Do amyloid cerebral deposits influence the long-term post-stroke cognitive outcome? 
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Abstract

Background. Although the presence of amyloid deposits is associated with a more severe cognitive status in stroke patients at baseline, its influence on the subsequent cognitive outcome has not been extensively assessed. The primary objective of the present study of the IDEA3 cohort was to determine the influence of amyloid PET status on the 5-year cognitive outcome.

Methods. The 91 stroke patients (ischemic stroke: 89%; hemorrhagic stroke: 11%) with florbetapir PET data at baseline (positive: n=14) underwent comprehensive clinical and cognitive assessments for 5 years after the PET scan.

Results. A survival analysis (mean post-stroke follow-up: 80.4 ±27 months) showed that the incidence of dementia was higher in the PET-positive patients (OR=5.89, 95% CI: 1.24-22.7, p=0.02), as was the incidence of cognitive impairment (OR=10, 95% CI: 1.9-52.3, p=0.003). A Cox regression analysis showed that the association between amyloid status and the incidences of dementia (p=0.006) and CI (p=0.04) was still significant after adjustment for age. Considering the overall prevalence at last follow-up in the whole study population (n=91 patients), PET positivity was associated with an elevated risk of post-stroke cognitive impairment (OR=6.25, 95%CI: 1.77-22, p=0.002) or dementia (OR= 6, 95%CI: 1.76-20.5, p=0.002). The final Rankin score did not differ according to PET status (p=0.3).

Conclusions. Our results demonstrated the major impact of amyloid deposition on the stroke outcome and emphasized the need for comprehensive etiologic work-up in patients with post-stroke cognitive impairment.

Clinical Trial Registration: NCT 02813434

List of Non-standard Abbreviations:
- PS: poststroke
- CI: cognitive impairment
- NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale
- IADL: Instrumental Activities of Daily Living
- IDEA3: Imagerie des dépôts amyloïdes cérébraux par florbetapir AV-45 et diagnostic des déficits cognitifs et démence post Accident Vasculaire Cérébral
Introduction

Some degree of post-stroke cognitive impairment (PSCI) is observed in at least half of stroke survivors, with a mild neurocognitive disorder in two thirds of these cases and dementia in the remaining third3. Although PSCI is generally attributed to vascular lesions alone, amyloid PET studies have revealed that the prevalence of amyloid positivity is approximately 15-20% depending on the age and frequency of cognitive impairment in the study population1,2,4–7. The two largest studies showed that the amyloid burden is associated with a more severe cognitive status at baseline1,2. Accordingly, the fact that 30% to 38%1,7 of cases of PS dementia are PET-positive supports the conclusions of the pioneering studies8–10.

The influence of amyloidopathy on the post-stroke (PS) cognitive outcome has been assessed in one study only; 6 (19.4%) of the 31 patients with delayed dementia (between 7 and 36 months) were PET-positive2,11 and had a steeper decline in the screening test scores11.

The primary objective of the present study of the IDEA3 cohort was to determine the influence of amyloid PET status on incident dementia. The secondary objectives were to determine incident cognitive impairment, impairments in five cognitive domains (action speed, executive function, memory, language, and visuoconstructive abilities), and the effect of PET status on the final Rankin score.

Methods

The study’s methods and results were reported in accordance with the “Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology” guidelines. The data that support the study’s findings are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The study was performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and was approved by the regional investigational review board and informed consent was obtained from patients (Comité de Protection de Personnes Nord-Ouest II, Amiens, France; reference: 2013/27, 11 July 2013).

Population

The IDEA3 cohort’s inclusion criteria and baseline characteristics have been described in detail elsewhere1. Briefly, the main inclusion criteria were age 40 or over, command of the French language, hospitalization in our university medical center for an acute ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, available imaging data, the presence of a reliable informant, at least one impaired cognitive score at the follow-up assessment, consent to participation, and no fulfilment of any of exclusion criteria12,13. The main exclusion criteria (see Supplemental Methods 1.1.) included conditions (other than stroke) known to affect cognition, contra-indication to MRI, and the absence of informed consent. With regard to their demographic and clinical characteristics, the 91 study participants were typical of a hospital-based population of stroke patients (Table 1).
Pre-inclusion and baseline assessments
As detailed elsewhere\(^1\), the pre-inclusion visit was scheduled at six months PS and included clinical and neuropsychological assessments. The baseline visit (M0) corresponded to the day of the PET examination and included clinical and neuropsychological assessments and MRI.

PET assessment
The amyloid PET scan (performed in accordance with current guidelines, see Supplement Methods 3) was positive in 14 of the 91 patients in the IDEA3 cohort. Due to delays in the delivery of florbetapir, the mean time interval between the stroke and the amyloid PET scan was approximately two years and did not differ according to PET status (660 ± 515 days in the PET-positive group and 835 ± 601 days in the PET-negative group; p=0.3\(^1\)).

Clinical and cognitive assessments
The clinical and cognitive assessments have been detailed elsewhere\(^12,13\) (see Supplement Methods 2). Briefly, the clinical assessment gathered data on new medical events, current treatments, neurological impairment using the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score\(^14\), gait score\(^15\), the functional outcome (on the Rankin scale, graded with a structured interview\(^16\)), the Barthel index\(^17\) and the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale\(^15,18\). The neuropsychological assessments included the Mini Mental Status Examination\(^19,20\), the Montreal Cognitive Assessment\(^21\), and the GRECogVASC comprehensive battery\(^12,13,22\).

At baseline, cognitive impairment was observed in 25 patients: 17 had mild cognitive impairment (15 [19.5\%] in the PET-negative subgroup and 2 [14.3\%] in the PET-positive subgroup), and 8 had dementia (6 [7.8\%] in the PET-negative subgroup and 2 [14.3\%] in the PET-positive subgroup).

Follow-up assessments
Annual neurological and cognitive follow-up visits were scheduled up until five years after the inclusion visit (i.e. from M12 to M60). The present longitudinal study focused on data collected after the baseline visit. If the patient could not attend the visit (especially during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic), a telephone interview was organized. During the telephone follow-up interview, only the following variables were documented: the occurrence of a new medical event, current treatments, the gait score\(^23\), cognitive status (estimated using the AD8 and with 2 as the cutoff score)\(^24\), the functional outcome (using the Rankin scale\(^16\), the Barthel index\(^17\) and the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale\(^15,18\)).

Statistical analysis
The association between the 5-year cognitive outcomes and amyloid status was analyzed in a log-rank test. The primary outcome was the presence of dementia. The secondary outcomes were presence of cognitive impairment (regardless of its severity, i.e. mild or severe) and impairments in the five cognitive domains (action speed, executive functions, episodic memory, language and visuoconstructive abilities). The time interval was expressed as the time PS.

A Cox regression analysis with stepwise factor selection was used to examine the influence of the following confounding factors: age, educational level, prestroke Rankin score, prestroke restriction of IADL, prestroke cognitive status (examined using the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCode) score), stroke type (ischemic or hemorrhagic), PET status, and the PET status x stroke type interaction. Factors that were significant in the bivariate step were submitted to a Cox regression analysis. The influence of PET status on the final Rankin score was examined using a Mann-Whitney test. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). The threshold for statistical significance was set to \( p \leq 0.05 \), unless otherwise indicated.

**Results**

Of the 91 included patients, 74 (81.3%) completed the M60 visit, and 53 (58.2%) completed the M60 cognitive battery (Supplemental Table 1). Twenty-two (24.2%) patients were assessed over the telephone: 19 (24.7%) in the PET-negative group and 3 (21.4%) in the PET-positive group (\( p=0.8 \)). The mean follow-up duration did not differ (\( p=0.16 \)) according to PET status (positive: 70.7 ±32.7 months; negative: 82.2 ±26.8 months). Five patients (4 in the PET-negative group) died during the study period; of these, two had dementia and three had subjective cognitive decline at the last follow-up visit.

The survival analysis showed that the incidence of dementia was higher in the PET-positive group (\( p=0.02 \)) (Figure 1): in the 83 patients without dementia at baseline, incident dementia was observed in 4 (51.1%) of the 7 PET-positive patients and 7 (11.8%) of the 65 PET-negative patients (odds ratio [OR]=5.89, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.24-22.7, \( p=0.02 \)).

Among the variables fed into the Cox regression analysis, the bivariate step showed that PET positivity (\( p=0.006 \)), age (\( p=0.014 \)), and PET status x stroke type interaction (\( p=0.03 \)) were associated with incident cognitive impairment (educational level: \( p=0.9 \); prestroke Rankin score: \( p=0.4 \); prestroke IADL: \( p=0.4 \); IQCode: \( p=0.8 \); stroke type: \( p=0.8 \)). In the multivariate step, only PET status was significant (\( p=0.006 \)).

The survival analysis showed that the incidence of cognitive impairment was higher in the PET-positive group (\( p=0.007 \)) (Figure 2): in the 66 patients without cognitive impairment at baseline, incident cognitive impairment was observed in 8 (80%) of the 10 PET-positive patients and 16 (28.6%) of the 56 PET-negative patients (OR=10, 95% CI: 1.9-52.3, \( p=0.003 \)).
Among the variables submitted to Cox regression analysis, the bivariate step showed that PET positivity (p=0.02) and age (p=0.035) were significantly associated with incident dementia (education: p=0.13; prestroke Rankin score: p=0.08; prestroke IADL: p=0.2; IQCode: p=0.8; stroke type: p=0.6; PET status x stroke type: p=0.2). In the multivariate step, only PET status was significant (p=0.044).

Considering overall prevalence at last follow-up in the whole population of 91 patients, PET positivity was associated with an elevated risk of PSCI (PET-positive: n=10 [71.4%]; PET-negative: n=22 [28.6%]; OR=6.25, 95%CI: 1.77-22, p=0.002) and an elevated risk of dementia (PET-positive: n=7 [50%]; PET-negative: n=11 [14.3%]; OR= 6, 95%CI: 1.76-20.5, p=0.002).

Considering the secondary outcomes analyzed in the 66 patients without overall cognitive impairment at baseline, PET positivity was associated with a higher probability of developing an impairment at last follow-up in episodic memory (p=0.002) and language (p=0.02) (Supplementary Figures; action speed: p=0.4; executive functions: p=0.2; visuoconstructive abilities: p=0.3).

The final Rankin score did not vary according to the PET status (p=0.3), although there was a higher proportion of scores of >3 in the PET-positive group (n=5 [35.7%]) than in the PET-negative group (12 [2.6%]).

Discussion

Our longitudinal study of the IDEA3 cohort showed that cerebral amyloid PET positivity is associated with (i) a fivefold greater risk of developing incident dementia, (ii) a sixfold greater risk of developing PSCI and PS dementia; and (iii) more pronounced cognitive deterioration in language and memory. The greater risk of developing dementia was independent of age, educational level, prestroke status, and stroke type. These results extend the previous report of a higher frequency of PET positivity in stroke patients experiencing delayed dementia (within 3 years of stroke)

Our study had several limitations. Firstly, the delayed delivery of florbetapir meant that the PET examination was not performed in the first year PS for 59 patients. However, the amyloid burden was not associated with the time interval between the stroke and the PET scan. This finding is consistent with the very long time course of amyloid deposition (i.e. detection of amyloid 15 years before
symptom onset in Alzheimer disease)\textsuperscript{32} and the stroke’s lack of influence on amyloid deposition\textsuperscript{1,4,33}. Thus, the two-year time interval between stroke and the PET scan does not preclude an analysis of the impact of amyloid status on subsequent cognitive impairment. Secondly, the small sample size in the PET-positive group (n = 14) limited the study’s power. Again, this sample size did not preclude relevant findings, and our cohort is the largest cohort yet studied.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated the major impact of amyloid deposition on stroke outcome. Our findings emphasize the need for comprehensive etiologic work-up in patients with PSCI. Further research is needed to identify the characteristics of at-risk stroke patients who should be specifically screened for Alzheimer disease.
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Table 1. Characteristics of the study cohort, overall and by amyloid PET status. Data are expressed as the frequency (percentages), mean ± standard deviations, or medians [interquartile range], as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Amyloid PET positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>63.3 ± 10.7</td>
<td>72.6 ± 6.0</td>
<td>61.6 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right handedness</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current/ex- smoker</td>
<td>20.9 / 16.5</td>
<td>28.6 / 14.3</td>
<td>19.4 / 16.9</td>
<td>0.4 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current/previous alcohol abuse</td>
<td>0.0 / 2.2</td>
<td>0.0 / 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 / 2.5</td>
<td>1 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke/ischemic stroke</td>
<td>5.5 / 3.3</td>
<td>14.3 / 14.3</td>
<td>3.9 / 1.3</td>
<td>0.17 / 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-stroke IQCODE score</td>
<td>48.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>52.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>51.2 ± 8.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-stroke Rankin score</td>
<td>0 [0-0]</td>
<td>0 [0-0]</td>
<td>0 [0-1]</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-stroke 4IADL score</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.86 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score on admission</td>
<td>5.6 ± 5.8</td>
<td>4.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute complication</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause of stroke</strong></td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarct subgroup (n = 81)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small vessel disease</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardioembolic stroke</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>19.8 / 6.3</td>
<td>33.3 / 16.6</td>
<td>17.4 / 4.4</td>
<td>0.2 / 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thrombolyis/Thrombectomy</strong></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage subgroup (n = 10)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid angiopathy</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>808 ± 589</td>
<td>660 ± 515</td>
<td>835 ± 601</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time post-stroke (days)</td>
<td>1.49 ± 2.02</td>
<td>0.86 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent stroke</td>
<td>14.5 ± 11.3</td>
<td>15.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td>14.3 ± 11.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score</td>
<td>25.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>23.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>26.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>22.3 ± 4.4</td>
<td>16.7 ± 4.9</td>
<td>22.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSEa score</td>
<td>1.76 ± 2.31</td>
<td>2.0 ± 3.16</td>
<td>1.71 ± 2.14</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA score</td>
<td>2 [1-3]</td>
<td>2 [1-3]</td>
<td>2 [1-3]</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The risk of developing incident dementia.
Figure 2. The risk of developing incident cognitive impairment.