medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Postgraduate education among family and community physicians in Brazil: the *Trajetórias MFC* project

Authors

1. Leonardo Ferreira Fontenelle – https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4064-433X – Universidade Vila Velha (UVV) – leonardof@leonardof.med.br
2. Stephani Vogt Rossi – https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6090-6926 – Universidade Vila Velha (UVV)
3. Miguel Henrique Moraes de Oliveira – https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9720-6926 – Universidade Vila Velha (UVV)
4. Diego José Brandão – http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5000-3256 – Universidade Vila Velha (UVV)
5. Thiago Dias Sarti – https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1545-6276 – Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo (UFES)

Contribution

- Conceptualization: LFF (equal), DJB (supporting), TDS (equal)
- Data curation: LFF
- Formal analysis: LFF
- Funding acquisition: none
- Investigation: LFF (lead), SVR (supporting), MHMO (supporting)
- Methodology: LFF (lead), DJB (supporting), TDS (supporting)
- Project administration: LFF
- Resources: none
- Software: none
- Supervision: none
- Validation: none
- Visualization: LFF
- Writing-original draft: LFF
- Writing-review & editing: SVR (supporting), MHMO (supporting), DJB (supporting), TDS (lead)

Conflicts of interest

LFF, DJB and TDS are board members of Associação Capixaba de Medicina de Família e Comunidade (ACMFC), an organization affiliated to Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina de Família e Comunidade (SBMFC).

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Acknowledgments

None

Abstract

Neither primary health care or family and community medicine are recognized as knowledge areas in Brazil, for the purpose of postgraduate education (master's, Ph.D.) or research. Our objective was to describe the postgraduate education trajectories of family and community physicians in Brazil. In this observational, exploratory study, we used data from SBMFC and SisCNRM to compile the list of physicians and community physicians, and then downloaded their curricula vitae from the Lattes Platform, verifying all data for consistency. A master's degree was held by one in eight, and a Ph.D., by one in forty; most degrees were in collective health. Women (versus men) were less likely to hold master's degrees, and even less likely to hold Ph.D. degrees. Professional (versus academic) master's degrees and those in other areas (versus in medicine or collective health) were also associated with lower probability of obtaining a Ph.D. degree. Certified specialists (versus those with a medical residency) with a postgraduate degree were more likely to have earned it before becoming family and community physicians. We suggest that researchers in public health critically examine the relative benefits of different postgraduate trajectories for the professional performance of family and community physicians.

Keywords: Primary Health Care; Physicians, Family; Community Medicine; Education, Medical, Graduate; Brazil

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Main text

Introduction

In the second half of the 20th century, family medicine differentiated from general practice as a result of the increased appreciation of the importance of primary health care, the psychosocial aspects of health and the complexity of causation.[1–3] "General practitioners" in countries such as the United Kingdom and the Netherlands are expected to undergo postgraduate training (medical residency), and thus are family physicians by another name.[4–6]

In Brazil, emphasis on primary health care coincided with the fight for redemocratization, culminating in the establishment of a national health system called Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS; Unified Health System).[1,7–10] The origin of family medicine in Brazil, however, was everything but unified. In the 1970s, movements called community medicine (*medicina comunitária*) and comprehensive medicine (*medicina integral*) merged into a medical specialty then called community general medicine (*medicina geral comunitária*).[1,7–9] In 2000-2001, after SUS started expanding access to primary health care through the Family Health Strategy (then Family Health Program, conceived based on community general medicine and other inputs [7,11,12]), community general medicine resolved its differences with family medicine, resulting in a medical specialty now called family and community medicine (*medicina de família e comunidade*, MFC).[1,8] Preventive and social medicine (*medicina preventiva e social*) remains a separate specialty, despite the partially overlapping scope of practice.[1]

The concepts of "field of competence" and "core competence" [13] have been considered useful for understanding the interplay between the disciplines of primary health care and family and community medicine.[9] Family and community medicine having its core competences does not preclude it from sharing primary health care as a field of competence,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

or vice-versa. Even though McWhinney and Freeman have argued family medicine to be an academic discipline separate from other medical disciplines,[2,14,15] they also recognize distinctions among disciplines are sometimes more administrative and historical than epistemological.[2]

In Brazil, though, neither primary health care, nor family and community medicine are acknowledged as knowledge areas in the official "tree of knowledge" adopted by CAPES (the Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education), CNPq (the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development) and FINEP (the Funding Authority for Studies and Projects). This means research grant applications and postgraduate programs (master's and Ph.D.) must be registered in correlated basic knowledge areas, such as interdisciplinary, medicine or collective health, or in some subarea or specialty within those basic knowledge areas. In Latin America, "collective health" comprises (among other definitions [16]) public health, epidemiology and humanities and social sciences in health.[17]

Accordingly, there are only a few postgraduate programs on primary health care or Family Health [18–21] (but no one on family and community medicine, that we know of) in Brazil, and university departments dedicated to primary health care and/or family and community medicine are exceedingly rare. Brazilian journals on primary health care and/or family and community medicine have little prestige, and thus a substantial part of relevant research is expected to be published in other, more general journals (this is not specific to Brazil [22]). All this makes it very hard to gather a comprehensive understanding of the postgraduate education and research in primary health care and/or family and community medicine.

In this study, we hope to shed some light on the postgraduate education of primary health care professionals in Brazil by using specialization in family and community medicine as a way to identify physicians more likely to be involved with primary health care. Our

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

objective was to explore their trajectories in postgraduate education (master's and Ph.D.), describing the characteristics of their postgraduate degrees as well as correlating such degrees with characteristics of the physicians and their previous training.

Methods

In this article, we report results from an observational, exploratory study, integrating secondary data from multiple sources: the *Trajetórias MFC* project.

Data sources

We compiled the list of family and community physicians in Brazil from two sources, corresponding to the two modes of recognition as an specialist for physicians in Brazil.[23] One mode is certification by the corresponding specialty association. Such specialty certificates are conferred to physicians with either experience or a medical residency who pass an exam occurring once or twice every year, since 2003.[3,8] We obtained the list of certified family and community physicians, as of late November 2018, from Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina de Família e Comunidade (SBMFC, the Brazilian Society of Family and Community Medicine), corresponding to the first 24 editions of the specialist certification exam. For physicians having renewed their certification (which is not required), we kept only data on their first certification.

The other way of being recognized as an specialist is completing a medical residency. In late December 2018, we downloaded spreadsheets from SisCNRM (the information system for medical residency) on both family and community medicine and general community medicine (that is, from after and before the specialty was renamed) and merged the lists. Again, for the few physicians having completed a medical residency more than once, we kept only data on their first medical residency.

After obtaining the data from both sources, we merged the lists into a single list of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

family and community physicians, using both the name and the CPF (*Cadastro de Pessoas Físicas*) registry identification number. We verified this compiled list extensively for internal consistency, resorting to Web searches and to looking up in the Lattes Platform when additional information was necessary.

Lattes Platform is the Brazilian information system on science, technology, and innovation. Created in 1999, its curricula vitae (CVs) tend to be quite complete because they are used for decisions on research funding and on recruitment, promotion and tenure.[24] Furthermore, CVs in the Lattes Platform tend to be honest because researchers are accountable for the information they provide. In example, their individual CVs are publicly available as Web pages and eXtensible Markup Language (XML) files.

After compiling the list of family and community physicians, we found their online CV Web pages using specially crafted uniform resource locators (URLs) including their CPF or looking up the Lattes Platform using the physicians' names. Then, we obtained their Lattes Platform ID number by scraping these Web pages, and downloaded the corresponding CVs in XML format in late December 2018.

After extracting data on the postgraduate courses from the Lattes XML files, we hand checked each entry to make sure the data corresponded to actual postgraduate programs and each entry with a postgraduate program included the corresponding ID code. This hand checking included looking up the postgraduate programs in the Sucupira Platform (the Brazilian information system on postgraduate programs)[25] and searching the Web for the monographs (titles are often included in the Lattes CV, and monographs are often publicly available). Then we obtained further data on the postgraduate programs from the Sucupira Platform, by scraping their web pages corresponding to each postgraduate program. For international programs, we imputed the code for the knowledge area and the mode of the master's degree by hand, based on the program title.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Variables

We inferred gender from the physician's first name, using data from the 2010 Brazilian Census [26] cached in the genderBR package, version 1.1.0.[27] First names were considered female if they had 50%+ probability of belonging to a woman, and male if otherwise; names appearing less than 20 times in the Census were not assigned any gender. The date when the Lattes CVs were last updated was retrieved from CVs themselves.

When the physician had both completed a medical residency and received a specialty certificate, we considered whichever came first as the mode of specialization. The year of specialization, master's or Ph.D. completion was categorized in five-year periods, with the first period (up to 1998, the last year before inception of the Lattes Platform) having an open beginning. Likewise, the states where the specialization, master's or Ph.D. took place were grouped into the five geographical regions (North, Northeast, Southeast, South and Central-West), with an extra category for international postgraduate programs (Supplemental Table [28] lists data for individual states). The knowledge areas were handled at the "basic knowledge area" level (that is, not at the "greater knowledge area" level, nor at the "sub-area" or "speciality" levels), and areas other than medicine or collective health were grouped in a catchall category (Supplemental Table [28] lists data for individual basic knowledge areas). Master's degrees were also categorized according to their mode, that is, according to whether they were academic or professional. While both modes involve immersion in research, the academic master's courses aim to produce researchers, and the professional ones aim to produce better professionals for outside academia.[29,30] Professional Ph.D. courses are very recent, and we didn't expect any degree to have been earned yet.

Analysis

Categorical data were described with absolute and relative frequencies, and continuous data were described with medians and interquartile ranges (IQR).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Besides describing gender and characteristics of specialization and of master's and Ph.D. degrees, we also described the frequency of master's degrees among family and community physicians according to their gender and characteristics of specialization, as well as the frequency of Ph.D. degrees according to the same characteristics as well as to the characteristics of the master's degree. Because the interpretation of the year of specialization depends on whether the specialization was through medical residency or through certification, year and mode of specialization were merged into a single variable for the purpose of describing the frequency of master's and Ph.D. degrees.

The association of the before-mentioned explanatory variables with having earned a master's degree (one response variable) or Ph.D. (another response variable) was expressed with the odds ratio (OR). While the prevalence ratio could be more intuitive, the OR better approximates the incidence density (rate) ratio.[31] The OR were estimated through logistic regression both with a single and with multiple explanatory variables at a time, and were expressed as point estimates and 95% uncertainty interval. All regression coefficients (including the intercept) had weakly informative prior distributions,[32] and inference was based on the No-U-Turn sampler.[33] Year and mode of specialization were entered in such regression models separately along with an interaction term between them, but for ease of understanding the results were presented as if there was a single "year and mode of specialization" variable.

In the multivariable model for the Ph.D. degree, we opted for a hierarchical framework.[34] The "distal level" included gender and characteristics of the specialization, and the "proximal level" included characteristics of the master's. Variables in the distal level had their OR adjusted for other variables in the distal level, and variables in the proximal level had their OR adjusted for all other variables in the model, from both levels.

Time from specialization to master's and Ph.D. degrees, and between the two later

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

ones, was described both in general and according to the mode of specialization. We also examined the distribution of the knowledge areas of the master's degrees according to the mode of specialization, and the distribution of knowledge areas of Ph.D. degrees according to the mode of specialization and the knowledge area of the master's. We did not examine the distribution of the knowledge area of the Ph.D. degree according to the mode of the master's, because too few family and community physicians with a professional master's earned a Ph.D.. When describing the distribution of the knowledge area of the Ph.D. according to the knowledge area of the master's, we opted for an alluvial diagram.

The data were analyzed using the R, version 3.6.1.[35] While data verification by hand involved spreadsheet applications from office suites, most data processing (including the tabulation of frequencies) was done within R itself, using packages centered around the concept of "tidy data",[36] as well as packages specific to Brazilian data.[27,37] For regression modelling we used brms (version 2.10.0),[38] which builds on the Stan probabilistic programming language [39] through rstan (version 2.19.2).[40] The alluvial diagram was made with ggalluvial (version 0.10.0).[41]

Ethics

While most of the data sources we used are publicly available, there were two privacy issues. First, the spreadsheet SBMFC provided us with the complete list of certificates (including the CPF number of most) is not publicly available as such. Second, while all data we obtained from the Lattes Platform are publicly available, they lied behind technology clearly intended no hinder data mining. Thus, before initiating the data collection we obtained approval by the research ethics committee of Universidade Vila Velha (certificate 02957118.2.0000.5064). Because our data is personally identifiable, it will be available to other researchers only if they present an ethically-approved research project with an analysis plan [42].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Results

The first 24 editions of the specialist certification exam resulted in the emission of 2816 certificates, amounting to 2795 unique physicians. Furthermore, 3959 medical residencies were completed (936 in general community medicine and 3023 after it was renamed family and community medicine), amounting to 3957 unique physicians. Because 514 physicians both concluded a medical residency and were certified, there were 6238 unique family and community physicians. Of these, 4065 (65.2%) had a CV in the Lattes Platform. Median time since these CVs were last updated was 1 year, with an IQR of 0–4 years and a maximum of 18 years.

Most family and community physicians (3563, 58.3%) were female, and the most common mode of specialization was medical residency (3917, 62.8%) (Table 1). The number of new family and community physicians clearly increased over time, with the largest increases being from 1999–2003 to 2004–2008 and from 2009–2013 to 2014–2018. Specialization in family and community medicine was concentrated in the Southeast and South regions.

TABLE 1 HERE

A master's degree was obtained by 747 (12.0%) family and community physicians (Table 2), with 554 (74.2%) degrees being academic and the other 193 (25.8%) being professional. The number of new master's degrees clearly increased with time, especially from 2004–2008 to 2009–2013; from 2009–2013 to 2014–2018, the increase was restricted to professional master's degrees. As for specialization, master's degrees were concentrated in the Southeast and South regions, both for academic and professional degrees. Master's degrees in collective health (351, 47.0%) were twice as frequent as those in medicine (170, 22.8%), with other frequent knowledge areas being interdisciplinary (84, 11.2%), teaching (30, 4.0%) and education (24, 3.2%). Professional degrees accounted for 104 (29.6%) of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

master's degrees in collective health, but only 27 (15.9%) of those in medicine. The postgraduate programs were spread across 141 institutions, with only eight of them having conferred a master's degree to at least 20 family and community physicians. Most family and community physicians obtained their master's degrees from Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS; Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Fiocruz; Oswaldo Cruz Foundation) or Universidade de São Paulo (USP; University of São Paulo); more information on Supplemental Table [28].

TABLE 2 HERE.

A Ph.D. degree was held by 170 (2.7%) family and community physicians (Table 2). There were no professional Ph.D. degrees. As with master's degrees, there was an increase in new Ph.D. degrees over time, and most degrees were obtained in the Southeast and South regions of Brazil. Contrary to master's degrees, Ph.D. degrees in medicine (64, 38%) were almost as common as those in collective health (72, 42%). The postgraduate programs were spread across 40 institutions, with only two of those (USP and UFRGS) having conferred more than 20 degrees; more information on Supplemental Table [28].

Some groups of family and community physicians were less likely to hold a master's or Ph.D. degree, even after adjusting for other characteristics (Table 3, Table 4). Women were less likely to hold a master's degree than their male colleagues, and only half as likely to hold a Ph.D.. Family and community physicians with a professional master's degree were ⅛ as likely to earn a Ph.D. degree as those with an academic master's degree. A master's degree in other knowledge area also implicated lower likelihood of earning a Ph.D. than a master's in medicine or collective health.

TABLE 3 HERE

TABLE 4 HERE

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Among those earning a master's degree, median time from specialization to master's was 4 years (IQR, 0–6): 5 years (IQR, 3–8) for family and community physicians specializing through medical residency, and 1 year (IQR, -3 to 4) for those specializing through certification. In other words, earning a master's degree came before specialization for 21.8% family and community physicians: 9.1% for those specializing through medical residency, and 39.9% for those specializing through certification. The proportion of master's degrees in each of the knowledge areas (medicine, collective health, other) was very similar across the specialization modes (certification or medical residency) (data not shown).

Likewise, median time from specialization to Ph.D. degree was 9 years (IQR, 3.25–12): 10 years (IQR, 7–14) for family and community physicians specializing through medical residency, and 2 years (IQR, -2.5 to 9) for those specializing through certification. In other words, earning a Ph.D. degree came before specialization for 16% family and community physicians: 6% for those specializing through medical residency, and 33% for those specializing through certification. As with the master's degrees, the proportion of Ph.D. degrees in each of the knowledge areas (medicine, collective health, other) was very similar across the specialization modes (certification or medical residency) (data not shown).

Finally, median time from master's to Ph.D. degree was 4 years (IQR, 0–6). Most family and community physicians earning a Ph.D. in collective health (50, 69%) also earned a master's in the same knowledge area (Graph 1). On the other hand, half of those earning a Ph.D. in medicine earned a master's in collective health (11, 17%) or no master's at all (i.e., direct Ph.D.; 19, 30%). Most direct Ph.D. degrees (16, 53%), in medicine or otherwise, were conferred by USP.

Discussion

Our findings indicate one in eight family and community physicians have earned a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

master's degree, and little more than one in forty have earned a Ph.D.. The number of new degrees is increasing over time, and most of the degrees are in collective health (not medicine) and were obtained in the Southeast and South regions. Gender is associated with the probability of obtaining a master's degree and, together with mode and knowledge area of the master's, also with that of obtaining a Ph.D. degree. In comparison to family and community physicians specializing through medical residency, those specializing through certification were more likely to already have earned a master's and/or Ph.D. degree (instead of earning after specialization), but just as likely to have earned their degree in medicine, collective health or other knowledge areas.

Professional master's programs are responsible for most of the recent increase in the number of master's degrees, and might be attracting graduate students who would otherwise opt for academic programs. The Northeast region, with its RENASF network of institutions offering a professional master's program focused on the Family Health Strategy,[19,20] accounts for part of this increase, as does the state of Rio de Janeiro, whose capital city recently invested heavily in the expansion of the Family Health Strategy and incentivized health professionals to earn master's degrees;[21] but the increase in professional master's degrees was not restricted to these states (data not shown). Even though the ProfSaúde program [18] is expected to contribute to this increase, it does not account for our findings, because its first students graduated after we obtained our data.

Brazil has been promoting professional master's on Family Health alongside other qualification initiatives, such as residencies, short postgraduate courses and academic master's.[21,30] While one would expect any qualification to contribute something, responsible public policies for human resources in health depend on critically examining the relative benefits of the multiple possible postgraduate trajectories. In example, some qualification initiatives might add little to the performance of professionals who are already sufficiently

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

qualified, or who are not qualified enough to benefit from said initiatives. Furthermore, for better or for worse, a professional master's degree should increase employability in academia, thereby increasing workforce turnover in the Family Health Strategy while contributing to the education of the new generation of health professionals.

This debate is made even more timely by the advent of professional Ph.D. programs. Graduates from professional master's courses seem to be less likely to earn Ph.D. degrees, even after adjusting for potential confounders, such as when was the master's concluded. Because graduates from professional programs are expected to be outside academia, they might not find value in academic Ph.D. courses. It remains to be seen if professional Ph.D. programs are needed to fill this niche, or if simply there's not much use for any Ph.D. outside academia. Meanwhile, physicians are still not required to complete medical residency (or otherwise be certified) before working in primary care in Brazil, and posts in medical residencies lag behind the annual number of newly graduated physicians (the same applies for nurses).[43]

Another major finding is that most family and community physicians hold master's and Ph.D. degrees in collective health, not medicine. This phenomenon is more common for master's than for Ph.D. degrees, and for professional than for academic degrees, but it occurs in both levels and both modes of postgraduate programs. We can't say this came as a surprise: of the authors who are family and community physicians, all three hold a Ph.D. in a subarea of collective health. In our experience, not only has collective devoted substantial interest to primary health care as a public policy, but also the Brazilian medical community hasn't devoted much interest to primary care as a locus of healthcare delivery. In example, searching for ("family medicine" OR "family and community medicine") in the SciELO Brazil collection, one of the top five journals is on medical education and the other four are journals on collective health. This suggests most research on primary health care in Brazil to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

be on health policy, service management and health promotion, as well as medical education, but not so much on clinical care.

Most medical residencies, specialist certifications, masters' and Ph.D. happened in the Southeast and South regions. The reason is twofold: these are two of the most populous regions (together with the Northeast region), and are the most economically developed ones. These facts also reflect in the overall distribution of physicians in Brazil.[44] Interestingly, our data do not support a higher probability of obtaining a master's or Ph.D. degree for family and community physicians specializing or obtaining a master's degree in the Southeast and South regions. This is not to say our data support equity in access to postgraduate programs: there simply are too few family and community physicians outside the Southeast and South regions for us to make precise estimates. As the saying goes, "absence of evidence is not evidence of absence [of effect]".[45]

On the other hand, the association of gender and postgraduate degrees was very clear: female family and community physicians are less likely to obtain master's degrees than their male colleagues, and even less so for Ph.D. degrees. This should not be interpreted as gender having a direct effect on educational achievement: our study was exploratory, and inclusion of gender as an explanatory variable was motivated mostly by the SAGER guidelines.[46] Rather, this finding should taken as justification for further studies, aimed at a more proper explanation for this correlation. Such explanation might have more to do with family and community medicine than with the Brazilian society at large, because half the master's and Ph.D. graduates in Brazil are women.[47] Besides the ethical relevance of elucidating and preferably removing any gendered barriers to postgraduate education, the issue has special relevance to the discipline because most family and community physicians are women.

In interpreting our findings, one must keep in mind we depended on administrative data. Because data from Conselho Federal de Medicina (CFM; the Federal Board of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Medicine) is not available to others, we could not perform record linkage to identify who had retired, had emmigrated or was deceased. The National Registration of Specialists was expected to provide easy access to an authoritative list of physicians in any medical specialty, but unfortunately it has not been maintained as prescribed by the More Doctors Law.[48] Consequently, the number of family and community physicians is surely overestimated, even if we expect this overestimation to be minor, because family and community medicine is a fairly young specialty in Brazil.[44] In 2018, Augusto *et al.*[23] (using the same methods as us) estimated there would be 5.438 family and community physicians in Brazil, 276 (5%) more than the 5.162 found by Scheffer *et al.*,[44] who had access to CFM data. Another limitation of our study was that, as in Augusto *et al.*,[23] we could not include the family and community physicians who completed their medical residencies in the 1970s. SisCNRM records for family and community medicine (then community general medicine) begin at 1981, when the specialty was recognized; we can only hope family and community physicians from the 1970s eventually took the exams and were certified after 2003.

Our data had limitations with regard to postgraduate programs, as well. Postgraduate degrees will probably have been underreported to some extent, even though postgraduate students are incentivized to have a CV in the Lattes Platform and entering data on a postgraduate degree is simple enough. Furthermore, there surely was some information error in the reported degrees, but we hope to have cleaned most of those errors during our verification. One potential issue is that we verified the data using current information on the postgraduate programs, not information from when the degrees were obtained; but much of the information is not expected to change in any significant way, and possible changes in the knowledge subarea or specialty of the postgraduate programs would not matter for our analysis, which were done at the level of basic knowledge area. Finally, because the Lattes Platform was launched in 1999, master's and Ph.D. degrees earned before then are expected

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

to be underreported, even if this underreporting is expected to occur among those who don't make much use of the degrees.

In conclusion, family and community physicians increasingly earn academic and professional master's and Ph.D. degrees, with an emphasis on collective health, even though women seemingly face barriers to advance their education. The consequences of different postgraduate trajectories on professional performance and on primary health care research are unknown. We suggest it would be easier to gain a wider view of postgraduate education of primary health care professionals and/or on primary health care if the National Registration of Specialists came back online, and if primary health care and/or family and community medicine were recognized as knowledge areas.

References

1. Bonet O. Os médicos da pessoa: um olhar antropológico sobre a medicina de família no Brasil e na Argentina. Rio de Janeiro: 7Letras; 2014. 270 p.

2. Freeman T, McWhinney IR. McWhinney's textbook of family medicine. 4th edition. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press; 2016. 520 p.

3. Anderson MIP, Gusso GDF, Castro Filho ED de. Medicina de Família e Comunidade: especialistas em integralidade. Rev APS. 2005;8(1):61–7.

4. Weggemans MM, van Dijk B, van Dooijeweert B, Veenendaal AG, ten Cate O. The postgraduate medical education pathway: an international comparison. GMS J Med Educ [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2019];34(5). https://doi.org/10.3205/zma001140

5. Arya N, Gibson C, Ponka D, Haq C, Hansel S, Dahlman B, et al. Family medicine around the world: overview by region. Can Fam Physician. 2017;63(6):436–41.

6. Hutt P. General Practice in the United Kingdom – A training evolution. Rev Bras Med Fam Comunidade. 2014;9(30):77–82. https://doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc9(30)881

7. Aguiar DS de. A saúde da família no Sistema Unico de Saúde: um novo paradigma? [Internet] [Dissertation (master's)]. [Rio de Janeiro]: Fundação Oswaldo Cruz. Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca; 1998 [cited 2018]. Available from: https://www.arca.fiocruz.br/handle/icict/4673

8. Falk JW. A Medicina de Família e Comunidade e sua entidade nacional: histórico e perspectivas. Rev Bras Med Fam Comunidade. 2004;1(1):5–10. https://doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc1(1)2

9. Sól NAA. A medicina geral comunitária no Brasil: uma análise institucional sócio-histórica de

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

sua trajetória enfocando programas específicos [Internet] [Thesis (Ph.D.)]. [Campinas]: Universidade Estadual de Campinas; 2011 [cited 2019]. Available from: http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br/handle/REPOSIP/312118

10. Andrade HS de, Alves MG de M, Carvalho SR, Silva Júnior AG da. A formação discursiva da Medicina de Família e Comunidade no Brasil. Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019];28(3). https://doi.org/10.1590/s0103-73312018280311

11. Memórias da saúde da família no Brasil. Brasília - DF: Ministério da Saúde : Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde : Departamento de Atenção Básica; 2010. 143 p. (Série I. História da Saúde no Brasil).

12. Ministério da Saúde (BR). Fundação Nacional da Saúde. Programa Saúde da Família: saúde dentro de casa. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 1994. 80 p.

13. Campos GW de S, Chakour M, Santos R de C. Análise crítica sobre especialidades médicas e estratégias para integrá-las ao Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS). Cadernos de Saúde Pública. 1997;13(1):141–4. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X1997000100025

14. Freeman T. Family Medicine's academic contributions. Family Medicine Research Days, İzmir, Turkey. Turkish Journal of Family Practice. 2012;16(4):181–98. https://doi.org/10.2399/tahd.12.181

15. McWhinney IR. General practice as an academic discipline: Reflections after a visit to the United States. Lancet. 1966;287(7434):419–423. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(66)91412-7

16. Osmo A, Schraiber LB. The field of Collective Health: definitions and debates on its constitution. Saúde e Sociedade. 2015;24:205–18. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-12902015S01018

17. Vieira-da-Silva LM, Pinell P. The genesis of collective health in Brazil. Sociology of Health & Illness. 2014;36(3):432–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12069

18. Teixeira CP, Guilam MCR. Concepção pedagógica e construção do currículo na pósgraduação strito sensu de um programa em rede nacional (ProfSaúde). In: Oliveira JF, editor. Gestão pedagógica, organização curricular, qualidade da educação, direitos humanos, diversidade cultural e inclusão social [Internet]. Brasília: ANPAE; 2018. p. 42–5. (Anais do VI Congresso Ibero-Americano de Política e Administração da Educação e IX Congresso Luso-Brasileiro de Política e Administração da Educação). Available from: http://www.anpae.org.br/BibliotecaVirtual/12-Congressos/Volume3.pdf#page=43

19. Monteiro CF de S, Vieira APGF. Professional qualification in Family Health in the Northeast: the RENASF model. Rev Enferm UFPI. 2016;5(4):1–6. https://doi.org/10.26694/reufpi.v5i4.5733

20. Dias MSA, Vieira-Meyer APGF. Rede de formação em saúde da família: a experiência do Nordeste brasileiro. Estudios Avanzados. 2015;24:76–89.

21. Engstrom EM, Motta JI, Venâncio SA, Engstrom EM, Motta JI, Venâncio SA. Training of professionals in post-graduation courses in public health and primary healthcare in the municipality of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Cien Saude Colet. 2016;21(5):1461–70. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232015215.00632016

22. Peleg R, Shvartzman P. Where Should Family Medicine Papers be Published—Following the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Impact Factor? J Am Board Fam Med. 2006;19(6):633–6. https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.19.6.633

23. Augusto DK, David L, Oliveira DOPS de, Trindade TG da, Lermen Junior N, Poli Neto P. Quantos médicos de família e comunidade temos no Brasil? Rev Bras Med Fam Comunidade. 2018;13(40):1–4. https://doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc13(40)1695

24. Lane J. Let's make science metrics more scientific. Nature. 2010;464:488–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/464488a

25. Siqueira MB. Sucupira - A Platform for the Evaluation of Graduate Education in Brazil. Procedia Computer Science. 2019;146:247–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.081

26. Nomes mais frequentes [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística; 2016 [cited 2019]. (Censo Demográfico 2010). Available from: https://censo2010.ibge.gov.br/nomes/nota.tecnica.pdf

27. Meireles F. genderBR: Predict Gender from Brazilian First Names [Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=genderBR

28. Fontenelle LF, Rossi SV, Oliveira MHM de, Brandão DJ, Sarti TD. Supplemental table for "Postgraduate education among family and community physicians in Brazil: the Trajetórias MFC project" [Internet]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3381576

29. Ribeiro RJ. O mestrado profissional na política atual da Capes. RBPG. 2005;2(4):8–15.

30. Saupe R, Wendhausen ÁLP. The professional master's degree as a preferable model for training in family health. Interface (Botucatu). 2005;9(18):621–30.

31. Reichenheim ME, Coutinho ES. Measures and models for causal inference in cross-sectional studies: arguments for the appropriateness of the prevalence odds ratio and related logistic regression. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2010;10(1):66. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-10-66

32. Gelman A, Jakulin A, Pittau MG, Su Y-S. A weakly informative default prior distribution for logistic and other regression models. Ann Appl Stat. 2008;2(4):1360–83. https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOAS191

33. Hoffman MD, Gelman A. The No-U-Turn Sampler: Adaptively Setting Path Lengths in Hamiltonian Monte Carlo. Journal of Machine Learning Research. 2014;15:1593–623.

34. Victora CG, Huttly SR, Fuchs SC, Olinto MT. The role of conceptual frameworks in epidemiological analysis: a hierarchical approach. Int J Epidemiol. 1997;26(1):224–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/26.1.224

35. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019 [cited 2019]. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/

36. Wickham H. Tidy Data. J Stat Softw. 2014;59(10):1–23. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v059.i10

37. Freitas W. numbersBR: Validate, Compare and Format Identification Numbers from Brazil [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2019]. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=numbersBR

38. Bürkner P-C. brms: An R Package for Bayesian Multilevel Models Using Stan. Journal of Statistical Software. 2017;80(1):1–28. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i01

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

39. Carpenter B, Gelman A, Hoffman MD, Lee D, Goodrich B, Betancourt M, et al. Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language. Journal of Statistical Software. 2017;76(1):1–32. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v076.i01

40. Guo J, Gabry J, Goodrich B, Lee D, Sakrejda K, Martin M, et al. rstan: R Interface to Stan [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019]. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstan

41. Brunson JC. ggalluvial: Alluvial Diagrams in "ggplot2" [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019]. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggalluvial

42. Fontenelle LF, Rossi SV, Oliveira MHM de. Postgraduate education among family and community physicians in Brazil: the Trajetórias MFC project [Internet]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3376310

43. Mash R, Almeida M, Wong WCW, Kumar R, von Pressentin KB. The roles and training of primary care doctors: China, India, Brazil and South Africa. Human Resources for Health. 2015;13(1):93. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-015-0090-7

44. Scheffer M, editor. Demografia médica no Brasil 2018. São Paulo: Departamento de Medicina Preventiva da Faculdade de Medicina da USP; Conselho Regional de Medicina do Estado de São Paulo; Conselho Federal de Medicina; 2018. 286 p.

45. Altman DG, Bland JM. Statistics notes: Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence. BMJ. 1995;311(7003):485. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.311.7003.485

46. Heidari S, Babor TF, Castro PD, Tort S, Curno M, Heidari S, et al. Sex and Gender Equity in Research: rationale for the SAGER guidelines and recommended use. Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde. 2017;26(3):665–76. https://doi.org/10.5123/s1679-49742017000300025

47. OECD. Education at a Glance 2019: OECD Indicators [Internet]. OECD; 2019 [cited 2019]. (Education at a Glance). https://doi.org/10.1787/f8d7880d-en

48. Pinto HA, Andreazza R, Ribeiro RJ, Loula MR, Reis AAC dos, Pinto HA, et al. The More Doctors Program and the changing role of the State in the regulation and organization of medical education. Interface - Comunicação, Saúde, Educação [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019];23. https://doi.org/10.1590/interface.170960

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Tables and Figure

Table 1: Family and community physicians in Brazil, December 2018

Characteristic	n	%
Gender		
Female	3563	58.3%
Male	2546	41.7%
Mode of specialization		
Certification	2321	37.2%
Medical residency	3917	62.8%
Year of specialization		
2014–2018	2364	37.9%
2009–2013	1573	25.2%
2004–2008	1368	21.9%
1999–2003	370	5.9%
1981–1998	563	0
Region of specialization		
North	314	5.1%
Northeast	825	13.3%
Southeast	2794	45.1%
South	1943	31.4%
Central-West	317	5.1%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Master's and Ph.D. degrees of family and community physicians in Brazil, December 2018

Characteristic	Master's						Ph.D.	
	Academic		Professional		Total			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Year of degree								
1979–1998	48	8.7%	-	-	48	6.4%	8	4.7%
1999–2003	61	11.0%	2	1.0%	63	8.4%	21	12.4%
2004–2008	101	18.2%	13	6.7%	114	15.3%	30	17.6%
2009–2013	181	32.7%	61	31.6%	242	32.4%	40	23.5%
2014–2018	163	29.4%	117	60.6%	280	37.5%	71	41.8%
Region								
North	13	2.4%	11	5.7%	24	3.2%	1	0.6%
Northeast	81	14.6%	23	11.9%	104	13.9%	15	8.8%
Southeast	229	41.3%	104	53.9%	333	44.6%	92	53.8%
South	170	30.7%	42	21.8%	212	28.4%	49	28.7%
Central-West	24	4.3%	10	5.2%	34	4.6%	2	1.2%
International	37	6.7%	3	1.6%	40	5.4%	12	7.0%
Knowledge area								
Medicine	143	25.8%	27	14.0%	170	22.3%	64	37.6%
Collective health	247	44.6%	104	53.9%	351	47.0%	72	42.4%
Other	164	29.6%	62	32.1%	226	30.3%	34	20.0%
Total	555	74.2%	193	25.8%	748	100%	170	100%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 3: Characteristics associated with holding a master's degree among family and community physicians in Brazil, 2018

Characteristic	Frequency		Raw model		Adjusted model	
	n	%	OR	95% UI	OR	95% UI
Gender						
Female	384	10.8%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Male	353	13.9%	1.33	1.15–1.54	1.24	1.07–1.45
Mode and year of specialization *						
Certification, 2014–2018	94	13.4%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Certification, 2009–2013	70	8.8%	0.64	0.48–0.84	0.64	0.48–0.84
Certification, 2004–2008	137	17.1%	1.35	1.08–1.72	1.37	1.08–1.76
Certification, 1999–2003	7	26.9%	2.33	1.07–4.72	2.53	1.12–5.11
Residency, 2014–2018	71	4.3%	0.30	0.23–0.38	0.31	0.23–0.40
Residency, 2009–2013	114	14.6%	1.11	0.87–1.42	1.17	0.90–1.49
Residency, 2004–2008	118	21.0%	1.72	1.32–2.19	1.75	1.34–2.27
Residency, 1999–2003	64	18.6%	1.49	1.11–2.00	1.60	1.19–2.14
Residency, 1981–1998	72	12.8%	0.95	0.73–1.25	0.97	0.73–1.30
Region of specialization						
North	32	10.2%	0.92	0.61–1.35	1.27	0.81–1.89
Northeast	104	12.6%	1.17	0.92–1.48	1.19	0.93–1.51
Southeast	306	11.0%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
South	260	13.4%	1.25	1.05–1.49	1.02	0.84–1.22
Central-West	36	11.4%	1.03	0.72–1.44	1.11	0.75–1.61

OR, odds ratio. UI, uncertainty interval. * Specialist certification certification in family and community medicine began in 2003, whence few physicians were certified in 1999–2003, and none were certified before 1999.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .


Table 4: Characteristics associated with holding a Ph.D. degree among family and community physicians in Brazil, 2018

Characteristic	Frequency		Raw model		Adjusted model	
	n	%	OR	95% UI	OR	95% UI
Gender						
Female	70	2.0%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Male	96	3.8%	1.96	1.45–2.69	1.86	1.35–2.59
Mode and year of specialization *						
Certification, 2014–2018	15	2.1%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Certification, 2009–2013	7	0.9%	0.46	0.22–0.93	0.48	0.22–0.96
Certification, 2004–2008	36	4.5%	2.33	1.46–3.96	2.40	1.45–4.11
Certification, 1999–2003	5	19.2%	10.99	4.10–26.10	13.56	4.99–33.60
Residency, 2014–2018	3	0.2%	0.13	0.05–0.28	0.14	0.06–0.31
Residency, 2009–2013	13	1.7%	0.79	0.41–1.52	0.90	0.47–1.68
Residency, 2004–2008	29	5.1%	2.62	1.56–4.50	2.75	1.63–4.83
Residency, 1999–2003	23	6.7%	3.47	2.01–6.27	3.89	2.24–6.94
Residency, 1981–1998	39	6.9%	3.64	2.22–6.29	3.85	2.31–6.78
Region of specialization						
North	2	0.6%	0.23	0.05–0.73	0.46	0.08–1.57
Northeast	16	1.9%	0.72	0.41–1.22	0.71	0.40–1.18
Southeast	74	2.6%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
South	71	3.7%	1.40	1.01–1.97	0.76	0.53–1.09
Central-West	5	1.6%	0.57	0.21–1.26	0.72	0.24–1.66
Mode of master's						
Academic	135	24.3%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Professional	5	2.6%	0.08	0.03–0.19	0.15	0.05–0.39
Year of master's						
2014–2018	0	0.0%	0.00	0.00–0.01	0.00	0.00–0.02
2009–2013	48	19.8%	0.20	0.10–0.37	0.23	0.10–0.50

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/19005744; this version posted October 5, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

2004–2008	36	31.6%	0.37	0.18–0.74	0.38	0.17–0.86
1999–2003	28	44.4%	0.65	0.30–1.35	0.68	0.30–1.57
1979–1998	28	58.3%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Region of master's						
North	0	0.0%	0.05	0.00–0.46	0.08	0.00–1.12
Northeast	20	19.2%	1.02	0.58–1.79	1.09	0.42–2.65
Southeast	63	18.9%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
South	44	20.8%	1.13	0.74–1.76	0.62	0.25–1.50
Central-West	3	8.8%	0.41	0.10–1.23	0.47	0.08–2.24
International	10	25.0%	1.41	0.64–2.95	0.57	0.20–1.47
Knowledge area of master's **						
Medicine	42	24.7%	1	(reference)	1	(reference)
Collective health	68	19.4%	0.75	0.48–1.18	0.72	0.40–1.26
Other	30	13.3%	0.47	0.28–0.80	0.41	0.21–0.78
None	30	0.5%	0.02	0.01–0.03	-	-

OR, odds ratio. UI, uncertainty interval. * Specialist certification certification in family and community medicine began in 2003, whence few physicians were certified in 1999–2003, and none were certified before 1999. ** Category "none" (no master's) was excluded from the multivariable regression model.


800
600
400
200
0
Medicine
Collective health
Other
None
Medicine
Collective health
Other
None
Master's
Ph.D.