Genetic surveillance of *Plasmodium falciparum* reveals rapid population changes following first-line treatment policy revisions in the Greater Mekong Subregion
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ABSTRACT

Background: Genetic surveillance of *Plasmodium falciparum* (*Pf*) is an important tool for tracking antimalarial resistant strains, informing decision-making by National Malaria Control Programmes (NMCPs). Here, we present an analysis of 5,754 samples collected by the GenRe-Mekong project, in collaboration with NMCPs in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS), powered by new user-friendly visualization tools developed to translate genomic data into accessible actionable information.

Methods: Samples collected from patients presenting at public health facilities between 2017 and 2022 were genotyped using the SpotMalaria platform. Output data included genotypes for markers of antimalarial resistance, and genetic barcodes for analysis of relatedness. We developed the grcMalaria R package, which allows users to specify sample selection criteria, and produce geographical maps of prevalence, diversity and relatedness. It also identifies circulating parasite clusters, characterizing their drug resistance profile and mapping their spread.

Results: Since 2020, a rapid reduction in *Pf* incidence was observed, alongside a decline of the KEL1/PLA1 lineage resistant to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ), previously dominant in the eastern GMS. The frequency of *plasmepepsin2/3* amplifications, associated with piperaquine resistance, dropped from 62% in 2017-2019 to 2% in the first half of 2022. This coincided with a switch in frontline therapy, away from DHA-PPQ, in Cambodia, Thailand, and Vietnam. Artemisinin resistance levels remained high, with a regional prevalence of 89% in 2022. No evidence of emerging mefloquine resistance was found.

Conclusion: Routine genetic surveillance of *Pf* can reveal changes in parasite populations in response to public health interventions, especially when its results are translated into intuitive graphical visualizations, providing actionable information for NMCPs.
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INTRODUCTION

*Plasmodium falciparum* (*Pf*), a causative agent of malaria, is responsible for more than 500,000 deaths per year, mainly in young African children [1]. Since the turn of the century, mortality has reduced, partly thanks to the availability of efficacious artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs), currently the first-line treatments in most endemic countries. However, parasites resistant to artemisinin and/or one of its ACT partner drugs have been reported in several regions, and have been circulating in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS) for over 15 years [2-8]. One of the tools used to counteract the spread of drug resistance is genetic surveillance [9, 10], which can be used to map molecular markers and conduct population-level analyses. Resulting information provides public health authorities with actionable knowledge that supports several malaria control and elimination use cases, such as selecting antimalarial drug policies, monitoring drug resistance, and responding to outbreaks [11].

One of the most critical priorities of National Malaria Control Programmes (NMCPs) in the GMS is to monitor and respond to population-level changes in antimalarial drug resistance. Since 2015, the spread of multidrug-resistant KEL1/PLA1 strains in the eastern GMS has led to widespread failures of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ), then the frontline treatment in Cambodia, Thailand and Vietnam [12, 13]. As recommended by the WHO, NMCPs in these countries switched to alternative first-line ACTs once DHA-PPQ efficacy fell below the recommended threshold of 90% [14, 15]: Cambodia adopted a phased re-introduction of artesunate-mefloquine (AS-MQ) starting in 2014 [16-19], while five provinces of Vietnam and two provinces of north-eastern Thailand adopted artesunate-pyronaridine (AS-PYR) in 2020 [17-20]. Lao PDR (Laos) and Myanmar have continued the use of artemether-lumefantrine (AL), since its efficacy remained satisfactory [17, 19, 21]. The GenRe-Mekong project was established to conduct malaria genetic surveillance in partnership with NMCPs and local researchers in the GMS [9]. Parasitized dried blood spots (DBS) are collected from patients presenting at one of the dozens of partner public health facilities. Subsequently, DBS are processed using the SpotMalaria amplicon sequencing platform to extract Genetic Report Cards (GRC), which in turn are analysed at population level to produce reports that are returned to NMCPs. SpotMalaria targets specific genotypes associated with antimalarial resistance (Supplementary Table 1) [9], and profiles a set of polymorphisms- known as a genetic barcode- that capture genetic structure and diversity in *Pf* populations [9, 22-24]. To accelerate the integration of the resulting data into public health decision-making processes, NMCPs are supported with technical, genetic and domain knowledge, to assist with data interpretation. To this end, the GenRe-Mekong project develops informatics tools that help turn genomic data into meaningful graphical visualizations that support NMCP activities. The first of these tools, grcMalaria, is an R language package that produces geographical maps of malaria resistance...
prevalence, diversity and relatedness, using GRCs as input. The tool is easy to use, requiring very few lines of coding, and return results rapidly, making geospatial analyses accessible to a wide range of users with different backgrounds.

We presented early results from the GenRe-Mekong project in a previous publication [9]. Here, we provide an update, describing changes in antimalarial drug resistance epidemiology in the GMS, based on an analysis of 5,754 *Pf* dried blood spot samples collected by GenRe-Mekong between 2017 and 2022 from symptomatic patients in Cambodia, Laos, and Vietnam, a time when several NMCPs changed their malaria treatment policies.

**METHODS**

The procedures, operations and technical aspects of the GenRe-Mekong project were detailed in a previous publication [9]; here, we summarize the salient points in brief.

**Sample collection.**

Samples were collected from symptomatic patients of all ages who had been confirmed positive for *Pf* by positive rapid diagnostic test or blood smear microscopy. Two or three 20 μL DBS were collected on filter paper by finger-prick, labelled with a unique identifier, and stored in plastic bags with silica gel before being shipped to the laboratories. In Laos, samples were collected in 5 provinces by the Center for Malaria, Parasitology, and Entomology (CMPE) and the Lao-Oxford-Mahosot Hospital-Wellcome Trust Research Unit (LOMWRU). In Vietnam, samples were collected from 11 provinces by the Institute of Malaria, Parasitology and Entomology Quy Nhon (IMPE-QN), the National Institute of Malaria, Parasitology and Entomology (NIMPE) and the Oxford University Clinical Research Unit (OUCRU). In Cambodia, samples were collected in 5 provinces by the National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control (CNM) (Supplementary Table 2). Ethical approvals were obtained both from ethics committees in the country of collection, and from the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee (OxTREC), as previously detailed [9].

**Sample genotyping**

Parasite DNA was extracted from DBS samples and processed using the SpotMalaria v2 amplicon sequencing and genotyping platform as previously detailed [9]. Processing took place in partner laboratories at OUCRU, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, or at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI), Hinxton, UK. Parasite species were confirmed either by testing mitochondrial sequences for species-specific alleles, by ascertaining the presence of *Pf* genotypes in the genetic barcode, or by additional quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). Amplifications of the *Pf* genes *multidrug resistance 1 transporter* (*mdr1*) and *plasmepsin 2/3* (*pm23*) were also detected by additional qPCR (Supplementary
Table 1). Genotyping, data processing, and informatics support was provided by WSI. The resulting GRC data are a standardized set of genotypes associated with resistance to several antimalarials, as well as a 101-SNP barcode, with positions selected based on their ability to differentiate populations and their power to recapitulate genetic distance [9]. The generated genotypes were used to predict resistance to clinically relevant antimalarials, based on a published set of rules [9].

**Data analysis and visualisation**

To analyze and visualise data from the GRC, we used the grcMalaria package (version 2.0.0) installed on R (version 4.2.3) [25]. The GRC format requires structured geographical data, which is achieved by linking study locations to administrative divisions from GADM (Database of Global Administrative Areas) [26], a global catalogue of administrative divisions. Geographical structuring supports the aggregation of data at different levels of geographical granularity. In the analyses presented here, we aggregated samples at provincial level (AdminDiv1).

To analyze changes in Pf populations over time, we divided the samples into three periods (2017-2019, 2020-2021, 2022). The sample distribution was visualised using the grcMalaria mapSampleCounts function. To calculate the frequency of parasites resistant to a given antimalarial, we used the function mapDrugResistancePrevalence, which estimates the proportion of resistant parasites by dividing the number of resistant samples by the sum of resistant and sensitive samples, disregarding samples whose drug-resistant status could not be determined. Pie charts, representing the allele proportion of drug-resistant markers in a given administrative division, were generated using mapAlleleProportions. Additional graphs were produced either by Microsoft Excel or by the R ggplot2 (version 3.5.1) library [28]; error bars representing 95% confidence intervals were calculated using Wilson Interval with corrections (BinomCI; DescTools R package version 0.99.54) [27].

**Analysis of highly related parasites**

To identify clusters of highly related parasites, we applied the grcMalaria findClusters function, setting a genetic similarity threshold of 95% with at least 20 members in each cluster. At this level of similarity, clusters of parasites with essentially identical genetic barcodes are identified, allowing for low levels of error introduced by sequencing. To minimize the influence of missingness in genetic distance estimations, we only included ‘high quality’ samples (<25% barcode genotype missingness) and applied imputation (impute=TRUE) to positions called as ‘missing’ [9]. To assign sample set into clusters, we applied a community detection algorithm to a network constructed from pairwise distances computed by comparing genetic barcodes between sample pairs. The proportion of most prevalent clusters were visualized as pie charts, generated using the mapClusterSharing function.
Data availability
This paper uses a subset of GenRe-Mekong data, which includes all samples collected by the project during routine surveillance in the eastern GMS. The GenRe-Mekong project has made all genetic data openly available at https://github.com/GenRe-Mekong/Data, including: the full GenRe-Mekong dataset, containing 13,535 samples from eight countries; a subset that includes 5,754 Pf samples from the present analysis; and a global dataset derived from the whole-genome data from samples in the MalariaGEN Pf7 data resource [29]. The datasets are accompanied by information on the GRC format, and a data dictionary for converting third-party data into GRC format. Links to source code for the grcMalaria R package can be found at https://github.com/malariagen/grcMalaria.

A comprehensive guide detailing the functions of the grcMalaria package is available at https://genremekong.org/tools/grcmalaria-r-package-user-guide.
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RESULTS
Between January 2017 and June 2022, GenRe-Mekong collected and processed a total of 5,754 Pf samples from 21 provinces in three countries (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure 1). In Vietnam, the project processed 3,201 patient samples of malaria in 2017-2022 (32.6% of WHO-reported Pf infections); in the Laos, it processed 2,371 samples over the same period (16.1%); while in Cambodia, collections took place between July 2020 and June 2022, producing 182 samples (14.3% of the WHO-reported infections in the country) (Supplementary Figure 2) [30-32]. Around 2020, a rapid decrease in Pf incidence took place in all three countries (Figure 1A), as confirmed by data reported to WHO [17]. Laos showed the first signs of decline in sample numbers in 2019, followed by Vietnam the following year (Figure 1A). As incidence declined over time, Pf populations became more geographically isolated. By 2022, the majority of samples were concentrated in one province in each country: Attapeu in Laos, Gia Lai in Vietnam, and Pursat in Cambodia (Figure 1B & Supplementary Table 2); these provinces had consistently exhibited the highest incidence within their respective countries in previous years.

DHA-PPQ resistance decline after changes in first-line treatments
In the 2017-2019 period, 62% (1,957/3,132) of all collected samples were predicted to be resistant to DHA-PPQ (Figure 2A). DHA-PPQ was used as frontline treatment for uncomplicated malaria in
Cambodia, Thailand and Vietnam prior to that period, which had led to the cross-border spread of the KEL1/PLA1 strain-resistant to both components of the DHA-PPQ ACT across most of the eastern GMS [13]. Although KEL1/PLA1 began to diversify as it spread, it maintained its two characteristic haplotypes during this period: a kelch13 C580Y mutant haplotype conferring artemisinin resistance, and a pm23 amplification conferring resistance to piperaquine. This strain also spread into southern Laos, likely as a spillover from neighboring countries, producing high levels of DHA-PPQ resistance in Attapeu and Champasak Provinces, despite the ACT not being used nationally. Starting in 2017, Cambodia gradually switched its frontline treatment from DHA-PPQ to AS-MQ [16-19], while Thailand and Vietnam switched from DHA-PPQ to other ACTs by 2020 [17-20]. Following these changes, regional DHA-PPQ predicted resistance fell steeply, to 30% (204/690) in 2020-2021, and 2% (2/81) in the first half year of 2022 (Figure 2A, Supplementary Figure 3A). To demonstrate that these changes in first-line treatment policies were the likely cause of DHA-PPQ resistance decline, we plotted the prevalence of predicted piperaquine resistance (i.e. the pm23 amplification prevalence), alongside the timeline of first-line treatment strategies for uncomplicated Pf in Vietnam (Figure 3). We observed that within 3 months after replacing DHA-PPQ with AS-PYR in 5 provinces, a reduction in Pf incidence and a decline in PPQ resistance began, which within 18 months led to the disappearance of piperaquine-resistant parasites (Figure 3).

**Resistance to other antimalarials**

Artemisinin resistance levels remained high throughout the period analyzed (Figure 2B & Supplementary Figure 3A), presumably because artemisinin-based combinations continued to be the first-line treatments in the region. However, in provinces at the border of the endemic region (Savannakhet in Laos, Quang Tri and Ninh Thuan in Vietnam), most parasites were predicted to remain susceptible to both artemisinin and piperaquine throughout the study period (Figure 2B), suggesting that the local parasite populations were isolated from the spreading resistant strains in the eastern GMS. Predicted mefloquine resistance remained low in the region across the study periods (Supplementary Figure 3A, Supplementary Figure 4), even after Cambodia adopted AS-MQ as frontline therapy in 2017 [16]; in 2022, we detected no samples carrying an mdr1 amplification, a marker associated with mefloquine resistance [33]. Markers of resistance to chloroquine, sulfadoxine, and pyrimethamine remained high across the region throughout the study period (Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 5).

**Distribution of kelch13 allele variants across fragmented populations**

It has been shown that artemisinin-resistant strains in the eastern GMS originated from multiple founder populations carrying different kelch13 alleles [34]. Maps of kelch13 allele variant proportions...
suggest that strains carrying different variants persisted [35], even though their geographical
distribution and prevalence changed over time (Figure 4). In 2017-2019, the majority of the
artemisinin-resistant parasites carried the kelch13 C580Y mutation (98%, 2,482/2,539), and 79% of
these mutants (1,957/2,482) were classified as KEL1/PLA1 (possessing both kelch13 C580Y and pm23
amplification) (Supplementary Figure 6). However, since 2020 the dominance of KEL1/PLA1 waned,
and other kelch13 variants, previously circulating at low frequency, expanded in the region. In the
western provinces of Cambodia, kelch13 Y493H increased in frequency, and eventually dominated the
population in Pursat province in 2022 (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 3). In Laos, kelch13 R539T
expanded in 2020-2021 in Attapeu and Champasak provinces, causing an outbreak [35]. It
subsequently subsided in 2022, as parasites possessing the kelch13 C580Y mutation but no pm23
amplification increased in Attapeu. In Vietnam, C580Y remained the dominant kelch13 variant.

Clustering of parasite populations

Expecting the changes in kelch13 allele distributions to be a result of change in prevalence of
circulating populations, we sought to examine population structure by clustering Pf barcodes and
examining the geographical distributions of the clusters. Clustering identical or near-identical
parasites (sharing at least 95% barcode similarity) identified 25 clusters (Table 1). During 2017-2019,
four out of the five largest clusters (labelled KLV-01, KLV-02, KLV-03, KLV-05) were diverging groups
emerging from the KEL1/PLA1 strain, which at that point accounted for 42% (1,957/4,632) of samples;
some of these large clusters (e.g. KLV-01, KLV-05) had considerable geographic spread across the
eastern GMS (Figure 5). Following the implementation of new front-line treatment policies, however,
a noticeable shift in population dynamics occurred. From 2020, clusters remained contained within
single countries, and often within single provinces. Additionally, populations of non-KEL1/PLA1
clusters began to expand reaching high frequencies, e.g. KLV-04 and KLV-08 in southern Laos, and
KLV-22 in western Cambodia (Figure 5, Table 1). In Vietnam, the KEL1/PLA1-derived clusters KLV-01
and KLV-03 continued to circulate but lost their pm23 amplification (Supplementary Table 4). By the
second quarter of 2021, none of the circulating clusters possessed the pm23 amplification, and the
Central Highlands of Vietnam were dominated by the piperaquine-susceptible KLV-03 population
(Figure 5). Taken together, these results suggest that the observed reduction in DHA-PPQ resistance
in 2020-2021 can be explained by the disappearance of KEL1/PLA1 clusters, and their loss of pm23
amplification, occurring after changes in first-line treatment policies.

Use of grcMalaria for non-GMS data

The above analyses were conducted using the grcMalaria library, a new software tool for mapping
GRC-formatted data. To demonstrate that its capability is not limited to analyzing GMS surveillance
data, we created a GRC dataset from the MalariaGEN Pf7 global whole-genome sequencing data resource, which comprises 20,831 samples from 33 countries [29]. As an example of grcMalaria usage, we generated maps of chloroquine resistance and mdr1 haplotypes across different geographical regions (Supplementary Figure 7). The software tools and Pf7 dataset are freely available from the GenRe-Mekong website (see Methods).

DISCUSSION

In this study we analysed the genetic epidemiology of Pf in the eastern GMS through longitudinal surveillance between 2017 and 2022, updating our previously published results up to 2019 [9]. Our data shows that dramatic epidemiological changes occurred following changes in first-line treatment policies in the region. Amongst these changes, it is worth highlighting a marked decline in Pf infections, the near disappearance of pm23 amplifications from circulating strains, the fragmentation of the artemisinin-resistant populations, and the absence of mdr1 amplifications that would otherwise threaten AS-MQ treatment efficacy.

The prevalence of DHA-PPQ resistant parasites collapsed from 62% in 2017-2019 to 2% in 2022, the timing coinciding with the adoption of new first-line treatment strategies in three countries in the eastern GMS. In the previous decade, the prolonged use of DHA-PPQ as frontline treatment resulted in massive selective pressure on the parasites, and a rapid spread of KEL1/PLA1 co-lineages across the eastern GMS once they emerged [12, 13, 36, 37]. The parasite population responded just as strikingly and rapidly to the release of pressure from changes in treatment guidelines as it did to the intense DHA-PPQ pressure from public health policies. It is likely that, in the absence of piperaquine pressure, pm23 amplifications are costly in terms of parasite fitness, and lead to a survival disadvantage in the absence of piperaquine pressure. A recent in vitro study supported this notion by demonstrating that cultured C580Y parasites spontaneously de-amplify pm23 when piperaquine pressure is removed [38]. Thus, the change in policy appears to have produced two effects on KEL1/PLA1 parasites: either caused them to succumb in competition with fitter artemisinin-resistant strains, which had been circulating at low frequency during KEL1/PLA1 dominance [35]; or cause them to de-amplify pm23, improving their fitness in the new environment. At the same time, the timeline of events also strongly suggests that the introduction of efficacious replacements for DHA-PPQ is at least partially responsible for the sudden collapse in the overall number of samples [16, 17, 20, 21], although it is hard to tease apart the contribution of other co-occurring factors, such as restriction of mobility due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
Reassuringly, we detected very few samples with predicted resistance to mefloquine, and none in Cambodia where this drug is now used as part of the first-line ACT. On multiple occasions since the 1990s, the use of mefloquine, either as a stand-alone drug or as part of an ACT, has intermittently led to reduced treatment efficacy in the GMS, due to the emergence of parasites possessing \textit{mdr1} amplifications [39]. However, between 2019 and 2021 we found only 9 isolates with \textit{mdr1} amplifications, all in the province of Attapeu in Laos; seven of these belonged to the \textit{kelch13} R539T strain responsible for a \textit{Pf} outbreak in 2021 [35].

Although we can predict resistance for a broad range of antimalarials, a limitation to the current study is the lack of validated genetic markers of resistance to a number of ACT partner drugs, including lumefantrine, used in Myanmar and Laos, and pyronaridine, used in Thailand and Vietnam. Pyronaridine is a relatively new drug, used only as a partner drug, for which no resistance has been demonstrated to date; a recent meta-analysis of 5,711 patients found that failure rates remain below 5\% [40]. Lumefantrine, on the other hand, is a widely used drug in oral co-formulations, used with artemether as first-line treatment in most African countries, and therefore exerting significant selective pressure onto the parasite population globally. While some studies have described a potential phenotypic effect on lumefantrine of \textit{mdr1} variants, evidence is not strong, and confirmatory genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have not yet been performed. Furthermore, studies have not been able to relate \textit{mdr1}-induced reductions of \textit{in vitro} susceptibility to \textit{in vivo} clinical outcomes [41, 42]. To complicate matters further, food intake may influence lumefantrine bioavailability, due to its lipophilic nature, which could partially explain the reduced efficacy sometimes observed in the field [43]. Further research, such as a highly statistically powered GWAS, is urgently needed to identify markers that can track resistance to these drugs. This has become particularly important in view of the recent emergence of artemisinin-resistant parasites in eastern Africa [44].

We have shown that systematic and ongoing sampling by routine surveillance, combined with extensive site coverage, is informative for the detection of epidemiological changes and for comparisons of prevalence between regions over time. Additionally, results were produced more efficiently than in traditionally performed therapeutic efficacy studies, lowering costs, while increasing actionability due to reduced turn-around times between data collection and reporting of results. As the GMS moves closer to elimination, and the number of patients infected with \textit{Pf} dwindle, the continuation of longitudinal surveillance continues to be very important, since parasite populations change rapidly, and more agile interventions are needed. At the same time, planning of genetic surveillance efforts becomes increasingly challenging, due to increased uncertainty and low predictability. In practice this influences the ability to collect samples, as well as increased time to
accumulate enough samples needed for batch -shipping, and -sequencing. In this new epidemiological scenario, genetic surveillance efforts will have to address different use cases, such as outbreak analyses and importations of foreign malaria infections [11]. Furthermore, as the incidence of Pf diminishes, NMCPs will have to contend with rising numbers of patients infected with other Plasmodium species, notably P. vivax, but also P. malariae and P. knowlesi, which are currently neglected and for which to date no genetic surveillance tools are available. GenRe-Mekong is committed to supporting NMCPs in the GMS with genetic surveillance tools for P. vivax, currently under development.

One of the key challenges of genetic epidemiology is that of translating genetic data into actionable knowledge relevant to NMCP activities [11]. Our data is only useful if it provides value to public health bodies engaged in malaria control and elimination; one of the first lessons learnt while implementing the GenRe-Mekong project is that genotypes, mutations and haplotypes have little value without an understandable context in which they can be assessed. Even derivative and aggregate data, such as the prevalence of a mutation associated with resistance, are not useful to NMCP experts unless accompanied by other data points that show spatial or temporal trends. We developed the grcMalaria software library with the aim of increasing the accessibility of genetic epidemiology analysis in daily practice, by simplifying and reducing time needed for analyses tasks. This freely available tool focuses on mapping, using administrative divisions that are meaningful to NMCPs, and supporting flexible selection of samples and division of the dataset into time slices, which produce parallel maps for comparison. Furthermore, the tool supports the aggregation of multiple GRC datasets, encouraging regional analyses of cross-border flow, and data sharing. The library incorporated clustering algorithms that can group samples by similarity and map the geographical distribution of the clusters obtained; this allows users to distinguish, for example, strains that circulate locally in a district from those spreading across borders.

The results presented in this study emphasize the importance of regional strategies for selection of treatment policies to mitigate the spread of antimalarial drug resistance, and stresses the value of different first-line treatment regimens among neighboring countries. For future malaria elimination efforts, it will be paramount to continue genetic surveillance in the final phases of elimination so the experience of the GMS can inform strategic planning for other regions approaching elimination.
### TABLES AND FIGURES

**Table 1. Temporal cluster distribution of *P. falciparum* samples with corresponding genotype.** For each cluster, we show: the cluster label; name from previous studies; proportion of samples per year of collection; proportion of samples predicted resistant to artemisinin (ART), piperaquine (PPQ), and mefloquine (MQ); chloroquine (CQ), sulfadoxine (SX) and pyrimethamine (PM); main *kelch13* variant identified in the cluster; whether the cluster is a KEL1/PLA1 haplotype. Clusters of at least 20 members were formed using Louvain community detection algorithm to assign samples sharing at least 95% of the genetic barcode into groups. Samples that could not be assigned to a cluster with these parameters were labelled as ‘not clustered’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Previous names</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>ART</th>
<th>PPQ</th>
<th>MQ</th>
<th>CQ</th>
<th>SX</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>Main <em>kelch13</em></th>
<th>KEL1/PLA1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KLV-01</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-02</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-03</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-04</td>
<td>LAA1^a</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>RS39T</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-05</td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-06</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-07</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-08</td>
<td>LAA2^b; KH2^b</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-09</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-13</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-15</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-16</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-17</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-18</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-20</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-21</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-23</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-24</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLV-25</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CS80Y</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Wasakul, Disratthakit [35]

^b Miotto, Almagro-Garcia [45]
Figure 1. Genotyped *P. falciparum* (*Pf*) samples collected by the GenRe-Mekong project in collaboration with National Malaria Control Programmes (NMCPs). A) Sample distribution from January 2017 to June 2022. Brackets show NMCP names. No samples were collected in Cambodia as part of routine surveillance in 2018 and 2019. B) Sample distribution by province in 2020-2021 and 2022. Colour corresponds to country. CNM: National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control (Cambodia), CMPE: Center for Malariology, Parasitology, and Entomology (Laos), IMPEQN: Institute of Malariology Parasitology and Entomology Quy Nhon (Vietnam), NIMPE: National Institute of Malariology, Parasitology and Entomology (Vietnam).
Figure 2. Predicted resistance to A) dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ) and B) artemisinin at provincial level. Left: 2017-2019, middle: 2020-2021, right: January – June 2022. Resistance was predicted based on a published set of phenotype rules [9]. Colour reflects resistance prevalence ranging from 0 to 1, where 0 means no parasites show resistance and 1 means 100% of the parasites in the province carried the resistance markers thus predicted to be resistant to the drug. A marker appears when there are at least 2 samples from the province.
Figure 3. Predicted level of piperaquine resistance and first-line policy in Vietnam. Bar chart shows number of samples with wild-type (WT) and plasmepsin2/3 gene amplification (left axis), against proportion of piperaquine resistance (PPQ-R) in Vietnam (right axis). Purple shaded area shows 95% confidence interval. Data is aggregated by quarter Q1: January – March; Q2: April – June; Q3: July – September; Q4: October – December. Grey bar underneath the graph shows first-line treatment policy of *P. falciparum* in Vietnam, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DHA-PPQ) and pyronaridine-artesunate (AS-PYR). *AS-PYR was adopted in 5 endemic provinces in 2020 (Binh Phuoc, Dak Nong, Gia Lai, Dak lak, and Phu Yen).
Figure 4. Prevalence of \textit{kelch13} alleles between 2017 and 2022. Pie chart shows proportion of \textit{kelch13} alleles in each province where samples had been collected. Size of pie chart corresponds to the sample number in the province. A wild-type (WT) parasite is predicted to be sensitive to artemisinin, all but two of the \textit{kelch13} alleles detected between 2017 and June 2022 are associated with delayed parasite clearance thus predicted to be resistant to artemisinin. The two rare alleles, G357S (\textit{kelch13} BTB/POZ domain) and G544R (\textit{kelch13} propeller domain), are not in World Health Organization’s validated marker list, thus their associations with artemisinin resistance are undetermined.
Figure 3. Proportion of most prevalent *P. falciparum* clusters in each province across three periods. Left: 2017-2019, middle: 2020-2021, right: January – June 2022. Clusters were identified using community detection algorithm to assign highly related parasites sharing at least 95% genetic barcode similarity (near-identical parasites) into clusters of at least 20 members, resulting in 25 clusters. In 2017-2019, 24 clusters were present, while 17 clusters were present in 2020-2021 and four clusters were present in 2022. To improve visualization, colours are only given to the top five clusters in each year. Grey colour represents other clusters. White segments represent proportion of samples that did not form a cluster at the specified thresholds. In the legend, clusters are arranged in descending order of cluster size. Main *kelch13* variant identified in the cluster and *plasmepsin2/3* amplification (p+) are shown in brackets following the cluster name. The size of pie-charts is proportional to the sample size for the province.
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