Exploring the bidirectional relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities: a Mendelian randomization study
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Abstract

Background
Abnormal gait will alter lower extremity joint mechanics load-bearing patterns, accelerate the osteoarticular disease process, trigger new osteoarticular complications, and bring about multiple mobility impairments; scientific pain management strategies can help with walking training and rehabilitation exercises. However, studies to date on the relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities have not reached definitive conclusions.

Purpose
To investigate the bidirectional causal relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities.

Methods
The independent single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) loci strongly associated with pain were extracted as instrumental variables (IVs) from Genome-wide association study (GWAS) data of localized musculoskeletal pain according to preset thresholds, and Inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method was used to assess the association between pain-related phenotypes and gait abnormalities; the Cochran Q test was used to assess the heterogeneity of the SNPs.

Results
Low back pain (OR=1.53, 95%CI=1.058\textendash2.219, P=0.023) and hip pain (OR=1.55, 95%CI=1.067\textendash2.252, P=0.021) were significantly genetically predisposed to gait abnormalities, but knee pain (OR=2.15, 95%CI=0.234\textendash19.789, P=0.498) was not
significantly genetically susceptible to gait abnormalities. None of the results of the reverse MR analysis supported reverse causality. The Cochran Q test showed no heterogeneity among the SNPs.

**Conclusions**

This study suggests a robust correlation, gait abnormalities are related to low back pain and hip pain. Our findings contribute to a deeper comprehension of the intricate interplay between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities, emphasizing the importance of employing tailored strategies to alleviate localized musculoskeletal pain.
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**Introduction**

Gait abnormalities can stem from motor or sensory impairments, their features contingent upon the location of pathology. Specific abnormal gaits can serve as diagnostic clues for particular diseases. Particularly in musculoskeletal disorders, gait abnormalities manifest with heightened distinctiveness. Studies have shown that long-term gait abnormalities can aggravate the condition of patients with osteoarthritis (OA), gait abnormalities can cause instability in the motor joints, and joint injuries are aggravated by joint activities, which in turn cause pain, gradual muscle atrophy, and more pronounced muscle strength loss, and so on in a vicious circle. This vicious cycle leads to the gradual aggravation of pain and dysfunction in musculoskeletal
disorders patients\cite{4}. Therefore, analyzing the association between gait abnormalities and diseases will help clinicians in scientifically and precisely discerning disease etiology, diagnosing conditions, assessing treatment effectiveness, and guiding patients' walking rehabilitation programs\cite{6}\cite{7}.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is an epidemiological method designed to overcome the limitations of observational studies and has been universally used in several studies. The MR aims to make full use of independent single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) loci as instrumental variables (IVs) to infer causal relationships between exposure factors and outcomes\cite{8}. This method can effectively avoid the effects of confounders, measurement error, and reverse causality that are typical of observational studies, and yield more plausible findings. The primary objective of this study was to perform bidirectional Mendelian randomization analysis of self-reported data from the Genome-wide association study (GWAS) data on localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities conducted in the openGWAS database, to deepen the understanding of the complex interactions between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities and thereby informing more effective interventions\cite{9}.

1 Information and methodology

1.1 Rationale The basic steps of MR study include obtaining GWAS summary data, screening and evaluating SNPs, conducting statistical analysis, and implementing quality control measures. As shown in Fig. 1, the accuracy of the MR analysis is based on the fulfillment of three crucial assumptions\cite{10}\cite{11}. Exposure factors and
outcome variables will be interchanged in each analysis to determine if reverse causality exists between the two.

Fig. 1 Steps of this Mendelian randomization analysis

1.2 Data sources Data related to low back pain, hip pain, and knee pain are obtained from the IEU openGWAS database, and the website is: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/.

Specific questions used to define these conditions can be found in Supplementary file 1. The low back pain dataset GWAS ID number is: ebi-a-GCST90018797, which includes 22,413 patients with low back pain, and 445,856 controls in the population.

The hip pain dataset GWAS ID number is: ebi-a-GCST90013968. The knee pain dataset GWAS ID number is: ukb-b-16254, which includes 98,704 patients with knee pain, as well as a control population of 363,153. GWAS data for gait abnormalities is also obtained from the IEU openGWAS database, and the ID number is: finn-b-R18_ABNORMALITI_GAIT_MOBIL, including 1,348 cases of gait abnormalities and 209,369 control populations. Details are shown in Table 1. In the corresponding original GWAS, all participants provided written informed consent, and the authors had no access to information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection.

Table 1 The information from the GWAS database in the MR analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Number of SNPs</th>
<th>population</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low back pain</td>
<td>468269</td>
<td>24174741</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>Male and female</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip pain</td>
<td>407746</td>
<td>11039206</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee pain</td>
<td>461857</td>
<td>9851867</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>Male and female</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait abnormalities</td>
<td>210717</td>
<td>1638044</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>Male and female</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GWAS: Genome-wide association study; MR: Mendelian randomization; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism.

1.3 Screening and entry of SNPs Firstly, we performed correlation setting and extracted SNPs significantly associated with exposure from the GWAS summary database as IVs using $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ as the screening condition, and when the number of SNPs obtained from screening is less than 2, the parameter can be appropriately relaxed to $P < 5 \times 10^{-6}$; next, we set the independence setting, and excluded the interference of linkage disequilibrium by setting the parameters of $r^2 < 0.001$ and $kb = 10000$ to ensure the independence of IVs; the strength of IVs was assessed using the F statistic, $F = \frac{[(N-k-1)/k] \times \left[R^2/(1-R^2)\right]}{1}$, and only when the F statistic > 10 so that the SNPs could be included in the study. SNPs associated with confounders were sought and removed using the PhenoScanner V2 website (http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/), and data for exposure and outcome were corrected in the same direction to remove SNPs with palindromic structures[13].

1.4 Statistical analysis The causal effects between exposure and outcome variables were estimated in this study using five methods: the IVW method, the MR-Egger regression method, the weighted median method, the weighted mode method, and the simple mode method[14]. The IVW method is considered the standard method for MR analysis, which assumes that all instrumental variables are valid, and is based on the principle of combining the Wald ratio estimates. The MR-Egger regression method detects potential multicollinearity and takes into account the presence of an intercept term in the regression[15][16].
1.5 Quality control First, Cochran Q test was used to assess the heterogeneity of SNPs, if Cochran Q test was statistically significant then it indicated that there was a significant heterogeneity in the analyzed results\textsuperscript{[17]}. Second, Mendelian randomization pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) was applied to search for the presence of outlier SNPs in the results, and if they existed, they were eliminated and reanalyzed\textsuperscript{[18]}. Third, the "leave-one-out" method was applied to test the robustness of the results, and the combined effect of the remaining SNPs was calculated by excluding SNPs one by one to assess the effect of individual SNPs on the association between exposure and outcome variables\textsuperscript{[19]}. The MR analysis and quality control were performed using the R language version 4.3.2 and the "Two Sample MR" software packages version 0.5.8.

2 Results

GWAS data for 3 types of localized musculoskeletal pain (including low back pain, hip pain, and knee pain) and 1 type of gait abnormality were analyzed in this study. Detailed information on IVs for each exposure factor can be found in Supplementary file 2.

2.1 MR analysis of localized musculoskeletal pain on gait abnormalities

The F-statistics of all SNPs were greater than 10 (20.86-91.68), indicating a low likelihood of weak instrumental bias. The results showed that the susceptibility to low back pain and hip pain was significantly associated with the risk of gait abnormalities. IVW results showed that low back pain (OR=1.53, 95%CI=1.058-2.219, P=0.023) and hip pain (OR=1.55, 95%CI=1.067-2.252, P=0.021) increased the
risk of gait abnormalities. However, susceptibility to knee pain was not significantly
associated with the risk of gait abnormalities, and the available results do not support
the conclusion that knee pain (OR=2.15, 95%CI=0.234-19.789, P=0.498) can increase
the risk of developing gait abnormalities (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4). Detailed MR results
and scatter plots of localized musculoskeletal pain on the risk of gait abnormalities are
provided in Supplementary file 3.

Scatter plots for the causal relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain
and gait abnormalities. Fig. 2 a Scatter plot for the causal relationship of low back pain
on gait abnormalities; Fig. 3 b Scatter plot for the causal relationship of hip pain on
gait abnormalities; Fig. 4 c Scatter plot for the causal relationship of knee pain on gait
abnormalities. SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

The results of the five MR statistical methods were shown in Table. 2, and in the
MR analysis of low back pain and hip pain on gait abnormalities, except for the IVW
method, the results of the remaining four methods were not significant, but according
to the OR values and as shown in the scatter plots in Supplementary file 3, the total
effect sizes of all methods were in the same direction. In contrast, in the MR analysis
of knee pain on gait abnormalities, the results of all five statistical methods were not
significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>nSNP</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low back pain</td>
<td>MR Egger</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.922 (0.317-2.681)</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.348 (0.795-2.283)</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse variance</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.532 (1.058-2.219)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>weighted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple mode</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.225 (0.428-3.507)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.151 (0.443-2.989)</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hip pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>MR Egger p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR Egger</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.408 (0.517-3.837)</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.546 (0.924-2.588)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse variance weighted</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.550 (1.067-2.252)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple mode</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.746 (0.521-5.844)</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.676 (0.545-5.158)</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Knee pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>MR Egger p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR Egger</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>137.138 (0.034 - 541849.181)</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted median</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.296 (0.060-28.254)</td>
<td>0.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse variance weighted</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.152 (0.234-19.789)</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple mode</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.141 (0.00003-741.539)</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted mode</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.267 (0.00008-886.247)</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MR: Mendelian randomization; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

### 2.2 MR analysis of gait abnormalities on localized musculoskeletal pain

A total of four SNPs were finally included as instrumental variables for MR analysis, and the F-statistics of all SNPs were greater than 10 (20.87-22.68), indicating the presence of a weak instrumental bias was less likely.

Reverse MR analysis showed no significant causal relationship between gait abnormalities and localized musculoskeletal pain, and IVW results showed that gait abnormalities did not increase the risk of low back pain (OR=0.999, 95%CI=0.952 - 1.047, P=0.951), hip pain (OR=1.001, 95%CI=0.969-1.034, P=0.961), and knee pain (OR=1.000, 95%CI=0.996-1.005, P=0.872). For detailed MR results and scatter plots of the risk of gait abnormalities on localized musculoskeletal pain, please see Supplementary file 4.

### 2.3 Quality control and sensitivity analysis

The Cochran Q test results showed no heterogeneity among the SNPs included in the analysis; the MR-Egger intercept test results showed no horizontal pleiotropy in
the MR analysis results, and the heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy among the
genetic tools are described in Supplementary file 5. The "leave-one-out" sensitivity
analysis showed that the overall error line did not change much and that the causal
estimates were unlikely to be affected by some SNP effects, and more detailed
information was shown in the Supplementary file 6. The MR-PRESSO results showed
that no outlier SNPs were detected. The funnel plots and forest plots of the present
MR analysis were shown in Supplementary file 7 and Supplementary file 8.

3 Discussion

Gait abnormalities will change the mechanical bearing pattern of lower limb
joints, accelerate the process of osteoarthritic diseases, trigger new osteoarthritic
complications, and bring about a variety of activity disorders, which has greatly
affected patients' quality of life and gradually attracted people's attention. Some
studies have reported that localized musculoskeletal pain is a risk factor for gait
abnormalities, and in a cross-sectional study of healthy nondisabled volunteers aged
65 years and older, researchers found that multisite pain were associated with slow
gait speed (n=176)\textsuperscript{[20]}. However, the causal relationship between localized
musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities has not been definitively explained due to
the limitations of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in the real world, which limits
the clinical pain management.

Several recent studies have utilized MR to explore risk or protective factors for
localized musculoskeletal pain\textsuperscript{[21][22][23]}. MR provides a pioneering approach to
exploring the causal relationship between risk factors and disease outcomes, making it
a useful tool for making causal inferences in cases where a RCT is not feasible or unethical\cite{24}. For this, in this study, the method of bidirectional MR analysis was used, and three types of localized musculoskeletal pain and one type of gait abnormality were selected as exposure and outcome indicators. This is the first time that MR have been used to explore the relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities. We hope that our findings will help clinical practitioners educate patients with gait abnormalities about targeted pain management.

Our results suggested that localized musculoskeletal pain, especially low back pain and hip pain, could increase the risk of gait abnormality, but gait abnormality did not have a significant causal effect on the occurrence of localized musculoskeletal pain. Take low back pain as an example, it has been demonstrated that patients with low back pain exhibit altered movement patterns and control strategies during daily functional activities, patients with low back pain have a slowed gait speed and reduced stride length, and when patients with low back pain are asked to stride faster they only exhibit an increased stride frequency and an asymmetric stride length\cite{27}. In another population-based study of non-disabled older adults, researchers found that the presence of two lower body pain sites was associated with slower gait speed\cite{27}. Our results further validated the relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait characteristics.

Pain-induced decline in gait performance may be associated with two mechanisms: firstly, motor control mechanisms. The idea that pain and motor
dysfunction interact with each other has been proposed from the perspective of motor
control in patients with pain[28], and researchers have suggested that pain is a powerful
stimulus that leads to a redistribution of the motor system and adaptive changes in
motor control[29]. Secondly, the cognitive pathway: at the cognitive-behavioral level,
the fear-avoidance model of pain[30] illustrates that, for some patients, pain is often
accompanied by an increase in fear and general avoidance of movements that may be
associated with pain, which ultimately leads to a decrease in fitness and disability as
time passes.

Notably, the results of this study demonstrated that low back pain and hip pain
can increase the risk of gait abnormalities, while knee pain had no significant causal
relationship with gait abnormalities. Further consideration is given from the results of
our study, this may be related to the joint range of motion and positional structure of
the knee joint. The gait cycle of human walking can be categorized into support phase
and swing phase according to the position of the lower limbs during walking[32]. The
activity of the support phase of the lower limbs belongs to the closed-chain
movement, and the foot, ankle, knee, hip, pelvis, trunk, upper limbs, neck, head, etc.
are all involved in the walking process. Any change in the closed-chain system will
cause corresponding changes in the entire chain of motion, with the distal weight-
bearing axis (ankle) having the greatest influence on the overall posture[33]. The swing
phase belongs to the open chain movement, each joint can have isolated postural
changes, but often cause compensatory changes in the posture of the contralateral
lower limb, with the proximal axis (hip joint) having the greatest influence[34].
knee joint primarily performs flexion and extension movements and the range of
flexion and extension is -10° to 135°, but it has little effect on the support and sway
phases involved in gait. Although the knee joint also has internal rotation and
abduction functions, the range of knee internal rotation activities is 0° to 30° and the
range of external rotation activities is 0° to 40°, compared with the lumbar, hip, ankle
and other parts, in the process of walking, the range of motion of knee joint is smaller,
the activity participation is lower, and thus the impact on the gait is relatively small.

The results of reverse MR analysis suggested that gait abnormalities did not have
a significant causal effect on localized musculoskeletal pain, which may be due to the
fact that only four SNPs were included in the reverse MR analysis, which may have
led to the underestimation of exposure-outcome associations in the two-sample MR
study, and the main reason for this bias was the insufficient sample size; therefore, we
should be cautious in interpreting this result, and it needs to be validation using a
larger sample size of GWAS data related to gait abnormalities in the future.\textsuperscript{[35]}

Patients with gait abnormalities have been increasing in recent years, and our
study will enhance the management of localized musculoskeletal pain in a high-risk
population. Therefore, this study is crucial to explore the causal relationship between
localized musculoskeletal pain factors and the risk of gait abnormalities. Our MR
study provides strong evidence for a causal relationship between localized
musculoskeletal pain and increased risk of gait abnormalities, which inspires us to
actively intervene in the localized pain symptoms present in patients with gait
abnormalities when treating and rehabilitating them clinically, and to restore their
imbalanced motor control system through effective pain management, thus improving
the quality of life of patients with gait abnormalities. However, further validation and
exploration of the intrinsic mechanism of the causal association between the two is
still needed in the future[36].

4 Conclusion

Based on the findings from our study, we have gained valuable insights into the
relationship between localized musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities. We
observed that localized musculoskeletal pain, special low back pain and hip pain,
may elevate the risk of gait abnormalities, while conversely, gait abnormalities do not
significantly contribute to the occurrence of localized musculoskeletal pain.

Consistent with prior research, our study underscores altered movement patterns and
control strategies in individuals with localized musculoskeletal pain during daily
activities, emphasizing the impact of pain on gait characteristics. Overall, our study
contributes novel insights into the complex interplay between localized
musculoskeletal pain and gait abnormalities, holding significant implications for the
development of effective interventions. Future research endeavors should delve
deep into these relationships and translate findings into clinical practice to enhance
the management of gait abnormalities and pain in patients.
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