26). More recently, Early Intervention strategies, based on a
88 multisensory stimulation approach, have been shown to promote infants' visual acuity, neurobehavior
89 and brain development (27-29).

90 How these early life experiences can modulate at molecular level the brain architecture and, ultimately,
91 child's behavior is still an unsolved issue. Interestingly, it has been recently demonstrated that neuronal
92 genomes are plastic in response to environmental cues; in particular, early maternal care can affect
93 genome structural variations in mouse hippocampus, thus resulting in somatic mosaicism that ultimately
94 generates neuronal diversity with potential effect on behavior (30-34).

95 Mobile DNA elements have the ability to change their genomic position, either by a DNA-based
96 (transposition) or RNA-based (retrotransposition) mechanism. Retrotransposition is one of the main
97 forms of somatic mosaicism in the brain (35). Among retrotransposable elements, LINE-1 (L1), that
98 covers about 18% of the human genome (36), has been extensively described to retrotranspose in
99 neurons from fly to humans (37-39), a mechanism that takes place during neural progenitor
100 development and differentiation (40-42). L1 can move to different genomic location (*de novo* insertion
101 site) by the activity of a reverse transcriptase (RTase), encoded by L1 itself, which reverse-transcribes
102 and integrates a L1 cDNA copy, that is usually 5' end truncated (36).

103 L1 activity is finely modulated (42, 43) at the level of its endogenous promoter, where a CpG island
104 methylation/demethylation is associated with L1 somatic mobilization in the brain (43). Notably,
105 maternal care during early life has been reported to drive variability in L1 methylation and copy number
106 variations (CNVs) within the mouse hippocampal neuronal genome, influencing progeny behavior (31).
107 Similarly, childhood stress and adversities in early life have been reported to result in L1
108 hypomethylation (44, 45). Furthermore, the deregulation of L1 activity has been described in the brain
109 of debilitating neurological diseases as Rett syndrome (43, 46), schizophrenia (47, 48), autism (49),
110 bipolar and major depressive disorder (33, 50).

111 In the current study we combined analyses in humans and mice to test the hypothesis that an early
112 intervention program in very preterm infants, based on maternal care and enriched multisensory
113 stimulation, could modulate L1 dynamics in the developing brain and have a clinical readout on short-
114 term neurodevelopment.

115

116 RESULTS

117 Characteristics of study participants.

118 Overall, 70 very preterm infants born between 25⁺⁰ and 29⁺⁶ weeks of gestational age (GA) were
119 recruited and randomized either to receive Standard Care or Early Intervention (Standard Care n = 36,
120 Early Intervention n = 34) between April 2014 and January 2017 (Figure 1). Standard Care, in line with
121 NICU routine care protocols, included Kangaroo Mother Care and minimal handling. Early Intervention,
122 in addition to routine care, included a parental training program together with enriched multisensory
123 stimulation (infant massage and visual interaction, see Methods) promoted by parents as fully described
124 in (51). A daily diary was given to parents to record all the interventions performed and to retrospectively
125 quantify the effects of maternal care and multisensory stimulation. The study was conducted in a NICU
126 with open access to parents (24 hours a day for 7 days a week).

127 According to the protocol, 3 infants allocated to Early Intervention did not receive the treatment, as 2
128 infants developed surgical Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) and 1 belonged to a family that became
129 single-parent after the enrollment (Figure 1). All infants in the Standard Care group received allocated
130 treatment as part of the routine clinical practice.

131 A schematic representation of the study timeline is provided in Figure 2. We harvested blood from 17
132 infants belonging to the Standard Care group and 20 to the Early Intervention group. Three infants
133 (Standard Care n=1, Early Intervention n=2) were subsequently excluded as samples were not usable
134 for molecular analyses (Figure 1). In details, L1 methylation analysis was carried out on 19 cord blood
135 samples collected at birth, named as “preterm” and 33 peripheral blood samples collected at discharge,
136 named as Standard Care (n=16) and Early Intervention (n=17). Baseline and perinatal characteristics
137 for the two groups are described in Table 1; no differences were observed among the groups in terms
138 of maternal and infant characteristics, or incidence of postnatal morbidities during NICU stay. Of note,
139 infants enrolled in the study were discharged around Term Equivalent Age (TEA) with no significant
140 differences between the two groups (Table 1).

141 In the Early Intervention group the massage therapy was started by parents at 31.7 ± 1.8 (mean \pm SD)
142 weeks of GA and carried out with a mean of 10.0 ± 2.1 times a week. Visual interaction was proposed
143 from 34.9 ± 0.8 weeks of GA, and performed with a mean of 7.1 ± 1.8 times a week.

144 In addition, 21 cord blood samples from healthy full-term infants, named as “full-term”, were collected
145 at birth (blood sample from 1 infant was excluded due to postnatal complications). Mother and infants’

146 characteristics of the full-term group were: mean maternal age at child birth 37.2 ± 3.8 years, mean GA
147 at birth 38.5 ± 0.5 weeks, mean birth weight 3234 ± 420 g and median Apgar score 9 at 1 minute and
148 10 at 5 minutes; 30% were males.

149

150 **L1 promoter is hypomethylated in preterm infants at birth and its methylation level is restored**
151 **upon Early Intervention.**

152 To assess L1 methylation level, we analyzed a region within L1 promoter, containing the CpG island
153 already reported to modulate L1 transcription and activity (42); this CpG island is constituted of 19 CpG,
154 of which CpG 11-19 are specifically involved in L1 regulation in the brain (Figure 3a, Neural specific
155 CpG 11-19) (42); moreover, YY1 transcription factor binding site, required for neural specific L1
156 expression, corresponds to CpG 17 (52) (Figure 3a).

157 L1 promoter methylation level was analyzed as described in Coufal et al. 2009 (42) (see Methods) in
158 the cord blood DNA of full-term (n=20) and preterm (n=19) neonates and in the peripheral blood DNA
159 of preterm infants at NICU discharge, subjected either to Standard Care (n=16) or Early Intervention
160 (n=17) (Figure 2 and Table 1). We found that L1 promoter methylation at birth was significantly lower
161 in preterm compared to full-term cord blood (Figure 3b and Supplemental Figure 1a-b); at NICU
162 discharge, L1 methylation levels were restored to level comparable to full-term infants only in the infants
163 belonging to the Early Intervention group (Figure 3b and Supplemental Figure 1a-d). L1 methylation
164 recovery in the Early Intervention group was specific for the neural region of the promoter (CpG 11-19)
165 (Figure 3c and Supplemental Figure 1e) and in particular for CpG 17 corresponding to YY1 binding site
166 (Supplemental Figure 1f).

167 Noteworthy, L1 promoter methylation level increases proportionally to the maternal care received upon
168 Early Intervention (average number of massages received per week, recorded by parents in daily diary)
169 (Figure 3d), a trend specifically observed for the neural region of L1 promoter (CpG 11-19) (Figure 3e)
170 and not for CpG 1-10 (Supplemental Figure 1g). L1 methylation levels did not reflect a global genome
171 methylation trend, as the promoter of a neuronal gene, *NAB2* (NGFI-A binding protein 2), did not show
172 any difference in DNA methylation among the groups analyzed (Supplemental Figure 2a); moreover,
173 the observed differences were unrelated to different cord blood cellular composition between full-term
174 and preterm infants (Supplemental Figure 2b-c, see Methods).

175

176 **L1 promoter methylation is dynamically regulated during hippocampus and cortex devolvement**
177 **in mice.**

178 To analyze L1 promoter methylation during brain development, we dissected presumptive
179 somatosensory cortex, hippocampus and cerebellum from the mouse brain at multiple embryonic
180 (E15.5 and E18.5), early postnatal (P0, P3) and later developmental stages (P14) (Figure 4a). We
181 performed L1 methylation analysis as reported in Bedrosian et al (31) (see Methods) on L1MdTf family,
182 the most active and evolutionary young L1 subfamily in mice (53); L1MdTf 5'UTR is constituted by
183 several monomers, each containing a CpG island of 13 CpGs with a YY1 binding site corresponding
184 to the CpG 8 and 9 (54) (Figure 4b).

185 We observed that L1MdTf promoter methylation at the YY1 binding site had a peculiar trend along
186 hippocampus and cerebral cortex developmental trajectories, showing a wave of re-methylation at
187 E18.5 followed by progressive demethylation postnatally (Figure 4c). To assess whether these
188 dynamics were specific for L1 promoter, we analyzed IAPLTR1a (TEs belonging to ERV subfamilies)
189 methylation as reported in (31) (see Methods), and found no changes in any of the sampled areas
190 (Supplemental Figure 3a).

191 We next investigated whether the reduction in L1 methylation observed postnatally in mouse
192 hippocampus and cortex could correspond to an increased L1 CNVs. We measured L1 CNVs in
193 genomic DNA as reported in (42) (Figure 5a), treating the gDNA with Exonuclease I in order to avoid
194 the amplification of L1 cDNA intermediates (31) (Supplemental Fig 3b). Interestingly, we observed a
195 statistically significant increase in L1 CNVs specifically in hippocampus and cerebral cortex postnatally
196 (P0, P3, P14), in correspondence with the decrease in L1 promoter methylation (Figure 5b).

197 Overall, these results suggest that L1 activity is fine-tuned during hippocampus and cortical
198 development, and point at E18.5 as a sensitive and “vulnerable” developmental window for the
199 epigenetic setting of L1 methylation and activity regulation in these brain regions.

200

201 **Early Intervention during NICU stay positively modulates neurodevelopmental outcomes in**
202 **preterm infants.**

203 At 12 months corrected age, the preterm infants were tested with the Griffiths Mental Development
204 Scales (GMDS) to assess their neurodevelopment (55). In the Standard Care group one infant could
205 not participate to the follow-up, due to severe illness that required prolonged hospitalization after NICU

206 discharge, and in the Early Intervention group one infant was excluded from the follow-up analysis, as
207 he presented extensive white matter damage at brain MRI performed at 40⁺⁰ GA.

208 All the preterm infants showed scores within the normal range; however, statistically significant
209 differences were observed between the 2 groups with the Early Intervention group showing higher
210 scores (Table 2) in the General Quotient and in 4 out of 5 subscales: Personal-Social (that measures
211 proficiency in the activities of daily living, level of independence and interaction with other children),
212 Hearing and Speech (that assesses hearing, expressive language and receptive language), Eye and
213 Hand Co-ordination (that tests fine motor skills, manual dexterity and visual monitoring skills) and
214 Performance (that evaluates the ability to reason through tasks including speed of working and
215 precision) (Table 2). No differences were observed in the Locomotor subscale that measures gross
216 motor skills, including the ability to balance, coordinate and control movements (Table 2).

217

218

219

220 **DISCUSSION**

221 Here we report different L1 methylation patterns in preterm and full-term infants and we demonstrate
222 that L1 methylation status in preterm infants can be modulated by the beneficial effect of maternal care
223 and positive multisensory exposure. In addition, we demonstrate how the proposed early intervention
224 strategy positively modulates short-term infants' neurodevelopment. In this regard, it is already reported
225 that maternal separation and excessive sensory exposure, induced by NICU environment, represent
226 adverse early life events experienced by preterm infants, that can affect the epigenetic regulation and
227 impact on gene expression in the brain (56-58).

228 Furthermore, previous studies have shown that L1 activity is modulated by early life experiences,
229 reflecting on neuronal somatic mosaicism and genomic structural variations of the mouse hippocampus
230 (30-34).

231 It is very well demonstrated that *de novo* L1 insertions are a developmentally regulated phenomenon
232 that contributes to somatic mosaicism in the brain (59, 60). New L1 insertions could have an
233 evolutionary adaptive significance, offering new genomic instruments to evolve into new phenotypes;
234 an idea corroborated by the finding that new insertions land in neuronal specific active genes (37-39,
235 47). Moreover, L1 deregulated activity is now emerging as a potential mechanism that correlates with
236 the development of several mental disorders including schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders
237 (ASD), major depression (43, 47, 50, 59, 61), which are also often described in former preterm infants
238 (62). In this context, in the animal model we detected L1 CNVs as an early event (P0, P3) in
239 hippocampus and cerebral cortex that match the progressive demethylation of L1 promoter after E18.5
240 stage. This suggests that in the mice E18.5 represents a susceptible time window in which L1
241 methylation status resets, soon before birth (Figure 4 and 5); it is tempting to speculate that similar
242 dynamics could occur also in human brain development, although with a different timing.

243 Interestingly, hippocampus and the interconnected thalamus and cortex are known to be affected by
244 preterm birth to an extent proportional to the degree of prematurity (13, 63) and to the premature
245 exposure to the extrauterine environment (64, 65), highlighting how preterm birth disrupts specific
246 aspects of cerebral development. Notably, these cerebral areas, in particular the hippocampus, are
247 well-known for their involvement in socio-emotional development, functioning and memory (66).
248 However, the relationship between the structural changes observed by neuroimaging studies in preterm
249 infants, and the impairments in memory and learning that they manifest in childhood, are still debated

250 (64) as well as the underlying pathogenetic mechanisms. No significant changes in L1 methylation and
251 activity were observed in the cerebellum, although the third trimester of pregnancy is a highly dynamic
252 period for cerebellar development which, in humans, follows a precisely programmed series of
253 regionally- and temporally-specific (67) developmental processes (68). Different molecular mechanisms
254 involved in these maturational processes or different time windows of vulnerability might explain this
255 finding (67).

256 We described that L1 methylation levels are lower in preterm infants at birth and that they are properly
257 restored only upon Early Intervention at NICU discharge, a recovery that specifically involve the YY1
258 binding site region. YY1 binding site methylation has been recently demonstrated to regulate L1
259 retrotransposition (52) and to be modulated by maternal care, (31) in turn altering L1 activity in mouse
260 neural hippocampal genome (31). We can speculate that the specific alteration of L1 methylation that
261 premature infants experience, and, more importantly, the inability to recover a proper L1 methylation
262 setting could influence L1 activity, leading to aberrant L1 retrotransposition, as already demonstrated
263 for several neurological disabilities (43, 47, 50, 59, 61).

264 We further demonstrate that the Early Intervention program enhances neurodevelopment at 12 months
265 corrected age compared to Standard Care, albeit scores are within the normal range for both groups.
266 The clinical relevance of these short-term results needs to be confirmed later in childhood when
267 neurobehavioral and cognitive impairments become more obvious, although studies on the
268 neurodevelopmental trajectories of preterm infants have suggested the predictive value of the 12
269 months' assessment on long-term outcomes (69).

270 In conclusion, we are providing evidence that L1 methylation and activity are tightly developmentally
271 controlled, being specifically influenced by the early life experiences of premature infants; this study
272 sheds a new light on the epigenetic mechanisms related to a premature exposure to the extrauterine
273 life and suggests that preterm birth affects the dynamic of L1 methylation. Early intervention strategies
274 based on maternal care and positive sensory stimulation during a sensitive window of both epigenetic
275 and brain plasticity might modulate L1 DNA methylation and contribute to shape the developing brain
276 connections with potential impact on infants' neurodevelopment.

277

278 **METHODS**

279 **Study Cohort**

280 All the preterm infants consecutively born between 25⁺⁰ and 29⁺⁶ weeks of gestational age (GA) at the
281 same institution were eligible. Exclusion criteria: multiple pregnancy (triplets or higher), genetic
282 syndromes and/or malformations, infants who developed severe neonatal comorbidities including
283 severe brain lesions. The full study protocol is described in (51). Adherence to the early intervention
284 protocol was required and documented in a parental self-report diary.

285

286 **Animals**

287 CD-1 mice were housed under controlled conditions for temperature and humidity, using a 12:12-h light-
288 dark cycle. Mice were mated overnight, and females were separated the following morning and checked
289 for vaginal plugs (Embryonic day, E 0,5). CD-1 animals deliver pups between day E19 - E20. Caesarean
290 sections (C-secs) were performed at embryonic days E15.5, E18.5 and P0. Pups were sacrificed by
291 decapitation at different time points: at embryonic day E15.5, E18.5 and at postnatal day P0, P3 and
292 P14. At each developmental stage, 4 mice were sacrificed and brains collected to manually
293 microdissect hippocampal, cortical and cerebellar tissue under a stereomicroscope in sterile conditions.
294 Microdissected tissues were store at -80°C until gDNA extraction was performed.

295

296 **Study design**

297 Infants were randomly assigned either to receive (i) Standard Care or (ii) an additional Early Intervention
298 protocol based on maternal care. Standard Care, according to the routine clinical protocol of the NICU,
299 included Kangaroo Mother Care, minimal handling and non-pharmacological pain management. The
300 Early Intervention protocol included, over routine clinical care, the PremieStart (70), which is based on
301 parental involvement, and enriched multisensory stimulation proposed by parents after a period of
302 training. This intervention included both tactile stimulation, through infant massage, performed twice a
303 day and visual interaction provided once a day with a black and white toy or parents' face. A complete
304 detailed description of the intervention is available in (51).

305 The randomization was performed using sealed envelopes prepared in groups of 10 through computer-
306 generated randomization. The randomization sequence was concealed until the group allocation was
307 assigned, and the examiners (both biologist and psychologist that performed the follow-up examination)

308 remained blinded for the entire study period.

309 The present study is part of a larger Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT) aimed at assessing the
310 effectiveness of an Early Intervention program in promoting visual function and neurodevelopment in
311 preterm infants. Within this context, exploratory analyses have been performed to investigate the effect
312 of preterm birth and early interventions on L1 modulation.

313

314 **Sample Collection**

315 In preterm infants, cord blood samples were collected at birth and peripheral blood samples were
316 harvested at hospital discharge (around term equivalent age - TEA). Peripheral blood was obtained
317 during blood sampling performed for routine blood examination, according to clinical practice.

318 In healthy full-term infants' cord blood samples were collected at birth only in infants born by C-section
319 after uneventful pregnancies. Each sample consisted of 0.5 mL of cord/peripheral blood.

320

321 **Neurodevelopmental assessment**

322 At 12 months corrected age the preterm infants group underwent the Griffiths Mental Development
323 Scales (GMDS) to assess neurodevelopment (71). This evaluation comprises five subscales (score
324 range 50–150): Locomotor, Personal-Social, Hearing and Speech, Eye and Hand Coordination and
325 Performance. The subscales yield standardized scores for each domain (mean \pm SD: 100 \pm 16) and a
326 composite General Quotient (mean \pm SD: 100 \pm 12).

327

328 **DNA extraction**

329 Genomic DNA from human cord and peripheral blood, from mouse hippocampus, cortex and
330 cerebellum was isolated with standard phenol-chloroform extraction techniques.

331

332 **Bisulfite conversion**

333 500 ng of genomic DNA from each sample were bisulfite-treated using the MethylEdge™ Bisulfite
334 Conversion System (Promega, Madison, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol.

335

336 **Methylation assay in human samples**

337 The methylation analysis of CpG island within the human L1 promoter were conducted as reported in
338 (42) with minor modifications. The primer sequences are the following:

339 hL1-5'UTR For: 5' - AAGGGGTTAGGGAGTTTTTTT - 3'

340 hL1-5'UTR Rev: 5' - TATCTATACCCTACCCCAAAA - 3'

341 In each PCR, 40 ng of bisulfite-converted DNA were combined with primers at 0.5 μ M final concentration
342 and GoTaq™ Hot Start Green Master Mix (Promega) in a final volume of 50 μ L. PCR conditions were
343 as follows: 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 45 seconds, 56 °C for 1 minute and
344 72°C for 30 seconds, followed by a final step of 72°C hold for 4 minutes.

345 The product of amplification is 363 bp of length and contains 19 CpGs. The resulting PCR products
346 were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and then purified by PureLink™ Quick Gel Extraction &
347 PCR Purification Combo Kit (Invitrogen- Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). They were then cloned into
348 pGEM-T Easy Vector System I (Promega) using a molar ratio insert: vector of 6:1. Sanger sequencing
349 was performed by GATC Biotech, using the reverse sequencing primer pGEM Seq Rev: 5'-
350 GACCATGATTACGCCAAGCTA - 3'. Resulting chromatograms were examined for sequencing quality
351 using FinchTV software. At least 10 sequenced clones per sample were analyzed for Figure 3 and
352 Supplemental Figure 1 and at least 11 clones per sample were analyzed in Supplemental Figure 2b
353 and c as suggested in (72).

354 The methylation analysis of CpG island within the *Nab2* promoter region were conducted designing
355 specific primers with MethPrimer (73), whose sequences are as follows:

356 *Nab2* For: 5' - GAGGGAGGGATAGAGTTTGGAT - 3'

357 *Nab2* Rev: 5' - ACGCTCTATACATAAACGACCGA - 3'

358 In each PCR, 80ng of bisulfite-converted DNA were combined with primers at 0.5 μ M final concentration
359 and GoTaq™ Hot Start Green Master Mix (Promega), in a final volume of 50 μ L. PCR conditions were
360 as follows: 95°C for 4 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 45 seconds, 58 °C for 1:30 minutes
361 and 72°C for 2 minutes, followed by a final step of 72°C hold for 4 minutes.

362 The product of amplification is 135-bp amplicon and contains 15 CpGs. The resulting PCR products
363 were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and then purified by PureLink™ Quick Gel Extraction &
364 PCR Purification Combo Kit (Invitrogen- Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Once purified, they were
365 cloned into pGEM- T Easy Vector System I (Promega) using a molar ratio insert: vector of 6:1. Sanger
366 sequencing was performed by GATC Biotech, using the reverse sequencing primer pGEM Seq For: 5'

367 - ACGACGGCCAGTGAATTG – 3'. Resulting chromatograms were examined for sequencing quality
368 using FinchTV software. At least 5 sequenced clones per sample were analyzed as suggested in (72).
369

370 **Analysis of Sanger sequencing in human samples**

371 To analyze the conversion efficiency and the methylation status of the CpG sites, FASTAQ files were
372 analyzed by QUMA (*QUantification tool for Methylation Analys*) software (CDB, Riken, Japan) (74).
373 For the L1 promoter methylation, we excluded from the analysis three (CpG 2, 6 and 9) of the 19 CpGs
374 due to the high degree of variability among the analyzed sequences compared to the consensus
375 sequence used (L19092.1 Human LINE1 (L1.4)). Sequences with a >90% of cytosine residues
376 converted were used for subsequent analysis. Total percent methylation was calculated as the number
377 of methylated CpGs divided by the number of total CpGs (both methylated and unmethylated) multiplied
378 by 100. To determine the methylation status of each CpG site, we calculated the percentage of
379 methylation of each CpG site as the number of methylation events at a specific CpG site divided by the
380 total number of sequenced and analyzed clones.

381

382 **Methylation assay in mouse samples**

383 The methylation analysis of CpG island within the murine L1MdTf monomer and IAPLTR1a were
384 conducted as reported in (31) with minor modifications. Given the peculiar monomeric and highly
385 repeated nature of the mouse L15'UTR we performed this methylation analysis with a Next Generation
386 sequences approach. A detailed list of primer sequences used for the amplification is reported in
387 Supplemental Table I. Briefly both for L1MdTf monomer and IAPLTR1a we used primers with Illumina
388 barcode index (Illumina Truseq LT 6-mer indices): each organ in each developmental stage was
389 associated to a distinct couple of Fow and Rev primers 5' end tagged, in order to be unambiguously
390 identified in the sequencing analysis step (see Supplemental Table I).

391 Each PCR was performed with 16 ng – 40 ng of bisulfite-converted DNA were combined with primers
392 at 0.5 μ M final concentration and GoTaq™ Hot Start Green Master Mix (Promega) in a final volume of
393 50 μ L. PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 45
394 seconds; 56 °C for 1 minute and 72°C for 5 seconds, followed by a final step of 72°C hold for 4 minutes.

395 For L1MdTf monomer the product of amplification is 191-bp of length and contains 13 CpGs while for
396 IAPLTR1a the product of amplification is 205 bp of length and contains 10 CpGs. The resulting PCR

397 products were checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and then purified by Agencourt AMPure XP
398 beads (Beckman Coulter) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration were
399 quantified using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay kit. All the L1MdTf and IAPLTR1a amplicons were then
400 pooled in equimolar quantities to obtain a final pooling concentration of 2 ng/μL. Library for DNA
401 sequencing was produced on the pooled PCRs. Paired-end 2 x 150bp sequencing was performed on
402 a HiSeq platform (Illumina) by Eurofins GATC Biotech.

403

404 **Analysis of NGS sequencing in mouse samples**

405 A total of 22,481,000 reads were obtained from bisulfite sequencing and were assigned to samples
406 based on the primers with Illumina barcode index. Briefly, no mismatch was allowed for the barcode
407 index and a maximum of 5 mismatches were allowed for the target primer. None of the reads
408 assigned to IAPLTR1a target aligned on L1MdTf and vice versa. Prior to mapping, reads were
409 trimmed for low quality using Trimmomatic (75) (parameters: ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-
410 PE.fa:2:30:10 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:75). 15,368,000 reads
411 were obtained post trimming with an average of 80,000 reads for each sample. The paired reads
412 were mapped using Bismark (76) (parameters: --local -N 1 -L 15 --non_directional) with an average
413 mapping efficiency 99.05%. DNA methylation data was called using MethylDackel
414 (<https://github.com/dpryan79/MethylDackel>). Sample correlation analysis was performed using
415 methylKit (77) and all biological replicates of a given organ within a developmental stage showed a
416 correlation higher than 95%. Methylation analysis for L1MdTf was focused on the YY1 binding site,
417 corresponding to the CpG sites 8 and 9, as reported by (31) and on four CpG sites for IAPLTR1a,
418 as reported by (31). Briefly, methylation status of each CpG site, was calculated as the number of
419 methylation events at a specific CpG site divided by the total number of analyzed sequences. Sample
420 methylation level was calculated as the number of methylated CpGs divided by the number of total
421 CpGs (both methylated and unmethylated) multiplied by 100.

422

423 **TaqMan PCR for L1 expression and CNVs analysis**

424 For L1 CNVs 300 ng of genomic DNA was treated with Exonuclease I, following manufacturer
425 instructions (40U of Exonuclease I, in 1X Reaction Buffer 67 mM glycine-KOH (pH 9.5 at 25 °C), 6,7
426 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT were used at 37°C for 30 min, then inactivated at 85°C for 15 min). Efficiency

427 of digestion was proved on 300ng of gDNA equimolar pooled with 300 ng of a 120 bp ssDNA
428 oligonucleotide (Supplemental Figure 3c). Digested DNA was further subjected to phenol-chloroform
429 purification. Extracted DNA was quantified using Qbit HS DNA kit (Invitrogen) and diluted to a
430 concentration of 80 pg/ μ L.

431 Quantitative PCR experiments were performed on a StepOne Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with minor
432 modifications to the method reported in (42). In each multiplexed PCR two TaqMan probes, labeled
433 FAM and VIC, were combined; 80 pg of genomic DNA was combined with gene-specific primers,
434 TaqMan-MGB probes and 10 μ L of iQ multiplex PowerMix (Biorad) in a total volume of 20 μ L. Primers'
435 concentration was 0.4 μ M and TaqMan probes' concentration 0.4 μ M. PCR conditions were as follows:
436 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds and 59°C for 60 seconds.

437 Standard curves of genomic DNA ranging from 200 ng to 0.2 ng were performed to verify that the 80
438 pg dilution was within the linear range of the reaction. For CNVs, the quantification includes from five
439 to eight technical replicates. For assays on mouse genome, we adapted a TaqMan probe for the same
440 amplicon reported in (31, 43). Probes' and primers' sequences are reported below:

441 mL1 ORF2 F: 5' – CTGGCGAGGATGTGGAGAA - 3'

442 mL1 ORF2 R: 5' – CCTGCAATCCCACCAACAT - 3'

443 mL1 ORF2 Taqman probe: 5' – TGGAGAAAGAGGAACACTCCTCC - 3'

444 mL1 5S F: 5' – ACGGCCATAACCACCCTGAAC - 3'

445 mL1 5S R: 5' – AGCCTACAGCACCCGGTATTC - 3'

446 mL1 5S Taqman probe: 5' – GATCTCGTCTGATCTCGGAAGCTAAG - 3'

447

448 **FACS analysis and isolation of PBMCs populations**

449 Fresh cord blood samples derived from full-term and preterm were subjected to erythrocytes lysis
450 following manufacturer's instruction (BD lysis buffer). PBMCs (Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells)
451 were then stained with anti CD45 for 30 minutes at 37°C, different mononuclear subpopulations were
452 identified gating on CD45 and SSC as described in (78). Most abundant populations as granulocytes
453 and lymphocytes were then sorted to be further subjected to DNA methylation analysis. granulocytes
454 were sorted as the population CD45 high with the highest SSC while lymphocytes were sorted as the
455 population CD45 high with the lowest SSC.

456

457 **Study approval:**

458 The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee Milano Area B. The trial is registered at
459 Clinicaltrial.gov (NCT02983513). Written informed consent was signed by both parents before inclusion
460 in the study (both for preterm and full-term infants). All experimental procedures were performed in
461 compliance with national and EU legislation, and Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, approved
462 by Animal Care and Use Committee.

463

464 **Accession number:**

465 The data from bisulfite sequencing has been submitted in NCBI GEO (GSE136844).

466

467 **STATISTICAL METHODS**

468 Demographic and baseline characteristics were described as mean \pm SD, median and range or number
469 and percentage. Independent t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used in the comparison of
470 continuous variables with normal distribution and non-normal distribution respectively. For the
471 comparison of qualitative data, Fisher's exact test was used. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the
472 normal distribution of the data. To assess the differences between full-term and preterm infants, and
473 between treatment groups in total L1 methylation and on each CpG, unpaired t-test and two-way
474 ANOVA model with Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests were used. Linear regression model was used to study
475 the relationship between L1 methylation at NICU discharge and the intensity of care (mean number of
476 massages per week) and independent t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to assess the
477 difference in 12 months neurodevelopment between Standard Care and Early Intervention groups.

478 Mouse brain regions' methylation at different stages of development were analyzed using one-way
479 ANOVA and Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests. All tests were two-tailed, and $p < 0.05$ was considered
480 significant for all tests. Statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.5.3 (R Foundation for
481 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

482 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

483 C.F. designed and performed clinical developmental intervention, analyzed the data and wrote the
484 manuscript. F.M. designed and performed molecular experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the
485 manuscript. L.P. performed molecular experiments and wrote the manuscript. S.M. isolated and
486 collected mouse brain areas. N.P. performed statistical analyses. S.S. performed bioinformatical
487 analyses. S.P. contributed to data collection and interpretation. S.A. and F.M. contributed to study
488 design and data interpretation. S.L. supervised mouse data collection, contributed to data interpretation
489 and write the manuscript. B.B. and M.F. conceived this study, designed experiments, analyzed the data
490 and wrote the manuscript.

491

492 **AKNOWLEDGMENTS**

493 We thank C. Lanzuolo for the stimulating discussions and constructive criticisms of this manuscript. The
494 authors acknowledge the technical assistance of the INGM Sorting Facility (Istituto Nazionale di
495 Genetica Molecolare "Romeo ed Enrica Invernizzi" (INGM), Milan, Italy), in particular M.C. Crosti. We
496 are grateful to the infants and families who participated in the study. We thank C. Lembo, the staff of
497 the NICU and of the preterms' follow-up clinic (in particular O. Picciolini, S. Gangi, L. Gardon and C.
498 Squarza), for supporting the project.

499 We acknowledge funding from the Italian Ministry of Health (RC 780/03 2017), the University of Milan
500 (DISCCO 2015) and INGM internal funding

501

502 **REFERENCES**

- 503 1. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Chou D, Oestergaard M, Say L, Moller AB, et al. Born too soon: the global
504 epidemiology of 15 million preterm births. *Reprod Health*. 2013;10 Suppl 1:S2.
- 505 2. Purisch SE, and Gyamfi-Bannerman C. Epidemiology of preterm birth. *Semin Perinatol*. 2017;41(7):387-
506 91.
- 507 3. Moster D, Lie RT, and Markestad T. Long-term medical and social consequences of preterm birth. *N*
508 *Engl J Med*. 2008;359(3):262-73.
- 509 4. Moore T, Hennessy EM, Myles J, Johnson SJ, Draper ES, Costeloe KL, et al. Neurological and
510 developmental outcome in extremely preterm children born in England in 1995 and 2006: the EPICure
511 studies. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e7961.
- 512 5. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, and Oosterlaan J. Meta-analysis of
513 neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children. *Pediatrics*.
514 2009;124(2):717-28.
- 515 6. van Noort-van der Spek IL, Franken MC, and Weisglas-Kuperus N. Language functions in preterm-born
516 children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(4):745-54.
- 517 7. Burnett AC, Anderson PJ, Cheong J, Doyle LW, Davey CG, and Wood SJ. Prevalence of psychiatric
518 diagnoses in preterm and full-term children, adolescents and young adults: a meta-analysis. *Psychol*
519 *Med*. 2011;41(12):2463-74.
- 520 8. Johnson S, and Marlow N. Preterm birth and childhood psychiatric disorders. *Pediatr Res*. 2011;69(5 Pt
521 2):11R-8R.
- 522 9. Montagna A, and Nosarti C. Socio-Emotional Development Following Very Preterm Birth: Pathways to
523 Psychopathology. *Front Psychol*. 2016;7:80.
- 524 10. Johnson S, and Marlow N. Early and long-term outcome of infants born extremely preterm. *Arch Dis*
525 *Child*. 2017;102(1):97-102.
- 526 11. Bataille D, Hughes EJ, Zhang H, Tournier JD, Tusor N, Aljabar P, et al. Early development of structural
527 networks and the impact of prematurity on brain connectivity. *Neuroimage*. 2017;149:379-92.
- 528 12. Brown NC, Doyle LW, Bear MJ, and Inder TE. Alterations in neurobehavior at term reflect differing
529 perinatal exposures in very preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(6):2461-71.
- 530 13. Makropoulos A, Aljabar P, Wright R, Huning B, Merchant N, Arichi T, et al. Regional growth and atlas
531 of the developing human brain. *Neuroimage*. 2016;125:456-78.
- 532 14. Raiskila S, Axelin A, Toome L, Caballero S, Tandberg BS, Montirosso R, et al. Parents' presence and
533 parent-infant closeness in 11 neonatal intensive care units in six European countries vary between and
534 within the countries. *Acta Paediatr*. 2017;106(6):878-88.
- 535 15. Mooney-Leber SM, and Brummelte S. Neonatal pain and reduced maternal care: Early-life stressors
536 interacting to impact brain and behavioral development. *Neuroscience*. 2017;342:21-36.
- 537 16. Volpe JJ. Dysmaturation of Premature Brain: Importance, Cellular Mechanisms, and Potential
538 Interventions. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2019;95:42-66.
- 539 17. Volpe JJ. Brain injury in premature infants: a complex amalgam of destructive and developmental
540 disturbances. *Lancet Neurol*. 2009;8(1):110-24.
- 541 18. Lodato S, and Arlotta P. Generating neuronal diversity in the mammalian cerebral cortex. *Annu Rev Cell*
542 *Dev Biol*. 2015;31:699-720.
- 543 19. Mancinelli S, and Lodato S. Decoding neuronal diversity in the developing cerebral cortex: from single
544 cells to functional networks. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2018;53:146-55.


- 545 20. Vohr BR. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of extremely preterm infants. *Clin Perinatol.* 2014;41(1):241-
546 55.
- 547 21. Ball G, Boardman JP, Aljabar P, Pandit A, Arichi T, Merchant N, et al. The influence of preterm birth on
548 the developing thalamocortical connectome. *Cortex.* 2013;49(6):1711-21.
- 549 22. Haebich KM, Willmott C, Scratch SE, Pascoe L, Lee KJ, Spencer-Smith MM, et al. Neonatal brain
550 abnormalities and brain volumes associated with goal setting outcomes in very preterm 13-year-olds.
551 *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2019.
- 552 23. Vinall J, Miller SP, Synnes AR, and Grunau RE. Parent behaviors moderate the relationship between
553 neonatal pain and internalizing behaviors at 18 months corrected age in children born very prematurely.
554 *Pain.* 2013;154(9):1831-9.
- 555 24. Als H, Duffy FH, McAnulty GB, Rivkin MJ, Vajapeyam S, Mulkern RV, et al. Early experience alters
556 brain function and structure. *Pediatrics.* 2004;113(4):846-57.
- 557 25. Als H, Gilkerson L, Duffy FH, McAnulty GB, Buehler DM, Vandenberg K, et al. A three-center,
558 randomized, controlled trial of individualized developmental care for very low birth weight preterm
559 infants: medical, neurodevelopmental, parenting, and caregiving effects. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.*
560 2003;24(6):399-408.
- 561 26. Milgrom J, Newnham C, Anderson PJ, Doyle LW, Gemmill AW, Lee K, et al. Early sensitivity training for
562 parents of preterm infants: impact on the developing brain. *Pediatr Res.* 2010;67(3):330-5.
- 563 27. Guzzetta A, Baldini S, Bancalè A, Baroncelli L, Ciucci F, Ghirri P, et al. Massage accelerates brain
564 development and the maturation of visual function. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29(18):6042-51.
- 565 28. Lejeune F, Lordier L, Pittet MP, Schoenhals L, Grandjean D, Huppi PS, et al. Effects of an Early
566 Postnatal Music Intervention on Cognitive and Emotional Development in Preterm Children at 12 and 24
567 Months: Preliminary Findings. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:494.
- 568 29. Lordier L, Loukas S, Grouiller F, Vollenweider A, Vasung L, Meskaldij DE, et al. Music processing in
569 preterm and full-term newborns: A psychophysiological interaction (PPI) approach in neonatal fMRI.
570 *Neuroimage.* 2019;185:857-64.
- 571 30. Bedrosian TA, Linker S, and Gage FH. Environment-driven somatic mosaicism in brain disorders.
572 *Genome Med.* 2016;8(1):58.
- 573 31. Bedrosian TA, Quayle C, Novaresi N, and Gage FH. Early life experience drives structural variation of
574 neural genomes in mice. *Science.* 2018;359(6382):1395-9.
- 575 32. Di Segni M, Andolina D, and Ventura R. Long-term effects of early environment on the brain: Lesson
576 from rodent models. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* 2018;77:81-92.
- 577 33. Lapp HE, and Hunter RG. Early life exposures, neurodevelopmental disorders, and transposable
578 elements. *Neurobiol Stress.* 2019;11:100174.
- 579 34. Song S, and Gleeson JG. Early life experience shapes neural genome. *Science.* 2018;359(6382):1330-
580 1.
- 581 35. Beck CR, Garcia-Perez JL, Badge RM, and Moran JV. LINE-1 elements in structural variation and
582 disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* 2011;12:187-215.
- 583 36. Faulkner GJ, and Garcia-Perez JL. L1 Mosaicism in Mammals: Extent, Effects, and Evolution. *Trends*
584 *Genet.* 2017;33(11):802-16.
- 585 37. Baillie JK, Barnett MW, Upton KR, Gerhardt DJ, Richmond TA, De Sapio F, et al. Somatic
586 retrotransposition alters the genetic landscape of the human brain. *Nature.* 2011;479(7374):534-7.
- 587 38. Perrat PN, DasGupta S, Wang J, Theurkauf W, Weng Z, Rosbash M, et al. Transposition-driven
588 genomic heterogeneity in the Drosophila brain. *Science.* 2013;340(6128):91-5.

- 589 39. Upton KR, Gerhardt DJ, Jesuadian JS, Richardson SR, Sanchez-Luque FJ, Bodea GO, et al.
590 Ubiquitous L1 mosaicism in hippocampal neurons. *Cell*. 2015;161(2):228-39.
- 591 40. Muotri AR, Chu VT, Marchetto MC, Deng W, Moran JV, and Gage FH. Somatic mosaicism in neuronal
592 precursor cells mediated by L1 retrotransposition. *Nature*. 2005;435(7044):903-10.
- 593 41. Kuwabara T, Hsieh J, Muotri A, Yeo G, Warashina M, Lie DC, et al. Wnt-mediated activation of
594 NeuroD1 and retro-elements during adult neurogenesis. *Nature neuroscience*. 2009;12(9):1097-105.
- 595 42. Coufal NG, Garcia-Perez JL, Peng GE, Yeo GW, Mu Y, Lovci MT, et al. L1 retrotransposition in human
596 neural progenitor cells. *Nature*. 2009;460(7259):1127-31.
- 597 43. Muotri AR, Marchetto MC, Coufal NG, Oefner R, Yeo G, Nakashima K, et al. L1 retrotransposition in
598 neurons is modulated by MeCP2. *Nature*. 2010;468(7322):443-6.
- 599 44. Misiak B, Szmida E, Karpinski P, Loska O, Sasiadek MM, and Frydecka D. Lower LINE-1 methylation in
600 first-episode schizophrenia patients with the history of childhood trauma. *Epigenomics*. 2015;7(8):1275-
601 85.
- 602 45. Natt D, Johansson I, Faresjo T, Ludvigsson J, and Thorsell A. High cortisol in 5-year-old children causes
603 loss of DNA methylation in SINE retrotransposons: a possible role for ZNF263 in stress-related
604 diseases. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2015;7:91.
- 605 46. Zhao X, Xiong J, Mao F, Sheng Y, Chen X, Feng L, et al. RNAi-dependent Polycomb repression
606 controls transposable elements in *Tetrahymena*. *Genes Dev*. 2019;33(5-6):348-64.
- 607 47. Bundo M, Toyoshima M, Okada Y, Akamatsu W, Ueda J, Nemoto-Miyauchi T, et al. Increased I1
608 retrotransposition in the neuronal genome in schizophrenia. *Neuron*. 2014;81(2):306-13.
- 609 48. Doyle GA, Crist RC, Karatas ET, Hammond MJ, Ewing AD, Ferraro TN, et al. Analysis of LINE-1
610 Elements in DNA from Postmortem Brains of Individuals with Schizophrenia.
611 *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2017;42(13):2602-11.
- 612 49. Shpyleva S, Melnyk S, Pavliv O, Pogribny I, and Jill James S. Overexpression of LINE-1
613 Retrotransposons in Autism Brain. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2018;55(2):1740-9.
- 614 50. Misiak B, Ricceri L, and Sasiadek MM. Transposable Elements and Their Epigenetic Regulation in
615 Mental Disorders: Current Evidence in the Field. *Front Genet*. 2019;10:580.
- 616 51. Fontana C, Menis C, Pesenti N, Passera S, Liotto N, Mosca F, et al. Effects of early intervention on
617 feeding behavior in preterm infants: A randomized controlled trial. *Early Hum Dev*. 2018;121:15-20.
- 618 52. Sanchez-Luque FJ, Kempen MHC, Gerdes P, Vargas-Landin DB, Richardson SR, Troskie RL, et al.
619 LINE-1 Evasion of Epigenetic Repression in Humans. *Mol Cell*. 2019.
- 620 53. Sookdeo A, Hepp CM, McClure MA, and Boissinot S. Revisiting the evolution of mouse LINE-1 in the
621 genomic era. *Mob DNA*. 2013;4(1):3.
- 622 54. Lee SH, Cho SY, Shannon MF, Fan J, and Rangasamy D. The impact of CpG island on defining
623 transcriptional activation of the mouse L1 retrotransposable elements. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(6):e11353.
- 624 55. Griffiths R. The abilities of young children: A comprehensive system of mental measurement for the first
625 eight years of life. London: Child Development Research Centre. 1970.
- 626 56. Kundakovic M, and Champagne FA. Early-life experience, epigenetics, and the developing brain.
627 *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2015;40(1):141-53.
- 628 57. Casavant SG, Cong X, Moore J, and Starkweather A. Associations between preterm infant stress,
629 epigenetic alteration, telomere length and neurodevelopmental outcomes: A systematic review. *Early
630 Hum Dev*. 2019;131:63-74.
- 631 58. Fumagalli M, Provenzi L, De Carli P, Dessimone F, Sirgiovanni I, Giorda R, et al. From early stress to
632 12-month development in very preterm infants: Preliminary findings on epigenetic mechanisms and
633 brain growth. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0190602.

- 634 59. Bodea GO, McKelvey EGZ, and Faulkner GJ. Retrotransposon-induced mosaicism in the neural
635 genome. *Open Biol.* 2018;8(7).
- 636 60. Paquola ACM, Erwin JA, and Gage FH. Insights into the role of somatic mosaicism in the brain. *Curr*
637 *Opin Syst Biol.* 2017;1:90-4.
- 638 61. Coufal NG, Garcia-Perez JL, Peng GE, Marchetto MC, Muotri AR, Mu Y, et al. Ataxia telangiectasia
639 mutated (ATM) modulates long interspersed element-1 (L1) retrotransposition in human neural stem
640 cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.*
641 2011;108(51):20382-7.
- 642 62. Mathewson KJ, Chow CH, Dobson KG, Pope EI, Schmidt LA, and Van Lieshout RJ. Mental health of
643 extremely low birth weight survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull.*
644 2017;143(4):347-83.
- 645 63. Ball G, Boardman JP, Rueckert D, Aljabar P, Arichi T, Merchant N, et al. The effect of preterm birth on
646 thalamic and cortical development. *Cereb Cortex.* 2012;22(5):1016-24.
- 647 64. Thompson DK, Omizzolo C, Adamson C, Lee KJ, Stargatt R, Egan GF, et al. Longitudinal growth and
648 morphology of the hippocampus through childhood: Impact of prematurity and implications for memory
649 and learning. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2014;35(8):4129-39.
- 650 65. Chau CMY, Ranger M, Bichin M, Park MTM, Amaral RSC, Chakravarty M, et al. Hippocampus,
651 Amygdala, and Thalamus Volumes in Very Preterm Children at 8 Years: Neonatal Pain and Genetic
652 Variation. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2019;13:51.
- 653 66. Oler JA, Fox AS, Shelton SE, Rogers J, Dyer TD, Davidson RJ, et al. Amygdalar and hippocampal
654 substrates of anxious temperament differ in their heritability. *Nature.* 2010;466(7308):864-8.
- 655 67. Brossard-Racine M, McCarter R, Murnick J, Tinkleman L, Vezina G, and Limperopoulos C. Early extra-
656 uterine exposure alters regional cerebellar growth in infants born preterm. *Neuroimage Clin.*
657 2019;21:101646.
- 658 68. Limperopoulos C, Benson CB, Bassan H, Disalvo DN, Kinnamon DD, Moore M, et al. Cerebellar
659 hemorrhage in the preterm infant: ultrasonographic findings and risk factors. *Pediatrics.*
660 2005;116(3):717-24.
- 661 69. Squarza C, Piccolini O, Gardon L, Ravasi M, Gianni ML, Porro M, et al. Seven Years Cognitive
662 Functioning and Early Assessment in Extremely Low Birth Weight Children. *Front Psychol.* 2017;8:1257.
- 663 70. Newnham CA, Milgrom J, and Skouteris H. Effectiveness of a modified Mother-Infant Transaction
664 Program on outcomes for preterm infants from 3 to 24 months of age. *Infant Behav Dev.* 2009;32(1):17-
665 26.
- 666 71. R. G. *The abilities of young children: A comprehensive system of mental measurement for the first eight*
667 *years of life.*; 1970.
- 668 72. Li Y, and Tollefsbol TO. DNA methylation detection: bisulfite genomic sequencing analysis. *Methods*
669 *Mol Biol.* 2011;791:11-21.
- 670 73. Li LC, and Dahiya R. MethPrimer: designing primers for methylation PCRs. *Bioinformatics.*
671 2002;18(11):1427-31.
- 672 74. Kumaki Y, Oda M, and Okano M. QUMA: quantification tool for methylation analysis. *Nucleic acids*
673 *research.* 2008;36(Web Server issue):W170-5.
- 674 75. Bolger AM, Lohse M, and Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
675 *Bioinformatics.* 2014;30(15):2114-20.
- 676 76. Krueger F, and Andrews SR. Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq
677 applications. *Bioinformatics.* 2011;27(11):1571-2.

- 678 77. Akalin A, Kormaksson M, Li S, Garrett-Bakelman FE, Figueroa ME, Melnick A, et al. methylKit: a
679 comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles. *Genome Biol.*
680 2012;13(10):R87.
- 681 78. Stelzer GT, Shults KE, and Loken MR. CD45 gating for routine flow cytometric analysis of human bone
682 marrow specimens. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1993;677:265-80.
683
- 684
- 685

686 FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS




687

688

689 **Figure 1. Flow chart of the study.**

690 CONSORT flow diagram showing patient enrollment, allocation to Standard Care and Early Intervention

691 groups, subsequent L1 promoter methylation analysis and neurodevelopmental evaluation.




692

693

694 **Figure 2. Time line of the study.**

695 Preterm infants born between 25⁺⁰ and 29⁺⁶ weeks gestational age (GA) were recruited. At birth, cord
696 blood samples were collected. One week after birth, preterm infants were randomized to either receive
697 Standard Care or Early Intervention during NICU stay. At term equivalent age (40 weeks GA), before
698 NICU discharge, peripheral blood samples were harvested. At 12 months corrected age
699 neurodevelopmental assessment was performed. L1 promoter methylation analysis was performed on
700 genomic DNA extracted from cord blood and peripheral blood.

701




702

703

704 **Figure 3. L1 promoter is hypomethylated in preterm neonates and its methylation is restored**
 705 **upon Early Intervention at NICU discharge.**

706 **a)** Schematic representation of human (*Hs*) LINE-1 (L1): 5' untranslated region (5'UTR) that contains
 707 the internal promoter (arrow), open reading frame 1 (ORF1) and open reading frame 2 (ORF2). ORF2
 708 includes endonuclease (EN), reverse transcriptase (RT), and cysteine-rich domains (C); poly (A)
 709 tract (An). Within the L1 promoter are highlighted: CpG island (CpG 1-19), the neural specific CpG (CpG 11-
 710 19, as reported in (42)) and YY1-binding site. **b-c)** Methylation analysis of **b)** L1 promoter and **c)** L1
 711 promoter neural specific CpG 11-19 performed on genomic DNA extracted from cord blood of full-term
 712 (n = 20) and preterm neonates (n = 19) at birth and from peripheral blood of preterm infants at NICU
 713 discharge treated with Standard Care (n = 16) or Early Intervention (n = 17). In **b)** ***p < 0.001, Full-
 714 term vs Preterm; *p = 0.015, Full-term vs Standard Care; **p = 0.008, Preterm vs Early Intervention; in
 715 **c)** **p = 0.001, Full-term vs Preterm; ***p < 0.001, Preterm vs Early Intervention, unpaired two-tailed t
 716 test. **d-e)** Scatter plot and linear regression line with 95% confidence band of weekly infant massages

717 vs **(d)** L1 promoter methylation level (estimate: 1.8, $p = 0.017$) and vs **(e)** L1 promoter neural specific
718 CpG 11-19 methylation level (estimate: 2.0, $p = 0.005$) in the Early Intervention group ($n = 17$).
719



720

721 **Figure 4. L1 promoter methylation levels are dynamic during development in mouse brain.**

722 **a)** Schematic drawings representing sagittal sections of mouse brain at different stages of embryonic-

723 perinatal (E15.5, E18.5, P0) and postnatal (P3, P14) development. The regions micro-dissected for

724 DNA methylation assay (hippocampus, cerebral cortex and cerebellum) are highlighted with different

725 tones of blue. **b)** Schematic representation of mouse (*Mm*) LINE-1 (L1) Tf subfamily (L1MdTf): 5'


726 untranslated region (5'UTR), monomeric repeats (white triangles), open reading frame 1 (ORF1) and

727 open reading frame 2 (ORF2). ORF2 includes endonuclease (EN), reverse transcriptase (RT), and

728 cysteine-rich domains (C); poly (A) tract (An). Within the L1 5'UTR monomer are highlighted: CpG island

729 (CpG 1-13) and YY1 binding site (red), as reported in (31). **c)** Methylation analysis of L1MdTf promoter

730 at YY1 binding site in hippocampus, cortex and cerebellum at different stages of embryonic (E15.5,
731 E18.5) and postnatal development (P0, P3, P14). For each organ and developmental stage, samples
732 from 4 different embryos/mice were analyzed. On an average 80,000 reads were analyzed for each
733 sample. Hippocampus: E15.5 vs P14, $p = 0.003$; E18.5 vs P0, $p = 0.047$; E18.5 vs P3, $p = 0.009$; E18.5
734 vs P14, $p = 0.000$; P0 vs P14; $p = 0.027$. Cortex: E15.5 vs P14, $p = 0.003$; E18.5 vs P3, $p = 0.031$;
735 E18.5 vs P14, $p = 0.000$; P0 vs P14, $p = 0.001$; P3 vs P14, $p = 0.017$, ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test.
736 Data are represented as the mean percentage of methylation \pm s.e.m.
737



738

739

740 **Figure 5. L1 CNVs early postnatally increase in mouse hippocampus and cortex.**

741 **a)** Schematic representation of L1 CNV assay performed on mouse hippocampus, cortex and
742 cerebellum. Briefly, the assay is performed in multiplex qPCR, using Taqman probes specific for mL1-
743 ORF2 and m5S as reported in (42). **b)** L1 CNV assay performed on mouse hippocampus, cortex and
744 cerebellum at different stages of embryonic (E15.5, E18.5) and postnatal development (P0, P3, P14).
745 For each organ and developmental stage, genomic DNA samples from the 4 mice (same as in Figure
746 4) were analyzed. mL1-ORF2 was normalized on m5S. Hippocampus: E15.5 vs P3, $p = 0.006$; E15.5
747 vs P14, $p = 0.000$; E18.5 vs P3, $p = 0.012$; E18.5 vs P14, $p = 0.000$; P0 vs P14, $p = 0.004$. Cortex:
748 E15.5 vs P3, $p = 0.018$; E15.5 vs P14, $p = 0.000$; E18.5 vs P3, $p = 0.047$; E18.5 vs P14, $p = 0.000$; P0
749 vs P14, $p = 0.000$, ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test. Data are represented as mean \pm s.e.m.

750

751

752 **Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the population: descriptive statistics and comparisons**
 753 **between Early Intervention and Standard Care groups**
 754

Demographic feature	Standard Care (n = 16)	Early Intervention (n = 18)	p value
Maternal characteristics			
Maternal Age (years), mean ± SD	35.1 ± 6.1	33.1 ± 4.8	0.293 [^]
Education (up to high school), n (%)	8 (50%)	3 (17%)	0.066 [°]
Socio-economic status, mean ± SD	47.8 ± 15.8	51.3 ± 9.3	0.627 [*]
Maternal smoking before or during pregnancy, n (%)	2 (12%)	1 (6%)	0.591 [°]
Maternal alcohol abuse during pregnancy, n (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	>0.999 [°]
Clinical chorioamnionitis, n (%)	8 (50%)	5 (28%)	0.291 [°]
Infant characteristics			
Gestational age at birth (weeks), mean ± SD	27.9 ± 1.1	28.1 ± 1.4	0.318 [*]
Birth Weight (g), mean ± SD	1089 ± 347	1005 ± 296	0.453 [^]
Male, n (%)	9 (56%)	9 (50%)	0.744 [°]
Singleton, n (%)	6 (38%)	8 (44%)	0.738 [°]
Small for Gestational Age, n (%)	3 (19%)	4 (22%)	>0.999 [°]
Cesarean Section, n (%)	14 (88%)	18 (100%)	0.214 [°]
Apgar score at 1', median (range)	7 (2-8)	6 (4-8)	0.832 [*]
Apgar score at 5', median (range)	8 (5-9)	8 (6-9)	0.409 [*]
Clinical Risk Index for Babies (CRIB) II score, mean ± SD	8.0 ± 2.5	8.1 ± 2.1	0.903 [*]
Days of Hospitalization, mean ± SD	79.4 ± 30.7	83.9 ± 27.6	0.654 [^]
Gestational Age at Discharge (weeks), mean ± SD	39.4 ± 3.7	40.1 ± 3.7	0.567 [^]
Days in the incubator, mean ± SD	51.9 ± 21.9	55.7 ± 18.8	0.589 [^]
Postnatal Morbidities			
Days of Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, mean ± SD	4.2 ± 6.3	6.1 ± 8.8	0.914 [*]
Days of Non-Invasive Ventilation (NCPAP + nHFT), mean ± SD	31.9 ± 20.4	49.1 ± 36.1	0.220 [*]
Sepsis, n (%)	5 (31%)	11 (61%)	0.101 [°]
Severe Bronchopulmonary Displasia, n (%)	2 (12%)	8 (44%)	0.063 [°]
Germinal Matrix Hemorrhage - Intraventricular Hemorrhage (GMH - IVH) 1-2, n (%)	2 (12%)	2 (11%)	>0.999 [°]
Retinopathy of Prematurity (ROP) any grade, n (%)	2 (12%)	2 (11%)	>0.999 [°]

755 Values are shown as count (percentage) for categorical variables and means ± standard deviations or
 756 median (range) for continuous variables. P-values were obtained using t-test ([^]), Mann-Whitney U Test
 757 (^{*}) or Fisher Exact Test ([°]).
 758
 759

760 **Table 2. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 12 months corrected age**
761

12 Months Follow-up	Standard Care (n = 15)	Early Intervention (n = 17)	p value
General Quotient, mean ± SD	90.5 ± 3.3	93.9 ± 4.4	0.017 [^]
Locomotor, mean ± SD	96.3 ± 5.7	94.9 ± 9.8	0.894 [*]
Personal-Social, mean ± SD	87.5 ± 4.6	93.9 ± 5.1	0.001 [^]
Hearing and Speech, mean ± SD	91.0 ± 4.2	94.8 ± 3.8	0.024 [*]
Eye and Hand Coordination, mean ± SD	89.5 ± 6.2	94.7 ± 4.8	0.014 [^]
Performance, mean ± SD	91.1 ± 3.9	94.8 ± 5.6	0.026 [*]

762

763 Means ± standard deviations are shown. P-values were obtained using t-test ([^]) or Mann-Whitney U
764 Test (^{*}).

765

766