

Rise and Regional Disparities in Buprenorphine Utilization in the United States

Running title: Regional disparities in Buprenorphine

Amir Azar R. Pashmineh^{1#}, Alexandra Cruz-Mullane^{1#}, Jaclyn C. Podd^{1#}, Warren S. Lam¹, Suhail H. Kaleem¹, Laura B. Lockard¹, Mark R. Mandel¹, Daniel Y. Chung¹, Corey S. Davis², Stephanie D. Nichols^{3,4}, Kenneth L. McCall⁴, and Brian J. Piper^{1,5*}

¹Department of Medical Education, Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine, Scranton, PA, USA

²Network for Public Health Law, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³Department of Pharmacy Practice, University of New England, Portland, ME, USA

⁴Department of Psychiatry, Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA

⁵Center for Pharmacy Innovation and Outcomes, Geisinger Precision Health Center, Forty Fort, PA, USA

designated authors contributed equally

Conflict of Interest declarations: BJP has a grant in review with Pfizer. The other authors report no relevant conflicts of interest.

Clinical trial registration: Not applicable.

Category: Short report

Data availability: Original data is available at:

https://www.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/arcos/retail_drug_summary/index.html

<https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/prescription-drugs/state-drug-utilization-data/index.html>

Word Count: Abstract: 218 / 300; Manuscript: 1,948 / 2,000; Figures: 3 (1 in color), Tables: 0;

Supplemental Figures: 1

*For Correspondence:

Brian J. Piper, PhD MS

Department of Medical Education

Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine

Scranton, PA 18510

bpiper@som.geisinger.edu or psy391@gmail.com

Key words: addiction; opioid use disorder; opiate

Abstract

Aims: Buprenorphine is an opioid partial-agonist used to treat Opioid Use Disorders (OUD).

While several state and federal policy changes have attempted to increase buprenorphine availability, access remains well below optimal levels. This study characterized how buprenorphine utilization in the United States has changed over time and whether there are regional disparities in distribution.

Measurements: Buprenorphine weights distributed from 2007 to 2017 were obtained from the Drug Enforcement Administration. Data was expressed as the percent change and as the mg per person in each state. Separately, the formulations for prescriptions covered by Medicaid (2008 to 2018) were examined.

Findings: Buprenorphine distributed to pharmacies increased about seven-fold (476.8 to 3,179.9 kg) while the quantities distributed to hospitals grew five-fold (18.6 to 97.6 kg) nationally from 2007 to 2017. Buprenorphine distribution per person was almost 20-fold higher in Vermont (40.4 mg/person) relative to South Dakota (2.1 mg/person). There was a strong association between the number of waived physicians per 100K population and distribution per state ($r(49) = +0.76$, $p < .0005$). The buprenorphine/naloxone sublingual film (Suboxone) was the predominant formulation (92.6% of 0.31 million Medicaid prescriptions) in 2008 but this accounted for less than three-fifths (57.3% of 6.56 million prescriptions) in 2018.

Conclusions: Although buprenorphine availability has substantially increased over the last decade, distribution was very non-homogenous across the US.

Opioid agonist therapy with the medications methadone and buprenorphine, sometimes referred to as medications for opioid use disorder, (MOUD), has been shown to reduce opioid consumption, increase psychosocial functioning, and reduce drug-related and overall mortality. Systematic reviews have demonstrated a 32-69% reduction in illicit drug use, 20-60% reduction in injection drug use, and 25-86% reduction in sharing injection equipment among individuals receiving MOUD.^{1,2} Because federal law limits availability of methadone by to specialized clinics when used for the treatment of OUD, this legal status has remained stable for decades, and previous research has demonstrated that methadone distribution has remained relatively stable^{3,4} or decreased over the past decade, this paper will focus on buprenorphine.

Buprenorphine is an analogue of thebaine and functions as a mu and nociceptin receptor partial-agonist, a kappa receptor antagonist, and a delta receptor antagonist.⁵ The major metabolites are also biologically active at the four opioid receptors.⁶ Buprenorphine is eight-fold more potent as an analgesic than oxycodone and ten-fold more potent than hydrocodone.³ This Schedule III substance comes in several formulations: a parenteral formulation for moderate to severe pain, a transdermal patch for continuous pain management, a buccal film for moderate to severe chronic pain, a buccal film with naloxone for OUD, a subcutaneous implant designed for medication release over six-months for OUD, a long acting injection that is administered every month for OUD, a sublingual tablet (with or without naloxone) for OUD, and a sublingual film.⁷ Unlike most prescription opioids whose US use has been steadily decreasing, buprenorphine distribution increased by 75% from 2011 to 2016.³

There have been some concerns expressed about the safety, misuse potential, and cost of buprenorphine. Severe buprenorphine exposures including those resulting in life threatening symptoms or death reported to US poison centers increased 67% from 2011 to 2016.⁸ The US

street value per mg of buprenorphine as reported to the crowdsourcing site streetrx.com was over twice that of methadone, oxycodone, and hydrocodone and four-fold higher than morphine.⁹ It is likely that the rationale for use of buprenorphine/naloxone products by persons who they were not prescribed, including occasionally by injection,¹⁰ is primarily to prevent withdrawal and that pleasurable effects are less common,^{5,11} particularly with repeat use. Although some prosecutors no longer accept buprenorphine possession cases because they understand that individuals using buprenorphine not prescribed are at lower risk than individuals using other opioids, there were eight-hundred arrests reported to the Maine Diversion Program from 2014 to 2017 involving buprenorphine.¹² Buprenorphine arrests in 2017 exceeded those involving methadone, oxycodone, hydrocodone, tramadol, or morphine, combined.¹³ The buprenorphine and naloxone transmucosal film was ranked 120th in the U.S. in 2007 in sales (\$70.6 million/quarter) but moved up to 28th with \$415 million by the first-quarter of 2013.¹⁴ Racial and ethnic disparities in OUD treatment have been a persistent problem.^{15,16}

There are also pronounced regional disparities in the use of prescription opioids.^{13,14,17,18} A five-fold difference was identified between the highest (Rhode Island) and lowest (South Dakota) states.⁴ Drug overdoses are much higher in the Eastern US, compared to the Western US, although several Western states have recently seen significant increases in overdose deaths^{18,19} Half of counties, including over two-thirds in rural areas, lacked a single OUD medication provider.²⁰ The prevalence of waivered physicians in 2013 differed sixteen-fold between the highest (Vermont) and lowest (Iowa) states.²¹ The objectives of this report were to extend upon existing knowledge regarding access to buprenorphine^{3,4,17,20,21} and to describe the temporal and regional changes in US buprenorphine utilization over the last decade.

Materials and methods

Procedures

Buprenorphine weights from 2007 to 2017 were obtained from the Automated Reports and Consolidated Ordering System (ARCOS) Retail Drug Summary Reports which are generated by the Drug Enforcement Administration's (DEA). The year 2017 was selected as the most recent available. We utilized data for four distribution sources: pharmacies, hospitals, practitioners, and Narcotic Treatment Programs (NTPs) (values were negligible for teaching programs and mid-level providers). Population was obtained from the US Census Bureau. Examination of 2016 and 2017 was completed at a three-digit zip code level. This data source has been used in prior pharmacoepidemiological reports.^{3,4,13,17} The number of buprenorphine waived physicians is maintained by the DEA and was obtained.²¹ Formulation information in 2008, 2013, and 2018 was procured²² from Medicaid. The year 2018 was selected as the most recent full-year available. The percent of the total number of prescriptions and total cost (Medicaid and non-Medicaid reimbursed) attributed to each formulation was calculated. This study was deemed exempt by the Institutional Review Board of the University of New England.

Data Analysis

Drug weights were standardized for total state and territory population. The United States were grouped into nine divisions (e.g New England, Mid Atlantic) as defined by the Census (Supplementary Figure 1) for a regional analysis completed with Systat, version 13.1. A Pearson correlation was conducted on the association between the number of buprenorphine waived physicians per 100K residents per state, as reported previously²¹, and per capita buprenorphine distribution. Figures were completed with GraphPad Prism, version 8.1.0. Geospatial representation was completed with QGIS, version 2.18.

Results

From 2007 to 2017, buprenorphine distributed to pharmacies increased 6.7 fold. Hospital use increased 5.2 fold (Figure 1A). The vast majority (approximately 95% throughout the study period) of buprenorphine was distributed by pharmacies (Figure 1B).

-----Insert Figure 1 about here-----

Figure 2A shows that buprenorphine utilization was not homogenous across the US. Distribution, corrected for 2017 population, differed 19.7 fold between the highest (Vermont = 40.4 mg/person) and lowest (South Dakota, 2.1 mg/person) states and was even lower (< 0.06 mg/person) in the US Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa. Further analysis was completed with the states collapsed into US Census regions. Buprenorphine distribution in New England states was significantly higher than all other regions except East South Central. The Territories had significantly less than all other regions (Figure 2B). Additional examination was completed on the percent change in buprenorphine distribution from 2016 to 2017 by three-digit zip code (Figure 2C). One-third or more of the zip codes decreased (change \leq -0.1%) distribution in Mississippi (33.3% of zip codes in the state), Colorado (35.3%), and Virginia (37.1%). Conversely, more than two-thirds of zip codes had appreciable (change \geq +20.0%) increases in Indiana (73.7%), Arkansas (80.0%), and Connecticut (90.0%).

-----Insert Figure 2 about here-----

There was a strong association between the prevalence of buprenorphine waived physicians and buprenorphine distribution in each state ($r(49) = 0.755$, $p < .0005$, Figure 3).

-----Insert Figure 3 about here-----

Medicaid buprenorphine prescriptions increased five-fold from 2008 until 2013 and four-fold from 2013 to 2018. The buprenorphine and naloxone film accounted for the vast majority (92.6%) of buprenorphine formulations in 2008 and 2013 but less than three-fifths (57.3%) in 2018. Suboxone accounted for almost three-quarters of the total buprenorphine costs (1.08 billion \$USD) in 2018 (Supplemental Figure 2).

Discussion

There are two key findings of this pharmacepidemiological report. First, US buprenorphine distribution in the US has continually increased over the past decade. Second, utilization is not homogenously distributed and is highest in the eastern US. Before the year 2000, maintenance treatment of OUD involved either daily oral opioid antagonist therapy via naltrexone or opioid agonist therapy via an intensive treatment program at a methadone, or levoacetylalphamethadol (LAAM), clinic. After the year 2000, a federal law was passed that allowed office-based buprenorphine prescribing for OUD by providers who meet training and credentialing requirements including an X-waiver allowing for an additional DEA number beginning with an “X”.²³ Buprenorphine and buprenorphine/naloxone were FDA approved for office-based treatment of OUD in 2002. However, the law permitting buprenorphine to be prescribed for OUD only permitted physicians who had received special training in addiction medicine to prescribe the medication, and severely limited the number of simultaneous patients each could treat. In 2016, the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act permitted specially trained physician assistants and nurse practitioners to prescribe buprenorphine for OUD for a five-year period, and increased the patient limit. In 2018, the SUPPORT Act made permanent the provision permitting nurse practitioners and physician assistants to prescribe buprenorphine for

OD, temporarily permits certain other trained nurses to prescribe the medication, and further liberalized the patient cap.²⁵

Only a limited subset of eligible physicians, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants have obtained a DEA waiver to prescribe buprenorphine for an OD, and levels were particularly low in rural areas.²⁵ Rural states like Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa, and South Dakota had the lowest buprenorphine distribution. These states also have lower rates of opioid overdose although overdose information should be interpreted cautiously because states differ in reporting procedures.¹⁹ Among states with very good or excellent reporting, there was a fifteen-fold difference in overdose rates between the highest (WV = 49.6 / 100K) and lowest (HI = 3.4) states.¹⁹ Changes in law that remove some barriers to buprenorphine access have recently been enacted, but additional changes are likely necessary to ensure that the medication is available to all those who need it.^{26,27}

Four of the five US states with the most buprenorphine waived physicians in 2013 (Vermont, Maine, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island) are in the northeast.¹⁶ Drug overdoses were also not uniformly distributed across the US and were more likely in New England and Appalachian areas.²⁸ Eight of the ten states with the highest use of buprenorphine (Figure 2A) also had significantly elevated drug overdoses relative to the national average in 2017.²⁸ Vermont has greatly increased its capacity to OD in the last decade with its “hub-and-spoke” model which includes observed dosing and a masters level behavioral health provider.²⁹ A novel way to provide case-based education to providers about substance use disorders is through Project extension for community healthcare outcomes (ECHO) communities.³⁰ Many other states in Northern New England are also working to increase capacity through Project ECHO communities. For example, New Hampshire has engaged in Project ECHO training programs to

increase buprenorphine capacity among nurse practitioners (NP) and NP students through the NH Citizen's Initiative and Maine³¹ leads many Project ECHO communities through Quality Counts. The extremely limited use of buprenorphine in the US Territories is consistent with a prior report.¹⁷ The five-fold increase over the past decade in hospital buprenorphine use may reflect greater use within the emergency-room after overdoses as part of a broader effort to improve the transition to outpatient treatment for opioid addiction.³²

Under the Affordable Care Act, all Medicaid Alternative Benefit Plans, including those offered to members of the expansion category, must provide coverage for mental health and Substance Use Disorder treatment, including buprenorphine, on parity with other medical and surgical services in compliance with the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act. Recent evidence demonstrates that compared to non-expansion states, Medicaid expansion states experienced increases in overall prescriptions for, Medicaid-covered prescriptions for, and Medicaid spending on evidence-based OUD pharmacotherapies, particularly buprenorphine and naltrexone.³³⁻³⁶

Some limitations and future directions are noteworthy. First, although buprenorphine distribution was increasing overall, examination of individual 3-digit zip codes indicates that the opposite pattern may be occurring in some rural areas. Other data sources will be needed to identify and monitor specific populations^{15,16} (e.g. criminal justice) where there continue to be access barriers. Second, the DEA data source, although comprehensive, does not allow for discrimination between different buprenorphine formulations or their transportation across state lines (i.e. mail order pharmacies). Medicaid complements ARCOS in that it provides detailed information on formulations but only covers one-fifth of the US population. These data sources track distribution to pharmacies and hospitals but do not differentiate subsequent medical^{3,4,17} versus nonmedical use.^{5,9,10,12,13}

In conclusion, although this report identified pronounced increases in buprenorphine distribution, this study also discovered substantial regional differences. Further research is warranted on strategies to optimize OUD pharmacotherapy access and long-term treatment outcomes.

Acknowledgements

Software to complete this project was provided by Husson University School of Pharmacy and the NIEHS (T32 ES007060-31A1). BJP was a Fahs-Beck Fellow and supported by the Center of Excellence, Health Resources Services Administration (D34HP31025). An earlier version of this study was presented at the International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology's 35th annual meeting.

References

1. Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioid dependence. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014, (2):CD002207. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002207.pub4.
2. Sordo L, Barrio G, Bravo MJ, Indave BI, Degenhardt L, Wiessing L, et al. Mortality risk during and after opioid substitution treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2017; 357:j1550.
3. Piper BJ, Shah DT, Simoyan OM, McCall KL, Nichols SD. Trends in medical use of opioids in the U.S., 2006–2016. *Am J Prev Med* 2018; 54(5):652-660. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2018.01.034.
4. Collins LK, Pande LJ, Chung DY, Nichols SD, McCall KL, Piper BJ. Trends in the medical supply of fentanyl and fentanyl analogues: United States, 2006 to 2017. *Preventive Med* 2019; 123:95-100.
5. Lofwall MR, Walsh SL. Review of buprenorphine diversion and misuse: Current evidence base and experiences from around the world. *J Addict Med* 2014; 8:315-326.
6. Butler S. Buprenorphine-Clinically useful but often misunderstood. *Scan J Pain* 2013; 4:148-152.
7. Parida S, Carroll KM, Petrakis IL, Sofuoglu M. Buprenorphine treatment for opioid use disorder: recent progress. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 2019; 12:791-803, DOI: 10.1080/17512433.2019.1635454.

8. Rege SV, Ngob DA, Ait-Daoud N, Holstegea CP. Epidemiology of severe buprenorphine exposures reported to the U.S. Poison Centers. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2019;202:115-122. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2019.05.015.
9. Dasgupta N, Freifeld C, Brownstein JS, Menone CM, Surratt HL, Poppish L, et al. Crowdsourcing black market prices for prescription opioids. *J Med Internet Res* 2013;15:e178. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2810>.
10. Larance B, Lintzeris N, Ali R, Dietze P, Mattick R, Jenkinson R, et al. The diversion and injection of a buprenorphine-naloxone soluble film formulation. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2014; 136:21–27.
11. McLean K, Kavanaugh PR. "They're making it so hard for people to get help": Motivations for non-prescribed buprenorphine use in a time of treatment expansion. *Int J Drug Policy* 2019; 19;71:118-124. doi: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.06.019.
12. Simpson KJ, Moran MT, McCall KL, Herbert J, Foster ML, Simoyan PM, et al. Increasing heroin, cocaine, and buprenorphine arrests reported to the Maine Diversion Alert Program. *Foren Sci Int* 2019; in press, doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2019.109924.
13. Simpson KJ, Moran MT, Foster ML, Shah DT, Chung DY, Nichols SD, McCall KL, et al. Descriptive, observational study of pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical arrests, use, and overdoses in Maine. *BMJ Open* 2019;9(4):e027117. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-027117.
14. Top 200 drugs for 2007. Accessed 5/5/2019 at: https://www.drugs.com/top200_2007.html

15. Hansen HB, Siegel CE, Case CE, Bertollo DN, DiRooco D, Galanter M. Variation in use of buprenorphine and methadone treatment by racial, ethnic, and income characteristics of residential social areas in New York City. *J Behav Health Serv Res* 2013;40:367–377.
16. Santaro TN, Santaro JD. Racial bias in the US opioid epidemic: Review of the history and implications for care. *Cureus* 2018;10(12):e3733. doi: 10.7759/cureus.3733.
17. Cabrera FF, Gamarra ER, Garcia TE, Littlejohn AD, Chinga PA, Pinentel-Morillo LD, et al. Opioid distribution trends (2006-2017) in the US Territories. *PeerJ* 2019;7:e6272. <http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6272>.
18. Zoorob M. Fentanyl shock: The changing geography of overdose in the United States. *Int J Drug Policy* 2019;70:40-46. doi: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.04.010.
19. Scholl L, Seth P, Kariisa M, Wilson N, Baldwin G. Drug and opioid-involved overdose deaths - United States, 2013-2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2018; 67(5152):1419-1427. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm675152e1.
20. Haffajee RL, Allison L, Bohnert ASB, Goldstick JE. Characteristics of US counties with high opioid and low capacity to deliver medications for Opioid Use Disorder. *JAMA Network Open* 2019;2(6):e196373. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6373.
21. Knudsen HH, The supply of physicians waived to prescribe buprenorphine for opioid use disorders in the United States: A state level analysis. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2015;76:644-654.
22. Medicaid drug utilization. Accessed 8/26/19 at: <https://data.medicaid.gov/State-Drug-Utilization/Drug-Utilization-2008-National-Total/bzcm-rrj6>.

23. Davis CS, Carr DH. The law and policy of opioids for pain management, addiction treatment, and overdose reversal. *Indiana Health Law Review* 2017;14:1,1-39.
24. Davis CS, Carr DH. Legal and policy changes urgently needed to increase access to opioid agonist therapy in the United States. *Int J Drug Policy* 2019;73:42-48.
25. Andrilla CHA, Moore TE, Patterson DG, Larson EH. Geographic distribution of providers with a DEA Waiver to prescribe buprenorphine for the treatment of Opioid Use Disorder: A 5-year update. *J Rural Health* 2019;35:108-112.
26. Davis CS. The SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act — What will it mean for the opioid-overdose crisis? *New Engl J Med* 2019; 380:3-5.
26. Fiscella K, Wakeman SE, Beletsky L. Buprenorphine deregulation and mainstreaming treatment for Opioid Use Disorder: X the X Waiver. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2019;76(3):229-230. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.3685.
27. Hedegaard H, Minino AM, Warner M. Drug overdose deaths in the United States, 1999–2017. *NCHS Data Brief* 2018;329:1-8.
28. Brooklyn JR, Sigmon SC. Vermont hub-and-spoke model of Care for opioid use disorder: Development, implementation, and impact. *J Addict Med.* 2017;11(4):286-292.
29. Komaromy M, Duhigg D, Metcalf A, Carlson C, Kalishman S, Hayes L, et al. Project ECHO (Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes): A new model for educating primary care providers about treatment of substance use disorders. *Subst Abus.* 2016;37(1):20-4. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2015.1129388.
30. <https://mainehealth.org/healthcare-professionals/telehealth/project-echo>

31. Johns SE, Bowman M, Moeller FG. Utilizing buprenorphine in the Emergency Department after overdose. *Trends Pharm Sci* 2018;39:998-1000.
32. Sharp A, Jones A, Sherwood J, Kutsa O, Honermann B, Millett G. Impact of Medicaid expansion on access to Opioid Analgesic medications and Medication-Assisted Treatment. *Am J Public Health* 2018;108(5):642-648.
33. Saloner B, Levin J, Chang HY, Jones C, Alexander GC. Changes in buprenorphine-naloxone and opioid pain reliever prescriptions after the Affordable Care Act Medicaid expansion. *JAMA Network Open* 2018; 1(4): p. e181588-e181588.
34. Cher BAY, Morden NE, Meara E. Medicaid expansion and prescription trends: Opioids, addiction therapies, and other drugs. *Medical Care* 2019;57(3):208-212.
35. Meinhofer A, Witman AE. The role of health insurance on treatment for opioid use disorders: Evidence from the Affordable Care Act Medicaid expansion. *J Health Econ* 2018;60:177-197.

Figure 1. Buprenorphine (kg) from 2007 to 2017 (A) or 2017 (B) by business activity as reported to the Drug Enforcement Administration.




Figure 2. Scatterplot depicting the association ($R^2 = 0.569$, $p < .0005$) between the number of buprenorphine waived physicians per 100K population per state (from the Controlled Substances Act registrants database and reported in Table 1²¹) and buprenorphine distribution per person per state as reported to the Drug Enforcement Administration's Automated Reports and Consolidated Ordering System.





Figure 3. Buprenorphine use (mg / person) reported to the Drug Enforcement Administration by state (A) or US Census division (B). ⁿ $p < .05$ versus New England; ^t $p < .05$ versus the U.S. Territories (American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands). Percent change from 2016 to 2017 by 3-digit zip code (C).



C. Percent change.

