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Professional grief in cancer care – A scoping review

Objective: Healthcare professionals (HCPs) in cancer care often face patient deaths, yet there is a notable absence of comprehensive evidence regarding their grief. This scoping review seeks to identify key aspects of professional grief in cancer care and give an overview pertaining its’ conceptualization and frequency and intensity.

Methods: The primary search covered three databases (PUBMED, PSYNDEX, and PsycINFO). Two independent reviewers assessed 2,248 records, selecting 34 eligible articles.

Results: Most studies originated from North America and Israel, with limited evidence from the global south, East Asia and Europe, as well as few quantitative studies. HCPs exhibit classic grief symptoms (such as sadness) and distinct features (e.g., feelings of guilt) in response to patient deaths, though a clear definition and measures of professional grief are lacking. Grief frequency varies highly (from 23% to 100%).

Conclusions: Future research should refine definitions and measures to better support HCPs in effectively managing professional grief in cancer care.
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Background
When individuals experience the loss of family members or friends, the typical and anticipated reaction is grief, which is the human, emotional response to the loss of someone or something significant (1–3). However, evidence suggests that this notion of significance also applies to professional relationships, such as those between healthcare professionals (HCPs) and their patients. In cancer care, HCPs often form close bonds with patients due to the extensive and sometimes long-term care they provide (4,5). As cancer remains a leading global cause of death (3,6), the loss of patients is inherent to HCPs working in this field. The distress HCPs might experience after a patient’s death...
is sometimes called “professional grief”, a phenomenon that hasn’t been comprehensively evaluated (7). While it has been suggested to differentiate between professional and personal grieving experiences (8), the distinction remains blurry. This lack of specificity and recognition is increasingly problematic as emerging evidence indicates that professional grief might contribute to elevated rates of burnout, compassion fatigue, and compromised healthcare quality (3,8,9). Thus, the overarching aim of this scoping review was to accumulate insights into professional grief within cancer care and provide a comprehensive overview of the existing body of evidence. The primary objective was to clarify fundamental concepts of professional grief in cancer care and ascertain its frequency and depth among oncology professionals.

Methods

Protocol and registration

To conduct this scoping review, we applied the Arksey & O’Malley framework and based our study protocol on the PRISMA-ScR-Checklist (10,11). We initially registered our study protocol on 15 September 2022 with the open science framework (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/R7B8H), and then updated it on 13 March 2023 (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/Y5QHN), to refine our inclusion criteria.

Eligibility criteria

We included studies focusing on HCPs’ responses to patient death, coping strategies, and related aspects in cancer care, regardless of whether they used the term “professional grief”. Original research using qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods, as well as systematic reviews, were included. Articles had to be in English, German, or French (see supplementary file 1 for the checklist of inclusion criteria). We
excluded articles on non-patient-related grief, non-cancer contexts, and studies involving HCPs still in training.

**Information sources and screening process**

We conducted primary searches between June and September 2022, updating in April 2024 in PUBMED, PsycINFO, and PSYNDEX databases. Thus, all articles published between inception and April 2024 were considered. The search strategy for PUBMED is detailed in supplementary file 2. Records were screened in Rayyan, with duplicates removed (12). Reference tracking was also performed. Pre-screening was conducted to establish inclusion criteria consistency. Titles and abstracts were independently screened by two reviewers, with disagreements resolved through discussion. Full-text assessment was done by two reviewers independently (SW, HF), with disagreements resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (MT).

**Data charting process**

The first author developed a data charting form, which was iteratively updated and independently charted by a second reviewer (LW, cf. acknowledgements), and checked by a third reviewer (MT), who recoded results when necessary. Disagreements were resolved through discussion. The charting included study characteristics, aims, key findings, terminology used, professional grief characteristics, and its association with related constructs. Upon charting, all eligible studies were categorized inductively based on their aim and key findings. Categories were developed by the first author and agreed upon by all authors.
Results

Included studies

After removing duplicates, we found 2,248 records in electronic databases and 47 through reference tracking. We screened 274 full-text reports, resulting in 34 eligible studies (see Figure 1). These studies, published between 1994 and 2023, mainly originated in Canada (n=10), the United States (n=9), and Israel (n=7). Most studies were qualitative, with only a fifth using validated quantitative measures to assess professional grief. They primarily focused on physicians (n=16) and nurses (n=11). Characteristics of these studies are detailed in Table 1.

Synthesis of results

Data charting revealed four main categories: “the experience of professional grief”, “influences on and of professional grief”, “coping with patient death”, and “institutional support and interventions”. Some studies addressed multiple categories, with varying numbers of studies per category (1-10, see Table 1).

The experience of professional grief

The first category explores the emotional dimensions of professional grief, examining intensity, frequency, effects and unique contextual factors. In response to patient deaths, HCPs experience a distinctive form of grief, encompassing typical expressions like crying and sadness, along with specific aspects such as guilt and helplessness (13–17).

This grief differs from personal grief due to the frequency of patient deaths in cancer care and the responsibility felt toward patients' lives, albeit with reduced intensity (15,18,19). Studies show highly variable frequencies of professional grief, ranging from 23% to 100%, indicating multifactorial causes beyond patient deaths (8,16–18,20).
Factors making patient deaths challenging include the patient's age, closeness of the patient-HCP relationship, unexpected outcomes, and family issues (9,17,21,22). HCPs also feel pressure to maintain composure, which can lead to personality changes and exhaustion (2,5,8,23,24). However, positive outcomes include normalizing death, learning from patients, and enhancing life appreciation (21,24).

Influences on and of professional grief
This category explores the relationship between professional grief and other side effects of caring for terminally ill patients. Positive associations between grief and compassion fatigue and burnout were found, and grief partially mediates the link between empathy and secondary traumatic stress. Social acknowledgment of grief affects levels of secondary traumatic stress (2,3,5,23).

Coping with patient death
This category focuses on HCPs' approaches to managing patient loss and the extent to which these approaches aid in effective coping. HCPs employ various coping strategies, including seeking social support, relying on faith, engaging in hobbies, and using positive reframing. Barriers to coping include time constraints, heavy workloads, and gender-related stereotypes. Some HCPs resort to detrimental strategies like emotional withdrawal or substance abuse (7,17,19,25).

Institutional support and interventions
Institutions can mitigate the impact of patient losses by providing training, validation of grief, opportunities for breaks, and psychosocial support (22,26). Providing or fostering collegial support emerged as beneficial (17,22). Interventions such as grief debriefing sessions and peer support workshops have proven helpful (20,27,28).
The construct of professional grief

We assessed the existing terminology and definitions pertaining to professional grief. While the majority of articles uses terms like “grief” to depict distress following a patient's loss, few specifically mention “professional grief” (29,30; see table 1, nomenclature). Some use rather descriptive terms such as “psychological burden of a patient’s death” or “work-related bereavement” (26,31). Although “professional grief” was already coined in 1995 (29), subsequent research did not uniformly adopt this term. Furthermore, less than 10% of articles provide definitions for professional grief (n=3; see supplementary file 3; 7,8,30). These definitions generally align with the emotional impact of professional grief outlined above but vary in focus, with Granek et al. emphasizing oncologists' experiences (7,8), while Treggalles and Lowrie consider professional grief within the broader context of grief in general (30). Despite these efforts to define professional grief, consistent terminology and an established and comprehensive definition of the construct are lacking across the available literature.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year), journal</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study population (n, group of HCPs)</th>
<th>Nomenclature for PG</th>
<th>Aim &amp; key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Chau et al. (2009), Arch Intern Med (32) | Canada | Quantitative (online survey) | Cross-sectional | n=535, medical and radiation oncologists and palliative care physicians | - | Aim: exploration of physician’s participation in bereavement practices  
- Palliative care physicians have a tendency to be more involved in bereavement care and feel less (no) guilt after a patient’s death  
- 64% at least sometimes took part in bereavement rituals; barriers to bereavement follow-up: lack of time or resources |
| Conte (2014), J Hosp Palliat (14) | USA | Qualitative (unstructured interviews) | Cross-sectional | n=11, pediatric oncology nurses | Experiences with work-related loss, work-related grief | Aim: report pediatric oncology nurses' experiences with work-related loss and grief  
- Characteristics of professional grief: feelings of guilt and helplessness, expected suppression of emotions/ staying professional (“keeping it together”); professional grief identified as a form of grief (“It’s not the same as losing a family member, but it is still a loss.”)  
- Having a close relationship with patients and their families was two-fold, it provided a source of meaning to nurses, but also intensified feelings of loss/grief, guilt and helplessness; Nurses also identified positive impacts of their exposure to chronic patient death, e.g. a special appreciation for life |
| Feldstein & Buschman (1995), Cancer Nurs (29) | USA | Quantitative (paper pencil questionnaire) | Cross-sectional | n=50, oncology nurses | grief, chronic compounded grief, professional grief | Aim: determine whether nurses who leave the field of oncology experience more grief than those who stay  
- All nurses exhibited grief, but those who stayed in the field and those who changed field did not differ significantly in levels or sources of grief or available support |
| Granek et al. (2012), Arch Intern Med (8) | Canada | Qualitative (semi-structured interviews) | Cross-sectional | n=20, oncologists | grief over patient loss, oncologists' grief | Aim: exploration of oncologists grief over deceased patients and effects on personal and professional lives  
- 30% of sample reported crying and feelings of guilt (signs of professional grief)  
- Patient loss is a unique affective experience, distinct from grief in everyday life (smoke-like quality); influences oncologists, their affect and personal lives as well as other patients; dysfunctional coping with patient loss might not only affect oncologists themselves negatively but also their living patients due to e.g. withdrawal or alteration of treatment decisions |
| Granek et al. (2012), J Palliat Med (9) | Canada | Qualitative (semi-structured interviews) | Cross-sectional | n=20, oncologists | grief over patient loss, oncologists' grief, physician’s grief | Aim: explore what intensifies oncologist’s grief over patient deaths  
- Oncologists found patient deaths particularly distressing due to either relational factors, such as long-term treatment or close relationships, or contextual factors, such as unrealistic expectations from the patient’s family  
- (Workplace) culture influenced how oncologists acknowledged and expressed grief |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granek et al. (2015), Blood Cancer (15)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>$n=21$, paediatric oncologists</td>
<td>Investigate pediatric oncologists' reactions to patient deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granek et al. (2017), J Oncol Pract (33)</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Mixed methods (semi-structured interviews &amp; survey)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>qualitative $n=22$, quantitative $n=79$, oncologists</td>
<td>Explore the impact of frequent patient deaths on oncologists' lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granek et al. (2017), Psycho-Oncology (34)</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>$n=22$, oncologists</td>
<td>Explore oncologist's grief symptoms over patient death and to identify why and which losses are particularly challenging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaplan (2000), Omega (18)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Qualitative (structured interviews)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>$n=15$, paediatric nurses</td>
<td>Explore emotional experiences of pediatric nurses in palliative care, formulate a model of caregiver grief</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Aim:**
- Reactions to patient deaths included sadness, crying, sleep deprivation, feelings of guilt, helplessness and exhaustion, being drained, feeling physically ill, a sense of personal loss, self-questioning and a sense of failure while still maintaining a professional role ("keeping it together"); guilt stemmed either from causing pain while delivering bad news and feeling responsible for them or "survivors guilt" (moving on with one's own life after patient deaths); guilt in pediatric oncologists was elevated in comparison to oncologists dealing with adult populations.
- Patient deaths impacted oncologists both negatively and positively, in their professional as well as their personal life.
- Duration of grief is inconsistent, from lasting a few moments or hours to even months/years; even when acute grief subsides some patient deaths had a lifelong impact on oncologists.
- Chronic patient deaths and anticipatory grief were identified as especially burdensome, as well as being part of the families grieving experience additionally to one's own grief.
- Frequent patient death held positive and negative consequences for oncologists personal and professional lives; including personality changes (becoming more negative) while also being better able to keep in mind what is important in life (79%), as well as feeling exhausted (62%) and burned out (76%) while still learning from patients and improving care (67%).
- Chronic exposure to patient death seemed to normalize death and dying for most oncologists (53%), while some reported more death anxiety (24%).
- Grief symptoms: behavioral (e.g. crying, trouble with sleep), cognitive (e.g. rumination, self-doubt), physical (chest/general discomfort, fatigue), and emotional symptoms (sadness, anxiety, helplessness, guilt, irritability, loss, relief).
- Difficult patient losses due to: unexpected deaths, patient's young age, identification and/or close relationships with patients, death with suffering, unrealistic expectations/ physician blame/ unprepared families, close relationship with the family and strong impact of a patient’s disease on his/her children (e.g. cancer due to genetic origins).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Research Design</th>
<th>Sample Details</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaur et al. (2021), Indian J Palliat Care</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>n=15, mixed sample (7 nurses; 6 physicians; 1 counselor; 1 social worker)</td>
<td>Distinct elements of professional grief: grieving process takes less time and is not overwhelming since no “internalized loved object” was lost due to the less intimate and always temporary relationship between HCPs and patients. Due to the inexistence of a institutional support system, time constraints and societal pressures nurses were unable to express grief (disenfranchised grief), which is troublesome since finding ways to cope with grief is was identified as crucial to stay within this field of work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaur et al. (2021), Indian J Palliat Care</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>n=15, mixed sample (7 nurses; 6 physicians; 1 counselor; 1 social worker)</td>
<td>Indian J Palliat Care (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engler-Gross et al. (2020), PsychoOncology</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Quantitative (survey)</td>
<td>n=60, psycho-oncologists</td>
<td>Aim: evaluate how grief reactions influence the development of compassion fatigue in psycho-oncologists and how this relation is moderated by social acknowledgement. Sample showed moderate to high levels of grief (M=27.83, SD=7.50). Grief showed positive correlations with secondary traumatic stress and burnout and negative associations with social acknowledgement. Participants showing high levels of grief and low social acknowledgement had significantly higher secondary traumatic stress scores than those who expressed either medium levels of grief and high social acknowledgement or low levels of grief and medium social acknowledgement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayuni et al. (2019), PsychoOncology</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Quantitative (survey)</td>
<td>n=71, oncologists</td>
<td>Aim: examine the role of compassion fatigue as a mediator between empathy and grief. Sample showed moderate to high levels of grief (M=26.21, SD=8.84), which correlated positively and significantly with secondary traumatic stress, burnout and personal distress; perspective taking and grief had a significant, negative association. Mediation model: secondary traumatic stress and burnout fully accounted for the relationship between perspective taking and personal distress (components of empathy) and grief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laor-Mayaany et al. (2020), Support Care Cancer</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Quantitative (survey)</td>
<td>n=74, oncologists</td>
<td>Aim: investigate the impact of secondary traumatic stress, sense of failure and grief on compassion fatigue in oncologists. Participants showed moderate to high levels of grief (M=26.21, SD=8.96). Grief showed significant, positive correlations with secondary traumatic stress and burnout, grief and sense of failure combined even predicted secondary traumatic stress and burnout significantly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi et al. (2022), PsychoOncology</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Quantitative (survey)</td>
<td>n=794, oncology nurses</td>
<td>Aim: examine oncology nurses’ grief, show it’s mediating effect between empathy and compassion fatigue. Sample showed moderate levels of grief (M=26.06, SD=10.81).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grief and perspective taking was negatively associated while personal distress, secondary traumatic stress and burnout were positively associated.

The relationship between empathy and secondary traumatic stress was partially mediated by grief.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Sample Characteristics</th>
<th>Aim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn et al. (2010), Oncologist (4)</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Quantitative (online survey)</td>
<td>n=126, oncologists</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Define the frequency with which oncologists take part in bereavement practices and barriers to participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granek et al. (2013), Death Stud (35)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>n=20, oncologists</td>
<td>grief, grief over patient loss</td>
<td>Existence of institutional protocols on how to handle patient death and identification of oncologists' coping strategies when faced with patient loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granek et al. (2016), Support Care Cancer (7)</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>n=22, oncologists</td>
<td>grief, oncologists' grief, grief over patient death</td>
<td>Exploration of oncologists' coping with patient death in Israel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granek et al. (2016), J Psychosoc Oncol (25)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)</td>
<td>n=21, paediatric oncologists</td>
<td>grief, grief over patient death</td>
<td>Qualitative examination of pediatric oncologists' coping strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coping with patient death

- 29% of oncologists participated in face-to-face bereavement, 45% in indirect practices and 26% did not participate in any bereavement rituals.
- All bereavement practices increased significantly when physicians felt like they had a “special bond” with the deceased patient while being spiritual but not religious influenced the participation in bereavement rituals positively for all patients combined.
- Most frequent barriers to participation in bereavement rituals: time constraints (65%), fear of burnout (61%) and the felt need to maintain boundaries (55%).
Lee et al. (2023), Cancer Nurs (31) South Korea Qualitative (non-structured interviews) Cross-sectional study n=10, oncology nurses psychological burden of a patient’s death Aim: exploration of oncology nurses’ coping strategies after patient deaths
- Positive coping strategies included: reflection (e.g. thinking about one’s own mortality), readjusting one’s own values (e.g. prioritizing family), improving as a medical care provider, participating in religious activities and talking to friends and/or family members
- Negative coping strategies included: avoiding the patient/ the end-of-life-situation, either behaviorally (e.g. not going to see the patient as often) or psychologically (e.g. withdrawing emotionally), drinking alcohol and binge eating

Institutional support and interventions (n=6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Granek et al. (2012), Support Care Cancer (36) | Canada | Qualitative (semi-structured interviews) Cross-sectional | n=20, oncologists | grief, grief over patient loss | Aim: investigate oncologist’s needs for institutional support when faced with patient death
- Four types of institutional support were identified as helpful: (1) training, information and education, e.g. fact sheets (2) acknowledgment and validation of grief, e.g. specific forums to share grief experiences (3) institutional psychosocial support, e.g. grief counsellors available to staff and (4) vacations and sabbaticals |
| Hinds et al. (1994), J Pediatr Nurs (27) | USA | Qualitative (survey)² Cross-sectional | n=59, paediatric oncology nurses | grief, bereavement | Aim: evaluate the impact of bereavement debriefing sessions on grief
- 113 grief debriefing sessions were held for the 494 deaths from 2002-2005 (23%), requested mostly by oncology services
- Most frequently cited reasons for a request were professional distress (97 of 113 sessions) and sudden or unexpected patient death (39 of 113 sessions); having a long-term relationship with a dying patient or their family was identified as especially troublesome
- Most participants found the intervention helpful, informative and meaningful; more frequent participation in sessions seemed to improve self-management of grief and (multidisciplinary) collaboration |
| Keene et al. (2010), Pediatr Nurs (20) | USA | Quantitative (survey)² | n=676, mixed sample (374 nurses, 101 physicians, 55 child life specialists, 37 social workers, 109 other) | grief, bereavement, professional distress | Aim: evaluation of grief workshop on grief symptoms in pediatric oncology nurses
- Oncology nurses showed mostly moderate grief scores, lower than reference groups grieving the loss of a family member; somatization scores were elevated in comparison to the reference group
- Grief and perceived stress seem to be moderately to strongly associated, however the workshop itself did not affect levels of grief |
| Macpherson (2008), J Pediatr Oncol Nurs (28) | USA | Mixed methods (survey and audio recordings) Interventional study, pre-post-design | n=6, paediatric oncology nurses | grief, loss overload | Aim: evaluation of peer-supported story-telling and meaning-making on grief
- Even though participants struggled to find the time to do the sessions, they expressed positive feelings about the intervention and felt social support
- Comments evaluating the intervention’s impact on grief were conflicting; the sessions seemed more impactful in reducing grief when participants had experienced special patient deaths (r=.93, p ≤.01) |
| Rettig et al. (2020), J Holist Nurs (37) | USA | Qualitative Observational study | - | grief, grief over patient loss | Aim: evaluation of the implementation of an „remembrance & renewal“ program (R&R; installing a specific space to „relieve stress, reflect on the lives of patients, and remember...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Study Sample</th>
<th>Study Aim</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Rettig et al. (2023), J Holist Nurs (38) | USA | Quantitative (survey) | n=105, mixed sample of HCPs working in a comprehensive cancer hospital | Aim: examine HCPs knowledge of and engagement with the „remembrance & renewal“ (R&R) program | - 77% of participants knew about R&R, 59% participated in the program; of those who participated once, all planned to attend future events
- No physicians participated in the survey, therefore data is only available concerning nurses and other employee groups
- Time and patient needs were the most frequent barriers to participation, while increasing age, length of time in the profession and years of education were indicators of participation
- Engagement with the program was mostly associated with calmness and reflection |
| Chew et al. (2021), J Adv Nurs (13) | Singapore | Qualitative (semi-structured interviews) | n=12, paediatric nurses | Aim: describe new oncology nurses’ experiences, challenges and coping strategies with the death of pediatric patients | - Nurses reported a negative emotional impact of a pediatric patient deaths (including sadness, guilt and fear), feeling disoriented and anxious while trying to remain 'professional' as well as feelings of guilt and failure after a patient's death, feeling like assumptions about this job and reality did not align
- Coping with pediatric patient death included seeking support from colleagues (e.g. retelling good memories together was helpful), relying on one's own spiritual beliefs and reframing each patient death as an opportunity to learn how to cope
- Some nurses expressed the wish for a 'sharing platform' e.g. a focus group to help nurses, especially new ones, to cope with patient deaths |
| Granek et al. (2017), Curr Oncol (21) | Canada | Quantitative (survey) | n=98, oncologists | Aim: identify what makes patient death more emotionally difficult for oncologists and how oncologists cope with patient death | - Factors mainly contributing to difficult patient loss were: patient age, long-term management of a patient, unexpected disease outcomes
- Oncologists used diverse coping strategies, the most prevalent one was seeking peer support |
| Granek et al. (2017), Support Care Cancer (22) | Canada & Israel | Quantitative (survey) | n=177, oncologists | Aim: examine relationship between negative attitudes towards expressing emotion following patient death and burnout in oncologists and explore oncologists’ preferences for interventions | - Negative attitudes towards expressing emotion following patient death and burnout were significantly correlated (r=.26, p<.01)
Oncologist’s preferences in coping with patient death varied, ranging from receiving emotional support (e.g., validation of grief over patient loss as part of working in oncology; 86%) and training on how to cope with patient death as part of e.g., fellowship training (80%) or seminars in general (63%) to having vacations (83%) or even doing research (58%).

Puente-Fernández et al. (2020), J Nurs Scholarsh (24)

Spain

Systematic review of qualitative research

- emotional distress, clinicians’ grief, grief-related emotions

n=17 studies

Aim: conduct a review to summarize the attitude of nurses towards death.

Patient death seems to have a large negative emotional impact on nurses, which they lack training in dealing with; successful coping with patient death is influenced by a) the nurses’ emotional connection with the patient and their family, b) the families’ attitude towards death and c) the nurses’ training and experience with patient death.

Treggalle et al. (2018), Aust Occup Ther J (30)

Australia

Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)

Cross-sectional

n=6, occupational therapists

professional grief

Aim: occupational therapists’ experience and coping strategies to deal with professional grief in palliative care settings.

Self-knowledge and especially being aware of one’s own emotional “triggers” as well as keeping “mindful” and healthy boundaries were identified as essential.

Having an authentic emotional connection to their patients was seen incremental to feel a sense of value and satisfaction within the professional role, despite causing emotional distress in the occurrence of a patient’s death; having time and space to reflect on one’s feelings (e.g., holidays) and finding closure was essential to manage these emotional connections.

Participants identified a range of benefits of working in palliative care, such as „appreciation of time“ or „what is important in life“.

Zambrano et al. (2014), Palliat Support Care (19)

Australia

Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)

Cross-sectional

n=7, palliative care physicians

grief at a professional level

Aims: assembling palliative care physician’s experiences when dealing with patient death and their coping mechanism.

Palliative care physicians described their role within the healthcare professions as special.

Emotional impact of a patient’s death may include feelings of sadness, sometimes guilt but also relief; professional grief was seen as distinct from grief after personal losses (e.g. more frequent, but also more short lived).

Overall physicians found their work to be rewarding and worth the costs of e.g. being constantly reminded of their own mortality and feeling isolated.

Coping strategies were either problem-focused (e.g., maintaining a work-life-balance) or emotion-focused (e.g., setting boundaries), additionally physicians used meaning-making and seeking support from team members as coping strategies.

The experience of professional grief AND institutional support and interventions (n=1)

Gomes Maia da Sena et al. (2023), Psicooncología (39)

Brazil

Qualitative (semi-structured interviews)

Cross-sectional

n=23, mixed sample, working in pediatric oncology

grief

Aim: analyzing HCPs experiences with grief within the context of palliative care in pediatric oncology.

HCPs experience (anticipatory) grief due to patient deterioration and loss of relationships, often unrecognized due to societal stigma surrounding professional grief expression.

HCPs lack sufficient training in preparation for patient deaths and providing palliative care.
### Institutional support and interventions AND coping with patient death (n=1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Aim: determine facilitators and barriers to managing patient loss from the perspectives of oncology nurses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wenzel et al. (2011), Oncol Nurs Forum (26)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Qualitative (focus groups)</td>
<td>n=34 (4-8 per group), oncology nurses</td>
<td>Challenges in managing patient loss: time constraints, emotionally high demands of the field of work and collaborating with “outsiders” of the field or the institution; closer attachment to patients seems to exacerbate feelings of grief in case of patient deaths; Resources in coping with patient death: individual (religion or faith, sports and “self-care” (e.g. taking a bath)), team-based (debriefing sessions and a strong team spirit in general) or rooted in the relationship with the patients and their families; Organizational support for oncology nurses dealing with patient death seems to be insufficient, specific suggestions for improvements were made, ranging from “creating time and space for staff self-care” (e.g. supporting and empathizing the importance of breaks) and providing collegial or professional support to improving efficiency in dealing with paperwork to allow nurses to spend more time with the dying patients and their families.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Aim: evaluate the intensity of grief experienced by HCPs after the death of a child and identify their needs and explore factors associated with a memorable patient death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plante &amp; Cyr (2011), Paediatr Child Health (17)</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Quantitative (survey)</td>
<td>n=101, mixed sample (46 nurses; 22 physicians; 11 residents; 13 respiratory therapists; 9 other)</td>
<td>HCPs’ grief: all HCPs (100%) expressed moderate to high levels of grief, with respiratory therapists and physicians reporting significantly higher levels than nurses (M=29.4, SD=4.1, M=23.1, SD=3.8 vs. M=13.1, SD=1.8); male gender and younger age was associated with higher grief scores while being comfortable with caring for a dying child was associated with a lower intensity of grief; being a parent or having lost a child one-self, receiving previous training in palliative care or the length of the relationship with the child/ the family were not associated with the intensity of the felt grief; Factors influencing a memorable death: unexpected death, length of relationship and affective bonding with the child/family, occurrence of death after palliative care or connected to a special event (e.g. Christmas), being personally involved in termination of treatment, feelings of guilt linked to the death or if the dying child had a twin; Following a memorable death participants reported: feelings of sadness, anger, relief, anxiety, sometimes guilt, the need to be alone, changes in appetite, trouble sleeping or more frequent mistakes at work, but also being more compassionate towards others; 90% of sample successfully coped with patient deaths; positive coping strategies: seeking emotional and social support (75-85%, mostly with colleagues), positive reframing (80%), seeking distraction (57%), faith (55%) and humor (13%);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
potentially negative coping strategies: self-blame (40%), denial (6%), substance abuse (2%) and behavioral disengagement (1%)

- 40% wished for more support from their institution; suggestions were incoherent, but psychological counselling could be helpful as well as (voluntary) meetings after a patient’s death, taking a break from work (even for 15-30 minutes) and further training in palliative care

Assessing grief with questionnaire validated measure: ¹Texas Revised Inventory of Grief present scale (TRIG-Present), ²Texas Revised Inventory of Grief both scales, ³Grief Experience Inventory (GEI)
Discussion

In this scoping review, we analyzed 34 studies on professional grief among HCPs in cancer care, primarily from the United States, Canada, and Israel, with limited evidence from other regions. Notably, a small number of research teams provided most of the available evidence on professional grief. Qualitative studies focusing on nurses and physicians constitute the primary source of existing knowledge, reflecting their prevalence among HCPs. However, evidence on other HCP groups like psychologists, social workers, and physical therapists is limited. Two studies suggest that psycho-oncologists and respiratory therapists also experience significant grief levels (2,17). Future research should address a broader range of occupational roles in cancer care.

Just like general grief, professional grief can be a natural and adaptive response to loss, rather than inherently pathological. Current research on professional grief in cancer care hasn't distinctly separated it from general grief. What the available evidence does confirm is that the distress HCPs may feel after a patient's death is a form of grief, while emphasizing its distinctiveness. Professional grief, in contrast to grief occurring over personal losses, involves frequent encounters with patient deaths, though not all patient deaths trigger it due to factors like the depth of the professional relationship. When professional grief does arise, it tends to be less intense, possibly because it's confined to the workplace. However, this link between grief and the workplace presents unique challenges, including a heightened sense of responsibility and occasional guilt over patient deaths. Additionally, healthcare professionals often perceive their professional grieving reactions as inappropriate or socially unacceptable (see supplementary file 4 for an overview of contrasting elements of professional grief).
While most studies within the corpus of studies reviewed use terms like “grief”, few directly refer to “professional grief” or provide clear definitions. This inconsistent terminology poses a problem, introducing uncertainty in data interpretation. Furthermore, the available quantitative studies have predominantly employed questionnaires tailored to the assessment of grief experienced within personal contexts (40,41). Nonetheless, the distinct nature of grief experienced in a professional context—presumably marked by elements such as guilt stemming from a sense of professional responsibility towards a patient’s life—suggests that conventional assessment tools may fall short in comprehensively capturing professional grief.

**Study limitations**

Our scoping review has several limitations. Firstly, our primary search was limited to three electronic databases, which may have caused us to overlook relevant publications. However, we prioritized search sensitivity, evident in the considerable number of abstracts reviewed. Secondly, this review focused solely on professional grief in cancer care. While HCPs in cancer care often face patient deaths, the distinction between them and those in other fields may not accurately reflect reality. It remains uncertain whether the fundamental construct or symptoms differ across disciplines. Furthermore, there was a predominance of certain research groups and geographical locations, limiting generalizability. The absence of insights from the global south and Europe raises doubts about generalizability, despite including papers in English, German, and French. However, our review is the first of its kind to comprehensively address professional grief in cancer care, providing an inclusive overview of the current evidence and valuable insights for future investigations. Another strength is our interdisciplinary author team, including clinical expertise in oncology, palliative care, and psycho-oncology, as well as extensive experience in literature reviews.
Clinical implications

Despite the absence of a precisely defined construct and the variability in terminology, professional grief undeniably affects HCPs in cancer care. A common theme across reviewed studies is the acknowledgment that witnessing patient deaths may induce emotional distress in HCPs. HCPs express a need for structured guidance on managing patient deaths in cancer care (36). The absence of such guidance may lead to adverse consequences such as compassion fatigue, burnout, and compromised care quality (8,15,18,35). Institutions may be falling short in their responsibility both to their employees and the patients they serve (17,18,26).

Conclusion

While the exact terminology and clear differentiation remain unclear, the presence of distress is evident and concerning. While some studies have explored contextual factors and effective management of what we might term as “professional grief”, comprehensive investigations are lacking. Future research should prioritize establishing a precise definition of “professional grief” and develop robust measures. Insights should be gathered from various occupations and geographic regions, within and beyond cancer care. These efforts are crucial for advancing towards a healthcare system that prioritizes the well-being of its professionals.
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Figure 1, Study selection

Identification of studies via databases and registers

- Records identified from:
  - PUBMED (n=1,956)
  - PsycINFO (n=172)
  - PSYNDEX (n=154)

- Records screened (n=2,248)
- Reports assessed for eligibility (n=227)
- Studies included in review (n=34)

Identification of studies via other methods

- Records removed before screening:
  - Duplicate records removed (n=34)
  - Reference tracking (n=47)

- Records excluded (n=2,020)
- Reports not retrieved (n=1)
- Reports assessed for eligibility (n=47)
  - Reports excluded:
    - Concept of interest (n=19)
    - No empiric methodology (n=12)
    - Context not cancer care (n=10)
    - Population (not HCPs; n=1)
    - Event missing (patient death did not occur; n=4)