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Abstract

South African adolescents are at-risk for HIV infection. Understanding the factors influencing sexual decision-making is crucial for developing effective HIV prevention strategies. We conducted a qualitative study with adolescents and caregivers in Rustenburg, South Africa to explore individual and interpersonal factors that influence adolescent sexual decision-making. Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were conducted in English and Setswana with 17 adolescents (13 females and 4 males) and 19 caregivers (17 females and 2 males) between April and July 2018. Thematic analysis revealed that while adolescents had access to sexual education from various sources, where the knowledge does not translate into healthy sexual decision-making. A contributing barrier was the lack of effective communication and support between caregivers and adolescents in discussing topics related to sexual activity. Although adolescents expressed a strong need to be understood and supported by caregivers regarding their sexual activity, there was perceived distrust, judgemental attitude from caregivers, poor role models of a father figure, and the traditional taboo of having these discussions with caregivers. While female adolescents and female caregivers discussed sensitive matters, this type of communication was limited with adolescent males. Male
adolescents were uncomfortable communicating with either caregiver, fearing caregiver judgmental attitudes and being misunderstood. Female caregivers perceived male caregiver roles to be absent and non-engaging when it came to adolescent education and support in sexual matters. Caregivers desired to support their children, yet they seemed to doubt their skills. Communication tools and guidance on how adolescents and caregivers could communicate about sensitive matters could create enabling environments for adolescents to make informed, healthy decisions regarding their risky sexual behaviours. To address adolescent intention-behaviour gap, future interventions could consider gain-framed messaging within intervention packages to promote healthy sexual choices.
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Introduction

The HIV burden among sub-Saharan African (SSA) adolescents is a significant public health concern with adolescent girls and young women (AGYW) aged 15-24 years accounting for 63% of new HIV infections in the region (1). In South Africa, AGYW are particularly vulnerable having an HIV acquisition rate three times higher than their male counterparts (2). This vulnerability is exacerbated by various factors including sexual relationships with older men, gender inequalities, social norm discriminatory practice, poverty, deprived autonomy, and risk for abuse and violence (3–5). Although adolescent boys and young men (ABYM) are at lower risk for HIV acquisition, there is a growing need to include ABYM in HIV prevention discussions (1,4). Therefore, it remains vital to understand both AGYW and ABYM perspectives regarding their sexual decision-making and risk for HIV (1,4,6).
In South Africa, several studies have been conducted with adolescents to understand participant preferences for HIV prevention modalities (7–10). Many studies have focused on identifying barriers, motivators, and preferences to improve the uptake and persistent use of HIV prevention modalities, which are usually designed without including the voices of adolescents (7,8). This important step of including adolescents from the onset during the co-design phase of HIV prevention interventions is essential, yet often overlooked.

Importantly, in South Africa and elsewhere in SSA, engagement with caregivers (parents or guardians) is also rare when it concerns adolescent sexual decision-making (11–13). Although caregivers are uniquely positioned to educate and counsel their adolescents on how to make healthy sexual decisions only 20% of South African adolescents receive sexual health education from their caregivers (13,14). The role of discussing sensitive matters with adolescents generally becomes the responsibility of the female caregivers, as male caregivers are generally perceived as being absent, difficult, uncomfortable, and incapable of fostering close bonds with children (13,14). Although there are benefits of improving the adolescent-caregiver communication to promote informed decision-making in adolescent sexual behaviours, cultural barriers, long work hours, and limited knowledge and experience in reaching their children further impede the communication between adolescents and their caregivers (11–14).

The socioecological model (SEM) provides a framework for understanding the multiple levels of interactions that could influence adolescent sexual decision-making (15–18). Applying the SEM to explore the perspectives of adolescents and others in their social ecology can provide valuable insights into the complex interplay of factors shaping adolescent sexual decision-making (16,18,19). While there are several studies on adolescent
sexual behaviour and behavioural interventions, there is a dearth of information on understanding the underlying individual and interpersonal factors which influence healthy decision-making among adolescents (16,18,19). Although several intervention strategies were identified from past studies, limited studies explore how the role of caregivers could influence adolescent's ability to make healthy sexual decisions (19). Therefore, we conducted a qualitative exploratory study to understand the factors that influence sexual decision-making from the perspectives of adolescents and their caregivers.

Methods

Study design and setting

This qualitative project was embedded in a parent observational prospective study that took place at the Aurum Rustenburg Research Centre, South Africa. Rustenburg is one of the fastest growing cities in the Northwest Province with an approximate population of 562,031, where platinum mining is the dominant industry (20). Adolescents between the ages of 12-19 years constitute 14.6% of the population and have a high prevalence of HIV (2,21,22).

The main parent study has been previously reported (23), where we recruited males and females aged between 12 to 19 years, who were HIV-negative and in females not pregnant. The parent study aimed to evaluate adolescent preparedness for HIV prevention trials, assess clinical trial knowledge, measure willingness to participate in HIV prevention research, and characterize adolescent HIV risk and sexual decision-making. Among the parent study participants, adolescents, and their caregivers (parent or guardian) were invited through to
take part in focus group discussions (FGD). FGDs were conducted within three months after enrolling into the main study, between April to July 2018. Adolescents and caregivers were selected using convenience sampling, considering their availability for a scheduled date within the 3-month window, and concluded once a quota of 8 to 10 participants were reached.

Data Collection

Two in-person FGDs were conducted with adolescents and two with caregivers following enrolment into the main study and before a month-3 follow-up visit. Each FGD comprised 8-10 participants. The adolescent FGDs were disaggregated by age only (15-17 years and 18-19 years). Caregiver FGDs included those who took care of or supervised adolescents between 15-17 years. FGDs were conducted in English and Setswana (the predominant local language in Rustenburg) and took approximately one hour.

FGD guides (attached S1 and S2) were used to explore the adolescent and caregiver knowledge of sexual and reproductive health, and factors contributing to sexual and reproductive health behaviour. The guides included topics to understand where adolescents accessed sexual and reproductive health education, individual and interpersonal influences on adolescent sexual and reproductive health decisions, perceptions of the age adolescents could become or decide to be sexually active, and recommendations which could encourage adolescents to speak honestly about their sexual behaviours. Research assistants OM and TM (graduate education), female and male respectively, were the facilitators and note takers. They were trained by an experienced post-doctoral investigator (CCM) before data collection. During each FGD, CCM or FM added in facilitation in a supervisory role to facilitators OM and TM. Facilitators and note takers (OM, TM, FM and CCM) had professional relationships with participants and their caregivers, which ended post the main study conclusion.
During screening into the main study, adolescents completed an interviewer-administered socio-demographic and sexual behaviour questionnaire. Caregivers completed an abbreviated interviewer administered socio-demographic questionnaire only. We used data from the socio-demographic questionnaires to describe our participant pool for this study.

**Data Analysis**

All FGDs were digitally-recorded, transcribed verbatim and translated to English (where necessary) prior to analysis by OM and TM. Following each FGD and after the debrief sessions, the digital recordings were reviewed to revise the probes and monitor the saturation of themes. FM conducted a quality check of the transcripts. De-identified English transcripts and debrief notes were also reviewed before data analysis and included in the codebook.

NVIVO software Version 14 was used for the analysis (24). For the analysis, HM used a thematic approach that included deductive and inductive approaches to derive the themes. Through inter-rater reliability (YWM and CCM), we reached an agreement on the coding and emerging patterns. Discrepant codes were dropped during the analysis. Emerging themes were further discussed and finalised during virtual meeting discussions with the research team. We applied a 32-item checklist (attached S3), the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ), to ensure comprehensive reporting of qualitative data (25).

**Ethical Review**

The study was approved by the University of the Witwatersrand, Human Research Ethics Committee (ref. no 170607), and the Research Committee of the Northwest Provincial
Department of Health. All participants were reimbursed ZAR150.00 (about $8.20 USD) for participation in the FGDs.

Adolescents who were between 18-19 years provided written informed consent, and we obtained written assent from those younger than 18 years along with written caregiver consent. Caregivers consented to take part in the FGDs. All participants provided consent for the FGDs to be digitally recorded. Illiterate participants provided a thumbprint to acknowledge understanding in the presence of a witness. Participants consented to their direct quotations being published.

**Results**

**Descriptive characteristics of participants**

Seventeen adolescents (13 females and 4 males) participated in the FGDs, with median age 17-years (Interquartile range (IQR) 16-18 years). Most adolescents (n=13) attended senior secondary school. Nine females and one male reported being in a relationship with a steady partner/s, where only the females reported being sexually active. Five (55.6%) of these nine females reported multiple heterosexual sexual relationships with older partners up to four years older than them. Sixteen (94.1%) adolescents reported alcohol use, at a minimum once per month.

Seventeen female and 2 male caregivers with a median age of 37 years (IQR 34-46 years) took part in the FGDs. Thirteen were unemployed, with twelve reliant on family or spouse/partner financial assistance. All caregivers had about 2 to 5 child dependents per household. Among the 17 female caregivers, seven were married, and seven were in a steady
non-cohabitating relationship. Thirteen caregivers had their first child before they were 21 years old (range 16-20 years old), all females.

Qualitative findings

Table 1 lists the themes aligned with the individual and interpersonal levels from the SEM.

Table 1. Emerging themes that influence adolescent sexual decision-making from adolescent and caregiver perspectives in Rustenburg, South Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Ecology Level</th>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual level factors influencing sexual decision-making</td>
<td>Gaps in theoretical knowledge and actual behaviour</td>
<td>Theoretical knowledge source is from school curriculum (educators), friends, digital media, social media, television, and radio; Adolescents know of sexual risk-behaviours and methods to prevent negative outcomes yet continue to engage in risky-sexual behaviours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age milestone informed ability to become sexually active</td>
<td>Reaching adulthood, puberty, body development and traditional beliefs are factors contributing to the perception when an adolescent may become sexually active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol consumption rendering adolescents prone to high-risk sexual activities</td>
<td>Adolescents often consume alcohol when engaging in &quot;fun&quot; activities and lose their inhibition - resulting in high-risk sexual behaviour, and sexual and violent abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship level factors influencing sexual decision-making</td>
<td>Caregiver roles in educating and counselling adolescents about sexual behaviour</td>
<td>Caregivers and adolescents have comfort in discussing sex topics with a person of the same gender. Female caregivers are often left to play this supportive role either in single mother or duo caregiver homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Importance of the male caregiver figure</td>
<td>Male caregivers are needed to have an active role in the upbringing of their children, especially to when discussing sex topics with a male adolescent. Male caregivers in contrast felt they could not perform this function as their sons were much closer to their mothers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Both adolescents and caregivers indicated barriers in communication to be judgemental attitudes, distrust, lack of experience and skill, and traditional taboo nature in engaging in sex discussions with children or caregivers.

Individual level factors influencing sexual decision-making

Adolescents received information on sexual behaviour and how to make sexual decisions from school, friends, partners, television and social media that were confirmed in responses from the caregivers.

“...sexting whereby you text your partner, talking about those things...I learned mostly about these things at school and from friends when they tell you that they saw this and that on TV and also from cellphones [smart phone device] and Facebook.” Female, 15-17 years old, not sexually active.

“Social media influences us because on Facebook you see other children who are younger than you posting naked pictures of themselves then you ask yourself why are you not posting naked pictures of yourself when you older than them...So that influences you to grow up.” Male, 15-17 years old, not sexually active.

“On the TV a lot of the times we see...they watch sexual movies on the TV right. So that’s the thing that encourages them to end up being a part of that thing”. Female caregiver, 31-35 years old, single with steady partner.

However, adolescents and caregivers perceived that there is a gap in translating the knowledge of sexual behaviour into healthy sexual decisions that limit the risk of HIV infection or pregnancy.
“They know about risky behaviour... It is just that they are hard-headed”.

Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, single with multiple partners.

“I don’t think that all adolescents know because if they knew they wouldn’t engage in unprotected sex...become pregnant when they are young, they are getting HIV...”.

Male caregiver, 46-50 years old, married.

Reaching a certain age milestone informed adolescent decision-making on sexual activity.

Adolescents had polarized views though, where some preferred younger age associating this with the onset of puberty while others preferred waiting until adulthood.

“I think at 12 because at 12 you starting to be matured. For puberty starting.”

Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, and has a single partner.

“...a person should start having sex at the age of 21, because 21 you are mature enough, you are able to take right decisions when coming to sex.” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, single partner.

Alcohol use was perceived to promote engagement in high-risk sexual activity, voluntarily and involuntarily, reducing the ability to make informed healthy decisions.
“Risky behaviour according to my understanding, is like when we go out with friends and have fun and start behaving in a way that we start drinking and not seeing the outcomes....” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, multiple partner relationship.

“...some girls...while they are sitting there at the taverns with the guys, the girl would go to the toilet and the other guys will put a drug in the girls drink. Then the girl drinks it coz (because) she has no idea of what they put inside of her drink. Whatever they put inside of your drink, drugs you and you become easily influenced into doing anything that they want you to do.”

Male, 15-17 years old, not sexually active.

Poverty and the need for financial support were highlighted as other factors influencing the decision to engage in risky behaviours.

“Sometimes what leads some adolescents to sexual activities is that you get that at home they are in need of something so the girls get forced to turn to older men for financial support...which leads them to sexual activities.” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, single with multiple partners.

“They take them to be “stupid” ... than they end up falling in that trap, and again... Sometimes you get [that] the young girls hang out with us older men, and we give them money.” Male caregiver, 46-50 years old, married.

Relationship level factors influencing sexual decision-making
Female adolescents believed a female caregiver, being the same gender would identify with them. In contrast, some female adolescents shared their greater comfort in communicating with their male caregiver. Others expressed comfort in talking with siblings.

“I prefer to talk to my mother... [she] is a woman, and she will understand.” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, in a single partner relationship.

“I honestly speaking I trust my father and I can talk to him about anything... We have a very close relationship. My mother can’t keep a secret, but my father can.” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, in a single partner relationship.

“Sister. I cannot to talk to my mother or my father.” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, in a single partner relationship.

Female caregivers described how the role of a male caregiver or a father figure can create barriers to communication between adolescents and caregivers. Female caregivers believed that male caregivers ignored their supportive role in favour of fulfilling personal desires. In contrast, male caregivers may feel it is futile to reach out to sons because sons tend to develop closer bonds with female caregivers.

"...is just that in most cases men are ignorant... he comes home tired, reads newspaper, watch news and sleeps... or is at the gym. I am the one that is always with the children". Female caregiver, 41-45 years old, married.

“With fathers it is not that easy... even if they are boys they are close to their mothers [agreement by other caregivers]... they are even scared to talk to us...”. Male caregiver, 46-50 years old, married.
While caregivers expressed their desire to support their children, many adolescents were resistant to this type of engagement due to concerns citing trust, confidentiality, and feelings of being misunderstood. Male adolescents expressed difficulty in speaking with both male and female caregivers, fearing caregiver judgemental attitudes and being misunderstood.

“We must be open... We have to be free but not too much right? We have to try to talk to them... our parents did not talk to us... So nowadays, we have to... teach the right things”. Female caregiver, 46-50 years old, married.

“I do not talk with them, because they are going to think that you have already started having sex at a young age.” Male, 15-17 years old, not sexually active.

“I do not talk to them because like they won’t understand what I’m going through”. Male, 15-17 years old, not sexually active.

However, some caregivers and adolescents avoided discussions on sexual behaviour due to the historically taboo nature of talking about sex or other sensitive topics with minors.

“Our parents were hiding things from us, we ... just lived in the dark. Today if you tell a child something, they will say “yes in your times”. So, we should find a balance and not put too much pressure... We must try to keep up with their times.” Female caregiver, 46-50 years old, married.

“...according to my culture at my age I cannot talk to my parents about sex...I prefer to talk to my friend because she broke her virginity before me, and she never
told me to do the same. I decided to break my virginity. When she gives me advice, I will listen and see for myself if it is right or wrong.” Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active with a single partner.

Adolescents and caregivers expressed interest in improving communication on sexual activity and engaging in risky sexual behaviour discussions, to improve sexual decision-making. Some caregivers shared techniques they were able to use to improve communication.

“I think there should be a study for parents, and they should be educated on how to communicate with their kids... they still have that mentality of saying you cannot talk about sex with your child”. Female, 18-19 years old, sexually active, and in multi-partner relationships.

“... when a child wants to talk to you, they must not be scared to talk to you... must have a friendship... for example I hugged my child and told her that it is a mistake... I am trying to bring her close to me... I do not shout ... The child must not be afraid to talk to you as the parent”. Female caregiver, 46-50 years old, married.

**Discussion**

Making good choices is the foundation for healthy sexual decision-making. Through our qualitative investigation conducted in South Africa, we found that adolescents had adequate knowledge of sexual behaviour but do not translate this knowledge into healthy choices. In this resource-limited setting, poor communication between adolescents and caregivers emerged as a dominant barrier that limited the type of support that caregivers could provide to their adolescents to help them with healthy decision-making. While caregivers knew of the
benefits of communicating and supporting their adolescents to make healthy sexual decisions,
they doubted their communication ability. Communication tools and guidance on how
adolescents and caregivers could communicate about sensitive matters could create enabling
environments for adolescents to make informed, healthy decisions regarding their risky
sexual behaviours. This study supports previous reports highlighting the importance and
strengthening of caregiver engagement with adolescents in healthy sexual decision-making,
providing a supportive attitude, being able to monitor adolescent behaviour, and improving
family dynamics where both caregivers serve important roles to protect adolescents from
engaging high-risk sexual behaviours (11–14,19,26).

Adolescents and caregivers had contrasting views on their ability to communicate about
sexual topics. Some reflected on the healthy relationships they had built in supportive roles.
Others believed this was simply not possible or uncommon practice due to the traditional
taboo nature in discussing sexual topics with children. Adolescents expressed limited
caregiver trust, caregiver judgemental attitudes and being misunderstood as common barriers
in engaging with caregivers. Caregivers knew the benefits of being more supportive but felt
helpless as they lacked the knowledge and ability to engage in sexual behaviour discussions
with their adolescents. Similar findings have been reported, amplifying the need
strengthening caregiver intervention (12–14,27). A South African study identified that
increased positive caregiving and caregiving supervision were protective factors to adolescent
engaging in HIV risk behaviours (28). Further, caregiver support could enhance adolescent
self-efficacy and improve self-esteem toward healthy sexual decision-making (19).

The lack of support from male caregivers within the family was another factor contributing to
a breakdown in communication. The role male caregivers provide is increasingly being
challenged, shifting from the stereotypical breadwinner role, to being supportive and understanding toward their adolescent children (13,14,29). Other male caregivers, including uncles, brothers, grandfathers, or other adult males also have an important supportive role to serve (29). In our study, male caregivers desired to provide more support to their adolescents yet seemed insecure in this role. Reframing the stereotypical male caregiver role, towards empowering men to be understanding and supportive toward their children could address this barrier (26,29). Given adolescent boys expressing a lack in reaching out to either male or female caregiver, amplifies the need to intervene for adolescent boys to improving healthy decision-making.

An intention-behaviour gap where translating knowledge on sexual behaviour to making healthy sexual decisions was another key barrier (30). While adolescents have various knowledge resources, their knowledge does not always translate to healthy sexual decision-making. Using gain-framed messages to emphasize the benefits of engaging in certain behaviours could aid in healthy choices (31). Reaching adolescents through television and social media (32), has potential in propagating gain-framed messaging through these media to motivate healthy sexual decision choices. This strategy is widely becoming accepted and has been demonstrated to be successful in reducing sexually transmitted infections (31,33). Using television and social media could be beneficial in providing accurate information for both adolescents and caregivers, augmenting future adolescent-caregiver interventions in the promotion of healthy sexual decision-making (32).

Our study was limited to participants who were already accessing services as participants in a research setting, and an unequal representation of both male adolescents and caregivers. We also did not include the perspectives of external stakeholders such as community leaders,
policy makers, and potential influential role models within the community. Thus, this study is restricted in its ability to capture the perspectives of the broader community, including other adolescents and caregivers, and the findings should be considered within this limited scope.

Despite these limitations, our study has strengths in that it highlights how vital caregiver-adolescent communication could be in informing healthy sexual decision-making among adolescents who are at high-risk for HIV. This study is among a few studies highlighting the perspectives of adolescents and their caregivers that influences adolescent sexual decision-making and provides valuable insights into strategies that could be applied in future adolescent behavioural interventions.

Conclusions

This qualitative study uses a person-centred approach, and provides valuable insights into the individual and interpersonal factors influencing adolescent sexual decision-making in Rustenburg, South Africa. The study highlights the critical role of caregiver-adolescent communication in promoting healthy sexual decision-making. The findings underscore the importance of implementing targeted, culturally relevant interventions that address the barriers to effective communication between adolescents and caregivers. Interventions should include a sexual education and skill package to equip both male and female caregivers to engage in conversations on sexual behaviour. The study as well highlights the potential in including gain-framed messaging within intervention packages to address the intention-behaviour gap, enabling adolescents to translate knowledge towards healthy sexual choices.
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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABYM</td>
<td>Adolescent boys and young men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGYW</td>
<td>Adolescent girls and young women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREAMS</td>
<td>Determined, Resilient, Empowered, AIDS free, Mentored and Safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGD</td>
<td>Focus group discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>Sexually transmitted infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Socioecological model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>sub-Saharan African</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>U.S. dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR</td>
<td>South African rand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>